THE VACUUM STRUCTURE, SPECIAL RELATIVITY AND QUANTUM MECHANICS REVISITED: A FIELD THEORY NO-GEOMETRY APPROACH WITHIN THE LAGRANGIAN AND HAMILTONIAN FORMALISMS. Part 2

Nikolai N. Bogolubov (Jr.) [1](#page-0-0)

The V.A. Steklov Mathematical Institute of RAN, Moscow, Russian Federation and

The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy,

Anatoliy K. Prykarpatsky [2](#page-0-1)

The AGH University of Science and Technology, Kraków 30-059, Poland, and

The Ivan Franko State Pedagogical University, Drohobych, Lviv region, Ukraine

Abstract

The work is devoted to studying the vacuum structure, special relativity, electrodynamics of interacting charged point particles and quantum mechanics, and is a continuation of [\[6,](#page-10-0) [7\]](#page-10-1). Based on the vacuum field theory no-geometry approach, the Lagrangian and Hamiltonian reformulation of some alternative classical electrodynamics models is devised. The Dirac type quantization procedure, based on the canonical Hamiltonian formulation, is developed for some alternative electrodynamics models. Within an approach developed a possibility of the combined description both of electrodynamics and gravity is analyzed.

1. INTRODUCTION

The classical relativistic electrodynamics of a freely moving charged point particle in the Minkovski space-time $\mathbb{M}^4 := \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ is, as well known, based [\[11,](#page-10-2) [5,](#page-10-3) [25,](#page-10-4) [9\]](#page-10-5) on the Lagrangian formalism assigning to it the following Lagrangian function

(1.1)
$$
\mathcal{L} := -m_0 (1 - u^2)^{1/2},
$$

where $m_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ is the so-called particle rest mass and $u \in \mathbb{E}^3$ is its spatial velocity in the Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^3 , expressed here and throughout further in the light speed units (that is the light speed equals $c = 1$). The least action Fermat principle in the form

(1.2)
$$
\delta S = 0, \quad S := -\int_{t_1}^{t_2} m_0 (1 - u^2)^{1/2} dt
$$

for any fixed temporal interval $[t_1, t_2] \subset \mathbb{R}$ gives rise to the well known relativistic relationships for the mass of the particle

$$
(1.3) \t\t m = m_0 (1 - u^2)^{-1/2},
$$

the momentum of the particle

(1.4)
$$
p := mu = m_0 u (1 - u^2)^{-1/2}
$$

and the energy of the particle

(1.5)
$$
E_0 = m = m_0 (1 - u^2)^{-1/2}.
$$

¹nikolai bogolubov@hotmail.com ²pryk.anat@ua.fm, prykanat@cybergal.com

The origin of Lagrangian [\(1.1\)](#page-0-2), owing to the reasonings from [\[11,](#page-10-2) [25\]](#page-10-4), can be extracted from the action expression

(1.6)
$$
S := -\int_{t_1}^{t_2} m_0 (1 - u^2)^{1/2} dt = -\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} m_0 d\tau,
$$

on the suitable temporal interval $[\tau_1, \tau_2] \subset \mathbb{R}$, where, by definition, $d\tau := dt(1 - u^2)^{1/2}$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ is, socalled, proper temporal parameter assigned to a freely moving particle with respect to the "rest" reference system. The action [\(1.6\)](#page-1-0) looks from the dynamical point of view sightly controversial, since it is physically defined with respect to the "rest" reference system, giving rise to the constant action $S = -m_0(\tau_2 - \tau_1)$, as limits of integrations $\tau_1 < \tau_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ were taken to be fixed from the very beginning. Moreover, considering this particle as charged with a charge $q \in \mathbb{R}$ and moving in the Minkovski space-time \mathbb{M}^4 under action of an electromagnetic field $(\varphi, A) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{E}^3$, the corresponding classical (relativistic) action functional is chosen (see $[11, 5, 25, 9]$ $[11, 5, 25, 9]$ $[11, 5, 25, 9]$ $[11, 5, 25, 9]$) as follows:

(1.7)
$$
S := \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} [-m_0 d\tau + q < A, \dot{r} > d\tau - q\varphi (1 - u^2)^{-1/2} d\tau],
$$

with respect to the so-called "rest" reference system, parameterized by the Euclidean space-time variables $(r, \tau) \in \mathbb{E}^4$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the standard scalar product in the related Euclidean subspace \mathbb{E}^3 and there is denoted $\dot{r} := dr/d\tau$ in contrast to the definition $u := dr/dt$. The action [\(1.7\)](#page-1-1) can be rewritten, with respect to the moving with velocity vector $u \in \mathbb{R}^3$ reference system, as

(1.8)
$$
S = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mathcal{L} dt, \quad \mathcal{L} := -m_0 (1 - u^2)^{1/2} + q < A, u > -q\varphi,
$$

on the suitable temporal interval $[t_1, t_2] \subset \mathbb{R}$, giving rise to the following [\[11,](#page-10-2) [5,](#page-10-3) [25,](#page-10-4) [9\]](#page-10-5) dynamical expressions

$$
(1.9) \t\t P = p + qA, \t p = mu,
$$

for the particle momentum and

(1.10)
$$
E_0 = [m_0^2 + (P - qA)^2]^{1/2} + q\varphi
$$

for the particle energy, where, by definition, $P \in \mathbb{E}^3$ means the common momentum of the particle and the ambient electromagnetic field at a space-time point $(r, t) \in M⁴$.

The obtained expression (1.10) for the particle energy E_0 also looks slightly controversial, since the potential energy $q\varphi$, entering additively, has no impact into the particle mass $m = m_0(1 - u^2)^{-1/2}$. As it was already mentioned [\[14\]](#page-10-6) by L. Brillouin, the fact that the potential energy has no impact to the particle mass says us that "... any possibility of existing the particle mass related with an external potential energy, is completely excluded". This and some other special relativity theory and electrodynamics problems, as is well known, stimulated many other prominent physicists of the past [\[14,](#page-10-6) [4,](#page-10-7) [26,](#page-10-8) [25,](#page-10-4) [18\]](#page-10-9) and the present [\[28,](#page-10-10) [27,](#page-10-11) [24,](#page-10-12) [23,](#page-10-13) [22,](#page-10-14) [21,](#page-10-15) [19,](#page-10-16) [17,](#page-10-17) [29\]](#page-10-18) to make significant efforts aiming to develop alternative relativity theories based on completely different space-time and matter structure principles.

There also is another controversial inference from the action expression [\(1.8\)](#page-1-3). As one can easily show [\[11\]](#page-10-2), the corresponding dynamical equation for the Lorentz force is given as follows:

$$
(1.11) \t\t dp/dt = F := qE + qu \times B,
$$

where the operation " \times " denotes the standard vector product and we put, by definition,

$$
(1.12)\qquad \qquad E := -\partial A/\partial t - \nabla \varphi
$$

for the related electric field and

$$
(1.13)\t\t\t B := \nabla \times A
$$

for the related magnetic field, acting on the charged point particle q; the operation " ∇ " is the standard gradient. The obtained expression (1.11) means, in particular, that the Lorentz force F depends linearly on the particle velocity vector $u \in \mathbb{E}^3$, giving rise to its strong dependence on the reference system with respect to which the charged particle q moves. Namely, the attempts to reconcile this and some related controversies [\[14,](#page-10-6) [4,](#page-10-7) [17,](#page-10-17) [20\]](#page-10-19) forced A. Einstein to devise his special relativity theory and proceed further to

creating his general relativity theory trying to explain the gravity by means of a geometrization of spacetime and matter in the Universe. Here we must mention that the classical Lagrangian function \mathcal{L} in [\(1.8\)](#page-1-3) is written by means of the mixed combinations of terms expressed by means of both the Euclidean "rest" reference system variables $(r, \tau) \in \mathbb{E}^{4}$ and an arbitrarily chosen reference system variables $(r, t) \in \mathbb{M}^{4}$. There is, by now, [\[25,](#page-10-4) [11,](#page-10-2) [20\]](#page-10-19) no physically reasonable explanation of this Lagrangian function choice, except of the very interesting reasonings by R. Feynman who argued in [\[5\]](#page-10-3) that the relativistic expression for the classical Lorentz force [\(1.11\)](#page-1-4) has physical sense only with respect to the "rest" reference system variables $(r, \tau) \in \mathbb{E}^4$.

These problems were recently analyzed from a completely another "no-geometry" point of view in [\[6,](#page-10-0) [7,](#page-10-1) [17\]](#page-10-17), where new dynamical equations were derived, being free of controversy mentioned above. Moreover, the devised approach allowed to avoid the introduction of the well known Lorentz transformations of the space-time reference systems with respect to which the action functional [\(1.8\)](#page-1-3) is invariant. Below we will reanalyze the results obtained in [\[6,](#page-10-0) [7\]](#page-10-1) from the classical Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formalisms, what will shed a new light on the related physical backgrounds of the vacuum field theory approach to common studying electromagnetic and gravitational effects.

2. The vacuum field theory electrodynamics equations: Lagrangian analysis

Within the vacuum field theory approach to common describing the electromagnetism and the gravity, devised in [\[6,](#page-10-0) [7\]](#page-10-1), the main vacuum potential field \bar{W} : M⁴ $\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, related with a charged point particle q, satisfies in the case of the rested external charged point objects the following dynamical equation

(2.1)
$$
\frac{d}{dt}(-\bar{W}u) = -\nabla \bar{W},
$$

where, as above, $u := dr/dt$ is the particle velocity with respect to some reference system.

To analyze the dynamical equation [\(2.1\)](#page-2-0) from the Lagrangian point of view we will write the corresponding action functional as

(2.2)
$$
S := -\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \bar{W} dt = -\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \bar{W} (1 + \dot{r}^2)^{1/2} d\tau,
$$

expressed with respect to the "rest" reference system. Having fixed proper temporal parameters $\tau_1 < \tau_2 \in$ R, from the least action condition $\delta S = 0$ one finds easily that

(2.3)
$$
p : = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{r}} = -\bar{W}\dot{r}(1 + \dot{r}^2)^{-1/2} = -\bar{W}u,
$$

$$
\dot{p} : = dp/d\tau = \partial \mathcal{L}/\partial r = -\nabla \bar{W}(1 + \dot{r}^2)^{1/2},
$$

where, owing to [\(2.2\)](#page-2-1), the corresponding Lagrangian function

(2.4)
$$
\mathcal{L} := -\bar{W}(1 + \dot{r}^2)^{1/2}.
$$

Recalling now the definition of the particle mass

and the relationships

(2.6)
$$
d\tau = dt(1 - u^2)^{1/2}, \ \dot{r}d\tau = u dt,
$$

from [\(2.3\)](#page-2-2) we easily obtain exactly the dynamical equation [\(2.1\)](#page-2-0).

Proceed now to the case when our charged point particle q moves in the space time with velocity vector $u \in \mathbb{E}^3$ and interacts with another external charged point particle, moving with velocity vector $v \in \mathbb{E}^3$ subject to some common reference system K . As was shown in [\[6,](#page-10-0) [7\]](#page-10-1), the corresponding dynamical equation on the vacuum potential field function \bar{W} : $\mathbb{M}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given as

 $m := -\bar{W}$

(2.7)
$$
\frac{d}{dt}[-\bar{W}(u-v)]=-\nabla\bar{W}.
$$

As the external charged particle moves in the space-time, it generates the related magnetic field $B := \nabla \times A$, whose magnetic vector potential $A : \mathbb{M}^4 \to \mathbb{E}^3$ is defined, owing to the results of [\[6,](#page-10-0) [7,](#page-10-1) [17\]](#page-10-17), as

$$
(2.8) \t\t qA := \bar{W}v.
$$

Since, owing to [\(2.3\)](#page-2-2), the particle momentum $p = -\overline{W}u$, equation [\(2.7\)](#page-2-3) can be equivalently rewritten as

(2.9)
$$
\frac{d}{dt}(p+qA) = -\nabla \bar{W}.
$$

To represent the dynamical equation [\(2.9\)](#page-2-4) within the classical Lagrangian formalism, we start from the following action functional naturally generalizing functional [\(2.2\)](#page-2-1):

(2.10)
$$
S := -\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \bar{W}(1+|\dot{r}-\dot{\xi}^2|)^{1/2} d\tau,
$$

where we denoted by $\dot{\xi} = v dt/d\tau$, $d\dot{\tau} = dt(1 - |u - v|^2)^{1/2}$, which take into account the relative velocity of our charged point particle q with respect to the reference system K', moving with velocity vector $v \in \mathbb{E}^3$ subject to the reference system K. In this case, evidently, our charged point particle q moves with velocity vector $u - v \in \mathbb{E}^3$ subject to the reference system K', and the external charged particle is, respectively, in rest.

Compute now the least action variational condition $\delta S = 0$, taking into account that, owing to [\(2.10\)](#page-3-0), the corresponding Lagrangian function is given as

(2.11)
$$
\mathcal{L} := -\bar{W}(1+|\dot{r}-\dot{\xi}^2|)^{1/2}.
$$

Thereby, the common particles momentum

(2.12)
$$
P : = \partial \mathcal{L} / \partial \dot{r} = -\bar{W} (\dot{r} - \dot{\xi}) (1 + |\dot{r} - \dot{\xi}^2|^2)^{-1/2} =
$$

$$
= -\bar{W} \dot{r} (1 + |\dot{r} - \dot{\xi}^2|^2)^{-1/2} + \bar{W} \dot{\xi} (1 + |\dot{r} - \dot{\xi}^2|^2)^{-1/2} =
$$

$$
= mu + qA := p + qA
$$

and the dynamical equation is given as

(2.13)
$$
\frac{d}{d\tau}(p+qA) = -\nabla \bar{W}(1+|\dot{r}-\dot{\xi}^2|)^{1/2}.
$$

Taking into account that $d\tau = dt(1 - |u - v|^2)^{1/2}$ and $(1 + |\dot{r} - \dot{\xi}^2|^2)^{1/2}$, we obtain finally from [\(2.13\)](#page-3-1) exactly the dynamical equation [\(2.9\)](#page-2-4).

Remark 2.1. It is easy to observe that the action functional (2.10) is written taking into account the classical Galilean transformations of reference systems. If we now consider the action functional [\(2.2\)](#page-2-1) for a charged point particle and take into account its interaction with an external magnetic field, generated by the vector potential $A : \mathbb{M}^4 \to \mathbb{E}^3$, it can be naturally generalized as

(2.14)
$$
S := \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (-\bar{W}dt + q \langle A, dr \rangle) = \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} [-\bar{W}(1 + \dot{r}^2)^{1/2} + q \langle A, \dot{r} \rangle] d\tau,
$$

where we accepted here that $d\tau = dt(1 - u^2)^{1/2}$.

Thus, the corresponding common particle-field momentum looks as follows:

(2.15)
$$
P : = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{r}} = -\bar{W}\dot{r}(1 + \dot{r}^2)^{-1/2} + qA =
$$

$$
= m u + qA := p + qA,
$$

satisfying the equation

(2.16)
$$
dP/d\tau := \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial r} = -\nabla \bar{W} (1 + \dot{r}^2)^{1/2} + q \nabla < A, \dot{r} >= \\ = -\nabla \bar{W} (1 - u^2)^{-1/2} + q \nabla < A, u > (1 - u^2)^{-1/2},
$$

where

(2.17)
$$
\mathcal{L} := -\bar{W}(1 + \dot{r}^2)^{1/2} + q < A, \dot{r} > 0
$$

is the corresponding Lagrangian function. Taking now into account that $d\tau = dt(1 - u^2)^{1/2}$, one easily finds from [\(2.16\)](#page-3-2) that

(2.18)
$$
dP/dt = -\nabla \bar{W} + q\nabla < A, u \geq 0.
$$

Upon substituting [\(2.15\)](#page-3-3) into [\(2.18\)](#page-3-4) and making use of the well known [\[11\]](#page-10-2) identity

(2.19)
$$
\nabla < a, b > = < a, \nabla > b + < b, \nabla > a + b \times (\nabla \times a) + a \times (\nabla \times b),
$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{E}^3$ are arbitrary vector functions, we obtain finally the classical expression for the Lorentz force F , acting on the moving charged point particle q :

4

$$
(2.20) \t\t dp/dt := F = qE + qu \times B,
$$

where, by definition,

(2.21) $E := -\nabla \bar{W}q^{-1} - \partial A/\partial t$

is the corresponding electric field and

 $(B := \nabla \times A)$

is the corresponding magnetic field.

Concerning the previously obtained dynamical equation [\(2.13\)](#page-3-1) we can easily observe that it can be equivalently rewritten as follows:

(2.23)
$$
dp/dt = \left(-\nabla \bar{W} - q dA/dt + q\nabla \langle A, u \rangle\right) - q\nabla \langle A, u \rangle.
$$

The latter, owing to [\(2.18\)](#page-3-4) and [\(2.20\)](#page-3-5), takes finally the following Lorentz type force in the form

$$
(2.24) \t\t dp/dt = qE + qu \times B - q\nabla < A, u >,
$$

before found in [\[6,](#page-10-0) [7,](#page-10-1) [17\]](#page-10-17).

Expressions [\(2.20\)](#page-3-5) and [\(2.24\)](#page-4-0) are equal to each other up to the gradient term $F_c := -q\nabla < A, u >$, which allows to reconcile the Lorentz forces acting on a charged moving particle q with respect to different reference systems. This fact is important for our vacuum field theory approach since it needs to use no special geometry and makes it possible to analyze both electromagnetic and gravitational fields simultaneously, based on a new definition of the dynamical mass by means of expression [\(2.5\)](#page-2-5).

3. The vacuum field theory electrodynamics equations: Hamiltonian analysis

It is well know [\[1,](#page-10-20) [9,](#page-10-5) [2,](#page-10-21) [16,](#page-10-22) [8\]](#page-10-23) that any Lagrangian theory allows the equivalent canonical Hamiltonian representation via the classical Legendrian transformation. As we have already formulated above our vacuum field theory of a moving charged particle q in the Lagrangian form, we proceed now to its Hamiltonian analysis making use of the action functionals [\(2.2\)](#page-2-1), [\(2.11\)](#page-3-6) and [\(2.14\)](#page-3-7).

Take, first, the Lagrangian function [\(2.4\)](#page-2-6) and the momentum expression [\(2.3\)](#page-2-2) for defining the corresponding Hamiltonian function

(3.1)
\n
$$
H := \langle p, \dot{r} \rangle - \mathcal{L} =
$$
\n
$$
= -\langle p, p \rangle \bar{W}^{-1} (1 - p^2 / \bar{W}^2)^{-1/2} + \bar{W} (1 - p^2 / \bar{W}^2)^{-1/2} =
$$
\n
$$
= -p^2 \bar{W} (1 - p^2 / \bar{W}^2)^{-1/2} + \bar{W}^2 \bar{W} (1 - p^2 / \bar{W}^2)^{-1/2} =
$$
\n
$$
= (\bar{W}^2 - p^2)(\bar{W}^2 - p^2)^{-1/2} = (\bar{W}^2 - p^2)^{1/2}.
$$

As a result, we easily obtain [\[2,](#page-10-21) [1,](#page-10-20) [9,](#page-10-5) [8\]](#page-10-23) that the Hamiltonian function [\(3.1\)](#page-4-1) is a conservation law of the dynamical field equation [\(2.1\)](#page-2-0), that is for all $\tau, t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
dH/dt = 0 = dH/d\tau,
$$

which naturally allows to interpret it as the energy expression. Thus, we can write that the particle energy

(3.3)
$$
E = (\bar{W}^2 - p^2)^{1/2}.
$$

The corresponding Hamiltonian equations, equivalent to the vacuum field equation [\(2.1\)](#page-2-0), look as follows:

(3.4)
$$
\dot{r} := \partial H / \partial p = -p(\bar{W}^2 - p^2)^{-1/2} \n\dot{p} := -\partial H / \partial r = -\bar{W} \nabla \bar{W} (\bar{W}^2 - p^2)^{-1/2}.
$$

Based now on the Lagrangian expression [\(2.1\)](#page-2-0) one can construct, the same way as above, the Hamiltonian function for the dynamical field equation (2.9) , describing the motion of charged particle q in external electromagnetic field in the canonical Hamiltonian form:

(3.5)
$$
\dot{r} := \partial H / \partial p, \qquad \dot{p} := -\partial H / \partial r,
$$

where

$$
H : = -\mathcal{L} =
$$

\n
$$
= +\bar{W}[\bar{W}^2(\bar{W}^2 - p^2)^{-1}]^{1/2} =
$$

\n
$$
= -P^2\bar{W}^{-1}(1 - P^2/\bar{W}^2)^{-1/2} + \bar{W}(1 - p^2/\bar{W}^2)^{-1/2} =
$$

\n
$$
= (\bar{W}^2 - p^2)(\bar{W}^2 - p^2)^{-1/2} + =
$$

\n
$$
= (\bar{W}^2 - P^2)^{1/2} + q < A, P > (\bar{W}^2 - P^2)^{-1/2}.
$$

Here we took into account that, owing to definitions [\(2.8\)](#page-2-7) and [\(2.12\)](#page-3-8),

(3.7)
$$
qA : = \bar{W}v = \bar{W}d\xi/dt =
$$

$$
= \bar{W}\frac{d\xi}{d\tau} \cdot \frac{d\tau}{dt} = \bar{W}\dot{\xi}(1 - |u - v|^2)^{1/2} =
$$

$$
= \bar{W}\dot{\xi}(1 + |\dot{r} - \dot{\xi}|^2)^{-1/2} =
$$

$$
= \bar{W}\dot{\xi}(\bar{W}^2 - P^2)^{1/2}\bar{W}^{-1} = \dot{\xi}(\bar{W}^2 - P^2)^{1/2},
$$

or

(3.8)
$$
\dot{\xi} = qA(\bar{W}^2 - P^2)^{-1/2},
$$

where $A: \mathbb{M}^4 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is the related magnetic vector potential, generated by the moving external charged particle.

Thereby we can state that the Hamiltonian function [\(3.6\)](#page-4-2) satisfies the energy conservation condition

$$
(3.9) \t dH/dt = 0 = dH/d\tau,
$$

for all $\tau, t \in \mathbb{R}$, that is the corresponding energy expression

(3.10)
$$
E = (\bar{W}^2 - P^2)^{1/2} + q < A, P > (\bar{W}^2 - P^2)^{-1/2}
$$

holds. The result (3.10) essentially differs from that obtained in [\[11\]](#page-10-2), which makes use of the well known Einsteinian Lagrangian for a moving charged point particle q in external electromagnetic field.

To make this difference more clear, we will analyze below the Lorentz force [\(2.20\)](#page-3-5) from the Hamiltonian point of view based on the Lagrangian function [\(2.17\)](#page-3-9). Thus, we obtain that the corresponding Hamiltonian function

(3.11)
$$
H := \langle P, \dot{r} \rangle - \mathcal{L} = \langle P, \dot{r} \rangle + \bar{W}(1 + \dot{r}^2)^{1/2} - q \langle A, \dot{r} \rangle =
$$

$$
= \langle P - qA, \dot{r} \rangle + \bar{W}(1 + \dot{r}^2)^{1/2} =
$$

$$
= -\langle p, p \rangle \bar{W}^{-1}(1 - p^2/\bar{W}^2)^{-1/2} + \bar{W}(1 - p^2/\bar{W}^2)^{-1/2} =
$$

$$
= (\bar{W}^2 - p^2)(\bar{W}^2 - p^2)^{-1/2} = (\bar{W}^2 - p^2)^{1/2}.
$$

Since $p = P - qA$, expression [\(3.11\)](#page-5-1) takes the final form as

(3.12)
$$
H = [\bar{W}^2 - (P - qA)^2]^{1/2},
$$

being conservative with respect to the evolution equations [\(2.15\)](#page-3-3) and [\(2.16\)](#page-3-2), that is

$$
(3.13)\t\t\t dH/dt = 0 = dH/d\tau
$$

for all $\tau, t \in \mathbb{R}$. The latter are simultaneously equivalent to the following Hamiltonian system:

(3.14)
$$
\dot{r} = \partial H/\partial P = (qA - P)[\bar{W}^2 - (P - qA)^2]^{1/2}, \n\dot{P} = -\partial H/\partial r = (-\bar{W}\nabla\bar{W} - \nabla < qA, (P - qA) >)[\bar{W}^2 - (P - qA)^2]^{1/2},
$$

that can be easily checked by direct calculations. Really, the first equation

(3.15)
$$
\dot{r} = (qA - p)[\bar{W}^2 - (p - qA)^2]^{-1/2} = -p(\bar{W}^2 - p^2)^{-1/2} =
$$

$$
= -mu(\bar{W}^2 - p^2)^{-1/2} = \bar{W}u(\bar{W}^2 - p^2)^{-1/2} = u(1 - u^2)^{-1/2}
$$

holds, owing to the condition $d\tau = dt(1 - u^2)^{1/2}$ and definitions $p := mu, m = -\overline{W}$, postulated from the very beginning. Similarly we obtain that

,

(3.16)
$$
\dot{P} = -\nabla \bar{W} (1 - p^2 / \bar{W}^2)^{-1/2} + \nabla < qA, \bar{W}u > (1 - p^2 / \bar{W}^2)^{-1/2} = -\nabla \bar{W} (1 - u^2)^{-1/2} + \nabla < qA, u > (1 - u^2)^{-1/2},
$$

exactly coinciding with equation [\(2.18\)](#page-3-4) subject to the evolution parameter $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

4. The quantization of electrodynamics models within the vacuum field theory no-geometry approach

4.1. The problem setting. In our recent works [\[6,](#page-10-0) [7\]](#page-10-1) there was devised a new regular no-geometry approach to deriving from the first principles the electrodynamics of a moving charged point particle q in external electromagnetic field. This approach has, in part, to reconcile the existing mass-energy controversy [\[14\]](#page-10-6) within the classical relativistic electrodynamics. Based on the vacuum field theory approach proposed in [\[6,](#page-10-0) [7,](#page-10-1) [17\]](#page-10-17) we reanalyzed this problem in sections above both from Lagrangian and Hamiltonian points of view having derived crucial expressions for the corresponding energy functions and Lorentz type forces, acting on moving charge point particle q.

Since all of our electrodynamics models were represented here in the canonical Hamiltonian form, they are suitable for applying to them the Dirac type quantization procedure [\[10,](#page-10-24) [3,](#page-10-25) [13\]](#page-10-26) and regular obtaining the related Schrödinger type evolution equations. Namely, to this problem there is devoted this Section.

4.2. Free point particle electrodynamics model and its quantization. The charged point particle electrodynamics models, discussed in detail in Sections 2 and 3, were also considered in [\[7\]](#page-10-1) from the dynamical point of view, where an attempt of application the quantization Dirac type procedure to the corresponding conserved energy expressions was done. Nevertheless, within the canonical point of view, the true quantization procedure should be based on the suitable canonical Hamiltonian formulation of the models, which in the case under consideration looks as [\(3.4\)](#page-4-3), [\(3.5\)](#page-4-4) and [\(3.14\)](#page-5-2).

In particular, consider a free charged point particle electrodynamics model, governed [\(3.4\)](#page-4-3) by the following Hamiltonian equations:

(4.1)
$$
dr/d\tau := \partial H/\partial p = -p(\bar{W}^2 - p^2)^{-1/2},
$$

$$
dp/d\tau := -\partial H/\partial r = -\bar{W}\nabla \bar{W}(\bar{W}^2 - p^2)^{-1/2}
$$

where we denoted, as before, by $\bar{W} : \mathbb{M}^4 \to \mathbb{R}$ the corresponding vacuum field potential, characterizing medium field structure, by $(r, p) \in \mathbb{E}^3 \times \mathbb{E}^3$ the standard canonical coordinate-momentum variables, by $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ the proper "rest" reference system \mathcal{K}_r time parameter, related with our moving particle, and by $H: \mathbb{E}^3 \times \mathbb{E}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ the Hamiltonian function

,

(4.2) H := (W¯ ² − p 2) 1/2 ,

expressed here and throughout further in the light speed units. The "rest" reference system \mathcal{K}_r , parameterized by variables $(r, \tau) \in \mathbb{E}^4$, is related with any other reference system K subject to which our charged point particle q moves with velocity vector $u \in \mathbb{E}^3$, and which is parameterized by variables $(r, t) \in \mathbb{M}^4$, via the following Euclidean infinitesimal relationship:

.

(4.3)
$$
dt^2 = d\tau^2 + dr^2,
$$

which is equivalent to the Minkovskian infinitesimal relationship

$$
(4.4) \t\t d\tau^2 = dt^2 - dr^2
$$

The Hamiltonian function [\(4.2\)](#page-6-0) satisfies, evidently, the energy conservation conditions

$$
(4.5) \t\t dH/dt = 0 = dH/d\tau
$$

for all $t, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$. This means that the energy value

$$
(4.6) \t\t\t E = (\bar{W}^2 - p^2)^{1/2}
$$

can be treated by means of the Dirac type quantization scheme [\[10\]](#page-10-24) to obtain, as $\hbar \to 0$, (or the light speed $c \to \infty$) the governing Schrödinger type dynamical equation. To do this, similarly to [\[6,](#page-10-0) [7\]](#page-10-1), we need to make canonical operator replacements $E \to \hat{E} := -\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}$, $p \to \hat{p} := \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla$, as $\hbar \to 0$, in the following energy determining expression:

(4.7)
$$
E^{2} := (\hat{E}\psi, \hat{E}\psi) = (\psi, \hat{E}^{2}\psi) = (\psi, \hat{H}^{+}\hat{H}\psi),
$$

where, by definition, owing to [\(4.6\)](#page-6-1),

(4.8)
$$
\hat{E}^2 = \bar{W}^2 - \hat{p}^2 = \hat{H}^+ \hat{H}
$$

is a suitable operator factorization in the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} : $=L_2(\mathbb{R}^3;\mathbb{C})$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ is the corresponding normalized quantum vector state. Since the following elementary identity

(4.9)
$$
\bar{W}^2 - \hat{p}^2 = \bar{W}(1 - \bar{W}^{-1}\hat{p}^2\bar{W}^{-1})^{1/2}(1 - \bar{W}^{-1}\hat{p}^2\bar{W}^{-1})^{1/2}\bar{W}
$$

holds, we can put, by definition, following [\(4.8\)](#page-6-2) and [\(4.9\)](#page-6-3) that the operator

(4.10)
$$
\hat{H} := (1 - \bar{W}^{-1} \hat{p}^2 \bar{W}^{-1})^{1/2} \bar{W}.
$$

Having calculated the operator expression [\(2.10\)](#page-3-0) as $\hbar \to 0$ up to operator accuracy $O(\hbar^4)$, we can easily obtain that

(4.11)
$$
\hat{H} = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m(u)} + \bar{W} := -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m(u)}\nabla^2 + \bar{W},
$$

where we took into account the dynamical mass definition $m(u) := -\overline{W}$ (in the light speed units). Thereby, based now on [\(4.7\)](#page-6-4) and [\(4.11\)](#page-7-0), we obtain up to operator accuracy $O(N^4)$ the following Schrödinger type evolution equation

(4.12)
$$
i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \tau} := \hat{E}\psi = \hat{H}\psi = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m(u)}\nabla^2 \psi + \bar{W}\psi
$$

with respect to the "rest" reference system \mathcal{K}_r evolution parameter $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$. Concerning the related evolution parameter $t \in \mathbb{R}$, parameterizing a reference system K, the equation [\(4.12\)](#page-7-1) takes the following form:

(4.13)
$$
i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2 m_0}{2m(u)^2} \nabla^2 \psi - m_0 \psi.
$$

Here we took into account that, owing to (4.6) , the classical mass relationship

$$
(4.14) \t m(u) = m_0(1 - u^2)^{-1/2}
$$

holds, where $m_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is the corresponding rest mass of our point particle q.

The obtained linear Schrödinger equation [\(4.13\)](#page-7-2) for the case $\hbar/c \rightarrow 0$ really coincides with that well-known [\[11,](#page-10-2) [10,](#page-10-24) [5\]](#page-10-3) from classical quantum mechanics.

4.3. Classical charged point particle electrodynamics model and its quantization. We start here from the first vacuum field theory reformulation of the classical charged point particle electrodynamics, considered in Section 3 and based on the conserved Hamiltonian function [\(3.12\)](#page-5-3)

(4.15)
$$
H := [\bar{W}^2 - (P - qA)^2]^{1/2},
$$

where $q \in \mathbb{R}$ is the particle charge and $(\bar{W}, A) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{E}^3$ is the corresponding electromagnetic field potentials and $P \in \mathbb{E}^3$ is the common particle-field momentum, defined as

(4.16)
$$
P := p + qA, \quad p := mu,
$$

and satisfying the well known classical Lorentz force equation. Here $m := -\overline{W}$ is the observable dynamical mass, related with our charged particle, $u \in \mathbb{E}^3$ is its velocity vector with respect to a chosen reference system K , being all expressed here, as before, in the light speed units.

As our electrodynamics, based on [\(4.15\)](#page-7-3), is canonically Hamiltonian, the Dirac type quantization scheme

(4.17)
$$
P \to \hat{P} := \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla, \qquad E \to \hat{E} := -\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}
$$

should be applied to the energy expression

(4.18)
$$
E := [\bar{W}^2 - (P - qA)^2]^{1/2},
$$

following from the conservation conditions

$$
(4.19) \t dH/dt = 0 = dH/d\tau,
$$

satisfied for all $\tau, t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Doing now the same way as above, we can factorize the operator \hat{E}^2 as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{c}\n\bar{W}^2 - (\hat{P} - qA)^2 = \bar{W}[1 - \bar{W}^{-1}(\hat{P} - qA)^2 \bar{W}^{-1}]^{1/2} \times \\
\times [1 - \bar{W}^{-1}(\hat{P} - qA)^2 \bar{W}^{-1}]^{1/2} \bar{W} := \hat{H}^+ \hat{H},\n\end{array}
$$

where, by definition, (here as $\hbar/c \rightarrow 0$, $\hbar c = const$)

(4.20)
$$
\hat{H} := \frac{1}{2m(u)} (\frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla - qA)^2 + \bar{W}
$$

up to operator accuracy $O(\hbar^4)$. Thereby, the related Schrödinger type evolution equation in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = L_2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C})$ looks as

(4.21)
$$
\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \tau} := \hat{E}\psi = \hat{H}\psi = \frac{1}{2m(u)}(\frac{\hbar}{i}\nabla - qA)^2\psi + \bar{W}\psi
$$

with respect to the rest reference system \mathcal{K}_r evolution parameter $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$. The corresponding Schrödinger type evolution equation with respect to the evolution parameter $t \in \mathbb{R}$ looks, respectively, as

(4.22)
$$
\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \frac{m_0}{2m(u)^2} (\frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla - qA)^2 \psi - m_0 \psi.
$$

The Schrödinger type evolution equation [\(4.21\)](#page-7-4) (as $\hbar/c \rightarrow 0$, $\hbar c = const$) completely coincides [\[12,](#page-10-27) [10\]](#page-10-24) with that well known from the classical quantum mechanics.

4.4. Modified charged point particle electrodynamics model and its quantization. Coinsider now, within the canonical point of view, the true quantization procedure the electrodynamics model, which looks as (2.13) and whose Hamiltonian function (3.6) is

(4.23)
$$
H := (\bar{W}^2 - P^2)^{1/2} + q < A, P > (\bar{W}^2 - P^2)^{-1/2}.
$$

This means that the energy function

(4.24)
$$
E := (\bar{W}^2 - P^2)^{1/2} + q < A, P > (\bar{W}^2 - P^2)^{-1/2},
$$

where, as before,

(4.25)
$$
P := p + qA, \quad p := mu, \quad m := -W,
$$

is a conserved quantity for [\(2.13\)](#page-3-1), which we will canonically quantize via the Dirac procedure [\(4.17\)](#page-7-5). To make this, let us consider the quantum condition

(4.26)
$$
E^2 := (\hat{E}\psi, \hat{E}\psi) = (\psi, \hat{E}^2\psi), \qquad (\psi, \psi) := 1,
$$

where, by definition, $\hat{E} := -\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{H} = L_2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C})$ is a suitable normalized quantum state vector. Making now use of the energy function [\(4.24\)](#page-8-0), one can easily obtain that

(4.27)
$$
E^2 = \bar{W}^2 - (P - qA)^2 + q^2 \leq A, A > + (\bar{W}^2 - P^2)^{-1} < P, A > ,
$$

which upon the canonical Dirac type quantization $P \to \hat{P} := \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla$ transforms into the symmetrized operator expression

(4.28)
$$
\hat{E}^2 = \bar{W}^2 - (\hat{P} - qA)^2 + q^2 < A, A > +q^2 < A, P > (\bar{W}^2 - P^2)^{-1} < P, A > .
$$

Having factorized operator [\(4.28\)](#page-8-1) in the form $\hat{E}^2 = \hat{H}^{\dagger} \hat{H}$, we obtain that up to operator accuracy $O(\hbar^4)$ $(as \hbar/c \rightarrow 0, \hbar c = const)$

(4.29)
$$
\hat{H} : = \frac{1}{2m(u)} (\frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla - qA)^2 -
$$

$$
-\frac{q^2}{2m(u)} < A, A > -\frac{q^2}{2m^3(u)} < A, P > < P, A > ,
$$

where we put, as before, $m(u) = -\overline{W}$ in the light speed units. Thus, owing to [\(4.26\)](#page-8-2) and [\(4.29\)](#page-8-3), the resulting Schrödinger evolution equation takes the form

(4.30)
\n
$$
i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \tau} \quad : \quad = \hat{H}\psi = \frac{1}{2m(u)} (\frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla - qA)^2 \psi - \frac{q^2}{2m(u)} \quad < \quad A, A > \psi - \frac{q^2}{2m^3(u)} < A, P > < P, A > \psi
$$

with respect to the "rest" reference system proper evolution parameter $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$. Similarly one obtains also the related Schrödinger type evolution equation with respect to the time parameter $t \in \mathbb{R}$ on which we will not here stop. The result [\(4.30\)](#page-8-4) essentially differs the corresponding classical Schrödinger evolution equation [\(4.21\)](#page-7-4) that, thereby, forces us to reanalyze more thoroughly the main physically motivated principles, put into the backgrounds of classical electrodynamical models, described by the Hamiltonian functions [\(4.15\)](#page-7-3) and [\(4.23\)](#page-8-5) giving rise to different Lorentz type force expressions. This analysis we plan to do in detail in a next work under preparation.

5. Conclusion

Thereby, we can claim that all of dynamical field equations discussed above are canonical Hamiltonian systems with respect to the corresponding proper "rest" reference systems, parameterized by suitable time parameters $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$. Owing to the passing to the basic reference system K with the time parameter $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the related Hamiltonian structure is naturally lost, giving rise to a new interpretation of the real particle motion as such having the absolute sense only with respect to the proper "rest" reference system and being completely relative with respect to all other reference systems. Concerning the Hamiltonian expressions [\(3.1\)](#page-4-1), [\(3.6\)](#page-4-2) and [\(3.12\)](#page-5-3) obtained above, one observes that all of them depend strongly on the vacuum potential field function \bar{W} : M⁴ $\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, thereby dissolving the mass problem of the classical energy expression, before pointed out [\[14\]](#page-10-6) by L. Brillouin. It is necessary here to mention that subject to the canonical Dirac type quantization procedure it can be applied only to the corresponding dynamical field systems considered with respect to their proper "rest" reference systems.

Remark 5.1. Some comments can be also made concerning the classical relativity principle. Namely, we have obtained our results completely without using the Lorentz transformations of reference systems but only the natural notion of the "rest" reference system and suitable its parametrization with respect to any other moving reference systems. It looks reasonable since, in reality, the true state changes of a moving charged particle q are exactly realized only with respect to its proper "rest" reference system. Thereby, the only question, still here left open, is that about the physical justification of the corresponding relationship between time parameters of moving and "rest" reference systems.

This relationship, being accepted throughout this work, looks as

(5.1)
$$
d\tau = dt(1 - u^2)^{1/2},
$$

where $u := dr/dt \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is the velocity vector with which the "rest" reference system \mathcal{K}_r moves with respect to other arbitrarily chosen reference system K . The expression [\(5.1\)](#page-9-0) means, in particular, that there holds the equality

(5.2)
$$
dt^2 - dr^2 = d\tau^2,
$$

which exactly coincides with the classical infinitesimal Lorentz invariant. Its appearance is, evidently, not casual here, since all our dynamical vacuum field equations were successively derived [\[6,](#page-10-0) [7\]](#page-10-1) from the governing equations on the vacuum potential field function $W : \mathbb{M}^4 \to \mathbb{R}$ in the form

(5.3)
$$
\frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 W = \rho, \ \frac{\partial W}{\partial t} + \nabla (vW) = 0, \ \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla (v\rho) = 0,
$$

being a priori Lorentz invariant, where we denoted by $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ the charge density and by $v := dr/dt$ the suitable local velocity of the vacuum field potential changes. Thereby, the dynamical infinitesimal Lorentz invariant (5.2) reflects this intrinsic structure of equations (5.3) . Being rewritten in the following nonstandard Euclidean form:

$$
(5.4) \qquad \qquad dt^2 = d\tau^2 + dr^2
$$

it gives rise to a completely other time relationship between reference systems K and K_r :

$$
(5.5) \t dt = d\tau (1 + \dot{r}^2),
$$

where, as earlier, we denoted by $\dot{r} := dr/d\tau$ the related particle velocity with respect to the "rest" reference system. Thus, we observe that all our Lagrangian analysis completed in Section 2 is based on the corresponding functional expressions written in these "Euclidean" space-time coordinates and with respect to which the least action principle was applied. So, we see that there exist two alternatives - the first is to apply the least action principle to the corresponding Lagrangian functions expressed in the Minkovski type space-time variables with respect to an arbitrary chosen reference system K , and the second is to apply the least action principle to the corresponding Lagrangian functions expressed in the space-time Euclidean type variables with respect to the "rest" reference system \mathcal{K}_r .

As a slightly amusing but exciting inference, following from our analysis in this work, is the fact that all of classical special relativity results, related with electrodynamics of charged point particles, can be obtained one-to-one making use of our new definitions of the dynamical particle mass and the least action principle with respect to the associated Euclidean type space-time variables parameterizing the "rest" reference system.

An additional remark is here needed concerning the quantization procedure of proposed electrodynamics models. If the dynamical vacuum field equations are expressed in the canonical Hamiltonian form, only technical problems left to quantize them and obtain the corresponding Schrödinger type evolution equations in suitable Hilbert spaces of quantum states. There exists still another important inference from the approach devised in this work, consisting in complete lost of the essence of the well known Einsteinian equivalence principle [\[11,](#page-10-2) [25,](#page-10-4) [5,](#page-10-3) [4,](#page-10-7) [20\]](#page-10-19), becoming superfluous for our vacuum field theory of electromagnetism and gravity.

Based on the canonical Hamiltonian formalism devised in this work, concerning the alternative charged point particle electrodynamics models, we succeeded in treating their Dirac type quantization. The obtained results were compared with classical ones, but the physically motivated choice of a true model is left for the future studies. Another important aspect of the developed vacuum field theory no-geometry approach to combining the electrodynamics with the gravity consists in singling out the decisive role of the related "rest" reference system \mathcal{K}_r . Namely, with respect to the "rest" reference system evolution parameter $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ all of our electrodynamics models allow both the Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formulations suitable for the canonical quantization. The physical nature of this fact remains, by now, not enough understood. In the sequel of our work we plan to analyze the quantization scheme in more detail and make a step toward the vacuum quantum field theory of infinite many particle systems.

6. Acknowledgments

The Authors are cordially thankful to the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics in Trieste, Italy, for the hospitality during their research 2007-2008 scholarships. A.P. is, especially, grateful to P.I. Holod (Kyiv, UKMA), J.M. Stakhira (Lviv, NUL), U. Taneri (Cyprus, EMU), J. Sławianowski (Warsaw, IPPT), Z. Peradzyński (Warsaw, UW) and M. Błaszak (Poznań, UP) for fruitful discussions, useful comments and remarks. Last but not least thanks go to academician Prof. A.A. Logunov for his interest to the work, as well to Mrs. Dilys Grilli (Trieste, Publications office, ICTP) and Natalia K. Prykarpatska for professional help in preparing the manuscript for publication.

REFERENCES

- [1] Arnold V.I. Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics., Springer, NY, 1978
- [2] Abraham R., Marsden J. Foundation of mechanics. The Benjamin/Cummings Publ. Co, Masachusets, 1978
- [3] Bogoliubov N.N., Shirkov D.V. Introduction to the Theory of Quantized Fields. Nauka, Moscow, 1984
- [4] Feynman R. P M. Lectures on gravitation. Notes of California Inst. of Technology, 1971
- [5] Feynman R., Leighton R. and Sands M. The Feynman lectures on physics. Electrodynamics, v. 2, Addison-Wesley, Publ. Co., Massachusetts, 1964
- [6] Prykarpatsky A.K., Bogolubov N.N. (Jr.) and Taneri U. The vacuum structure, special relativity and quantum mechanics revisited: a field theory no-geometry approach. Theoretical and mathematical physics. Moscow, 2008 (in print) (arXiv lanl: 0807.3691v.8 [gr-gc] 24.08.2008)
- [7] Prykarpatsky A.K., Bogolubov N.N. (Jr.) and Taneri U. The field structure of vacuum, Maxwell equations and relativity theory aspects. Preprint ICTP, Trieste, IC/2008/051 [\(http://publications.ictp.it\)](http://publications.ictp.it)
- [8] Prykarpatsky A. and Mykytiuk I. Algebraic integrability of nonlinear dynamical systems onmanifolds: classical and quantum aspects. Kluwer Academic Publishers, the Netherlands,1998
- [9] Thirring W. Classical Mathematical Physics. Springer, Third Edition, 1992
- [10] Dirac P.A.M. The principles of quantum mechanics. Second edition. Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1935
- [11] Landau L.D. and Livshitz E.M. Field theory. v. 2, "Nauka" Publisher, Moscow, 1973
- [12] Landau L.D. and Livshitz E.M. Quantum mechanics. v. 6, "Nauka" Publisher, Moscow, 1974
- [13] Bogolubov N. and Shirkov D. Introduction to the theory of quantized fields. Interscience, New York, 1959
- [14] Brillouin L. Relativity reexamined. Academic Press Publ., New York and London, 1970
- [15] Faddeev L.D. Hamiltonian approach to the gravity. Russian Physical Surveys, "Nauka" Publisher, Moscow, 1986
- [16] Holod P.I. and Klimyk A.I. Mathematical foundations of symmetry theory, Kyiv, "Naukova Dumka", 1992 (in Ukrainian)
- [17] Repchenko O. Field physics. Moscow, "Galeria" Publ., 2005
- [18] Brans C.H. and Dicke R.H. Mach's principle and a relativistic theory of gravitation. Phys. Rev., 124, 1961, p. 925
- [19] Bialynicky-Birula I. Phys Rev., 155, 1967, p. 1414; 166, 1968, p. 1505
- [20] Klymyshyn I.A. Relativistic astronomy. (in Ukrainian).Kyiv, "Naukova Dumka" Publisher, 1980
- [21] Logunov A.A. and Mestvirishvili M.A. Relativistic Theory of Gravitation. (In Russian). Moscow. Nauka, 1989
- [22] Logunov A. A. The Theory of Gravity. Moscow. "Nauka" Publ., 2000.
- [23] Logunov A. A. Relativistic Theory of Gravitation.(In Russian). Moscow. Nauka, 2006
- [24] Logunov A.A. Lectures on relativity theory and gravitation. "Nauka" Publisher, Moscow, 1987
- [25] Pauli W. Theory of relativity. Oxford Publ., 1958
- [26] Weinstock R. New approach to special relativity. Am. J. Phys., 33, 1965, p. 640-645
- [27] Mermin N.D. Relativity without light. Am. J. Phys., 52, 1984, p. 119-124.
- [28] Mermin D.N. It's About Time: Understanding Einstein's Relativity, Princeton, NJ., Princeton University Press, 2005
- [29] Newman R.P. The Global Structure of Simple Space-Times. Comm. Mathem. Physics, 123, 1989, p. 17-52