

# Field-induced magnetoelastic instabilities at level crossings in antiferromagnetic molecular wheels

Valeria Lante,<sup>1,2,\*</sup> Ioannis Rousochatzakis,<sup>2</sup> Karlo Penc,<sup>3</sup> Oliver Waldmann,<sup>4</sup> and Frédéric Mila<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Dipartimento di Fisica e Matematica, Università dell'Insubria, Via Valleggio 11, I-22100 Como, Italy*

<sup>2</sup>*Institut de théorie des phénomènes physiques, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland*

<sup>3</sup>*Research Institute for Solid State Physics and Optics, H-1525 Budapest, P.O. Box 49, Hungary*

<sup>4</sup>*Physikalisches Institut, Universität Freiburg, Hermann-Herder-Strasse 3, 79104 Freiburg, Germany*

(Dated: June 9, 2019)

We show that antiferromagnetic rings are unconditionally unstable towards dimerization at field-induced level crossings. Unlike the exchange driven spin-Peierls transition of infinite chains, this magnetoelastic instability is triggered by a staggered ( $k = \pi$ ) modulation of the antisymmetric Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction which induces a coupling between the lowest levels and lifts their degeneracy. In agreement with Nuclear Magnetic Resonance and torque experiments reported for the ferric wheel CsFe<sub>8</sub>, our model accounts for the large staggered transverse polarizations and for the torque anomalies at the level-crossing fields.

PACS numbers: 75.10.Jm, 71.27.+a, 74.20.Mn

Intermediate between single spins and bulk magnets, molecular magnets have attracted a lot of attention since they offer a suitable platform for probing the predictions of quantum mechanics, e.g. for the tunneling probability between almost “classical” states.[1] At the field-induced level crossings (LC’s), a small gap is in most cases opened by small anisotropies, allowing the system upon sweeping a magnetic field to remain in the same state or to tunnel following the adiabatic ground state.[1, 2, 3, 4] The subclass of ring-like molecular magnets is exceptional in that respect. The structure of these “magnetic wheels” is in general so symmetric that, although present, anisotropic interactions are predicted to leave intact the degeneracy at level crossings. However, this prediction is in contradiction with several experiments[5, 6, 7, 8]. The direct evidence of level repulsion in Fe6:Li clusters lead Affronte *et al.* to postulate a distortion at low temperatures that would allow extra terms such as Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya (DM) interactions[9]. Cinti *et al.*[6] have employed a model with rigid dimerization and fixed DM anisotropy to account for the tunnel splittings in Fe6:Na clusters, while Miyashita *et al.*[10] have discussed the possibility of DM anisotropy induced by thermal fluctuations.

More recently, Waldmann *et al.*[7] reported, for the octanuclear CsFe<sub>8</sub> cluster, a peculiar torque signal with a linear-like or dome-shape behavior for  $\mathbf{B}$  parallel or perpendicular to the molecular plane respectively. Importantly, the signal appears quite abruptly around the lowest LC fields which lead the authors of [7] to suggest a field-induced magnetoelastic instability (spin Jahn-Teller) scenario.[7, 11] The associated field-induced LC gaps were later confirmed by Schnelzer *et al.* who reported[8] a large broadening of the proton Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectrum at the lowest LC field. A careful analysis of the data shows[8] that this broadening is a direct signature of large staggered polarizations which are transverse to the applied field, an

effect which points to DM anisotropy[12].

On the theoretical side, the torque signal of CsFe<sub>8</sub> has first been interpreted by Waldmann *et al.*[7, 11] in the context of a semi-phenomenological 2-level approach that postulates a dynamically induced off-diagonal coupling between the lowest levels which varies linearly with the distortion. More recently, a similar linear coupling was also postulated by Soncini *et al.*[13] in a model which invokes cooperative intermolecular vibrations. However, the question whether the observed behavior in CsFe<sub>8</sub> can arise in the framework of a local instability mechanism has remained an open issue. In particular, the nature of the underlying anisotropy which triggers this instability is of central interest.

In this Letter, we put forward a minimal microscopic model which shows that the torque anomalies[7] as well as the large staggered moments[8] reported for CsFe<sub>8</sub> can in-




FIG. 1: (color on-line) Pictorial representation of the buckled (a) and the dimerized octagon (b). The  $\mathbf{d}$  vectors are determined by the symmetry generators. In (a), these are the rotoreflection  $S_8 = \sigma_h C_8$  and the  $C_2$  axis, while in (b) they are the  $C_4$  axis, the vertical reflection plane  $\sigma_v$  and the horizontal plane  $\sigma_h$  (here the generated group is  $D_{4h} = D_4 \times i$ ).

deed be understood in the framework of a single molecule alone. In particular, we find that rings are unconditionally unstable towards dimerization around field-induced level crossings. This instability allows for a staggered DM anisotropy along the ring which couples the relevant lowest two levels in first order in the distortion amplitude and lifts their degeneracy. As we show below, this anisotropy gives rise to an extra torque contribution around the LC fields which is linear-like (albeit with a finite curvature) for fields along the molecular plane and sharply peaked for fields along the anisotropy axis. In addition the instability induces large local moments which are transverse to the field and staggered along the ring. Thus we believe that our minimal model embodies all essential ingredients of the instability mechanism in CsFe<sub>8</sub>, and can also be a relevant mechanism in similar antiferromagnetic ring clusters.

We investigate the potential effect of local *adiabatic* distortions in the context of a fairly general model of  $N$  spins  $\mathbf{s}$  ( $N$  even) described by the Hamiltonian:

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_i J_i \mathbf{s}_i \cdot \mathbf{s}_{i+1} - D \sum_i (s_i^z)^2 - \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{S} + \frac{K}{2} \sum_i \delta_i^2 + \sum_i \mathbf{d}_i \cdot \mathbf{s}_i \times \mathbf{s}_{i+1} \quad (1)$$

with implicit periodic boundary conditions. Here, the first term stands for the isotropic Heisenberg exchange ( $J_i > 0$ ) between neighboring spins  $i$  and  $i + 1$ , the second term, henceforth denoted  $\mathcal{V}_A$ , accounts for the onsite (single-ion) anisotropy with  $D > 0$  (this is known to be the dominant anisotropy in CsFe<sub>8</sub> and as shown below gives rise to the characteristic background torque signal reported in Ref. [7]), while the third term stands for the Zeeman energy with  $\mathbf{S} = \sum_i \mathbf{s}_i$  the total spin of the cluster. The fourth term accounts for the elastic energy cost corresponding to the distortion  $\delta_i$  (which denotes here the variation of the bond length between sites  $i$  and  $i + 1$ ) and  $K$  is the associated stiffness constant. The last term of Eq. (1) stands for the antisymmetric exchange interaction between neighboring spins. The directions of the DM vectors  $\mathbf{d}_i$  can be determined by symmetry arguments for any given distortion mode (cf. below). In what follows, we define a fixed  $(x, y, z)$  reference frame with the molecule on the  $xy$ -plane (cf. Fig. 1) and the field  $\mathbf{B}$  in the  $xz$ -plane subtending an angle  $\theta$  from the  $z$ -axis. Below, we shall also make use of a second reference frame  $(x', y, z')$  with the  $z'$ -axis along  $\mathbf{B}$  (the two frames are related by a rotation by an angle  $\theta$  around the  $y$ -axis).

Let us now become more specific and dwell on our choice of the instability and our model parameters. The undistorted wheel is assumed to form a regular, planar polygon, and its Hamiltonian is defined by  $\delta_i = 0$ ,  $J_i = J$ , and  $\mathbf{d}_i = \mathbf{d}$ , perpendicular to the plane of the molecule since this is a mirror plane. The effect of the magneto-




FIG. 2: (color on-line) Magnetoelastic instability for the  $s = 5/2$  octagon with parameters  $D = 0.027$ ,  $d_0 = 0.05$ , (a)  $\theta = 93.6^\circ$ ,  $K = 0.03$ , and (b)  $\theta = -3.3^\circ$ ,  $K = 0.02$ . The quantities shown are the optimal distortion amplitude  $\delta_0$  (squares, solid red line), the lowest energy gap  $\Delta$  (triangles, dashed green line), and the torque signal  $\tau(D, \delta_0)$  (circles, blue line) and  $\tau(D, 0)$  (solid, black line), in the presence and absence of magnetoelastic coupling respectively.

elastic modulation of the exchange integrals  $J$  in magnetic rings has been studied in Ref. 14, but for our purposes we can disregard it since this leads to an  $SU(2)$  invariant perturbation, which cannot lift the degeneracy between states with different total spin. Similarly, with all  $\mathbf{d}$  vectors equal, the degeneracy cannot be lifted at the level crossing: Indeed, the invariance of the Hamiltonian under cyclic permutations  $C_N$  of the spin indices implies that the eigenstates can be labeled by the momentum  $k = 2\pi n/N$  ( $n = 0, \dots, N - 1$ ) with the ground state alternating from  $k = 0$  to  $k = \pi$  at subsequent LC fields[15]. A uniform DM term transforms as  $k = 0$  and thus cannot admix two subsequent levels at any order. So in the following we disregard the uniform DM component and concentrate only on the non-uniform one which is induced by the distortion. We consider two types of  $k = \pi$  modulations which are illustrated in Fig. 1: buckling and dimerization. Standard symmetry arguments show that a buckling allows for a set of DM interactions  $\mathcal{V}_b$  with the  $\mathbf{d}_i$  vectors parallel to the bond, with equal magnitude  $d$  and alternating sign from one bond to the

next. By contrast, a dimerization leads to a contribution  $\mathcal{V}_d$  with the  $\mathbf{d}_i$  vectors perpendicular to the plane of the molecule, with equal magnitude  $d$  and alternating sign. The way in which  $\mathcal{V}_b$  and  $\mathcal{V}_d$  transform under  $C_N$  plays an essential role for the magnitude of the associated tunnel splitting and thus for the occurrence of the magnetoelastic instability. For the buckling, one easily finds (see Fig. 1(a)) that  $C_N^{N/2}\mathcal{V}_b = -\mathcal{V}_b$  or  $+\mathcal{V}_b$  for  $N/2$  even or odd respectively. Hence,  $\mathcal{V}_b$  contains representations with  $n = 1, 3, \dots, N-1$  for  $N/2$  even, and  $n = 0, 2, \dots, N-2$  for  $N/2$  odd. This means that a  $k = \pi$  momentum transfer which is necessary for the level mixing will first appear in  $N/2$ -th order in  $d$  and only for wheels with  $N/2$  even. For the dimerization case  $C_N\mathcal{V}_d = -\mathcal{V}_d$  for any (even)  $N$ , implying that  $\mathcal{V}_d$  contains the  $k = \pi$  momentum and therefore can induce a level mixing  $v$  already at first order in  $d$  which always dominates over the buckling contribution. So we do not consider the buckling further, and concentrate on the following case for the distorted molecule:  $J_i = J$ ,  $\delta_i = (-1)^i\delta$ , and  $\mathbf{d}_i = (-1)^i d \mathbf{e}_z$ . In particular, we shall measure all energies in units of  $J = 1$ , while the scale of  $\delta$  is implicitly set by the value of  $K$ . As to the relation between  $d$  and  $\delta$  it is quite natural to take the linear ansatz  $d = d_0\delta$  which corresponds to the first term of the *analytical* expansion of  $\mathcal{V}_d$  around the undistorted  $\delta = 0$  limit. However, according to our results (cf. below) this linear ansatz becomes quickly unphysical beyond the second LC point for large angles  $\theta$  whereby the distortion becomes very large. For this reason we have chosen to impose a cutoff in the DM energy gain by taking the ansatz  $d = d_0 \tanh \delta$ . We should finally note here that the directions of the local magnetizations  $\mathbf{m}_i$  and that of the torque  $\boldsymbol{\tau}$  are fixed by the symmetry of our model: According to Fig. 1(b), the plane of  $\mathbf{d}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  containing the center of the ring is a mirror plane[16] which gives for instance  $(m_{1x}, m_{1y}, m_{1z}) = (m_{2x}, -m_{2y}, m_{2z})$ . Together with the remaining  $C_4$  axis, this means that the local moments are staggered along  $\mathbf{e}_y$  and uniform along  $\mathbf{e}_x$  and  $\mathbf{e}_z$ . Accordingly the torque points along the  $y$ -axis.

We have systematically investigated various  $N$ ,  $s$ ,  $B$ ,  $\theta$  and  $K$  by means of exact diagonalizations. An unbiased determination of  $\delta_0$  is obtained by means of an iterative method. Since the main qualitative features are similar for all ring sizes and spins  $s$ , and in order to make contact with the reported experiments[7, 8] on  $\text{CsFe}_8$ , we restrict ourselves to the spin  $s = 5/2$  octagon case with  $D = 0.027$  (this corresponds to  $D \simeq 0.56$  K and  $J \simeq 20.6$  K reported[7] for  $\text{CsFe}_8$ ) and  $\theta = 93.6^\circ, -3.3^\circ$  (as considered in 7). As for the parameter  $d_0$ , we have set it equal to  $0.05J$  for all cases. Our central results are given in Figs. 2 and 3 which show the behavior of the optimal distortion  $\delta_0$ , the lowest energy gap  $\Delta(B)$ , the torque signal  $\boldsymbol{\tau}(D, \delta_0)$  and  $\boldsymbol{\tau}(D, 0)$  with or without the instability respectively, and the local magnetizations  $\mathbf{m}_{1,2}$  for any two neighboring sites in the ring.

These figures demonstrate that the magnetic ring is in-




FIG. 3: (color on-line) Magnetoelastic instability for the  $s = 5/2$  octagon with  $D = 0.027$ ,  $d_0 = 0.05$ ,  $K = 0.03$  and  $\theta = 93.6^\circ$ . The curves show the local moments of any two neighboring sites (here 1 and 2, cf. Fig. 1) in all three directions as a function of field  $B$ . By symmetry,  $m_x$  (blue) and  $m_z$  (red) are uniform while  $m_y$  (black) is staggered. The latter is very large and gives rise to the unprecedented broadening of the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum reported in Schnelzer *et al.* (compare e.g. with Fig. 3 of Ref. 8).

deed unstable around level crossings and reveal an interesting dependence with  $B$  and  $\theta$  which can be described as follows. A first general trend of the distortion  $\delta_0$  is that it becomes very large and may extend beyond a single LC point at high fields (above the second LC field) and large angles, i.e.  $\theta \sim 90^\circ$ . This general tendency suggests the presence of permanent distortions at large  $B$  and  $\theta$ . The reason why the magnetic wheel is more susceptible for large angles can be attributed to the angular dependence of the level mixing  $v$  which, in leading order, is given by  $v = if(\theta)\delta$  with  $f(\theta) \propto \sin\theta$ . [17] On the other hand, the field dependence can be physically understood by the fact that the local spin polarizations in each  $\mathbf{s}_i \times \mathbf{s}_j$  term of  $\mathcal{V}_d$  generally grow at large magnetizations (or fields), and by also noting that subsequent LC points are closer to each other at high fields due to the quasi-continuum character of the high energy spectrum.

Apart from this large distortion regime, the instabilities are confined around the LC fields. This is seen for instance around the lowest LC point at  $\theta = 93.6^\circ$  (cf. Fig. 2(a)) where  $\delta_0$  shows a dome-shape dependence over a field window  $W$ . For the  $\theta = -3.3^\circ$  case, both  $\delta_0$  and  $W$  are too small for  $K = 0.03$  and a slightly smaller value of  $K = 0.02$  has been used to resolve the instability seen around the lowest three LC points in Fig. 2(b). In particular, the distortion at the first LC point is found to be much smaller than the one at the higher points. The origin of the suppression of  $\delta_0$  and  $W$  for small  $\theta$  as compared to the large  $\theta$  regime can be understood by looking at the predictions of the effective  $2 \times 2$  Hamiltonian[7, 11] which treats  $\mathcal{V}_d$  to leading order of degenerate perturbation theory. For the present model, this analysis gives  $\delta_0(B_c) = \frac{f}{NK} \propto \sin\theta$  and  $W = \frac{4f^2}{NK} \propto \sin^2\theta$ , so that the

instability disappears as  $\theta \rightarrow 0$ .

The degeneracy lifting at the level crossings is demonstrated by the behavior of the lowest energy gap  $\Delta$  shown in Fig. 2. In particular, at  $\theta = 93.6^\circ$ , the gap  $\Delta$  does not vanish at the lowest LC point as expected for a true level crossing but acquires a finite value. A gap also opens at the second LC field but this gap remains finite and large over an extended region of fields following the overall behavior of  $\delta_0$ . For  $\theta = -3.3^\circ$ , the gap follows again the general trend of  $\delta_0$  (and  $W$ ), namely it is overall suppressed and can be resolved especially around the second and third LC points. This similarity of  $\Delta(B_c)$  with  $\delta_0(B_c)$  and  $W$  is also in line with the effective perturbative treatment mentioned above which gives  $\Delta(B_c) = 2f\delta_0(B_c) = W/2$ .

Let us now examine the effect of the instability on the torque signal. This can be inferred by comparing  $\tau(D, \delta_0)$  (circles, solid blue lines) to the bare contribution  $\tau(D, 0)$  (black solid lines) of the onsite anisotropy  $\mathcal{V}_A$ . The latter contribution is in good agreement with the “background” signal reported in Fig. 1 of Ref. [7] and shows, in particular, a step-wise behavior with the respective step heights being very small at small angles (except for the lowest LC point) and much larger as  $\theta \rightarrow 90^\circ$ . We find that  $\tau(D, \delta_0)$  differs very little from  $\tau(D, 0)$  except around the LC fields. In particular, at  $\theta = 93.6^\circ$ ,  $\tau(D, \delta_0)$  shows a linear-like behavior at the lowest LC point which resembles the corresponding experimental findings[7] up to a finite curvature which is present in our calculations. On the other hand, for  $\theta = -3.3^\circ$  we find sharply peaked anomalies around the second and the third LC points. Since these peaks may be washed out by various inhomogeneities in the crystal they can account for the dome-shape anomalies found in Ref. 7 for small angles. As for the lowest LC field, we find no extra signal within the resolution of our method (despite the very small  $\delta_0$  found above) which also seems to be in line with experiment[7]. We should note here that both the finite curvature at the first LC point in Fig. 2(a) and the sharp anomalies in Fig. 2(b) can be attributed to the dome-shape contribution predicted from the effective Hamiltonian model[7, 11] but they can also arise from the sub-leading contribution from  $\mathcal{V}_d$  which scales as  $\cos\theta$ . [12] More generally, the reason why the linear-like contribution is only visible at large angles while the sharp anomalies appear only at small angles can be merely attributed to the size of the steps of  $\tau(D, 0)$  at the respective LC fields.

Finally, we discuss the behavior of the local magnetizations at the first level crossing and at  $\theta = 93.6^\circ$ . These are shown in Fig. 3 for any two neighboring spins (here  $\mathbf{m}_1$  and  $\mathbf{m}_2$ ). As expected by symmetry,  $m_x$  and  $m_z$  are uniform while  $m_y$  is staggered. The latter is very large and shows a characteristic dome-shape dependence around  $B_c$  as can be predicted by the effective model. It is these large staggered polarizations around the LC fields which give rise to the unprecedented broadening

of the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum reported by Schnelzer *et al.* (compare in particular with Fig. 3 of Ref. 8). Finally, we find that the staggered magnetization shows a finite jump on the left side of the LC field. A similar jump is also visible in Fig. 2 (a) at the same field value. Within the accuracy of our method, we believe that this is a true first-order transition which signals the presence of a negative fourth-order derivative of the ground state energy functional  $E(\delta_0)$  in the respective parameter range.[18]

In conclusion, we have presented a minimal magnetoelastic model which demonstrates that antiferromagnetic rings are unconditionally unstable at field-induced level crossings. This model is based on a dimerization induced DM anisotropy which is perpendicular to the molecular plane and staggered along the ring and thus is able to couple the lowest two energy levels in first order and lift their degeneracy at a given LC field. The instability is manifested by very characteristic torque anomalies and large staggered magnetizations transverse to the field. Our findings conform with the general qualitative features of the reported NMR and torque measurements on the  $\text{CsFe}_8$  cluster, and thus support the scenario of intramolecular magnetoelastic instability based on DM anisotropy.

The authors are grateful to A. Parola and S. Bieri for stimulating and enlightening discussions. This work was supported by the Swiss National Fund, by MaNEP, by the Hungarian OTKA Grants No.K62280 and No.K68807, and the ESF HFM project (Exchange Grant 1273).

---

\* Electronic address: [valeria.lante@uninsubria.it](mailto:valeria.lante@uninsubria.it)

- [1] D. Gatteschi, R. Sessoli, and J. Villain, *Molecular Nanomagnets* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2006), and references therein.
- [2] E. M. Chudnovsky and J. Tejada, *Macroscopic Quantum Tunneling of the Magnetic Moment*, Cambridge Studies in Magnetism, Vol. 4 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998), and references therein.
- [3] I. Chiorescu, W. Wernsdorfer, A. Müller, H. Bögge, and B. Barbara, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 3454 (2000).
- [4] I. Rousochatzakis, Y. Ajiro, H. Mitamura, P. Kögerler, and M. Luban, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 147204 (2005).
- [5] M. Affronte, A. Cornia, A. Lascialfari, F. Borsa, D. Gatteschi, J. Hinderer, M. Horvatić, A. G. M. Jansen, and M.-H. Julien, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 167201 (2002).
- [6] F. Cinti, M. Affronte, and A. G. M. Jansen, *Eur. Phys. J. B* **30**, 461-468 (2002).
- [7] O. Waldmann, C. Dobe, S. T. Ochsenbein, H. U. Güdel, and I. Sheikin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 027206 (2006).
- [8] L. Schnelzer, O. Waldmann, M. Horvatić, S. T. Ochsenbein, S. Kramer, C. Berthier, H. U. Güdel, B. Pilawa, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 087201 (2007).
- [9] I. E. Dzyaloshinskii, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids*, **4**, 241 (1958); T. Moriya, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **4**, 228 (1960).
- [10] H. Nakano and S. Miyashita, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **71**, 2580

- (2002).
- [11] O. Waldmann, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 174440 (2007).
- [12] S. Miyahara, J.-B. Fouet, S.R. Manmana, R.M. Noack, H. Mayaffre, I. Sheikin, C. Berthier and F. Mila, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 184402 (2007).
- [13] A. Soncini, and L. F. Chibotaru, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 077204 (2007).
- [14] L. Spanu, and A. Parola, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 197202 (2004).
- [15] W. Marshall, Proc. R. Soc. (London) **A232** , 48 (1955);
- E. Lieb and D.C. Mattis, J. Math. Phys. **3**, 749 (1962).
- [16] Here the mirror operation stands for a reflection through the  $xz$ -plane followed by the time reversal operation  $\mathcal{T}$ .
- [17] This follows from standard angular momentum selection rules by quantizing along  $\mathbf{e}_{z'}$  and expressing  $\mathcal{V}_d$  in the rotated  $x'y'z'$  frame as  $(\mathbf{s}_i \times \mathbf{s}_{i+1})_z = \cos \theta (\mathbf{s}_i \times \mathbf{s}_{i+1})_{z'} - \sin \theta (\mathbf{s}_i \times \mathbf{s}_{i+1})_{x'}$ .
- [18] The nature of the phase transitions as a function of  $B$ ,  $\theta$  and  $K$  will be investigated in detail in a future article.