BFKL Pomeron and Bern-Dixon-Smirnov amplitudes in N = 4 SUSY *

L.N.Lipatov

Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Russia Hamburg University, Germany

Abstract

We review the theoretical approaches for investigations of the high energy hadron-hadron scattering in the Regge kinematics. It is demonstrated, that the gluon in QCD is reggeized and the Pomeron is a composite state of the reggeized gluons. Remarkable properties of the BFKL equation for the Pomeron wave function in QCD and supersymmetric gauge theories are outlined. Due to the AdS/CFT correspondence the BFKL Pomeron is equivalent to the reggeized graviton in the extended N=4 SUSY. The properties of the maximal transcendentality and integrability are realized in this model. The BDS multi-gluon scattering amplitudes are investigated in the Regge limit. They do not contain the Mandelstam cuts and are not valid beyond one loop. It is shown, that the hamiltonian for these composite states coincides with the hamiltonian of an integrable open Heisenberg spin chain.

1 High energy interactions

Hadron-hadron scattering in the Regge kinematics

$$s = (p_A + p_B)^2 = (2E)^2 >> \bar{q}^2 = -(p_{A'} - p_A)^2 \sim m^2$$
(1)

is usually described in terms of a t-channel exchange of the Reggeon (see Fig.1)

$$A_p(s,t) = \xi_p(t) g(t) s^{j_p(t)} g(t), \ j_p(t) = j_0 + \alpha' t, \ \xi_p(t) = \frac{e^{-i\pi j_p(t)} + p}{\sin(\pi j_p)},$$
(2)

where $j_p(t)$ is the Regge trajectory which is assumed to be linear, j_0 and α' are its itercept and slope, respectively. The signature factor ξ_p is a complex quantity depending on the Reggeon signature $p = \pm 1$.



A special Reggeon - Pomeron with vacuum quantum numbers is introduced to explain an approximately constant behavior of total cross-sections at high energies and a fullfillment of the Pomeranchuck theorem $\sigma_{h\bar{h}}/\sigma_{hh} \to 1$. Its signature p is positive and its intercept is close to unity $j_0^p = 1 + \Delta$, $\Delta \ll 1$.

The particle production at high energies can be investigated in the multi-Regge kinematics (see Fig.2)

^{*}Talk at the International Conference "Quarks-08", May 2008, Sergiev Pasad, Russia



$$s \gg s_1, \ s_2, \dots, \ s_{n+1} \gg t_1, \ t_2, \dots, \ t_{n+1},$$
(3)

where s_r are squares of the sum of neibouring particle momenta k_{r-1} , k_r and $-t_r$ are squares of the momentum transfers \vec{q}_r . The production amplitude in the framework of the Regge model is also expressed in terms of the Reggeon exchanges in each of t_r -channels

$$A_{2\to 2+n} \sim \prod_{r=1}^{n+1} s_r^{j_p(t_r)},$$
(4)

where we neglected the signature factors, which will be discussed later.

2 Gluon reggeization in QCD

In the Born approximation of QCD the scattering amplitude for two colored particle scattering is factorized

$$M_{AB}^{A'B'}(s,t)|_{Born} = \Gamma_{A'A}^c \frac{2s}{t} \Gamma_{B'B}^c, \ \Gamma_{A'A}^c = g T_{A'A}^c \delta_{\lambda_{A'}\lambda_A},$$
(5)

where T^c are the generators of the color group $SU(N_c)$ in the corresponding representation and λ_r are helicities of the colliding and final state particles. In the leading logarithmic approximation (LLA) the scattering amplitude in QCD can be written as follows [1]

$$M_{AB}^{A'B'}(s,t) = M_{AB}^{A'B'}(s,t)|_{Born} \, s^{\omega(t)}, \,\, \alpha_s \ln s \sim 1 \,, \tag{6}$$

where the gluon Regge trajectory is

$$\omega(-|q|^2) = -\frac{\alpha_s N_c}{(2\pi)^{2-2\epsilon}} |q^2| \int \frac{\mu^{2\epsilon} d^{2-2\epsilon} k}{|k|^2 |q-k|^2} \approx -a \left(\ln \frac{|q^2|}{\mu^2} - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right).$$
(7)

Here the extra dimensions $2\epsilon \rightarrow -0$ were introduced to regularize the infrared divergency, the parameter μ is the renormalization point and we used the notation

$$a = \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi} \left(4\pi \, e^{-\gamma}\right)^\epsilon \,,$$

where γ is the Euler constant $\gamma = -\psi(1)$. This Regge trajectory was calculated also in two-loop approximation in QCD [2] and in supersymmetric gauge theories [3].

Further, the gluon production amplitude in the multi-Regge kinematics at LLA can be written in the factorized form [1] (see Fig.2)

$$M_{2\to 1+n} = 2s \,\Gamma_{A'A}^{c_1} \frac{s_1^{\omega_1}}{|q_1|^2} \, gT_{c_2c_1}^{d_1} C(q_2, q_1) \, \frac{s_2^{\omega_2}}{|q_2|^2} \dots C(q_n, q_{n-1}) \, \frac{s_n^{\omega_n}}{|q_n|^2} \, \Gamma_{B'B}^{c_n} \,. \tag{8}$$

The Reggeon-Reggeon-gluon vertex for the produced gluon with a definite helicity is

$$C(q_2, q_1) = \frac{q_2 q_1^*}{q_2^* - q_1^*}, \qquad (9)$$

where we used the complex notations for the transverse components of particle momenta.

It gives a possibility to calculate the total cross-section [1]

$$\sigma_t = \sum_n \int d\Gamma_n \left| M_{2 \to 1+n} \right|^2 \,, \tag{10}$$

where Γ_n is the phase space for the produced particle momenta in the multi-Regge kinematics.

3 BFKL equation

Because the production amplitudes in QCD are factorized, one can write a Bethe-Salpeter-type equation for the total cross-section σ_t . Using also the optical theorem it can be presented as the equation of Balitsky, Fadin, Kuraev and Lipatov (BFKL) for the Pomeron wave function [1]

$$E \Psi(\vec{\rho}_1, \vec{\rho}_2) = H_{12} \Psi(\vec{\rho}_1, \vec{\rho}_2) , \ \Delta = -\frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi} E , \qquad (11)$$

where $\sigma_t \sim s_{max}^{\Delta}$ and the BFKL Hamiltonian in the coordinate representation ρ is

$$H_{12} = \ln |p_1 p_2|^2 + \frac{1}{p_1 p_2^*} (\ln |\rho_{12}|^2) p_1 p_2^* + \frac{1}{p_1^* p_2} (\ln |\rho_{12}|^2) p_1^* p_2 - 4\psi(1)$$
(12)

and $\rho_{12} = \rho_1 - \rho_2$. It is invariant under the Möbius transformations [4, 5]

$$\rho_k \to \frac{a\rho_k + b}{c\rho_k + d} \tag{13}$$

and has the property of the holomorphic separability

$$H_{12} = h_{12} + h_{12}^*, \ h_{12} = \ln(p_1 p_2) + \frac{1}{p_1} \ln(\rho_{12}) p_1 + \frac{1}{p_2} \ln(\rho_{12}) p_2 - 2\psi(1).$$
(14)

Here we used the complex notations for two-dimensional transverse coordinates and their canonically conjugated momenta. The conformal weights for the principal series of unitary representations of the Möbius group are

$$m = \gamma + n/2, \ \widetilde{m} = \gamma - n/2, \ \gamma = 1/2 + i\nu,$$
 (15)

where γ is the anomalous dimension of the twist-2 operators and n is conformal spin.

The Bartels-Kwiecinski-Praszalowicz (BKP) equation for colorless composite states of several reggeized gluons has the following form [6]

$$E \Psi(\vec{\rho}_1, ...) = H \Psi(\vec{\rho}_1, ...) , \ H = \sum_{k < l} \frac{\vec{T}_k \vec{T}_l}{-N_c} H_{kl} ,$$
(16)

where H_{kl} is the BFKL hamiltonian. Apart from the Möbius invariance its wave function in the multi-color QCD $(N_c \to \infty)$ has the property of the holomorphic factorization [7]

$$\Psi(\vec{\rho_1},...,\vec{\rho_n}) = \sum_{r,s} a_{r,s} \Psi_r(\rho_1,...,\rho_n) \Psi_s(\rho_1^*,...,\rho_n^*), \qquad (17)$$

where the sum is performed over a degenerate set of solutions for the corresponding holomorphic and anti-holomorphic equations. The BKP equation has the duality symmetry $p_k \rightarrow \rho_{k,k+1} \rightarrow p_{k+1}$ (k = 1, 2, ..., n) [8] and n integrals of motion q_r, q_r^* [9]. The corresponding hamiltonians h and h^* are local hamiltonians of the integrable Heisenberg spin model, in which spins are generators of the Möbiuos group [10]. We can introduce the transfer (T) and monodromy (t) matrices according to the definitions [9]

$$T(u) = tr t(u) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} u^{n-r} q_r, \ t(u) = L_1 L_2 \dots L_n,$$
(18)

$$L_k = \begin{pmatrix} u + \rho_k p_k & p_k \\ -\rho_k^2 p_k & u - \rho_k p_k \end{pmatrix}, \ t(u) = \begin{pmatrix} A(u) & B(u) \\ C(u) & D(u) \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (19)

The matrix elements of t(u) satisfy some bilinear commutation relations following from the Yang-Baxter equation [9]

$$t_{r_1'}^{s_1}(u) t_{r_2'}^{s_2}(v) l_{r_1 r_2}^{r_1' r_2'}(v-u) = l_{s_1' s_2'}^{s_1 s_2}(v-u) t_{r_2}^{s_2'}(v) t_{r_1}^{s_1'}(u), \ \hat{l}(u) = u \,\hat{1} + i \,\hat{P} \,, \tag{20}$$

where $\hat{l}(u)$ is the monodromy matrix for the usual Heisenberg spin model and \hat{P} is the permutation operator. This equation can be solved with the use of the Bethe ansatz and the Baxter-Sklyanin approach [11, 12].

4 Pomeron in N = 4 SUSY

One can calculate the integral kernel for the BFKL equation also in two loops [13]. Its eigenvalue can be written as follows

$$\omega = 4 \hat{a} \,\chi(n,\gamma) + 4 \,\hat{a}^2 \,\Delta(n,\gamma) \,, \ \hat{a} = g^2 N_c / (16\pi^2) \,, \tag{21}$$

where

$$\chi(n,\gamma) = 2\Psi(1) - \Psi(\gamma + |n|/2) - \Psi(1 - \gamma + |n|/2)$$
(22)

and $\Psi(x) = \Gamma'(x)/\Gamma(x)$. The one-loop correction $\Delta(n, \gamma)$ in QCD contains the non-analytic terms - the Kroniker symbols $\delta_{|n|,0}$ and $\delta_{|n|,2}$ [3]. But in N = 4 SUSY they are cancelled and we obtain for $\Delta(n, \gamma)$ the following result in the hermitially separable form [3, 14]

$$\Delta(n,\gamma) = \phi(M) + \phi(M^*) - \frac{\rho(M) + \rho(M^*)}{2\hat{a}/\omega}, \ M = \gamma + \frac{|n|}{2},$$
(23)

$$\rho(M) = \beta'(M) + \frac{1}{2}\zeta(2), \ \beta'(z) = \frac{1}{4} \left[\Psi'\left(\frac{z+1}{2}\right) - \Psi'\left(\frac{z}{2}\right) \right].$$
(24)

It is interesting, that all functions entering in these expressions have the property of the maximal transcendentality [14]. In particular, $\phi(M)$ can be written in the form

$$\phi(M) = 3\zeta(3) + \Psi''(M) - 2\Phi(M) + 2\beta'(M)\Big(\Psi(1) - \Psi(M)\Big), \tag{25}$$

$$\Phi(M) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k+M} \left(\Psi'(k+1) - \frac{\Psi(k+1) - \Psi(1)}{k+M} \right).$$
(26)

Here $\Psi(M)$ has the transcedentality equal to 1, its derivatives $\Psi^{(n)}$ have transcedentalities n+1, the additional poles in the sum over k increase the transcedentality of the function $\Phi(M)$ up to 3 being also the transcendentality of $\zeta(3)$. The maximal transcendentality hypothesis is valid also for the anomalous dimensions of twist-2 -operators in N = 4 SUSY [15, 16] contrary to the case of QCD [17].

The stationary BFKL equation in the diffusion approximation can be written as follows [1]

$$j = 2 - \Delta - D\nu^2, \qquad (27)$$

where ν is related to the anomalous dimension γ of the twist-2 operators [13]

$$\gamma = 1 + \frac{j-2}{2} + i\nu \,. \tag{28}$$

The parameters Δ and D are functions of the coupling constant \hat{a} and are known up to two loops. Higher order perturbative corrections can be obtained with the use of the effective action [18, 19]. For large coupling constants one can expect, that the leading Pomeron singularity in N = 4 SUSY is moved to the point j = 2 and asymptotically the Pomeron coincides with the graviton Regge pole. This assumption is related to the AdS/CFT correspondence, formulated in the framework of the Maldacena hypothesis claiming, that N = 4 SUSY is equivalent to the superstring model living on the 10-dimensional anti-de-Sitter space [20, 21, 22]. Therefore it is natural to impose on the BFKL equation in the diffusion approximation the physical condition, that for the conserved energy-momentum tensor $\theta_{\mu\nu}(x)$ having j = 2 the anomalous dimension γ is zero. As a result, we obtain, that the parameters Δ and D coincide [16]. In this case one can solve the above BFKL equation for γ

$$\gamma = (j-2)\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1/\Delta}{1 + \sqrt{1 + (j-2)/\Delta}}\right).$$
(29)

Using the dictionary developed in the framework of the AdS/CFT correspondence [21], one can rewrite the eigenvalue relation for the BFKL kernel in the form of the graviton Regge trajectory [16]

$$j = 2 + \frac{\alpha'}{2}t, \ t = E^2/R^2, \ \alpha' = \frac{R^2}{2}\Delta.$$
 (30)

On the other hand, Gubser, Klebanov and Polyakov predicted the following asymptotics of the anomalous dimension at large \hat{a} and j [23]

$$\gamma_{|\hat{a},j\to\infty} = -\sqrt{j-2}\,\Delta_{|j\to\infty}^{-1/2} = \sqrt{2\pi j}\,\hat{a}^{1/4}\,.$$
(31)

As a result, one can obtain the explicit expression for the Pomeron intercept at large coupling constants [16, 24]

$$j = 2 - \Delta, \ \Delta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \hat{a}^{-1/2}.$$
 (32)

Note, that in Ref. [25] it was argued, that for N = 4 SUSY the evolution equations for anomalous dimensions of quasi-partonic operators are integrable in LLA. Later such integrability was generalized to other operators [26] and to higher loops [27]. Using additionally the maximal transcendentality hypothesis the integral equation for the so-called casp anomalous dimension was constructed in all orders of perturbation theory [28, 29]. Further, the anomalous dimension of twist-2 operators in four loops was calculated [30], but due to the absence of so-called wrapping contributions in the asymptotic Bethe anzatz the obtained results do not agree with the BFKL predictions [3, 14].

5 Bern-Dixon-Snirnov scattering amplitudes in N = 4 SUSY

To calculate higher order corrections to the BFKL equation in QCD and supersymmetric models one should know production amplitudes in higher orders of perturbation theory. Several years ago Bern, Dixon and Smirnov suggested a simple anzatz for the multi-gluon scattering amplitude with the maximal helicity violation in the planar limit $\alpha N_c \sim 1$ for the N = 4 super-symmetric gauge theory [31]. It turns out, that this amplitude is proportional to its Born expression. The proportionality coefficient M_n for n external particles is a function of relativistic invariants and can be written as follows

$$\ln M_n = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} a^l \left(f^{(l)}(\epsilon) \left(\hat{I}_n^{(l)}(l\epsilon) + F_n^{(1)}(0) \right) + C^{(l)} + E_n^{(l)}(\epsilon) \right),$$
(33)

$$f^{(l)}(\epsilon) = f_0^{(l)} + \epsilon f_1^{(l)} + \epsilon^2 f_2^{(l)}, \ \gamma(a) = 4 \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} a^l f_0^{(l)}, \ \beta(a) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} a^l f_1^{(l)}, \ \delta(a) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} a^l f_2^{(l)}, \ (34)$$

where $E_n^{(1)}(\epsilon)$ can be neglected for $\epsilon \to 0$ and the constants $C^{(l)}$ and $f_r^{(l)}(\epsilon)$ are known up to rather high order of the perturbation theory. In particular, $\gamma(a)$ is the so called cusp anomalous dimension which was found in all orders [28, 29]

$$\gamma(a) = 4a - 4\zeta_2 a^2 + 22\zeta_4 a^3 + \dots$$
(35)

The singular function $\hat{I}_n^{(1)}(\epsilon)$ is given below

$$\hat{I}_{n}^{(1)}(\epsilon) = -\frac{1}{2\epsilon^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\mu^{2}}{-s_{i,i+1}}\right)^{\epsilon}$$
(36)

and the finite remainders $F_n^{(1)}$ are expressed in terms of logarithms and dilogarithms.

In Ref. [32] the BDS anzatz was investigated in the Regge kinematics (see also Ref. [33]). In particular, the elastic amplitude has the Regge asymptotics

$$M_{2\to 2} = \Gamma(t) \left(\frac{-s}{\mu^2}\right)^{\omega(t)} \Gamma(t) \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\right), \qquad (37)$$

where μ^2 is the renormalization point,

$$\omega(t) = -\frac{\gamma(a)}{4} \ln \frac{-t}{\mu^2} + \int_0^a \frac{da'}{a'} \left(\frac{\gamma(a')}{4\epsilon} + \beta(a')\right)$$
$$= \left(-\ln \frac{-t}{\mu^2} + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right) a + \left[\zeta_2 \left(\ln \frac{-t}{\mu^2} - \frac{1}{2\epsilon}\right) - \frac{\zeta_3}{2}\right] a^2 + \dots$$
(38)

is the all-order gluon Regge trajectory obtained from the BDS formula [32] and

$$\ln \Gamma(t) = \ln \frac{-t}{\mu^2} \int_0^a \frac{da'}{a'} \left(\frac{\gamma(a')}{8\epsilon} + \frac{\beta(a')}{2} \right) + \frac{C(a)}{2} + \frac{\gamma(a)}{2} \zeta_2$$
$$- \int_0^a \frac{da'}{a'} \ln \frac{a}{a'} \left(\frac{\gamma(a')}{4\epsilon^2} + \frac{\beta(a')}{\epsilon} + \delta(a') \right),$$
(39)

is the vertex for the Reggeized gluon coupling to the external particles. Note that the perturbative expansion for $\omega(t)$ is in an agreement with its direct calculations done initially in the \widetilde{MS} -scheme [3].

One can verify that in all physical regions the BDS amplitude for one gluon production in the multi-Regge kinematics can be obtained with the use of an analytic continuation from the expression [32]

$$\frac{M_{2\to3}}{\Gamma(t_1)\Gamma(t_2)} = \left(\frac{-s_1}{\mu^2}\right)^{\omega(t_1)-\omega(t_2)} \left(\frac{-s\kappa}{\mu^4}\right)^{\omega(t_2)} c_1 + \left(\frac{-s_2}{\mu^2}\right)^{\omega(t_2)-\omega(t_1)} \left(\frac{-s\kappa}{\mu^4}\right)^{\omega(t_1)} c_2, \quad (40)$$

where the coefficients c_i are real

$$c_1(\kappa) = |\Gamma(t_2, t_1, \ln - \kappa)| \frac{\sin \pi(\omega(t_1) - \phi_{\Gamma})}{\sin \pi(\omega(t_1) - \omega(t_2))}, \qquad (41)$$

$$c_2(\kappa) = |\Gamma(t_2, t_1, \ln - \kappa)| \frac{\sin \pi(\omega(t_2) - \phi_{\Gamma})}{\sin \pi(\omega(t_2) - \omega(t_1))}.$$
(42)

Here ϕ_{Γ} is the phase of the Reggeon-Reggeon-gluon vertex Γ , *i.e.*

$$\Gamma(t_2, t_1, \ln \kappa - i\pi) = |\Gamma(t_2, t_1, \ln - \kappa)| e^{i\pi\phi_{\Gamma}}, \qquad (43)$$

defined by the expression

$$\ln \Gamma(t_2, t_1, \ln -\kappa) = -\frac{\gamma(a)}{16} \ln^2 \frac{-\kappa}{\mu^2} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^a \frac{da'}{a'} \ln \frac{a}{a'} \left(\frac{\gamma(a')}{4\epsilon^2} + \frac{\beta(a')}{\epsilon} + \delta(a') \right) -\frac{\gamma(a)}{16} \ln^2 \frac{-t_1}{-t_2} - \frac{\gamma(a)}{16} \zeta_2 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\omega(t_1) + \omega(t_2) - \int_0^a \frac{da'}{a'} \left(\frac{\gamma(a')}{4\epsilon} + \beta(a') \right) \right) \ln \frac{-\kappa}{\mu^2}.$$
(44)

In the above dispersion-type representation for the production amplitude we can use the reality condition for the produced gluon

$$\kappa \to \frac{s_1 s_2}{s} = \vec{k}_\perp^2 \,, \tag{45}$$

where \vec{k}_{\perp} is the transverse component of its momentum $(k_{\perp}p_A = k_{\perp}p_B = 0)$.

In a similar way two gluon production amplitude in the multi-Regge kinematics almost in all physical regions can be obtained by an analytic continuation from the following dispersion-like representation for the BDS expression

$$\frac{M_{2\to4}}{\Gamma(t_1)\Gamma(t_3)} = \left(\frac{-s_1}{\mu^2}\right)^{\omega(t_1)-\omega(t_2)} \left(\frac{-s_{012}\kappa_{12}}{\mu^4}\right)^{\omega(t_2)-\omega(t_3)} \left(\frac{-s\kappa_{12}\kappa_{23}}{\mu^6}\right)^{\omega(t_3)} d_1
+ \left(\frac{-s_3}{\mu^2}\right)^{\omega(t_3)-\omega(t_2)} \left(\frac{-s_{123}\kappa_{23}}{\mu^4}\right)^{\omega(t_2)-\omega(t_1)} \left(\frac{-s\kappa_{12}\kappa_{23}}{\mu^6}\right)^{\omega(t_3)} d_2
+ \left(\frac{-s_2}{\mu^2}\right)^{\omega(t_2)-\omega(t_3)} \left(\frac{-s_{012}\kappa_{12}}{\mu^4}\right)^{\omega(t_3)-\omega(t_1)} \left(\frac{-s\kappa_{12}\kappa_{23}}{\mu^6}\right)^{\omega(t_3)} d_3
+ \left(\frac{-s_2}{\mu^2}\right)^{\omega(t_3)-\omega(t_2)} \left(\frac{-s_{123}\kappa_{23}}{\mu^4}\right)^{\omega(t_3)-\omega(t_1)} \left(\frac{-s\kappa_{12}\kappa_{23}}{\mu^6}\right)^{\omega(t_2)} d_4
+ \left(\frac{-s_3}{\mu^2}\right)^{\omega(t_3)-\omega(t_2)} \left(\frac{-s_1}{\mu^2}\right)^{\omega(t_1)-\omega(t_2)} \left(\frac{-s\kappa_{12}\kappa_{23}}{\mu^6}\right)^{\omega(t_2)} d_5$$
(46)

with the real coefficients $d_{i=1,2,3,4,5}$ satisfying the relations

$$d_{1} = c_{1}(t_{2}, t_{1}, \kappa_{12}) c_{1}((t_{3}, t_{2}, \kappa_{23}), d_{2} = c_{2}((t_{2}, t_{1}, \kappa_{12}) c_{2}((t_{3}, t_{2}, \kappa_{23}), d_{3} + d_{4} = c_{2}((t_{2}, t_{1}, \kappa_{12}) c_{1}((t_{3}, t_{2}, \kappa_{23}), d_{5} = c_{1}((t_{2}, t_{1}, \kappa_{12}) c_{2}((t_{3}, t_{2}, \kappa_{23}),$$

$$(47)$$

where

$$\kappa_{12} = (\vec{q}_1 - \vec{q}_2)^2_{\perp}, \ \kappa_{23} = (\vec{q}_2 - \vec{q}_3)^2_{\perp}$$

However, in the physical kinematical region, where $s, s_2 > 0$ but $s_1, s_3 < 0$ the Regge factorization for the BDS amplitude is broken

$$\frac{M_{2\to4}}{\Gamma(t_1)\Gamma(t_3)} = C\left(\frac{-s_1}{\mu^2}\right)^{\omega(t_1)} \Gamma(t_2, t_1, \ln\kappa_{12} - i\pi) \left(\frac{-s_2}{\mu^2}\right)^{\omega(t_2)} \Gamma(t_3, t_2, \ln\kappa_{23} - i\pi) \left(\frac{-s_3}{\mu^2}\right)^{\omega(t_3)}, \quad (48)$$

where the coefficient C is given below

$$C = \exp\left[\frac{\gamma_K(a)}{4} i\pi \left(\ln\frac{\vec{q}_1^2 \vec{q}_3^2}{(\vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2)^2 \mu^2} - \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)\right].$$
(49)

Similarly for the BDS amplitude describing the transition $3 \rightarrow 3$ in the physical region, where $s, s_2 = t'_2 > 0$ but $s_1, s_3 < 0$ we obtain the result

$$\frac{M_{3\to3}}{\Gamma(t_1)\Gamma(t_3)} = C'\left(\frac{-s_1}{\mu^2}\right)^{\omega(t_1)} \Gamma(t_2, t_1, \ln\kappa_{12} + i\pi) \left(\frac{-s_2}{\mu^2}\right)^{\omega(t_2)} \Gamma(t_2, t_1, \ln\kappa_{23} + i\pi) \left(\frac{-s_3}{\mu^2}\right)^{\omega(t_3)}, \quad (50)$$

where the phase factor C' is

$$C' = \exp\left[\frac{\gamma_K(a)}{4} \left(-i\pi\right) \ln\frac{(\vec{q}_1 - \vec{q}_2)^2 \left(\vec{q}_2 - \vec{q}_3\right)^2}{(\vec{q}_1 + \vec{q}_3 - \vec{q}_2)^2 \vec{q}_2^2}\right],\tag{51}$$

which also contradicts the Regge factorization. The reason for these drawbacks is that just in these kinematical regions the amplitudes $A_{2\rightarrow4}$ and $A_{3\rightarrow3}$ should contain the Mandelstam cuts in the *j*-pane of the t_2 -chanel [32]. Therefore the BDS amplitudes for these processes are not correct beyond 1 loop.

6 Mandelstam cuts in the adjoint representation at LLA

The Mandelstam cuts in the elastic amplitude appear only in the non-planar diagrams because the integrals for the Sudakov variables $\alpha = 2kP_A/s$ and $\beta = 2kp_B$ of the reggeon momenta kand q-k should have the singularities above and below the corresponding integration contours. For the case of the planar diagrams this Mandelstam condition is fulfilled only for inelastic amplitudes starting from six external particles in the kinematical region where $s, s_2 > 0$ and $s_1, s_3 < 0$. Two reggeons in the t_2 -channel with an adjoint representation of the gauge group $SU(N_c)$ can also scatter each from another. The corresponding contribution to the imaginary part in the s_2 -channel for the amplitude $A_{2\rightarrow 4}$ can be written as follows [32]

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \mathfrak{S}_{s_2} M_{2 \to 4} = s_2^{\omega(t_2)} \int_{\sigma - i\infty}^{\sigma + i\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi i} \left(\frac{s_2}{\mu^2}\right)^{\omega} \widetilde{f}_2(\omega)$$
(52)

where the reduced partial wave $\tilde{f}_2(\omega)$ is given by

$$\widetilde{f}_{2}(\omega) = \hat{\alpha}_{\epsilon} |q_{2}|^{2} \int d^{2-2\epsilon} k \, d^{2-2\epsilon} k' \, \Phi_{1}(k, q_{2}, q_{1}) \, G_{\omega}(k, k', q_{2}) \, \Phi_{3}(k', q_{2}, q_{3}) \,.$$
(53)

Here $\Phi_{1,2}$ are impact factors

$$\Phi_1(k,q_2,q_1) = \frac{k_1^*(q_2-k)^*}{q_2^*(k+k_1)^*}, \ \Phi_3(k',q_2,q_3) = \frac{k_2(k'-q_2)}{q_2(k'-k_2)}.$$
(54)

The Green's function $G_{\omega}(k, k', q_2)$ satisfies the BFKL-type equation

$$\omega G_{\omega}^{(8_A)}(k,k',q_2) = \frac{(2\pi)^3 \delta^{(2)}(k-k')}{k^2 (k+q_2)^2} + \frac{1}{k^2 (k+q_2)^2} \left(K^{(8_A)} \otimes G_{\omega}^{(8_A)} \right) (k,k',q_2) , \quad (55)$$

where

$$= \delta^{(2)}(k-k')\left(\omega(-|k|^2) + \omega(-|q_2-k|^2) - 2\omega(-|q|^2)\right) + \frac{a}{2}\frac{k^*(q_2-k)k'(q_2-k')^* + c.c.}{|k-k|^2}.$$
 (56)

 $K^{(8_A)}(k, k'; q_2)$

The infrared divergencies are extracted in the form of the Regge factor $s_2^{\omega(t_2)}$ and coincide with those of the BDS amplitude, as it should be. The partial wave $\tilde{f}_2(\omega)$ contains the infrared divergency only in the one loop

$$\hat{\alpha}_{\epsilon} |q_2|^2 \int d^{2-2\epsilon} k \, \frac{k^* q_1^*}{q_2^* (k+k_1)^*} \, \frac{1}{|k|^2 |q_2 - k|^2} \, \frac{kq_3}{q_2 (k-k_2)} = \frac{a}{2} \left(\ln \frac{|q_1|^2 |q_3|^2}{|k_1 + k_2|^2 \mu^2} - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right) \,, \tag{57}$$

which is also compatible with the BDS result. But in the upper loops the iteration of the above equation leads to terms which are absent in the BDS amplitude. For example, in two loops we obtain for the imaginary part of $A_{2\rightarrow4}$ in the s_2 -channel the following expression

$$A_{s_2} = \frac{a^2}{2} \ln s_2 \ln \frac{|q_1 - q_3|^2 |q_2|^2}{|q_1|^2 |k_2|^2} \ln \frac{|q_1 - q_3|^2 |q_2|^2}{|q_3|^2 |k_1|^2}.$$
(58)

It is symmetric with respect to the simultaneous transmutation

$$k_1 \leftrightarrow k_2, \ q_1 \leftrightarrow -q_3.$$
 (59)

The same expression is valid for the imaginary part in the s-channel.

In a similar way we can calculate the s-channel imaginary part of the amplitude for the transition $3\to3$

$$A_s^{3\to3} = \frac{a^2}{2} \ln t_2' \ln \frac{|q_2 - q_1 - q_3|^2 |q_2|^2}{|k_1|^2 |k_2|^2} \ln \frac{|q_2 - q_1 - q_3|^2 |q_2|^2}{|q_3|^2 |q_1|^2}.$$
 (60)

Moreover, the BFKL equation for the state with adjoint quantum numbers can be solved explicitly and we obtain for the imarginary part in s_2 -channel [34]

$$\Im M_{2\to4} \sim \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\nu}{\nu^2 + \frac{n^2}{4}} \left(\frac{q_3^* k_1^*}{k_2^* q_1^*}\right)^{i\nu - \frac{n}{2}} \left(\frac{q_3 k_1}{k_2 q_1}\right)^{i\nu + \frac{n}{2}} \exp\left(\omega(\nu, n) \ln s_2\right), \quad (61)$$

where the eigenvalue of the reduced BFKL kernel for the adjoint representation is

$$\omega(\nu, n) = -a\left(\psi(i\nu + \frac{|n|}{2}) + \psi(-i\nu + \frac{|n|}{2}) - 2\psi(1)\right).$$
(62)

It turns out, that the leading singularity of the t_2 -partial wave corresponds to n = 1 and is situated at

$$j - 1 = \omega(t) + a(4\ln 2 - 2).$$

7 Multi-reggeon Mandelstam cuts

Let us consider now the Mandelstam cuts constructed from several reggeons [35]. The nonvanishing contribution from the exchange of r+1 reggeons appears in the planar diagrams only if the number of the external lines is $n \ge 2r+4$. For the inelastic transition $2 \rightarrow 2+2r$ with the initial and final momenta p_A, p_B and $p_{A'}, k_1, k_2, ..., k_{2r}, p_{B'}$, respectively, (see Fig 2) the cut exists in the crossing channel with the momentum

$$q = p_A - p_{A'} - \sum_{l=1}^r k_l = p_{B'} - p_B + \sum_{l=r+1}^{2r} k_l = \sum_{l=1}^{r+1} q'_l,$$
(63)

where q'_l are momenta of r + 1-reggeons. The corresponding amplitude has the form

$$A_{2\to2+2r} \sim \int \frac{d^2 q_1' d^2 q_2' \dots d^2 q_r'}{(2\pi)^r s^r} \prod_{l=1}^{r+1} \frac{(-s)^{j(-\vec{q'}_l)}}{|q_l'|^2} \Phi_1(\vec{q}_1', \dots, \vec{q}_{r+1}') \Phi_2(\vec{q}_1', \dots, \vec{q}_{r+1}') \,. \tag{64}$$

The impact factors $\Phi_{1,2}$ are given in terms of the integrals over the Sudakov parameters $\alpha'_l = 2q'_l p_A/s$, $\beta'_l = 2q'_l p_B/s$ from the reggeon-particle scattering amplitudes $f_{1,2}$

$$\Phi_1 = \prod_{l=1}^{r-1} \int_L \frac{s \, d\alpha'_l}{2\pi i} \, f_1 \,, \ \Phi_2 = \prod_{l=1}^{r-1} \int_L \frac{s \, d\beta'_l}{2\pi i} \, f_2 \,. \tag{65}$$

The tree expressions for the amplitudes $f_{1,2}$ appearing in the planar diagrams in QCD are given below

$$\frac{f_1}{I_1} = \frac{1}{(p_A - q_1')^2} \frac{1}{(p_A - k_0 - q_1')^2} \cdots \frac{1}{(p_A - \sum_{l=1}^r q_l' - \sum_{l=0}^{r-2} k_l)^2} \frac{1}{(p_A - \sum_{l=1}^r q_l' - \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} k_l)^2},$$

$$\frac{f_2}{I_2} = \frac{1}{(p_B + q_1')^2} \frac{1}{(p_B - k_{2r+1} + q_1')^2} \cdots \frac{1}{(p_B + \sum_{l=1}^r q_l' - \sum_{l=r+3}^{2r+1} k_l)^2} \frac{1}{(p_B + \sum_{l=1}^r q_l' - \sum_{l=r+2}^{2r+1} k_l)^2}$$

where $k_0 = p_{A'}$, $k_{2r+1} = p_{B'}$. The additional factors $I_{1,2}$ contain effective reggeon vertices for the production and scattering of the gluons with the same helicity. They can be written in the multi-Regge kinematics as follows

$$I_{1} = \prod_{l=1}^{r} \frac{q_{l+1}^{\prime *}(Q - \sum_{t=1}^{l} q_{t}^{\prime} - \sum_{t=1}^{l-1} k_{t})}{(Q^{*} - \sum_{t=1}^{l+1} q_{t}^{\prime *} - \sum_{t=1}^{l-1} k_{t}^{*})} \prod_{l=1}^{r} \beta_{r},$$

$$I_{2} = \prod_{l=1}^{r} \frac{q_{l+1}^{\prime}(\widetilde{Q}^{*} + \sum_{t=1}^{l} q_{t}^{\prime *} - \sum_{t=1}^{l-1} k_{2r-t+1}^{*})}{(\widetilde{Q} + \sum_{t=1}^{l+1} q_{t}^{\prime} - \sum_{t=1}^{l-1} k_{2r-t+1})} \prod_{l=1}^{r} \alpha_{r},$$

where $Q = p_A - p_{A'}$, $\tilde{Q} = p_B - p_{B'}$ and the Sudakov variables of the produced particles $\alpha_l = 2k_l p_A/s$, $\beta_l = 2k_l p_B/s$ are strongly ordered

$$1 \gg |\beta_1| \gg |\beta_2| \dots \gg |\beta_{2k}|, \ |\alpha_1| \ll |\alpha_2| \ll \dots |\alpha_{2k}| \ll 1.$$

In the physical region, where the signs of the Sudakov parameters of momenta k_l alternate with the index l

$$\beta_1, \, \alpha_{2r} < 0 \, ; \, \, \beta_2, \, \alpha_{2r-1} > 0 \, ; \, \, \beta_3, \, \alpha_{2r-2} < 0 \, ; \dots ,$$

which is equivalent to the following constraints on the invariants

$$s_1 < 0, s_2 < 0, \dots, s_r < 0, s_{r+1} > 0, s_{r+2} < 0, s_{r+3} < 0, \dots, s_{2r+1} < 0, s > 0,$$
(66)

the integrands in expressions for $\Phi_{1,2}$ contain poles over the variables α'_l , β'_l above and below the integration contours L over. Therefore $\Phi_{1,2}$ are non-zero and can be calculated by taking residues from the poles

$$\Phi_1(\vec{q}'_1, \dots, \vec{q}'_{r+1}) = \prod_{l=1}^r \frac{q_{l+1}'^*}{\left(Q^* - \sum_{s=1}^l q_s'^* - \sum_{s=1}^{l-1} k_s^*\right) \left(Q^* - \sum_{t=1}^{l+1} q_t'^* - \sum_{t=1}^{l-1} k_t^*\right)}, \qquad (67)$$

$$\Phi_2(\vec{q}'_1, ..., \vec{q}'_{r+1}) = \prod_{l=1}^r \frac{q'_{l+1}}{(\widetilde{Q} + \sum_{s=1}^l q'_s - \sum_{s=1}^{l-1} k_{2r-s+1}) (\widetilde{Q} + \sum_{t=1}^{l+1} q'_t - \sum_{t=1}^{l-1} k_{2r-t+1})}.$$
(68)

In the case of production of 2r gluons with the same helicity the amplitude in N = 4 SUSY is proportional to the Born expression. In the leading logarithmic approximation for the r + 1-reggeon contribution to the s_{r+1} -channel the proportionality factor has the form

$$f_{LLA}^{2 \to 2+2r} = \left(i\frac{g^2 N_c}{4\pi}\right)^r Q^* \widetilde{Q} \int \prod_{l=1}^r \frac{\mu^{2\epsilon} d^{2-2\epsilon} p_l}{(2\pi)^{1-2\epsilon}} \frac{\mu^{2\epsilon} d^{2-2\epsilon} p_l'}{(2\pi)^{1-2\epsilon}} \prod_{l=1}^r \frac{k_l^* k_{2r-l}}{|p_l|^2} \frac{G(p, p'; s_{r+1})}{|p_{r+1}|^2} \Phi_1 \Phi_2, \quad (69)$$

where we introduce the new notation p_l for the reggeon momenta q'_l . The multi-reggeon Green function satisfies the equation [35]

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \ln s_{r+1}} G(\vec{p}, \vec{p}'; s_{r+1}) = K G(\vec{p}, \vec{p}'; s_{r+1}), \ G(\vec{p}, \vec{p}'; 0) = \prod_{l=1}^{r} \frac{(2\pi)^{1-2\epsilon}}{\mu^{2\epsilon}} \delta^{2-2\epsilon} (p_l - p_l').$$
(70)

Here the kernel K in LLA can be expressed in terms of the infraredly stable Hamiltonian H

$$K = \omega(t) - \frac{g^2 N_c}{16\pi^2} H, \ \omega(t) = a \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} - \ln \frac{-t}{\mu_2}\right), \ t = -|q|^2,$$
(71)

$$H = \ln \frac{|p_1|^2 |p_{r+1}|^2}{|q|^4} + \sum_{l=1}^r H_{l,l+1}, \qquad (72)$$

where the pair Hamiltonian is

$$H_{l,l+1} = \ln|p_l|^2 + \ln|p_{l+1}|^2 + p_l p_{l+1}^* \ln|\rho_{l,l+1}|^2 \frac{1}{p_l p_{l+1}^*} + p_l^* p_{l+1} \ln|\rho_{l,l+1}|^2 \frac{1}{p_l^* p_{l+1}}.$$
 (73)

8 Integrable open Heisenberg spin chain

The Hamiltonian for the gluon composite state in the ajoint representation has the property of the holomorphic separability [35]

$$H = h + h^*, \ h = \ln \frac{p_1 \, p_{r+1}}{q^2} + \sum_{l=1}^r h_{l,l+1},$$
(74)

where

$$h_{l,l+1} = \ln p_l + \ln p_{l+1} + p_l \ln \rho_{l,l+1} \frac{1}{p_l} + p_{l+1} \ln \rho_{l,l+1} \frac{1}{p_{l+1}}.$$
(75)

Using the duality transformations (cf. [8])

$$p_1 = z_{0,1}, \ p_r = z_{r-1,r}, \ q = z_{0,n}, \ \rho_{r,r+1} = i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_r} = i \partial_r,$$
 (76)

the holomorphic hamiltonian can be rewritten as follows

$$h = \ln \frac{z_{0,1} \, z_{n-1,n}}{z_{0,n}^2} + \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} h_{r,r+1} \,, \tag{77}$$

where

$$h_{r,r+1} = 2\ln(\partial_r) + \frac{1}{\partial_r} \frac{1}{z_{r-1,r}} + \frac{1}{\partial_r} \frac{1}{z_{r+1,r}} + 2\gamma.$$
(78)

Here and later we neglect the pure imaginary contribution $2\ln(i)$ because it is cancelled in the total hamiltonian H.

One can verify, that in the new variables h is invariant under the Möbius transformations

$$z_k \to \frac{az_k + b}{cz_k + d} \,. \tag{79}$$

Therefore we can put

$$z_0 = 0, \ z_n = \infty, \tag{80}$$

Further, by regrouping the terms one can write the holomorphic hamiltonian for n-reggeon interactions in the adjoint representation in other form [35]

$$h = -2\ln z_{0,n} + \ln(z_{0,1}^2\partial_1) + \ln(z_{n-1,n}^2\partial_{n-1}) + 2\gamma + \sum_{r=1}^{n-2} h'_{r,r+1}, \qquad (81)$$

where

$$h'_{r,r+1} = \ln(z_{r,r+1}^2 \partial_r) + \ln(z_{r,r+1}^2 \partial_{r+1}) - 2\ln z_{r,r+1} + 2\gamma$$

= $\ln(\partial_r) + \ln(\partial_{r+1}) + \frac{1}{\partial_r} \ln z_{r,r+1} \partial_r + \frac{1}{\partial_{r+1}} \ln z_{r,r+1} \partial_{r+1} + 2\gamma$. (82)

The pair hamiltonian $h'_{r,r+1}$ coincides in fact with the expression (14) in the coordinate representation acting on the wave function with non-amputated propagators.

The remarkable property of h is its commutation with the matrix element D(u) of the monodromy matrix (19) introduced above for the description of the integrability of the BKP equations in the multi-color QCD [35]

$$[D(u),h] = 0. (83)$$

Therefore if we write D(u) as a polynomial in u

$$D(u) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} u^{n-1-k} q'_k, \qquad (84)$$

then the differential operators

$$q'_0 = 1, \ q'_1 = -i \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} z_r \,\partial_r \,,$$
 (85)

$$q'_{k} = -\sum_{0 < r_{1} < r_{2} < \dots < r_{k} < n} z_{r_{1}} \prod_{s=1}^{k-1} z_{r_{s}, r_{s+1}} \prod_{t=1}^{k} i\partial_{r_{t}}$$
(86)

are independent integrals of motion with the properties

$$[q'_k, h] = [q'_k, q'_t] = 0.$$
(87)

It turns out, that h coincides with the local hamiltonian of the open integrable Heisenberg model in which spins are generators of the Möbius group.

To solve this model one can use the algebraic Bethe anzatz. In this case it is convenient to go to the transposed space, where there exists the pseudo-vacuum state Ψ_0

$$\Psi_0 = \prod_{r=1}^{n-1} z_r^{-2} \,, \tag{88}$$

satisfying the equation

$$C^{t}(u)\Psi_{0} = 0. (89)$$

Here $C^{t}(u)$ is the transposed matrix element C(t) of the monodromy matrix (19). The eigenvalues of the hamiltonian and the integral of motion D(u) are constructed by applying the product of its matrix elements $B^{t}(u)$ to the pseudovacuum state

$$\Psi_k = \prod_{r=1}^k B^t(u_r) \,\Psi_0 \,.$$
(90)

For such eigenfunctions the spectral parameters u_r should obey so-called Bethe equations. Instead one can introduce the Baxter function which is the generating function of the Bethe roots

$$Q(u) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (u - u_k).$$
(91)

Generally the number of the roots u_k is infinite. The Baxter function satisfies the Baxter equation which is reduced to the simple recurrent relation for our open spin chain

$$\Lambda(u) Q(u) = (u+i)^{n-1} Q(u+i), \qquad (92)$$

where $\Lambda(u)$ is an eigenvalue of the integral of motion D(u) and can be written in terms of its roots

$$D(u) \Psi_{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}} = \Lambda(u) \Psi_{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}}, \ \Lambda(u) = \prod_{r=1}^{n-1} (u - ia_r).$$
(93)

As a result, the solution of the Baxter equation can be found in the form [35]

$$Q(u) = \prod_{r=1}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma(-iu - a_r)}{\Gamma(-iu + 1)}$$
(94)

up to a possible factor being a periodic function of -iu.

The Regge trajectory of the composite state of n-1 gluons has the additivity property

$$\omega_n(t) = \omega(t) - \frac{a}{2} E, \ E = \epsilon + \tilde{\epsilon},$$
(95)

$$\epsilon = \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \epsilon(a_r), \ \tilde{\epsilon} = \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \epsilon(\tilde{a}_r),$$
(96)

where

$$\epsilon(\tilde{a}) = \psi(a) + \psi(1-a) - 2\psi(1), \ a_r = i\nu_r + \frac{n_r}{2}.$$
(97)

9 Three gluon composite state

The wave function of the three gluon composite state in the adjoint representation can be constructed as a bilinear combination of eigenfunctions of the integrals of motion D(u) and $D^*(u)$ having the property of single-valuedness in the coordinate space [35]

$$\Psi \sim z_2^{a_1+a_2} \left(z_2^*\right)^{\widetilde{a_1}+\widetilde{a_2}} \int \frac{d^2 y}{|y|^2} y^{-a_2} (y^*)^{-\widetilde{a_2}} \left(\frac{y-1}{y-x}\right)^{a_1} \left(\frac{y^*-1}{y^*-x^*}\right)^{\widetilde{a_1}}, \ x = \frac{z_2}{z_1}.$$
(98)

One can perform its Fourie transformation to the momentum space

$$\Psi^{t}(\vec{p}_{1},\vec{p}_{2}) = (p_{1}+p_{2})^{-a_{1}-a_{2}}(p_{1}^{*}+p_{2}^{*})^{-\tilde{a}_{1}-\tilde{a}_{2}}\phi(\vec{y}), \ y = \frac{p_{2}}{p_{1}},$$
(99)

where

$$\phi(\vec{y}) = \int d^2t \, \left(\frac{1}{t\,y} + 1\right)^{a_1} \, \left(\frac{1}{t^*\,y^*} + 1\right)^{\widetilde{a}_1} \, (1-t)^{a_2-1} \, (1-t^*)^{\widetilde{a}_2-1} \,. \tag{100}$$

This function can be presented in terms of its Mellin transformation

$$\Psi^{t}(\vec{p}_{1},\vec{p}_{2}) = (p_{1}+p_{2})^{-a_{1}-a_{2}}(p_{1}^{*}+p_{2}^{*})^{-\tilde{a}_{1}-\tilde{a}_{2}} \int d^{2}u \,\phi(u,\tilde{u}) \,\left(\frac{p_{1}}{p_{2}}\right)^{-iu} \,\left(\frac{p_{1}^{*}}{p_{2}^{*}}\right)^{-i\tilde{u}}, \quad (101)$$

where

$$-iu = i\nu_u + \frac{N_u}{2}, \ -i\widetilde{u} = i\nu_u - \frac{N_u}{2}, \ \int d^2u \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\nu_u \sum_{N_u = -\infty}^{\infty} .$$
 (102)

and

$$\phi(u,\widetilde{u}) = \frac{\pi^2 \Gamma(1+\widetilde{a}_1) \Gamma(a_2)}{\Gamma(-a_1) \Gamma(1-\widetilde{a}_2)} \frac{\Gamma(iu) \Gamma(1+i\widetilde{u})}{\Gamma(-iu) \Gamma(1-i\widetilde{u})} \frac{\Gamma(-iu-a_1) \Gamma(-iu-a_2)}{\Gamma(1+i\widetilde{u}+\widetilde{a}_1) \Gamma(1+i\widetilde{u}+\widetilde{a}_2)}.$$
 (103)

Really the last form of Ψ^t corresponds to the Baxter-Sklyanin representation [11], because the function ϕ is a product of the pseudovacuum state and the Baxter function [35]

$$\phi(u,\widetilde{u}) = u\,\widetilde{u}\,Q(u,\widetilde{u})\,,\tag{104}$$

where

$$Q(u,\widetilde{u}) \sim \frac{\Gamma(iu)\Gamma(i\widetilde{u})}{\Gamma(1-iu)\Gamma(1-i\widetilde{u})} \frac{\Gamma(-iu-a_1)\Gamma(-iu-a_2)}{\Gamma(1+i\widetilde{u}+\widetilde{a}_1)\Gamma(1+i\widetilde{u}+\widetilde{a}_2)}.$$
(105)

10 Discussion of obtained results

It was demonstated, that Pomeron in QCD is a composite state of reggeized gluons. The BFKL dynamics is integrable in LLA. In the next-to-leading approximation in N = 4 SUSY the equation for the Pomeron wave function has remarkable properties including the analyticity in the conformal spin n and the maximal transcendentality. In this model the BFKL Pomeron coincides with the reggeized graviton. The BDS ansatz for scattering amplitudes in N = 4 SUSY does not agree with the BFKL approach in the multi-Regge kinematics. The reason for this drawback is the absence of the Mandelstam cuts. The BFKL-like equation for the composite state of two reggeized gluons with adjoint quantum numbers is explicitly solved. It is shown, that the equation for the composite state of an arbitrary number of reggeized gluons in the adjoint representation is equivalent to the Schrödinger equation for an integrable open Heisenberg spin chain. The wave function for three gluon composite state is constructed in the Baxter-Sklyanin representation.

In the conclusion I thank L.D. Faddeev, J. Bartels and A. Sabio Vera for helpful discussions.

References

- L. N. Lipatov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 23 (1976) 338;
 V. S. Fadin, E. A. Kuraev, L. N. Lipatov, Phys. Lett. B 60 (1975) 50;
 E. A. Kuraev, L. N. Lipatov, V. S. Fadin, Sov. Phys. JETP 44 (1976) 443; 45 (1977) 199;
 I. Balitsky, L. N. Lipatov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 28 (1978) 822.
- [2] V. S. Fadin, R. Fiore, M. I. Kotsky, Phys. Lett. B 387 (1996) 593.
- [3] A. V. Kotikov, L. N. Lipatov, Nucl. Phys. B 582 (2000) 19.
- [4] L. N. Lipatov, Phys. Lett. B **309** (1993) 394.
- [5] L. N. Lipatov, Sov. Phys. JETP **63** (1986) 904.
- [6] J. Bartels, Nucl. Phys. B 175 (1980) 365;
 J. Kwiecinski, M. Praszalowicz, Phys. Lett. B 94 (1980) 413.
- [7] L. N. Lipatov, Phys. Lett. B **251** (1990) 284.
- [8] L. N. Lipatov, Nucl. Phys. B 548 (1999) 328.

- [9] L. N. Lipatov High energy asymptotics of multi-colour QCD and exactly solvable lattice models, Padova preprint DFPD/93/TH/70, hep-th/9311037, unpublished.
- [10] L. N. Lipatov, JETP Lett. 59 (1994) 596;
 L. D. Faddeev, G. P. Korchemsky, Phys. Lett. B 342 (1995) 311.
- [11] H. J. de Vega, L. N. Lipatov, Phys. Rev. D64 (2001) 114019; D66 (2002) 074013-1;
- [12] S. E. Derkachov, G. P. Korchemsky, A. N. Manashov, Nucl. Phys. B617 (2001) 375.
- [13] V. S. Fadin, L. N. Lipatov, Phys. Lett. B 429 (1998) 127;
 M. Ciafaloni and G. Camici, Phys. Lett. B 430 (1998) 349.
- [14] A. V. Kotikov, L. N. Lipatov, Nucl. Phys. B 661 (2003) 19.
- [15] A. V. Kotikov, L. N. Lipatov, V. N. Velizhanin, Phys. Lett. B 557 (2003) 114.
- [16] A. V. Kotikov, L. N. Lipatov, A. I. Onishchenko, V. N. Velizhanin, Phys. Lett. B 595 (2004) 521; [Erratum-ibid. B 632 (2006) 754].
- [17] S. Moch, J. A. M. Vermaseren, A. Vogt, Nucl. Phys. B 688 (2004) 101.
- [18] L. N. Lipatov, Nucl. Phys. B 452, 369 (1995); Phys. Rept. 286, 131 (1997).
- [19] E. N. Antonov, L. N. Lipatov, E. A. Kuraev, I. O. Cherednikov, Nucl. Phys. B 721, 111 (2005).
- [20] J. M. Maldacena, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 231.
- [21] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov, A. M. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. B 428 (1998) 105.
- [22] E. Witten, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 253.
- [23] S.S. Gubser, I.R. Klebanov, A.M. Polyakov, Nucl. Phys. B 636 (2002) 99.
- [24] R. C. Brower, J. Polchinski, M. J. Strassler, C. I. Tan, JHEP 0712, 005 (2007).
- [25] L. N. Lipatov, talk at "Perspectives in Hadronic Physics", Proc. of Conf. ICTP. Triest, Italy, May 1997.
- [26] J. A. Minahan, K. Zarembo, JHEP **0303** (2003) 013.
- [27] N. Beisert and M. Staudacher, Nucl. Phys. B 670 (2003) 439.
- [28] B. Eden, M. Staudacher, J. Stat. Mech. 0611 (2006) P014.
- [29] N. Beisert, B. Eden, M. Staudacher, J. Stat. Mech. 0701 (2007) P021.
- [30] A. V. Kotikov, L. N. Lipatov, A. Rej, M. Staudacher, V. N. Velizhanin, J. Stat. Mech. 0710, P10003 (2007).
- [31] Z. Bern, L. J. Dixon, V. A. Smirnov, Phys. Rev. D 72, 085001 (2005). Phys. Rev. D 72, 085001 (2005).
- [32] J. Bartels, L. N. Lipatov, A. Sabio Vera, arXiv:hep-th/0802.2065.
- [33] R. C. Brower, H. Nastase, H. J. Schnitzer, C.-I. Tan, arXiv:hep-th/0801.3891.
- [34] J. Bartels, L. N. Lipatov, A. Sabio Vera, arXiv:hep-th/0807.0807.
- [35] L. N. Lipatov, in preparation.