

# GENERIC BOUNDS FOR FROBENIUS CLOSURE AND TIGHT CLOSURE

HOLGER BRENNER AND HELENA FISCHBACHER-WEITZ

Mathematical Subject Classification (1991): 13A35; 13D40; 14J60

ABSTRACT. We use geometric and cohomological methods to show that given a degree bound for membership in ideals of a fixed degree type in the polynomial ring  $P = k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ , one obtains a good generic degree bound for membership in the tight closure of an ideal of that degree type in any standard-graded  $k$ -algebra  $R$  of dimension  $d$ . This indicates that the tight closure of an ideal behaves more uniformly than the ideal itself. Moreover, if  $R$  is normal, one obtains a general bound for membership in the Frobenius closure. If the dimension  $d \leq 3$ , then the bound for ideal membership in  $P$  can be computed from the known cases of the Fröberg conjecture and yields explicit generic tight closure bounds.

## INTRODUCTION

Let  $P = k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  be a standard-graded polynomial ring over a field  $k$  and let  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  be natural numbers. For a family  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  of homogeneous polynomials of degree  $\deg(f_i) = a_i$  we look at the ideal  $I = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ . The Fröberg conjecture, which has been proved in dimension  $d \leq 3$ , claims that the Hilbert function

$$m \mapsto H(m) = \dim_k P_m / (f_1, \dots, f_n)$$

has an easy description given by the coefficients of a certain power series defined by the degrees  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ , provided that the  $f_i$  are chosen generically. In particular, this conjecture gives for  $n \geq d$  an implicitly defined degree bound  $m_0$  for ideal membership (depending only on the degrees  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ ), by which we mean that  $P_{\geq m_0} \subseteq (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ . This degree bound is the smallest number where the predicted generic Hilbert function vanishes.

Now let  $R$  be any standard-graded  $k$ -algebra of dimension  $d$ . Does there exist a similar generic degree bound for ideal membership? Already the parameter case ( $n = d$ ) shows that there is no such degree bound which is independent of  $R$ . In contrast, we will show that if we look at the tight closure of the ideal instead, then there does exist a generic degree bound, depending only on the dimension and the degrees  $a_i$ . If  $m_0$  is the generic degree bound in the polynomial ring, then  $m_0 + d - 1$  is a generic tight closure bound for all standard-graded  $k$ -algebras of this dimension over a field of positive characteristic (see Theorem 4.2 for the exact statement). This

means that the containment in the tight closure behaves more uniformly than the containment in the ideal. This is in stark contrast to the often expressed opinion that tight closure is difficult to compute and behaves mysteriously.

We briefly recall the notion of tight closure. The theory of tight closure has been developed by Hochster and Huneke since 1986 (see [12, 13, 14]). It assigns to every ideal  $I$  in a Noetherian ring containing a field an ideal  $I^* \supseteq I$ , the tight closure of  $I$ . In positive characteristic, tight closure and the related notion of Frobenius closure are defined as follows.

**Definition 1.** Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring containing a field of characteristic  $p > 0$ , and let  $I = (f_1, \dots, f_n) \subseteq R$  be an ideal. Let

$$\begin{aligned} I^{[q]} &:= (f_1^q, \dots, f_n^q) \subseteq R \text{ for } q = p^e, e \in \mathbb{N} \\ I^F &:= \{x \in R : x^q \in I^{[q]} \text{ for some } q = p^e\} \\ I^* &:= \{x \in R : \exists u \notin \text{min. prime} : ux^q \in I^{[q]} \text{ for almost all } q = p^e\} \end{aligned}$$

$I^F$  is called the *Frobenius closure* of  $I$  and  $I^*$  is called the *tight closure* of  $I$ .

In a regular ring, like a polynomial ring, every ideal is tightly closed (i.e.  $I = I^*$ ), and it is an important feature of this theory that we can often generalize statements about ideal membership in regular rings to non-regular rings if we replace the ideal by its tight closure. A typical example is the tight closure version of the Briançon-Skoda theorem [14], Theorem 5.7. Our results strongly support this principle. Here the general tight closure result follows from the regular ideal result by semicontinuity and by cohomological vanishing conditions.

We now give a rough outline of the main steps in our proof ( $d \geq 2$ ).

- (1) We choose a homogeneous Noether normalization  $P \subseteq R$  and choose  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in P$  for which the degree bound  $m_0$  holds. This is directly related to the shape of a minimal resolution of the ideal  $(g_1, \dots, g_n)$  over  $P$ , and in particular to the Betti numbers in the last free module of this (finite) resolution.
- (2) The pull-back of this resolution to  $R$  is still exact on the punctured spectrum and on  $Y = \text{Proj } R$ . Under the condition that  $m \geq m_0 + d - 1$ , the twists in the last (splitting) syzygy bundle  $\text{Syz}_{d-1}(m)$  on  $Y$  are all non-negative.
- (3) This means that a certain “tight closure”-cohomology condition is true for  $H^{d-1}(Y, \text{Syz}_{d-1}(m))$ . This is an instance of the philosophy that top-dimensional cohomology classes of non-negative degree are “tightly zero”. By “cohomology hopping” this implies the same cohomological property for  $\text{Syz}_1(m)$ .
- (4) In order to “deform away” from  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in P$  to more general elements  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in R$  (of the same degree type) we consider the whole situation over a parametrizing space whose points determine the coefficients of the elements. One can apply semicontinuity for

cohomology of a flat sheaf (namely the first syzygy sheaf) over a projective morphism to our cohomological property. Therefore this property holds for generic choice.

- (5) Finally, the cohomological property implies that all elements of degree  $m$  belong to the tight closure.

Basically the same argument shows for an ideal  $I$  generated by general elements that  $R_{\geq m_0+d}$  (one degree more than the tight closure bound) belongs to the Frobenius closure  $I^F$  (provided that  $R$  is normal) and that  $R_{\geq m_0+d+a(R)} \subseteq I$ , where  $a(R)$  denotes the so-called  $a$ -invariant of  $R$  (provided that  $R$  is Cohen-Macaulay).

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 1, as a warm-up, we prove the results for zero- and one-dimensional rings directly. In Section 2, we recall the geometric approach to tight closure in terms of vector bundles which has been developed by the first author in [2], [3] and [4]. We formulate cohomological properties for syzygy bundles which imply containment in the tight closure (Lemma 2.1) and Frobenius closure (Lemma 2.2). In Section 3, we describe a projective morphism over a parametrizing base space which brings all possible choices of  $R_+$ -primary generators and their first syzygy bundle together. To this family we can apply upper semicontinuity. The first result of Section 4 is that an ideal inclusion bound for  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in P$  yields a tight closure inclusion bound for these elements in  $R$ , where  $P \subseteq R$  is a Noether normalization. Our main theorem, Theorem 4.2, extends this by semicontinuity to generic elements of  $R$  and gives the mentioned generic degree bounds for tight closure, Frobenius closure and the ideal itself. These bounds are the best possible in this generality, as the parameter case already shows.

In Section 5, we give some explicit bounds for ideal inclusion in polynomial rings. For this we compute the smallest zero of the generic Hilbert function in the cases where the Fröberg conjecture is known. In particular, we give explicit bounds in the three-dimensional case and in the almost parameter case ( $n = d+1$ ). Together with the results of the previous sections, these yield explicit generic bounds for tight closure and Frobenius closure. In Section 6, we show that the Frobenius bound may be improved by one degree provided that the first syzygy bundle is strongly semistable and some other numerical conditions hold. In the last section, we compare our bound to previously known bounds, and how they behave asymptotically.

**Acknowledgements.** This research is funded by the EPSRC first grant scheme “Tight closure and strong semistability of vector bundles in higher dimensions”. In order to understand the behaviour of the Fröberg function and to find its zeros, it has been very helpful to have the computer algebra packages *Axiom* and *CoCoA* as well as a *Lisp* program by Thomas Fischbacher which, among other things, computed a conjectural formula for the smallest positive zero using continued fractions. We would like to thank Almar Kaid

for his very useful implementation of a semistability test in *CoCoA* and for his help with the *CoCoA* computations in Example 6.3. We also thank Axel Stabler and Ramesh Satkurunath for useful comments.

## 1. LOW DIMENSIONAL CASES

In this section, we deal with rings of dimension zero or one. This section stands apart from the rest of the paper as the methods applied here are elementary and non-geometric. The reader may therefore skip this section without impairing the comprehensibility of the rest.

**1.1. Zero dimensional rings.** Let  $k$  be any field of positive characteristic, and let  $R$  be a standard-graded  $k$ -algebra of dimension zero. Then every prime ideal in  $R$  is maximal. However, the only maximal ideal in  $R$  is the irrelevant ideal  $R_+$ , since  $R_+$  is the only *homogeneous* maximal ideal and every maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  is equal to the (prime) ideal generated by the homogeneous elements of  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Hence every element  $f \in R_+$  is nilpotent and so  $I^* = I^F = R_+$  for every ideal  $I \subseteq R_+$ . Therefore we get  $R_m \subseteq I^*$  for all  $m \geq 1$ . This is in particular true for the zero ideal, which is generated by the empty set.

**1.2. One dimensional rings.** In the case of dimension one we restrict to one ideal generator, since more generators do not improve the bounds.

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $R$  be a standard-graded  $k$ -algebra of dimension one over any field  $k$ , and let  $f$  be a general element of degree  $a$  of  $R$ . Then there exists  $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $R_{\beta a + \gamma} \subseteq (f^\beta)$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $R$  as a quotient of a polynomial ring  $k[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ . From an elementary calculation starting with integral equations for the  $x_i$  over the subring  $k[f]$ , one obtains the desired result.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.2.** *Let  $R$  be a standard-graded algebra of dimension one over a field  $k$  of positive characteristic. Let  $f$  be a general element of  $R$  of degree  $a$ , and let  $I := (f) \subseteq R$ . Then  $R_{a+1} \subseteq I^F$  and  $R_a \subseteq I^*$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $g \in R_{a+1}$ . Choose  $e \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $q = p^e \geq \gamma$  with the  $\gamma$  from the Lemma above. Then  $g^q$  has degree  $q(a+1) = qa + q \geq qa + \gamma$ . By the Lemma, we have  $g \in (f^q) = I^{[q]}$  and hence  $g \in I^F$ .

Let now  $g \in R_a$ . Let  $u \in R$  be of degree  $\geq \gamma$ ,  $u \notin$  minimal prime. Then for  $q = p^e > 0$ ,  $\deg(ug^q) \geq \gamma + qa$ . By the Lemma, this implies  $ug^q \in I^{[q]}$  for all  $q > 0$  and thus  $g \in I^*$ .  $\square$

2. COHOMOLOGICAL VANISHING CONDITIONS FOR TIGHT CLOSURE AND  
 FOR FROBENIUS CLOSURE

In this section, we recall the cohomological interpretation of Frobenius closure and tight closure. The methods presented in this section will be used later to find degree bounds for the Frobenius closure and tight closure of a general primary ideal in a standard-graded ring of dimension at least two.

Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p > 0$ , and let  $R$  be a normal,  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded, finitely generated  $k$ -algebra of dimension  $d \geq 2$ . Set  $t := d - 1$ . Assume further that the  $t$ -dimensional projective variety  $Y := \text{Proj } R$  is covered by the open subsets  $D_+(x)$  with  $x \in R_1$  (this holds in particular if  $R$  is standard-graded, i.e. if it is finitely generated by  $R_1$  as an  $R_0$ -algebra). Let  $I = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$  be a homogeneous  $R_+$ -primary ideal (i.e.  $D(I) = D(R_+)$ ). A homogeneous free complex which is exact on the punctured spectrum  $D(R_+)$  (for example, a resolution)

$$\cdots \longrightarrow R^{n_3} \longrightarrow R^{n_2} \longrightarrow R^n \xrightarrow{f_1, \dots, f_n} R \longrightarrow R/I \longrightarrow 0$$

induces an exact complex of sheaves on  $Y = \text{Proj } R$ :

$$(1) \quad \cdots \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n_2} \mathcal{O}_Y(-\alpha_{2,i}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_Y(-\alpha_{1,i}) \xrightarrow{f_1, \dots, f_n} \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow 0$$

where the  $\alpha_{1,i}$  are the degrees of the  $f_i$  (the term  $R/I$  on the right becomes 0).

Let  $\text{Syz}_j := \text{Syz}_j(f_1, \dots, f_n)$  be the image and kernel in the  $j$ -th term, such that we have short exact sequences of sheaves for  $j = 1$ ,

$$(2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \text{Syz}_1 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_Y(-\alpha_{1,i}) \xrightarrow{f_1, \dots, f_n} \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow 0,$$

and for  $j = 2, \dots, t$ ,

$$(3) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \text{Syz}_j \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n_j} \mathcal{O}_Y(-\alpha_{j,i}) \longrightarrow \text{Syz}_{j-1} \longrightarrow 0.$$

The covering property  $Y = \bigcup_{x \in R_1} D_+(x)$  implies that  $\mathcal{O}_Y(\ell)$  is locally free for all  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$  (see the proof of [11], II.5.12); it follows inductively that the sheaves  $\text{Syz}_j$  are locally free, since they are kernels of surjective homomorphisms between locally free sheaves. The first syzygy bundle  $\text{Syz}_1$  and the ‘‘last’’ syzygy bundle  $\text{Syz}_t$  are most important.

Let now  $f \in R_m$ , which can be identified with  $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(m))$  since  $R$  is normal and of dimension  $\geq 2$ . We define inductively cohomology classes  $c_j \in H^j(Y, \text{Syz}_j(m))$  as follows. For  $j = 1$ , consider the cohomology sequence associated to the  $m$ -twist of (2) and set  $c_1 := \delta^0(f) \in H^1(Y, \text{Syz}_1(m))$ . For  $j = 2, \dots, t$ , consider the cohomology sequence associated to the  $m$ -twist of (3) and set  $c_j := \delta^{j-1}(c_{j-1}) \in H^j(Y, \text{Syz}_j(m))$ .

If  $R$  is Cohen-Macaulay, then  $H^j(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(\ell)) = 0$  for  $j = 1, \dots, t - 1$  and all  $\ell$  and hence  $f \in I$  if and only if  $c_j = 0$  for some (or all)  $j = 1, \dots, t$ . Even

without this assumption the containment in the Frobenius closure and in the tight closure can be expressed in terms of these cohomology classes.

Let  $F : Y \rightarrow Y$  denote the absolute Frobenius morphism. If  $c$  is a class in  $H^j(Y, \mathcal{S})$  for some locally free sheaf  $\mathcal{S}$  and some  $j > 0$ , and if  $q = p^e$  with  $e \in \mathbb{N}$ , then we write  $c^q$  for the image of  $c$  under the Frobenius pullback  $F^{e*} : H^j(Y, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow H^j(Y, F^{e*}\mathcal{S})$ . The next two lemmas describe two cohomological vanishing conditions for syzygy bundles which imply tight closure and Frobenius containments.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\ell$  be such that  $\ell \geq 0$  and  $H^j(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(\ell')) = 0$  for  $j = 1, \dots, t$  and for all  $\ell' \geq \ell$  (such  $\ell$  always exists, see [11], Theorem III.5.2). Let  $m \geq \alpha_{j,i}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, t$  and  $i = 1, \dots, n_j$ , where  $\alpha_{j,i}$  are the twists appearing in (1). Fix  $j$ , let  $f \in R_m$ , and let  $c_j \in H^j(Y, \text{Syz}_j(m))$  be the cohomology class defined above.*

- (a) *Let  $e \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $q = p^e$ . Let  $u \in R_{\ell'}$ ,  $\ell' \geq \ell$ ,  $u \notin$  minimal prime. Then we have  $uc_j^q = 0$  if and only if  $uf^q \in I^{[q]}$ .*
- (b) *Suppose there exists  $\ell_0 \geq \ell$  such that  $H^j(Y, F^{e*}(\text{Syz}_j(m)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(\ell')) = 0$  for all  $e \gg 0$  and all  $\ell' \geq \ell_0$ . Then  $R_m \subseteq I^*$ .*

*Proof.* (a). We start with (2) and (3), take the  $m$ -twist, then the  $e$ -th Frobenius pullback, then the  $\ell'$ -twist (the sequences remain exact because the sheaves are locally free) and finally we take cohomology. From (2) we thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \dots &\longrightarrow \bigoplus_i H^0\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(q(m - \alpha_{1,i}) + \ell')\right) \xrightarrow{f_1^q, \dots, f_n^q} H^0\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(qm + \ell')\right) \\ &\xrightarrow{\delta^0} H^1\left(Y, (F^{e*}(\text{Syz}_j(m))) (\ell')\right) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_i H^1\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(q(m - \alpha_{1,i}) + \ell')\right) \longrightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

and for  $j = 2, \dots, t$  we get from (3),

$$\begin{aligned} \dots &\longrightarrow \bigoplus_i H^{j-1}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(q(m - \alpha_{j,i}) + \ell')\right) \longrightarrow H^{j-1}\left(Y, (F^{e*}(\text{Syz}_{j-1}(m))) (\ell')\right) \\ &\xrightarrow{\delta^{j-1}} H^j\left(Y, (F^{e*}(\text{Syz}_j(m))) (\ell')\right) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_i H^j\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(q(m - \alpha_{j,i}) + \ell')\right) \longrightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

By the assumptions made on  $\ell'$  and  $m$ , we have

$$H^j(\mathcal{O}_Y(q(m - \alpha_{j,i}) + \ell')) = 0$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, t$ , so  $\delta^{j-1}$  is surjective for  $j = 1$ , bijective for  $j = 2, \dots, t-1$  and injective for  $j = t$ . Hence  $uc_j^q = 0$  if and only if  $uc_t^q = 0$  if and only if  $0 = uc_1^q = \delta^0(uf^q)$ , i.e. if and only if  $uf^q \in \text{im}(f_1^q, \dots, f_n^q) = I^{[q]}$ .

(b). It is easy to find  $\ell' \geq \ell_0$  and  $u \in R_{\ell'}$ ,  $u \notin$  minimal prime. Because of  $H^j(Y, F^{e*}(\text{Syz}_j(m)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(\ell')) = 0$  for  $e \gg 0$  we have  $uc_j^q = 0$  for almost all

$q = p^e$ . Therefore part (a) implies that  $uf^q \in I^{[q]}$  for almost all  $q$ , and hence  $f \in I^*$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $m > \alpha_{j,i}$  for all  $j, i$ , where  $\alpha_{j,i}$  are the Betti numbers from (1). Fix  $j \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ . If  $H^j(Y, F^{e*}(\text{Syz}_j(m))) = 0$  for  $e \gg 0$ , then  $R_m \subseteq I^F$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $H^j(Y, F^{e*}(\text{Syz}_j(m))) = 0$  for  $e \gg 0$ . Choose  $e$  big enough such that this cohomology group vanishes and such that  $p^e(m - \alpha_{j,i}) \geq \ell$  for all  $i, j$ , where  $\ell$  is the number from Lemma 2.1. Then for  $f \in R_m$  and the associated cohomology classes  $c_j$ , we have  $c_j^q = 0$  for  $q = p^e$ . Then  $f^q \in I^{[q]}$  by the cohomology sequences used in the proof of Lemma 2.1(a). Hence  $R_m \subseteq I^F$ .  $\square$

### 3. SEMICONTINUITY ARGUMENT

We want to show that an ideal inclusion in a polynomial ring  $P$  in  $d$  variables induces a tight closure inclusion for generic ideal generators in a standard-graded  $k$ -algebra  $R$  of dimension  $d$ . In this section we make precise what we mean by generic and we describe a construction where we can apply the semicontinuity theorem for projective morphisms. Note that  $R$  is not assumed to be normal in this section.

**Construction 3.1.** Let  $R$  be a standard-graded  $k$ -algebra of dimension  $d$  and fix a degree type  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ ,  $n \geq d$ . Let  $R = k[y_1, \dots, y_m]/\mathfrak{a}$  be a homogeneous representation of  $R$ . For a given degree  $a$  we may consider the element  $G$  with indetermined coefficients,

$$G = \sum_{\nu: \nu_1 + \dots + \nu_m = a} W_{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_m} y_1^{\nu_1} \cdots y_m^{\nu_m} \in k[W_\nu] \otimes_k R.$$

For each  $a_i$  we construct the corresponding indetermined polynomial  $G_i$  with new indeterminates. Let  $N$  be the number of all indeterminates, and let  $\mathbb{A}_k^N$  be the corresponding affine space. Hence a  $k$ -rational point of  $\mathbb{A}_k^N$  parametrizes (in a non-unique way) a choice of elements  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  of given degree type by determining each of its coefficients. Let  $Z \subseteq \mathbb{A}_k^N$  be the open (!) non-empty subset which parametrizes  $R_+$ -primary choices.

**Definition 3.2.** We consider statements about objects which depend on choices of  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  and hence on the parametrizing space  $Z$  (or  $\mathbb{A}_k^N$ ).

- (a) A statement will be said to hold for *general* ideal generators if it holds for all rational points in a Zariski-open non-empty subset of  $Z$ .
- (b) A statement will be said to hold in the *generic point*  $\eta \in Z$  if it holds for the indetermined polynomials  $G_1, \dots, G_n$  in  $k(W_{i\nu}) \otimes_k R$ .
- (c) A statement will be said to hold *generically* if it holds in the intersection of countably many non-empty open subsets of  $Z$ .

The statements we are most interested in are “ $R_m \subseteq (f_1, \dots, f_n)^F$ ” and “ $R_m \subseteq (f_1, \dots, f_n)^*$ ”. If  $k$  is an infinite field (we are assuming that  $k$  is algebraically closed), then any non-empty Zariski-open subset of  $Z$  contains  $k$ -rational points. If  $k$  is uncountably infinite, then the intersection of countably many open non-empty subsets of  $Z$  contains rational points (proof by induction on the dimension).

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $Y = \text{Proj } R$  and consider the projection  $X = Y \times_k Z \rightarrow Z$ . Let  $\underline{\text{Syz}}_1 = \text{Syz}_1(G_1, \dots, G_n)$  be the first syzygy bundle on  $X$  given by the indetermined polynomials  $G_i$  of the given degree type. Let  $z \in Z$  be a  $k$ -rational point with corresponding ideal generators  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in R$ . Then the following hold.*

- (a) *The sheaf  $\underline{\text{Syz}}_1$  is locally free on  $X$  and flat over  $Z$ .*
- (b) *The fiber  $(\underline{\text{Syz}}_1)_z$  over  $Y = X_z$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Syz}_1(f_1, \dots, f_n)$ .*
- (c) *If  $H^1(Y, F^{e*}(\text{Syz}_1(f_1, \dots, f_n)(m)) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\ell)) = 0$  ( $e, \ell, m$  fixed), then this is true for general choice of  $f_1, \dots, f_n$ .*


*Proof.* (a). The syzygy bundle  $\underline{\text{Syz}}_1$  is given by

$$0 \longrightarrow \underline{\text{Syz}}_1 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_X(-a_i) \xrightarrow{G_1, \dots, G_n} \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$  is the relative very ample sheaf on  $X$  corresponding to the standard grading of  $k[W_{\nu,i}] \otimes_k R$  (the  $W_{\nu,i}$  have degree zero) and where the  $G_i$  define a surjection because of the primary condition. Hence  $\underline{\text{Syz}}_1$  is locally free and therefore by [11], Proposition III.9.2, flat over  $X$  and over  $Z$ . Moreover, this short exact sequence restricts to  $X_z \cong Y$  to the defining sequence of  $\text{Syz}_1(f_1, \dots, f_n)$ , which gives (b).

(c). This follows from the semicontinuity theorem ([11], Theorem III.12.8) and from (a) and (b).  $\square$

If a polynomial ring  $P \subseteq R$  is given over which  $R$  is finite, then the condition  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in P$  defines a closed subset  $V \subseteq Z$ . Here is a picture to illustrate the situation.





In this picture,  $(\text{Syz}_1)_z$  and  $(\text{Syz}_1)_{z'}$  are the syzygy bundles on  $Y$  associated to those ideal generators  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  and  $f'_1, \dots, f'_n$  given by the points  $z$  and  $z' \in Z$  respectively. For points  $z' \in V$  we can use properties of the polynomial ring (e.g. that ideal resolutions are finite) to establish cohomological vanishing properties for the syzygy bundles. These pass then over to an open neighborhood of  $z'$  inside  $Z$ .

4. FROM IDEAL INCLUSION TO GENERIC BOUNDS FOR TIGHT CLOSURE AND FROBENIUS CLOSURE

By the Noether normalization theorem ([8], Theorem 13.3),  $R$  contains a polynomial ring  $P = k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  such that  $R$  is a finitely generated  $P$ -module. For ideal generators  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in R$  it is a very special property to belong to  $P \subseteq R$ . The following proposition shows that this has strong consequences on the containment in the ideal, its tight closure and its Frobenius closure in  $R$ . By semicontinuity this is also true for generic ideal generators, which do not belong to  $P$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in P = k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ ,  $d \geq 2$ , be homogeneous and  $P_+$ -primary, and let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that  $P_m \subseteq (g_1, \dots, g_n)$  holds in  $P$ . Then the following containments hold in  $R$ :*

- (a)  $R_{m+d-1} \subseteq (g_1, \dots, g_n)^*$ .
- (b) If  $R$  is normal, then  $R_{m+d} \subseteq (g_1, \dots, g_n)^F$ .
- (c) If  $R$  is Cohen-Macaulay with  $a$ -invariant  $a(R)$ , then  $R_{m+d+a(R)} \subseteq (g_1, \dots, g_n)$  in  $R$ .

*Proof.* We consider the minimal free resolution of  $P/(g_1, \dots, g_n)$  and the corresponding resolution (in the sense of (1)) on  $\text{Proj } P = \mathbb{P}^t$ ,  $t = d - 1$ . This has length  $d$  and the last syzygy bundle splits as  $\text{Syz}_t = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n_t} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^t}(-\alpha_{t,i})$ . We claim that the ideal inclusion  $P_m \subseteq (g_1, \dots, g_n)$  gives an estimate for the  $t$ -th Betti numbers  $\alpha_{t,i}$ , namely that

$$(4) \quad \alpha_{t,i} \leq m + t.$$

Assume this is false. Then  $m' := \max\{\alpha_{t,i}\} - t - 1 \geq m$  and the  $m'$ -twist of the resolution gives  $H^t(\mathbb{P}^t, \text{Syz}_t(m')) \neq 0$ , because the bundle contains  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^t}(-t-1)$  as a direct summand. On the other hand, because of  $\max\{\alpha_{t,i}\} > \max\{\alpha_{t-1,i}\}$ , we have  $H^t(\mathbb{P}^t, \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n_{t-1}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^t}(m' - \alpha_{t-1,i})) = 0$  and so the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n_t} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^t}(m' - \alpha_{t,i}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n_{t-1}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^t}(m' - \alpha_{t-1,i}) \longrightarrow \text{Syz}_{t-1}(m') \longrightarrow 0$$

yields  $H^{t-1}(\mathbb{P}^t, \text{Syz}_{t-1}(m')) \neq 0$  and hence  $H^1(\mathbb{P}^t, \text{Syz}_1(m')) \neq 0$ , so  $P_{m'} \not\subseteq (g_1, \dots, g_n)$  – a contradiction.

The pullback of the  $\mathbb{P}^t$ -resolution under the finite morphism  $Y = \text{Proj } R \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^t$  is an exact complex on  $Y$  like in (1), starting like this:

$$(5) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n_t} \mathcal{O}_Y(-\alpha_{t,i}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n_{t-1}} \mathcal{O}_Y(-\alpha_{t-1,i}) \longrightarrow \dots,$$

(a), (b). To prove the inclusions to tight closure (to Frobenius closure), we show that if  $\tilde{m} \geq m + t$  (if  $\tilde{m} \geq m + t + 1$  respectively), then  $\text{Syz}_t(\tilde{m}) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n_t} \mathcal{O}_Y(\tilde{m} - \alpha_{t,i})$  fulfills the cohomological condition of Lemma 2.1 (of Lemma 2.2 respectively). In both cases  $\tilde{m}$  fulfills the required numerical conditions. For both cohomological properties we only have to look at the invertible sheaves, whose twists are non-negative in the first case and positive in the second case. Let  $\ell$  be the number from Lemma 2.1. Then we may take  $\ell$  in the first case and  $e$  such that  $p^e \geq \ell$  in the second case to see that the cohomological conditions are fulfilled.

(c). Recall that the  $a$ -invariant ([6], Section 3.6) of a graded ring  $R$  is given by

$$a(R) = \max\{\ell : H_{R_+}^d(R_\ell) \neq 0\} = \max\{\ell : H^{d-1}(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(\ell)) \neq 0\}.$$

For  $m' \geq m + d + a(R)$  we have on  $Y$  the isomorphism  $\text{Syz}_t(m') \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_t} \mathcal{O}_Y(m' - \alpha_{t,j})$  and  $m' - \alpha_{t,j} \geq m + d + a(R) - \alpha_{t,j} \geq a(R) + 1$ . Hence its  $t$ -th cohomology vanishes. Hence  $c_t = 0$  for the cohomology class corresponding to an element  $f \in R_{m'}$ . By the Cohen-Macaulay property it follows that  $f \in (g_1, \dots, g_n)$  (cf. Section 2).  $\square$

Note that in statement (c) for ideal inclusion, the bound depends on an invariant of the ring, whereas the bound for tight closure does not. We come to the main theorem of this paper. In short it says that an ideal inclusion for the polynomial ring yields a generic degree bound for tight closure and for Frobenius closure in every standard graded algebra.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Fix a degree type  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ ,  $n \geq d$ . Suppose that there exist  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in P = K[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ ,  $\deg(g_i) = a_i$ , such that  $P_m \subseteq (g_1, \dots, g_n)$ . Then for any  $d$ -dimensional standard-graded  $k$ -algebra  $R$  and  $n$  elements  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in R$  of this degree type the containment*

$$R_{m+d-1} \subseteq (f_1, \dots, f_n)^*$$

*holds generically in the sense of Definition 3.2(b),(c). If  $R$  is normal, then for general elements  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in R$  we have  $R_{m+d} \subseteq (f_1, \dots, f_n)^F$ . If  $R$  is Cohen-Macaulay with  $a$ -invariant  $a(R)$  and of dimension  $\geq 2$ , then  $R_{m+d+a(R)} \subseteq (f_1, \dots, f_n)$  for general elements.*

*Proof.* For  $d = 0, 1$  the results follow from Section 1, so we assume  $d \geq 2$ . Let  $P \subseteq R$  be a graded Noether normalization. We use Construction 3.1 and consider  $\underline{\text{Syz}}_1 = \text{Syz}_1(G_1, \dots, G_n)$  on  $X = Y \times_k Z$ . Let  $z \in Z$  be a point

parametrizing  $(g_1, \dots, g_n)$ . The proof of Proposition 4.1 shows that

$$\mathrm{Syz}_t(g_1, \dots, g_n)(\tilde{m}) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n_t} \mathcal{O}_Y(\tilde{m} - \alpha_{t,i})$$

is a direct sum of invertible sheaves, and that for  $\tilde{m} \geq m + d - 1$  the twists are all non-negative. Let  $\ell$  be such that the condition of Lemma 2.1 is fulfilled. Then for every  $e$  and every  $\ell' \geq \ell$  we have the cohomological vanishing property

$$H^t(Y, F^{e*}(\mathrm{Syz}_t(\tilde{m})) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(\ell')) = 0$$

and hence also

$$H^1(Y, F^{e*}(\mathrm{Syz}_1(\tilde{m})) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(\ell')) = 0.$$

Fix  $\ell'$  such that there exists  $u \in R_{\ell'} \rightarrow \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(\ell'))$ ,  $u$  not in any minimal prime. Since  $\mathrm{Syz}_1(\tilde{m}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(\ell) \cong (\mathrm{Syz}_1(\tilde{m}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(\ell))_z$  we can apply Lemma 3.3 and deduce that this vanishing holds for all points  $z' \in U_e$  in an open neighborhood  $U \subseteq Z$  of  $z$ . Hence this vanishing condition holds for all points in the countable intersection  $\bigcap_e U_e$ . For these points it follows in the normal case immediately from Lemma 2.1 that  $R_{\tilde{m}} \subseteq (f_1, \dots, f_n)^*$ . Even in the non-normal case we get from Lemma 2.1 that  $R_{\tilde{m}} \subseteq (f_1, \dots, f_n)^*$  in  $\Gamma(D(R_+), \mathcal{O})$ . Since this ring is inside the normalization of  $R$ , it follows that  $R_{\tilde{m}} \subseteq (f_1, \dots, f_n)^*$  in the normalization. But tight closure can be computed in the normalization anyway ([14], Theorem 1.7). Hence  $R_{\tilde{m}} \subseteq (f_1, \dots, f_n)^*$  holds generically in the sense of Definition 3.2(c).

Since the intersection is not empty (as it contains  $z$ ), it contains the generic point  $\eta \in Z$ , therefore over this point we have again the cohomological vanishing property and so again by Lemma 2.1 (now over the field  $k(W_{\nu,i})$ ) we get the containment.

For the Frobenius containment let  $\tilde{m} > m + d$ . Then by the proof of Proposition 4.1 we know that the corresponding last syzygy bundle  $\mathrm{Syz}_t(\tilde{m})$  is a sum of invertible sheaves of positive degree and fulfills therefore the cohomological vanishing condition from Lemma 2.2 (for some  $e$ ). The same is then true for  $\mathrm{Syz}_1(\tilde{m}) \cong (\mathrm{Syz}_1)_z(\tilde{m})$ . Hence by Lemma 3.3 the same is true for  $(\mathrm{Syz}_1)_{z'}(g_1, \dots, g_n)(\tilde{m})$  for all points  $z'$  in an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $z$ . By Lemma 2.2 it follows for these points that  $R_{\tilde{m}} \subseteq (f_1, \dots, f_n)^F$ . Hence this containment holds for general choice of  $f_1, \dots, f_n$ .

For the ideal containment we have  $H^t(Y, \mathrm{Syz}_t(m')) = 0$  for  $m' \geq m + d + a(R)$  by Proposition 4.1(c) and hence  $H^1(Y, \mathrm{Syz}_1(m')) = 0$  by the Cohen-Macaulay property. By semicontinuity we get  $H^1(X_z, \mathrm{Syz}_1_z(m')) = 0$  for general  $z \in Z$  and this means (again by Cohen-Macaulay and  $\dim R \geq 2$ ) that  $R_{m'} \subseteq (f_1, \dots, f_n)$  for the ideal generators parametrized by  $z$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.3.** Suppose that  $n = d$ . This is the parameter case (a generic choice always yields parameters), and the Koszul resolution yields  $\mathrm{Syz}_t = \mathcal{O}(-\sum_{i=1}^n a_i)$ . Hence on the polynomial ring we have  $P_{\geq m} \subseteq (g_1, \dots, g_n)$

with  $m = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i - d + 1$ . Theorem 4.2 yields  $R_{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i} \subseteq (f_1, \dots, f_t)^*$  for arbitrary parameters in  $R$ , which is well known ([15], Theorem 2.9), and  $R_{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i+1} \subseteq (f_1, \dots, f_t)^F$ .

Already the parameter case shows that the bounds of Theorem 4.2 can not be improved (as a bound holding for all  $R$  of the given dimension). The vanishing theorem of Hara ([15], Theorem 6.1; [10]) shows that an element  $f \in R_{\tilde{m}}$ ,  $\tilde{m} < \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$ , does not belong to  $I^*$  unless it belongs to  $I$  itself. But  $f \in I$  does not hold in general.

**Remark 4.4.** The *plus closure* of an ideal  $I \subseteq R$ ,  $R$  a domain, is defined by  $I^+ = \{f \in R : \text{there exists } R \subseteq S \text{ finite, } f \in IS\}$ . There are inclusions  $I^F \subseteq I^+ \subseteq I^*$ . It was only proved recently that  $I^+ \neq I^*$  ([5]). Does a generic inclusion  $R_{m+d-1} \subseteq I^+$  hold, with  $m$  as in Proposition 4.1? For  $R_{\geq m+d}$  the answer is yes via the Frobenius closure. Proposition 4.1(a) does also hold for the (graded) plus closure, because the cohomology groups  $H^t(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(\tilde{m} - \alpha_{t,i}))$  (and hence  $H^t(Y, \text{Syz}_t(\tilde{m}))$ ), where  $\tilde{m} = m + d - 1$ ) can be annihilated by a finite cover  $Y' \rightarrow Y$  due to the graded version of [18], Theorem 5.1. However, this does not mean that  $H^t(Y', \text{Syz}_t(\tilde{m})) = 0$ , so there is no basis for a semicontinuity argument.

**Remark 4.5.** Since  $I^F \subseteq I^*$ , Proposition 4.1(a) remains true if we replace  $I^F$  by  $I^*$ , so it also yields a *general* bound for tight closure in the normal case. Note that unlike tight closure, Frobenius closure does not commute with normalization. For example, let  $R = k[x, y]/(xy)$  and let  $I := (ax + by) \subseteq R$  with  $a, b \neq 0$ . Then we have  $x \in R \cap IR^{\text{norm}} \subseteq R \cap (IR^{\text{norm}})^F$ , but  $x \notin I^F$ .

**Remark 4.6.** There are several problems to get similiar statements in characteristic zero. One problem is how the open subsets of the parameter space on which the generic inclusion statements hold vary with the prime characteristic and whether there exists an open subset in the relative setting. This is also a problem for the degree bound which ensures containment in the Frobenius closure.

In working with the generic point in characteristic zero, that is, over the field  $\mathbb{Q}(W_{iv})$ , we have another problem: we can consider the base ring  $\mathbb{Z}(W_{iv}) = \mathbb{Z}[W_{iv}]_S$ , where  $S$  is the multiplicative system of all primitive polynomials, and the residue class fields are  $\mathbb{Q}(W_{iv})$  and  $\mathbb{Z}/(p)(W_{iv})$ . We have tight closure inclusions over all closed points (of positive characteristics), but this base ring is not of finite type over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , so it can not be taken as an arithmetic basis to get tight closure in characteristic zero.

## 5. GENERIC IDEAL INCLUSION AND THE FRÖBERG CONJECTURE

By the results of the previous sections we know that every degree bound for ideal inclusion in a polynomial ring  $P$  yields a generic degree bound for Frobenius closure and for tight closure. Therefore we have to look for good

inclusion bounds for  $P$  for a given degree type. The search for such inclusion bounds is directly related to the Fröberg conjecture. We restrict in the following to the case where the degree type is constant. So let  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in P$  be  $n$  elements of degree  $a$ . We seek to describe the smallest number  $m_0 = \min\{m : P_m \subseteq (g_1, \dots, g_n)\}$  in terms of  $n$  and  $a$ , and for generic choice of  $g_i$ . For fixed  $(g_1, \dots, g_n) = I$  the number  $m_0$  is the smallest zero of the *Hilbert function*

$$H(m) := \dim_k(P/I)_m.$$

Consider the piecewise polynomial function

$$F(m) = \sum_{s=0}^n (-1)^s \binom{n}{s} \binom{d-1+m-sa}{d-1},$$

where  $\binom{n}{k}$  is taken to be zero unless  $n \geq k \geq 0$ . We know ([1, 21]) that

$$H(m) \geq F^+(m) := \max\{0, F(m)\}$$

for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Equivalently, we have the following coefficient-wise inequality of formal power series

$$\mathcal{H}(\lambda) \geq \left| \frac{(1-\lambda^a)^n}{(1-\lambda)^d} \right|,$$

where the absolute value symbols denote the initial non-negative segment of the power series (obtained by replacing all negative coefficients with zero) and where  $\mathcal{H}(\lambda)$  denotes the *Hilbert series* whose coefficients are  $H(m)$ .

The *Fröberg conjecture* states that this bound can always be attained (and holds then for general ideal generators).

**Proposition 5.1.** ([7], Section 4) *The Fröberg conjecture does hold in each of the following cases:*

- $n \leq d$ , and  $n = d + 1$  in characteristic 0: Iarrobino [16]. (In the case  $n \leq d$ ,  $n$  general ideal generators always form a regular sequence and this implies that the conjecture holds, see [21], Sections 1 and 4.)
- $n = d + 1$ : Stanley [20]
- $d = 2$ : Fröberg [9]
- $d = 3$ : Anick [1]

Suppose that we are in a situation (as listed above) where the Fröberg conjecture holds. Then the smallest positive zero  $m_0$  of  $H(m)$  is the smallest zero of  $F^+(m)$ . Thus finding this zero amounts to solving polynomial equations of degree  $d - 1$ . This can be done in several cases and yields then explicit generic ideal inclusion bounds for the polynomial ring and then via Theorem 4.2 generic tight closure bounds.

In the parameter case, i.e.  $n = d$ , we have  $m_0 = na - d + 1$ . In the “almost-parameter case”, i.e. when  $n = d + 1$ , we have the following result by Migliore and Miró-Roig.

**Proposition 5.2.** ([17], Lemma 2.5) *Let  $n = d + 1$ . Then*

$$m_0 := \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2}(na - n) \right\rfloor + 1.$$

*is the generic ideal inclusion bound for  $n$  elements in the polynomial ring of dimension  $d$ .*

**Corollary 5.3.** *Let  $R$  be a standard-graded  $k$ -algebra of dimension  $d$ . Then*

$$R_{\geq \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(d+1)(a+1) \rfloor - 1} \subseteq I^*$$

*holds for an ideal  $I$  generated by  $d + 1$  generically chosen elements of degree  $a$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from Proposition 5.2 and Theorem 4.2 by a direct calculation.  $\square$

We look now at the first zero for  $F(m)$  in the low-dimensional cases where the Fröberg conjecture holds. For  $\dim P = 1$  it follows immediately that  $m_0 = a$  is the smallest zero of  $F^+(m)$ .

**Lemma 5.4.** *Let  $\dim P = 2$ . For  $n \geq 2$  the number*

$$m_0 = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{n-1}a \right\rfloor - 1$$

*is the smallest zero of  $F^+(m)$ .*

*Proof.* For  $m < 2a - 1$  we have to consider in the formula for  $F(m)$  only the first two summands, and we find in this range the zero at  $m = \frac{n}{n-1}a - 1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.5.** *Let  $\dim P = 3$ . The smallest zero of  $F^+(m)$  is  $m_0 = 3a - 2$  for  $n = 3$  and*

$$m_0 = \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \left( 3 - 3n + 2an + \sqrt{1 - 2n + n^2 + 4a^2n} \right) \right\rfloor,$$

*for  $n \geq 4$ .*

*Proof.* We extend  $F(m)$  to a function  $\tilde{F}(r)$  of real numbers by setting  $\binom{r}{k} := \frac{r(r-1)\dots(r-k+1)}{k!}$  for  $r \in \mathbb{R}, r \geq k \geq 0$ ,  $\binom{r}{k} := 0$  otherwise, and

$$\tilde{F}(r) := \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^s \binom{n}{s} \binom{2+r-sa}{2}.$$

Thus  $\tilde{F}(r)$  is a function from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  that agrees with the “Fröberg function”  $F(m)$  for  $r = m \in \mathbb{N}$  and is continuous everywhere except at the places  $r = sa$ , for  $s = 1, \dots, n$ .

On any interval where  $\tilde{F}(r)$  is continuous, it agrees with a quadratic polynomial in  $r$ , and if this polynomial has a zero in the relevant interval then this gives a zero of  $F^+(m)$ . For  $n \geq 4$ , we find in the interval  $[a, 2a - 1]$  a zero

as given above. For  $n = 3$ , there are no zeros in this interval and we find the first zero in the interval  $[2a, 3a - 1]$ .  $\square$

**Remark 5.6.** Note that our last result is compatible with the result by Migliore and Miró-Roig. For  $\dim P = 3$  (and  $n = 4$ ), their result is  $2a - 1$ . One easily checks that

$$\frac{1}{6} \left( 3 - 12 + 8a + \sqrt{9 + 16a^2} \right) - (2a - 1) \in (-1, 0)$$

and thus the zero of  $F^+(m)$  given by Lemma 5.5 is equal to  $2a - 1$ .

## 6. A SLIGHTLY BETTER BOUND FOR STRONGLY SEMISTABLE SYZYGY SHEAVES

We describe another situation where the cohomological conditions on  $\text{Syz}_t$  are also fulfilled and where again the inclusion into tight closure resp. Frobenius closure can be deduced. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a locally free sheaf on  $Y = \text{Proj } R$ . Then the *slope* of  $\mathcal{S}$  is defined to be

$$\mu(\mathcal{S}) := \frac{\deg(\mathcal{S})}{\text{rank}(\mathcal{S})}.$$

The sheaf  $\mathcal{S}$  is called *semistable* if  $\mu(\mathcal{T}) \leq \mu(\mathcal{S})$  holds for all coherent subsheaves  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ . A locally free sheaf is called *strongly semistable* if all of its Frobenius pullbacks are semistable. A semistable locally free sheaf  $\mathcal{S}$  of negative degree has no global sections.

**Proposition 6.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a strongly semistable locally free sheaf on  $Y = \text{Proj } R$  of dimension  $t$ , where  $R$  is a normal standard-graded  $k$ -domain and where  $Y$  is smooth.*

- (a) *If  $\deg(\mathcal{S}) \geq 0$ , then there exists  $\ell_0$  such that  $H^t(Y, F^{e*}(\mathcal{S}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\ell')) = 0$  for all  $e$  and all  $\ell' \geq \ell_0$ .*
- (b) *If  $\deg(\mathcal{S}) > 0$ , then  $H^t(Y, F^{e*}(\mathcal{S})) = 0$  for all  $e \gg 0$ .*

*Proof.* By Serre duality we have

$$H^t(Y, F^{e*}(\mathcal{S}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\ell')) \cong H^0(Y, F^{e*}(\mathcal{S}^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-\ell') \otimes \omega_Y).$$

For (a) choose  $\ell_0$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_Y(-\ell_0) \otimes \omega_Y$  has negative degree. Then the whole sheaf has negative degree and has, because it is semistable, no global sections. For (b) we have  $H^t(Y, F^{e*}(\mathcal{S})) \cong H^0(Y, F^{e*}(\mathcal{S}^\vee) \otimes \omega_Y)$ . But for  $e \gg 0$  the sheaf  $F^{e*}(\mathcal{S}^\vee) \otimes \omega_Y$  has again negative degree and no sections.  $\square$

With this we can slightly improve the generic degree bound for Frobenius closure under special conditions.

**Corollary 6.2.** *Suppose that  $\text{Syz}_t(m)$  is strongly semistable of positive degree. Then we have  $R_m \subseteq I^F$ .*

*Proof.* This follows by applying Proposition 6.1(b) to  $\mathcal{S} = \text{Syz}_t(m)$  and then using Lemma 2.2.  $\square$

When does this give a better bound? Let  $r_0$  denote the smallest zero of the continuation  $\tilde{F}(r)$  of the Fröberg function on  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ , and suppose that this is not a natural number, so that  $m_0 > r_0$ . Then  $\text{Syz}_t(m_0 + d - 1)$  has positive degree, but it contains also  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^t}$  as a direct summand (and is therefore not semistable). In this situation it may happen that for  $R \supseteq P$  the generic last syzygy bundle has also positive degree and is moreover strongly semistable. This behavior occurs in the two-dimensional situation, as the following example shows, but it is difficult to establish in higher dimensions.

**Example 6.3.** Let  $R$  be normal and two-dimensional, so that  $Y = \text{Proj } R$  is a smooth projective curve. Consider the constant degree type  $(a, a, a)$  with  $a$  odd. Then for generic choice on  $\mathbb{P}_k^1$  we have  $\text{Syz}_1 = \mathcal{O}(-\frac{3a+1}{2}) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-\frac{3a-1}{2})$  and the best inclusion is  $P_{\geq \frac{3a-1}{2}} \subseteq (g_1, g_2, g_3)$ . Hence Theorem 4.2 yields  $R_{\geq \frac{3a+3}{2}} \subseteq (f_1, f_2, f_3)^F$  for general choice of  $(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ . Corollary 6.2 yields however under the condition that  $\text{Syz}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$  is strongly semistable the better inclusion  $R_{\geq \frac{3a+1}{2}} \subseteq (f_1, f_2, f_3)^F$ .

## 7. EXAMPLES AND ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF TIGHT CLOSURE BOUNDS

We are going to compare our generic tight closure bound from Theorem 4.2 with previously known bounds, which depend on several different conditions. Let  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in R$  be elements of degrees  $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_n$  define an  $R_+$ -primary ideal and consider the Koszul complex ([8], Chapter 17), which is exact on the punctured spectrum. The surjection

$$\bigoplus_{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}, |I|=d} \mathcal{O}_Y(m - \sum_{i \in I} a_i) \longrightarrow \text{Syz}_t(m) \longrightarrow 0$$

on  $Y = \text{Proj } R$  yields a surjection for the  $t$ -th cohomology ( $t = d - 1$ ). Hence for  $m \geq \sum_{i=1}^d a_i$ , all twists in the summands on the left are non-negative. Thus the direct sum and therefore  $\text{Syz}_t(m)$  fulfills the cohomological condition (for  $j = t$ ) of Lemma 2.1(b). Hence for primary ideal generators the maximum over all degree sums of length  $d$  is a tight closure bound. This *Koszul bound for tight closure* can also be proved via the Briançon-Skoda theorem, see [15], Theorem 2.9 or [19]. For constant degree  $a$  this gives  $da$ .

The Koszul complex was used in [3] to establish a better bound. Under the condition that  $\text{Syz}_1$  is strongly semistable (which is a generic condition in the sense of Definition 3.2(b),(c)), one obtains that  $\text{Syz}_t$  is (as, up to a twist, an exterior product of  $\text{Syz}_1$ ) also strongly semistable and this gives  $\frac{(d-1)n}{n-1}a$  as a tight closure inclusion bound. In dimension two, this bound coincides with the generic bound from Theorem 4.2, but in higher dimensions the new bound coming from generic ideal inclusion in the polynomial ring is much better (mainly because the Koszul complex is not minimal in general).



**Example 7.1.** Let  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  have degree  $a = 10$ . We state the bounds for the containment in  $I^*$  depending on  $\dim R$  and  $n$ . Of course, the conditions for the bounds to hold differ for each bound. In the last column of each table, we state the limit of the bounds for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $m_0$  be the generic ideal inclusion bound in the polynomial ring.

$\dim R = 2$ :

$n$		2	3	4	5	6	7	10	11	$\infty$
Koszul bound	$m = 2 \cdot a$	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
Syz <sub>1</sub> str. semist.	$m = \lceil \frac{n}{n-1} a \rceil$	20	15	14	13	12	12	12	11	11
Generic bound	$m = m_0 + 1$	20	15	14	13	12	12	12	11	11

$\dim R = 3$ :

$n$		3	4	5	6	7	8	10	11	$\infty$
Koszul bound	$m = 3 \cdot a$	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
Syz <sub>1</sub> str. semist.	$m = \lceil \frac{2n}{n-1} a \rceil$	30	27	25	24	24	23	23	22	21
Generic bound	$m = m_0 + 2$	30	21	19	18	17	16	16	15	12

$\dim R = 4$ :

	$n$	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	$\infty$
Koszul bound	$m = 4 \cdot a$	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
Syz <sub>1</sub> str. semist.	$m = \lceil \frac{3n}{n-1} a \rceil$	40	38	36	35	35	34	34	33	31
Generic bound	$m = m_0 + 3$	40	26	24	22	22	21	20	20	13

**Remark 7.2.** Let  $\dim R = 4$ . If  $n \geq 6$ , then we do not have a closed formula for the first zero of the Fröberg function and we do not know whether the Fröberg conjecture holds. However, we can do a computational check of the Fröberg conjecture and compute the first zero of  $F^+(m)$  for specific examples. For the above example, we computed the Hilbert function of  $n$  randomized ideal generators, then the Fröberg function for  $n$  generators of degree 10, checked that the values agree and in what degree they first become non-positive. The search for a zero of the Fröberg function does not really require the functionality of *CoCoA* and has independently been realized in *Lisp*.

**Remark 7.3** (Asymptotic behavior for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). If the degree  $a$  is fixed and  $n$  grows as large as the number of monomials in  $d$  variables of degree  $a$ , then the Fröberg conjecture holds for trivial reasons with  $m_0 = a$ . Therefore the asymptotic limit of our generic bound is  $m_0 + d - 1$  (the true limit, even for ideal inclusion in  $R$ , is of course also  $m_0$ , but this is obtained for much larger  $n$  which also depends on  $R$ ).

**Remark 7.4** (Asymptotic behavior for  $a \rightarrow \infty$ ). Let  $n \geq d$  be fixed, and let  $a \rightarrow \infty$ . The Koszul bound is just  $d \cdot a$ . The bound which holds for strongly semistable first syzygy bundles behaves asymptotically like  $\frac{(d-1)n}{n-1} \cdot a$ .

Our new bound behaves for  $d = 3$  like  $\frac{n+\sqrt{n}}{n-1} \cdot a$ , so it is considerably lower in the limit (the coefficient can also be written as  $\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{n-1}}$ ).

For  $\dim R = 4$  we do not have a closed formula for the bound coming from the Fröberg function, but *Lisp* computations suggest that if  $n$  is a cube, i.e. if  $n = \ell^3$  for some  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the smallest positive zero of  $F^+(m)$  behaves like  $\frac{\ell}{\ell-1} \cdot a$  for  $a \gg 0$ . So assuming that the Fröberg conjecture holds, we are led to conjecture that the generic (ideal and) tight closure bound behaves like  $\frac{\ell}{\ell-1} \cdot a$  for  $n = \ell^3$ . However, we have so far been unable to find an algebraic expression when  $n$  is not a cube.

We have also computed the zeros of the Fröberg function for  $\dim R = 5$  and  $n = \ell^4$  with  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ . For small  $\ell$ , we obtained again that it behaves like  $\frac{\ell}{\ell-1} \cdot a$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. D. J. Anick, *Thin algebras of embedding dimension three*, J. Algebra **100** (1986), 235–259.
2. H. Brenner, *Tight closure and projective bundles*, J. Algebra **265** (2003), 45–78.
3. ———, *Slopes of vector bundles and applications to tight closure problems*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **356** (2004), no. 1, 371–392.
4. ———, *Tight closure and vector bundles*, Three Lectures on Commutative Algebra (Colomé-Nin, Cortadellas, Elias, and Zarzuela, eds.), Univ. Lecture Ser., vol. 42, Amer. Math. Soc., 2008.
5. H. Brenner and P. Monsky, *Tight closure does not commute with localization*, arXiv:0710.2913 (2007).
6. W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings, revised edition*, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
7. K. A. Chandler, *The geometric interpretation of Fröberg-Iarrobino conjectures on infinitesimal neighbourhoods of points in projective space*, J. Algebra **286** (2005), no. 4, 421–455.
8. D. Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra with a view toward algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 1995.
9. R. Fröberg, *An inequality for Hilbert series of graded algebras*, Math. Scand. **56** (1985), 117–144.
10. N. Hara, *A characterization of rational singularities in terms of injectivity of Frobenius maps*, Amer. J. of Math. **120** (1998), no. 5, 981–996.
11. R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer, New York, 1977.
12. M. Hochster and C. Huneke, *Tight closure*, Commutative Algebra (Hochster, Huneke, and Sally, eds.), MSRI Publications, Springer, 1989.
13. ———, *Tight closure, invariant theory, and the Briançon-Skoda theorem*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1990), 31–116.
14. C. Huneke, *Tight closure and its applications*, AMS, 1996.
15. ———, *Tight closure, parameter ideals, and geometry*, Six Lectures on Commutative Algebra (Elias, Giral, Miró-Roig, and Zarzuela, eds.), Progress in Mathematics, vol. 166, Birkhäuser, 1998.
16. A. Iarrobino, *Compressed algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **285** (1984), 337–378.
17. J. Migliore and R. M. Miró-Roig, *On the minimal free resolution of  $n + 1$  general forms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **355** (2002), 1–36.
18. K. E. Smith, *Tight closure of parameter ideals*, Inv. Math. **115** (1994), 41–60.
19. ———, *Tight closure in graded rings*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **37** (1997), 35–53.

20. R. Stanley, *Hilbert functions of graded algebras*, Adv. in Math. (1978), 57–83.
21. G. Valla, *Problems and results on Hilbert functions of graded algebras*, Six Lectures on Commutative Algebra (Elias, Giral, Miró-Roig, and Zarzuela, eds.), Progress in Mathematics, vol. 166, Birkhäuser, 1998.

FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK/ INFORMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT OSNABRÜCK, 49069 OSNABRÜCK, GERMANY

*E-mail address:* `hbrenner@uni-osnabrueck.de`, `hfischba@uni-osnabrueck.de`.