# arXiv:0810.4243v1 [nucl-th] 23 Oct 2008

# Supercurrents in color-superconducting quark matter

Andreas Schmitt

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Technische Universität Wien, 1040 Vienna, Austria

# Abstract

We review the basic properties of the currCFL- $K^0$  phase in dense quark matter. At asymptotically large densities, three-flavor quark matter is in the color-flavor locked (CFL) state. The currCFL- $K^0$  state is a way to respond to "stress" on the quark Cooper pairing, imposed at more moderate densities by the strange quark mass and the conditions of electric and color neutrality. We show how a kaon supercurrent is incorporated in a purely fermionic formalism, and show that the net current vanishes due to cancellation of fermion and charge-conjugate fermion contributions.

Key words: color superconductivity, color-flavor locking, kaon condensation PACS: 12.38.Mh, 24.85.+p, 26.60.+c

# 1. Introduction

Quark matter at large chemical potentials and small temperatures is a color superconductor [1]. At asymptotically large chemical potential, the ground state is the color-flavor locked (CFL) phase [2]. This phase spontaneously breaks chiral symmetry, color gauge symmetry and baryon number symmetry. It thus gives rise to Meissner masses for all non-Abelian gauge bosons (leaving one combination of the photon and a gluon massless), and there is an octet of pseudo-Goldstone bosons, the lightest of which are the neutral kaons [3]. In addition, there is an exactly massless superfluid mode.

It is an important and difficult problem to find the ground state at large, but not asymptotically large, densities. In this case, the particularly symmetric CFL state is replaced by a state with less, and less symmetric, Cooper pairing. Starting from the CFL phase and going down in density, one finds that a Bose condensate of kaons develops, the so-called CFL- $K^0$  phase [4,5]. The next phase down in density seems to be an anisotropic phase where there is a "supercurrent" of kaons [6,7], termed currCFL- $K^0$  phase.

Here we review the basic properties of the currCFL- $K^0$  phase. In particular, we derive the fermionic propagator and the fermionic dispersion relations. We explicitly show the gauge invariance of the results which allows for a freedom in the choice of the relative rotation of right- and left-handed gap structures. Although a kaon condensate introduces a difference in right- and left-handed gap matrices, we shall see that the dispersions of right- and left-handed fermions are identical. Moreover, we show that the total current in the system vanishes, due to a cancellation of fermion and charge-conjugate fermion contributions. More "advanced" properties of the currCFL- $K^0$  phase, such as the value of the current from minimizing the free energy and the calculation of the onset of this phase in terms of the "stress" parameter  $m_s^2/\mu$ , where  $m_s$  is the strange quarks mass and  $\mu$  the quark chemical potential, can be found in Refs. [6,7,8].

There are other possible color-superconducting phases which are candidates for the ground state at densities reached in compact stars which we shall not discuss here: more complicated relatives of the currCFL- $K^0$  phase are crystalline phases [9], which contain counter-propagating currents in more than one spatial direction. If the stress on the pairing becomes too large, the only option is single-flavor pairing, for example the color-spin locked phase [10,11].

# 2. Supercurrents in the kaon-condensed phase

### 2.1. Inverse Nambu-Gorkov propagator

The fermionic part of the Lagrangian, including the condensate of quark Cooper pairs in the mean-field approximation, is

$$\bar{\Psi}S^{-1}\Psi = (\bar{\psi}, \bar{\psi}_C) \begin{pmatrix} [G_0^+]^{-1} & \Phi^- \\ \Phi^+ & [G_0^-]^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ \psi_C \end{pmatrix},$$
(1)

where  $\Psi$  is a spinor in Nambu-Gorkov space, and where we have neglected the diagonal part of the self-energy [12]. The inverse tree-level propagator for fermions (+) and charge-conjugate fermions (-) is given by

$$[G_0^{\pm}]^{-1} = i\gamma^{\mu}(\partial_{\mu} - iA_{\mu}^{\pm}) \pm \hat{\mu}\gamma^0, \qquad (2)$$

with  $A^+_{\mu} \equiv A_{\mu}, A^-_{\mu} \equiv -A^T_{\mu}$ , and where the chemical potential  $\hat{\mu}$  is a matrix in color-flavor space,

$$\hat{\mu} \equiv \mu - \frac{\hat{M}^2}{2\mu} + \mu_e Q \,. \tag{3}$$

We neglect the masses of the light quarks,  $m_u \simeq m_d \simeq 0$  and treat the effect of the strange mass as a shift in the chemical potential, i.e.,  $\hat{M} = \text{diag}(0, 0, m_s)$  in flavor space. The electric charge chemical potential is denoted by  $\mu_e$  and the generator of the electromagnetic group is Q = diag(2/3, -1/3, -1/3) in flavor space. The explicit form of the color gauge field  $A_{\mu}$  in Eq. (2) shall be discussed below. For now we only need the Dirac structure of the tree-level propagator which, due to our treatment of the quark masses, does not yield mixed terms of left- and right-handed chirality. We are thus left with a sum of left- and right-handed inverse propagators

$$\bar{\Psi}S^{-1}\Psi = \sum_{h=L,R} \Psi_h^{\dagger} S_h^{-1} \Psi_h \,, \tag{4}$$

where

$$\Psi_{h} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{h} \\ \psi_{C,h} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad S_{h}^{-1} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} [G_{0,h}^{+}]^{-1} & \Phi_{h}^{-} \\ \Phi_{h}^{+} & [G_{0,h}^{-}]^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$
(5)

with the two-component spinors  $\psi_h \equiv P_h \psi$ ,  $P_h = (1 + h\gamma_5)/2$  (h = + for L, h = - for R) and the charge-conjugate counterparts  $\psi_{C,h} = i\sigma_2 \psi_h^*$ . The corresponding tree-level propagators are

$$[G_{0,h}^+]^{-1} = P_h \gamma_0 [G_0^+]^{-1}, \qquad [G_{0,h}^-]^{-1} = -[G_0^-]^{-1} P_h \gamma_0.$$
(6)

In the present calculation it is convenient to use the Dirac matrices in the chiral representation, such that  $P_L = \text{diag}(\mathbf{1}, 0), P_R = \text{diag}(0, \mathbf{1}).$ 

The off-diagonal components of the Nambu-Gorkov propagator contain, as usual for superconductors, the condensate of Cooper pairs in form of the gap matrices  $\Phi^+ = \Delta \mathcal{M}\gamma_5$ ,  $\Phi^- = -\Delta^* \mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\gamma_5$ , where  $\Delta$  is the gap parameter and where  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $9 \times 9$  matrix in color-flavor space. We shall assume the gap parameter to be real and to be the same for all color and flavor components (for sufficiently large stress on the pairing there will be different gaps for different color and flavor components [8]). The effect of kaon condensation within the color-flavor locked phase can be understood as a change in the relative orientation of left- and right-handed gap structures. Therefore, we have different gap matrices  $\mathcal{M}_L$  and  $\mathcal{M}_R$ ,

$$\Phi_h^+(\mathbf{x}) = \Delta \mathcal{M}_h(\mathbf{x}), \qquad \Phi_h^-(\mathbf{x}) = -\Delta \mathcal{M}_h^\dagger(\mathbf{x}), \tag{7}$$

We consider  $\mathbf{x}$ -dependent gap matrices in order to account for kaon and baryon supercurrents, i.e., nonzero gradients of the Goldstone boson fields.

### 2.2. Supercurrents and gauge fields

Let us first consider the case without currents and then modify the gap structures to include currents. We start from the color-flavor matrices (the subscript "0" standing for "no supercurrent")

$$\mathcal{M}_{0,L} = X_{0,ab} I_a J_b , \qquad \mathcal{M}_{0,R} = Y_{0,ab} I_a J_b ,$$
(8)

(which implies  $\mathcal{M}_{0,L}^{\dagger} = X_{0,ab}^* I_a J_b$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{0,R}^{\dagger} = Y_{0,ab}^* I_a J_b$ ), where  $(I_a)_{ij} = (J_a)_{ij} = -i\epsilon_{aij}$  $(a, i, j \leq 3)$  are antisymmetric matrices in flavor and color space. We label the components of the 9-dimensional color-flavor space by the quark modes in the order ru, rd, rs, gu, gd, gs, bu, bd, bs, where r, g, b and u, d, s are the colors and flavors, respectively. The case  $X_0 = Y_0 = \mathbf{1}$  corresponds to the pure CFL phase, being the ground state at asymptotically large densities. If the matrices  $X_0$  and  $Y_0$  deviate from the unit matrix, the condensate rotates in the space spanned by the generators which correspond to the broken part of the original symmetry group. Such a rotated order parameter may yield a new ground state which includes Bose-Einstein condensation of kaons. The value of the kaon condensate  $\phi$  is given by  $\cos \phi = m_{K^0}^2/\mu_{K^0}^2$ , where  $m_{K^0}$  and  $\mu_{K^0}$  are the effective mass and the effective chemical potential of the neutral kaon [5]. Condensation occurs for  $m_{K^0}^2 < \mu_{K^0}^2$  which at moderate densities is likely but not certain, judging from extrapolated high-density calculations. For the following we shall assume  $m_{K^0}^2 \ll \mu_{K^0}^2$  i.e.,  $\phi \simeq \pi/2$ . For kaon condensation (and none of the other mesons developing a vacuum expectation value), the expectation value of the chiral field is

$$\Sigma_0 \equiv X_0 Y_0^{\dagger} = e^{i\phi T_6} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \cos\phi & i\sin\phi\\ 0 & i\sin\phi & \cos\phi \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (9)

(Here and in the following, the Gell-Mann matrices are normalized as  $\text{Tr}[T_aT_b] = 2\delta_{ab}$ .) For a given  $\Sigma_0$ ,  $X_0$  and  $Y_0$  are only given modulo a color transformation  $U \in SU(3)_c$ ,  $U(X_0) = X_0 U^T$ ,  $U(Y) = Y_0 U^T$ . One possible choice is

$$X_0 = Y_0^{\dagger} = \xi \equiv e^{i(\phi/2)T_6} \,. \tag{10}$$

Now we add the supercurrents. We allow for nonzero currents  $\boldsymbol{j}_B$  and  $\boldsymbol{j}_K$  for the massless bosons associated with broken baryon number and broken isospin, respectively (the boson associated with superfluidity is exactly massless while the Goldstone boson from kaon condensation receives, strictly speaking, a small mass in the keV range from weak interactions [13]). The kaon current is included by a hypercharge transformation of  $\Sigma_0$ [6,7],

$$\Sigma(\mathbf{x}) = V(\mathbf{x})\Sigma_0 V^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}), \qquad (11)$$

with the hypercharge rotation in flavor space

$$V(\mathbf{x}) = e^{\frac{i}{\sqrt{3}}\theta(\mathbf{x})T_8} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta(\mathbf{x})/3} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & e^{i\theta(\mathbf{x})/3} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & e^{-2i\theta(\mathbf{x})/3} \end{pmatrix},$$
 (12)

where  $\theta(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \mathbf{j}_K \cdot \mathbf{x}$ . From Eq. (9) we see that this transformation induces a flavor transformation on  $X_0$  and  $Y_0$ , such that  $\Sigma(\mathbf{x}) = X(\mathbf{x})Y^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x})$ . Any additional color rotation applied on the new  $X(\mathbf{x})$  and  $Y(\mathbf{x})$  should not change the result. We shall show this invariance explicitly by including a color rotation  $U(\mathbf{x})$ ,

$$X(\mathbf{x}) = V(\mathbf{x}) X_0 U^T(\mathbf{x}), \qquad Y(\mathbf{x}) = V(\mathbf{x}) Y_0 U^T(\mathbf{x}).$$
(13)

Furthermore, we add a baryon current whose color-flavor structure is trivial. Consequently, the gap matrices including supercurrents are given by

$$\mathcal{M}_L(\mathbf{x}) = e^{-2i\beta(\mathbf{x})} [X(\mathbf{x})]_{ab} I_a J_b , \qquad \mathcal{M}_R(\mathbf{x}) = e^{-2i\beta(\mathbf{x})} [Y(\mathbf{x})]_{ab} I_a J_b , \qquad (14)$$

with  $\beta(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \mathbf{j}_B \cdot \mathbf{x}$ . We see that the supercurrent state can be viewed as a state with periodically varying diquark condensate. The spatial dependence in form of a single plane wave is very "mild" since it can be removed by a redefinition of the fermion fields (in contrast to multi-plane-wave solutions which result in crystalline structures [9]). To this end, we define the new fields  $\varphi_h$  via

$$\psi_h = e^{i\beta(\mathbf{x})} U(\mathbf{x}) V(\mathbf{x}) \varphi_h \,. \tag{15}$$

(And thus  $\psi_{C,h} = e^{-i\beta} U^* V^* \varphi_{C,h}$ .) Inserting this transformation into Eq. (4) and using the gap matrices (14), we obtain the inverse Nambu-Gorkov propagator in the new basis

$$S_{h}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\beta} U^{\dagger} V^{\dagger} [G_{0,h}^{+}]^{-1} U V e^{i\beta} & -\Delta \mathcal{M}_{0,h}^{\dagger} \\ \Delta \mathcal{M}_{0,h} & e^{i\beta} U^{T} V^{T} [G_{0,h}^{-}]^{-1} U^{*} V^{*} e^{-i\beta} \end{pmatrix},$$
(16)

where, in the off-diagonal elements, we have used the identities  $UJ_bU^T = U_{cb}^*J_c$ ,  $VI_aV^T = V_{ca}^*I_a$ .

Next, we specify the gauge field appearing  $[G_0^{\pm}]^{-1}$ , see Eq. (2). The ansatz which solves the equations of motion is [6,8,14]

$$A_0(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{1}{2} [X^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x})\hat{\mu}_0 X(\mathbf{x}) + Y^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x})\hat{\mu}_0 Y(\mathbf{x})]^T, \qquad (17a)$$

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{i}{2} [X^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x})\nabla X(\mathbf{x}) + Y^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x})\nabla Y(\mathbf{x})]^{T}, \qquad (17b)$$

where  $\hat{\mu}_0$  is the traceless part of the chemical potential (3). In terms of the flavor matrices  $I_a$ , defined below Eq. (8) we have

$$\hat{\mu}_0 = \left(I_3^2 - \frac{2}{3}\right)\frac{m_s^2}{2\mu} + \left(\frac{2}{3} - I_1^2\right)\mu_e.$$
(18)

While  $\hat{\mu}_0$  is a matrix in flavor space, the matrix products  $X^{\dagger}\hat{\mu}_0 X$  and  $Y^{\dagger}\hat{\mu}_0 Y$  are color matrices since X and Y have one color and one flavor index as can be seen from Eq. (14). This conversion from flavor to color space via a usual matrix multiplication is a consequence of color-flavor locking. We can now insert the explicit forms of  $X(\mathbf{x})$  and  $Y(\mathbf{x})$  from Eq. (13) as well as the matrices  $X_0$  and  $Y_0$  from Eq. (10) with the condensate  $\phi = \pi/2$  into the gauge fields (17). As a result, the only remaining **x**-dependence is left in the color transformations  $U(\mathbf{x})$ , and we find

$$A_0(\mathbf{x}) = U(\mathbf{x}) \left(\frac{m_s^2}{4\mu} + \mu_e\right) \left(J_1^2 - \frac{2}{3}\right) U^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}), \qquad (19a)$$

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) = U(\mathbf{x})\frac{\mathcal{J}_K}{2} \left(\frac{2}{3} - J_1^2\right) U^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}) - i[\nabla U(\mathbf{x})]U^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}).$$
(19b)

This result shows that the gauge fields transform under a color transformation as expected,  $A_0 \to U A_0 U^{\dagger}$ ,  $\mathbf{A} \to U \mathbf{A} U^{\dagger} - i (\nabla U) U^{\dagger}$ .

# 2.3. Gauge invariance and inversion of propagator

Now we insert the gauge fields (19) into the inverse propagator (16), wherefore we use Eqs. (2) and (6). The result is (in momentum space)

$$S_h^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} k_0 + \mu_{\text{eff}} - h\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{A}_{\text{eff}}) & -\Delta \mathcal{M}_{0,h}^{\dagger} \\ \Delta \mathcal{M}_{0,h} & -[k_0 - \mu_{\text{eff}} + h\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{A}_{\text{eff}})] \end{pmatrix},$$
(20)

with the color-flavor matrices

$$\mu_{\text{eff}} \equiv \hat{\mu} + \left(\frac{m_s^2}{4\mu} + \mu_e\right) \left(J_1^2 - \frac{2}{3}\right), \qquad \mathbf{A}_{\text{eff}} \equiv -\mathbf{j}_B + \mathbf{j}_K \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}J_1^2 - I_3^2\right). \tag{21}$$

We have thus explicitly shown that the gauge transformation  $U(\mathbf{x})$  drops out of the result, as expected. This shows, in particular, that we could have used any other form

of  $X_0$ ,  $Y_0$ , related by a color transformation to our choice (10); this would simply have added a constant color rotation to the  $U(\mathbf{x})$  from above.

Let us denote the entries of the propagator  $S_h$ , i.e., the inversion of Eq. (20), by

$$S_h = \begin{pmatrix} G_h^+ & F_h^- \\ F_h^+ & G_h^- \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (22)$$

where  $F_h^{\pm}$  are the so-called anomalous propagators. From Eq. (20) one finds

$$G_{h}^{\pm} = \pm \left[ k_{0} \pm \mu_{\text{eff}} \mp h\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{k} \pm \mathbf{A}_{\text{eff}}) - \Delta^{2} \mathcal{M}_{0,h}^{\mp} \frac{k_{0} \mp \mu_{\text{eff}} \mp h\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{k} \mp \mathbf{A}_{\text{eff}})}{(k_{0} \mp \mu_{\text{eff}})^{2} - (\mathbf{k} \mp \mathbf{A}_{\text{eff}})^{2}} \mathcal{M}_{0,h}^{\pm} \right]^{-1} (23a)$$

$$F_{h}^{\pm} = -\Delta G_{0,h}^{\mp} \mathcal{M}_{0,h}^{\pm} G_{h}^{\pm}, \qquad (23b)$$

where we have denoted  $\mathcal{M}_{0,h}^+ \equiv \mathcal{M}_{0,h}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{0,h}^- \equiv \mathcal{M}_{0,h}^{\dagger}$ . The remaining inversion is very complicated due to the nontrivial entanglement of Dirac and color-flavor space. The situation simplifies considerably if we neglect the transverse part of the gauge field,  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{eff}} \simeq \hat{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{A}_{\text{eff}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}$ , where  $\hat{\mathbf{k}} \equiv \mathbf{k}/|\mathbf{k}|$ . This is a good approximation for small currents. In this case we can write

$$G_{h}^{\pm} = \pm \sum_{e} \lambda_{\mathbf{k}}^{e,h} \left[ Z_{e}^{\pm} - \Delta^{2} \mathcal{M}_{0,h}^{\mp} (Z_{e}^{\mp})^{-1} \mathcal{M}_{0,h}^{\pm} \right]^{-1} , \qquad (24a)$$

$$F_{h}^{\pm} = \pm \Delta \sum_{e} \lambda_{\mathbf{k}}^{e,h} (Z_{e}^{\mp})^{-1} \mathcal{M}_{h}^{\pm} \left[ Z_{e}^{\pm} - \Delta^{2} \mathcal{M}_{0,h}^{\mp} (Z_{e}^{\mp})^{-1} \mathcal{M}_{0,h}^{\pm} \right]^{-1} , \qquad (24b)$$

with the orthogonal projectors  $\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}^{e,h} \equiv (1 + eh\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}})/2$  and

$$Z_e^{\pm} = k_0 \pm (\hat{\mu}_{\text{eff}} - ek) - e\mathbf{A}_{\text{eff}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} \,.$$
<sup>(25)</sup>

Now the inversion in color-flavor space has decoupled: the matrices in the square brackets in Eqs. (24) are pure color-flavor matrices.

# 3. Charge density and vanishing net current

In order to understand the physics of the currCFL- $K^0$  phase it is instructive to compute the electric charge density, written as a sum of the fermion and charge-conjugate fermion contributions as well as contributions from the left- and right-handed fermions,

$$n_Q = \sum_h (n_{Q,h}^+ - n_{Q,h}^-), \qquad n_{Q,h}^\pm \equiv \text{Tr}[QG_h^\pm],$$
(26)

where the trace is taken over color-flavor, Dirac, and momentum space. Neglecting the antiparticle contribution, denoting the elements of the diagonal matrix (25) by  $Z_{e=+}^{\pm} = \text{diag}(z_1^{\pm}, \ldots, z_9^{\pm})$ , and using  $z_4^{\pm} = z_7^{\pm}$  (which follows from the explicit forms of  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  and  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{eff}}$ ), we find after performing the inversion in Eq. (24a) and the color-flavor trace in Eq. (26)

$$n_{Q,h}^{\pm} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{T}{V} \sum_{K} \left( 2 \frac{z_2^{\mp}}{z_2^{\mp} z_4^{\pm} - \Delta^2} - \frac{z_4^{\mp}}{z_2^{\pm} z_4^{\mp} - \Delta^2} + 2 \frac{z_3^{\mp}}{z_3^{\mp} z_4^{\pm} - \Delta^2} - \frac{z_4^{\mp}}{z_3^{\pm} z_4^{\mp} - \Delta^2} \right) + \dots (27)$$

We observe that the result is independent of h, i.e., the same for left- and right-handed contributions. The reason is that the color flavor part of  $G_R^{\pm}$  is simply the transpose of the color-flavor part of  $G_L^{\pm}$ . This means in particular that left- and right-handed fermions have the same dispersion relation.

Here we are not interested in the exact result of the charge density but rather want to explain the general features of the phase with a supercurrent. We have thus omitted the complicated terms coming from a  $5 \times 5$  block in the propagator. The remaining contribution shown in Eq. (27) has contributions from two Cooper pairs. The first two terms on the right-hand side give the contribution of pairing of a red down quark with a mixture of green and blue up quarks, i.e., in terms of flavors this is a down-up pair. In the second contribution the rd quark is replaced by an rs quark, this is a strange-up pair. In both cases, one Cooper pair constituent has twice the negative charge of the other, hence the factors of 2 and the minus signs. For illustrative purposes, let us pick one of the pairs, say the strange-up pair, and define the occupation numbers

$$f_{1,2}^{+}(\mathbf{k}) \equiv T \sum_{k_0} \frac{z_{4,3}^{-}}{z_{3}^{\pm} z_{4}^{\mp} - \Delta^2}, \qquad f_{1,2}^{-}(\mathbf{k}) \equiv T \sum_{k_0} \frac{z_{4,3}^{+}}{z_{3}^{\mp} z_{4}^{\pm} - \Delta^2}, \tag{28}$$

such that the electric charge density becomes

$$n_Q = \frac{4}{3} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \left\{ 2[f_2^+(\mathbf{k}) - f_2^-(\mathbf{k})] - [f_1^+(\mathbf{k}) - f_1^-(\mathbf{k})] \right\} + \dots$$
(29)

The occupation numbers  $f_{1,2}^+$  are positive while the occupation numbers for the chargeconjugate fermions  $f_{1,2}^-$  are negative. The subscripts 1 and 2 correspond to the two constituents of the Cooper pair. In the conventional BCS pairing without supercurrent,  $f_1^+ = f_2^+ = -f_1^- = -f_2^-$ . Let us now define

$$\xi_{\pm} \equiv k - \left(\bar{\mu} \pm \frac{3\boldsymbol{\jmath}_K}{4} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}\right), \qquad \epsilon_{\pm} \equiv \sqrt{\xi_{\pm}^2 + \Delta^2}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\jmath} \equiv \left(\boldsymbol{\jmath}_B - \frac{\boldsymbol{\jmath}_K}{4}\right) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}, \tag{30}$$

where  $\bar{\mu}$  is the "common" chemical potential of the two constituents at which pairing takes place, in this specific case  $\bar{\mu} = \mu - 7m_s^2/(24\mu)$ . Moreover, we denote the particle (p) and hole (h) dispersions, which are the poles of the propagator, and thus also the poles in Eq. (28), by

$$E_p^{\pm} \equiv \epsilon_{\mp} \pm \delta \mu - \jmath, \qquad E_h^{\pm} \equiv -\epsilon_{\mp} \pm \delta \mu - \jmath, \tag{31}$$

where  $\delta\mu$  is the "mismatch" in chemical potentials, in this case  $\delta\mu = \mu_e + m_s^2/(8\mu)$ . We can now perform the Matsubara sum in Eq. (28) and obtain, after subtracting the vacuum contribution,

$$f_{1/2}^{+}(\mathbf{k}) = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\epsilon_{\mp} + \xi_{\mp}}{2\epsilon_{\mp}} \tanh \frac{E_{p}^{\pm}}{2T} + \frac{\epsilon_{\mp} - \xi_{\mp}}{2\epsilon_{\mp}} \tanh \frac{E_{h}^{\pm}}{2T} - 1 \right) , \qquad (32a)$$

$$f_{1/2}^{-}(\mathbf{k}) = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\epsilon_{\pm} + \xi_{\pm}}{2\epsilon_{\pm}} \tanh \frac{E_{h}^{\mp}}{2T} + \frac{\epsilon_{\pm} - \xi_{\pm}}{2\epsilon_{\pm}} \tanh \frac{E_{p}^{\mp}}{2T} + 1 \right) \,. \tag{32b}$$

Let us assume that  $\boldsymbol{j}_B = j_B \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}$  and  $\boldsymbol{j}_K = -j_K \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}$ , such that  $\boldsymbol{j} = (j_B + j_K/4) x$ , where  $x = \cos \theta$  and  $\theta$  is the angle between  $\boldsymbol{k}$  and the z-axis. This implies  $f_{1/2}^+(x) = -f_{1/2}^-(-x)$ . The angular integration in the charge density (29),

$$\int_{-1}^{1} dx f_{1/2}^{+}(x) = -\int_{-1}^{1} dx f_{1/2}^{-}(x) , \qquad (33)$$

thus ensures that fermions and charge-conjugate fermions yield the same contribution to  $n_Q$  (note the additional minus in Eq. (29) in front of the charge-conjugate terms). We also have the relation

$$\int_{-1}^{1} dx \, x f_{1/2}^{+}(x) = \int_{-1}^{1} dx \, x f_{1/2}^{-}(x) \,. \tag{34}$$

This relation ensures that the total electric current vanishes,

$$\mathbf{J}_Q = \frac{4}{3} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \, \mathbf{k} \, \left\{ 2[f_2^+(\mathbf{k}) - f_2^-(\mathbf{k})] - [f_1^+(\mathbf{k}) - f_1^-(\mathbf{k})] \right\} + \ldots = 0 \,. \tag{35}$$

In the directions perpendicular to  $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ ,  $\mathbf{J}_Q$  vanishes trivially; in the z-direction it vanishes since fermion and charge-conjugate fermion contributions cancel each other, as can be seen from Eq. (34). This implies that the net current corresponding to any conserved charge must vanish. Here we have shown this on a purely fermionic level, adding up the contributions of all ungapped fermions. Another way of saying this is that the gradient of the Goldstone boson field is cancelled by a current of ungapped fermions [7,15,16].

### Acknowledgments

I thank the organizers of the SEWM 2008 conference in Amsterdam for a stimulating and fruitful conference. I thank Mark Alford and Andrei Kryjevski for valuable discussions about the work reviewed here. I acknowledge support by the FWF project P19526.

# References

- [1] For a review, see M. G. Alford, A. Schmitt, K. Rajagopal and T. Schäfer, arXiv:0709.4635 [hep-ph].
- [2] M. G. Alford, K. Rajagopal and F. Wilczek, Nucl. Phys. B 537, 443 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9804403].
- [3] D. T. Son and M. A. Stephanov, Phys. Rev. D 61, 074012 (2000) [arXiv:hep-ph/9910491].
- [4] P. F. Bedaque and T. Schäfer, Nucl. Phys. A 697, 802 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0105150].
- [5] D. B. Kaplan and S. Reddy, Phys. Rev. D 65, 054042 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0107265].
- [6] A. Kryjevski, Phys. Rev. D 77, 014018 (2008) [arXiv:hep-ph/0508180].
- [7] T. Schäfer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 012305 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0508190].
- [8] A. Gerhold, T. Schäfer and A. Kryjevski, Phys. Rev. D 75, 054012 (2007) [arXiv:hep-ph/0612181].
- [9] K. Rajagopal and R. Sharma, Phys. Rev. D 74, 094019 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0605316].
- [10] T. Schäfer, Phys. Rev. D 62, 094007 (2000) [arXiv:hep-ph/0006034].
- [11] A. Schmitt, Phys. Rev. D 71, 054016 (2005) [arXiv:nucl-th/0412033].
- [12] Q. Wang and D. H. Rischke, Phys. Rev. D 65, 054005 (2002) [arXiv:nucl-th/0110016].
- [13] D. T. Son, arXiv:hep-ph/0108260.
- [14] A. Kryjevski and D. Yamada, Phys. Rev. D 71, 014011 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0407350].
- [15] D. T. Son and M. A. Stephanov, Phys. Rev. A 74, 013614 (2006) [arXiv:cond-mat/0507586].
- [16] D. T. Son and M. A. Stephanov, Phys. Rev. D 77, 014021 (2008) [arXiv:0710.1084 [hep-ph]].