

WEIGHTED θ -INCOMPLETE PLURIPOTENTIAL THEORY

MUHAMMED ALI ALAN

ABSTRACT. Weighted pluripotential theory is a rapidly developing area; and Callaghan [Cal07] recently introduced θ -incomplete polynomials in \mathbb{C}^d for $d > 1$. In this paper we combine these two theories by defining weighted θ -incomplete pluripotential theory. We define weighted θ -incomplete extremal functions and obtain a Siciak-Zahariuta type equality in terms of θ -incomplete polynomials. Finally we prove that the extremal functions can be recovered using orthonormal polynomials and we demonstrate a result on strong asymptotics of Bergman functions in the spirit of [Ber].

1. INTRODUCTION

The theory of θ -incomplete polynomials in \mathbb{C}^d for $d > 1$ was recently developed by Callaghan [Cal07]. It has many applications in approximation theory. He also defined interesting extremal functions in terms of θ -incomplete polynomials and related plurisubharmonic functions.

This paper has three goals. The first one is to further develop the θ -incomplete pluripotential theory of Callaghan. The second goal is to combine this theory with weighted pluripotential theory and get a unified theory by defining weighted θ -incomplete pluripotential theory in \mathbb{C}^d . If $\theta = 0$, we get weighted pluripotential theory, and for the weight $w = 1$, we get θ -incomplete pluripotential theory. Finally we show that extremal functions in these settings can be recovered asymptotically using orthonormal polynomials.

Date: 10 February 2009.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 32U20, 32U15 .

Key words and phrases. Weighted pluripotential theory, θ -incomplete pluripotential theory .

In this section we recall some definitions and major results of weighted pluripotential theory and we recall Berman's paper [Ber] which is a special case of weighted pluripotential theory. Our initial goal was to study Berman's recent work on globally defined weights within the framework of θ -incomplete pluripotential theory. We were able to prove many results for admissible weights defined on closed subsets of \mathbb{C}^d .

In the second section we recall some important results of θ -incomplete pluripotential theory. We improve a result of Callaghan and we extend a result of Bloom and Shiffman [BS07] to the θ -incomplete extremal function $V_{K,\theta}$ associated to a compact set K for $0 \leq \theta < 1$.

In the third section we work on closed subsets of \mathbb{C}^d . We define the weighted θ -incomplete extremal function $V_{K,Q,\theta}$ for a closed set K and an admissible weight function w and we give various properties of this extremal function. We also show that $V_{K,Q,\theta}$ can be obtained via taking the supremum of θ -incomplete polynomials whose weighted norm is less than or equal to 1 on K , generalizing the analogous result for $V_{K,\theta}$ (unweighted case) from the previous section. In particular we state analogous results in the case of global weights.

In the last section we recall the Bernstein-Markov property relating the sup norms and $L^2(\mu)$ norms of polynomials on a compact set K with measure μ . We define a version of the Bernstein-Markov property for θ -incomplete polynomials in the weighted setting. Then we prove results on asymptotics of orthonormal polynomials to extremal functions in the θ -incomplete and weighted setting. Finally in Theorem 4.7, we prove a result on strong asymptotics of Bergman functions analogous to the main theorem in [Ber].

1.1. Weighted Pluripotential Theory. We give some basic definitions from weighted pluripotential theory. A good reference is Saff and Totik's book [ST97] for $d = 1$ and Thomas Bloom's Appendix B of [ST97] for $d > 1$.

Let K be a non-pluripolar closed subset of \mathbb{C}^d . An upper semicontinuous function $w : K \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called an admissible weight function on K if

- i) the set $\{z \in K \mid w(z) > 0\}$ is not pluripolar and

ii) If K is unbounded, $|z|w(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$, $z \in K$.

We define $Q = Q_w = -\log w$, and we will use Q and w interchangeably.

The weighted pluricomplex extremal function of K with respect to Q is defined as

$$(1.1) \quad V_{K,Q}(z) := \sup \{u(z) \mid u \in L, u \leq Q \text{ on } K\},$$

where the Lelong class L is defined as

$$(1.2) \quad L := \{u \mid u \text{ is plurisubharmonic on } \mathbb{C}^d, u(z) \leq \log^+ |z| + C\}.$$

We recall that the upper semicontinuous regularization of a function v is defined by $v^*(z) := \limsup_{w \rightarrow z} v(w)$ and it is well known that the upper semicontinuous regularization of $V_{K,Q}$ is plurisubharmonic and in L^+ where

$$L^+ := \{u \in L \mid \log^+ |z| + C \leq u(z)\}.$$

By Lemma 2.3 of Bloom's Appendix B of [ST97], the support, S_w , of $(dd^c V_{K,Q}^*)^d$ is a subset of $S_w^* := \{z \in K \mid V_{K,Q}^*(z) \geq Q(z)\}$.

Here $dd^c v = 2i\partial\bar{\partial}v$ and $(dd^c v)^d$ is the complex Monge-Ampère operator defined by $(dd^c v)^d = dd^c v \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c v$ for plurisubharmonic functions which are \mathcal{C}^2 . For the cases considered in this paper see [Kli91, Dem87] for the details of the definition.

A set E is called pluripolar if $E \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C}^d \mid u(z) = -\infty\}$ for some plurisubharmonic function u . If a property holds everywhere except on a pluripolar set we will say the property holds quasi everywhere.

1.2. A Special Case of Weighted Pluripotential Theory. We recall some definitions from Berman's paper [Ber], where the weight is defined globally in \mathbb{C}^d . Let ϕ be a lower semicontinuous function, and $\phi(z) \geq (1 + \varepsilon) \log |z|$ for $z \gg 1$ for some fixed $\varepsilon > 0$. The weighted extremal function is defined as

$$(1.3) \quad V_\phi(z) := \sup \{u(z) \mid u \in L \text{ and } u \leq \phi \text{ on } \mathbb{C}^d\}.$$

We define

$$(1.4) \quad S_\phi^* := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^d \mid V_\phi^*(z) \geq \phi(z)\} \text{ and}$$

$$(1.5) \quad S_\phi := \text{supp}((dd^c V_\phi^*)^d).$$

This is a special case of weighted pluripotential theory with $K = \mathbb{C}^d$ and $Q = \phi$. Hence $S_\phi \subset S_\phi^*$.

Berman [Ber] studied the case where the global weight $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^{1,1}(\mathbb{C}^d)$. In this case we define

$$(1.6) \quad D_\phi = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^d \mid V_\phi(z) = \phi(z)\},$$

$$(1.7) \quad P = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^d \mid dd^c \phi(z) \text{ exist and is positive}\}.$$

We remark that D_ϕ is a compact set and $S_\phi \subset D_\phi$. By Proposition 2.1 of [Ber], if $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^{1,1}(\mathbb{C}^d)$, then we have $V_\phi \in \mathcal{C}^{1,1}(\mathbb{C}^d)$ and $(dd^c V_\phi)^d = (dd^c \phi)^d$ on $D_\phi \cap P$ almost everywhere as (d, d) forms with L^∞ coefficients.

Example 1.1. Let $\phi(z) = |z|^2$. Then we have

$$(1.8) \quad V_\phi(z) = \begin{cases} |z|^2 & \text{if } |z| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \\ \log |z| + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } |z| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}. \end{cases}$$

Clearly the plurisubharmonic function, V , on the right hand side is less than or equal to ϕ , hence $V \leq V_\phi$. On the other hand the support of the Monge-Ampère measure of V is the closed ball of radius $1/\sqrt{2}$ centered at the origin. Since any competitor, u , for the extremal function is less than or equal to $|z|^2$ on this closed ball, by the domination principle, (see Appendix B of [ST97] or Theorem 2.1 below) u is less than or equal to V on \mathbb{C}^d . Therefore $V_\phi \leq V$ and hence equality holds.

2. θ -INCOMPLETE PLURIPOTENTIAL THEORY

We recall the basic notions of θ -incomplete pluripotential theory from [Cal07]. We fix $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$. A θ -incomplete polynomial in \mathbb{C}^d is a polynomial of the form

$$(2.1) \quad P(z) = \sum_{|\alpha|=\lceil N\theta \rceil}^N c_\alpha z^\alpha,$$

where $\lceil x \rceil$ is the least integer greater than or equal to x . Here we use the following multi-index notations. Let $z = (z_1, \dots, z_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$, then

$$z^\alpha = z_1^{\alpha_1} z_2^{\alpha_2} \dots z_d^{\alpha_d} \quad \text{and} \quad |\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_d$$

The set of all θ -incomplete polynomials of the form (2.1) will be denoted by $\pi_{N,\theta}$. We remark that when $\theta = 0$, $\pi_{N,\theta}$ is the set of all polynomials of degree at most N ; and when $\theta = 1$, $\pi_{N,\theta}$ is the set of homogenous polynomials of degree N .

Related classes of plurisubharmonic functions are defined as follows (See [Cal07] for details).

$$(2.2) \quad L_\theta = \{u \in L \mid u(z) \leq \theta \log |z| + C \text{ for } |z| < 1\},$$

$$(2.3) \quad L_\theta^+ = \{u \in L_\theta \mid \max(\theta \log |z|, \log |z|) + C \leq u(z) \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{C}^d\}.$$

We remark that if $P \in \pi_{N,\theta}$ then $\frac{1}{N} \log |P| \in L_\theta$. Another observation is if $\theta_1 \geq \theta_2$, then $L_{\theta_2} \subset L_{\theta_1}$.

The next theorem gives a domination principle for L_θ classes.

Theorem 2.1. [Cal07, Theorem 3.15] *Let $0 \leq \theta < 1$. If $u \in L_\theta$ and $v \in L_\theta^+$ and if $u \leq v$ holds almost everywhere with respect to $(dd^c v)^d$, then $u \leq v$ on \mathbb{C}^d .*

We remark that for $0 < \theta < 1$, we have $u(0) = v(0) = -\infty$ and the origin is a distinguished point as it is charged by $(dd^c v)^d$.

Callaghan [Cal07] defined the following extremal function for a set $E \subset \mathbb{C}^d$:

$$(2.4) \quad V_{E,\theta}(z) := \sup\{u(z) : u \in L_\theta \text{ and } u \leq 0 \text{ on } E\}.$$

We will call it the θ -incomplete extremal function of E . The upper semicontinuous regularization, $V_{E,\theta}^*$, is in L_θ^+ if E is not pluripolar by Lemma 3.7 of [Cal07]. Also if K is a regular compact set in \mathbb{C}^d , then $V_{K,\theta}^* = V_{K,\theta}$. Hence it is continuous except at $z = 0$. Here regular means the extremal function of K , $V_K := V_{K,0}$ is continuous. We remark that $(dd^c V_{E,\theta}^*)^d$ is supported in $\bar{E} \cup \{0\}$.

According to [Cal07] we have the following result for compact sets K ,

$$(2.5) \quad V_{K,\theta} = \log \Phi'_{K,\theta},$$

where

$$\Phi'_{K,\theta}(z) = \sup\{|f(z)|^{1/N} : f \in \pi_{N,\theta} \text{ for some } N \geq 1, \|f\|_K \leq 1\}.$$

We define the following functions for a compact set K . For $N \geq 1$ we let

$$(2.6) \quad \Phi_{K,\theta,N}(z) = \sup\{|f(z)| : f \in \pi_{N,\theta}, \|f\|_K \leq 1\} \text{ and}$$

$$(2.7) \quad \Phi_{K,\theta} = \sup_N (\Phi_{K,\theta,N})^{1/N}.$$

The next proposition shows that the supremum in (2.7) is actually a limit.

Proposition 2.2. *With the above notation we have*

$$\sup_N \frac{1}{N} \log \Phi_{K,\theta,N} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log \Phi_{K,\theta,N} \text{ and } \Phi'_{K,\theta} = \Phi_{K,\theta}.$$

Hence we have $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log \Phi_{K,\theta,N} = V_{K,\theta}$.

Proof. First of all we have $\Phi_{K,\theta,J} \Phi_{K,\theta,I} \leq \Phi_{K,\theta,J+I}$ for all integers $I, J \geq 0$. For if $P(z) = \sum_{|\alpha|=\lceil \theta J \rceil}^J a_\alpha z^\alpha$ and $Q(z) = \sum_{\alpha=\lceil \theta I \rceil}^I b_\alpha z^\alpha$, then $PQ(z) = \sum_{\alpha=\lceil \theta J \rceil + \lceil \theta I \rceil}^{J+I} c_\alpha z^\alpha$ is in $\pi_{J+I,\theta}$, since $\lceil \theta J \rceil + \lceil \theta I \rceil \geq \lceil \theta(J+I) \rceil$.

By taking logarithms we get

$$(2.8) \quad \log \Phi_{K,\theta,J} + \log \Phi_{K,\theta,I} \leq \log \Phi_{K,\theta,J+I},$$

so by Theorem 4.9.19 of [BG91], $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log \Phi_{K,\theta,N}$ exists and equals $\sup_N \frac{1}{N} \log \Phi_{K,\theta,N}$. Now by Callaghan's result (2.5) we get the last equality $\Phi'_{K,\theta} = \Phi_{K,\theta}$. \square

In the next section we will extend this result to the weighted case. This proposition also fixes a gap in the proof of Theorem 8.2 in [Cal06] and we will use it in the proof of Theorem 4.3.

The following theorem extends a result of Bloom and Shiffman [BS07] to the θ -incomplete case.

Theorem 2.3. *Let K be a regular compact set in \mathbb{C}^d . Then*

$$\frac{1}{N} \log \Phi_{K,\theta,N} \rightarrow V_{K,\theta}$$

uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}^d \setminus \hat{K}_\theta$.

Here \hat{K}_θ is the θ -incomplete hull of K defined for a compact set K as

$$(2.9) \quad \hat{K}_\theta = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^d \mid |p(z)| \leq \|p\|_K \text{ for all } p \in \pi_{N,\theta} \text{ for } N = 0, 1, \dots\}.$$

It is clear that for $\theta > 0$, the origin always belongs to \hat{K}_θ for any set K , so \hat{K}_θ is often larger than the usual polynomially convex hull $\hat{K} := \hat{K}_0$. It is also easy to see that $\hat{K}_\theta = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^d \mid V_{K,\theta} \leq 0\}$.

Proof. Let E be a compact set in $\mathbb{C}^d \setminus \hat{K}_\theta$. First we want to show that there exists N_0 such that $\Phi_{K,\theta,N}(z) > 1$ for all $N > N_0$ for all $z \in E$.

We fix $z_0 \in E$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $V_{K,\theta}(z_0) = 2\delta$. By the above proposition we have $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log \Phi_{K,\theta,N}(z_0) = 2\delta$, so there exists an integer N_{z_0} such that for all $N \geq N_{z_0}$ we have $\frac{1}{N} \log \Phi_{K,\theta,N}(z_0) > \delta$. In particular $\Phi_{K,\theta,N}(z_0) > 1$ for all $N > N_{z_0}$.

Since $\Phi_{K,\theta,N_{z_0}}$ is the supremum of continuous plurisubharmonic functions, it is lower semicontinuous. Hence $U_{z_0} := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^d \mid \Phi_{K,\theta,N_{z_0}}(z) > 1\}$ is open. Now we can cover E by the sets U_{z_0} , i.e., $E \subset \bigcup_{z \in E} U_z$. There exists a finite subcover, U_{z_1}, \dots, U_{z_m} , of E . Hence taking N_0 to be the largest of N_{z_1}, \dots, N_{z_m} , we can conclude that $\Phi_{K,\theta,N}(z) > 1$ for all $z \in E$ and for all $N \geq N_0$. Thus we have $1 \leq \Phi_{K,\theta,J} \leq \Phi_{K,\theta,J} \Phi_{K,\theta,I} \leq \Phi_{K,\theta,J+I}$ for all $I, J \geq N_0$ on E .

We follow [BS07] to prove that the sequence converges uniformly on E . We will write $\psi_N = \frac{1}{N} \log \Phi_{K,\theta,N}$. We note that $\psi_{Nk} \geq \psi_N$ for all $N \geq N_0$. We see this by

$\psi_{Nk} = \frac{1}{Nk} \log \Phi_{K,\theta,Nk} \geq \frac{1}{Nk} \log(\Phi_{K,\theta,N})^k = \frac{k}{Nk} \log \Phi_{K,\theta,N} = \psi_N$ for $N \geq N_0$. From (2.8), we have $Nk\psi_{Nk} + j\psi_j \leq (Nk + j)\psi_{Nk+j}$ for $N, k \geq 1, j \geq 0$. Since $\psi_j > 0$ on E for $j > N_0$, using $\psi_{Nk} \geq \psi_N$ for such j we get

$$(2.10) \quad \psi_{Nk+j} \geq \frac{Nk}{Nk+j} \psi_N + \frac{j}{Nk+j} \psi_j \geq \frac{Nk}{Nk+j} \psi_N.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. For each $a \in E$ we can choose $N_a > N_0$ large so that $V_{K,\theta}(a) - \psi_{N_a}(a) < \varepsilon$ and $\frac{V_{K,\theta}(a)}{N_a} < \varepsilon$, and then we can find an open neighborhood U_a of a such that $|V_{K,\theta}(z) - V_{K,\theta}(a)| < \varepsilon$, $\psi_{N_a}(z) > \psi_{N_a}(a) - \varepsilon$, and $\frac{V_{K,\theta}(z)}{N_a} < \varepsilon$ for $z \in U_a$. This is possible by the facts that regularity of K implies the continuity of $V_{K,\theta}$ and that ψ_{N_a} is lower semicontinuous.

Now we find a finite number of points a_1, \dots, a_M in E such that the open sets U_{a_1}, \dots, U_{a_M} cover E . We choose $N_1 = \max_{a_1, \dots, a_M} (N_{a_i}^2 + N_{a_i})$. Now for each a_i if $N \geq (N_{a_i}^2 + N_{a_i})$, we write $N = N_{a_i}(k-1) + j$, where $k \geq N_{a_i}$, and $N_{a_i} \leq j \leq 2N_{a_i}$. By Proposition 2.2 and (2.10) we get

$$0 \leq V_{K,\theta} - \psi_N \leq V_{K,\theta} - \frac{N_{a_i}(k-1)}{N_{a_i}(k-1) + j} \psi_{N_{a_i}} \leq V_{K,\theta} - \frac{N_{a_i}}{N_{a_i} + 2} \psi_{N_{a_i}} \leq V_{K,\theta} - \psi_{N_{a_i}} + \frac{2}{N_{a_i} + 2} V_{K,\theta}.$$

Let $z \in E$, then $z \in U_{a_i}$ for some a_i , hence for all $N \geq N_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq V_{K,\theta}(z) - \psi_N(z) < V_{K,\theta}(z) - \psi_{N_{a_i}}(z) + 2\varepsilon \\ &= [V_{K,\theta}(z) - V_{K,\theta}(a_i)] + [V_{K,\theta}(a_i) - \psi_{N_{a_i}}(a_i)] + [\psi_{N_{a_i}}(a_i) - \psi_{N_{a_i}}(z)] + 2\varepsilon \\ &\leq 5\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have the desired uniform convergence on E . \square

3. WEIGHTED θ -INCOMPLETE PLURIPOTENTIAL THEORY

In this section we define and develop two weighted versions of θ -incomplete pluripotential theory. The first one is the θ -incomplete version of the weighted pluripotential theory in closed subsets of \mathbb{C}^d and the second one is the θ -incomplete version of the special case of weighted pluripotential theory studied in [Ber]. As in the $\theta = 0$ case the second version is a special case of the first.

3.1. Weighted θ -Incomplete Pluripotential Theory with Weight Defined on Closed Sets. Let K be a closed set in \mathbb{C}^d and w be an admissible weight on K as defined in Subsection 1.1. Then we define

$$(3.1) \quad V_{K,Q,\theta}(z) := \sup \{u(z) \mid u \in L_\theta, u \leq Q \text{ on } K\}.$$

We remark that $V_{K,Q,\theta_1} \leq V_{K,Q,\theta_2}$ if $\theta_1 > \theta_2$. The $\theta = 0$ case gives the classical weighted pluripotential theory. Following Siciak [Sic81], it can be shown that $V_{K,Q,\theta} = V_{K,Q,\theta}^*$, so that $V_{K,Q,\theta}$ is continuous on $\mathbb{C}^d \setminus \{0\}$, for K locally regular and Q continuous. Here K locally regular means for all $a \in K$, we have $K \cap \overline{B(a,r)}$ is regular for all $r > 0$, where $B(a,r) := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^d \mid |z - a| < r\}$.

Comparing the defining families we get the following obvious inequalities.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $K_1 \subset K_2$ and let w be a function defined on K_2 which is an admissible weight on both K_1 and K_2 . Then $V_{K_1,Q,\theta} \leq V_{K_2,Q,\theta}$.*

Using (ii) in the definition of admissibility from section 1.1, we show that $V_{K,Q,\theta}$ coincides with the weighted θ -incomplete extremal function of a compact subset of K .

Lemma 3.2. *If K is unbounded then $V_{K_\rho,Q,\theta}^* = V_{K,Q,\theta}^*$, for some $\rho > 0$ where $K_\rho = \{z \in K \mid |z| \leq \rho\}$.*

Proof. Since $V_{K_\rho,Q,\theta}^* \in L$, there exists C and ρ such that

$$V_{K_\rho,Q,\theta}^*(z) \leq \log |z| + C \text{ for } |z| > \rho.$$

Now by the second condition of admissibility we may choose ρ large enough that

$$Q(z) - \log |z| \geq C + 1 \text{ for } z \in K \setminus K_\rho.$$

If $u \in L_\theta$ and $u \leq Q$ on K_ρ , so that $u \leq V_{K_\rho,Q,\theta}^*$, by the above inequalities we get $u \leq Q$ on K . Hence we get $V_{K_\rho,Q,\theta}^* \leq V_{K,Q,\theta}^*$. The other inequality is given by Proposition 3.1, which gives the equality. \square

Proposition 3.3. *Let K be a closed subset of \mathbb{C}^d and let w be an admissible weight function on K then $V_{K,Q,\theta}^* \in L_\theta^+$.*

Proof. The case $\theta = 0$ is the classical case and is well known. For $0 < \theta \leq 1$ we will follow the proof of Lemma 3.7 of [Cal07].

Since $V_{K,Q,\theta}^* \leq V_{K,Q}^*$ and $V_{K,Q}^* \in L^+$, we have $V_{K,Q,\theta}^* \in L$.

Next we show that $V_{K,Q,\theta}^* \in L_\theta$. Let $M := \sup_{z \in B(0,1)} V_{K,Q,\theta}^*(z)$ and u be in the defining class for $V_{K,Q,\theta}$. Then $\frac{1}{\theta}(u - M) \leq 0$ on $B(0,1)$. Hence it is a competitor for the pluricomplex Green function of the unit ball $B(0,1)$ with logarithmic pole at the origin. The pluricomplex Green function of a bounded domain Ω with logarithmic pole at $a \in \Omega$ is defined by

$$g_\Omega(z, a) := \sup\{u(z) \mid u \text{ plurisubharmonic on } \Omega, u \leq 0 \text{ and } u(z) - \log|z-a| \leq C \text{ as } z \rightarrow a\},$$

and $g_{B(0,1)}(z, 0) = \log|z|$. Hence $\frac{1}{\theta}(u - M) \leq \log|z|$ on the unit ball. Since u is arbitrary we get $V_{K,Q,\theta}^*(z) \leq \theta \log|z| + M$ on $B(0,1)$. Thus $V_{K,Q,\theta}^* \in L_\theta$.

By Lemma 3.2 we may assume $K \subset B(0, R)$ for some R . Let $A := \sup_{z \in B(0,R)} (\theta \log|z| - Q(z))$, then $u(z) = \max(\theta \log|z|, \log|z|) - A$ is a competitor for the extremal function $V_{K,Q,\theta}$ and $u \in L_\theta^+$, hence $V_{K,Q,\theta}^* \in L_\theta^+$. \square

We define the following sets:

$$(3.2) \quad S_{K,Q,\theta}^* := \{z \in K \mid V_{K,Q,\theta}^*(z) \geq Q(z)\} \text{ and}$$

$$(3.3) \quad S_{K,Q,\theta} := \text{supp}((dd^c V_{K,Q,\theta}^*)^d).$$

Lemma 3.4. *Let K be closed in \mathbb{C}^d and let w be an admissible weight on K . Then $S_{K,Q,\theta} \subset S_{K,Q,\theta}^* \cup \{0\}$ if $0 < \theta \leq 1$ and $S_{K,Q,\theta} \subset S_{K,Q,\theta}^*$ if $\theta = 0$.*

Proof. The classical case, i.e. when $\theta = 0$, is Lemma 2.3 of Appendix B of [ST97]. Therefore we assume $0 < \theta \leq 1$. Let z_0 be a point in $K \setminus \{0\}$ such that $V_{K,Q,\theta}^*(z_0) < Q(z_0) - \varepsilon$ for some positive ε . We will show that $V_{K,Q,\theta}^*$ is maximal in a neighborhood of z_0 , i.e. $(dd^c V_{K,Q,\theta}^*)^d = 0$ there.

Since Q is lower semicontinuous we have $\{z \in K \mid Q(z) > Q(z_0) - \varepsilon/2\}$ is open relative to K . Similarly we have $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^d \mid V_{K,Q,\theta}^*(z) < V_{K,Q,\theta}^*(z_0) + \varepsilon/2\}$ is open. Thus

we may find a ball of radius r around z_0 such that $\sup_{z \in B(z_0, r)} V_{K, Q, \theta}^*(z) < \inf_{z \in B(z_0, r) \cap K} Q(z)$ and $0 \notin B(z_0, r)$.

By Theorem 1.3 of Appendix B in [ST97], we can find a plurisubharmonic function u with $u \geq V_{K, Q, \theta}^*$ on $B(z_0, r)$, $u = V_{K, Q, \theta}^*$ on $\mathbb{C}^d \setminus B(z_0, r)$, and u maximal on $B(z_0, r)$. Then $u \leq V_{K, Q, \theta}^*$ because $u(z) \leq \sup_{z \in B(z_0, r)} V_{K, Q, \theta}^*(z) < \inf_{z \in B(z_0, r) \cap K} Q(z)$ for all $z \in B(z_0, r)$. Since $B(z_0, r) \cap \{0\} = \emptyset$ we have $u \in L_\theta$. Hence $u \equiv V_{K, Q, \theta}^*$. Therefore we get $V_{K, Q, \theta}^*$ is maximal in a neighborhood of z_0 . Hence z_0 is not in $S_{K, Q, \theta}$. \square

A special case of this is when the admissible weights are globally defined. Let $\phi : \mathbb{C}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an admissible weight function. Generalizing the case of [Ber] we define weighted θ -incomplete extremal functions by

$$(3.4) \quad V_{\phi, \theta}(z) = \sup\{u(z) \mid u \in L_\theta \text{ and } u \leq \phi\} \text{ for } 0 \leq \theta \leq 1.$$

Observe that $V_{\phi, \theta}^* = V_{\phi, \theta}$ if ϕ is continuous, for in this case $V_{\phi, \theta}^* \leq \phi$ on \mathbb{C}^d so that $V_{\phi, \theta}^* \leq V_{\phi, \theta}$. We also remark that $\theta = 0$ gives $V_{\phi, 0} = V_\phi$ and $V_{\phi, \theta_1} \leq V_{\phi, \theta_2}$ if $\theta_1 > \theta_2$ since $L_{\theta_1} \subset L_{\theta_2}$.

We define the following sets:

$$(3.5) \quad D_{\phi, \theta} := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^d \mid V_{\phi, \theta}^*(z) \geq \phi(z)\} \text{ and}$$

$$(3.6) \quad S_{\phi, \theta} := \text{supp}((dd^c V_{\phi, \theta}^*)^d).$$

If $\theta = 0$, we will write $D_{\phi, 0} = D_\phi$ and $S_{\phi, 0} = S_\phi$. If ϕ is continuous then $V_{\phi, \theta}$ is continuous and we have

$$D_{\phi, \theta} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^d \mid V_{\phi, \theta}(z) = \phi(z)\}.$$

If ϕ is a globally defined admissible weight function then we define $K := D_{\phi, \theta}$ and $Q := \phi|_K$. Clearly $V_{\phi, \theta}^* \leq Q$ quasi everywhere in K so $V_{\phi, \theta}^* \leq V_{K, Q, \theta}^*$.

Conversely, on K , $V_{K, Q, \theta} \leq Q = \phi = V_{\phi, \theta}$ quasi everywhere. Since $(dd^c V_{\phi, \theta}^*)^d$ is supported on $K \cup \{0\}$, by Theorem 2.1 we have $V_{K, Q, \theta}^* \leq V_{\phi, \theta}^*$. Hence $V_{K, Q, \theta}^* = V_{\phi, \theta}^*$. This shows that we may reduce the global weighted situation to the compact case by considering the sets $D_{\phi, \theta}$.

As a consequence of the above definitions, Lemma 3.4 and earlier results of this section we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.5. *Let ϕ be a globally defined admissible weight, then we have*

- i) $S_{\phi,\theta} = \text{supp}((dd^c V_{\phi,\theta}^*)^d) \subset D_{\phi,\theta} \cup \{0\}$ if $\theta > 0$, and for $\theta = 0$,
 $\text{supp}((dd^c V_{\phi}^*)^d) \subset D_{\phi}$,
- ii) $D_{\phi,1} \subset D_{\phi,\theta_1} \subset D_{\phi,\theta_2} \subset D_{\phi,0} = D_{\phi}$ where $\theta_1 > \theta_2$,
- iii) $V_{\phi,\theta}$ is in L_{θ}^+ for $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$,
- iv) if $u \in L_{\theta}$ and $u \leq \phi$ on $D_{\phi,\theta}$ then $u \leq V_{\phi,\theta}$.

The next lemma shows the monotonicity of the extremal functions under increasing and decreasing θ .

Lemma 3.6. *Let $K \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ be a closed set and let w be an admissible weight on K . For $0 \leq \theta_0 < 1$, as $\theta \searrow \theta_0$ we have $V_{K,Q,\theta}^*$ increases to V_{K,Q,θ_0}^* quasi everywhere. If $\theta \nearrow \theta_0$ we have $V_{K,Q,\theta}^*$ decreases to V_{K,Q,θ_0}^* .*

Proof. The last statement is clear, thus we consider $\theta \searrow \theta_0$. Clearly we have monotonicity of the $V_{K,Q,\theta}^*$. Since $V_{K,Q,\theta}^*$ are bounded above by V_{K,Q,θ_0}^* , we have $V_{K,Q,\theta}^*$ increases to a function, v , whose upper semicontinuous regularization v^* is plurisubharmonic and again bounded above by V_{K,Q,θ_0}^* .

Since $V_{K,Q,\theta}^* \in L_{\theta}^+$ we have $V_{K,Q,\theta}^*(z) \geq \max(\theta \log |z|, \log |z|) + M_{\theta}$ where M_{θ} is a constant depending on θ . As $\theta \searrow \theta_0$ we get $v^* \in L_{\theta_0}^+$ since $v^* \leq V_{K,Q,\theta_0}^*$. Also by monotonicity we get $(dd^c V_{K,Q,\theta}^*)^d \rightarrow (dd^c v^*)^d$ weak-*.

We will write $S := \text{supp}((dd^c v^*)^d) \setminus \{0\}$ and $S' := \{z \in K \mid v^*(z) \geq Q(z)\}$. By the lower semicontinuity of Q , and upper semicontinuity of v^* , we have S' is closed. Next we will show that $v^* \geq Q$ on S by showing that $S \subset S'$.

Since $(dd^c V_{K,Q,\theta}^*)^d \rightarrow (dd^c v^*)^d$ we have $S \subset \overline{\bigcup_{\theta > \theta_0} S_{K,Q,\theta_0}} \setminus \{0\}$. By Proposition 3.4, we have $\bigcup_{\theta > \theta_0} S_{K,Q,\theta} \setminus \{0\} \subset \bigcup_{\theta > \theta_0} S_{K,Q,\theta}^* \setminus \{0\} \subset \{z \in K \mid v(z) \geq Q(z)\} \subset S'$. Since S' is closed, we get $\overline{\bigcup_{\theta > \theta_0} S_{K,Q,\theta_0}} \setminus \{0\} \subset S'$. Therefore $S \subset S'$. Since $V_{K,Q,\theta_0}^* \leq Q$ quasi everywhere on K and $(dd^c v^*)^d$ does not charge pluripolar sets except the origin,

we have $V_{K,Q,\theta_0}^* \leq v^*$ almost everywhere with respect to $(dd^c v^*)^d$ on the support of $(dd^c v^*)^d$. Here we recall that if $\theta > 0$ then $V_{K,Q,\theta_0}^*(0) = v^*(0) = -\infty$. Therefore by the domination principle (Theorem 2.1) we get $V_{K,Q,\theta_0}^* \leq v^*$ on \mathbb{C}^d , so that $V_{K,Q,\theta_0}^* = v^*$. \square

Corollary 3.7. *Let ϕ be a globally defined admissible weight. Let $0 \leq \theta_0 < 1$, as $\theta \searrow \theta_0$ we have $V_{\phi,\theta}^*$ increases to V_{ϕ,θ_0}^* quasi everywhere, and if $\theta \nearrow \theta_0$ we have $V_{\phi,\theta}^*$ decreases to V_{ϕ,θ_0}^* .*

The following example illustrates the above corollary.

Example 3.8. Let $\phi(z) = |z|^2$. Then we have for $0 < \theta < 1$

$$V_{\phi,\theta}(z) = \begin{cases} \theta \log |z| + \frac{\theta}{2} - \frac{\theta}{2} \log \frac{\theta}{2} & \text{if } |z| < \sqrt{\frac{\theta}{2}}, \\ |z|^2 & \text{if } \sqrt{\frac{\theta}{2}} \leq |z| \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \log |z| + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } |z| \geq \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{cases}$$

If $\theta = 1$ we get

$$V_{\phi,\theta}(z) = V_{\phi,1}(z) = \log |z| + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{2}.$$

We had given $V_{\phi,0}$ earlier in (1.8).

Note that $D_{\phi,\theta} = \overline{B(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})} \setminus B(0, \sqrt{\frac{\theta}{2}})$ which increases to $\overline{B(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})} \setminus \{0\}$ as θ decreases to 0.

We define the following notions. Let $K \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ be compact and w be an admissible weight on K . We define

$$(3.7) \quad \Phi_{K,Q,\theta}^N(z) := \sup\{|P(z)|^{1/N} \mid \|w^N P_N\|_K \leq 1 \text{ where } P_N \in \pi_{N,\theta}\}$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad \Phi_{K,Q,\theta} := \sup_N \{\Phi_{K,Q,\theta}^N\} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{K,Q,\theta}^N.$$

We can see that the supremum is actually a limit by following the proof of Proposition 2.2.

Theorem 3.9. *Let $0 \leq \theta < 1$. Let $K \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ be a compact set and w be a continuous admissible weight on K . Then $V_{K,Q,\theta} = \log \Phi_{K,Q,\theta}$.*

Proof. Let $P_N \in \pi_{N,\theta}$ satisfying $\|w^N P_N\|_K \leq 1$. Then we have

$$\frac{1}{N} \log |P_N(z)| \leq Q(z) \text{ on } K.$$

Hence we get

$$(3.9) \quad \log \Phi_{K,Q,\theta} \leq V_{K,Q,\theta}.$$

The rest of the proof essentially follows the proof of Callaghan [Cal07]. We will modify the last step using a result of BreLOT-Cartan instead of Hartog's lemma.

We fix $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\theta + \varepsilon < 1$. Let $u \in L_{\theta+\varepsilon}$ and $u \leq Q$ on K . By Theorem 2.9 of Appendix B of [ST97], we have

$$u(z) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_j} \max_{1 \leq k \leq t_j} \log |P_{k,j}(z)|,$$

where the sequence is decreasing and each $P_{k,j}$ is a polynomial of degree at most N_j . Here t_j is a finite number depending on j .

As in [Cal07] we write

$$P_{k,j}(z) := \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{N_j} c_{\alpha,k,j} z^\alpha$$

and

$$P'_{k,j}(z) := \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\lfloor N_j \theta \rfloor} c_{\alpha,k,j} z^\alpha,$$

where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ is the largest integer less than or equal to x .

We remark that $P_{k,j} - P'_{k,j}$ is a θ -incomplete polynomial. By Callaghan's asymptotic estimates we get

$$u(z) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_j} \max_{1 \leq k \leq t_j} \log |P_{k,j}(z) - P'_{k,j}(z)|$$

pointwise on \mathbb{C}^d .

By Theorem 3.4.3 c) of [Ran95], for $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, there exists j_1 such that for $j \geq j_1$ we have

$$\frac{1}{N_j} \max_{1 \leq k \leq t_j} \log |P_{k,j}(z) - P'_{k,j}(z)| \leq Q + \varepsilon_1 \text{ on } K,$$

since Q is continuous. Now we have

$$u(z) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_j} \max_{1 \leq k \leq t_j} \log |P_{k,j}(z) - P'_{k,j}(z)| \leq \log \Phi_{K,Q,\theta}(z) + \varepsilon_1$$

for any ε_1 and therefore $u(z) \leq \log \Phi_{K,Q,\theta}(z)$. Hence we get

$$V_{K,Q,\theta+\varepsilon}(z) \leq \log \Phi_{K,Q,\theta}(z).$$

By Lemma 3.6, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we get

$$(3.10) \quad V_{K,Q,\theta}(z) \leq \log \Phi_{K,Q,\theta}(z).$$

Combining (3.10) with (3.9) we get the desired result. \square

Note that if $\theta = 0$, we recover

$$(3.11) \quad V_{K,Q} = \log \Phi_{K,Q} \text{ where } \Phi_{K,Q} := \Phi_{K,Q,0}$$

Corollary 3.10. *Let $0 \leq \theta < 1$. Let ϕ be a globally defined continuous admissible weight, then we have $V_{\phi,\theta} = \log \Phi_{\phi,\theta}$, where*

$$(3.12) \quad \Phi_{\phi,\theta}^N(z) := \sup\{|P(z)|^{1/N} \mid \|e^{-N\phi} P_N\|_{D_{\phi,\theta}} \leq 1 \text{ where } P_N \in \pi_{N,\theta}\}$$

and

$$(3.13) \quad \Phi_{\phi,\theta} := \sup_N \{\Phi_{\phi,\theta}^N\}.$$

Corollary 3.11. *Let $0 \leq \theta < 1$. Let ϕ be a globally defined continuous admissible weight, then we have $V_{\phi,\theta} = \log \tilde{\Phi}_{\phi,\theta}$, where*

$$(3.14) \quad \tilde{\Phi}_{\phi,\theta}^N(z) := \sup\{|P(z)|^{1/N} \mid \|e^{-N\phi} P_N\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \leq 1 \text{ where } P_N \in \pi_{N,\theta}\}$$

and

$$(3.15) \quad \tilde{\Phi}_{\phi,\theta} := \sup_N \{\tilde{\Phi}_{\phi,\theta}^N\}.$$

Proof. It is sufficient to show that for any $P_N \in \pi_{N,\theta}$, $\|e^{-N\phi} P_N\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \leq 1$ if and only if $\|e^{-N\phi} P_N\|_{D_{\phi,\theta}} \leq 1$. The "only if" direction is trivial. For the other direction let $P_N \in \pi_{N,\theta}$ and $\|e^{-N\phi} P_N\|_{D_{\phi,\theta}} \leq 1$. We will show that $\|e^{-N\phi} P_N\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \leq 1$. We have $e^{-N\phi(z)} P_N(z) \leq 1$ for $z \in D_{\phi,\theta}$ so we get $\frac{1}{N} \log |P_N(z)| \leq \phi(z)$ on $D_{\phi,\theta}$.

Hence it is a competitor for the extremal function $V_{\phi,\theta}$, and we have $\frac{1}{N} \log |P_N(z)| \leq V_{\phi,\theta}(z) \leq \phi(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^d$. Therefore we get $e^{-N\phi(z)} P_N(z) \leq 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^d$. \square

4. ASYMPTOTICS

Let K be a compact set in \mathbb{C}^d and μ be a Borel probability measure whose support is in K . We say that the pair (K, μ) satisfies a **Bernstein-Markov property** if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$(4.1) \quad \|P\|_K \leq C e^{\varepsilon N} \|P\|_{L^2(\mu)}$$

holds for all polynomials of degree at most N . Equivalently, there exists M_N with $(M_N)^{\frac{1}{N}} \rightarrow 1$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ such that the following inequality holds for all polynomials of degree at most N :

$$(4.2) \quad \|P\|_K \leq M_N \|P\|_{L^2(\mu)}.$$

We remark that if K is a regular compact set then $(K, (dd^c V_K)^d)$ satisfies the Bernstein-Markov property. See [Zér85] for details.

We fix $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$. If these inequalities are satisfied for all $P \in \pi_{N,\theta}$ for all $N \geq 0$, then we say the pair (K, μ) satisfies a **Bernstein-Markov property for θ -incomplete polynomials**.

Let μ be a measure such that (K, μ) satisfies the Bernstein-Markov property for θ -incomplete polynomials. Let $\{P_j\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\pi_{N,\theta}$ with respect to the inner product $\langle f, g \rangle := \int f \bar{g} d\mu$. We define the Bergman function $K_{N,\theta}(z, w) := \sum_{j=1}^{d(N,\theta)} P_j(z) \overline{P_j(w)}$, where $d(N, \theta)$ is the dimension of $\pi_{N,\theta}$.

The following two lemmas are generalizations of results of Bloom and Shiffman [BS07].

Lemma 4.1. *If (K, μ) satisfies the Bernstein-Markov property for θ -incomplete polynomials, then for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{(\Phi_{K,\theta,N}(z))^2}{d(N, \theta)} \leq K_{N,\theta}(z, z) \leq C e^{\varepsilon N} (\Phi_{K,\theta,N}(z))^2 d(N, \theta)$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^d$.

Proof. To show the first inequality we take $P \in \pi_{N,\theta}$ and $\|P\|_K \leq 1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |P(z)| &= \left| \int_K K_{N,\theta}(z, w) P(w) d\mu(w) \right| \leq \int_K |K_{N,\theta}(z, w)| d\mu(w) \\ &\leq \int_K (K_{N,\theta}(z, z))^{\frac{1}{2}} (K_{N,\theta}(w, w))^{\frac{1}{2}} d\mu(w) = (K_{N,\theta}(z, z))^{\frac{1}{2}} \|(K_{N,\theta}(w, w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L^1(\mu)} \\ &\leq (K_{N,\theta}(z, z))^{\frac{1}{2}} \|1\|_{L^2(\mu)} \|(K_{N,\theta}(w, w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L^2(\mu)} = (K_{N,\theta}(z, z))^{\frac{1}{2}} d(N, \theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum of all P as above we have $\Phi_{K,\theta,N}(z) \leq (K_{N,\theta}(z, z))^{\frac{1}{2}} d(N, \theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, which gives the first inequality.

For the second inequality, let $\{P_j\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\pi_{N,\theta}$. Then by the Bernstein-Markov property we have $\|P_j\|_K \leq C e^{\varepsilon N}$, hence $|P_j(z)| \leq \|P_j\|_K \Phi_{K,\theta,N}(z) \leq C e^{\varepsilon N} \Phi_{K,\theta,N}(z)$, for all P_j . Thus we have

$$K_{N,\theta}(z, z) = \sum_{j=1}^{d(N,\theta)} |P_j(z)|^2 \leq d(N, \theta) C^2 e^{2\varepsilon N} (\Phi_{K,\theta,N}(z))^2.$$

Hence we get the second inequality. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let $0 \leq \theta < 1$. Let K be a regular compact set in \mathbb{C}^d . If (K, μ) satisfies the Bernstein-Markov property for θ -incomplete polynomials, then we have*

$$\frac{1}{2N} \log K_{N,\theta}(z, z) \rightarrow V_{K,\theta}(z)$$

uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}^d \setminus \hat{K}_\theta$.

Proof. We remark that $d(N, \theta) \leq d(N) := d(N, 0)$ and $d(N) = \binom{N+d}{d} \leq (N+d)^d$.

Taking logarithms in (4.3), we obtain

$$-\frac{\log d(N, \theta)}{N} \leq \frac{\log\left(\frac{K_{N,\theta}(z, z)}{(\Phi_{K,\theta,N}(z))^2}\right)}{N} \leq \frac{\log(C e^{\varepsilon N} d(N, \theta))}{N}.$$

By the above observation we get

$$-\frac{d}{N} \log(N+d) \leq \frac{1}{N} \log\left(\frac{K_{N,\theta}(z, z)}{(\Phi_{K,\theta,N}(z))^2}\right) \leq \frac{\log C}{N} + \varepsilon + \frac{d}{N} \log(N+d).$$

Since ε is arbitrary we have $\frac{1}{N} \log\left(\frac{K_{N,\theta}(z, z)}{(\Phi_{K,\theta,N}(z))^2}\right) \rightarrow 0$, which gives the desired result by Theorem 2.3. \square

Let K be a compact set with admissible weight w on K . Let μ be a Borel probability measure on K . We say the triple (K, μ, w) satisfies a **weighted Bernstein-Markov property** if there exists $M_N > 0$ with $(M_N)^{1/N} \rightarrow 1$ such that for any polynomial P_N of degree N ,

$$(4.4) \quad \|w^N P_N\|_K \leq M_N \|w^N P_N\|_{L^2(\mu)}.$$

We remark that if K is locally regular and Q is continuous then $(K, (dd^c V_{K,Q})^d, w)$ satisfies a weighted Bernstein-Markov property by Corollary 3.1 of [Blo06]. Also $(D_\phi, (dd^c V_\phi)^d, e^{-\phi})$ satisfies the weighted Bernstein-Markov property if ϕ is continuous by Theorem 4.5 of [BB].

Theorem 4.3. *Let K be a compact set with a continuous admissible weight w on K . Let μ be a probability measure on K such that (K, μ, w) satisfies a weighted Bernstein-Markov property. Then we have*

$$(4.5) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{k=1, \dots, d(N)} (|B_{k,N}(z)|)^{1/N} = e^{V_{K,Q}(z)},$$

where $\{B_{k,N}\}_{k=1}^{d(N)}$ is an orthonormal basis for the polynomials with degree at most N with respect to the measure $w^{2N} \mu$.

We remark that unlike the unweighted case, where $w = 1$, each time N changes the basis and the L^2 norms change.

Proof. By the weighted Bernstein-Markov property we have

$$\|w^N B_{k,N}\|_K \leq M_N \|w^N B_{k,N}\|_{L^2(\mu)},$$

so we get

$$\frac{1}{N} \log \frac{|B_{k,N}(z)|}{M_N} \leq Q(z) \text{ on } K.$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{N} \log \frac{|B_{k,N}(z)|}{M_N} \leq V_{K,Q}(z) \text{ on } \mathbb{C}^d.$$

Since $(M_N)^{1/N} \rightarrow 1$, we have $\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} (\sup_{k=1, \dots, d(N)} (|B_{k,n}(z)|)^{1/N} \leq \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} (e^{V_{K,Q}(z)} M_N^{\frac{1}{N}}) \leq e^{V_{K,Q}(z)}$.

Now we want to show that $\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} (\sup_{k=1}^{d(N)} (|B_{k,N}(z)|)^{1/N} \geq e^{V_{K,Q}(z)}$, for $V_{K,Q}(z) > 0$.

Let P be a polynomial of degree at most N such that $\|w^N P\|_K \leq 1$. We will write $w = e^{-Q}$. Since $\{B_{k,N}\}_{k=1}^{d(N)}$ is an orthonormal basis we have

$$P(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{d(N)} \left(\int_K P \bar{B}_{j,N} e^{-2NQ} d\mu \right) B_{j,N}(z).$$

By the triangle inequality we have

$$|P(z)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{d(N)} \left| \int_K P \bar{B}_{j,N} e^{-2NQ} d\mu \right| |B_{j,N}(z)|.$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have

$$|P(z)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{d(N)} \left| \left(\int_K |P|^2 e^{-2NQ} d\mu \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_K |B_{j,N}|^2 e^{-2NQ} d\mu \right)^{1/2} \right| |B_{j,N}(z)|.$$

Now since $\|w^N P\|_K \leq 1$ and $\{B_{k,N}\}_{k=1}^{d(N)}$ is an orthonormal basis we get

$$|P(z)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{d(N)} |B_{j,N}(z)|.$$

This implies that

$$(4.6) \quad |P(z)| \leq (d(N)) \sup_{j=1}^{d(N)} (|B_{j,N}(z)|) \text{ for any } z \in \mathbb{C}^d.$$

We fix $z \in \mathbb{C}^d$. Then we have

$$(4.7) \quad e^{V_{K,Q}(z)} \leq \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{P \in \pi_{N,0}, \|w^N P\|_K \leq 1} |P(z)|^{1/N} \right) \leq \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} (d(N))^{1/N} \left(\sup_{j=1}^{d(N)} (|B_{j,N}(z)|) \right)^{1/N}.$$

Here $e^{V_{K,Q}} \leq \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{P \in \pi_{N,0}, \|w^N P\|_K \leq 1} |P(z)|^{1/N} \right)$ follows from (3.11). Now since $(d(N))^{1/N} \rightarrow 1$ we get the result. \square

Corollary 4.4. *Let ϕ be a globally defined continuous admissible weight and μ be a Borel probability measure on D_ϕ such that $(D_\phi, \mu, e^{-\phi})$ satisfies a weighted Bernstein-Markov property. Then we have*

$$(4.8) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{k=1, \dots, d(N)} (|B_{k,N}(z)|)^{1/N} = e^{V_\phi(z)}.$$

Here $\{B_{k,N}\}_{k=1}^{d(N)}$ is an orthonormal basis for the polynomials with degree at most N with respect to the measure $e^{-2N\phi}\mu$.

If (4.4) holds for any $P_N \in \pi_{N,\theta}$ then we say (K, μ, w) satisfies a **weighted Bernstein-Markov property for θ -incomplete polynomials**.

We remark that if a triple (K, μ, w) satisfies a weighted Bernstein-Markov property, then it satisfies the weighted Bernstein-Markov property for θ -incomplete polynomials.

Using only the orthonormal basis for $\pi_{N,\theta}$ and using Theorem 3.9 instead of (3.11) we get the following theorem by the same proof as for Theorem 4.3.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $0 \leq \theta < 1$. Let K be a compact set with a continuous admissible weight w on K . Let μ be a measure on K such that (K, μ, w) satisfies the weighted Bernstein-Markov property for θ -incomplete polynomials. Then we have*

$$(4.9) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{k=1, \dots, d(N, \theta)} (|B_{k,N}^\theta(z)|)^{1/N} = e^{V_{K, \mathcal{Q}, \theta}(z)},$$

where $\{B_{k,N}^\theta\}_{k=1}^{d(N, \theta)}$ is an orthonormal basis for $\pi_{N, \theta}$ with respect to the measure $w^{2N}\mu$.

Corollary 4.6. *Let $0 \leq \theta < 1$. Let ϕ be a globally defined continuous admissible weight. If $(D_\phi, \mu, e^{-\phi})$ satisfies a weighted Bernstein-Markov property then we have*

$$(4.10) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{k=1, \dots, d(N, \theta)} (|B_{k,N}^\theta(z)|)^{1/N} = e^{V_{\phi, \theta}(z)},$$

where $\{B_{k,N}^\theta\}_{k=1}^{d(N, \theta)}$ is an orthonormal basis for $\pi_{N, \theta}$ with respect to the measure $e^{-2N\phi}\mu$.

Finally, we prove the strong Bergman asymptotics in the weighted θ -incomplete setting following [Ber] closely. We fix $0 \leq \theta < 1$. Let ϕ be a globally defined admissible weight and $\phi(z) \geq (1 + \varepsilon) \log |z|$ if $|z| \gg 1$. Let $\{p_1, \dots, p_{d(N, \theta)}\}$ be an orthonormal basis for $\pi_{N, \theta}$ with respect to the inner product $\langle f, g \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{C}^d} f \bar{g} e^{-2N\phi} \omega_d$ where $\omega_d(z) = (dd^c |z|^2)^d / 4^d d!$ on \mathbb{C}^d . We denote the L^2 -norm by $\|p_N\|_{N\phi}^2 := \|p_N\|_{\omega_d, N\phi}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{C}^d} |p_N(z)|^2 e^{-2N\phi(z)} \omega_d(z)$. We define the N -th θ -incomplete Bergman function by

$$(4.11) \quad K_N(z) := K_{N, \theta}^\phi(z, z) = \sum_{j=1}^{d(N, \theta)} |p_j(z)|^2 e^{-2N\phi(z)}.$$

By the reproducing property of the Bergman functions we have

$$(4.12) \quad K_N(z) = \sup_{p_N \in \pi_{N,\theta} \setminus \{0\}} |p_N(z)|^2 e^{-2N\phi(z)} / \|p_N\|_{N\phi}^2.$$

Theorem 4.7. *Let $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{C}^d)$ with $\phi(z) \geq (1 + \epsilon) \log |z|$ for $|z| \gg 1$. If $V_{\phi,\theta} \in C^{1,1}(\mathbb{C}^d \setminus \{0\})$ then $(dd^c V_{\phi,\theta})^d$ is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}^d \setminus \{0\}$ and $\det(dd^c \phi) \omega_d = (dd^c V_{\phi,\theta})^d$ on $\mathbb{C}^d \setminus \{0\}$ as (d, d) forms with $L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{C}^d)$ coefficients. For a compact set K we have a local bound*

$$(4.13) \quad \frac{1}{d(N, \theta)} K_N(z) \leq C = C(K) \text{ for } z \in K.$$

Moreover we have

$$(4.14) \quad \frac{1}{d(N, \theta)} K_N \rightarrow \frac{1}{(1 - \theta^d)} \chi_{D_{\phi,\theta} \cap P} \frac{\det(dd^c \phi)}{(2\pi)^d} \text{ in } L^1(\mathbb{C}^d)$$

and

$$(4.15) \quad \frac{1}{d(N, \theta)} K_N \omega_d \rightarrow \frac{1}{(1 - \theta^d)} \frac{(dd^c V_{\phi,\theta})^d}{(2\pi)^d} \text{ weak-}^* \text{ on } D_{\phi,\theta} \cap P.$$

Here $\det(dd^c u) := \frac{(dd^c u)^d}{\omega_d}$ and for a twice continuously differentiable function u we have $\det(dd^c u) = 2i \det[\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k}]_{j,k=1,\dots,d}$. The characteristic function of a set A is denoted by χ_A . We remark that we assume $V_{\phi,\theta} \in C^{1,1}(\mathbb{C}^d \setminus \{0\})$.

We will use the following lemma from measure theory in the proof of the theorem.

Lemma 4.8. [Ber06, Lemma 2.2] *Let (X, μ) be a measure space and let $\{f_N\}$ be a sequence of uniformly bounded, integrable functions on X . If f is a bounded, integrable function on X with*

- (1) $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_X f_N d\mu = \int_X f d\mu$ and
- (2) $\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} f_N \leq f$ a.e. with respect to μ

then f_N converges to f in $L^1(X, \mu)$.

Proof of Theorem 4.7. The $\theta = 0$ case is proven by Berman in [Ber], so we assume $0 < \theta < 1$.

By assumption $V_{\phi,\theta} = \phi$ on $D_{\phi,\theta} \cap P$ and both are $C^{1,1}$ on $D_{\phi,\theta} \cap P$. Therefore $\det(dd^c\phi)\omega_d = (dd^cV_{\phi,\theta})^d$ on $D_{\phi,\theta} \cap P$ almost everywhere as (d, d) forms with L^∞ coefficients by the argument in Section 12 of [Dem92].

First of all using (4.12) to prove an asymptotic upper bound on $\frac{1}{d(N,\theta)}K_N(z)$ at a point $z_0 = (z_1^0, \dots, z_d^0)$, we can assume that near z_0 , ϕ is of the form

$$(4.16) \quad \phi(z) = \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j |z_j - z_j^0|^2 + o(|z - z_0|^3)$$

as in [Ber]. Namely we assume that $\phi(z_0) = 0$ and the first order partial derivatives of ϕ vanish at z_0 .

Following [Ber], we have for each $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}^d$ there exist $R > 0$ and a constant C such that

$$(4.17) \quad |\phi(z)| \leq C|z - z_0|^2 \text{ on } B(z_0, R),$$

and for any $R > 0$ we have

$$(4.18) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left[\sup_{z \in B(0, R)} \left| N\phi(z/\sqrt{N} + z_0) - \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j |z_j|^2 \right| \right] = 0.$$

We fix z_0 be a point in \mathbb{C}^d . We take a polynomial $p_N \in \pi_{N,\theta}$ satisfying the extremal property (4.12) at z_0 . Then we have

$$\frac{1}{d(N,\theta)}K_N(z_0) = \frac{|p_N(z_0)|^2 e^{-2N\phi(z_0)}}{d(N,\theta) \|p_N\|_{N\phi}^2} = \frac{|p_N(z_0)|^2}{d(N,\theta) \int_{\mathbb{C}^d} |p_N(z)|^2 e^{-2N\phi(z)} \omega_d(z)}$$

By positivity of the integrand we have

$$\frac{1}{d(N,\theta)}K_N(z_0) \leq \frac{|p_N(z_0)|^2}{d(N,\theta) \int_{|z-z_0| \leq R/\sqrt{N}} |p_N(z)|^2 e^{-2N\phi(z)} \omega_d(z)}.$$

We choose R as in (4.17) so that we can replace $\phi(z)$ by $C|z - z_0|^2$ in the integrand and thus we have

$$\frac{1}{d(N,\theta)}K_N(z_0) \leq \frac{|p_N(z_0)|^2}{d(N,\theta) \int_{|z-z_0| \leq R/\sqrt{N}} |p_N(z)|^2 e^{-2NC|z-z_0|^2} \omega_d(z)}.$$

We apply the subaveraging property to the subharmonic function $|p_N|^2$ on the ball $\{|z - z_0| \leq R/\sqrt{N}\}$ with respect to the radial probability measure with center z_0

$\frac{e^{-2NC|z-z_0|^2}\omega_d(z)}{\int_{|z-z_0|\leq R/\sqrt{N}} e^{-2NC|z-z_0|^2}\omega_d(z)}$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{d(N, \theta)} K_N(z_0) &\leq \frac{1}{d(N, \theta) \int_{|z-z_0|\leq R/\sqrt{N}} e^{-2NC|z-z_0|^2}\omega_d(z)} \\ &\leq \frac{N^d}{d(N, \theta) \int_{|z'|\leq R} e^{-2C|z'|^2}\omega_d(z')} \end{aligned}$$

For the last inequality we used a change of variable $z \rightarrow z' := (z - z_0)\sqrt{N}$, where $\omega_d(z') = N^d\omega_d(z)$. Since $d(N, \theta) \asymp (1 - \theta^d)d(N, 0)$, we have $d(N, \theta) \geq (1 - \tilde{\theta}^d)d(N, 0)$ for all $N \geq N_0$ for some $\tilde{\theta} \geq \theta$. Now using the estimate $d(N, \theta) \geq (1 - \tilde{\theta}^d)d(N, 0) = (1 - \tilde{\theta}^d)\binom{d+N}{d} \geq (1 - \tilde{\theta}^d)N^d/d!$ for all $N \geq N_0$, we get

$$\frac{1}{d(N, \theta)} K_N(z_0) \leq \frac{d!}{(1 - \tilde{\theta}^d) \int_{|z'|\leq R} e^{-2C|z'|^2}\omega_d(z')} \text{ for all } N \geq N_0.$$

The right hand side of the inequality is uniformly bounded. As z_0 varies on the compact set K , we get a constant $C(K)$ giving a local bound for all $N \geq N_0$. By continuity of $\frac{1}{d(N, \theta)} K_N(z)$, and considering the $\max_{N=1, \dots, N_0} \sup_{z \in K} \frac{1}{d(N, \theta)} K_N(z)$ we get the local bound (4.13) holds at each point of K .

For the rest of the proof, we fix z_0 and start with the inequality

$$\frac{1}{d(N, \theta)} K_N(z_0) \leq \frac{|p_N(z_0)|^2}{d(N, \theta) \int_{|z-z_0|\leq R/\sqrt{N}} |p_N(z)|^2 e^{-2N\phi(z)} \omega_d(z)}$$

which holds for any $R > 0$. By using the same change of variable and estimates as above we get

$$\frac{1}{d(N, \theta)} K_N(z_0) \leq \frac{d! |p_N(z_0)|^2}{(1 - \tilde{\theta}^d) \int_{|z'|\leq R} |p_N(z'/\sqrt{N} + z_0)|^2 e^{-2N\phi(z'/\sqrt{N} + z_0)} \omega_d(z')},$$

for all $N \geq N_0$ where $\tilde{\theta} \geq \theta$. Multiplying the integrand by $e^{-2\sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j |z'_j|^2} e^{2\sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j |z'_j|^2}$ and taking the infimum of $\exp\left[-2\left|N\phi(z'/\sqrt{N}) - \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j |z'_j|^2\right|\right]$ on $B(0, R)$ out of the

integral, we get

$$\frac{1}{d(N, \theta)} K_N(z_0) \leq \frac{d! |p_N(z_0)|^2 \exp \left[2 \sup_{|z'| \leq R} \left| N\phi(z'/\sqrt{N}) - \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j |z'_j|^2 \right| \right]}{(1 - \tilde{\theta}^d) \int_{|z'| \leq R} |p_N(z'/\sqrt{N} + z_0)|^2 e^{-2 \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j |z'_j|^2} \omega_d(z')},$$

for all $N \geq N_0$. We apply the subaveraging property to the subharmonic function $|p_N(z'/\sqrt{N} + z_0)|^2$ with respect to radial probability measure $\frac{e^{-2 \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j |z'_j|^2} \omega_d(z')}{\int_{|z'| \leq R} e^{-2 \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j |z'_j|^2} \omega_d(z')}$ and we get

$$\frac{1}{d(N, \theta)} K_N(z_0) \leq \frac{d! \exp \left[2 \sup_{|z'| \leq R} \left| N\phi(z'/\sqrt{N}) - \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j |z'_j|^2 \right| \right]}{(1 - \tilde{\theta}^d) \int_{|z'| \leq R} e^{-2 \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j |z'_j|^2} \omega_d(z')}$$

for all $N \geq N_0$. By (4.18), $\exp \left[2 \sup_{|z'| \leq R} \left| N\phi(z'/\sqrt{N}) - \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j |z'_j|^2 \right| \right] \rightarrow 1$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore we have

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d(N, \theta)} K_N(z_0) \leq \frac{d!}{(1 - \tilde{\theta}^d) \int_{|z'| \leq R} e^{-2 \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j |z'_j|^2} \omega_d(z')}.$$

As $R \rightarrow \infty$ the Gaussian integral on the right hand side goes to $\frac{\pi^d}{2^d \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_d}$ if all $\lambda_j > 0$ and to $+\infty$ otherwise. Since $\det(dd^c \phi(z_0)) = 4^d d! \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_d$ we have

$$(4.19) \quad \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d(N, \theta)} K_N(z) \leq \frac{1}{(1 - \tilde{\theta}^d)} \chi_{D_{\phi, \theta} \cap P} \frac{\det(dd^c \phi)}{(2\pi)^d} \text{ a.e on } \mathbb{C}^d.$$

Letting $\tilde{\theta} \rightarrow \theta$ we obtain

$$(4.20) \quad \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d(N, \theta)} K_N(z) \leq \frac{1}{(1 - \theta^d)} \chi_{D_{\phi, \theta} \cap P} \frac{\det(dd^c \phi)}{(2\pi)^d} \text{ a.e on } \mathbb{C}^d.$$

By the definition of lim sup and using the extremal property (4.12), we get

$$(4.21) \quad \frac{1}{N^d} |p_N(z)|^2 e^{-2N\phi(z)} / \|p_N\|_{N\phi}^2 \leq C_N \text{ on } D_{\phi, \theta} \text{ for any } p_N \in \pi_{N, \theta},$$

where $C_N = \frac{1}{(1 - \theta^d)} \sup_{z \in D_{\phi, \theta} \cap P} \frac{\det(dd^c \phi(z))}{(2\pi)^d}$. Next we will show that

$$(4.22) \quad \frac{1}{N^d} K_N(z) \leq C_N e^{-2N(\phi(z) - V_{\phi, \theta}(z))} \text{ on } \mathbb{C}^d.$$

Let $p_N \in \pi_{N, \theta}$ such that $\|p_N\|_{N\phi}^2 = N^{-d}$, then by (4.21) we have

$$|p_N(z)|^2 e^{-2N\phi(z)} \leq C_N \text{ on } D_{\phi, \theta}.$$

By taking logarithms we get

$$\frac{1}{2N} \log |p_N(z)|^2 \leq \phi(z) + \frac{1}{2N} \log C_N \text{ on } D_{\phi,\theta}$$

and thus we have

$$\frac{1}{2N} \log |p_N(z)|^2 \leq V_{\phi,\theta}(z) + \frac{1}{2N} \log C_N \text{ on } \mathbb{C}^d.$$

So from the extremal property of Bergman functions (4.12) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{N^d} K_N(z) = \sup_{\|p_N\|_{N\phi}^2 = N^{-d}} |p_N(z)|^2 e^{-2N\phi(z)} \leq C_N e^{-2N(\phi(z) - V_{\phi,\theta}(z))} \text{ on } \mathbb{C}^d.$$

Since $\phi(z) > V_{\phi,\theta}(z)$ on $\mathbb{C}^d \setminus D_{\phi,\theta}$, we obtain

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N^d} K_N(z) = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{C}^d \setminus D_{\phi,\theta}.$$

Using $d(N, \theta) \asymp (1 - \theta^d)d(N, 0)$, we obtain

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d(N, \theta)} K_N(z) = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{C}^d \setminus D_{\phi,\theta}.$$

From (4.22) and the growth assumption on ϕ , for a sufficiently large R , there is a C with

$$(4.23) \quad \frac{1}{N^d} K_N(z) \leq C|z|^{-2N\epsilon} \text{ for } |z| > R.$$

By combining the local bound (4.13) and above estimate (4.23) we get a global bound for $\frac{1}{d(N, \theta)} K_N$. Therefore Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem gives that

$$(4.24) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{C}^d \setminus D_{\phi,\theta}} \frac{1}{d(N, \theta)} K_N \omega_d = 0.$$

Next we show that

$$(4.25) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{D_{\phi,\theta} \cap P} \frac{1}{d(N, \theta)} K_N \omega_d = \frac{1}{(1 - \theta^d)} \int_{D_{\phi,\theta} \cap P} \frac{\det(dd^c \phi)}{(2\pi)^d} \omega_d.$$

To prove (4.25), we know that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^d} K_N \omega_d = d(N, \theta)$$

and using (4.24) we have

$$1 = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{C}^d} \frac{1}{d(N, \theta)} K_N \omega_d = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{D_{\phi, \theta} \cap P} \frac{1}{d(N, \theta)} K_N \omega_d.$$

On the other hand, using the positivity of the integrand and applying (4.20) on $D_{\phi, \theta}$, we have

$$1 = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{D_{\phi, \theta}} \frac{1}{d(N, \theta)} K_N \omega_d \leq \frac{1}{(1 - \theta^d)} \int_{D_{\phi, \theta} \cap P} \frac{\det(dd^c \phi)}{(2\pi)^d} \omega_d.$$

By the first part of this theorem, we can replace $\det(dd^c \phi) \omega_d$ by $(dd^c V_{\phi, \theta})^d$ which has total mass $(2\pi)^d (1 - \theta^d)$ on $D_{\phi, \theta} \cap P$, hence we have

$$1 = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{D_{\phi, \theta} \cap P} \frac{1}{d(N, \theta)} K_N \omega_d \leq \frac{1}{(1 - \theta^d)} \int_{D_{\phi, \theta} \cap P} \frac{(dd^c V_{\phi, \theta})^d}{(2\pi)^d} = \frac{(2\pi)^d (1 - \theta^d)}{(2\pi)^d (1 - \theta^d)} = 1.$$

This gives (4.25). We will use this relation, together with (4.14), to show that

$$\frac{1}{d(N, \theta)} K_N \rightarrow \frac{1}{(1 - \theta^d)} \chi_{D_{\phi, \theta} \cap P} \frac{\det(dd^c \phi)}{(2\pi)^d} \text{ in } L^1(\mathbb{C}^d).$$

We set $f_N := \frac{1}{d(N, \theta)} K_N$ and $f := \frac{1}{(1 - \theta^d)} \chi_{D_{\phi, \theta} \cap P} \frac{\det(dd^c \phi)}{(2\pi)^d}$. By the upper bound (4.20) we have $\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} f_N \leq f$ almost everywhere and by (4.24) and (4.25) we have $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{C}^d} f_N \omega_d = \int_{\mathbb{C}^d} f \omega_d$. Thus by Lemma 4.8 we get the convergence of $\frac{1}{d(N, \theta)} K_N$ to $\frac{1}{(1 - \theta^d)} \chi_{D_{\phi, \theta} \cap P} \frac{\det(dd^c \phi)}{(2\pi)^d}$ in $L^1(\mathbb{C}^d)$. This implies the weak-* convergence of $\frac{1}{d(N, \theta)} K_N \omega_d$ to $\frac{1}{(1 - \theta^d)} \chi_{D_{\phi, \theta} \cap P} \frac{\det(dd^c \phi)}{(2\pi)^d} \omega_d$ and completes the proof of the theorem. \square

REFERENCES

- [BB] Robert Berman and Sebastien Boucksom, *Capacities and weighted volumes of line bundles*, arXiv:math/0803.1950v1.
- [Ber] Robert Berman, *Bergman kernels for weighted polynomials and weighted equilibrium measures of \mathbb{C}^d* , arXiv:math/0702357v2.
- [Ber06] ———, *Super Toeplitz operators on line bundles*, J. Geom. Anal. **16** (2006), no. 1, 1–22. MR MR2211329 (2007f:32027)
- [BG91] Carlos A. Berenstein and Roger Gay, *Complex variables: An introduction*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 125, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [BL03] T. Bloom and N. Levenberg, *Weighted pluripotential theory in \mathbf{C}^N* , Amer. J. Math. **125** (2003), no. 1, 57–103.

- [Blo06] Thomas Bloom, *Weighted polynomials and weighted pluripotential theory*, arXiv:math/0610330v2 (2006).
- [BS07] Thomas Bloom and Bernard Shiffman, *Zeros of random polynomials on \mathbb{C}^m* , Math. Res. Lett. **14** (2007), no. 3, 469–479.
- [Cal06] Joe Callaghan, *A Green's function for θ -incomplete polynomials*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Toronto, 2006.
- [Cal07] ———, *A Green's function for θ -incomplete polynomials*, Ann. Polon. Math. **90** (2007), no. 1, 21–35.
- [Dem87] Jean-Pierre Demailly, *Mesures de Monge-Ampère et mesures pluriharmoniques*, Math. Z. **194** (1987), no. 4, 519–564.
- [Dem92] ———, *Potential theory in several complex variables*, Available at <http://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/demailly/manuscripts/trento2.pdf>, 1992.
- [Kli91] Maciej Klimek, *Pluripotential theory*, London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, vol. 6, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1991, Oxford Science Publications.
- [Ran95] Thomas Ransford, *Potential theory in the complex plane*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, vol. 28, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [Sic81] Józef Siciak, *Extremal plurisubharmonic functions in \mathbb{C}^n* , Ann. Polon. Math. **39** (1981), 175–211.
- [ST97] Edward B. Saff and Vilmos Totik, *Logarithmic potentials with external fields*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 316, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997, Appendix B by Thomas Bloom.
- [Zér85] Ahmed Zériaoui, *Capacité, constante de Čebyšev et polynômes orthogonaux associés à un compact de \mathbb{C}^n* , Bull. Sci. Math. (2) **109** (1985), no. 3, 325–335.

INDIANA UNIVERSITY, BLOOMINGTON, IN 47405 USA

E-mail address: malan@indiana.edu