

RANK-ONE ISOMETRIES OF PROPER CAT(0)-SPACES

URSULA HAMENSTÄDT

ABSTRACT. Let X be a proper CAT(0)-space with visual boundary ∂X . Let G be a non-elementary group of isometries of X with limit set $\Lambda \subset \partial X$. We survey properties of the action of G on Λ under the assumption that G contains a rank-one element. Among others, we show that there is a dense orbit for the action of G on the complement of the diagonal Δ in $\Lambda \times \Lambda$ and that pairs of fixed points of rank-one elements are dense in $\Lambda \times \Lambda - \Delta$.

1. INTRODUCTION

A geodesic metric space (X, d) is called *proper* if closed balls in X of finite radius are compact. A proper CAT(0)-metric space X can be compactified by adding the *visual boundary* ∂X .

The isometry group $\text{Iso}(X)$ of a proper CAT(0)-space X , equipped with the compact open topology, is a locally compact σ -compact topological group which acts as a group of homeomorphisms on ∂X . The *limit set* Λ of a subgroup G of $\text{Iso}(X)$ is the set of accumulation points in ∂X of an orbit of the action of G on X . The limit set does not depend on the orbit, and it is closed and G -invariant. The group G is called *elementary* if either its limit set consists of at most two points or if G fixes a point in ∂X .

For every $g \in \text{Iso}(X)$ the *displacement function* of g is the function $x \rightarrow d(x, gx)$. The isometry g is called *semisimple* if the displacement function assumes a minimum on X . If this minimum vanishes then g has a fixed point in X and is called *elliptic*, and otherwise g is called *axial*. If g is axial then the subset A of X on which the displacement function is minimal is isometric to a product space $C \times \mathbb{R}$ where C is a closed convex subset of A and where g acts on each of the geodesics $\{x\} \times \mathbb{R}$ as a translation. Such a geodesic is called an *axis* for g . We refer to the books [1, 3, 6] for basic properties of CAT(0)-spaces and for references.

Call an axial isometry g of X *rank-one* if there is an axis γ for g which does not bound a flat half-plane. Here by a flat half-plane we mean a totally geodesic embedded isometric copy of an euclidean half-plane in X .

Ballmann and Brin [2] investigated discrete groups of isometries of a proper CAT(0)-space X whose boundary ∂X contains more than two points and which act cocompactly on X . Such a group $G < \text{Iso}(X)$ is necessarily non-elementary,

Date: September 21, 2008.

AMS subject classification: 20F67, 53C20.

and its limit set is the whole boundary ∂X . They showed (Theorem A of [2]) that if G contains a rank-one element then for any two non-empty open subsets U, V of ∂X there is an element $g \in G$ with $g(\partial X - U) \subset V$ and $g^{-1}(\partial X - V) \subset U$. It is possible to choose g to be rank-one. Moreover (Theorem 4.6 of [2]), G contains a free non-abelian subgroup.

In this note we extend this result to all non-elementary groups of isometries of a proper CAT(0)-space which contain a rank-one element.

Theorem. *Let X be a proper Cat(0)-space and let $G < \text{Iso}(X)$ be a non-elementary subgroup with limit set Λ which contains a rank-one element.*

- (1) Λ is perfect, and the G -action on Λ is minimal.
- (2) Pairs of fixed points of rank-one elements are dense in the complement of the diagonal Δ of $\Lambda \times \Lambda$.
- (3) There is a dense orbit for the action of G on $\Lambda \times \Lambda - \Delta$.
- (4) G contains a free subgroup with two generators consisting of rank-one elements.

The second part of the above theorem is contained in [8]. The last part of the above result is (in a slightly different context) contained in [5], with a different proof. The paper [8] also contains a proof of this last part (without the conclusion that the free subgroup consists of rank-one elements). The other parts are probably also known to the experts, however they seem to be unavailable in the literature in this form.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2 we collect some basic geometric properties of proper CAT(0)-spaces. In Section 3 we look at geodesics in proper CAT(0)-spaces. Following [5], we define contracting geodesics and study some of their properties. In Section 4 we investigate some geometric properties of isometries of a proper CAT(0)-space X , and in Section 5 we look at groups of isometries and prove the theorem above. In Section 6 we briefly discuss some recent rigidity results for isometry groups of CAT(0)-spaces which can be found in [10, 5].

2. BASIC CAT(0)-GEOMETRY

In this section we summarize some geometric properties of CAT(0)-spaces. We use the books [1, 3, 6] as our main references and for the discussion of a large set of examples.

A *triangle* Δ in a geodesic metric space consists of three vertices connected by three (minimal) geodesic arcs a, b, c . A comparison triangle $\bar{\Delta}$ for Δ in the euclidean plane is a triangle in \mathbb{R}^2 with the same side-lengths as Δ . By the triangle inequality, such a comparison triangle exists always, and it is unique up to isometry. For a point $x \in a \subset \Delta$ the comparison point of x in the comparison triangle $\bar{\Delta}$ is the point on the side \bar{a} of $\bar{\Delta}$ corresponding to a whose distance to the endpoints of \bar{a} coincides with the distance of x to the corresponding endpoints of a .

Definition 2.1. A geodesic metric space (X, d) is called a CAT(0)-space if for every geodesic triangle Δ in X with sides a, b, c and every comparison triangle $\bar{\Delta}$ in the euclidean plane with sides $\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}$ and for all $x, y \in \Delta$ and all comparison points $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \bar{\Delta}$ we have

$$d(x, y) \leq d(\bar{x}, \bar{y}).$$

A complete CAT(0)-space is called a *Hadamard space*. In a Hadamard space X , any two points can be connected by a unique geodesic which varies continuously with the endpoints. The distance function is convex: If γ, ζ are two geodesics in X parametrized on the same interval then the function $t \rightarrow d(\gamma(t), \zeta(t))$ is convex. More generally, we call a function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ *convex* if for every geodesic $\gamma : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the function $t \rightarrow f(\gamma(t))$ is convex [1].

For a fixed point $x \in X$, the *visual boundary* ∂X of X is defined to be the space of all geodesic rays issuing from x equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on bounded sets. This definition is independent of the choice of x . We denote the point in ∂X defined by a geodesic ray $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ by $\gamma(\infty)$. We also say that γ *connects* x to $\gamma(\infty)$. If X is proper then the visual boundary of X is compact [1].

There is another description of the visual boundary of X as follows. Let $C(X)$ be the space of all continuous functions on X endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on bounded sets. Fix a point $x \in X$ and for $y, z \in X$ define

$$b_y(x, z) = d(y, z) - d(y, x).$$

Then we have

$$(1) \quad b_y(x, z) = -b_y(z, x) \text{ for all } x, z \in X$$

and

$$(2) \quad |b_y(x, z) - b_y(x, z')| \leq d(z, z') \text{ for all } z, z' \in X$$

and hence the function $b_y(x, \cdot) : z \rightarrow b_y(x, z)$ is one-Lipschitz and vanishes at x . The assignment $y \rightarrow b_y(x, \cdot)$ is an embedding of X into $C(X)$. Moreover, for every $y \in X$ the function $b_y(x, \cdot)$ is convex. If $\tilde{x} \in X$ is another basepoint then we have

$$(3) \quad b_y(\tilde{x}, \cdot) = b_y(x, \cdot) + b_y(\tilde{x}, x).$$

A sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ *converges at infinity* if $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow \infty$ and if the functions $b_{x_n}(x, \cdot)$ converge in $C(X)$. The visual boundary ∂X of X can also be defined as the subset of $C(X)$ of all functions which are obtained as limits of functions $b_{x_n}(x, \cdot)$ for sequences $\{x_n\} \subset X$ which converge at infinity. In particular, the union $X \cup \partial X$ is naturally a closed subset of $C(X)$.

Namely, if $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ is any geodesic ray then for every sequence $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ the sequence $\{\gamma(t_n)\}$ converges at infinity, and the limit function $b_{\gamma(\infty)}(x, \cdot)$ does not depend on the sequence $\{t_n\}$. The function $b_{\gamma(\infty)}(x, \cdot)$ is called a *Busemann function* at $\gamma(\infty) \in \partial X$. The Busemann function $b_{\gamma(\infty)}(\gamma(0), \cdot)$ satisfies

$$(4) \quad b_{\gamma(\infty)}(\gamma(0), \gamma(t)) = -t \text{ for all } t \geq 0.$$

Vice versa, if the sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ converges at infinity then the geodesics γ_n connecting a fixed point $x \in X$ to x_n converge locally uniformly to a geodesic ray which only depends on the limit of the functions $b_{x_n}(x, \cdot)$ (Chapter II.1 and II.2 of [1]).

From now on let X be a *proper* (i.e. complete and locally compact) CAT(0)-space. Then $X \cup \partial X$ is compact. A subset $C \subset X$ is *convex* if for $x, y \in C$ the geodesic connecting x to y is contained in C as well. For every closed convex set $C \subset X$ and every $x \in X$ there is a unique point $\pi_C(x) \in C$ of smallest distance to x (Proposition II.2.4 of [6]). Now let $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a closed connected set and let $\gamma : J \rightarrow X$ be a geodesic arc. Then $\gamma(J) \subset X$ is convex and hence there is a shortest distance projection $\pi_{\gamma(J)} : X \rightarrow \gamma(J)$. Then $\pi_{\gamma(J)}(x)$ is the unique minimum for the restriction of the function $b_x(y, \cdot)$ to $\gamma(J)$. By equality (3), this does not depend on the choice of the basepoint $y \in X$. The projection $\pi_{\gamma(J)} : X \rightarrow \gamma(J)$ is distance non-increasing.

For $\xi \in \partial X$ the function $t \rightarrow b_\xi(y, \gamma(t))$ is convex. Let $\overline{\gamma(J)}$ be the closure of $\gamma(J)$ in $X \cup \partial X$. If $b_\xi(y, \cdot)|_{\gamma(J)}$ assumes a minimum then we can define $\pi_{\gamma(J)}(\xi) \subset \overline{\gamma(J)}$ to be the closure in $\overline{\gamma(J)}$ of the connected subset of $\gamma(J)$ of all such minima. If $b_\xi(y, \cdot)|_{\gamma(J)}$ does not assume a minimum then by continuity the set J is unbounded and by convexity either $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} b_\xi(y, \gamma(t)) = \inf\{b_\xi(y, \gamma(s)) \mid s \in J\}$ or $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} b_\xi(y, \gamma(t)) = \inf\{b_\xi(y, \gamma(s)) \mid s \in J\}$. In the first case we define $\pi_{\gamma(J)}(\xi) = \gamma(\infty) \in \partial X$, and in the second case we define $\pi_{\gamma(J)}(\xi) = \gamma(-\infty)$. Then for every $\xi \in \partial X$ the set $\pi_{\gamma(J)}(\xi)$ is a closed connected subset of $\overline{\gamma(J)}$ (which may contain points in both X and ∂X).

We note the following easy fact.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\gamma : J \rightarrow X$ be a geodesic and let $(x_i) \subset X$ be a sequence converging to some $\xi \in \partial X$. Then up to passing to a subsequence, the sequence $\pi_{\gamma(J)}(x_i)$ converges to a point in $\pi_{\gamma(J)}(\xi)$.*

Proof. Since the closure $\overline{\gamma(J)}$ of $\gamma(J)$ in $X \cup \partial X$ is compact, up to passing to a subsequence the sequence $\pi_{\gamma(J)}(x_i)$ converges to a point $z \in \overline{\gamma(J)}$. On the other hand, the functions $b_{x_i}(x, \cdot)$ converge as $i \rightarrow \infty$ locally uniformly to the Busemann function b_ξ . Now if $z \in \gamma(J)$ then this implies that z is a minimum for $b_\xi(x, \cdot)$ and hence $z \in \pi_{\gamma(J)}(\xi)$ by definition.

Otherwise assume that $J \supset [a, \infty)$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and that $\pi_{\gamma(J)}(x_i) = \gamma(t_i)$ where $t_i \rightarrow \infty$. Then by convexity, for every $s \in (a, \infty)$, every $t > s$ and every i which is sufficiently large that $t_i > t$ we have $b_{x_i}(x, \gamma(t)) \leq b_{x_i}(x, \gamma(s))$. Since $b_{x_i}(x, \cdot) \rightarrow b_\xi(x, \cdot)$ locally uniformly, we also have $b_\xi(x, \gamma(t)) \leq b_\xi(x, \gamma(s))$ and hence indeed $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} b_\xi(x, \gamma(t)) = \inf\{b_\xi(x, \gamma(s)) \mid s \in J\}$. This shows the lemma. \square

3. CONTRACTING GEODESICS

In this section we discuss some geometric properties of geodesics in a proper CAT(0)-space X . As a convention, geodesics are always defined on closed connected

subsets of \mathbb{R} . We begin with the following definition which is due to Bestvina and Fujiwara (Definition 3.1 of [5]).

Definition 3.1. A geodesic arc $\gamma : J \rightarrow X$ is *B-contracting* for some $B > 0$ if for every closed metric ball K in X which is disjoint from $\gamma(J)$ the diameter of the projection $\pi_{\gamma(J)}(K)$ does not exceed B .

We call a geodesic *contracting* if it is *B-contracting* for some $B > 0$. As an example, every geodesic in a CAT(κ)-space for some $\kappa < 0$ is *B-contracting* for a number $B = B(\kappa) > 0$ only depending on κ .

The next lemma (Lemma 3.2 and 3.5 of [5]) shows that a connected subarc of a contracting geodesic is contracting and that a triangle containing a *B-contracting* geodesic as one of its sides is uniformly thin.

Lemma 3.2. (1) *Let $\gamma : J \rightarrow X$ be a B-contracting geodesic. Then for every closed connected subset $I \subset J$, the subarc $\gamma(I)$ of γ is $B + 3$ -contracting.*
 (2) *Let $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow X$ be a B-contracting geodesic. If $x \in X$ is such that $\pi_{\gamma[a, b]}(x) = a$ then for every $t \in [a, b]$ the geodesic connecting x to $\gamma(t)$ passes through the $3B + 1$ -neighborhood of $\gamma(a)$.*

Lemma 3.2 implies that for a *B-contracting* biinfinite geodesic $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ and for $\xi \in \partial X - \{\gamma(-\infty), \gamma(\infty)\}$ the projection $\pi_{\gamma(\mathbb{R})}(\xi)$ is a compact subset of $\gamma(\mathbb{R})$ of diameter at most $6B + 4$.

Lemma 3.3. *For some $B > 0$ let $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ be a biinfinite B-contracting geodesic. Then for $\xi \in \partial X - \{\gamma(-\infty), \gamma(\infty)\}$ the restriction to $\gamma(\mathbb{R})$ of a Busemann function b_ξ at ξ is bounded from below and assumes a minimum. If $T \in \mathbb{R}$ is such that $\gamma(T) \in \pi_{\gamma(\mathbb{R})}(\xi)$ then*

$$|T - t| - 3B - 2 \leq b_\xi(\gamma(T), \gamma(t)) \leq |T - t| \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. Let $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ be a biinfinite *B-contracting* geodesic and let $\xi \in \partial X - \{\gamma(\infty), \gamma(-\infty)\}$. Let $c : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ be the geodesic ray which connects $\gamma(0)$ to ξ . For $R > 0$ let $t_R \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\pi_{\gamma(\mathbb{R})}(c(R)) = \gamma(t_R)$. By Lemma 3.2 the geodesic c passes through the $3B + 1$ -neighborhood of $\gamma(t_R)$. By triangle comparison, the geodesic segment $\gamma[0, t_R]$ is contained in the $3B + 1$ -neighborhood of $c[0, \infty)$. Thus if there is a sequence $R_i \rightarrow \infty$ such that $t_{R_i} \rightarrow \infty$ (or $t_{R_i} \rightarrow -\infty$) then the geodesic ray $\gamma[0, \infty)$ (or $\gamma(-\infty, 0]$) is contained in the $3B + 1$ -neighborhood of $c[0, \infty)$ and hence $c = \gamma[0, \infty)$ (or $c = \gamma(-\infty, 0]$) which is impossible.

As a consequence, the set $\{t_R \mid R \geq 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ is bounded and therefore there is a number $T \in \mathbb{R}$ and a sequence $R_i \rightarrow \infty$ such that $t_{R_i} \rightarrow T$ ($i \rightarrow \infty$). By Lemma 3.2, for sufficiently large i and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the geodesic connecting $\gamma(t)$ to $c(R_i)$ passes through the $3B + 2$ -neighborhood of $\gamma(T)$. On the other hand, as $i \rightarrow \infty$ these geodesics converge locally uniformly to the geodesic connecting $\gamma(t)$ to ξ . Together with (1), (2) and (4) above, this implies that

$$|T - t| - 3B - 2 \leq b_\xi(\gamma(T), \gamma(t)) \leq |T - t|$$

as claimed in the lemma. In particular, the restriction of the function $b_\xi(\gamma(T), \cdot)$ to $\gamma(\mathbb{R})$ is bounded from below by $-3B - 2$, and if $|T - t| > 3B + 2$ then $b_\xi(\gamma(T), \gamma(t)) > 0$ and hence $\gamma(t) \notin \pi_{\gamma(\mathbb{R})}(\xi)$. \square

Two points $\xi \neq \eta \in \partial X$ are connected in X by a geodesic if there is a geodesic $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ with $\gamma(\infty) = \xi, \gamma(-\infty) = \eta$. Unlike in a proper hyperbolic geodesic metric space, such a geodesic need not exist. Therefore we define.

Definition 3.4. A point $\xi \in \partial X$ is called a *visibility point* if for every $\zeta \neq \xi \in \partial X$ there is a geodesic connecting ξ to ζ .

Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3 are used to show.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ be a contracting geodesic ray. Then $\gamma(\infty) \in \partial X$ is a visibility point.*

Proof. Let $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ be a B -contracting geodesic ray for some $B > 0$ and let $\xi \in \partial X - \gamma(\infty)$. By Lemma 3.3 (or, rather, its obvious modification for geodesic rays) the projection $\pi_{\gamma[0, \infty)}(\xi)$ is a compact subset of $\gamma[0, \infty)$ of diameter at most $6B + 4$.

Let $r \geq 0$ be such that $\gamma(r) \in \pi_{\gamma[0, \infty)}(\xi)$. Let $c : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ be the geodesic ray connecting $c(0) = \gamma(r)$ to ξ . Then for every $t > 0$ we have $\pi_{\gamma[0, \infty)}(c(t)) = \gamma(r)$. Namely, property (4) of Busemann functions implies that $b_\xi(c(0), c(R)) = -b_\xi(c(R), c(0)) = -R$ for all $R > 0$. Moreover, $b_\xi(c(R), \cdot)$ is one-Lipschitz and hence if $z = \pi_{\gamma[0, \infty)}(c(R)) \neq c(0)$ then $d(z, c(R)) < R$ and consequently $b_\xi(c(R), z) < R$. However, this implies that

$$b_\xi(c(0), z) = b_\xi(c(0), c(R)) + b_\xi(c(R), z) < 0$$

which violates the assumption that $c(0) \in \pi_{\gamma[0, \infty)}(\xi)$.

Together with Lemma 3.2, this shows that for every $t > 0$ the geodesic ζ_t connecting $\gamma(t)$ to $c(t)$ passes through the $3B + 1$ -neighborhood of $\gamma(r)$. Since X is proper, up to reparametrization and up to passing to a subsequence we may assume that the geodesics ζ_t converge uniformly on compact sets as $t \rightarrow \infty$ to a geodesic ζ . By construction and by convexity, ζ connects $\gamma(\infty)$ to $c(\infty) = \xi$. Since $\xi \in \partial X - \gamma(\infty)$ was arbitrary, this shows the lemma. \square

For fixed $B > 0$, B -contracting geodesics are stable under limits.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $B > 0$ and let $\gamma_i : J_i \rightarrow X$ be a sequence of B -contracting geodesics converging locally uniformly to a geodesic $\gamma : J \rightarrow X$. Then γ is B -contracting.*

Proof. Assume to the contrary that there is a sequence $(\gamma_i : J_i \rightarrow X)$ of B -contracting geodesics in X converging locally uniformly to a geodesic $\gamma : J \rightarrow X$ which is not B -contracting. Then there is a compact metric ball K which is disjoint from $\gamma(J)$ and such that the diameter of $\pi_{\gamma(J)}(K)$ is bigger than B . In other words, there are two points $x, y \in K$ with $d(\pi_{\gamma(J)}(x), \pi_{\gamma(J)}(y)) > B$.

Since $\gamma_i \rightarrow \gamma$ locally uniformly, for sufficiently large i the ball K is disjoint from γ_i . Let $u_i = \pi_{\gamma_i(J_i)}(x), z_i = \pi_{\gamma_i(J_i)}(y)$. If $i > 0$ is sufficiently large that K is disjoint from γ_i then we have $d(u_i, z_i) \leq B$ since γ_i is B -contracting. Moreover, the distance between u_i and x and between z_i and y is bounded independent of i .

Thus up to passing to a subsequence we may assume that $u_i \rightarrow u, z_i \rightarrow z$. Then $u, z \in \gamma(J)$ and $d(u, z) \leq B$ by continuity and therefore up to possibly exchanging x and y we may assume that $u \neq \pi_{\gamma(J)}(x)$. Since the shortest distance projection of x into $\gamma(J)$ is unique, we have $d(u, x) > d(\pi_{\gamma(J)}(x), x)$. But $\gamma_i \rightarrow \gamma$ locally uniformly and $d(u_i, x) \rightarrow d(u, x)$ and therefore for sufficiently large i the point $\pi_{\gamma_i(J_i)}(\pi_{\gamma(J)}(x)) \in \gamma_i(J_i)$ is closer to x than u_i . This contradicts the choice of u_i and shows the lemma. \square

4. RANK-ONE ISOMETRIES

As before, let X be a proper CAT(0)-space. For an isometry g of X define the *displacement function* d_g of g to be the function $x \rightarrow d_g(x) = d(x, gx)$.

Definition 4.1. An isometry g of X is called *semisimple* if d_g achieves its minimum in X . If g is semisimple and $\min d_g = 0$ then g is called *elliptic*. A semisimple isometry g with $\min d_g > 0$ is called *axial*.

By the above definition, an isometry is elliptic if and only if it fixes at least one point in X . By Proposition II.3.3 of [1], an isometry g of X is axial if and only if there is a geodesic $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ such that $g\gamma(t) = \gamma(t + \tau)$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ where $\tau = \min d_g > 0$. Such a geodesic is called an *oriented axis* for g . Note that the geodesic $t \rightarrow \gamma(-t)$ is an oriented axis for g^{-1} . The endpoint $\gamma(\infty)$ of γ is a fixed point for the action of g on ∂X which is called the *attracting fixed point*. The closed convex set $A \subset X$ of all points for which the displacement function of g is minimal is isometric to $C \times \mathbb{R}$ where $C \subset A$ is closed and convex. For each $x \in C$ the set $\{x\} \times \mathbb{R}$ is an axis of g .

The following definition is due to Bestvina and Fujiwara (Definition 5.1 of [5]).

Definition 4.2. An isometry $g \in \text{Iso}(X)$ is called *B-rank-one* for some $B > 0$ if g is axial and admits a B -contracting axis.

We call an isometry g *rank-one* if g is B -rank-one for some $B > 0$.

The following statement is Theorem 5.4 of [5].

Proposition 4.3. *An axial isometry of X with axis γ is rank-one if and only if γ does not bound a flat half-plane.*

A homeomorphism g of a compact space K is said to act with *north-south dynamics* if there are two fixed points $a \neq b \in K$ for the action of g such that for every neighborhood U of a , V of b there is some $k > 0$ such that $g^k(K - V) \subset U$ and $g^{-k}(K - U) \subset V$. The point a is called the *attracting fixed point* for g , and b is the repelling fixed point. A rank-one isometry acts with north-south dynamics on ∂X (see Lemma 3.3.3 of [1]). For completeness of exposition, we provide a proof of this fact.

Lemma 4.4. *An axial isometry g of X is rank-one if and only if g acts with north-south dynamics on ∂X .*

Proof. Let γ be a B -contracting axis of a B -rank-one isometry g of X and let $A \subset \gamma$ be a compact connected fundamental domain for the action of g on γ . A Busemann function $b_\xi(x, \cdot)$ depends continuously on $\xi \in \partial X$, and $b_{\gamma(\infty)}(\gamma(0), \gamma(t)) = -t$ and $b_{\gamma(-\infty)}(\gamma(0), \gamma(t)) = t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. By Lemma 3.3, for every $\xi \in \partial X - \{\gamma(\infty), \gamma(-\infty)\}$ the set $\pi_{\gamma(\mathbb{R})}(\xi)$ is a compact subset of $\gamma(\mathbb{R})$ of diameter at most $6B + 4$ and therefore the set $K = \{\xi \in \partial X - \{\gamma(\infty), \gamma(-\infty)\} \mid \pi_{\gamma(\mathbb{R})}(\xi) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$ is closed and does not contain $\gamma(\infty), \gamma(-\infty)$.

By Lemma 3.3, for every $\xi \in \partial X - \{\gamma(\infty), \gamma(-\infty)\}$ there is some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\pi_{\gamma(\mathbb{R})}(\xi) \cap g^k A \neq \emptyset$. By equivariance under the action of the infinite cyclic subgroup of $\text{Iso}(X)$ generated by g , this means that $\xi \in g^k K$ and hence $\cup_k g^k(K) = \partial X - \{\gamma(-\infty), \gamma(\infty)\}$.

By comparison, for every neighborhood V of $\gamma(-\infty)$ there is a number $m > 0$ such that V contains the endpoints of all geodesic rays $\zeta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \partial X$ issuing from $\gamma(0) = \zeta(0)$ which pass through the $3B + 1$ -neighborhood of $\gamma(-t)$ for some $t \geq m$. Lemma 3.2 and the discussion in the proof of Lemma 3.5 shows that if $\xi \in \partial X$ is such that there is a point $z \in \pi_{\gamma(\mathbb{R})}(\xi) \cap \gamma(-\infty, -m]$ then the geodesic ray connecting $\gamma(0)$ to ξ intersects the $3B + 1$ -neighborhood of z and hence $\xi \in V$. This means that if $k \geq 0$ is sufficiently large that $g^{-k}A \subset \gamma(-\infty, -m]$ then $\cup_{j \geq k} g^{-j}K \subset V$ and hence $\partial X - V \subset \cup_{j > -k} g^j K \cup \{\gamma(\infty)\}$. Similarly, for every neighborhood U of a there is some $\ell > 0$ such that $U \supset \cup_{j > \ell} g^j K$. Then by equivariance, we have $g^{k+\ell}(\partial X - V) \subset U$. This shows that g acts with north-south dynamics on ∂X .

On the other hand, let g be an axial isometry of X which acts with north-south dynamics on ∂X , with attracting and repelling fixed point $a \neq b \in \partial X$. If g is not rank-one then there is an axis for g which bounds a flat totally geodesic half-plane $F \subset X$. The boundary of F is a non-trivial arc in ∂X . If $\zeta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow F$ is any geodesic ray in F issuing from a point on γ then we have $g\zeta(\infty) = \zeta(\infty)$. This contradicts our assumption that g acts with north-south dynamics on ∂X and hence g is indeed rank-one. The lemma follows. \square

We conclude this section with a characterization of rank-one isometries which is easier to verify.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $g \in \text{Iso}(X)$ and assume that there are non-trivial open subsets V_1, V_2 of ∂X with the following properties.*

- (1) *The closures $\overline{V_1}, \overline{V_2}$ of V_1, V_2 are disjoint.*
- (2) *There is a number $B > 0$ and there is a B -contracting geodesic with both endpoints in $\partial X - \overline{V_1} - \overline{V_2}$.*
- (3) *The distance between any biinfinite B -contracting geodesic with both endpoints in V_1 and any biinfinite B -contracting geodesic with both endpoints in V_2 is bounded from below by a universal positive constant.*
- (4) *$g(\partial X - V_2) \subset V_1$ and $g^{-1}(\partial X - V_1) \subset V_2$.*

Then g is rank-one, with attracting fixed point in V_1 and repelling fixed point in V_2 .

Proof. Let $g \in \text{Iso}(X)$ be any isometry with the properties stated in the lemma for open subsets V_1, V_2 of ∂X with disjoint closure $\overline{V_1}, \overline{V_2}$. We have to show that g is rank-one. Note that g maps a nontrivial open neighborhood of $\overline{V_1}$ into $\overline{V_1}$ and hence the order of g is infinite. We show first that g is not elliptic.

For this assume to the contrary that g is elliptic. Then every orbit of the infinite cyclic subgroup H of $\text{Iso}(X)$ generated by g is bounded. Let γ be a B -contracting geodesic whose endpoints $\gamma(\infty), \gamma(-\infty)$ are contained in $\partial X - \overline{V_1} - \overline{V_2}$. Such a geodesic exists by the second requirement for V_1, V_2 stated in the lemma. For every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ the geodesic $g^k \gamma$ is B -contracting.

Since the H -orbit of every point in X is bounded, the geodesics $g^k \gamma$ pass through a fixed compact subset of X . By the Arzela Ascoli theorem, for every sequence $k_i \rightarrow \infty$ there is a subsequence (k_{i_j}) such that the geodesics $g^{k_{i_j}} \gamma$ converge locally uniformly to a biinfinite geodesic in X . By the properties of g , the endpoints in ∂X of such a limiting geodesic ζ are necessarily contained in $\overline{V_1}$, and ζ is B -contracting. The collection \mathcal{G}_+ of all such limiting geodesics is closed for the compact open topology, moreover \mathcal{G}_+ is g -invariant.

Let $x \in X$ be a fixed point of g and let $K_+ = \{\pi_{\zeta(\mathbb{R})}(x) \mid \zeta \in \mathcal{G}_+\}$. Since \mathcal{G}_+ is closed and g -invariant and consists of B -contracting geodesics passing through a fixed compact subset of X , the set K_+ is compact and g -invariant. Moreover, by the third requirement in the statement of the lemma, there is a number $c > 0$ such that its distance in X to any B -contracting geodesic with both endpoints in $\overline{V_2}$ is at least $2c$. On the other hand, by definition of the set K_+ , for $y \in K_+$ there is some $z \in X$ with $d(y, z) < c$ and there is some $\ell > 0$ such that $g^{-\ell}(z) \in \gamma$. Then for $m > \ell$, the point $g^{-m}(z)$ lies on a B -contracting geodesic connecting two points in $\overline{V_2}$. In particular, its distance to K_+ is at least $2c$. Since K_+ is g -invariant, this implies that $d(g^{-m}(y), g^{-m}(z)) \geq 2c$, on the other hand also $d(g^{-m}(y), g^{-m}(z)) = d(y, z) < c$. This is a contradiction and shows that indeed g is not elliptic.

Next assume to the contrary that g is not semisimple. By the classification of isometries of proper CAT(0)-spaces (Proposition 3.4 of [1]), in this case g fixes a point $\xi \in \partial X$ and it preserves any Busemann function at ξ . Let $x \in X$ and let $H = b_\xi(x, \cdot)^{-1}(-\infty, 0]$ be a closed horoball at ξ . Then H is a closed g -invariant convex subset of X whose closure in $X \cup \partial X$ intersects ∂X in a closed subset ∂H . The displacement of g is uniformly bounded in H and therefore g fixes ∂H pointwise. Moreover ∂H is precisely the fixed point set for the action of g on $X \cup \partial X$, and it also is the limit set of the infinite cyclic subgroup of G generated by g . The intersection of every non-empty closed g -invariant subset of ∂X with ∂H is non-empty [11, 10].

By properties 2) and 4) in the statement of the lemma, there is a non-empty closed g -invariant subset W_1 of ∂X which is contained in V_1 (namely, the intersection $\cup_{k \geq 1} g^k \overline{V_1}$), and similarly there is a non-empty closed g -invariant subset W_2 of ∂X which is contained in V_2 . By the above discussion, both sets W_1, W_2 intersect ∂H nontrivially. By property 2) and 4) in the statement of the lemma, this implies that ∂H is disconnected. However, by Corollary 2.3 of [10] (see also Theorem 1.1 of [11] for a related result), ∂H is contractible in the topology on ∂X induced by

the Tits metric d_T . Since the identity $(\partial X, d_T) \rightarrow \partial X$ is continuous [6], ∂H is connected in the visual (cone) topology. This is a contradiction. Together we conclude that g is necessarily axial.

We are left with showing that g is rank-one. Namely, since g is axial, g fixes two points $a \neq b \in \Lambda$, and it preserves a geodesic ζ connecting these two points. If g is not rank-one then ζ bounds a half-space whose boundary is pointwise fixed by g . Moreover, a point $\xi \in \partial X$ is fixed by g if and only if $\pi_{\gamma(\mathbb{R})}(\xi) = \overline{\zeta(\mathbb{R})}$, and if $\xi \in \partial X$ is not fixed by g then $g^k \xi \rightarrow \gamma(\infty)$ ($k \rightarrow \infty$) and $g^k(\xi) \rightarrow \gamma(-\infty)$ ($k \rightarrow -\infty$). As before, this violates assumptions 2) and 4) in the statement of the lemma.

By Lemma 4.4, g acts with north-south dynamics on ∂X . In particular, every closed subset of ∂X which is mapped by g into itself contains the attracting fixed point for g . This implies that the attracting and repelling fixed points of g are contained in V_1 and V_2 , respectively, and completes the proof. \square

Remark: The lemma above is valid without the assumption that the geodesic with both endpoints in $\partial X - \overline{V_1} - \overline{V_2}$ is B -contracting for some $B > 0$.

5. NON-ELEMENTARY GROUPS OF ISOMETRIES

As in the previous sections, let X be a proper CAT(0)-space. Let $G < \text{Iso}(X)$ be a subgroup of the isometry group of X . The *limit set* Λ of G is the set of accumulation points in ∂X of one (and hence every) orbit of the action of G on X . If the closure of G is non-compact then its limit set is a compact non-empty G -invariant subset of ∂X . If $g \in G$ is axial with axis γ , then $\gamma(\infty), \gamma(-\infty) \in \Lambda$. In other words, the two fixed points for the action of a rank-one element on ∂X are contained in Λ .

A compact space is *perfect* if it does not have isolated points. We first observe.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $G < \text{Iso}(X)$ be a subgroup which contains a rank-one element g . Then the limit set Λ of G is the closure in ∂X of the set of fixed points of conjugates of g in G . If Λ contains at least three points then Λ is perfect.*

Proof. Let $G < \text{Iso}(X)$ be a subgroup which contains a rank-one element $g \in G$. Let Λ be the limit set of G . We claim that Λ is contained in the closure of the G -orbit of the two fixed points of g . For this let $\xi \in \Lambda$, let γ be a B -contracting axis of g for some $B > 0$ and let $(g_i) \subset G$ be a sequence such that $(g_i \gamma(0))$ converges to ξ . There are two cases possible.

In the first case, up to passing to a subsequence, the geodesics $g_i \gamma$ eventually leave every compact set. Let $x_0 = \gamma(0)$ and for $i \geq 1$ let $x_i = \pi_{g_i \gamma(\mathbb{R})}(\gamma(0))$. Then $d(x_0, x_i) \rightarrow \infty$ ($i \rightarrow \infty$). On the other hand, $g_i \gamma$ is B -contracting and hence by Lemma 3.2 a geodesic ζ_i connecting x_0 to $g_i x_0$ passes through the $3B + 1$ -neighborhood of x_i , and the same is true for a geodesic η_i connecting x_0 to $g_i \gamma(\infty)$. Thus by convexity, by the description of the topology on ∂X as the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets for geodesic rays issuing from x_0 , by CAT(0)-comparison and compactness, since $d(x_0, x_i) \rightarrow \infty$ ($i \rightarrow \infty$) this just means that

after passing to a subsequence the sequences (x_i) and $(g_i x_0)$ and $(g_i \gamma(\infty))$ converge as $i \rightarrow \infty$ to the same point in ∂X . But $g_i x_0 \rightarrow \xi$ and therefore $g_i \gamma(\infty) \rightarrow \xi$. However, $g_i \gamma(\infty)$ is a fixed point of the conjugate $g_i g g_i^{-1}$ of g . This shows that indeed ξ is contained in the closure of the fixed points of all conjugates of g .

In the second case there is a compact subset K of X such that $g_i \gamma \cap K \neq \emptyset$ for all i . Since X is proper by assumption, up to passing to a subsequence we may assume that the B -contracting geodesics $g_i \gamma$ converge locally uniformly to a geodesic ζ . On the other hand, we have $d(g_i x_0, x_0) \rightarrow \infty$ ($i \rightarrow \infty$) and hence up to passing to a subsequence the geodesic arcs connecting x_0 to $g_i x_0$ converge as $i \rightarrow \infty$ to a geodesic ray which is asymptotic to a subray of ζ . Then the limit ξ of the sequence $(g_i x_0)$ is the endpoint of a subray of ζ and hence once again, ξ is contained in the closure of the fixed points of conjugates of g as claimed.

Now assume that the limit set Λ of G contains at least 3 points. Let g be any rank-one element of G . Then Λ contains at least one point ξ which is not a fixed point of g . Since by Lemma 4.4 g acts with north-south dynamics on ∂X , the sequence $(g^k \xi)$ consists of pairwise distinct points which converge as $k \rightarrow \infty$ to the attracting fixed point of g . Similarly, the sequence $(g^{-k} \xi)$ converges as $k \rightarrow \infty$ to the repelling fixed point of g . Moreover, by the above, a point $\xi \in \Lambda$ which is not a fixed point of a rank-one element of G is a limit of fixed points of rank-one elements. This shows that Λ is perfect and completes the proof of the lemma. \square

A subgroup of $\text{Iso}(X)$ which contains a rank-one element and whose limit set contains at least three points may fix globally a point in ∂X . An easy example is the upper triangular subgroup of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ acting simply transitively on the hyperbolic plane \mathbf{H}^2 and fixing one point on the boundary of \mathbf{H}^2 . Therefore we define a subgroup G of $\text{Iso}(X)$ to be *non-elementary* if its limit set contains at least 3 points and if moreover G does not fix globally a point in ∂X .

The action of a group G on a topological space Y is called *minimal* if every G -orbit is dense. The following lemma completes the proof of the first part of the theorem from the introduction.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $G < \text{Iso}(X)$ be a non-elementary group with limit set Λ which contains a rank-one element $g \in G$ with fixed points $a \neq b \in \Lambda$. Then for every non-empty open set $V \subset \Lambda$ there is some $u \in G$ with $u\{a, b\} \subset V$. Moreover, the action of G on Λ is minimal.*

Proof. Let $G < \text{Iso}(X)$ be a non-elementary subgroup with limit set Λ and let $g \in G$ be a rank-one isometry with attracting and repelling fixed points $a, b \in \Lambda$, respectively. Let $V \subset \Lambda$ be a non-empty open set. By Lemma 5.1, the limit set Λ is perfect and up to replacing g by g^{-1} (and exchanging a and b) there is an element $v \in G$ which maps a to $v(a) \in V - \{a\}$. Then $h = v g v^{-1}$ is a rank-one element with fixed points $v(a) \in V, v(b) \in \Lambda$. In particular, by Lemma 4.4, h acts with north-south dynamics on Λ and hence if $v(b) \neq b$ then we have $h^k \{a, b\} \subset V$ for sufficiently large k .

If $v(b) = b$ then let $\rho \in G$ be an element with $\rho(b) \neq b$. Such an element exists since by assumption, G does not fix globally the point b . Since $v(a) \neq a$ the orbit of

a under the action of the infinite cyclic subgroup H of G generated by $h = vgv^{-1}$ is infinite and hence we can find some $w \in H$ such that $w(a) \neq \rho^{-1}(b)$. Then $u = \rho \circ w$ maps $\{a, b\}$ to $\Lambda - \{b\}$, and for sufficiently large k , the isometry $h^k u$ maps $\{a, b\}$ into V .

By Lemma 4.4, a rank-one isometry of X acts on ∂X with north-south dynamics and hence every closed G -invariant subset of ∂X contains every fixed point of every rank-one element. Namely, if $a \neq b$ are the two fixed points of a rank-one element of G and if there is some $\xi \in A - \{a, b\}$ then also $\{a, b\} \in A$ since A is closed. On the other hand, if $a \in A$ then the above consideration shows that there is some $h \in G$ with $h(a) \in \Lambda - \{a, b\}$ and once again, we conclude by invariance that $b \in A$ as well. Now the set of all fixed points of rank-one elements of G is G -invariant and hence the smallest non-empty closed G -invariant subset of ∂X is the closure of the set of fixed points of rank-one elements. This set contains the limit set Λ by Lemma 5.1 and hence it coincides with Λ . In other words, the action of G on Λ is minimal. The lemma is proven. \square

Corollary 5.3. *Let $G < \text{Iso}(X)$ be a non-elementary subgroup which contains a rank-one element. Then every element $u \in G$ which acts on ∂X with north-south dynamics is rank-one.*

Proof. Let $G < \text{Iso}(X)$ be a non-elementary subgroup with limit set Λ which contains a B -rank-one element for some $B > 0$. Assume that $u \in G$ acts on ∂X with north-south dynamics, with fixed points $a \neq b$. It suffices to verify that there is some $k > 0$ such that u^k satisfies the properties in Lemma 4.5.

For this note first that since Λ is perfect, there is some $k > 0$ and there are open neighborhoods V_1, V_2 of a, b in ∂X with disjoint closures $\overline{V_1}, \overline{V_2}$ such that $\Lambda - \overline{V_1} - \overline{V_2} \neq \emptyset$ and that $u^k(\partial X - V_2) \subset V_1$ and that $u^{-k}(\partial X - V_1) \subset V_2$. By Lemma 5.2, there is then a B -contracting geodesic with both endpoints in $\Lambda - \overline{V_1} - \overline{V_2}$. This B -contracting geodesic is the image of an axis of a B -rank-one element of G .

We are left with showing that via perhaps decreasing the neighborhoods V_1, V_2 of a, b we can guarantee that the distance between any B -contracting geodesic with both endpoints in V_1 and a B -contracting geodesic with both endpoints in V_2 is bounded from below by a universal positive constant.

For this fix a point $x \in X$ and let $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ be geodesic rays connecting x to a, b , respectively. By the definition of the topology on ∂X , if U is a sufficiently small neighborhood of a and if γ is a B -contracting geodesic with both endpoints in U then the geodesic connecting x to $\pi_{\gamma(\mathbb{R})}(x)$ longes ζ_1 for a long initial segment only depending on U , and similarly for a geodesic connecting x to $\pi_{\eta(\mathbb{R})}(x)$ where η is a B -contracting geodesic with endpoints in a small neighborhood of b . From this the existence of neighborhoods of a, b with the properties stated in Lemma 4.5 is immediate. \square

A free group with two generators is hyperbolic in the sense of Gromov [12]. In particular, it admits a Gromov boundary which can be viewed as a compactification of the group. As another immediate consequence of Lemma 4.5 we obtain the forth part of the theorem from the introduction.

Corollary 5.4. *Let $G < \text{Iso}(X)$ be a non-elementary group which contains a rank-one element. Let $\Lambda \subset \partial X$ be the limit set of G . Then G contains a free subgroup Γ with two generators and the following properties.*

- (1) *Every element $e \neq g \in \Gamma$ is rank-one.*
- (2) *There is a Γ -equivariant embedding of the Gromov boundary of Γ into Λ .*

Proof. Let $G < \text{Iso}(X)$ be a non-elementary subgroup which contains a rank-one element g . By Lemma 5.2 there are two rank-one elements g, h whose fixed point sets are disjoint.

Let a, b be the attracting and repelling fixed points of g , respectively, and let x, y be the attracting and repelling fixed points of h . Choose small open neighborhoods U_1, U_2, U_3, U_4 of a, b, x, y in ∂X with pairwise disjoint closure which satisfy the requirements stated in Lemma 4.5. Since g, h act on ∂X with north-south dynamics there are numbers $k > 0, \ell > 0$ such that $g^{mk}(\overline{U_3} \cup \overline{U_4}) \subset U_1 \cup U_2$ and $h^{m\ell}(\overline{U_1} \cup \overline{U_2}) \subset U_3 \cup U_4$ for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ (here $\overline{U_i}$ is the closure of U_i). By the usual ping-pong argument (see e.g. p.136-138 of [15]), the isometries $g^{mk}, h^{m\ell}$ generate a free subgroup Γ of G . By construction and Lemma 4.5, the elements of Γ are rank-one, and there is a Γ -equivariant embedding of the Gromov boundary of the free group into Λ . The corollary is proven. \square

Lemma 4.5 is also used to show the second and third part of the theorem from the introduction.

Lemma 5.5. *Let $G < \text{Iso}(X)$ be a non-elementary subgroup which contains a rank-one element.*

- (1) *The pairs of fixed points of rank-one elements of G are dense in $\Lambda \times \Lambda - \Delta$.*
- (2) *The action of G on $\Lambda \times \Lambda - \Delta$ has a dense orbit.*

Proof. Let $G < \text{Iso}(X)$ be a closed non-elementary subgroup with limit set Λ which contains a B -rank one element g with attracting fixed point $a \in \Lambda$ and repelling fixed point $b \in \Lambda$.

Let $U \subset \Lambda \times \Lambda - \Delta$ be a non-trivial open set. We may assume that there are small open sets $V_i \subset \partial X - \{a, b\}$ with disjoint closure $\overline{V_i}$ and such that $U = V_1 \times V_2 \cap \Lambda \times \Lambda - \Delta$ and that $\Lambda - \overline{V_1} - \overline{V_2} \neq \emptyset$. We also may assume that the distance between any B -contracting geodesic with both endpoints in $\overline{V_1}$ and a B -contracting geodesic with both endpoints in $\overline{V_2}$ is bounded from below by a universal positive constant (compare the proof of Corollary 5.3).

Choose some $u \in G$ which maps $\{a, b\}$ into V_1 . Such an element exists by Lemma 5.2. Then $v = ugu^{-1}$ is a B -rank-one isometry with fixed points $ua, ub \in V_1$. Similarly, there is a B -rank-one isometry w with both fixed points in V_2 . Via replacing v, w by sufficiently high powers we may assume that $v(\partial X - V_1) \subset V_1, v^{-1}(\partial X - V_1) \subset V_1$ and that $w(\partial X - V_2) \subset V_2, w^{-1}(\partial X - V_2) \subset V_2$. Then we have $wv(\partial X - V_1) \subset V_2$ and $v^{-1}w^{-1}(\partial X - V_2) \subset V_1$ and hence by Lemma 4.5,

wv is rank-one with fixed points in $V_1 \times V_2$ and hence in U . The first part of the lemma is proven.

To show the second part of the lemma, we show first that for any non-trivial open sets W_1, W_2 in $\Lambda \times \Lambda - \Delta$ there is some $h \in G$ with $hW_1 \cap W_2 \neq \emptyset$. For this assume without loss of generality that $W_1 = U_1 \times U_2, W_2 = U_3 \times U_4$ where U_1, U_2 and U_3, U_4 are non-empty open subsets of Λ with disjoint closure. Since Λ is perfect, by possibly replacing U_i be proper non-empty open subsets we may assume that the sets U_i are pairwise disjoint.

By the first part of the lemma, there is a rank-one element $u \in G$ with attracting fixed point in U_1 and repelling fixed point in U_4 . Since u acts on ∂X with north-south dynamics, there is some $k > 0$ and a small open neighborhood $U_5 \subset U_1$ of the attracting fixed point of u such that $u^{-k}(U_5 \times U_2) \subset U_1 \times U_4$. The same argument produces an element $w \in G$, a number $\ell > 0$ and an open subset U_6 of U_2 such that $w^\ell(u^{-k}(U_5 \times U_6)) \subset U_3 \times U_4$.

The limit set Λ of G is compact and metrizable (see [6]) and hence $\Lambda \times \Lambda - \Delta$ is second countable. Choose a countable basis of open sets for $\Lambda \times \Lambda - \Delta$ of the form $U_i^1 \times U_i^2$ where for each i the sets U_i^1, U_i^2 are non-empty, open and disjoint. We construct inductively a sequence of non-empty open sets $V_i^j \subset U_i^j$ ($j = 1, 2$) such that for each $i \geq 1$ we have $V_{i+1}^j \subset V_i^j$ and that there is some $g_i \in G$ with $g_i(\overline{V_i^1} \times \overline{V_i^2}) \subset U_i^1 \times U_i^2$. Namely, write $V_1^j = U_1^j$ for $j = 1, 2$ and for some $i \geq 0$ assume that the sets V_i^j and the elements $g_i \in G$ have already been constructed. By the above, there is some $g_{i+1} \in G$ with $g_{i+1}(V_i^1 \times V_i^2) \cap U_{i+1}^1 \times U_{i+1}^2 \neq \emptyset$. Define $V_{i+1}^1 \times V_{i+1}^2$ to be any non-empty open product set whose closure is contained in $V_i^1 \times V_i^2 \cap g_{i+1}^{-1}(U_{i+1}^1 \times U_{i+1}^2)$. Then clearly the set $V_{i+1}^1 \times V_{i+1}^2$ and the map $g_{i+1} \in G$ satisfy the requirement of the inductive construction.

Since each of the sets $\overline{V_i^1} \times \overline{V_i^2}$ is compact and non-empty and since $V_{i+1}^1 \times V_{i+1}^2 \subset V_i^1 \times V_i^2$ for all i , there is some $z \in \cap_i(\overline{V_i^1} \times \overline{V_i^2})$. By construction, the G -orbit of z passes through each of the sets $U_i^1 \times U_i^2$ and hence the G -orbit of z is dense in $\Lambda \times \Lambda - \Delta$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

For every proper metric space X , the isometry group $\text{Iso}(X)$ of X can be equipped with a natural locally compact σ -compact metrizable topology, the so-called *compact open topology*. With respect to this topology, a sequence $(g_i) \subset \text{Iso}(X)$ converges to some isometry g if and only if $g_i \rightarrow g$ uniformly on compact subsets of X . In this topology, a closed subset $A \subset \text{Iso}(X)$ is compact if and only if there is a compact subset K of X such that $gK \cap K \neq \emptyset$ for every $g \in A$. In particular, the action of $\text{Iso}(X)$ on X is proper. We complete the discussion in this section by looking at groups of isometries of a proper CAT(0)-space X which are closed with respect to the compact open topology.

As before, let Δ be the diagonal of $\partial X \times \partial X$. We have (Lemma 6.1 of [13]).

Lemma 5.6. *Let $G < \text{Iso}(X)$ be a closed subgroup with limit set Λ . Let $(a, b) \in \Lambda \times \Lambda - \Delta$ be the pair of fixed points of a rank-one element. Then the G -orbit of (a, b) is a closed subset of $\Lambda \times \Lambda - \Delta$.*

Lemma 5.6 is used to show the following strengthening of Corollary 5.4 for closed non-elementary subgroups of $\text{Iso}(X)$ (compare [4, 5]).

Proposition 5.7. *Let $G < \text{Iso}(X)$ be a closed non-elementary group which contains a rank-one element. Let $\Lambda \subset \partial X$ be the limit set of G . If G does not act transitively on $\Lambda \times \Lambda - \Delta$ then G contains a free subgroup Γ with two generators and the following properties.*

- (1) *Every element $e \neq g \in \Gamma$ is rank-one.*
- (2) *There is a Γ -equivariant embedding of the Gromov boundary of Γ into Λ .*
- (3) *There are infinitely many elements $u_i \in \Gamma$ ($i > 0$) with fixed points a_i, b_i such that for all i the G -orbit of $(a_i, b_i) \in \Lambda \times \Lambda - \Delta$ is distinct from the orbit of (b_j, a_j) ($j > 0$) or (a_j, b_j) ($j \neq i$).*

Proof. Let $G < \text{Iso}(X)$ be a closed non-elementary subgroup with limit set Λ which contains a rank-one element g with B -contracting axis γ . Assume that G does not act transitively on $\Lambda \times \Lambda - \Delta$. By Lemma 5.5, there are two rank-one elements $g, h \in G$ whose pairs of endpoints are contained in distinct orbits for the action of G on $\Lambda \times \Lambda - \Delta$. In particular, no positive powers of these elements are conjugate, and the elements g, h admit B -contracting axes for some $B > 0$. By Lemma 5.2, via replacing h by a conjugate we may assume that the fixed points of g, h are all distinct. Corollary 5.4 then shows that up to replacing g, h by suitably chosen powers we may assume that the subgroup Γ of G generated by g, h is free and consists of rank-one elements. Moreover, there is an equivariant embedding of the boundary of the free group with two generators into the limit set of Λ of G .

Now Proposition 2 of [4] implies that there are infinitely many elements in Γ which are pairwise not mutually conjugate in G and whose inverses are not conjugate. We give a version of this argument here which is in the spirit of the arguments used earlier. Namely, let a, b and x, y be the attracting and repelling fixed points of g, h , respectively. By Lemma 5.6, we may assume that there are open neighborhoods U_1, U_2 of a, b and U_3, U_4 of x, y such that the G -orbit of (a, b) does not intersect $U_3 \times U_4$ and that the G -orbit of (x, y) does not intersect $U_1 \times U_2$. By replacing g, h by suitable powers we may moreover assume that $g^{\pm 1}(\overline{U_3} \cup \overline{U_4}) \subset U_1 \times U_2$ and that $h^{\pm 1}(\overline{U_1} \cup \overline{U_2}) \subset U_3 \times U_4$.

For numbers $n, m, k, \ell > 2$ consider the isometry

$$f = f_{nmk\ell} = g^n h^m g^k h^{-\ell} \in \Gamma.$$

It satisfies $f(\overline{U_1}) \subset U_1, f^{-1}(\overline{U_3}) \subset U_3$ and hence the attracting fixed point of f is contained in U_1 and its repelling fixed point is contained in U_3 .

Its conjugate $f_1 = g^{-1} f g$ satisfies $f_1(\overline{U_1}) \subset U_1$ and $f_1^{-1}(\overline{U_2}) \subset U_2$, i.e. its attracting fixed point is contained in U_1 and its repelling fixed point is contained in U_2 . Furthermore, its conjugate $f_2 = h^{-1} g^{-n} f g^n h$ has its attracting fixed point in U_3 and its repelling fixed point in U_4 , and its conjugate $f_3 = h^{-1} f h$ has its attracting fixed point in U_4 and its repelling fixed point in U_3 .

As a consequence, f is conjugate to both an element with fixed points in $U_1 \times U_2$ as well as to an element with fixed points in $U_3 \times U_4$. This implies that f is not

conjugate to either g or h . Moreover, since g and h can not both be conjugate to h^{-1} , by eventually adjusting the size of U_3, U_4 we may assume that f is not conjugate to h^{-1} .

We claim that moreover via perhaps increasing the values of n, ℓ we can achieve that $f_{nmk\ell}$ is not conjugate to f^{-1} . Namely, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the fixed points of the conjugate $g^{-n} f_{(2n)mk\ell} g^n = g^n h^m g^k h^{-\ell} g^n$ of $f_{(2n)mk\ell}$ converge to the fixed points of g . Similarly, the fixed points of the conjugate $h^{-\ell} f_{nmk(2\ell)}^{-1} h^\ell = h^\ell g^{-k} h^{-m} g^{-n} h^\ell$ of $f_{nmk(2\ell)}^{-1}$ converge as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ to the fixed points of h . Thus after possibly conjugating with g, h , if $f_{nmk\ell}$ is conjugate in G to $f_{nmk\ell}^{-1}$ for all n, ℓ then there is a sequence of elements $g_i \in G$ which map a fixed compact subset K of X intersecting an axis for g into a fixed compact subset W of X intersecting an axis for h and such that $g_i(a, b) \rightarrow (x, y)$. However, G is a closed subgroup of $\text{Iso}(X)$ and hence after passing to a subsequence we may assume that $g_i \rightarrow g \in G$. Then $g(a, b) = (x, y)$ which violates the choice of g, h .

Inductively we can construct in this way a sequence of elements of Γ with the properties stated in the proposition. \square

6. STRUCTURE THEORY

In many ways, a closed non-elementary subgroup G of a proper CAT(0)-space X resembles the isometry group of a negatively curved space. In this short section we summarize some recent results which support this idea.

As before, let X be a proper CAT(0)-space. The space X is called *reducible* if it admits a non-trivial isometric decomposition

$$X = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_k$$

where each of the factors X_i is a CAT(0)-space. Since a geodesic γ in a product space $X = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_k$ is of the form $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k)$ where for each i , the curve γ_i is a geodesic in X_i , the space X can only admit a rank-one isometry if all but one of the factors X_i are of bounded diameter. If moreover X is *geodesically complete*, i.e. if every geodesic segment in X can be extended to a geodesic line, then X is necessarily irreducible.

Under the additional assumption that the Tits boundary of X is finite dimensional, Caprace and Monod analyzed the isometry group of such a proper irreducible CAT(0)-space. They define the action of a group of isometries $G < \text{Iso}(X)$ on X to be *minimal* if X does not admit any non-trivial closed convex G -invariant subset. The space X is called *minimal* if the action of the full isometry group $\text{Iso}(X)$ of X is minimal. If the isometry group of X is minimal and does not have a fixed point in ∂X then $\text{Iso}(X)$ is non-elementary provided that $X \neq \mathbb{R}$. Using this observation, Theorem 1.6 of [10] can be formulated as follows.

Theorem 6.1. *Let X be a proper irreducible minimal CAT(0)-space with finite dimensional Tits boundary. If $\text{Iso}(X)$ is non-elementary then $\text{Iso}(X)$ either is totally disconnected or an almost connected simple Lie group with trivial center.*

The assumption on finite dimensionality of the Tits boundary of X always holds true if X admits a cocompact isometry group or if X is a finite dimensional simply connected Riemannian manifold of non-positive curvature.

In the case that $\text{Iso}(X)$ contains a rank-one element, the assumption that the dimension of the Tits boundary is finite can be removed [14].

Theorem 6.2. *Let X be a proper CAT(0)-space and let $G < \text{Iso}(X)$ be a closed non-elementary subgroup. If G contains a rank-one element then either G is a compact extension of a connected simple Lie group of rank one or G is a compact extension of a totally disconnected group.*

On the other hand, Caprace [7] observed that if X is a proper CAT(0)-space whose isometry group $\text{Iso}(X)$ is totally disconnected and acts cocompactly then every isometry of vanishing translation length is elliptic. If X is moreover *geodesically complete*, i.e. if every geodesic arc in X is contained in a geodesic line, then $\text{Iso}(X)$ does not contain a parabolic element (Corollary 5.3 of [10]).

A simply connected complete Riemannian manifold of non-positive sectional curvature is a proper rank-one space. In particular, the fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$ of a closed Riemannian manifold of non-positive curvature acts cocompactly as a discrete group of isometries on a proper CAT(0)-space, its universal covering \tilde{M} . The celebrated rank-rigidity theorem of Ballmann, Brin, Eberlein, Spatzier and Thorbergsson (we refer to [1] for a discussion and for references) show that if \tilde{M} is irreducible and if $\pi_1(M)$ does *not* contain a rank-one element then M is locally symmetric, of higher rank. Together with the observation of Caprace and Caprace and Monod, one obtains the following geometric rigidity theorem (Corollary 1.24 of [10]; the proof given there does not use the rank rigidity theorem. See also [14]).

Corollary 6.3. *Let M be a closed Riemannian manifold of nonpositive curvature, with irreducible universal covering \tilde{M} . If \tilde{M} admits a parabolic isometry then M is locally symmetric.*

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Ballmann, *Lectures on Spaces of Nonpositive curvature*, DMV Seminar 25, Birkhäuser, Basel, Boston, Berlin 1995.
- [2] W. Ballmann, M. Brin, *Orbihedra of nonpositive curvature*, Publications IHES 82 (1995), 170–209.
- [3] W. Ballmann, M. Gromov, V. Schroeder, *Manifolds of nonpositive curvature*, Birkhäuser, Boston, Basel, Stuttgart 1985.
- [4] M. Bestvina, K. Fujiwara, *Bounded cohomology of subgroups of mapping class groups*, *Geometry & Topology* 6 (2002), 69–89.
- [5] M. Bestvina, K. Fujiwara, *A characterization of higher rank symmetric spaces via bounded cohomology*, arXiv:math/0702274.
- [6] M. Bridson, A. Haefliger, *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg 1999.
- [7] P.E. Caprace, *Amenable groups and Hadamard spaces with a totally disconnected isometry group*, arXiv:0705.1980.
- [8] P.E. Caprace, K. Fujiwara, *Rank one isometries of buildings and quasi-morphisms of Kac-Moody groups*, arXiv:0809.0470.
- [9] P.E. Caprace, N. Monod, *Some properties of non-positively curved lattices*, C.R., Math., Acad. Sci. Paris 346, No. 15–16 (2008), 857–862.

- [10] P.E. Caprace, N. Monod, *Isometry groups and lattices of non-positively curved spaces*, arXiv:0809.0457.
- [11] K. Fujiwara, K. Nagano, and T. Shioya, *Fixed point sets of parabolic isometries of CAT(0)-spaces*, Comment. Math. Helv. 81 (2006), 305–335.
- [12] E. Ghys, P. de la Harpe, *Sur les groupes hyperboliques d'après Mikhael Gromov*, Birkhäuser, Boston 1990.
- [13] U. Hamenstädt, *Isometry groups of proper hyperbolic spaces*, arXiv:math.GR/0507608, to appear in Geom. Funct. Anal.
- [14] U. Hamenstädt, *Isometry groups of proper CAT(0)-spaces*, preprint 2008.
- [15] B. Maskit, *Kleinian groups*, Springer Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 287, Springer 1988.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT DER UNIVERSITÄT BONN
BERINGSTRASSE 1
D-53115 BONN

e-mail: ursula@math.uni-bonn.de