A Quantum Fluid Description of the Free Electron Laser

R. Bonifacio¹, N. Piovella², G.R.M Robb³ & A. Serbeto⁴

1 I.N.F.N. Sezione di Milano, Via Celoria 16, Milano I-20133,Italy

²Dipartimento di Fisica, Universita degli Studi di Milano, via Celoria 16, 20133 Milano ³Scottish Universities Physics Alliance (SUPA),

Department of Physics, University of Strathclyde,

107 Rottenrow, Glasgow, G4 0NG, United Kingdom

⁴ Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal Fluminense, 24210-340 Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

(Dated: November 2, 2018)

Abstract

Using the Madelung transformation we show that in a quantum Free Electron Laser (QFEL) the beam obeys the equations of a quantum fluid in which the potential is the classical potential plus a quantum potential. The classical limit is shown explicitly.

INTRODUCTION

In the quantum FEL model, the electron beam is described as a macroscopic matterwave $[1, 2, 3, 4]$ $[1, 2, 3, 4]$ $[1, 2, 3, 4]$ $[1, 2, 3, 4]$ $[1, 2, 3, 4]$ $[1, 2, 3, 4]$. When slippage due to the difference between the light and electron velocities is neglected, the electron beam-wave interaction is described by the following equations for the dimensionless radiation amplitude $A(\bar{z})$ and the matter wave field $\Psi(\theta, \bar{z})$ [\[5\]](#page-4-4):

$$
i\frac{\partial\Psi(\theta,\bar{z})}{\partial\bar{z}} = -\frac{1}{2\bar{\rho}}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\theta^2}\Psi(\theta,\bar{z}) - i\bar{\rho}\left[A(\bar{z})e^{i\theta} - \text{c.c.}\right]\Psi(\theta,\bar{z})\tag{1}
$$

$$
\frac{dA(\bar{z})}{d\bar{z}} = \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta |\Psi(\theta, \bar{z})|^2 e^{-i\theta} + i\delta A(\bar{z}).\tag{2}
$$

The electron beam is therefore described by a Schrödinger equation for a matter-wave field Ψ in a self-consistent pendulum potential proportional to A, where $|A|^2 = |a|^2/(N\overline{\rho})$, $|a|^2$ is the average number of photons in the interaction volume V, and $|\Psi|^2$ is the space-time dependent electron density, normalized to unity. In Eqs. [\(1\)](#page-1-0) and [\(2\)](#page-1-0) we have adopted the universal scaling used in the classical FEL theory [\[6](#page-4-5), [7,](#page-5-0) [8](#page-5-1)], *i.e.* $\theta = (k + k_w)z - ckt$ is the electron phase, where $k_w = 2\pi/\lambda_w$ and $k = \omega/c = 2\pi/\lambda$ are the wiggler and radiation wavenumbers, $\bar{z} = z/L_g$ is the dimensionless wiggler length, $L_g = \lambda_w/4\pi\rho$ is the gain length, $\rho = \gamma_r^{-1}$ $r^{-1}(a_w/4ck_w)^{2/3}(e^2n/m\epsilon_0)^{1/3}$ is the classical FEL parameter, $\gamma_r = \sqrt{(\lambda/2\lambda_w)(1+a_w^2)}$ is the resonant energy in mc^2 units, a_w is the wiggler parameter and n is the electron density. Finally, $\bar{p} = (\gamma - \gamma_0)/\rho\gamma_0$ is the dimensionless electron momentum and $\delta = (\gamma_0 - \gamma_r)/\rho\gamma_0$ is the detuning parameter, where $\gamma_0 \approx \gamma_r$ is the initial electron energy in mc^2 units.

Whereas the classical FEL equations in the above universal scaling do not contain any explicit parameter (see ref. $[8]$), the quantum FEL equations [\(1\)](#page-1-0) and [\(2\)](#page-1-0) depend on the quantum FEL parameter

$$
\bar{\rho} = \left(\frac{mc\gamma_r}{\hbar k}\right)\rho. \tag{3}
$$

From the definition of A, it follows that $\bar{\rho}|A|^2 = |a|^2/N$ is the average number of photons emitted per electron. Hence, since in the classical steady-state high-gain FEL A reaches a maximum value of the order of unity, $\bar{\rho}$ represents the maximum number of photons emitted per electron, and the classical regime occurs for $\bar{\rho} \gg 1$. Note also that in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0) $\bar{\rho}$ appears as a "mass" term, so one expects a classical limit when the mass is large. As we shall see, when $\bar{\rho}$ < 1 the dynamical behavior of the system changes substantially from a classical to a quantum regime.

QUANTUM FLUID DESCRIPTION

We now perform a Madelung-like transformation [\[9](#page-5-2)], writing the wavefunction as

$$
\Psi = R \exp(i\bar{\rho}S)
$$

which allows us to rewrite the Maxwell-Schrodinger equations, eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0) and [\(2\)](#page-1-0), as a system of quantum fluid equations

$$
\frac{\partial R}{\partial \bar{z}} = -\frac{\partial R}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta} - \frac{R}{2} \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial \theta^2}
$$
(4)

$$
\frac{\partial S}{\partial \bar{z}} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 - V(\theta, \bar{z}) \tag{5}
$$

$$
\frac{dA}{d\bar{z}} = \int_0^{2\pi} R^2 e^{-i\theta} d\theta + i\delta A \tag{6}
$$

where the potential, V in eq. [\(5\)](#page-2-0) is defined as the sum of a classical term and a quantum term i.e.

$$
V(\theta,\bar{z})=V_C+V_Q
$$

where

$$
V_C = -i \left(A e^{i\theta} - c.c. \right) \tag{7}
$$

is the classical component of the potential and

$$
V_Q = -\frac{1}{2\bar{\rho}^2 R} \frac{\partial^2 R}{\partial \theta^2} \tag{8}
$$

is the quantum component of the potential, which becomes negligible as $\bar{\rho} \to \infty$.

Defining fluid density and velocity variables

$$
n = R^2 = |\Psi|^2 \ , \quad u = \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta}
$$

we can also rewrite Eq. $(4)-(6)$ $(4)-(6)$ in an alternative fluid form as

$$
\frac{\partial n}{\partial \bar{z}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (nu) = 0 \tag{9}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{z}} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \tag{10}
$$

$$
\frac{dA}{d\bar{z}} = \int_0^{2\pi} n e^{-i\theta} d\theta + i\delta A. \tag{11}
$$

It can be seen that Eq. [\(9\)](#page-2-1) is a continuity equation and Eq. [\(10\)](#page-2-1) is a Newton-like equation for a fluid. Note that integrating Eq. [\(9\)](#page-2-1) with respect to θ , then the normalization condition becomes

$$
\int_0^{2\pi} n(\theta, \bar{z}) d\theta = 1,
$$

which is satisfied if n and u are periodic functions of θ between 0 and 2π .

A straightforward calculation shows that Eq.[\(9\)](#page-2-1)-[\(11\)](#page-2-1) admit two constants of motion,

$$
\langle \bar{p} \rangle + |A|^2 = C_1 \tag{12}
$$

and

$$
\frac{\langle \bar{p}^2 \rangle}{2} - i(Ab^* - c.c.) - \delta |A|^2 = C_2 \tag{13}
$$

where $\langle \bar{p} \rangle = \langle u \rangle = \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta n u$ is the average momentum, $\langle \bar{p}^2 \rangle = \langle u^2 + 2V_Q \rangle = \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta n (u^2 + 2V_Q)$ is the momentum variance and

$$
b = \int_0^{2\pi} n e^{-i\theta} d\theta
$$

is the bunching. These constants of motion are well-known in the classical FEL model [\[8](#page-5-1)] and describe energy conservation and a gain-spread relation. Notice the quantum contribution to the momentum variance proportional to the average quantum potential.

FOURIER EXPANSION AND LINEAR ANALYSIS

If R and S are periodic functions of θ , they can be expanded in a Fourier series:

$$
R(\theta, \bar{z}) = \sum_{m} r_m(\bar{z}) e^{im\theta}, \qquad (14)
$$

$$
S(\theta, \bar{z}) = \sum_{n} s_n(\bar{z}) e^{in\theta} \tag{15}
$$

with $r_{-m} = s_m^*$ and $s_{-m} = r_m^*$, since R and S are real variables. Multiplying Eq.[\(5\)](#page-2-0) by R and using (14) and (15) in Eqs. $(4)-(6)$ $(4)-(6)$ $(4)-(6)$, we obtain:

$$
\sum_{m} r_{k-m} \frac{ds_m}{d\bar{z}} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n} n(n-m) r_{k-m} s_n s_{n-m}^* + i(Ar_{k-1} - A^* r_{k+1}) - \frac{k^2}{2\bar{\rho}^2} r_k \tag{16}
$$

$$
\frac{dr_k}{d\bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m} (k^2 - m^2) r_m s_{m-k}^* \tag{17}
$$

$$
\frac{dA}{d\bar{z}} = \sum_{m} r_m r_{m-1}^* + i\delta A \tag{18}
$$

Eqs.[\(16\)](#page-3-1)- [\(18\)](#page-3-1) are our working equations which can be numerically solved as it will be shown elsewhere.

Eqs.[\(16\)](#page-3-1)-[\(18\)](#page-3-1) admit an equilibrium solution with no field $(A = 0)$ and unbunched electron beam $(n = 1/2\pi, i.e.$ $r_n = \delta_{n0}$ and $s_n = 0$. Linearizing Eqs.[\(16\)](#page-3-1)-[\(18\)](#page-3-1) around this equilibrium in the first order of the variables A , r_1 and s_1 , we obtain:

$$
\frac{dA}{d\bar{z}} = 2r_1 + i\delta A \tag{19}
$$

$$
\frac{dr_1}{d\bar{z}} = \frac{s_1}{2} \tag{20}
$$

$$
\frac{ds_1}{d\bar{z}} = iA - \frac{1}{2\bar{\rho}^2}r_1\tag{21}
$$

Looking for solutions proportional to $\exp(i\lambda \bar{z})$, we obtain the well-known cubic equation of the quantum FEL [\[1](#page-4-0)]

$$
(\lambda - \delta) \left(\lambda^2 - \frac{1}{4\bar{\rho}^2}\right) + 1 = 0.
$$
\n(22)

which reduces to the classical dispersion relation in the limit $\bar{\rho} >> 1$.

CONCLUSIONS

It has been shown that the quantum FEL model can be rewritten in a form where the electron beam is described a quantum fluid coupled to the electromagnetic field. The evolution of the quantum fluid is determined by a self-consistent potential which consists of a classical and quantum contribution. In the limit where $\bar{\rho} \gg 1$ the quantum contribution to the potential becomes negligible and the force equation reduces to that of a Newtonian fluid. Using a Fourier expansion, linear stability analysis of these quantum fluid equations produced a dispersion relation identical to that derived from the Schrodinger equation. These results show that there are interesting connections between the quantum FEL and quantum plasma instabilities.

- [1] R. Bonifacio, M.M. Cola, N. Piovella, and G.R.M. Robb, Europhys. Lett. 69, 55 (2005) .
- [2] R. Bonifacio, N. Piovella, G.R.M. Robb, Nucl. Instrum. and Meth. in Phys. Res. A 543, 645 (2005).
- [3] R. Bonifacio, N. Piovella, G.R.M. Robb, and A. Schiavi, Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams 9, 090701 (2006).
- [4] A. Serbeto, J. T. Mendonça, K. H Tsui, R. Bonifacio, Physics of Plasmas 15, 013110 (2008).
- [5] G. Preparata, Phys. Rev.A 38, 233 (1988).
- [6] R. Bonifacio, C. Pellegrini and L. Narducci, Opt. Commun. 50, 373 (1984).
- [7] R. Bonifacio, L. De Salvo, P. Pierini, N. Piovella, and C. Pellegrini, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 70 (1994).
- [8] R. Bonifacio, F. Casagrande, G. Cerchioni, L. De Salvo Souza, P. Pierini and N. Piovella, Rivista del Nuovo Cimento 13, No. 9 (1990).
- [9] A. Messiah, Quantum Mechanics, Wiley (1966).