

Rashba coupling in quantum dots in the presence of magnetic field

V.V. Kudryashov*

*Institute of Physics, National Academy of Sciences of Belarus
68 Nezavisimosti Ave., 220072, Minsk, Belarus*

We present an analytical solution to the Schrödinger equation for electron in a two-dimensional circular quantum dot in the presence of both external magnetic field and the Rashba spin-orbit interaction. The confinement is described by the realistic potential well of finite depth.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ge, 71.70.Ej, 73.21.La

Keywords: quantum dot, Rashba spin-orbit interaction, magnetic field, exact wave functions

I. INTRODUCTION

The Schrödinger equation describing electron in a two-dimensional quantum dot normal to the z axis is of the form

$$\left(\frac{\mathbf{P}^2}{2M_{eff}} + V_c(x, y) + V_R + V_Z \right) \Psi = E\Psi, \quad (1)$$

where M_{eff} is the effective electron mass. The vector potential $\mathbf{A} = \frac{B}{2}(-y, x, 0)$ of a magnetic field oriented perpendicular to the plane of the quantum dot leads to the generalized momentum $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{p} + \frac{e}{c}\mathbf{A}$. We have the usual expression for the Zeeman interaction

$$V_Z = \frac{1}{2}g\mu_B B\sigma_z, \quad (2)$$

where g represents the effective gyromagnetic factor, μ_B is the Bohr's magneton. The Rashba spin-orbit interaction [1, 2] is represented as

$$V_R = a_R(\sigma_x P_y - \sigma_y P_x). \quad (3)$$

The Pauli spin-matrices are defined as standard,

$$\sigma_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

A confining potential is usually assumed to be symmetric, $V_c(x, y) = V_c(\rho)$, $\rho = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$. There are two model potentials which are widely employed in this area. The first is a harmonic oscillator potential [3, 4]. Such a model admits the approximate (not exact) solutions of Eq. (1). The second model is a circular quantum dot with hard walls [5, 6] $V_c(\rho) = 0$ for $\rho < \rho_0$, $V_c(\rho) = \infty$ for $\rho > \rho_0$. This model is exactly solvable. In the framework of above models the number of allowed energy levels is infinite for the fixed total angular momentum in the absence of a magnetic field.

In this paper, we propose new model which corresponds to a circular quantum dot with a potential well of finite depth: $V_c(\rho) = 0$ for $\rho < \rho_0$, $V_c(\rho) = V = \text{constant}$ for $\rho > \rho_0$. Our model is exactly solvable and the number of admissible energy levels is finite for the fixed total angular momentum in the absence of a magnetic field. The present solutions contain, as limiting cases, our previous results [7] (no external magnetic field).

II. ANALYTICAL SOLUTIONS OF THE SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION

The Schrödinger equation (1) is considered in the cylindrical coordinates $x = \rho \cos \varphi$, $y = \rho \sin \varphi$. Further it is convenient to employ dimensionless quantities

$$r = \frac{\rho}{\rho_0}, \quad \epsilon = \frac{2M_{eff}}{\hbar^2} \rho_0^2 E, \quad v = \frac{2M_{eff}}{\hbar^2} \rho_0^2 V, \quad a = \frac{2M_{eff}}{\hbar} \rho_0 a_R, \quad b = \frac{eB\rho_0^2}{2c\hbar}, \quad s = \frac{gM_{eff}}{4M_e}. \quad (4)$$

*Electronic address: kudryash@dragon.bas-net.by

Here M_e is the electron mass. As it was shown in [5] equation (1) permits the separation of variables

$$\Psi_m(r, \varphi) = u(r)e^{im\varphi} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + w(r)e^{i(m+1)\varphi} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots \quad (5)$$

due to conservation of the total angular momentum $L_z + \frac{\hbar}{2}\sigma_z$.

We have the following radial equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2u}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{du}{dr} + (\epsilon - v)u - \frac{m^2}{r^2}u - 2bmu - b^2r^2u - 4sbu \\ = a \left(\frac{dw}{dr} + \frac{m+1}{r}w + brw \right) = 0, \\ \frac{d^2w}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{dw}{dr} + (\epsilon - v)w - \frac{(m+1)^2}{r^2}w - 2b(m+1)w - b^2r^2w + 4sbw \\ = a \left(-\frac{du}{dr} + \frac{m}{r}u + bru \right) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

In [5, 6], the requirements $u(1) = w(1) = 0$ were imposed. In our model, we look for the radial wave functions $u(r)$ and $w(r)$ regular at the origin $r = 0$ and decreasing at infinity $r \rightarrow \infty$.

Following [6] we use the substitutions

$$u(r) = \exp\left(\frac{-br^2}{2}\right) r^{|m|} f(br^2), \quad w(r) = \exp\left(\frac{-br^2}{2}\right) r^{|m+1|} g(br^2) \quad (7)$$

which lead to the confluent hypergeometric equations in the case $a = 0$. Therefore we attempt to express the desired solutions of Eq. (6) via the confluent hypergeometric functions when $a \neq 0$.

We consider two regions $r < 1$ (region 1) and $r > 1$ (region 2) separately.

In the region 1 ($v = 0$), using the known properties

$$\begin{aligned} M(\alpha, \beta, \xi) - \frac{dM(\alpha, \beta, \xi)}{d\xi} = \frac{\beta - \alpha}{\beta} M(\alpha, \beta + 1, \xi), \\ (\beta - 1 - \xi)M(\alpha, \beta, \xi) + \xi \frac{dM(\alpha, \beta, \xi)}{d\xi} = (\beta - 1)M(\alpha - 1, \beta - 1, \xi) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

of the confluent hypergeometric functions $M(\alpha, \beta, \xi)$ of the first kind [8] it is easily to show that the suitable particular solutions of the radial equations are

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(r) = \exp\left(\frac{-br^2}{2}\right) r^{|m|} (c_{1-}f_{1-}(r) + c_{1+}f_{1+}(r)), \\ w_1(r) = \exp\left(\frac{-br^2}{2}\right) r^{|m+1|} \left(\frac{a}{2\sqrt{b}}\right) (c_{1-}g_{1-}(r) + c_{1+}g_{1+}(r)), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_{1\mp}(r) = M(m + 1 - k_1^\mp, m + 1, br^2), \\ g_{1\mp}(r) = \left(\frac{k_1^\mp}{m + 1}\right) \frac{M(m + 1 - k_1^\mp, m + 2, br^2)}{(-k_1^\mp + (4b)^{-1}\epsilon + s - 1/2)} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

for $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{1\mp}(r) = M(1 - k_1^\mp, -m + 1, br^2), \\ g_{1\mp}(r) = m \frac{M(-k_1^\mp, -m + 1, br^2)}{(-k_1^\mp + (4b)^{-1}\epsilon + s - 1/2)} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

for $m = -1, -2, -3, \dots$ and

$$k_1^\pm = \frac{1}{4b} \left(\epsilon + \frac{a^2}{2} \pm a \sqrt{\epsilon + \frac{a^2}{4} + \left(\frac{4b}{a}\right)^2 (s - 1/2)^2} \right). \quad (12)$$

Here c_{1-} and c_{1+} are arbitrary coefficients. The functions $u_1(r)$ and $w_1(r)$ have the desirable behavior at the origin.

In the region 2 ($v > 0$), using the known properties

$$\begin{aligned} U(\alpha, \beta, \xi) - \frac{dU(\alpha, \beta, \xi)}{d\xi} &= U(\alpha, \beta + 1, \xi), \\ (\beta - 1 - \xi)U(\alpha, \beta, \xi) + \xi \frac{dU(\alpha, \beta, \xi)}{d\xi} &= -U(\alpha - 1, \beta - 1, \xi) \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

of the confluent hypergeometric functions $U(\alpha, \beta, \xi)$ of the second kind [8] it is simply to get the suitable real solutions of the radial equations:

$$\begin{aligned} u_2(r) &= \exp\left(\frac{-br^2}{2}\right) r^{|m|} (c_{2-} f_{2-}(r) + c_{2+} f_{2+}(r)), \\ w_2(r) &= \exp\left(\frac{-br^2}{2}\right) r^{|m+1|} \left(\frac{a}{2\sqrt{b}}\right) (c_{2-} g_{2-}(r) + c_{2+} g_{2+}(r)), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_{2\mp}(r) &= \frac{\sqrt{\mp 1}}{2} (U(m+1 - k_2^-, m+1, br^2) \mp U(m+1 - k_2^+, m+1, br^2)), \\ g_{2\mp}(r) &= \frac{\sqrt{\mp 1}}{2} \left(\frac{U(m+1 - k_2^-, m+2, br^2)}{(-k_2^- + (4b)^{-1}(\epsilon - v) + s - 1/2)} \mp \frac{U(m+1 - k_2^+, m+2, br^2)}{(-k_2^+ + (4b)^{-1}(\epsilon - v) + s - 1/2)} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

for $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{2\mp}(r) &= \frac{\sqrt{\mp 1}}{2} (U(1 - k_2^-, -m+1, br^2) \mp U(1 - k_2^+, -m+1, br^2)), \\ g_{2\mp}(r) &= \frac{\sqrt{\mp 1}}{2} \left(\frac{U(-k_2^-, -m, br^2)}{(-k_2^- + (4b)^{-1}(\epsilon - v) + s - 1/2)} \mp \frac{U(-k_2^+, -m, br^2)}{(-k_2^+ + (4b)^{-1}(\epsilon - v) + s - 1/2)} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

for $m = -1, -2, -3, \dots$ and

$$k_2^\pm = \frac{1}{4b} \left(\epsilon - v + \frac{a^2}{2} \pm ia \sqrt{v - \epsilon - \frac{a^2}{4} - \left(\frac{4b}{a}\right)^2 (s - 1/2)^2} \right). \quad (17)$$

Here c_{2-} and c_{2+} are arbitrary coefficients. The functions $u_2(r)$ and $w_2(r)$ have the appropriate behavior at infinity.

We assume the realization of condition

$$\epsilon < v^* = v - \frac{a^2}{4} - \left(\frac{4b}{a}\right)^2 (s - 1/2)^2 \quad (18)$$

which means that electron belongs to a quantum dot. We can also obtain the exact solutions when $\epsilon > v^*$. However, in this case we cannot consider electron as belonging to a quantum dot.

The continuity conditions

$$u_1(1) - u_2(1) = 0, \quad w_1(1) - w_2(1) = 0, \quad u'_1(1) - u'_2(1) = 0, \quad w'_1(1) - w'_2(1) = 0 \quad (19)$$

for the radial wave functions and their derivatives at the boundary point $r = 1$ lead to the algebraic equations

$$T_4(m, \epsilon, v, a, b, s) \begin{pmatrix} c_{1-} \\ c_{1+} \\ c_{2-} \\ c_{2+} \end{pmatrix} = 0 \quad (20)$$

for coefficients c_{1-}, c_{1+}, c_{2-} and c_{2+} where

$$T_4(m, \epsilon, v, a, b, s) = \begin{pmatrix} f_{1-}(1) & f_{1+}(1) & -f_{2-}(1) & -f_{2+}(1) \\ g_{1-}(1) & g_{1+}(1) & -g_{2-}(1) & -g_{2+}(1) \\ f'_{1-}(1) & f'_{1+}(1) & -f'_{2-}(1) & -f'_{2+}(1) \\ g'_{1-}(1) & g'_{1+}(1) & -g'_{2-}(1) & -g'_{2+}(1) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (21)$$

Hence, the exact equation for energy $\epsilon(m, v, a, b, s)$ is

$$\det T_4(m, \epsilon, v, a, b, s) = 0. \quad (22)$$

This equation is solved numerically.

The desired coefficients are

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_{1+} \\ c_{2-} \\ c_{2+} \end{pmatrix} = c_{1-} T_3^{-1}(m, \epsilon, v, a, b, s) \begin{pmatrix} -f_{1-}(1) \\ -g_{1-}(1) \\ -f'_{1-}(1) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (23)$$

where

$$T_3(m, \epsilon, v, a, b, s) = \begin{pmatrix} f_{1+}(1) & -f_{2-}(1) & -f_{2+}(1) \\ g_{1+}(1) & -g_{2-}(1) & -g_{2+}(1) \\ f'_{1+}(1) & -f'_{2-}(1) & -f'_{2+}(1) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (24)$$

The value of c_{1-} is determined by the following normalization condition $\int_0^\infty (u^2(r) + w^2(r)) r dr = 1$.

III. NUMERICAL AND GRAPHIC ILLUSTRATIONS

Now we present some numerical and graphic illustrations in addition to the analytical results for the ground and first excited states in the particular cases $m = 1, m = -2$ at fixed $s = 0.05$.

Tables show the energies ϵ for different values of the Rashba parameter a , the well depth v and the magnetic field b .



Figures demonstrate the examples of continuous radial wave functions for $v = 100, a = 2, b = 5$. Solid lines correspond to the functions $u(r)$ and dashed lines correspond to the functions $w(r)$. We see that the radial wave functions rapidly decrease outside the well. The values of coefficients are $c_{1-} = -1.12025, c_{1+} = 14.2515, c_{2-} = -50929.1$ and $c_{2+} = 15539.3$ in the case of Fig. 1 and $c_{1-} = 0.21402, c_{1+} = 8.36721, c_{2-} = 102096$ and $c_{2+} = -7322.33$ in the case of Fig. 2.

TABLE I: Energy levels for $m = 1$.

$v = 50$					$v = 100$			
b	$a = 1$	$a = 2$			$a = 1$	$a = 2$		
0	10.23	20.31	7.97	20.73	11.16	22.08	8.85	22.59
0.5	11.33	22.50	8.84	23.09	12.25	24.24	9.73	24.94
1	12.65	24.95	9.92	25.70	13.55	26.64	10.81	27.53
1.5	14.18	27.68	11.23	28.52	15.05	29.29	12.08	30.32
2	15.93	30.67	12.74	31.54	16.74	32.18	13.56	33.32
2.5	17.88		14.47	34.68	18.62	35.30	15.23	36.47
3			16.39	37.92	20.68	38.65	17.08	39.75
3.5			18.49		22.90	42.20	19.10	43.11
4			20.76		25.27	45.94	21.28	46.47
4.5			23.16		27.77		23.61	49.82
5			25.70				26.07	53.17
5.5							28.65	56.57
6							31.32	60.10

TABLE II: Energy levels for $m = -2$.

$v = 50$				$v = 100$				
b	$a = 1$	$a = 2$		$a = 1$	$a = 2$			
0	10.23	20.31	7.97	20.73	11.16	22.08	8.85	22.59
0.5	9.36	18.41	7.33	18.63	10.26	20.18	8.18	20.47
1	8.71	16.79	6.89	16.79	9.57	18.52	7.70	18.60
1.5	8.26	15.45	6.66	15.21	9.08	17.12	7.40	16.98
2	8.02	14.37	6.61	13.91	8.77	15.96	7.29	15.59
2.5	7.96	13.56	6.74	12.87	8.63	15.05	7.33	14.45
3	8.08	12.99	7.01	12.08	8.66	14.36	7.53	13.55
3.5	8.34		7.42	11.53	8.84	13.90	7.86	12.87
4			7.94	11.21	9.15	13.64	8.31	12.41
4.5			8.55	11.09	9.58	13.58	8.86	12.15
5			9.24	11.18	10.11	13.70	9.50	12.08
5.5			9.98	11.43			10.20	12.19
6			10.77	11.84			10.95	12.46

FIG. 1: Radial wave functions for $m = 1, e = 53.1715$.FIG. 2: Radial wave functions for $m = -2, e = 12.0827$.

IV. CONCLUSION

So, we have constructed new exactly solvable and physically adequate model to describe the behavior of electron in a semiconductor quantum dot with account of the Rashba spin-orbit interaction and the external magnetic field.

-
- [1] E.I. Rashba, *Fiz. Tverd. Tela (Leningrad)* **2**, 1224 (1960) [*Sov. Phys. Solid State* **2**, 1109 (1960)].
 - [2] Yu.A. Bychkov and E.I. Rashba, *J. Phys. C* **17**, 6039 (1984).
 - [3] M. Valin-Rodriguez, *Phys. Rev. B* **70**, 033306 (2004).
 - [4] W.H. Kuan, C.S. Tang and W. Xu, *J. Appl. Phys.* **95**, 6368 (2004).
 - [5] E.N. Bulgakov and A.F. Sadreev, *Pis'ma v ZhETF* **73**, 577 (2001) [*JETP Lett.* **73**, 505 (2001)].
 - [6] E. Tsitsishvili, G.S. Lozano and A.O. Gogolin, *Phys. Rev. B* **70**, 115316 (2004).
 - [7] V.V. Kudryashov, *Foundations and Advances in Nonlinear Science. Proceedings of the 13th International Conference and School, Minsk, 2006*, pp. 125-130.
 - [8] M. Abramovitz and I.A. Stegun (eds.), *Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (Dover Publications, New York, 1970).