

Quantum Brownian motion under space-dependent rapid periodic forcing.

Malay Bandyopadhyay & Mustansir Barma

*Department of Theoretical Physics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research,
Homi Bhabha Road, Colaba, Mumbai-400005, India.*

(Dated: February 24, 2019)

We study the long time behaviour of a damped quantum Brownian particle which is subjected to a space-dependent rapidly oscillating force. It is known that starting from an initial coherent state representation of bath oscillators and using Wigner thermal distribution for harmonic oscillators, one can derive a c-number generalized quantum Langevin equation. This enables us to use the usual classical perturbative method in the high-frequency regime to find the long time behaviour of periodically driven stochastic quantum system. In the case of an Ohmic dissipative bath, we derive the lowest order quantum correction factor exactly. Finally, we study the effective equation of motion for two explicit examples of external perturbing field in the leading order. The quantum correction is found to be appreciable in the underdamped case and decreases rapidly as damping is increased.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Yz, 42.50.Dv, 42.50.Lc

I. INTRODUCTION

Parametric phenomena, induced by giving a time-dependence to a parameter or coupling constant in a problem, are ubiquitous in physics. Applications range from confining ions in quadrupolar traps (Paul traps) [1] to controlling particle bunching and dilution in particle accelerators [2].

When the parameter in question oscillates rapidly, certain simplifications can occur, as first demonstrated by Kapitza for the case of a simple pendulum whose point of oscillation is vibrated rapidly [3]. Kapitza's treatment for the pendulum was generalized by Landau and Lifshitz to apply to any forced system evolving through Newtonian dynamics, provided the forcing depends on the spatial coordinate and oscillates rapidly enough in time [4, 5]. The result is simple and elegant. To leading order in the period of driving, the system feels an additional effective static potential, whose form depends on the spatial variation of the forcing. Recently, this treatment has been generalized to the case of a Brownian particle subjected to similar forcing [6, 7]. The result, to leading order, is again an effective static potential, but with additional terms reflecting the effect of dissipation in this system. In the next-to-leading order, it is shown that the result cannot be written as an effective potential, except in the limit of high damping [6]. In contrast to the Fokker-Planck approach of Ref. [6], a Langevin approach is used in [7]. However, the approximation made leads to a result which agrees with the correct one to leading order, and to the next order only if the damping is very large.

The purpose of the present paper is to address this problem in the case of a dissipative quantum system. To this end, we study the effect of an externally applied position-dependent time-periodic driving force on the motion of a quantum particle confined by a harmonic potential, and coupled to a dissipative heat bath. We follow the usual practice of modeling the heat bath as a set of harmonic oscillators, with a coupling of the coordinate

of the particle to the coordinates of the bath oscillators [8, 9, 10, 11]. We ask: To leading order in the driving period, is the result again an effective time-independent potential, and, if yes, what is the form of quantum corrections in it?

The problem has been addressed earlier in the absence of dissipation, first by Cook *et al.* [12]. These authors showed that to leading order a Schrödinger equation with a rapid periodic driving term is tantamount to one with an additional static potential of the Kapitza-Landau-Lifshitz (KLL) form. Rahav *et al* developed a systematic expansion in powers of the period of driving, and showed that to the next order, the effective static Hamiltonian cannot be written purely as a potential energy term, as it involves the particle coordinates and momentum in a non-separable way [13].

These treatments pertain to a particle which is free of dissipation and quantum noise. In this paper, we include the latter-mentioned effects in our study by considering the particle coupled to its environment, which consists of an infinite number of harmonic oscillators, as is standard in the study of a quantum Brownian particle [9, 10]. After deriving the generalized quantum Langevin equation (GQLE) in operator form, we proceed by following the treatment of Banerjee *et al.* [14, 15] and derive a c-number GQLE from the operator form, assuming a particular sort of initial condition. This enables us to use KLL method to derive, to leading order, an effective potential for a driven, damped quantum particle confined by a harmonic potential. Unlike in the absence of dissipation, we find that there is a quantum correction to the classical answer, and illustrate its effect for two types of space-dependent driving terms.

II. FROM OPERATOR GQLE TO C-NUMBER GQLE

The starting point of this section is the usual system heat bath model of the Zwanzig form [8] with the follow-

ing Hamiltonian :

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + V_0(\hat{x}) + V_{ext}(\hat{x}, t) + \sum_j \left[\frac{\hat{p}_j^2}{2m_j} + \frac{1}{2} m_j \omega_j^2 \left(\hat{q}_j - \frac{c_j}{m_j \omega_j^2} \hat{x} \right)^2 \right], \quad (1)$$

where \hat{x} and \hat{p} are coordinate and momentum operators of the Brownian particle, $\{\hat{q}_j, \hat{p}_j\}$ is the set of co-ordinate and momentum operators for the heat-bath oscillators, $V_0(\hat{x})$ is the harmonic confining potential. The potential $V_{ext}(\hat{x}, t)$ is due to the external force field. The co-ordinate and momentum operators follow the usual commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{x}, \hat{p}] &= i\hbar, \\ [\hat{q}_j, \hat{p}_k] &= i\hbar \delta_{jk}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Eliminating the reservoir degrees of freedom in the usual way [9, 11], we obtain the operator GQLE corresponding to Hamiltonian (1) as follows :

$$\begin{aligned} m\ddot{\hat{x}}(t) + m \int_0^t dt_1 \gamma(t-t_1) \dot{\hat{x}}(t_1) + V_0'(\hat{x}) \\ = F_{ext}(\hat{x}, t) + \hat{\xi}(t), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where noise operator $\hat{\xi}(t)$, memory kernel $\gamma(t)$ and external force $F_{ext}(\hat{x}, t)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\xi}(t) = \sum_j c_j \left[\left(\hat{q}_j(0) - \frac{c_j}{m_j \omega_j^2} \hat{x}(0) \right) \cos(\omega_j t) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\hat{p}_j(0)}{m_j \omega_j} \sin(\omega_j t) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$$\gamma(t) = \sum_j \frac{c_j^2}{m_j \omega_j^2} \cos(\omega_j t), \quad (5)$$

$$F_{ext}(\hat{x}, t) = -V_{ext}'(\hat{x}, t). \quad (6)$$

Equation (3) is an exact GQLE in operator form. Our aim is to replace Eq. (3) by its c-number equivalent. To achieve this c-number GQLE, we follow the method of Banerjee *et al.* [14, 15].

Now, we introduce product separable quantum states of the particle and the bath oscillators at $t = 0$,

$$|\psi_{initial}\rangle = |\phi\rangle \{|\alpha_j\rangle\}, \quad (7)$$

where $|\phi\rangle$ denotes any arbitrary initial state of the particle and $|\alpha_j\rangle$ corresponds to the initial coherent state of the j th oscillator. Here $|\alpha_j\rangle$ is given by

$$|\alpha_j\rangle = \exp\left(-\frac{|\alpha_j|^2}{2}\right) \sum_{n_j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_j^{n_j}}{\sqrt{n_j!}}\right) |n_j\rangle, \quad (8)$$

and α_j is expressed in terms of the variables of the coordinate and momentum of the j th oscillator

$$\langle \hat{q}_j(0) \rangle - \langle \hat{x}(0) \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2\omega_j}} (\alpha_j^* + \alpha_j) \quad (9)$$

$$\langle \hat{p}_j(0) \rangle = i\sqrt{\frac{\hbar\omega_j}{2}} (\alpha_j^* - \alpha_j). \quad (10)$$

Following [14, 15] and doing the quantum-statistical averaging starting from an initial product separable quantum state (Eq. 7), one obtains the c-number GQLE :

$$\begin{aligned} m\ddot{X}(t) + m \int_0^t dt_1 \gamma(t-t_1) \dot{X}(t_1) + V_0'(X) \\ + V_{ext}'(X, t) = \xi(t) + Q_0(X, t) + Q_1(X, t), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} X(t) &= \langle \hat{x} \rangle, \\ \xi(t) = \langle \hat{\xi}(t) \rangle &= \sum_j \left[\{ \langle \hat{q}_j(0) \rangle - \langle \hat{x}(0) \rangle \} \frac{c_j}{m_j \omega_j^2} \cos(\omega_j t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sqrt{\frac{c_j}{m_j \omega_j^2}} \langle \hat{p}_j(0) \rangle \sin(\omega_j t) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

and Q_0 and Q_1 are quantum correction terms, given by

$$Q_0(X, t) = V_0'(X) - \langle V_0'(\hat{x}) \rangle, \quad (13)$$

$$Q_{ext}(X, t) = V_{ext}'(X, t) - \langle V_{ext}'(\hat{x}, t) \rangle. \quad (14)$$

In order that $\xi(t)$ be an effective c-number noise, we must have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi(t) \rangle_s &= 0, \\ \langle \xi(t) \xi(t') \rangle_s &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \frac{c_j}{m_j \omega_j^2} \hbar \omega_j \coth\left(\frac{\hbar \omega_j}{2k_B T}\right) \\ &\quad \times \cos \omega_j(t-t'), \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where $\langle \dots \rangle_s$ denotes statistical average over the initial distribution of the mean values of the momenta and co-ordinates of the bath oscillators. Equations (15) and (16) imply that $\xi(t)$ is centered around zero and satisfies the quantum fluctuation-dissipation relation, and are obtained if and only if the initial quantum mechanical mean values of momenta and co-ordinates of the bath oscillators have the following distribution [14, 15]:

$$P_j = \exp \left[-\frac{\omega_j^2 \{ \langle \hat{q}_j(0) \rangle - \langle \hat{x}(0) \rangle \}^2 + \langle \hat{p}_j(0) \rangle^2}{2\hbar \omega_j (\bar{n}_j + \frac{1}{2})} \right], \quad (17)$$

where $\bar{n}_j = [\exp(\frac{\hbar \omega_j}{k_B T}) - 1]^{-1}$ which is the average thermal photon number at temperature T . Thus, the statistical average of any quantum mechanical mean value $O_j(\langle \hat{p}_j(0) \rangle, \{ \langle \hat{q}_j(0) \rangle - \langle \hat{x}(0) \rangle \})$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle O_j \rangle_s &= \int O_j(\langle \hat{p}_j(0) \rangle, \{ \langle \hat{q}_j(0) \rangle - \langle \hat{x}(0) \rangle \}) P_j \\ &\quad d\langle \hat{p}_j(0) \rangle d\{ \langle \hat{q}_j(0) \rangle - \langle \hat{x}(0) \rangle \}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Using equations (12), (17), and (18), one can easily show the properties (15) and (16) of the c-number noise. It is to be mentioned that P_j is a canonical Wigner distribution for a displaced harmonic oscillator which remains always positive [16]. Now, one can interpret equation (11) as a c-number GQLE which is

governed by a c-number quantum noise $\xi(t)$ originating from the heat bath characterized by the properties (15) and (16). The two quantum fluctuation terms $Q_0(X, t)$ and $Q_{ext}(X, t)$ originate from the nonlinearity of the confining potential $V_0(X)$ and the externally applied rapidly oscillating potential $V_{ext}(X, t)$, respectively.

III. QUANTUM CORRECTION FACTORS

In this section, we discuss the derivation of the quantum correction factors $Q_0(X, t)$ and $Q_{ext}(X, t)$. Based on the quantum nature of the system, one can write

$$\hat{x}(t) = X(t) + \delta\hat{x}(t), \quad (19)$$

$$\hat{p}(t) = P(t) + \delta\hat{p}(t), \quad (20)$$

where $X(t) = \langle \hat{x}(t) \rangle$, $P(t) = \langle \hat{p}(t) \rangle$ and $\delta\hat{x}$, $\delta\hat{p}$ are the operators which follow :

$$\langle \delta\hat{x} \rangle = 0 = \langle \delta\hat{p} \rangle, \quad (21)$$

$$[\delta\hat{x}, \delta\hat{p}] = i\hbar. \quad (22)$$

Now, using Eq. (19) and a Taylor series expansion around X , one can write

$$Q_0(X, t) = - \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{1}{n!} V_0^{(n+1)}(X) \langle \delta\hat{x}^n \rangle, \quad (23)$$

$$Q_{ext}(X, t) = - \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{1}{n!} V_{ext}^{(n+1)}(X, t) \langle \delta\hat{x}^n \rangle, \quad (24)$$

where $V_0^{(n+1)}(X)$ and $V_{ext}^{(n+1)}(X, t)$ are the $(n+1)$ th derivative of the potential $V_0(X)$ and $V_{ext}(X, t)$. The calculation of $Q_0(X, t)$ and $Q_{ext}(X, t)$ depends on quantum correction factors $\langle \delta\hat{x}^n(t) \rangle$ which are derived by solving the quantum correction equations.

Putting Eq. (19) and Eq. (20) in Eq. (3), one can easily show that the quantum correction equation is given by

$$\begin{aligned} m\delta\ddot{\hat{x}} + m \int_0^t dt_1 \gamma(t-t_1) \delta\dot{\hat{x}} + V_0''(X) \delta\hat{x} \\ + V_{ext}''(X, t) \delta\hat{x} + \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{1}{n!} V_0^{(n+1)}(X) \langle \delta\hat{x}^n \rangle \\ + \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{1}{n!} V_{ext}^{(n+1)}(X, t) \langle \delta\hat{x}^n \rangle = \delta\hat{\xi}(t), \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where $\delta\hat{\xi}(t) = \hat{\xi}(t) - \xi(t)$. Now, we want to calculate $\delta\hat{x}(t)$ perturbatively to obtain the quantum correction factors. We consider the confining potential to be harmonic i.e. $V_0(X) = \frac{1}{2}m\omega_0^2 X^2$. Since we calculate $\delta\hat{x}(t)$ in a perturbative way, we may set $V_{ext}(X, t) = 0$ for this purpose. We restrict our calculation to the leading order of the quantum correction factors i.e.

$$Q_0(X, t) = -\frac{1}{2!} V_0'''(X) \langle \delta\hat{x}^2(t) \rangle, \quad (26)$$

$$Q_{ext}(X, t) = -\frac{1}{2!} V_{ext}'''(X, t) \langle \delta\hat{x}^2(t) \rangle. \quad (27)$$

It is now adequate to derive only $\langle \delta\hat{x}^2(t) \rangle$ for the calculation of quantum correction factors.

With the above mentioned approximations, the quantum correction equation becomes

$$m\delta\ddot{\hat{x}} + m \int_0^t dt_1 \gamma(t-t_1) \delta\dot{\hat{x}} + m\omega_0^2 \delta\hat{x} = \delta\hat{\xi}. \quad (28)$$

The solution of equation (28) is

$$\delta\hat{x}(t) = G(t) \delta\hat{x}(0) + H(t) \delta\dot{\hat{x}}(0) + \int_0^t dt' G(t-t') \delta\hat{\xi}(t'), \quad (29)$$

where $G(t)$ and $H(t)$ are the inverse Laplace transform of $\tilde{G}(s)$ and $\tilde{H}(s)$ respectively and are given by

$$\tilde{G}(s) = \frac{s + \tilde{\gamma}(s)}{s^2 + s\tilde{\gamma}(s) + \omega_0^2}, \quad (30)$$

$$\tilde{H}(s) = \frac{1}{s^2 + s\tilde{\gamma}(s) + \omega_0^2} \quad (31)$$

with

$$\tilde{\gamma}(s) = \int_0^\infty \gamma(t) e^{-st} dt \quad (32)$$

is the Laplace transform of the Frictional kernel $\gamma(t)$. Squaring Eq. (29) and taking the quantum-statistical average, we obtain the relevant quantum correction $\langle \delta\hat{x}^2(t) \rangle$ as follows :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \delta\hat{x}^2(t) \rangle = G^2(t) \langle \delta\hat{x}^2(0) \rangle + \frac{H^2(t)}{m^2} \langle \delta\hat{p}^2(0) \rangle \\ + \frac{G(t)H(t)}{m} \langle \delta\hat{x}(0) \delta\hat{p}(0) + \delta\hat{p}(0) \delta\hat{x}(0) \rangle \\ + 2 \int_0^t dt' \int_0^{t'} dt'' H(t-t') H(t-t'') \langle \delta\hat{\xi}(t') \delta\hat{\xi}(t'') \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

A standard choice of initial conditions corresponding to minimum uncertainty states is [14, 19]

$$\langle \delta\hat{x}^2(0) \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega_0},$$

$$\langle \delta\hat{p}^2(0) \rangle = \frac{m\hbar\omega_0}{2},$$

$$\langle \delta\hat{x}(0) \delta\hat{p}(0) + \delta\hat{p}(0) \delta\hat{x}(0) \rangle = \hbar. \quad (34)$$

We need to know the exact form of function $H(t)$ and $G(t)$ to calculate $\langle \delta\hat{x}^2(t) \rangle$. From the definition of $H(t)$ and $G(t)$, we can write

$$H(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty+\epsilon}^{i\infty+\epsilon} \tilde{H}(s) e^{st} ds, \quad (35)$$

$$G(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty+\epsilon}^{i\infty+\epsilon} \tilde{G}(s) e^{st} ds \quad (36)$$

Using the residue theorem, one can easily show that for the Ohmic dissipative bath and underdamped case

$$H(t) = \frac{1}{\omega_1} e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}t} \sin(\omega_1 t), \quad (37)$$

$$G(t) = e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}t} \left[\cos(\omega_1 t) + \frac{\gamma}{2\omega_1} \sin(\omega_1 t) \right]. \quad (38)$$

where $\omega_1 = \pm\sqrt{\omega_0^2 - \frac{\gamma^2}{4}}$. For the overdamped case, ω_1 becomes imaginary and $H(t)$ and $G(t)$ are modified as follows

$$H(t) = \frac{1}{\omega'_1} e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}t} \sinh(\omega'_1 t), \quad (39)$$

$$G(t) = e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}t} \left[\cosh(\omega'_1 t) + \frac{\gamma}{2\omega'_1} \sinh(\omega'_1 t) \right], \quad (40)$$

where $\omega'_1 = \pm\sqrt{\frac{\gamma^2}{4} - \omega_0^2}$.

Now, for the Ohmic heat bath, the double integral in equation (33) can be rewritten as follows :

$$\begin{aligned} I &= 2 \int_0^t dt' \int_0^{t'} dt'' H(t-t') H(t-t'') \langle \delta \hat{\xi}(t') \delta \hat{\xi}(t'') \rangle \\ &= \frac{\gamma}{\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega \hbar \omega \coth\left(\frac{\hbar\omega}{2k_B T}\right) \int_0^t dt' \int_0^{t'} dt'' e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}(t-t'')} \\ &\quad \frac{\sin \omega_1(t-t')}{\omega_1} e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}(t-t'')} \frac{\sin \omega_1(t-t'')}{\omega_1} \cos \omega(t'-t'') \\ &= \frac{\gamma}{\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega \hbar \omega \coth\left(\frac{\hbar\omega}{2k_B T}\right) \\ &\quad \left| \frac{1 - e^{-(\frac{\gamma}{2} - i\omega)t} [\cos \omega_1 t + (\frac{\gamma}{2} - i\omega_1) \frac{\sin \omega_1 t}{\omega_1}]}{\omega^2 - \omega_0^2 + i\gamma\omega} \right|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Thus, we have observed that the time dependence of the mean fluctuation in displacement is complicated, but it reduces to a simple form for large time compare to γ^{-1} and is given by

$$\langle \delta \hat{x}^2 \rangle_{eq} = \frac{\gamma}{\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega \hbar \omega \coth\left(\frac{\hbar\omega}{2k_B T}\right) \frac{1}{(\omega^2 - \omega_0^2)^2 + \gamma^2 \omega^2}. \quad (42)$$

In the classical limit i.e. for $\hbar \rightarrow 0$, we find

$$\langle \delta \hat{x}^2 \rangle_{eq} = \frac{k_B T}{\omega_0^2}, \quad (43)$$

which is the classical equipartition result. On the other hand, the equilibrium mean fluctuation in displacement at zero temperature is given by

$$\langle \delta \hat{x}^2 \rangle_{eq} \Big|_{T=0} = \frac{\hbar}{\pi \omega_1} \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{2\omega_1}{\gamma}\right); \omega_0 > \frac{\gamma}{2} \quad (44)$$

$$= \frac{\hbar}{2\pi \omega'_1} \ln\left(\frac{\frac{\gamma}{2} + \omega'_1}{\frac{\gamma}{2} - \omega'_1}\right); \omega_0 < \frac{\gamma}{2} \quad (45)$$

In the weak damping regime ($\gamma \ll \omega_0$), one obtains from equation (42)

$$\langle \delta \hat{x}^2 \rangle_{eq} = \frac{\hbar}{2\omega_0} \coth\left(\frac{\hbar\omega_0}{2\pi k_B T}\right). \quad (46)$$

In the strong damping regime ($\gamma \gg \omega_0$), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \delta \hat{x}^2 \rangle_{eq} &= \frac{\hbar}{\pi \gamma} \left[2 \ln\left(\frac{\gamma}{\omega_0}\right) + \ln\left(\frac{\hbar\omega_0^2}{2\pi \gamma k_B T}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\pi \gamma k_B T}{\hbar \omega_0^2} - \left(\frac{\pi k_B T}{\hbar \gamma}\right)^2 + \gamma_E \right], \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

where $\gamma_E = 0.57772$ is the Euler's constant.

IV. KAPITZA'S METHOD & EFFECTIVE EQUATION OF MOTION

We obtained the c-number GQLE in section-II. This enables us to apply Kapitza's method to derive the effective equation of motion for the rapidly oscillating force field. In this section, we derive the effective equation of motion for the damped quantum harmonic oscillator which is in contact with a heat bath and is driven by a rapidly oscillating space-dependent force. For the harmonic confining potential and Ohmic dissipative bath, the equation of motion in c-number can be obtained from equation (11) and is given by

$$m\ddot{X}(t) + m\gamma\dot{X}(t) + V_0'(X) = F_{ext}(X, t) + Q_{ext}(X, t) + \xi(t). \quad (48)$$

For an Ohmic dissipative bath, one can show that the noise term does not alter the effective Hamiltonian in the leading order [20]. Now, following Kapitza's method one can split $X(t)$ as follows [3]:

$$X(t) = S(t) + R(S, t), \quad (49)$$

where $S(t)$ and $R(S, t)$ are the slow coordinate and rapidly oscillating coordinate. Inserting Eq. (49) in Eq. (48), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} m\ddot{S}(t) + m\ddot{R} &= -\gamma\dot{S} - \gamma\dot{R} - \frac{\partial V_0(S)}{\partial S} - R \frac{\partial^2 V_0}{\partial S^2} \\ &+ F_{ext}(S, t) + R F'_{ext}(S, t) + Q_{ext}(S, t) + R Q_{ext}(S, t) \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

Equation (50) contains both slow and fast part and they must be separately equal, thus

$$m\ddot{R} + \gamma\dot{R} = F_{ext}(S, t). \quad (51)$$

For $F_{ext}(S, t) = f(S) \cos(\Omega t) + g(S) \sin(\Omega t)$,

$$\begin{aligned} R(S, t) &= -\frac{1}{m(\Omega^2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{m^2})} \left[(f(S) + \frac{\gamma}{m\Omega} g(S)) \cos(\Omega t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (g(S) - \frac{\gamma}{m\Omega} f(S)) \sin(\Omega t) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

Now, putting Eq. (52) in Eq. (50) and averaging over one time-period (which is denoted by subscript T) of the external field, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} m\ddot{S}(t) + \gamma\dot{S} &= -\frac{\partial V_0(S)}{\partial S} + \langle R F'_{ext}(S, t) \rangle_T \\ &+ \langle Q_{ext}(S, t) \rangle_T + \langle R Q_{ext}(S, t) \rangle_T. \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle R F'_{ext}(S, t) \rangle_T &= -\frac{1}{4m(\Omega^2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{m^2})} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial S} (f^2(S) + g^2(S)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{2\gamma}{m\Omega} (f'(S)g(S) - g'(S)f(S)) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Q_{ext}(S, t) \rangle_T &= -\frac{1}{2T} \int_0^T dt \{ f'''(S) \cos(\Omega t) \\ &\quad + g'''(S) \sin(\Omega t) \} \left[\langle \delta \hat{x}^2(t) \rangle \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

The term in the square bracket is slowly varying, so that one can take it out of the integration and we obtain $\langle Q_{ext}(S, t) \rangle_T = 0$. In the same manner one can show that in the limit of large t

$$\langle RQ_{ext}(S, t) \rangle_T = \frac{1}{2m(\Omega^2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{m^2})} \left[\langle \delta \hat{x}^2 \rangle \right]_{eq} \left\{ (f(S)f'''(S) + g(S)g'''(S)) + \frac{\gamma}{m\Omega} (f'''(S)g(S) - g'''(S)f(S)) \right\}, \quad (56)$$

where we have replaced $\langle \delta \hat{x}^2(t) \rangle$ by its equilibrium value $\langle \delta \hat{x}^2 \rangle_{eq}$, valid since $t \rightarrow \infty$. Finally, one can write down the effective equation of motion for the slow variable as follows

$$m\ddot{S} + \gamma\dot{S} = -\frac{\partial U_{eff}}{\partial S}, \quad (57)$$

where

$$U_{eff} = V_0 + V_{cl} + V_q, \quad (58)$$

$$V_{cl} = \frac{1}{4m(\Omega^2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{m^2})} \left[(f^2(S) + g^2(s)) + \frac{2\gamma}{m\Omega} \int_0^S dy (g(y)f'(y) - f(y)g'(y)) \right], \quad (59)$$

and

$$V_q = -\frac{1}{4m(\Omega^2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{m^2})} \left[\langle \delta \hat{x}^2 \rangle \right]_{eq} \left\{ \int_0^S dy (f(y)f'''(y) + g(y)g'''(y)) + \frac{\gamma}{m\Omega} \int_0^S dy (g(y)f'''(y) - f(y)g'''(y)) \right\}. \quad (60)$$

Equation (60) embodies the leading order quantum correction. We will now use it to calculate the effective potential for the driven damped quantum harmonic oscillator in a heat bath for particular forms of the driving potential.

V. TWO EXAMPLES

In this section, we discuss the effective potential obtained from two different types of external space-dependent rapidly oscillating time-periodic force. Let us first consider a system which is perturbed by an oscillatory Gaussian force i.e. $(F_{ext}(X, t) = \Gamma\beta X \exp(-\beta X^2) \cos(\Omega t))$. The effective potential is comprised of V_0 , V_{cl} (classical part) and V_q (quantum part). Now, for the above mentioned Gaussian oscillat-

ing force :

$$\tilde{V}_0 = \frac{\beta V_0}{V_0^1} = S_\beta^2, \quad (61)$$

$$\tilde{V}_{cl} = \frac{\beta V_{cl}}{V_0^1} = \frac{A}{1 + \frac{1}{4\pi^2\rho^2}} S_\beta^2 \exp(-2S_\beta^2), \quad (62)$$

$$\tilde{V}_q = \frac{\beta V_q}{V_0^1} = \frac{A}{\sqrt{\pi}(1 + \frac{1}{4\pi^2\rho^2})} \left[\langle \delta \hat{x}^2 \rangle \right]_{eq} \left[6(\Gamma(2, 2S_\beta^2) - \Gamma(2)) + 3(1 - e^{-2S_\beta^2}) - (\Gamma(3, 2S_\beta^2) - \Gamma(3)) \right], \quad (63)$$

where $\Gamma(a, x)$ is the incomplete gamma function and $V_0^1 = \frac{1}{2}m\omega_0^2$.




FIG. 1: (color online) Plot of the effective time independent potential versus S_β for the underdamped harmonic oscillator driven by the external field $F_{ext}(X, t) = \Gamma\beta X \exp(-\beta X^2) \cos(\Omega t)$ (a) without (red filled circle; $\tilde{V}_0 + \tilde{V}_{cl}$) and with (blue filled square; $\tilde{V}_0 + \tilde{V}_{cl} + \tilde{V}_q$) quantum effective potential part (b) only classical effective potential part (red filled circle; \tilde{V}_{cl}) and quantum effective potential part only (blue filled square; \tilde{V}_q). To plot this figure we use $\rho = 83.33$, $\chi = 30.33$, $\chi_{1q} = 0.8$, $\chi_{2q} = 0.318$ and $A = 5.76$.

The above equations (61), (62) and (63) are expressed in terms of dimensionless variables $S_\beta = \sqrt{\beta}S$, $A = \frac{\Gamma^2\beta^2}{8mV_0^1\Omega^2}$, $\rho = \frac{m\Omega}{2\pi\gamma}$, and $[\langle \delta \hat{x}^2 \rangle]_{eq} = \beta[\langle \delta \hat{x}^2 \rangle]_{eq}$. The dimensionless quantum correction factor $[\langle \delta \hat{x}^2 \rangle]_{eq}$ contains three more dimensionless variables $\chi = \frac{m\omega_0}{2\pi\gamma}$, $\chi_{1q} = \frac{\beta\hbar}{m\omega_0}$ and $\chi_{2q} = \frac{\hbar\omega_0}{2\pi k_B T}$. We need to express the quantum correction factor in terms of dimensionless variables. Since Grabert has pointed out that the quantum Brownian motion can be Markovian in the limits of weak and strong damping [21], we restrict our discussion only in these two regimes. Hence, we need to express the quantum correction factor in these two extreme regimes in terms of dimensionless variables. In the weak damping regime, $[\langle \delta \hat{x}^2 \rangle]_{eq}$ is given by

$$[\langle \delta \hat{x}^2 \rangle]_{eq} = \frac{\chi_{1q}}{2} \coth(\chi_{2q}). \quad (64)$$




FIG. 2: (color online) Plot of the effective time independent potential versus S_β for the overdamped harmonic oscillator driven by the external field $F_{ext}(X, t) = \Gamma\beta X \exp(-\beta X^2) \cos(\Omega t)$ (a) without (red filled circle; $\tilde{V}_0 + \tilde{V}_{cl}$) and with (blue filled square; $\tilde{V}_0 + \tilde{V}_{cl} + \tilde{V}_q$) quantum effective potential part and they are superimposed on each other (b) only quantum effective potential part (blue filled square; \tilde{V}_q) and (c) classical effective potential part only (blue filled square; \tilde{V}_{cl}). To plot this figure we use $\rho = 1.23$, $\chi = 0.011$, $\chi_{1q} = 0.8$, $\chi_{2q} = 0.318$ and $A = 5.76$.

In the strong damping regime, $[\langle \tilde{\delta}\hat{x}^2 \rangle]_{eq}$ can be rewritten as follows,

$$[\langle \tilde{\delta}\hat{x}^2 \rangle]_{eq} = 2\chi_{1q}\chi \left[2 \ln \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\chi} \right) + \ln(2\pi\chi\chi_{1q}) + \frac{1}{\pi\chi\chi_{1q}} - \pi^2 \left(\frac{\chi}{\chi_{1q}} \right)^2 + \gamma E \right]. \quad (65)$$

To interpret the dimensionless variables, one needs to know three relevant time scales of our system. One is introduced by the viscous medium $\tau_v = \frac{m}{\gamma}$, the other one is related to the curvature of the confining potential $\tau_{\omega_0} = \frac{2\pi}{\omega_0}$ and the last one is related with the external perturbing field $\tau_\Omega = \frac{2\pi}{\Omega}$. Now, one can write $\chi = \frac{\tau_v}{\tau_{\omega_0}} = \frac{m\omega_0}{2\pi\gamma}$, $\lambda = \frac{\tau_{\omega_0}}{\tau_\Omega} = \frac{\Omega}{\omega_0}$, and $\rho = \chi\lambda = \frac{m\Omega}{2\pi\gamma}$. The system is inertial if $\chi \gg 1$ and is highly damped if $\chi \ll 1$. The dimensionless variable related with quantum correction is $\chi_{1q} = \frac{\beta\hbar}{m\omega_0}$. χ_{1q} is related with the spreading (width) of the external perturbing field and the zero point position fluctuation of the particle in the confining potential. On the other hand, χ_{2q} represents the ratio between the zero point position fluctuation with respect to the thermal energy. The other variable is $A = \frac{(\Gamma\beta)^2}{4m\Omega^2} \times \frac{1}{2V_0^1} = \frac{P_E}{2E_0}$ where $P_E = \frac{(\Gamma\beta)^2}{4m\Omega^2}$ is ponderomotive energy which is the cycle averaged quiver energy of the particle under the external field of strength $f_0 = \Gamma\beta$ and $E_0 = \frac{1}{2}m\omega_0^2$ is the energy of a harmonic oscillator with unit maximum displacement.

Now, we turn to another example where the external oscillating force is given by $F_{ext} = \beta\Gamma(X e^{-\beta X^2} \cos(\Omega t) +$




FIG. 3: (color online) Plot of the effective time independent potential versus S_β for the underdamped harmonic oscillator driven by the external field $F_{ext}(X, t) = \Gamma\beta(X \exp(-\beta X^2) \cos(\Omega t) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} \exp(-\beta X^2) \sin(\Omega t))$ (a) without (red filled circle; $\tilde{V}_0 + \tilde{V}_{cl}$) and with (blue filled square; $\tilde{V}_0 + \tilde{V}_{cl} + \tilde{V}_q$) quantum effective potential part (b) only classical effective potential part (blue filled square; \tilde{V}_{cl}) and quantum effective potential part only (blue filled square; \tilde{V}_q). To plot this figure we use $\rho = 83.33$, $\chi = 30.33$, $\chi_{1q} = 0.8$, $\chi_{2q} = 0.318$ and $A = 5.76$.

$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} e^{-\beta X^2} \sin(\Omega t)$). Now, the effective potential can be written in terms of dimensionless quantities as follows :

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_0 &= \frac{\beta V_0}{V_0^1} = S_\beta^2, \quad (66) \\ \tilde{V}_{cl} &= \frac{\beta V_{cl}}{V_0^1} = \frac{A}{1 + \frac{1}{4\pi^2\rho^2}} \left[S_\beta^2 \exp(-2S_\beta^2) + \exp(-2S_\beta^2) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\rho}} \text{erf}(\sqrt{2}S_\beta) \right], \quad (67) \\ \tilde{V}_q &= \frac{\beta V_q}{V_0^1} = \frac{A}{\sqrt{\pi}(1 + \frac{1}{4\pi^2\rho^2})} [\langle \tilde{\delta}\hat{x}^2 \rangle]_{eq} \left[6 \left(\Gamma(2, 2S_\beta^2) - \Gamma(2) \right) + 3(1 - e^{-2S_\beta^2}) - \left(\Gamma(3, 2S_\beta^2) - \Gamma(3) \right) + (1 - e^{-2S_\beta^2}) - \left(\Gamma(2, 2S_\beta^2) - \Gamma(2) \right) - \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2}, 2S_\beta^2\right) - \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2}\right) \right) + \frac{13}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}, 2S_\beta^2\right) - \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \right) \right\} \right]. \quad (68) \end{aligned}$$

One interesting observation is that the effect of quantum correction is significant when the system is inertial i.e. $\chi \gg 1$. On the other hand, the quantum effect is negligible in the highly damped situation. This observation is true for the Gaussian oscillating force as well as for the force containing both $f(X)$ and $g(X)$. To get an appreciable amount of quantum effect in the overdamped regime, one needs to increase the parameters χ_{1q} and A by one and two order of magnitude respectively than the usual.




FIG. 4: (color online) Plot of the effective time independent potential versus S_β for the overdamped harmonic oscillator driven by the external field $F_{ext}(X, t) = \Gamma\beta(X \exp(-\beta X^2) \cos(\Omega t) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} \exp(-\beta X^2) \sin(\Omega t))$ (a) without (red filled circle; $\tilde{V}_0 + \tilde{V}_{cl}$) and with (blue filled square; $\tilde{V}_0 + \tilde{V}_{cl} + \tilde{V}_Q$) quantum effective potential part and they are superimposed on each other (b) only quantum effective potential part (blue filled square; \tilde{V}_Q) and (c) classical effective potential part only (blue filled square; \tilde{V}_{cl}). To plot this figure we use $\rho = 1.23$, $\chi = 0.011$, $\chi_{1q} = 0.8$, $\chi_{2q} = 0.318$ and $A = 5.76$.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we analyzed the quantum motion of the harmonic oscillator in a dissipative Ohmic heat bath in the presence of a space dependent rapidly oscillating field. Starting from the usual heat-bath reservoir model, we obtain the operator GQLE and then derive the c-number GQLE following the method of Banerjee *et al* [14, 15]. This enables us to apply the usual Kapitza's method to derive effective time independent equation of motion.

This motion is separated into a slow part and a fast part, which consists of the rapid oscillations around the slow motion. The resulting equation for the slow motion is solved perturbatively to the leading order.

We derive the effective potential under the following assumptions :

- We use a product separable initial state $|\phi\rangle\{|\alpha_i\rangle\}$ for the quantum mechanical average, where $|\phi\rangle$ is any arbitrary initial state of the particle and $|\alpha_j\rangle$ corresponds to the initial coherent state of the j^{th} bath oscillator.
- We assume the confining potential to be harmonic.
- We derive the quantum correction factors in the perturbative method i.e. in the absence of $V_{ext}(\hat{x}, t)$.
- We retain the leading order quantum correction factors.
- We assume the heat bath to be Ohmic.
- We derive the effective potential only to the leading order.

We observe that the quantum effect is fairly large in the underdamped regime while it is negligible in the overdamped regime. To get an appreciable quantum effect in the overdamped regime, one needs to increase χ_{1q} and A by order of magnitude larger than the usual. In the classical limit ($\hbar \rightarrow 0$), the present time-independent quantum effective equation (Eq. 57) reduces to the classical effective equation of motion [6, 7]. This time-independent effective equation of motion is therefore a generalization of the classical results of Kapitza [3] and of Landau and Lifshitz [4] to quantum mechanics in the presence of dissipation.

-
- [1] W. Paul, Rev. Mod. Phys. *62*, 531 (1990); W. Paul and M. Raether, Z. Phys. *140*, 262 (1955).
- [2] V. V. Balandin, M. D. Dyachkov, and E. N. Shapshnikova, Particle Accelerators *35*, 1 (1991); R. Cappi, R. Gaoby, and E. N. Shapshnikova, CERN/PS 92-40 (RF) (1992).
- [3] P. L. Kapitza, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. *21*, 588 (1951); Collected Papers of Kapitza edited by D. Ter Haar (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1965).
- [4] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, Mechanics (Pergamon, Oxford, 1960).
- [5] I.C. Percival and D. Richards, *Introduction to Dynamics* (Cambridge University Press, London, 1982).
- [6] S. B. Dutta and M. Barma, Phys. Rev. E *67*, 061111 (2003).
- [7] M. Bandyopadhyay, S. Dattagupta, and M. Sanyal, Phys. Rev. E *73*, 051108 (2006).
- [8] R. Zwanzig, J. Stat. Phys. *9*, 215 (1973).
- [9] G. W. Ford, M. Kac, and P. Mazur, J. Math. Phys. *6*, 504 (1965).
- [10] A. O. Caldeira, and A. J. Leggett, Ann. Phys. (N. Y.) *149*, 374 (1983).
- [11] U. Weiss, *Quantum Dissipative Systems* (World Scientific, 1993).
- [12] R. J. Cook, D. G. Shankland, and A. L. Wells, Phys. Rev. A *31*, 564 (1985).
- [13] S. Rahav, I. Gilary and S. Fishman, Phys. Rev. Lett. *91*, 110404 (2003); Phys. Rev. A *68*, 013820 (2003).
- [14] D. Banerjee, B. C. Bag, S. K. Banik, and D. S. Ray, Phys. Rev. E *65*, 021109 (2002).
- [15] D. Banerjee, S. K. Banik, B. C. Bag, and D. S. Ray, Phys. Rev. E *66*, 051105 (2002).
- [16] M. Hillery, R. F. O'Connell, M. O. Scully, and E. P. Wigner, Phys. Rep. *106*, 121 (1984).

- [17] A. K. Pattanayak and W. C. Schieve, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* *72*, 2855 (1994).
- [18] A. K. Pattanayak and W. C. Schieve, *Phys. Rev. E* *50*, 3601 (1994); and references therein.
- [19] B. Sundaram, and P. W. Milonni, *Phys. Rev. E* *51*, 1971 (1995).
- [20] S. K. Sarkar and S. Dattagupta, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* *16*, 1247 (2002).
- [21] H. Grabert, *Chemical Physics* *322*, 160 (2006).