

Approximation by Lipschitz, C^p smooth functions on weakly compactly generated Banach spaces

R. Fry

ABSTRACT. It is shown that on weakly compactly generated Banach spaces which admit a Lipschitz, C^p smooth bump function, one can uniformly approximate uniformly continuous, bounded, real-valued functions by Lipschitz, C^p smooth functions.

This provides a ‘Lipschitz version’ of the classical approximation results of Godefroy, Troyanski, Whitfield and Zizler.

1. Introduction

In this note we consider the problem of uniformly approximating continuous, real-valued functions on Banach spaces X by certain smooth functions. This problem has a long history, beginning with the work of Kurzweil [K] and continuing through to the present (see e.g., [FM], [DGZ] and further references below). The preferred method for approaching such smooth approximation problems has been via smooth partitions of unity. Indeed, the ability to uniformly approximate arbitrary continuous functions on X by C^p smooth functions is equivalent to the existence of C^p smooth partitions of unity on X (see e.g., [DGZ]). In this vein, for Banach spaces X admitting a C^p smooth bump function (a C^p smooth function with bounded, non-empty support), the existence of C^p smooth partitions of unity has been established in fairly wide classes of spaces. For example, when X is separable this was shown by Bonic and Frampton [BF], and this was later generalized to weakly compactly generated spaces [GTWZ] (see also, [DGZ], [SS]).

One of the drawbacks of employing partitions of unity is that it is very difficult to arrange for the approximating function to possess nice properties in addition to basic smoothness. For example, if one wishes the smooth approximate to be convex or a norm, then other techniques are generally required (see e.g., [MPVZ]). The situation in which one requires the approximate to be Lipschitz as well as smooth was addressed in a series of recent papers, [F1], [F2], [AFM], [AFLR]. In particular, it was shown

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46B20.

Key words and phrases. Smooth approximation, WCG Banach space.

in [AFM] that for separable Banach spaces X admitting a Lipschitz, C^p smooth bump function, the uniform approximation of uniformly continuous, bounded, real-valued functions f by Lipschitz, C^p smooth functions g is possible (we note that the uniform continuity of f and the existence of a Lipschitz, C^p smooth bump function on X are necessary). In [F2] it was proved that the boundedness condition on f above can be dropped if X has an unconditional Schauder basis, and moreover in this case that if f is Lipschitz, then the Lipschitz constant of the approximate g is independent of the ε -precision in the approximation of g to f . A related result was shown in [AFLR] where it was proven in particular that for a separable Hilbert space, the approximate g can be chosen Lipschitz and C^∞ smooth with Lipschitz constant arbitrarily close to the Lipschitz constant of f .

Part of the reason for the interest in such smooth, Lipschitz approximations is their importance in the construction of deleting diffeomorphisms on Banach spaces (see e.g., [AM]), and their use in smooth variational principles on infinite dimensional Hilbert manifolds ([AFL], [AFLR]).

However, aside from the $p = 1$ case in Hilbert or superreflexive spaces (see e.g., [LL], [C]), all the results stated above concerning Lipschitz, C^p smooth approximation are for separable Banach spaces. The purpose of this note is to extend some of these results to the nonseparable, weakly compactly generated case. In this light, for the real-valued case, the particular result of [AFM] mentioned above can be seen as a ‘Lipschitz version’ of the classical approximation work of [BF], while the present paper can be seen as a ‘Lipschitz version’ of the (implicit) approximation result of [GTWZ]. To our knowledge, even for the C^2 smooth case in non-separable Hilbert space the results herein are new. Finally, we show how the result of [GTWZ] can be obtained from our main result.

2. Main Results

The notation we use is standard, with X typically denoting a Banach space. Smoothness here is meant in the Fréchet sense and function shall mean real-valued function. X is said to be *weakly compactly generated (WCG)* if there exists a weakly compact set $K \subset X$ with $\overline{\text{span}}(K) = X$. This class includes the separable and reflexive Banach spaces.

A Banach space X is said to admit a *separable projectional resolution of the identity (SPRI)*, if for the first ordinal μ with $\text{card}(\mu) = \text{dens}(X)$, there exist continuous linear projections, $\{Q_\alpha : \alpha \in \Gamma\}$, where $\Gamma = [\omega_0, \mu]$, so that if we set $R_\alpha = (Q_{\alpha+1} - Q_\alpha) / (\|Q_{\alpha+1}\| + \|Q_\alpha\|)$, we have,

- (i). $Q_\alpha Q_\beta = Q_{\min(\alpha, \beta)}$
- (ii). $(Q_{\alpha+1} - Q_\alpha)(X)$ is separable for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$
- (iii). For all $x \in X$, $\{\|R_\alpha(x)\|\}_\alpha \in c_0(\Gamma)$
- (iv). For all $x \in X$, $x \in \overline{\text{span}\{R_\alpha(x) : \alpha < \mu\}}$

One of the keys to our result is the following fundamental theorem of Haydon,

Theorem 1 (H). *For any set L there exists an equivalent norm $\|(\cdot, \cdot)\|$ on $l_\infty(L) \oplus c_0(L)$ such that if $U(L)$ is the open subset*

$$\left\{ (f, x) \in l_\infty(L) \oplus c_0(L) : \max \{ \|f\|_\infty, \|x\|_\infty \} < \left\| |f| + \frac{1}{2}|x| \right\|_\infty \right\},$$

then $\|(\cdot, \cdot)\|$ is C^∞ smooth on $U(L)$ and depends locally on only finitely many non-zero coordinates there.

We shall also require the following deep result of Amir and Lindenstrauss (see also [T]),

Theorem 2 (AL). *If X is a WCG Banach space, then X admits a separable projectional resolution of the identity.*

Finally, we will need to use the next result, which is implicitly proved in Proposition II.5.1 [DGZ].

Proposition 1. *Let Z be a Banach space. The following assertions are equivalent.*

- (1) *Z admits a C^p -smooth Lipschitz bump function.*
- (2) *There exist numbers $a, b > 0$ and a Lipschitz function $\mu : Z \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ which is C^p -smooth on $Z \setminus \{0\}$, homogeneous (that is $\mu(tx) = |t|\mu(x)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in Z$), and such that $a\|\cdot\| \leq \mu \leq b\|\cdot\|$.*

Theorem 3. *Let X be a WCG Banach space which admits a Lipschitz, C^p smooth bump function. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $G \subset X$ an open subset and $F : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a uniformly continuous and bounded function. Then there exists a Lipschitz, C^p smooth function K on G with $|F(x) - K(x)| < \varepsilon$ for $x \in G$.*

Proof. To simplify the proof, we shall take $G = X$, leaving the slight technical adjustments to accommodate a general open subset $G \subset X$ to the reader. In our use of Theorem 1, we may assume that for some $A > 1$ we have $\|(\cdot, \cdot)\|_\infty \leq \|(\cdot, \cdot)\| \leq A \|(\cdot, \cdot)\|_\infty$, where $\|(f, x)\|_\infty = \max \{ \|f\|_\infty, \|x\|_\infty \}$. Because F is bounded, for the purposes of approximation we may and do assume that $F > 0$ by adding a suitable positive constant if necessary. We may suppose that X is nonseparable (see the Remark at the end of this note), and given that X is WCG, by Theorem 2 we let $\{Q_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$ be an SPRI on X , and let \mathcal{F} be the collection of all finite, non-empty subsets of Γ . Our goal shall be to construct appropriate maps $S : X \rightarrow l_\infty(\mathcal{F} \times \mathbb{N}^2)$ and $T : X \rightarrow c_0(\mathcal{F} \times \mathbb{N}^2)$ for use in applying Theorem 1.

According to Proposition 1, because X has a C^p -smooth Lipschitz bump function, there is a Lipschitz and C^p -smooth function μ on $X \setminus \{0\}$, and there

is a number $M \geq 1$ such that $\frac{1}{M}\|x\| \leq \mu(x) \leq M\|x\|$ for all $x \in X$, and $\|\mu'(x)\| \leq M$ for all $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$. We use the above notation throughout this proof.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, and via uniform continuity, choose $\delta \in (0, 2)$ so that $\|x - x'\| < 2\delta M$ implies $|F(x) - F(x')| < \varepsilon/A$.

Using property (ii) of an SPRI, for each $K \in \mathcal{F}$ pick a dense sequence, $\{x_n^K\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset X_K = \mathbf{span} \{R_\alpha(X) : \alpha \in K \in \mathcal{F}\}$.

Let $\zeta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, [0, 1])$ be such that $\zeta(t) = 0$ for $t \geq 2\delta$, $\zeta(t) = 1$ for $t \leq \delta$ and $0 \leq \zeta' \leq 2/\delta \equiv C$ (note that $C > 1$).

Put $g_n^K(x) = \zeta(\mu(x - x_n^K))$, and then define $S : X \rightarrow l_\infty(\mathcal{F} \times \mathbb{N}^2)$ by,

$$(Sx)_{(K,n,m)} = g_n^K(x),$$

noting that $(Sx)_{(K,n,m)} \leq 1$ since $\zeta \leq 1$.

Let $\nu \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, [0, 1])$ be such that $\nu(t) = 0$ for $t \leq 1$, $\nu(t) > 0$ for $t > 1$, and $0 \leq \nu' \leq 3$.

Now define $T : X \rightarrow c_0(\mathcal{F} \times \mathbb{N}^2)$ by,

$$(Tx)_{(K,n,m)} = \left(\frac{1}{nm|K|} \prod_{\alpha \in K} \nu(m \mu(R_\alpha(x))) \right) (Sx)_{(K,n,m)}.$$

Let us first see that T maps into $c_0(\mathcal{F} \times \mathbb{N}^2)$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, fix $x \in X$ and fix N with $1/N < \varepsilon$. Now $\max\{n, m\} > N$ implies $(Tx)_{(K,n,m)} < \varepsilon$ since $\nu \leq 1$ and $(Sx)_{(K,n,m)} \leq 1$. Next, by property (iii) of a SPRI, for each $l \in \mathbb{N}$, let F_l be a finite subset of \mathcal{F} such that $\alpha \notin F_l$ implies $\|R_\alpha(x)\| < 1/lM$, which implies $\nu(l \mu(R_\alpha(x))) = 0$. Finally, let

$$\mathcal{S} = \{(K, n, m) \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathbb{N}^2 : n, m \leq N, K \subset F_m\}.$$

Then \mathcal{S} is finite, and $(K, n, m) \notin \mathcal{S}$ implies $(Tx)_{(K,n,m)} < \varepsilon$.

Next, fix (K, n, m) and consider the coordinate function $x \rightarrow (Sx)_{(K,n,m)}$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (Sx)'_{(K,n,m)} \right\| &= \left\| \zeta'(\mu(x - x_n^K)) \mu'(x - x_n^K) \right\| \\ &\leq CM. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for any $x, x' \in X$,

$$\left| (Sx)_{(K,n,m)} - (Sx')_{(K,n,m)} \right| \leq CM \|x - x'\|,$$

and so $S : X \rightarrow l_\infty(\mathcal{F} \times \mathbb{N}^2)$ is continuous.

Moreover, since each coordinate function $x \rightarrow (Sx)_{(K,n,m)}$ is Lipschitz with constant independent of (K, n, m) , $S : X \rightarrow l_\infty(\mathcal{F} \times \mathbb{N}^2)$ is Lipschitz.

Next we have,

$$\begin{aligned} (Tx)'_{(K,n,m)} &= \frac{1}{nm|K|} \sum_{\beta \in K} \left[\prod_{\alpha \neq \beta \in K} \nu(m \mu(R_\alpha(x))) \right. \\ &\times \left. \nu'(m \mu(R_\beta(x))) m \mu'(R_\beta(x)) (R'_\beta(x)) \right] (Sx)_{(K,n,m)} \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{nm|K|} \prod_{\alpha \in K} \nu(m \mu(R_\alpha(x))) \right) (Sx)'_{(K,n,m)}, \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| (Tx)'_{(K,n,m)} \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{nm|K|} \sum_{\beta \in K} \left[\prod_{\alpha \neq \beta \in K} \nu(m \mu(R_\alpha(x))) \right. \\ &\times \left. \nu'(m \mu(R_\beta(x))) m \|\mu'(R_\beta(x))\| \|R'_\beta(x)\| \right] \left| (Sx)_{(K,n,m)} \right| \\ &+ \frac{1}{nm|K|} \prod_{\alpha \in K} \nu(m \mu(R_\alpha(x))) \left\| (Sx)'_{(K,n,m)} \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{nm|K|} \sum_{\beta \in K} 3mM \|R_\beta\| + CM < 3CM + CM = 4CM, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used; $\nu \leq 1$, $\nu' \leq 3$, $\|\mu'\| \leq M$, and $\|R_\beta\| \leq 1$ for all $\beta \in \Gamma$.

Hence for each coordinate,

$$\left| (Tx)_{(K,n,m)} - (Tx')_{(K,n,m)} \right| \leq 4CM \|x - x'\|,$$

implying $T : X \rightarrow c_0(\mathcal{F} \times \mathbb{N}^2)$ is continuous and, as above, we have that each coordinate function $x \rightarrow (Tx)_{(K,n,m)}$ is Lipschitz with constant independent of (K, n, m) , and so $T : X \rightarrow c_0(\mathcal{F} \times \mathbb{N}^2)$ is also Lipschitz.

Now for each fixed $x \in X$, by property (iv) of a SPRI, there exist K and n with $\|x - x_n^K\| < \delta/M$ and $R_\alpha(x) \neq 0$ for all $\alpha \in K$. It follows that for this K and n , and any m , $(Sx)_{(K,n,m)} = \zeta(\mu(x - x_n^K)) = 1$, and so $\|Sx\|_\infty = 1$ since $\|Sx\|_\infty \leq 1$.

Moreover, from the definition of ν , for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\nu(m \mu(R_\alpha(x))) > 0$ for all $\alpha \in K$, and so for this choice of (K, n, m) ,

$$\begin{aligned} (Tx)_{(K,n,m)} &= \left(\frac{1}{nm|K|} \prod_{\alpha \in K} \nu(m \mu(R_\alpha(x))) \right) (Sx)_{(K,n,m)} \\ &= \frac{1}{nm|K|} \prod_{\alpha \in K} \nu(m \mu(R_\alpha(x))) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

From the observations immediately above, with the same choice of (K, n, m) for the given x , it follows that,

$$(2.1) \quad \|Sx\|_\infty = (Sx)_{(K,n,m)} < (Sx)_{(K,n,m)} + \frac{1}{2}(Tx)_{(K,n,m)} \leq \left\| Sx + \frac{1}{2}Tx \right\|_\infty.$$

Next, since for any $x \in X$ there exists (K, n, m) with $(Tx)_{(K,n,m)} > 0$, we have for such (K, n, m) ,

$$\begin{aligned} (Tx)_{(K,n,m)} &= \left(\frac{1}{nm|K|} \prod_{\alpha \in K} \nu(m \|R_\alpha(x)\|) \right) (Sx)_{(K,n,m)} \leq (Sx)_{(K,n,m)} \\ &< (Sx)_{(K,n,m)} + \frac{1}{2}(Tx)_{(K,n,m)}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence $(Tx)_{(K,n,m)} < \|Sx + \frac{1}{2}Tx\|_\infty$. That we may replace $(Tx)_{(K,n,m)}$ in this last inequality with $\|Tx\|_\infty$ while maintaining the strictness of the inequality follows from the fact that T maps into $c_0(\mathcal{F} \times \mathbb{N}^2)$; and therefore we have

$$(2.2) \quad \|Tx\|_\infty < \left\| Sx + \frac{1}{2}Tx \right\|_\infty.$$

We define $\phi : X \rightarrow l_\infty(\mathcal{F} \times \mathbb{N}^2) \oplus c_0(\mathcal{F} \times \mathbb{N}^2)$ by, $\phi(x) = (Sx, Tx)$. The inequalities (2.1) and (2.2) above show that ϕ maps into $U(\mathcal{F} \times \mathbb{N}^2)$ (see Theorem 1), and moreover, given that both T and S are Lipschitz, we have that ϕ is Lipschitz as well.

Next let $\|(\cdot, \cdot)\|$ be the C^∞ smooth norm on $l_\infty(\mathcal{F} \times \mathbb{N}^2) \oplus c_0(\mathcal{F} \times \mathbb{N}^2)$ as given by Theorem 1. Since as shown ϕ is continuous and maps into the open subset $U(\mathcal{F} \times \mathbb{N}^2)$, we have that the composition $\|\phi(x)\|$ is C^p smooth given that both S and T are coordinatewise C^p smooth, and on $U(\mathcal{F} \times \mathbb{N}^2)$ the norm $\|(\cdot, \cdot)\|$ depends locally on only finitely many non-zero coordinates. We also note that $\|\phi(x)\| \leq A \|\phi(x)\|_\infty = A \max\{\|Sx\|_\infty, \|Tx\|_\infty\} \leq A$, since $\|Tx\|_\infty \leq \|Sx\|_\infty \leq 1$.

Now as above, for $x \in X$, let $N_x \subset X$ be a neighbourhood of x and $F_x \subset \mathcal{F} \times \mathbb{N}^2$ a finite set as given by Theorem 1 (and using the continuity of ϕ) for which $\|(\cdot, \cdot)\|$ depends on only the non-zero coordinates from F_x on $\phi(N_x)$. Then for $z \in N_x$, $\|\phi(z)\| = \left\| (\phi(z))_{(K,n,m)} \right\| = \left\| (\phi(z))_{(K,n,m) \in F_x} \right\|$. We define the map $z \rightarrow \left\| (F(x_n^K) \phi(z))_{(K,n,m) \in F_x} \right\|$, which is again C^p -smooth on N_x (as can be verified, for instance, by considering the explicit representation of $\|(\cdot, \cdot)\|$ as given in [H] and noting that $F > 0$). It shall be convenient below, although not quite precise, to instead denote this map by $\left\| (F(x_n^K) \phi(x))_{(K,n,m)} \right\|$.

With this understanding, define

$$K(x) = \frac{\left\| (F(x_n^K) \phi(x))_{(K,n,m)} \right\|}{\|\phi(x)\|}.$$

As noted above, the numerator of K is C^p smooth. Recall that for any $x \in X$ we have $\|Sx\|_\infty = 1$, and hence $\|\phi(x)\| \geq \|(Sx, Tx)\|_\infty \geq \|Sx\|_\infty = 1$, and so K is C^p smooth.

Now, given that ϕ is Lipschitz, so is the composition $\|\phi(x)\|$. To see that K is Lipschitz, we observe that the numerator of K is Lipschitz given that ϕ is Lipschitz and F is bounded, while as noted the denominator $\|\phi(x)\|$ is Lipschitz and bounded below by 1, and so the quotient function K is Lipschitz.

We finally show that $|K - F| < \varepsilon$. To this end fix $x \in X$ and let

$$\mathcal{C} = \{(K, n) \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathbb{N} : \mu(x - x_n^K) < 2\delta\}.$$

Note that if $(K, n) \notin \mathcal{C}$, then $g_n^K(x) = 0$, and hence $(Sx)_{(K,n,m)} = 0$ for all m , from which it follows that $\phi(x)_{(K,n,m)} = 0$. On the other hand, for $(K, n) \in \mathcal{C}$, we have $|F(x) - F(x_n^K)| < \varepsilon/A$.

Now we estimate (using $F \geq 0$),

$$\begin{aligned}
|K(x) - F(x)| &= \left| \frac{\| (F(x_n^K) \phi(x))_{(K,n,m)} \|}{\|\phi(x)\|} - F(x) \frac{\|(\phi(x))_{(K,n,m)}\|}{\|\phi(x)\|} \right| \\
&= \left| \frac{\| (F(x_n^K) \phi(x))_{(K,n,m)} \|}{\|\phi(x)\|} - \frac{\| (F(x) \phi(x))_{(K,n,m)} \|}{\|\phi(x)\|} \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\|\phi(x)\|} \left(\| ((F(x) - F(x_n^K)) \phi(x))_{(K,n,m)} \| \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Since only those coordinates in \mathcal{C} survive, we obtain (using $1 \leq \|\phi(x)\| \leq A \|\phi(x)\|_\infty \leq A$),

$$\begin{aligned}
|K(x) - F(x)| &\leq \frac{1}{\|\phi(x)\|} \left(\| ((F(x) - F(x_n^K)) \phi(x))_{(K,n,m)} \| \right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\|\phi(x)\|} \left(A \left\| ((F(x) - F(x_n^K)) \phi(x))_{(K,n,m)} \right\|_\infty \right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\|\phi(x)\|} A \sup_{(K,n,m) \in \mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \| (|F(x) - F(x_n^K)| \phi(x))_{(K,n,m)} \| \right\} \\
&< \frac{1}{\|\phi(x)\|} \left(A \frac{\varepsilon}{A} \|\phi(x)\|_\infty \right) \leq \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 1 (GTWZ). *Let X be a WCG Banach space which admits a C^p smooth bump function. Then X admits C^p smooth partitions of unity.*

Proof. First note that if X admits (merely) a C^p smooth bump function, then a non-Lipschitz version of Proposition 1 holds (see II.5.1 [DGZ]), and can be employed in the proof of Theorem 3 (with all other hypothesis as before) to arrive at the same conclusion except that the Lipschitz property of K will no longer hold in general.

Next, let $A \subset X$ be open and bounded, and set $\rho(x) = \mathbf{dist}(x, X \setminus A)$. Note that ρ is uniformly continuous, and since A is bounded, ρ is bounded. Now our ‘modified’ version of Theorem 3 described above can be applied to ρ to produce C^p smooth, uniform approximates. Finally, an examination of the proof of Theorem VIII.3.12 [DGZ] shows that the uniform smooth

approximation of such ρ is sufficient to conclude that X admits C^p smooth partitions of unity. \square

We note that in general it is not possible to establish Theorem 3 from Corollary 1, since while the existence of C^p smooth partitions of unity implies (in particular) that uniformly continuous functions can be uniformly approximated by C^p smooth functions, these latter functions are not generally Lipschitz.

Remark A version of Theorem 3 for separable X is given in [AFM] (see also [F1]) which does not use the results of Haydon [H] or Amir and Lindenstrauss [AL].

References

- [AL] D. Amir and J. Lindenstrauss, *The structure of weakly compact sets in Banach spaces*, Ann. of Math. **88** (1968), 35-46.
- [AFL] D. Azagra, J. Ferrera, F. López-Mesas, *Nonsmooth analysis and Hamilton-Jacobi equations on Riemannian manifolds*, J. Funct. Anal. **220** (2005) no. 2, 304-361.
- [AFLR] D. Azagra, J. Ferrera, F. López-Mesas and Y. Rangel, *Smooth approximation of Lipschitz functions on Riemannian manifolds*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **326** (2007), 1370-1378.
- [AM] D. Azagra, and A. Montesinos, *Starlike bodies and deleting diffeomorphisms in Banach spaces*, Extracta Math. **19** (2004), no. 2, 171-213.
- [AFM] D. Azagra, R. Fry, and A. Montesinos, *Perturbed Smooth Lipschitz Extensions of Uniformly Continuous Functions on Banach Spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **133** (2005), 727-734.
- [BF] R. Bonic and J. Frampton, *Smooth functions on Banach manifolds*, J. Math. Mech. **15** (1966), 877-898.
- [C] M. Cepedello, *Approximation of Lipschitz functions by Δ -convex functions in Banach spaces*, Isr. J. Math. **106** (1998), 269-284.
- [DGZ] R. Deville, G. Godefroy, and V. Zizler, *Smoothness and Renorming in Banach Spaces*, Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics **64** (Pitman, 1993).
- [F1] R. Fry, *Approximation by functions with bounded derivative on Banach spaces*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **69** (2004), 125-131.
- [F2] R. Fry, *Approximation by C^p -smooth, Lipschitz functions on Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. and Appl. **315** (2006), 599-605
- [FM] R. Fry and S. McManus, *Smooth Bump Functions and the Geometry of Banach Spaces – A Brief Survey*, Expos. Math., **20** (2002), 143-183.
- [GTWZ] G. Godefroy, S. Troyanski, J.H.M. Whitfield and V. Zizler, *Smoothness in weakly compactly generated spaces*, J. Func. Anal. **52** (1983), 344-352.
- [H] R. Haydon, *Smooth functions and partitions of unity on certain Banach spaces* Q J Math. **47** (1996), 455 - 468.
- [K] J. Kurzweil, *On approximation in real Banach spaces*, Studia Math. **14** (1953), 214-231.
- [LL] J.M. Lasry and P.L. Lions, *A remark on regularization in Hilbert spaces*, Israel. J. Math. **55** (1986), 257-266.
- [MPVZ] D. McLaughlin, R. Poliquin, J. Vanderwerff, and V. Zizler, *Second order Gâteaux differentiable bump functions and approximations in Banach spaces*, Canadian J. of Math. **45** (1993), 612-625.

- [SS] K. Sundaresan and S. Swaminathan, *Geometry and Nonlinear Analysis in Banach Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1131**, Springer-Verlag, 1985.
- [T] S. Troyanski, *On locally uniformly convex and differentiable norms in certain non-separable Banach spaces*, *Studia Math.* **37** (1971), 173-180.

Current address: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Thompson Rivers University, Kamloops, BC, CANADA.

E-mail address: `rfry@tru.ca`