

# On the universal hydrodynamics of strongly coupled CFTs with gravity duals

Rajesh Kumar Gupta<sup>1</sup>, Ayan Mukhopadhyay<sup>1</sup>  
*Harish-Chandra Research Institute*  
*Chhatnag Road, Jhusi, Allahabad 211019, INDIA*  
 E-mail: rajesh@mri.ernet.in, ayan@mri.ernet.in

## Abstract

It is known that the solutions of pure classical 5D gravity with  $AdS_5$  asymptotics can describe strongly coupled large  $N$  dynamics in a universal sector of 4D conformal gauge theories. We show that when the boundary metric is flat we can uniquely specify the solution by the boundary stress tensor. We also show that in the Fefferman-Graham coordinates all these solutions have an integer Taylor series expansion in the radial coordinate (i.e. no  $\log$  terms). Specifying an arbitrary stress tensor can lead to two types of pathologies, it can either destroy the asymptotic AdS boundary condition or it can produce naked singularities. We show that when solutions have no net angular momentum, all hydrodynamic stress tensors preserve the asymptotic AdS boundary condition, though they may produce naked singularities. We construct solutions corresponding to arbitrary hydrodynamic stress tensors in Fefferman-Graham coordinates using a derivative expansion. In contrast to Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates here the constraint equations simplify and at each order it is manifestly Lorentz covariant. The regularity analysis, becomes more elaborate, but we can show that there is a unique hydrodynamic stress tensor which gives us solutions free of naked singularities. In the process we write down explicit first order solutions in both Fefferman-Graham and Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates for hydrodynamic stress tensors with arbitrary  $\eta/s$ . Our solutions can describe arbitrary (slowly varying) velocity configurations. We point out some field-theoretic implications of our general results.

## 1 Introduction

In one of the major developments of late 20-th century physics, it has been shown that many strongly coupled conformal 4D gauge theories at large  $N$  can be solved

by using a classical theory of gravity in ten dimensional spacetime with  $AdS_5 \times X$  asymptotics [1–3].  $X$  is a compact Sasaki-Einstein manifold and is related to the R symmetry of the theory if the gauge theory is supersymmetric. In the classical theory of gravity the dynamics of the metric will be described by Einstein’s equation sourced by a matter energy-momentum tensor. The matter content of the theory of gravity will depend on the presumed dual gauge theory. By the gauge/gravity duality any smooth solution of the equations of motion of the classical theory of gravity is dual to an on-shell state in the conformal gauge theory and encodes all the dynamics of the strongly coupled CFT state in a precise way [2, 9].

There is, however, always a sector of the theory where the dynamics is universal. This is because any theory of classical gravity which has  $AdS_5 \times X$  as a solution always admits a consistent truncation to five dimensional Einstein’s equation with a negative cosmological constant. For instance, we can set all scalar fields arising from Kaluza Klein excitations on  $X$  and other sources to values that minimise the potential and turn off all other matter fields.

Using AdS/CFT correspondence, now we can define the universal sector of all strongly coupled (large  $N$ ) conformal field theories with gravity duals as follows. This sector by definition is the dual of pure 5-dimensional gravity with asymptotic AdS boundary condition. A state in this universal sector will be dual to a smooth solution of Einstein’s equation with negative cosmological constant. At finite temperature also, this correspondence works, but now the solutions of pure classical gravity are required to be free of naked singularities.<sup>1</sup>

In the first part of the paper we will argue that all solutions of pure classical gravity in the universal sector with  $AdS_5$  asymptotics are uniquely determined by the boundary stress tensor when the boundary metric is flat. The  $AdS_5$  asymptotics always requires a choice of a boundary conformal structure which means that the induced metric on the surface at infinity has a double pole in the radial coordinate and its residue can only be fixed upto conformal transformations in the boundary coordinates. We say that the boundary metric is flat when we choose the boundary conformal structure to be that of flat space. In the gauge/gravity dictionary it translates into the dual CFT living in flat space. So our result im-

---

<sup>1</sup>This universal sector is different from what in the context of calculating the tachyon vacuum in string field theory is also called the universal sector of 2D CFTs. In the latter case, it is defined to be the set of states generated by the action of Virasoro generators on the vacuum [8]. However these states cannot be uniquely specified just by the vev of stress tensor alone whereas all solutions of pure gravity can be uniquely specified by the boundary stress tensor. So even for 2D CFTs our universal sector (which can be defined to be the dual of pure 3D gravity with negative cosmological constant) is different from the other definition.

plies that in the universal sector the strongly coupled dynamics of the CFT state at large  $N$  is specified once the conservation of the expectation value of the traceless stress tensor is satisfied. From the field-theoretic point of view, this is a surprising simplification of the dynamics.

To establish our claim we will use a theorem due to Fefferman and Graham [4], which states that for any solution of Einstein's equations with  $AdS$  asymptotics we can always use a certain coordinate system within a finite distance from the boundary. Skenderis and others [5, 9] have shown that this Fefferman-Graham coordinate system also captures the physics of the CFT nicely, in particular, one can read off the expectation values of various operators in the dual CFT state and also the Weyl anomaly directly from the metric in this coordinate system. We will use some characteristics of the CFT to argue that when the boundary metric is flat the metric in Fefferman-Graham coordinates should have a simple integer Taylor series expansion in the radial coordinate. In fact our argument remains valid whenever the Weyl anomaly of the dual CFT vanishes. The result has been proved in generality for even dimensional AdS by Fefferman and Graham for any choice of boundary metric. Since the Weyl anomaly for any CFT in odd number of dimensions vanish, this is a special case of our result. We will use our power series ansatz for the metric in Fefferman-Graham coordinates to show that the boundary stress tensor expectation value uniquely fixes all the coefficients in the power series thus specifying the solution uniquely. Given the CFT argument for the consistency of the power series ansatz we will be able to establish that the metric is uniquely determined locally by the stress tensor.

It is clear, however, that any arbitrary traceless and conserved stress tensor will not specify a CFT state in the universal sector. From the gravity point of view two distinct pathologies can occur. For stress tensors with pathology of the first kind the reverse question of finding the corresponding gravity solution will be ill-posed. For such stress tensors, the formal power series solution of the metric in Fefferman-Graham coordinates will exist but this power series will have zero radius of convergence in the radial coordinate. These pathological stress tensors will be of the "asymptotic boundary condition destroying", or, in short, of "abcd" type. The other distinct set of pathological stress tensors will produce naked singularities in the bulk.

We will argue that "abcd" type of stress tensors can be avoided by doing a perturbation around a stationary late-time solution. We will further specialise to solutions with no net asymptotic angular momentum and these solutions at late times will always settle down to a static black brane. Multi blackbrane static solutions will not occur if there are no p-form gauge fields as is the case in pure

gravity. We will set up a perturbation expansion in the Fefferman-Graham coordinates and show that all hydrodynamic stress tensors preserve the asymptotic AdS boundary condition. This result, we will argue, should also have some measure of validity for solutions carrying net angular momentum.

The perturbation expansion will be similar in spirit to that described in [10,11], but we will use Fefferman-Graham coordinates instead of Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates. A single black brane preserves the  $SO(3)$  rotation symmetries and the  $\mathcal{R}^{3,1}$  translation symmetries of the full  $SO(4,2)$  isometries of  $AdS_5$ . Among the isometries which are broken only two can at most commute with each other and there is a four parameter family of choice of these two isometries. Since they parametrise the mutually commuting set of broken symmetries of the vacuum, which is the static black brane, we will call these “maximally commuting Goldstone parameters”. We will choose them to be the scale transformation with one scaling parameter and an arbitrary boost parametrised by the three spatial components of a velocity. We can use them to generate a four parameter family of so-called boosted black brane solutions. This choice is natural because the boundary stress tensor of these boosted black brane solutions will be that of a homogenous perfect conformal fluid parameterised by its velocity and temperature. The velocity of the fluid will be the same velocity which parametrises the boost and the temperature will be the parameter of the scale transformation if the unboosted black brane had temperature unity (in units where the radius of AdS is set to unity). Now we will make the velocity and temperature arbitrary functions of the field theory coordinates (i.e all coordinates except the radial one) and find a correction to the metric which is first order in derivatives of the field theory coordinates. The boundary stress tensor is also corrected as a result and Einstein’s equation implies it is conserved and traceless. This perturbation being an order by order derivative expansion should be thought of as the holographic dual of the usual low energy expansion (E/T) in an effective field theory, T being the temperature. This is therefore a derivative expansion.

The derivative expansion in the Fefferman-Graham has some advantages over the same expansion in Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates [10,11]. In the Fefferman-Graham coordinate system we can naturally view Einstein’s equation as evolution of boundary metric in the radial direction. We will call those components of Einstein’s equation which contain no more than one derivative of the radial coordinate as constraint equations. The first advantage is that the constraint equations become trivial except for the conservation and tracelessness of the boundary stress tensor if the dissipative (i.e the non-equilibrium) part of the boundary stress tensor  $t_{(dis)\mu\nu}$  is chosen to satisfy  $u^\mu t_{(dis)\mu\nu} = 0$ . The latter is called the Landau gauge

condition and may be imposed without any loss of generality as by suitable redefinitions of the four velocity and temperature we can always make the stress tensor satisfy this property.<sup>2</sup> The second advantage over the perturbation in Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates is that here the whole procedure will be Lorentz-covariant, whereas in the Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates we had to decompose all terms into tensors, vectors and scalars of  $SO(3)$ . The third advantage is that we can construct the metric for an arbitrary conformal hydrodynamic stress tensor. We can also read off the stress tensor from our metric rather easily. Given this simplification of the constraints, in particular, one can think of the Fefferman-Graham coordinate system as the “Coulomb gauge” in the context of finding out metrics corresponding to arbitrary hydrodynamic stress tensors.

However, as we already know from the results of [10, 11], the solution corresponding to a generic hydrodynamic stress tensor will contain a naked singularity. In the Fefferman-Graham coordinates, however, we will find that the solution always has a singularity at the location of the unperturbed horizon. To see if the singularity is just a coordinate singularity or a real one we will translate our solution to Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates, because in the Eddington-Finkelstein coordinate system a real singularity will be manifest in terms of an actual blowup of the metric. To do this we will solve the equations of transformation exactly to each order in the derivative expansion. We will show that whether the singularity in the metric in Fefferman-Graham coordinates is real or fake, the translation to Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates can be achieved at every order. The metric in the Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates will make the singularity manifest and also easily reveal for which choice of the coefficients in the stress tensor would the solution be free of naked singularities. At every order in the derivative expansion, there will be a unique choice of coefficients of the terms in the stress tensor for which the solution will be free of naked singularities.

Though we will establish the general results stated above, we will give explicit computations only upto first order in derivatives. In particular we will find the solution (exact upto first order in derivatives) in Fefferman-Graham coordinates for a conformal hydrodynamic stress tensor with arbitrary  $\eta/s$ . We will be able to find the solution for an arbitrary velocity configuration of the boundary fluid. A special case of our result will be the solution corresponding to the

---

<sup>2</sup>The Landau gauge is simply a convenient set of definitions of the velocity and temperature variables of the fluid and has nothing to do with gauge fixing of Einstein’s equations. It can be shown, by using Boltzman’s equation that the Landau gauge condition implies that the distribution of velocities of particles in the fluid is locally the Boltzman distribution at the same temperature as the local temperature and with mean velocity also the same as the local velocity.

Bjorken flow found by Janik [13, 14]. With our method we will be able to find the solutions for arbitrary slowly varying velocity configurations at each order in the derivative expansion. It should also be kept in mind that the pathologies pointed out in [13, 14, 17], associated with the methods of finding solutions in Fefferman-Graham coordinates in [13, 14, 17], do not occur in our case because we never take a late time scaling limit in which we are zooming closer to the horizon, where in fact the metric always develops a coordinate singularity. In fact our method is as good and of equal reach as the derivative expansion in Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates. It has several comparative advantages which have been pointed out earlier, the comparative disadvantage being a slightly more elaborate regularity analysis. However if we go beyond the universal sector to describe multi black brane solutions (if they exist), the Fefferman-Graham coordinate system (being tied to the AdS asymptotics) can always be employed efficiently, whereas it is not clear if the Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates will be equally useful.

The organisation of the paper is as follows. In section 2, we establish that the boundary stress tensor uniquely specifies a solution of pure classical gravity with AdS asymptotics when the boundary metric is flat. In section 3, we confirm our claims about the metric in Fefferman-Graham coordinates by translating a known solution in Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates which is exact upto first order in derivatives and free of naked singularities (we will call this solution as the hydrodynamic solution and has been found in [10]). In section 4, we will set up and elucidate the derivative expansion in the Fefferman-Graham coordinates and establish that all hydrodynamic stress tensors preserve asymptotic AdS boundary condition. In section 5, we will do the regularity analysis of our solutions. Finally we will end with some discussion on the field-theoretic implications of our results.

## 2 How the boundary stress tensor fixes the solution

In this section we will restrict our attention mainly to a five dimensional asymptotically AdS space with flat boundary metric, though we will indicate in the end that our results may be sufficiently generalised. We will soon explain what is meant by the boundary metric for asymptotically AdS spaces.

The Einstein-Hilbert action on 5-dim manifold  $M$ , with an appropriate counterterm to have a well defined variational principle with Dirichlet boundary condition is

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi G_N} \left[ - \int_M d^5x \sqrt{G} \left( R + \frac{12}{l^2} \right) - \int_{\partial M} d^4x \sqrt{\gamma} 2K \right] \quad (1)$$

where  $K$  is the extrinsic curvature and  $\gamma$  is the induced metric on the boundary. We are using the convention of [5] in which the cosmological constant  $\Lambda$  of  $AdS_{d+1}$  is normalized to be  $-\frac{d(d-1)}{2l^2}$ , hence for  $AdS_5$  we have  $\Lambda = -\frac{6}{l^2}$ .

We want to solve Einstein's equation

$$R_{MN} - \frac{1}{2}RG_{MN} = \frac{6}{l^2}G_{MN} \quad (2)$$

subject to the condition that the solution is asymptotically AdS with a given conformal structure at the boundary. Fefferman and Graham have shown that for such solutions we can use a specific coordinate system called the Fefferman-Graham coordinate system near the boundary. In this coordinate system, the metric takes the following form:

$$ds^2 = G_{MN}dx^M dx^N = \frac{l^2}{\rho^2}[d\rho^2 + g_{\mu\nu}(\rho, z)dz^\mu dz^\nu] \quad (3)$$

In the expression above the indices (M,N) run over all AdS coordinates and the indices  $(\mu, \nu)$  run over the four field theory coordinates. The boundary metric  $g_{(0)\mu\nu}$  is defined as

$$g_{(0)\mu\nu}(z) = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} g_{\mu\nu}(z, \rho) \quad (4)$$

Let this boundary metric have a conformal structure. Then it can be shown that any conformal transformation of the boundary coordinates ( $z$ ) can be lifted to a bulk diffeomorphism of the Fefferman-Graham coordinates which preserves the form of the metric (3) [6, 7]. Under this bulk diffeomorphism, the boundary metric undergoes the same conformal transformation. The simplest case for instance will be a scale transformation,  $z \rightarrow \lambda z$ , of the boundary coordinates for which the corresponding bulk diffeomorphism will be  $\rho \rightarrow \lambda\rho$  (note that in the case of the bulk diffeomorphism, the field theory coordinates  $z$  do not transform at all so that the boundary metric  $g_{(0)\mu\nu}$  scales like  $g_{(0)\mu\nu}(z) \rightarrow \lambda^{-2}g_{(0)\mu\nu}(z)$ ).

In the Fefferman-Graham coordinate system the various components of Ein-

stein's equation reads as [5]: <sup>3</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}g'' - \frac{3}{2\rho}g' - \frac{1}{2}g'g^{-1}g' + \frac{1}{4}Tr(g^{-1}g')g' - Ric(g) - \frac{1}{2\rho}Tr(g^{-1}g')g &= 0 \quad (5) \\ \nabla_\mu Tr(g^{-1}g') - \nabla^\nu g'_{\mu\nu} &= 0 \\ Tr[g^{-1}g''] - \frac{1}{\rho}Tr[g^{-1}g'] - \frac{1}{2}Tr[g^{-1}g'g^{-1}g'] &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Here “(’)” denotes a derivative with respect to  $\rho$  and  $\nabla_\mu$  is the covariant derivative constructed from the metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$ . Also in the above equations we have set our units such that 1, the radius of AdS is set to unity.

When the boundary metric is flat, we will argue that we can expand  $g_{\mu\nu}(z, \rho)$  in a simple integer power Taylor series of  $\rho$  with coefficients which are functions of  $z$ . Since we have chosen the boundary metric to be flat, the leading term has to be  $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ . Our power series ansatz will be:

$$g_{\mu\nu}(z, \rho) = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} g_{(2n)\mu\nu}(z)\rho^{2n} \quad (6)$$

We have written down only even powers of  $\rho$  in the above expansion because it follows from a result due to Fefferman and Graham [4] that the power series (6) should be an even function of  $\rho$ . <sup>4</sup> The only even term which is absent is  $g_{(2)\mu\nu}(z)$  which follows as an easy consequence of the equations of motion (5).

It is not obvious that this power series ansatz will indeed provide us a solution, so we will give an intuitive argument why this works. By AdS/CFT correspondence any solution of the bulk equations of motion would give us a state in the CFT, so the coefficients of the Taylor series expansion in (6) should be functions of the expectation values of the local operators in the dual CFT state. We will explicitly see below that all these coefficients are just functions of the expectation value of the stress tensor in the CFT state. It is possible to see the effect of space-time independent scale transformation on the CFT operators from  $g_{\mu\nu}(z, \rho)$ . To do

---

<sup>3</sup>The (minor) difference with the system of equations given in this reference will be that we will use the original Fefferman-Graham radial coordinate  $\rho$ , whereas there the radial coordinate is chosen to be the squareroot of ours. Also, the reference uses a definition of the Riemann tensor such that the scalar curvature of AdS comes out to be positive.

<sup>4</sup>The existence of power series solution has been proved by Fefferman and Graham for all even dimensional asymptotic AdS solutions and they also argued that if the solution is a power series it should be even. The Fefferman Graham coordinates are however unique only upto diffeomorphisms which are the lifts of the boundary conformal transformations into the bulk. Although, it is not obvious, it can be shown [4] that the evenness of the series (6) is independent of the choice of any particular Fefferman-Graham coordinate system.



this we have to lift the scale transformation to a bulk diffeomorphism so that the form of the metric (3) remains the same and the boundary metric also remains flat. This lift, as mentioned before, is achieved by  $\rho \rightarrow \lambda\rho$ . In the most general case it has been shown [9] that the form of the ansatz (6) should be modified by terms like  $\rho^n(\log(\rho))^m$  with non-negative  $n$  and  $m$ . To illustrate our argument we will consider just two such possible terms:

$$g_{(n)}(z)\rho^n + h_{(n)}(z)\rho^n \log(\rho)$$

Under the bulk scaling transformation  $\rho \rightarrow \lambda\rho$ ,

$$g_{(n)}(z) \rightarrow \lambda^{n-2}g_{(n)}(z) - \log(\lambda)\lambda^{n-2}h_{(n)}(z) \quad (7)$$

We find the above transformation by checking the new coefficient of  $\rho^n$  in  $g_{\mu\nu}$  after the scale transformation. In a CFT any local operator simply scales like a power of  $\lambda$ , the power being given by the conformal dimension of the operator. A  $\log(\lambda)$  term is present only when the Weyl anomaly doesn't vanish. In flat space the Weyl anomaly vanishes and since we have chosen the boundary metric to be flat the  $\log$  term in (7) should not be present as  $g_{(n)\mu\nu}$  is a function of the expectation values of local operators. The absence of the  $\log(\lambda)$  term in a scale transformation applies not only to primary operators but also to their descendents. So we can argue that terms like  $\rho^n(\log(\rho))^m$  should be absent and  $g_{\mu\nu}$  should be given by a simple power series of  $\rho$ .

Now we substitute our ansatz (6) in the equations of motion (5) and solve them order by order in powers of  $\rho$ . It is known from earlier work of Skenderis et.al. [5] that the first term  $g_{(4)\mu\nu}(z)$  is just the expectation value of the stress tensor. Briefly this is how it comes about to be so. Upto this order the first equation (the tensor equation) identically vanishes while the second and third equation of motion give:

$$\begin{aligned} Tr(g_{(4)}) &= 0 \\ \partial^\mu g_{(4)\mu\nu} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Since the equations of motion by themselves cannot specify  $g_{(4)}$  we need a data from the CFT to specify it subject to the above constraints. Most naturally  $g_{(4)}$  is the traceless conserved stress tensor of the CFT. However we can also explicitly check this. An explicit calculation shows that  $g_{(4)}$  is indeed the Balasubramanian-Kraus stress tensor [15] which could be defined for any asymptotically AdS space. Hence we may write:

$$g_{(4)\mu\nu} = t_{\mu\nu} \quad (9)$$

With our ansatz (6) it turns out that all the other coefficients  $g_{2n}$  ( $n > 2$ ) are fixed uniquely by the equations of motion in terms of  $g_{(4)}$  and its derivatives (or in other words the stress tensor and its derivatives). We observe that the first and the third of the equations of motion (5) (i.e. the tensor and the scalar equations) are sufficient to solve for  $g_n$ . All the higher powers of the second of the equations of motion (5) (i.e the vector equation) identically vanishes on imposing the constraints (8) i.e. by imposing the tracelessness and the conservation of the stress tensor. It is not difficult to argue that this should be the case because it can be shown [5] that the second (i.e the vector) equation of motion simply implies the conservation of the Brown-York stress tensor (which when regulated becomes the Balasubramanian-Kraus stress tensor) for an arbitrary constant  $\rho$  hypersurface. Now the conservation of the Brown-York stress tensor at a given hypersurface is not independent of the same requirement for another hypersurface, because in the ADM-like formulation of the Einstein's equations if we satisfy our constraints at a given hypersurface in which our initial conditions are given the evolution (here in the radial coordinate  $\rho$ ) automatically satisfies the constraints. The conservation of the Brown-York stress tensor at the boundary is already forced at leading order in  $\rho$  of the vector equation of motion through (8). Hence we should expect that the vector equation should not impose any new constraints on the stress tensor given that the tensor and scalar equations specify all the coefficients uniquely and this is exactly what is borne out.

Below we give the a few of the the coefficients  $g_{(n)\mu\nu}$

$$g_{(6)\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{12}\square t_{\mu\nu}$$

$$g_{(8)\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}t_{\mu}^{\rho}t_{\rho\nu} - \frac{1}{24}\eta_{\mu\nu}(t^{\alpha\beta}t_{\alpha\beta}) + \frac{1}{384}\square^2 t_{\mu\nu}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{(10)\mu\nu} = & -\frac{1}{24}(t_\mu^\alpha \square t_{\alpha\nu} + t_\nu^\alpha \square t_{\alpha\mu}) \\
& + \frac{1}{180}\eta_{\mu\nu}t^{\alpha\beta}\square t_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{360}t^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\mu\partial_\nu t_{\alpha\beta} \\
& - \frac{1}{120}t^{\alpha\beta}(\partial_\mu\partial_\alpha t_{\beta\nu} + \partial_\nu\partial_\alpha t_{\beta\mu}) \\
& + \frac{1}{60}t^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha\partial_\beta t_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{180}\partial_\mu t^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\nu t_{\alpha\beta} \\
& + \frac{1}{720}\eta_{\mu\nu}\partial_\alpha t^{\beta\gamma}\partial^\alpha t_{\beta\gamma} \\
& + \frac{1}{120}(\partial_\mu t^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha t_{\beta\nu} + \partial_\nu t^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha t_{\beta\mu}) \\
& - \frac{1}{60}\partial_\alpha t_\mu^\beta\partial_\beta t_\nu^\alpha - \frac{1}{23040}\square^3 t_{\mu\nu}
\end{aligned}$$

$$g_{(12)\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{6}t_\mu^\alpha t_\alpha^\beta t_{\beta\nu} - \frac{1}{72}t_{\mu\nu}(t^{\alpha\beta}t_{\alpha\beta}) + \dots \quad (10)$$

Here, as before in (5) the boundary indices are raised and lowered by  $\eta_{\mu\nu}$  and  $\square$  is the Laplacian in flat space. Let us observe and explain certain simple features of the results above. The first observation is that every term in the RHS of the above equations contain only even number of derivatives. This is so because the terms containing derivatives originate only from Ric(g) in the first of the equations of (5). The second observation is that the terms independent of the derivatives appear only for  $g_{(4n)}$ . This is so because if we omit Ric(g) in the first of the equations of (5), then the solution is a power series in  $\rho^{4n}$  as the first non-trivial term in the series is  $g_{(4)}$ . So for a solution where the stress tensor is uniform (like in the case of a static black brane solution), g has an expansion containing only  $\rho^{4n}$  terms.

With our argument that the ansatz (6) should give us a consistent solution, it is obvious that the stress tensor, which appears as  $g_{(4)}$  in g uniquely specifies the solution because all the higher coefficients are fixed uniquely in terms of  $g_{(4)}$  with no new constraints like (8) appearing for  $g_{(4)}$ . This completes the argument that when the boundary metric is flat we should have a solution uniquely specified locally by the stress tensor alone. This statement readily generalizes to other dimensions in the case of a flat boundary metric and most likely also generalizes when the boundary metric is not flat. The general validity could be argued for on the basis of the equations of motion (5) which are second order (specifically in derivatives of  $\rho$ ). Intuitively the boundary metric and the stress tensor specifies all the initial data we need for a unique solution, however a concrete demonstration

of this would probably require methods beyond what we have employed here.

Our power series ansatz (6) should work even if the Einstein-Hilbert action with negative cosmological constant receives higher derivative corrections. Our argument as to why it works is independent of the equation of motion and likewise also independent of say, the value of t’hoof coupling of the dual theory. We have just used the fact that a conformal transformation in the boundary should have an appropriate lift to a bulk diffeomorphism consistent with the transformation of CFT operators. The transformation of the CFT operators under conformal transformations, as well, is independent of the value of the coupling. In fact one can readily check that exact static black hole solutions of Gauss-Bonnet gravity which are asymptotically AdS (given in [19]) have power series expansion when written in Fefferman-Graham coordinates.

The argument we have given above, however, cannot be reversed to argue that a solution with asymptotic AdS boundary conditions exists for any arbitrary stress tensor. The reason that we can’t reverse the argument is that the series (6) for  $g_{\mu\nu}$  exists only formally. The coefficients  $g_{(n)}$  may not be well behaved at large  $n$ , for an arbitrary stress tensor. We will give a simple example to show what can go wrong. For a specific choice of stress tensor, we may find that  $g_{(n)\mu\nu} = f(n)s_{\mu\nu}$  plus other terms. Here  $s_{\mu\nu}$  is a specific term in the stress tensor. If, for instance,  $f(n)$  doesn’t vanish in the limit of  $n$  going to infinity, the series  $\sum f(n)\rho^n$  will fail to exist. Hence  $g_{\mu\nu}$  will not be a meaningful series of  $\rho$  as it will have zero radius of convergence in  $\rho$ . Such boundary stress tensors, for which  $g_{\mu\nu}$  has zero radius of convergence in  $\rho$ , could be appropriately called, “asymptotic boundary condition destroying” stress tensor or in short “abcd” stress tensor. We will have more to say about such stress tensors in section 4.

### 3 Mutual translation between Eddington-Finkelstein and Fefferman-Graham coordinates

In the previous section, we have seen that, the Fefferman-Graham coordinate system is good for finding a solution to Einstein’s equation with a negative cosmological constant when the corresponding boundary stress tensor is specified. However the solutions are usually found in other coordinate systems. For instance, the static black brane solution is usually described in the Schwarzschild-like coordinate system and the hydrodynamic metric of [10] has been found in the Eddington-Finkelstein coordinate system. It would be useful to see how we can rewrite these

solutions in the Fefferman-Graham coordinate system asymptotically. We will demonstrate a novel technique towards this end for the boosted black brane and the hydrodynamic metrics. In both cases we will see that we can achieve a mutual translation between Eddington-Finkelstein coordinate system and Fefferman-Graham coordinate system by using a power series ansatz similar to (6) and we can solve this ansatz algebraically order by order. We expect this method to work for all solutions in which the boundary metric is flat, or more generally when the Weyl anomaly vanishes.

The general procedure is as follows. In the Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates  $(x^\mu, r)$  the metric takes the form:

$$ds^2 = -2u_\mu(x)dx^\mu dr + G_{\mu\nu}(x, r)dx^\mu dx^\nu \quad (11)$$

Here we are using the outgoing Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates with  $u_\mu u_\nu \eta^{\mu\nu} = -1$ . We will express the general structure of coordinate transformation from the Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates  $(x^\mu, r)$  to Fefferman-Graham coordinates  $(z^\mu, \rho)$  as below:

$$d\rho = p_\mu(r, x)dx^\mu + q(r, x)dr \quad (12)$$

$$dz^\mu = m^\mu{}_\nu(r, x)dx^\nu + n^\mu(r, x)dr \quad (13)$$

We substitute the above in the Fefferman-Graham form of the metric (3) to get:

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{\rho^2} [(p_\mu p_\nu + g_{\eta\xi}(\rho, z)m^\eta{}_\mu m^\xi{}_\nu)dx^\mu dx^\nu + 2(p_\mu q + g_{\xi\sigma}(\rho, z)m^\xi{}_\mu n^\sigma)dx^\mu dr + (q^2 + g_{\mu\nu}(\rho, z)n^\mu n^\nu)dr^2] \quad (14)$$

Comparing the above with the Eddington-Finkelstein form of the metric (11), we get the following set of equations:

$$\begin{aligned} (q(x, r))^2 + g_{\mu\nu}(\rho, z)n^\mu(x, r)n^\nu(x, r) &= 0(15) \\ 2p_\mu(x, r)q(x, r) + g_{\alpha\beta}(\rho, z)(m^\alpha{}_\mu(x, r)n^\beta(x, r) + m^\beta{}_\mu(x, r)n^\alpha(x, r)) &= -2u_\mu(x)(\rho(x, r))^2 \\ p_\mu(x, r)p_\nu(x, r) + g_{\alpha\beta}(\rho, z)m^\alpha{}_\mu(x, r)m^\beta{}_\nu(x, r) &= G_{\mu\nu}(x, r)(\rho(x, r))^2 \end{aligned}$$

So we have a scalar, a vector and a tensor equation and three unknowns to solve for. The unknowns are a scalar  $\rho(x, r)$ , a vector  $z^\mu(x, r)$  and the tensor  $g_{\mu\nu}(z, \rho)$  which appear in the Fefferman-Graham metric (3). It is clear from the definitions (12) of q, etc. that they are just various partial derivatives of  $(\rho, z)$ , for instance  $q = \partial_r \rho$ , etc. We will make the following general ansatz to solve the above equations.

The ansatz for  $\rho$  and  $z^\mu$  will be that they will be an integer power series of the inverse of the Eddington-Finkelstein radial coordinate  $r$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\rho &= \frac{1}{r} + \frac{\rho_2(x)}{r^2} + \frac{\rho_3(x)}{r^3} + \dots \quad (16) \\ z^\mu &= x^\mu + \frac{z_1^\mu(x)}{r} + \frac{z_2^\mu(x)}{r^2} + \dots\end{aligned}$$

To solve the equations of transformation (15), the above should be supplemented with the ansatz (6) for the  $g_{\mu\nu}(z, \rho)$  in the Fefferman Graham metric. The expressions for the partial derivatives like  $q$ , etc. then turn out to be as below:

$$\begin{aligned}q &= \partial_r \rho = -\frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{2\rho_2}{r^3} - \frac{3\rho_3}{r^4} - \dots \quad (17) \\ p_\mu &= \partial_\mu \rho = \frac{\partial_\mu \rho_2}{r^2} + \frac{\partial_\mu \rho_3}{r^3} + \dots \\ n^\mu &= \partial_r z^\mu = -\frac{z_1^\mu}{r^2} - \frac{2z_2^\mu}{r^3} - \dots \\ m^\mu{}_\nu &= \partial_\nu z^\mu = \delta_\nu^\mu + \frac{\partial_\nu z_1^\mu}{r} + \frac{\partial_\nu z_2^\mu}{r^2} + \dots\end{aligned}$$

One thing to be kept in mind is that when we substitute our ansatz (16) to solve the equations of transformation (15),  $g_{\mu\nu}(\rho, z)$  should be re-expressed as functions of  $(x, r)$ . Below, we just give the first three terms which appear after it is rewritten as functions of  $(x, r)$ .

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{t_{\mu\nu}(x)}{r^4} + \frac{(4\rho_2 t_{\mu\nu} + (z_1 \cdot \partial) t_{\mu\nu})(x)}{r^5} + \dots \quad (18)$$

We now consider a boosted black brane metric in Eddington-Finkelstein coordinate

$$ds^2 = -2u_\mu dx^\mu dr - r^2 f(br) u_\mu u_\nu dx^\mu dx^\nu + r^2 P_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu \quad (19)$$

where

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{1}{r^4} \quad (20)$$

$$u^0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \beta_i^2}} \quad (21)$$

$$u^i = \frac{\beta_i}{\sqrt{1 - \beta_i^2}} \quad (22)$$

and the temperature is  $T = \frac{1}{\pi b}$  and the three-velocity  $\beta_i$  are all constants, and

$$P_{\mu\nu} = u_\mu u_\nu + \eta_{\mu\nu} \quad (23)$$

is the projector onto the spatial hypersurface orthogonal to the four velocity  $u^\mu$ . This metric can be obtained by applying a boost parameterised by the three-velocity  $\beta_i$  and a scaling by  $b$  to the usual AdS black hole with unit temperature where the time coordinate  $t$  is itself a Killing vector. In this case actually the exact transformation from Eddington-Finkelstein to Fefferman-Graham coordinate system can be exactly worked out easily and it is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= \frac{\sqrt{2}b}{\sqrt{b^2 r^2 + \sqrt{b^4 r^4 - 1}}} \quad (24) \\ z^\mu &= x^\mu + u^\mu b k(br), \\ k(y) &= \frac{1}{4}(\log(\frac{y+1}{y-1}) - 2\arctan(y) + \pi) \end{aligned}$$

The solution for  $g_{\mu\nu}$  in the Fefferman-Graham metric (3) for the boosted black brane is given by:

$$g_{\mu\nu}(z, \rho) = (1 + \frac{\rho^4}{4b^4})\eta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{4\rho^4}{4b^4 + \rho^4}u_\mu u_\nu \quad (25)$$

The boundary stress tensor could be easily read off by looking at the coefficient of  $\rho^4$  after Taylor expanding the RHS of the above expression. The stress tensor turns out to be that of an ideal conformal fluid (like that of a gas of photons)

$$t_{0\mu\nu} = g^{(4)}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4b^4}[4u_\mu u_\nu + \eta_{\mu\nu}] \quad (26)$$

where the temperature is  $T = \frac{1}{\pi b}$ . The horizon in the Fefferman-Graham coordinates is at  $\rho = \sqrt{2}b$  and at the horizon  $g_{\mu\nu}$  given by (25) is not invertible as  $g_{\mu\nu}(\rho = \sqrt{2}b, z) = 2P_{\mu\nu}$ . So clearly the Fefferman-Graham coordinate system has a coordinate singularity at the horizon. Also it is easy to check from (24) that the change of coordinates also becomes singular at the horizon.

Now we turn to the hydrodynamic metric found in [10] which is a solution to Einstein's equation upto first order in the derivative expansion. Here the ‘‘maximally commuting Goldstone parameters’’ of the boosted black brane solution, the

velocities  $\beta^i$  and the temperature  $T$  are functions of the field theory coordinates  $(x)$ . The  $G_{\mu\nu}$  in the Eddington-Finkelstein form of the metric (11) is:

$$G_{\mu\nu} = r^2 P_{\mu\nu} + (-r^2 + \frac{1}{b^4 r^2}) u_\mu u_\nu + 2r^2 b F(br) \sigma_{\mu\nu} - r((u \cdot \partial) u_\mu u_\nu - \frac{2}{3} u_\mu u_\nu (\partial \cdot u)) \quad (27)$$

with

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{4} (\log(\frac{(x+1)^2(x^2+1)}{x^4}) - 2 \arctan(x) + \pi) \quad (28)$$

In this case we will solve the set of equation (15) by putting in our ansatz (16). We solve order by order for each power  $n$  in  $r^{-n}$ . At each order we have to solve algebraic equations and remarkably the equations can be consistently solved at each order. It is important to throw away all the terms which have two  $x$ -derivatives or more and solve the series for  $\rho$  and  $z^\mu$  given in (16) and the series for  $g_{\mu\nu}$  given in (6) only upto first derivative order. This is justified because the hydrodynamic metric above in Eddington-Finkelstein form is a solution to Einstein's equation only upto first order in  $x$ -derivatives and hence it can have a Fefferman-Graham expansion near the boundary only upto first derivative order. The results of the terms in the expansion for  $\rho$  and  $z^\mu$  in (16) upto  $r^{-9}$  order are given below:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_2 &= \frac{1}{3} (\partial \cdot u), \rho_5 = \frac{1}{8b^4}, \rho_6 = \frac{13(\partial \cdot u)}{120b^4}, \rho_9 = \frac{7}{128b^8} \\ z_1^\mu &= u^\mu, z_2^\mu = \frac{1}{3} u^\mu (\partial \cdot u), z_5^\mu = \frac{u^\mu}{5b^4}, \\ z_6^\mu &= \frac{9u^\mu (\partial \cdot u) + 7(u \cdot \partial) u^\mu}{60b^4}, z_9^\mu = \frac{u^\mu}{9b^8} \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

The other terms upto this order vanish. We can easily observe some patterns in the results above. Firstly the terms without any derivatives only appear as coefficients of  $r^{-4n-1}$ . These are precisely the terms that appear in the expansion for the case of the boosted black brane as given in (24). This is because the original black brane solution in Fefferman-Graham coordinates as we know from (25) is a series with ‘‘gaps’’ of four (which means only the fourth next term is non-zero). So the solution of (15) should provide a series for  $\rho$  and  $z^\mu$  in gaps of four as well. Secondly, it also turns out that the terms which have first derivative pieces occur for  $\rho_2, \rho_6, z_2^\mu, z_6^\mu$ , etc. again in gaps of four. We obtain the coefficients of the series for  $g_{\mu\nu}$  given in (6) which was part of our ansatz. The second non-zero term in the series gives us the boudary stress tensor:

$$t_{\mu\nu} = g_{(4)\mu\nu} = \frac{\eta_{\mu\nu} + 4u_\mu u_\nu}{4b^4} - \frac{1}{2b^3} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \quad (30)$$



where

$$\sigma_{\mu\nu} = P_\mu^\alpha P_\nu^\beta \partial_{(\alpha} u_{\beta)} - \frac{1}{3} P_{\mu\nu} \partial_\alpha u^\alpha \quad (31)$$

This is stress tensor for a relativistic conformal fluid satisfying Navier-Stokes' equation and with  $\eta/s = 1/4\pi$ . The next non vanishing term in the series for  $g_{\mu\nu}$  is:

$$g_{(8)\mu\nu} = -\frac{u_\mu u_\nu}{4b^8} - \frac{\sigma_{\mu\nu}}{8b^7} \quad (32)$$

We can check that the expression for  $g_{(8)}$  is given by the general results of the the previous section when we substitute the dissipative stress tensor (30) in (10).

In this section we have worked out the case for a specific ‘‘hydrodynamic metric’’ given in [10]. This metric has no naked singularities and this corresponds to the choice of  $\eta/s = 1/4\pi$  in the dissipative stress tensor (31). However we will see in section 5 that our ansatz (16) for translation between the Eddington-Finkelstein and Fefferman-Graham coordinates will work even when the above is not the case, i.e the metric contains naked singularities. In what follows we will reverse the translation. That is, we will work out the Fefferman-Graham form of the metric exactly upto first order in derivatives first and then find out the Eddington-Finkelstein form of the metric also exactly upto first order in derivatives. We will see that the power series ansatz (16) is consistent for any metric corresponding to an arbitrary hydrodynamic stress tensor.

## 4 The derivative expansion in Fefferman-Graham coordinates

We have already seen that the Fefferman-Graham form of the metric is the ideal one to use if we are asking given a boundary stress tensor what the corresponding solution of Einstein's equations of motion should be. The most general hydrodynamic stress tensor for a conformal fluid (in the Landau gauge) upto first order in derivatives is as below:

$$t_{\mu\nu}(z) = \frac{\eta_{\mu\nu} + 4u_\mu(z)u_\nu(z)}{4b(z)^4} - \frac{\gamma}{2b(z)^3} \sigma_{\mu\nu}(z) \quad (33)$$

with  $\sigma_{\mu\nu}(z)$  given by (31),  $b$  related to the temperature through  $b = 1/\pi T$  and  $\gamma$  an arbitrary constant. However here, unlike in the case of the specific solution (without naked singularities) we considered in the previous section,  $\eta/s = \gamma/4\pi$

and hence is arbitrary. We now ask what would be the corresponding solution for this arbitrary case.

Before we get into this specific case, we will show that we can get some insights into the reverse question from some generally known facts and our previous results given in section 2. We have seen, briefly, at the end of section 2 that the reverse question is ill posed for an “abcd” (asymptotic boundary condition destroying) stress tensor, for which the formal power series (6) for  $g_{\mu\nu}$  has zero radius of convergence in  $\rho$ . One must devise a strategy in which such stress tensors do not appear at all. To this end we may always exploit a general property of solutions of Einstein’s equation that in the long run the solution always becomes stationary. For the moment let us further restrict to those solutions which have no (ADM) angular momentum or any other (ADM) conserved charges (like the R-charge). These will, in the long run, settle down to the known boosted black brane solution (19). Static multi blackbrane like solutions do not appear if we turn off p-form gauge fields, so if more than one black brane are present they eventually will collapse to form a single black brane. A good strategy to recover all solutions will be to perturb around the late-time static black brane and build up all solutions in a systematic derivative expansion. Since any solution would eventually become static (or equilibrate) this strategy should always work at sufficiently late times.

Since the approach to equilibrium can be naturally described by hydrodynamics, one can intuitively expect that the late time behaviour of the solutions will correspond to a hydrodynamic description in terms of the boundary theory *if the equilibrium can be described in terms of a perfect fluid*. The boundary stress tensor of a boosted black brane indeed corresponds to that of a perfect conformal fluid like that of photons in pure QED. Our expectation is indeed borne out by the fact that all solutions in the derivative expansion correspond to a traceless conserved hydrodynamic boundary stress tensor, but with arbitrary number of derivatives. We will see that in the derivative expansion at each order the solutions always have finite radius of convergence away from the boundary, so we can conclude that all hydrodynamic stress tensors are asymptotic boundary condition preserving.

The fact that all hydrodynamic stress tensors preserve the asymptotic AdS boundary condition should have a certain measure of validity even for solutions with net angular momentum. In fact in [18], it has been shown that a large class of rotating black holes in AdS can be described by perfect fluid hydrodynamics. However, we do not know how general the result is. The argument in the previous paragraph shows that for any solution if the hydrodynamic description holds for the stationary solution to which a given solution eventually equilibrates, it should

hold for sufficiently late times as well. So certainly a large class of solutions even in the sector with net angular momentum which can be constructed by perturbing around certain stationary solutions will have a hydrodynamic description at least at late times.

To build up a solution corresponding to an arbitrary hydrodynamic stress tensor, we will work in the Fefferman-Graham coordinate system as we have said before and we will construct the solution exactly order by order in the derivative expansion. To develop the derivative expansion we follow the same method which the authors of [10] followed but now in the Fefferman-Graham coordinate system. In fact, based on the results of section 2, we will see that their method simplifies in these coordinates. We take the boosted black brane solution with  $g_{\mu\nu}$  of the form of (25), but now the ‘‘maximally commuting Goldstone parameters’’ ( $u^\mu, b$ ) are arbitrary functions of  $z$ . We will call this the zeroth order metric  $g_0$  which is no more a solution to Einstein’s equation, so we need to correct this with  $g_1$  which will now depend on the first derivatives of the ‘‘maximally commuting Goldstone parameters’’ ( $u^\mu, b$ ). This correction  $g_1$  can be found substituting  $g = g_0 + g_1$  in our equations of motion (5) and retaining only terms which have no more than one derivative of  $z$ .

The first of the equations of motion (5), i.e the tensor equation gives us a source free linear equation for  $g_1$  which is second order in the derivatives of  $\rho$  and has no  $z$ -derivatives.

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}g_1'' - \frac{3}{2}\frac{g_1'}{\rho} - \frac{1}{2}g_1'g_0^{-1}g_0' - \frac{1}{2}g_0'g_0^{-1}g_1' + \frac{1}{2}g_0'g_0^{-1}g_1g_0^{-1}g_0' \\ & + \frac{1}{2}(Tr(g_0^{-1}g_1') - Tr(g_0^{-1}g_1g_0^{-1}g_0'))\left(\frac{g_0'}{2} - \frac{g_0}{\rho}\right) + \frac{1}{2}Tr(g_0^{-1}g_0')\left(\frac{g_1'}{2} - \frac{g_1}{\rho}\right) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

At the first order in derivative expansion, the only term which can provide a source term is  $\text{Ric}(g)$  since it has no derivatives of  $\rho$ . However  $\text{Ric}(g)$  contains at least two derivatives of  $z$ , so at this order the source vanishes.

At the first order the second of the equations of motion, which is a vector equation gives us the following:

$$\nabla_{0\mu}Tr(g_0^{-1}g_0') - \nabla_0^\nu g_{0\mu\nu}' = 0 \quad (35)$$

where  $\nabla_0$  is the covariant derivative constructed from  $g_0$ . The major simplification which occurs in the Fefferman-Graham coordinates is the general observation in section 2, that this gives us nothing but the conservation of the stress tensor. It may be checked that if we choose to solve this vector fluctuation equation order

by order in powers of  $\rho$ , like we did in section 2, at the leading order we would get  $\partial^\mu t_{0\mu\nu} = 0$ , where  $t_{0\mu\nu}$  is the perfect fluid stress tensor (26) and all the coefficients of the higher powers of  $\rho$  will vanish identically once the leading order condition is imposed. This simplification will happen at every order in the derivative expansion, which means that if  $t_{n-1}$  is the stress tensor upto n-1 th order in the derivative expansion, at the n-th order the second equation will simply imply the conservation of  $t_{n-1}$ .

At the first order in the derivative expansion the third equation of motion vanishes identically. It is easy to see why this will happen. Again we go back to the general observations of section 2. If  $t_{\mu\nu} = t_{0\mu\nu} + t_{1\mu\nu}$  with  $t_{0\mu\nu}$  given by the perfect fluid stress tensor (26) and  $t_{1\mu\nu}$  is the first order correction to the stress tensor satisfying the tracelessness and the Landau gauge  $u^\mu t_{1\mu\nu} = 0$  conditions, then the correction to the coefficients of the power series expansion  $g_{(n)\mu\nu}$  (some of which are listed in (10)) is simply proportional to  $t_{1\mu\nu}$ . The first order derivatives of  $t_{0\mu\nu}$  doesn't appear because, as we have observed the general expressions for  $g_{(n)}$  must contain even number of derivatives of  $t_{0\mu\nu}$ . It follows that the correction to the zeroth order metric,  $g_1$ , is proportional to  $t_1$ . It also follows from the the tracelessness of  $t_1$  and the Landau gauge condition that the third equation vanishes identically as all traces appearing in the equation vanish. We will soon see that, this simplifying feature also, remarkably generalises to all orders in the derivative expansion.

In the Fefferman-Graham coordinates the first order correction to the metric  $g_1$  is, therefore, proportional to the first order correction to the stress tensor which is proportional to  $\sigma_{\mu\nu}$  and therefore  $g_1$  takes the form of  $\gamma' b \sigma_{\mu\nu} f(\rho)$ , where  $\gamma'$  is an arbitrary constant. Substituting this in the tensor equation (34), we find that  $f(\rho)$  satisfies the following differential equation:

$$f'' - f' \frac{(12b^4 - \rho^4)(4b^4 + 3\rho^4)}{\rho(16b^8 - \rho^8)} + f \frac{128\rho^6 b^4}{(4b^4 + \rho^4)(16b^8 - \rho^8)} = 0 \quad (36)$$

We already know that the solution is a power series in  $\rho^4$ , so we change our variable  $\rho$  to  $x = \rho^4$ . The equation now reads

$$f'' - f' \frac{8b^4}{16b^8 - x^2} + f \frac{8b^4}{(4b^4 + x)(16b^8 - x^2)} = 0 \quad (37)$$

The solution of this differential equation which vanishes at the boundary (after

resubstituting  $x$  with  $\rho^4$ )<sup>5</sup> is:

$$\left(1 + \frac{\rho^4}{4b^4}\right) \log\left(\frac{1 - \frac{\rho^4}{4b^4}}{1 + \frac{\rho^4}{4b^4}}\right) \quad (38)$$

The metric in Fefferman-Graham coordinates upto first order then is:

$$ds^2 = \frac{d\rho^2 + g_{\mu\nu}(\rho, z)dz^\mu dz^\nu}{\rho^2}$$

$$g_{\mu\nu}(\rho, z) = \left(1 + \frac{\rho^4}{4b^4}\right)\eta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{4\rho^4}{4b^4 + \rho^4}u_\mu u_\nu + \gamma' b\sigma_{\mu\nu}\left(1 + \frac{\rho^4}{4b^4}\right) \log\left(\frac{1 - \frac{\rho^4}{4b^4}}{1 + \frac{\rho^4}{4b^4}}\right) \quad (39)$$

To read off the stress tensor upto first order, we simply need the  $\rho^4$  term in the Taylor expansion of  $g_{\mu\nu}$ . We get:

$$t_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\eta_{\mu\nu} + 4u_\mu u_\nu}{4b^4} - \frac{\gamma'}{2b^3}\sigma_{\mu\nu} \quad (40)$$

Comparing with (33) we get that we must set  $\gamma' = \gamma$  in the first order metric (39) to get the desired solution corresponding to the boundary stress tensor.

Now we can proceed to examine the higher orders in the derivative expansion. Though we will postpone explicit solutions beyond the first order for a future publication, here we will show that it is trivial to satisfy the vector and scalar constraints at each order in perturbation theory. The tensor equation takes the following form at each order in perturbation theory:

$$D_1 g_{n\mu\nu} + D_2(g_{n\mu\rho}u^\rho u_\nu + g_{n\nu\rho}u^\rho u_\mu) + D_3(g_{n\rho\sigma}u^{\rho\sigma})\eta_{\mu\nu} + D_4(g_{n\rho\sigma}u^{\rho\sigma})u_\mu u_\nu + D_5(g_{n\rho\sigma}u^\rho u^\sigma)\eta_{\mu\nu} + D_6(g_{n\rho\sigma}u^\rho u^\sigma)u_\mu u_\nu = s_{n\mu\nu}(z, \rho) \quad (41)$$

where  $D_1, D_2$ , etc. are linear differential operators involving derivatives in the radial coordinate only and  $s_{n\mu\nu}(z, \rho)$  is the source term which is a (nonlinear) function of the corrections to the metric upto n-1 th order in the derivative expansion. The left hand side of the above equation is in fact the same as in (34) with  $g_1$  replaced by the n-th order correction to the metric  $g_n$ , but now source terms are present on the right hand side. Also the differential operator  $D_1$  is the same as the operator which acts on  $f$  in (36) at every order in the derivative expansion. We

---

<sup>5</sup>The other solution is  $f_2 = 1 + \frac{\rho^4}{4b^4}$

dropped the operators  $D_2, D_3$ , etc. at the first order, i.e. for  $g_1$ , because as we saw the general results of section 2 (equations in (10) for instance) forced it to be proportional to be stress tensor and hence be traceless and vanish when contracted with the four velocity. However, from the second order in the derivative expansion onwards, the general results of section 2 do not imply this to be true for the correction to the metric and in fact the source terms which appear on the right hand side of the equation indeed do not have this property. All the other operators except  $D_1$ , however, involve no more than one derivative in the radial coordinate.

We have to choose a particular solution to the above equation. We can always choose the particular solution to be such that it vanishes at the boundary like  $\rho^6$  so that it doesn't contribute to the stress tensor (as the coefficient of its  $\rho^4$  term vanishes). One can explicitly check this, however, more efficiently we can prove it as follows. The source term for the n-th order correction clearly is determined by various terms of the stress tensor upto n-1 th order, so it follows from the general results of section 2 that the particular solution can be chosen to be independent of  $t_{n\mu\nu}$ , which is the n-th order correction to the stress tensor. In that case the  $\rho^4$  term should be absent. For instance, based on the results like those in (10), we can write down the Taylor series expansion in the radial coordinate for the particular solution for  $g_2$  as below.

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{2\mu\nu} = & -\frac{\rho^6}{12}\square t_{0\mu\nu} + \rho^8\left[\frac{1}{2}t_{1\mu}{}^\rho t_{1\rho\nu} - \frac{1}{24}\eta_{\mu\nu}(t_1^{\rho\sigma} t_{1\rho\sigma})\right] \\
& + \rho^{10}\left[-\frac{1}{24}(t_{0\mu}{}^\alpha \square t_{0\alpha\nu} + t_{0\nu}{}^\alpha \square t_{0\alpha\mu})\right. \\
& + \frac{1}{180}\eta_{\mu\nu}t_0^{\alpha\beta} \square t_{0\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{360}t_0^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu t_{0\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{120}t_0^{\alpha\beta} (\partial_\mu \partial_\alpha t_{0\beta\nu} + \partial_\nu \partial_\alpha t_{0\beta\mu}) \\
& + \frac{1}{60}t_0^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta t_{0\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{180}\partial_\mu t_0^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\nu t_{0\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{720}\eta_{\mu\nu} \partial_\alpha t_0^{\beta\gamma} \partial^\alpha t_{0\beta\gamma} + \frac{1}{120}(\partial_\mu t_0^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha t_{0\beta\nu} + \partial_\nu t_0^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha t_{0\beta\mu}) \\
& \left. - \frac{1}{60}\partial_\alpha t_{0\mu}{}^\beta \partial_\beta t_{0\nu}{}^\alpha\right] + \dots
\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

More generally, the particular solution for  $g_n$  is uniquely determined once we specify that it vanishes at the boundary like  $-(1/12)\rho^6 \square t_{n-2}$ . Then it follows that it is independent of  $t_n$  and doesn't contribute to the stress tensor at the n th order.

Now the particular solution at every order in the derivative expansion should by itself satisfy the scalar constraint. Let us see it explicitly for the particular solution for  $g_2$ . The particular solution chosen to vanish at the boundary like  $-(1/12)\rho^6 \square t_0$  has an expansion of the above form (42). So by this choice, the

coefficients of the Taylor expansion (now fixed by the source) will automatically agree with the general formulae, like those in (10). These general formulae are automatically consistent with the scalar constraint. The scalar constraint also will be a linear differential equation for  $g_n$  with a source term. The source term again is a (nonlinear) function of the corrections to the metric upto n-1 th order in the derivative expansion. The particular solution by itself will satisfy this equation. So the homogenous solution of the tensor equation for  $g_n$  must also be a homogenous solution of the scalar constraint.

The homogenous solution of the tensor equation for  $g_n$  which will be consistent with the scalar constraint is simply  $-2b^4 f(\rho)t_{n\mu\nu}$ , with  $f(\rho)$  being given by (38) and  $t_{n\mu\nu}$  being an arbitrarily chosen correction to the hydrodynamic stress tensor involving n derivatives of the field theory coordinates z. However  $t_{n\mu\nu}$  must be traceless and also satisfy the Landau gauge condition. Let us illustrate again by explicitly doing the Taylor series expansion of the homogenous solution to  $g_2$  which is  $-2b^4 f(\rho)t_{2\mu\nu}$ . The Taylor expansion is as below:

$$g_{2\mu\nu} = t_{2\mu\nu}(\rho^4 + \frac{\rho^8}{4b^4} + \frac{\rho^{12}}{48b^8} + \dots) \quad (43)$$

Using the tracelessness and Landau gauge condition for  $t_2$ , one can check from the general formulae like those in (10) that this is just the part of the metric determined by  $t_2$  at the second order. Hence this should be the only homogenous solution that is consistent with the scalar constraint. Similarly at each order one can see that the part of the solution for  $g_n$  which contains  $t_n$  is proportional to  $t_n$  and since the particular solution by choice contains all other terms, the homogenous solution should be always proportional to  $t_n$ . Then the tensor equation fixes the radial part of the homogeneous solution so that it should be  $-2b^4 f(\rho)t_{n\mu\nu}$ .

The vector constraint, at the n-th order in the derivative expansion, as we have argued before simply implies the conservation of the stress tensor upto n-1 th order.

To summarize, these are the features of the derivative expansion in the Fefferman-Graham coordinates.

- At every order in the derivative expansion, the tensor equation for  $g_n$  is a linear differential equation of the form of (41) involving derivatives in the radial coordinate only. The operators  $D_1, D_2$ , etc are the same at every order, while the source term  $s_n$  is a nonlinear function of the various corrections to the metric upto n-1 th order.

- The particular solution to the tensor equation for  $g_n$  can be chosen to vanish at the boundary like  $-(1/12)\rho^6\Box t_{n-2}$ . With this choice the particular solution automatically satisfies the scalar constraint.
- The homogenous solution to the tensor equation which is consistent with the scalar constraint is  $-2b^4 f(\rho)t_{n\mu\nu}$  at very order, with  $f$  being given by (38) and  $t_{n\mu\nu}$  being an arbitrary  $n$  th order correction to the stress tensor which satisfy the tracelessness and the Landau gauge condition conditions.
- The vector constraint at the  $n$ -th order just implies the conservation of  $n-1$  th order stress tensor.
- We can keep manifest Lorentz covariance at each order in the derivative expansion.
- We can construct a solution corresponding to an arbitrary stress tensor because the homogenous solution of the tensor equation for  $g_n$  at the  $n$ -th order is simply proportional to an arbitrarily chosen  $n$ -th order correction to the stress tensor. *At every order in the derivative expansion for any choice of the hydrodynamic stress tensor, the solution has finite radius of convergence away from the boundary, so all hydrodynamic stress tensors preserve the asymptotic AdS boundary condition.*

## 5 Getting rid of naked singularities

The comparative advantage of solving Einstein's equation of pure gravity in Fefferman-Graham coordinates in the derivative expansion over doing the same in Eddington-Finkelstein coordinate system is that the constraints simplify dramatically and also we do not need to split the terms into tensors, vectors and scalars of  $SO(3)$ , thus preserving manifest Lorentz covariance. The comparative disadvantage of the Fefferman-Graham coordinate system is that the regularity analysis is not straightforward. At the first order in the derivative expansion, the metric in Fefferman-Graham coordinates (39) has a singularity at  $\rho = \sqrt{2}b$ . This is the location of the horizon at the zeroth order and the zeroth order metric itself is not invertible here. The first order perturbation has a *log* piece which also blows up here. This singularity could be just a coordinate singularity in which case it could be removed by going to a different coordinate system as it happened for the boosted black brane, or it could be a real singularity. If it is a real singularity, it is naked



because it coincides with the original horizon at late time. At late times the solution approaches a boosted black brane but since the horizon coincides with a real singularity we cannot analytically continue spacetime beyond it.

To analyse the singularity in the Fefferman-Graham coordinates we will simply translate the metric to Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates  $(r, x)$ . It will be of course suffice to change our coordinates near  $\rho = 1/b$ , however, for the sake of completeness and better general understanding we will do the change of coordinates exactly upto first order in the derivative expansion. The Eddington-Finkelstein metric which we will get as a result of this translation will also be an exact solution of Einstein's equation upto first order in  $x$ -derivatives. We now return to the equations (15) in section 3 which gives the translation between the two coordinate systems. We still treat the Fefferman-Graham coordinates  $(\rho(x, r), z^\mu(x, r))$  as unknowns, but the third unknown is now the  $G_{\mu\nu}(x, r)$  which appears in the Eddington-Finkelstein metric (11). The zeroth order solutions to these three are known and are given in (19) and (24). To find the corrected solutions due to change in the Fefferman-Graham metric at first order it is straightforward to perturb these equations and solve them exactly at first order. The complete solutions to the three unknowns exact upto first order are:

$$\rho = \frac{\sqrt{2}b}{\sqrt{b^2r^2 + \sqrt{b^4r^4 - 1}}}(1 + bk(br)\frac{\partial.u}{3})$$

$$z^\mu = x^\mu + u^\mu bk(br) + u^\mu \frac{\partial.u}{3} b^2 k_A(br) + (u.\partial)u^\mu b^2 k_B(br) \quad (44)$$

$$G_{\mu\nu} = r^2 P_{\mu\nu} + (-r^2 + \frac{1}{b^4 r^2})u_\mu u_\nu + 2r^2 b F(br)\sigma_{\mu\nu} - r((u.\partial)(u_\mu u_\nu) - \frac{2}{3}u_\mu u_\nu(\partial.u)) + \frac{(\gamma - 1)b}{4}r^2 \log(1 - \frac{1}{b^4 r^4})\sigma_{\mu\nu}$$

where,

$$k(x) = \frac{1}{4}(\log(\frac{x+1}{x-1}) - 2\arctan(x) + \pi) \quad (45)$$

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{4}(\log(\frac{(x+1)^2(x^2+1)}{x^4}) - 2\arctan(x) + \pi)$$

and  $k_A(x), k_B(x)$  satisfy the following differential equations

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dk_A}{dx} &= -\frac{x^2}{x^4-1}\left(k(x) + \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^4-1}}\right) \\ \frac{dk_B}{dx} &= \frac{1}{x\sqrt{x^4-1}} - \frac{k(x)x^2}{x^4-1}\end{aligned}\tag{46}$$

with the boundary condition that they vanish at  $x = \infty$ . One may easily check that if we do the Taylor series expansion of  $\rho, z^\mu$  in  $1/r$ , we can reproduce the results (29) of section 3 in which we have solved these equations using a power series ansatz.

The crucial point, as realized by authors of [10] is that in the Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates if there is a blow-up in  $G_{\mu\nu}(x, r)$  it should be a real singularity. For a general conformal fluid at first order with  $\eta/s = \gamma/4\pi$ , the corresponding solution in Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates has  $G_{\mu\nu}(x, r)$  given by (44). Except for the *log* term which appears in the last line, all other terms are well behaved for  $r > 0$  and the *log* term blows up at  $r = 1/b$ , the location of the unperturbed black brane horizon. Only when  $\gamma = 1$ , the coefficient of the *log* term vanishes and so the naked singularity at  $r = 1/b$  is absent. For this value of  $\gamma$  we have in fact reproduced the  $G_{\mu\nu}$  of the Eddington-Finkelstein metric given by the authors of [10].

We learn the following general facts. The translation to Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates exists for an arbitrary solution in the Fefferman-Graham coordinates irrespective of whether there is any naked singularity or not. Also the Fefferman-Graham coordinates have a power series expansion in terms of the inverse of the radial Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates for all cases. For all cases, the change of coordinates also become singular at the location of the original horizon in the Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates which is  $r = 1/b$ .

We can continue the regularity analysis to higher orders in the derivative expansion by solving the equations (15) for translating the solution from the Fefferman-Graham coordinates to Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates order by order in the derivative expansion as well. In this way at each order we will be able to determine what values the coefficients in the terms of the hydrodynamic stress tensor should have so that a naked singularity is avoided. It would be interesting to see if we can understand the values of these coefficients of the hydrodynamic stress tensor, more directly in terms of the geometry of the unperturbed boosted black brane horizon.

## 6 Discussion

We will conclude with some comments on the implications of our results for dynamics in the universal sector of CFT. Our first result is that a solution of pure classical gravity is uniquely specified by the stress tensor. This implies that the dynamics of all states in the universal sector of the dual CFT at strong coupling and large  $N$  is completely determined by the conservation of the traceless stress tensor. The implication for dynamics on the CFT side is even more surprising than the result for classical theory of gravity itself. It is surprising because to characterise a state uniquely we would typically need the expectation values of infinite number of operators. However, it is not hard to give an example of a special sector of states with this property in a 2D CFT. These special states are spanned by  $L_n|VAC\rangle$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) and are created by descendents of the identity operator ( $L_n$ ), with  $n \geq 2$ , acting on the vacuum. Each such state is uniquely characterised by the  $L_0$  eigenvalue  $n$ , hence by the expectation value of the stress tensor  $T(z)$ . Moreover each state  $L_n|VAC\rangle$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) being an eigenvector of the Hamiltonian, the sector spanned by these states is closed under time evolution. It would be interesting to find such examples of class of states in CFTs in higher dimensions where the expectation value of the stress tensor uniquely identifies each member and moreover is closed under time evolution. The real question, however is, whether we can give an intrinsic microscopic description of the universal sector of CFTs with gravity duals. If we can achieve this, we will be able to understand better how the vev of the stress tensor and its conservation alone determines the dynamics in the universal sector completely.

Our second set of results are (a) all hydrodynamic stress tensors are free of the “abcd” type of pathology, which means that they preserve the asymptotic AdS boundary condition and (b) there is a unique hydrodynamic stress tensor for which there is no naked singularity. This means that the late time equilibration in the boundary CFT can be determined by a unique and universal hydrodynamic stress tensor. The coefficients of the terms should be set to values which avoid formation of naked singularities in the bulk. It would be interesting to find out an intrinsic microscopic definition for the higher order coefficients of the hydrodynamic stress tensor, in terms of say, multi point correlations of the stress tensor. The first order coefficient, namely the viscosity has indeed such a definition in terms of two-point correlation function of the stress tensor and the validity of the definition can be verified by the AdS/CFT correspondence as well. So we may hope that a pure gravity analysis should suffice to arrive at similar definitions for the higher order coefficients in the hydrodynamic stress tensor.

**Acknowledgments:** We would like to thank Shiraz Minwalla, Romuald A. Janik, Rajesh Gopakumar, Ashoke Sen, Sayantani Bhattacharya, Nabamita Banerjee and R. Loganayagam for various useful discussions which stimulated our work. We would like to thank Rajesh Gopakumar, in particular, for taking interest in our work from the very beginning and also for scrutinising the first draft. We would also like to thank TIFR and ICTS for inviting us to the Monsoon Workshop on String Theory where we got the opportunity to discuss our work with those we have mentioned before. Finally we would like to thank the people of India for generously supporting research in fundamental physics.

## References

- [1] J. M. Maldacena, “The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity,” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2**, 231 (1998) [*Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **38**, 1113 (1999)] [arXiv:hep-th/9711200].
- [2] E. Witten, “Anti-de Sitter space and holography,” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2**, 253 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9802150].
- [3] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, “Gauge theory correlators from non-critical string theory,” *Phys. Lett. B* **428**, 105 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9802109].
- [4] C. Fefferman and C. Robin Graham, “Conformal Invariants” in *Elie Cartan et les Mathématiques d’aujourd’hui* (Astérisque, 1985)95.
- [5] S. de Haro, S. N. Solodukhin and K. Skenderis, “Holographic reconstruction of spacetime and renormalization in the AdS/CFT *Commun. Math. Phys.* **217**, 595 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0002230].
- [6] J. D. Brown and M. Henneaux, “Central Charges in the Canonical Realization of Asymptotic Symmetries: An *Commun. Math. Phys.* **104**, 207 (1986).
- [7] R. Penrose and W. Rindler, “SPINORS AND SPACE-TIME. VOL. 2: SPINOR AND TWISTOR METHODS IN SPACE-TIME, *Cambridge, Uk: Univ. Pr. ( 1986) 501p*, chapter 9

- [8] A. Sen, “Universality of the tachyon potential,” JHEP **9912**, 027 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9911116].
- [9] K. Skenderis, “Lecture notes on holographic renormalization,” Class. Quant. Grav. **19**, 5849 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0209067].
- [10] S. Bhattacharyya, V. E. Hubeny, S. Minwalla and M. Rangamani, “Nonlinear Fluid Dynamics from Gravity,” JHEP **0802**, 045 (2008) [arXiv:0712.2456 [hep-th]].
- [11] S. Bhattacharyya *et al.*, “Local Fluid Dynamical Entropy from Gravity,” JHEP **0806**, 055 (2008) [arXiv:0803.2526 [hep-th]].
- [12] R. Loganayagam, “Entropy Current in Conformal Hydrodynamics,” JHEP **0805**, 087 (2008) [arXiv:0801.3701 [hep-th]].
- [13] M. P. Heller and R. A. Janik, “Viscous hydrodynamics relaxation time from AdS/CFT,” Phys. Rev. D **76**, 025027 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0703243].
- [14] R. A. Janik and R. B. Peschanski, “Asymptotic perfect fluid dynamics as a consequence of AdS/CFT,” Phys. Rev. D **73**, 045013 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0512162].
- [15] V. Balasubramanian and P. Kraus, “A stress tensor for anti-de Sitter gravity,” Commun. Math. Phys. **208**, 413 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9902121].
- [16] S. Dutta, “Higher Derivative Corrections to Locally Black Brane Metrics,” JHEP **0805**, 082 (2008) [arXiv:0804.2453 [hep-th]].
- [17] S. Kinoshita, S. Mukohyama, S. Nakamura and K. y. Oda, “A Holographic Dual of Bjorken Flow,” arXiv:0807.3797 [hep-th].
- [18] S. Bhattacharyya, S. Lahiri, R. Loganayagam and S. Minwalla, “Large rotating AdS black holes from fluid mechanics,” JHEP **0809**, 054 (2008) [arXiv:0708.1770 [hep-th]].
- [19] R. G. Cai, “Gauss-Bonnet black holes in AdS spaces,” Phys. Rev. D **65**, 084014 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0109133].