

MOEBIUS TRANSFORMATIONS PRESERVING FIXED ANHARMONIC RATIO

VLADISLAV ASEEV AND TATYANA KERGYLOVA

ABSTRACT. O. Kobayashi [6] proved that C^1 -mappings preserving anharmonic ratio are Moebius transformations. We strengthen his result and prove, that the requirement of differentiability and even of injectivity can be omitted.

1. INTRODUCTION

A concept of Apollonian tetrad in the complex plane \mathbf{C} was introduced in the paper ([1], Def. 1, p.15): any ordered quadruple of distinct points $\{z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\} \subset \mathbf{C}$ is called an *Apollonian tetrad*, if

$$|z_2 - z_3| \cdot |z_1 - z_4| = |z_3 - z_1| \cdot |z_2 - z_4| = |z_1 - z_2| \cdot |z_3 - z_4| \quad (0.1)$$

It was proved in ([1], Main Theorem, p. 19), that any univalent analytic function in the domain $D \subset \mathbf{C}$ is linear-fractional iff the image of any Apollonian tetrad in D is also Apollonian tetrad.

Since that time more than ten articles appeared, in which different generalizations of the mentioned property of tetrad to finite set of points were introduced: Haruki H. and Rassias T.M. in [2] considered Apollonian triangles and hexagons, Bulut S. and Yilmaz Özgür N. in [3] considered Apollonian set consisting of $2n$ pairwise different points and proved, that the analytic univalent function is linear-fractional iff the image of any Apollonian set in D is also an Apollonian set.

The constructions in these works have considerable interest from the point of view of the theory of quasimöbius transformations in $\overline{\mathbf{R}}^n$ (see [4]) and in Ptolemaic spaces (see [5]).

Date: June 23, 2018.

Authors were supported by the grant of the RFBR N 07-01-90800-mob-st.

O. Kobayashi [6] noticed, that relation (0.1) is equivalent to the equality $[z_1 : z_2 : z_3 : z_4] = (1 \pm i\sqrt{3})/2$, where $[z_1 : z_2 : z_3 : z_4]$ is the anharmonic ratio of the quadruple $\{z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\}$, and he obtained the following result:

Theorem 1. ([6], **Theorem 2.1, p. 118**)

Let $\lambda \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \mathbf{R}$ and $U \subset \mathbf{C}$ be a domain. Suppose $f : U \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is an injective differentiable mapping.

If for any quadruple of pairwise distinct points $\{z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\} \in U$ with anharmonic ratio $[z_1 : z_2 : z_3 : z_4] = \lambda$ the equality $[f(z_1) : f(z_2) : f(z_3) : f(z_4)] = \lambda$ holds, then f is a Moebius transformation.

In this paper we show, that the theorem is valid for any $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbf{C}} \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$ and for any continuous non-constant mapping $f : U \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{C}}$ of the domain $U \subset \overline{\mathbf{C}}$ without the requirement of injectivity and differentiability of f .

2. THE TABLES OF SYMBOLS, TERMINOLOGY AND THE MAIN RESULT

Let \mathbf{T} be set of all ordered quadruples (tetrads) $T = \{z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\}$ in the extended complex plane $\overline{\mathbf{C}}$, that do not contain any three coincident elements.

A tetrad with four pairwise different elements is called *nonsingular*.

For any tetrad we define its anharmonic ratio (see [7], §44) $A(T) = [z_1 : z_2 : z_3 : z_4]$.

If all points in a tetrad T are finite and pairwise different, then $A(T)$ is

$$A(T) = \frac{(z_1 - z_3)(z_2 - z_4)}{(z_3 - z_2)(z_4 - z_1)}. \quad (1.1.1)$$

Under these conditions $A(T)$ is different from 0, 1 and ∞ . For tetrads $s_{12}(T)$, $s_{13}(T)$, $s_{14}(T)$, obtained from T by permutation of first and second, of first and third, of first and fourth elements respectively the following equalities hold:

$$A(s_{12}(T)) = \frac{1}{A(T)}; \quad A(s_{13}(T)) = \frac{A(T)}{A(T) - 1}; \quad A(s_{14}(T)) = 1 - A(T) \quad (1.1.2)$$

If in the tetrad $T = \{z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\}$ neither three elements coincide, there exists a finite or infinite limit

$$A(T) = \lim_{w_1 \rightarrow z_1, w_2 \rightarrow z_2, w_3 \rightarrow z_3, w_4 \rightarrow z_4} \frac{(w_1 - w_3)(w_2 - w_4)}{(w_3 - w_2)(w_4 - w_1)}, \quad (1.1.3)$$

where the limit is taken on a set of all nonsingular tetrads $\{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4\}$. This limit defines the anharmonic ratio of the tetrad T in general case. Particularly, for nonsingular tetrad $T = \{z_1, z_2, z_3, \infty\}$ we have $A(T) = -(z_1 - z_3)/(z_3 - z_2)$. We notice, that the tetrad $T \in \mathbf{T}$ is nonsingular iff $A(T)$ different from 0, 1 and ∞ .

A Moebius transformation $\mu : \overline{\mathbf{C}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{C}}$ is defined as a superposition of finite number of reflections (or inversions) with respect to generalized circles in $\overline{\mathbf{C}}$ (see [7], Def 3.1.1, P. 25) and it realized either by the linear-fractional function or by its conjugate.

If μ is a linear-fractional mapping, then for any tetrad $T \in \mathbf{T}$ the equality $A(\mu(T)) = A(T)$ (the invariance of anharmonic ratio by the linear-fractional mappings) holds. The opposite is also true: a bijective mapping $\mu : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, which preserves an anharmonic ratio of all nonsingular tetrads, is realized by linear-fractional function (see [7], §4.4).

For given complex number $\alpha \notin \{0, 1, \infty\}$, we denote by $\mathbf{T}(\alpha)$ the set of all tetrads $T \in \mathbf{T}$ with $A(T) = \alpha$ (all that tetrads are nonsingular) and by $\mathbf{T}(\alpha, f)$ the set of all tetrads of $\mathbf{T}(\alpha)$, for which $f(T) \in \mathbf{T}$.

Definition 2. *We say that a mapping $f : U \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{C}}$, of a domain $U \subset \overline{\mathbf{C}}$ satisfies the condition $\varphi(K, \alpha)$, if for any tetrad $T \in \mathbf{T}(\alpha, f)$ the equality $A(f(T)) = \alpha = A(T)$ holds.*

The main result of the paper is the following

Theorem 3. *If $\alpha \notin \{0, 1, \infty\}$, then any continuous mapping $f : U \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{C}}$ of a domain $U \subset \overline{\mathbf{C}}$, which satisfies the condition $\varphi(K, \alpha)$, is either a constant or the Moebius transformation; and if α is not a real number, then a function $f(z)$ is either a constant or a linear-fractional function.*

3. THE INJECTIVITY LEMMA

Proposition 4. *If a continuous mapping $f : U \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{C}}$ satisfies the condition $\varphi(K, \alpha)$, $\alpha \notin \{0, 1, \infty\}$, then it satisfies the condition $\varphi(K, \beta)$, where β is taken from the set*

$$P_\alpha = \left\{ \alpha, \frac{1}{\alpha}, 1 - \alpha, \frac{1}{1 - \alpha}, \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1}, \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} \right\} \quad (2.1.1)$$

Proof. The proof follows immediately from relations (1.1.2) and from the fact, that the equality $f(s(T)) = s(f(T))$ is preserved by the permutations s of the elements of the tetrad, and therefore the relations $f(T) \in \mathbf{T}$ and $f(s(T)) \in \mathbf{T}$ are equivalent. ■

Lemma 5. *Suppose $\alpha \notin \{0, 1, \infty\}$ and let $f : U \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{C}}$ be a continuous mapping of a domain $U \subset \overline{\mathbf{C}}$ satisfying the condition $\varphi(K, \alpha)$. Then $f(z)$ is either injective in U or f is a constant map.*

Proof. We assume that f is not injective. Then we can find different points $\zeta_0, \zeta_\infty \in U$, for which $f(\zeta_0) = f(\zeta_\infty) = a \in \overline{\mathbf{C}}$. Take such linear-fractional mappings μ and ν that $\mu(\zeta_\infty) = \nu(a) = \infty$. Evidently $\infty \in \mu(U) = U'$. A continuous mapping $g = \nu \circ f \circ \mu^{-1} : U' \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{C}}$ satisfies the condition $\varphi(K, \gamma)$ for any constant $\gamma \in P_\alpha$; whereas $g(\infty) = g(\mu(\zeta_\infty)) = \infty$.

Consider the set $M = g^{-1}(\{\infty\}) \cap \mathbf{C} = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : g(z) = \infty\}$.

Since g is continuous, the set M is closed in $U' \setminus \{\infty\}$. We show, that M is an open set.

Let z_0 be an any point in M .

Build an open disc $B = B(z_0, r) \subset U'$ with radius

$$r = \frac{\max\{1, \text{dist}(z_0, \partial U')\}}{2 + |\alpha|} \quad (2.2.1)$$

If $g(z) \equiv \infty$ in B , then $B \subset M$, and z_0 is an interior point of the set M . Consider the point $z_1 \in B$, in which $g(z_1) \neq \infty$. The point $z_2 = z_0 + \alpha(z_1 - z_0)$ lies in U' , whereas

$$|z_2 - z_0| = |\alpha| \cdot |z_1 - z_0| \leq \text{dist}(z_0, \partial U') \frac{|\alpha|}{2 + |\alpha|} < \text{dist}(z_0, \partial U').$$

For $T = \{z_2, z_1, z_0, \infty\} \subset U'$ we have $A(T) = -(z_2 - z_0)/(z_0 - z_1) = \alpha$. The assumption, that $f(T) = \{g(z_2), g(z_1), \infty, \infty\} \in \mathbf{T}$, contradicts

the condition $\varphi(K, \alpha)$, because in this case $A(f(T)) \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$, that is $f(A) \neq \alpha$.

Therefore the tetrad $f(T)$ has three equal elements. But $g(z_1) \neq \infty$ implies that $g(z_2) = \infty$, that is $z_2 \in M$.

Since $g(z_1) \neq \infty$ and f is continuous in the point z_1 , take such $\varepsilon > 0$ that the disc $B(z_1, \varepsilon) \subset B$ and a function $g(z) \neq \infty$ in $B(z_1, \varepsilon)$. From the inequality $\varepsilon < r$ and from (2.2.1) it follows that

$$B\left(z_0, \frac{|\alpha|}{1+|\alpha|}\right) \subset B \quad (2.2.2)$$

Putting $\delta = \varepsilon \frac{|\alpha|}{1+|\alpha|}$, consider any point $z \in B(z_0, \delta)$. For $w = z + \alpha^{-1}(z_2 - z)$ the inequality holds:

$$|w - z_1| = |z - z_1 + z_1 - z_0 + \alpha^{-1}(z_0 - z)| < |z - z_0| \left|1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right| < \varepsilon,$$

which means, that $w \in B(z_1, \varepsilon)$ and therefore $g(w) \neq \infty$. For $T' = \{z_2, w, z, \infty\} \subset U'$ we have $A(T') = -(z_2 - z)/(z - w) = \alpha$. The assumption that $g(T') = \{g(z_2) = \infty, g(w), g(z), \infty\} \in \mathbf{T}$ contradicts the condition $\varphi(K, \alpha)$, because $A(g(T')) \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$ and therefore $A(g(T')) \neq \alpha$. Then in the tetrad $g(T')$ there are three equal elements. But $g(w) \neq \infty$ and therefore $g(z) = \infty$. Thus we see, that $g(z) \equiv \infty$ in the disc $B(z_0, \delta)$. So $B(z_0, \delta) \subset M$ and z_0 is an interior point of the set M . Since any point of the set M it is an interior point, the set M is open.

Since the set $U' \setminus \{\infty\}$ is connected, the open-and-closed set M is an empty set or $U' \setminus \{\infty\}$. But $\mu(\zeta_0) \in M$, the $M \neq \emptyset$. Therefore $M = U' \setminus \{\infty\}$, and it means that $g(z) \equiv \infty$ in U' . Thus $f(\zeta) \equiv a$ in U . ■

4. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

We prove the main theorem in several steps arranging them as independent propositions.

Proposition 6. *Suppose the domain $U \subset \overline{\mathbf{C}}$ contains ∞ , and a continuous injective mapping $f : U \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{C}}$, $f(\infty) = \infty$ satisfies the condition*

$\varphi(K, \alpha)$, $\alpha \notin \{0, 1, \infty\}$. Then for any $z_0 \in U$ and $a_0 = z_0 + w_0$, $b_0 = z_0 - w_0$, where $|w_0| < \text{dist}(z_0, \partial U)$, the equality holds:

$$f(z_0) = \frac{f(a_0) + f(b_0)}{2} \quad (3.1.1)$$

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \{2, 1/2, -1\}$. Then by Proposition 4 the mapping f satisfies the condition $\varphi(K, 2)$. For $T = \{a_0, b_0, z_0, \infty\}$ we obtain

$$2 = A(T) = [f(a_0) : f(z_0) : f(b_0) : \infty] = -\frac{f(a_0) - f(b_0)}{f(b_0) - f(z_0)},$$

from which the desired equation (3.1.1) immediately follows.

Suppose now $\alpha \notin \{0, 1, \infty, 2, 1/2, -1\}$.

If $|\alpha| > 1$, then we take $\beta = \alpha$; in otherwise we take $\beta = 1/\alpha$. Then f satisfies the condition $\varphi(K, \beta)$, $\beta \notin \{0, 1, \infty, 1/2\}$ (see proposition 4). For $\beta' = (1 - \beta)/(1 - 2\beta)$ we have the equation $(1 - 2\beta)/(1 - 2\beta') = -1$. As $\beta \notin \overline{B}(1/2, 1/2)$, then $|\beta - 1/2| > 1/2$, that is $|1 - 2\beta| > 1$. Therefore $|1 - 2\beta'| = q < 1$.

Put $R = \text{dist}(z_0, \partial U)$ and $w_k = (2\beta' - 1)^k w_0$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$

As $|w_k| = q^k |w_0| < R$, then all points $a_k = z_0 + w_k$ and $b_k = z_0 - w_k$ lies in the disc $B(z_0, R) \subset U$. We show that for any $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ the follow equality is valid:

$$f(a_k) + f(b_k) = f(a_0) + f(b_0) \quad (3.1.2)$$

For $k = 0$ (3.1.2) is trivial. We suggest, that it holds for some k and show, that $f(a_{k+1}) + f(b_{k+1}) = f(a_0) + f(b_0)$.

For the tetrad $T_1 = \{b_k, a_{k+1}, b_{k+1}, \infty\}$ we have

$$A(T_1) = -\frac{b_k - b_{k+1}}{b_{k+1} - a_{k+1}} = -\frac{w_{k+1} - w_k}{-2w_{k+1}} = \frac{\beta' - 1}{2\beta' - 1} = \beta.$$

The equality

$$A(T_1) = -\frac{f(b_k) - f(b_{k+1})}{f(b_{k+1}) - f(a_{k+1})} = \beta$$

follows from the condition $\varphi(K, \beta)$. For $T_2 = \{a_k, b_{k+1}, a_{k+1}, \infty\}$ we have

$$A(T_2) = -\frac{a_k - a_{k+1}}{a_{k+1} - b_{k+1}} = -\frac{w_k - w_{k+1}}{2w_{k+1}} = \beta.$$

Therefore

$$A(T_2) = -\frac{f(a_k) - f(a_{k+1})}{f(a_{k+1}) - f(b_{k+1})} = \beta.$$

Thus we come to the equality

$$\frac{f(b_{k+1}) - f(b_k)}{f(b_{k+1}) - f(a_{k+1})} = \frac{f(a_k) - f(a_{k+1})}{f(b_{k+1}) - f(a_{k+1})},$$

from which it follows that $f(b_{k+1}) - f(b_k) = f(a_k) - f(a_{k+1})$.

Then by induction we obtain

$$f(a_{k+1}) + f(b_{k+1}) = f(a_k) + f(b_k) = f(a_0) + f(b_0).$$

Thus we have proved (3.1.2) for all $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Since $w_k \rightarrow 0$, when $k \rightarrow \infty$, then $a_k \rightarrow z_0$ and $b_k \rightarrow z_0$.

Next from (3.1.2) we obtain the desired relation (3.1.1). ■

Proposition 7. *Suppose a domain $U \subset \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ contains ∞ , and a continuous mapping $f : U \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{C}}$, $f(\infty) = \infty$ satisfies the condition $\varphi(K, \alpha)$, $\alpha \notin \{0, 1, \infty\}$. Then the mapping f moves any linear segment $L \subset U \setminus \{\infty\}$ to a linear segment; any ray $P \subset U \setminus \{\infty\}$ to some ray; any line $Q \subset U \setminus \{\infty\}$ to some line.*

Proof. We show that for any point $c \in U \setminus \{\infty\}$ the mapping f is linear on any linear segment $L \subset B(c, r)$, where $r = \text{dist}(c, \partial U)/3$. Let a, b be the endpoints of the segment L . For any point $z \in L$, $\max\{|z - a|, |z - b|\} \leq 2r < \text{dist}(z, \partial U)$. That is, we may apply the Proposition 6 to any point $z \in L$ and any w such that $|w| < \max\{|z - a|, |z - b|\}$. Therefore for any pair of points $t_1, t_2 \in L$ we have $f((t_1 + t_2)/2) = (f(t_1) + f(t_2))/2$. We conclude that the function f is linear on a dense subset of the segment L and so, by continuity of f , it is linear on L .

Thus the mapping f is locally linear on any connected subset $S \subset U \setminus \{\infty\}$, which lies on a straight line. Therefore by connectedness of S , f is linear on all of S . Particularly, an image of any segment is some segment, the image of any ray is some ray, the image of any line is some line. ■

Next we use a criterion of Moebiusness for mappings of n -dimensional domains, proposed by Zelinsky Y.B. for the mapping of (we take this theorem for case $n = 2$).

Theorem 8. ([8], **Th. 8, P. 35**) *Suppose a continuous mapping $f : D \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ of a domain $D \subset \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ moves any set $P \subset D$, which lies on*

a generalized circle to a set, which lies on a generalized circle. If $f(D)$ does not lie on a generalized circle, then f is a Moebius transformation.

Lemma 9. *Any continuous injective mapping $f : U \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{C}}$ of a domain $U \subset \overline{\mathbf{C}}$, which satisfies the condition $\varphi(K, \alpha)$, $\alpha \notin \{0, 1, \infty\}$, is a Moebius transformation.*

Proof. Take any open disc $D \subset U$ such that $\overline{D} \subset U$ and a set $P \subset D$ lies on a generalized circle $S \subset \overline{\mathbf{C}}$. Then $S \cap D$ is a connected subset of a generalized circle S .

The situation 1.

Suppose $S \cap \partial D \neq \emptyset$ and $a \in S \cap \partial D$. We build linear-fractional mappings $\mu : \overline{\mathbf{C}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{C}}$ and $\eta : \overline{\mathbf{C}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{C}}$ such that $\mu(a) = \infty$, $\eta(f(a)) = \infty$ and consider the mapping $g = \eta \circ f \circ \mu^{-1} : \mu(U) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{C}}$. This mapping satisfies the condition $\varphi(K, \alpha)$ and $\infty \in \mu(U)$, $g(\infty) = \infty$. The set $L' = \mu((S \cap \overline{D}) \setminus \{a\}) \subset \mu(U) \setminus \{\infty\}$ is either a ray or a line. By Proposition 7 the set $g(L')$ is also either some ray or a line. Therefore $f = \eta^{-1} \circ g \circ \mu$ maps a set $S \cap D$ (and any subset P also) to a subset of a circle.

The situation 2.

Take $S \subset D$ and $a \in S$. We build linear-fractional mappings μ and η by analogy with the situation 1 and consider the mapping $g = \eta \circ f \circ \mu^{-1}$. By Proposition 7 the mapping g moves the line $L' = \mu((S \setminus \{a\}) \subset \mu(U) \setminus \{\infty\}$ to some line. Therefore $g(L')$ is a generalized circle and f moves the generalized circle $S = \mu^{-1}(L')$ to a generalized circle, and any subset $P \subset S$ to a subset of a generalized circle. Thus f satisfies the conditions of the theorem 8 in the disc D and is injective. Therefore f is a Moebius transformation on the disc D . Since our choice of the disc $D \subset U$ is arbitrary, the mapping f is locally Moebius on a domain U . Moebius transformations are explicitly defined by their values on some quadruple, that does not lie on a generalized circle. From local Moebiusness of f it follows, that f is Moebius on a domain U . ■

Lemma 10. *Any continuous injective mapping $f : U \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{C}}$ of a domain $U \subset \overline{\mathbf{C}}$, which satisfies the condition $\varphi(K, \alpha)$, $\alpha \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \mathbf{R}$ is a linear-fractional function.*

Proof. By Lemma 9 the mapping f is a Moebius transformation, so $f(z)$ is either a linear-fractional function or its conjugate. We show that if $\alpha = a + ib$ and $b \neq 0$, then the second is impossible. Let $f(z) = \overline{\mu(z)}$, where $\mu(z)$ is a linear-fractional function. Take any tetrad $T = \{z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\} \subset U$ with anharmonic ratio $A(T) = \alpha = a + ib$. Then $A(\mu(T)) = A(T) = a + ib$ and $A(f(T)) = A(\overline{\mu(T)}) = \overline{A(\mu(T))} = a - ib \neq \alpha$. This contradicts the condition $\varphi(K, \alpha)$. Therefore $f(z)$ is a linear-fractional function. ■

The proof of the main Theorem follows immediately from Lemma 5, Lemma 9 and Lemma 10.

REFERENCES

- [1] Haruki H. and Rassias T.M. *A new characteristic of Möbius transformations by use of Apollonius points of triangles.* // J. Math. Anal. Appl.—1996.—Vol. 197.—P. 14-22.
- [2] Haruki H. and Rassias T.M. *A new characteristic of Möbius transformations by use of Apollonius hexagons.* // Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. —2000.—Vol. 128.—P. 2105-2109.
- [3] Bulut S., Yilmaz Özgür N. *A new characterization of Möbius transformations by use of Apollonius points of $(2n-1)$ -gons.* // Acta Math. Sinica. Engl. ser.—2005.—No 3.—P. 667-672.
- [4] Väisälä J. *Quasimöbius maps.* // J. Analyse Math.—1984/85.—44.—P. 218-234.
- [5] Aseev V.V., Sychev A.V. and Tetenov A.V. *Moebius-invariant metrics and generalized angles in ptolemaic spaces.* // Siberian Mathematical Journal.—Vol. 46.—No. 2.—pp. 189-204.—2005.
- [6] Kobayashi O. *Apollonius points and anharmonic ratios.* // Tokyo Math. J.—2007.—Vol. 30.—No 1—P. 117-119.
- [7] Beardon A.F. *The geometry of discrete groups.* // Springer -Verlag.—New York-Heidelberg-Berlin.—1983.
- [8] Zelinsky Y.B. *On maps invariant on subsets mappings.* Approximation theory and allied questions of analysis and topology. Collection of a scientific works. // Kiev, Institute of mathematics of Academy of Sciences of Ukrainian SSR—1987.—P. 25-35.(Russian)

SOBOLEV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, NOVOSIBIRSK, RUSSIA

E-mail address: ase@math.nsc.ru

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GORNO-ALTAISK STATE UNIVERSITY, RUSSIA

E-mail address: kergyl@gmail.com