

Spacetime analogue of Bose-Einstein condensates: Bogoliubov-de Gennes formulation

Yasunari Kurita¹, Michikazu Kobayashi²,

Takao Morinari³, Makoto Tsubota⁴, Hideki Ishihara⁴

¹ *Department of Physics, Kwansei Gakuin University, Sanda, Hyogo 669-1337, Japan*

² *Department of Physics, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan*

³ *Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan and*

⁴ *Department of Mathematics and Physics, Osaka City University, Osaka 558-8585, Japan*

We construct quantum field theory in an analogue curved spacetime in Bose-Einstein condensates based on the Bogoliubov-de Gennes equations, by identifying quantum particles in curved spacetime with Bogoliubov quasiparticle excitations in Bose-Einstein condensates. Here, we derive a simple formula relating the two, which can be used to calculate the particle creation spectrum by solving the time-dependent Bogoliubov-de Gennes equations. Using our formulation, we numerically investigate particle creation in an analogue expanding Universe which can be expressed as Bogoliubov quasiparticles in an expanding Bose-Einstein condensate. We obtain its spectrum, which follows the thermal Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution, the temperature of which is experimentally attainable. This is the first direct numerical simulation for particle creation and its spectrum in analogue curved spacetime by using a Bose-Einstein condensate system.

PACS numbers: 03.75.Kk, 0.375.Hh, 05.30.Jp, 04.62.+v

I. INTRODUCTION

Since the establishment of the theory of general relativity by A. Einstein, the study of classical gravity has been greatly extended. It provides some fascinating research subjects, especially cosmology and black holes. These two topics are powerful motivations for studying physics in curved spacetime.

The quantum effects of matter fields in curved spacetime is also important for studying cosmology and black hole physics. In standard modern cosmology, our Universe began with an accelerated expansion, known as inflation. The quantum effect of matter fields in the inflationary universe is believed to give rise to cosmological structures such as galaxies. However, this is merely theoretical scenario lacking any experimental evidence. Another example relates to the very mysterious objects known as black holes. According to S. W. Hawking's prediction [1], black holes are not completely black but rather they emit black body radiation through particle creation in the curved spacetime. It is very difficult to confirm this surprising phenomenon experimentally due to the extreme low intensity of the emitted radiation.

With such a background, new attempts to discover analogous phenomena in fluid systems have appeared. In a pioneering study, Unruh found that Hawking radiation is not restricted to gravity fields but that it can also be observed in a perfect fluid with a sonic horizon [2]. The basic concept involves identifying a sound wave propagating in a flowing fluid with a scalar field in curved spacetime. In this identification, fluid flow corresponds to curved spacetime and provides the spacetime metric. The sound wave can then be considered as a field in curved spacetime. This analogy has been applied not only to Hawking radiation but also to other field theoretical phenomena in curved spacetime, including cosmological particle creation [3, 4].

When considering such an analogy, we are basically interested in quantum effects. The reason is as follows. For black holes in astrophysics, the temperature of Hawking radiation is too much low to be detected. For an expanding universe, on the other hand, since quantum effects are important only in the very early stage, we can currently observe only the classical remnants of them, such as the temperature fluctuation of the cosmic microwave background. By contrast, an analogous system provides the possibility of observing quantum effects in a laboratory. For the purpose of performing a precise investigation of quantum effects, we should consider quantum fluids. Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs) in trapped cold atoms represent one of the best systems in this context [5, 6, 7], for the following three reasons: (i) a BEC system is quite dilute and weakly interacting, so that its microscopic theory can be easily studied, (ii) many physical parameters of BECs are experimentally controllable, and (iii) various quantum phenomena of BECs can be directly observed, in stark contrast with other quantum fluids, such as superfluid helium systems. Therefore, BECs are one of the most promising quantum fluids for an analogous system.

In this spacetime analogy, spacetime corresponds to the condensate wave function described by the Gross-Pitaevskii (GP) equation. Furthermore, quanta of the scalar field in spacetime correspond to Bogoliubov quasiparticles, which are low energy excitations on condensates and can be described by the Bogoliubov-de Gennes (BdG) equations. Bogoliubov quasiparticles not only exist in BECs at finite temperatures but they are also spontaneously created through the motion of BECs, which is one of the important problems associated with defining a BEC and its superfluidity in nonequilibrium Bose systems.

Although many efforts have been devoted in this direction [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22,

23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37], some ambiguity still remains concerning the definition of quantum effects in analogue spacetime such as particle creation in curved spacetime. Many propositions about particle creations in curved spacetime concern their spectrum, such as black body radiation from black holes. Consequently, there have been few direct calculations or numerical simulations that can be tested and compared with quantum field theory in curved spacetime.

In this paper, we formulate the spacetime analogy in terms of the BdG equations, by explicitly identifying Bogoliubov quasiparticles with quantum particles in curved spacetime. We also explicitly define Bogoliubov quasiparticle creation as a phenomenon caused by a change in the complete set of BdG wave functions for the motion of a BEC, and we define analogue particle creation in terms of Bogoliubov quasiparticle creation, including its spectrum. We then perform a numerical simulation for the simplest quantum effect in analogue curved spacetime: particle creation by the analogue expanding Universe as an expanding BEC. The spectrum obtained is consistent with the thermal Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution, the temperature of which has already been achieved in actual experiments. This paper is organized as follows. In section II, we derive an analogue spacetime metric from the BdG equations. In section III, we construct quantum field theory on the analogue spacetime based on BdG theory. In section IV, we define Bogoliubov quasiparticle creation and give a formula for analogue particle creation. In section V, we show the results of a numerical simulation giving particle creation in an expanding and shrinking BEC in a trapping potential. The conclusions are given in section VI.

II. ANALOGUE SPACETIME IN BEC

We start with the Hamiltonian describing bosons trapped by an external confining potential $V_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{x})$ given by

$$H = \int d^3x \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) \left[K + V_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{x}) - \mu + \frac{1}{2} U_0 \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) \psi(\mathbf{x}) \right] \psi(\mathbf{x}). \quad (1)$$

Here $\psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x})$ and $\psi(\mathbf{x})$ are boson field operators in the second quantized form, $K = -\hbar^2 \nabla^2 / 2m$ is the kinetic energy operator, and μ is the chemical potential [38, 39]. In the Heisenberg picture, the field equation is given by

$$i\hbar \partial_t \psi(\mathbf{x}, t) = [K + V_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{x}) - \mu + U_0 \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}, t) \psi(\mathbf{x}, t)] \psi(\mathbf{x}, t). \quad (2)$$

The density operator and the current density operator are respectively defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{n}(\mathbf{x}, t) &= \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}, t) \psi(\mathbf{x}, t), \\ \hat{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{x}, t) &= \frac{\hbar}{2mi} [\psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}, t) \nabla \psi(\mathbf{x}, t) - \{\nabla \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}, t)\} \psi(\mathbf{x}, t)]. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

In a BEC, the field ψ consists of two components, $\psi(\mathbf{x}, t) = \Psi(\mathbf{x}, t) + \phi(\mathbf{x}, t)$, where $\Psi(\mathbf{x}, t) \equiv \langle \psi(\mathbf{x}, t) \rangle$ describes the condensate [40] with $\langle O \rangle$ denoting a grand canonical ensemble average of O . The Bogoliubov field $\phi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ describes fluctuations about the condensate. The condensate wave function $\Psi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ obeys the following GP equation [41, 42],

$$i\hbar \partial_t \Psi(\mathbf{x}, t) = [K + V_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{x}) - \mu] \Psi(\mathbf{x}, t) + U_0 |\Psi(\mathbf{x}, t)|^2 \Psi(\mathbf{x}, t). \quad (5)$$

The condensate wave function $\Psi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is rewritten in terms of the amplitude, $|\Psi(\mathbf{x}, t)| = \sqrt{n_0(\mathbf{x}, t)}$, and the phase, $S(\mathbf{x}, t)$, as follows,

$$\Psi(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sqrt{n_0(\mathbf{x}, t)} e^{iS(\mathbf{x}, t)}. \quad (6)$$

Hereafter, the spatial and time dependence of fields, represented by (\mathbf{x}, t) , is implicit for conciseness of notation. The condensate contributions to the density and current density are

$$n_0 = |\Psi|^2, \quad \mathbf{j}_0 = n_0 \frac{\hbar}{m} \nabla S = n_0 \mathbf{v}_0, \quad (7)$$

where $\mathbf{v}_0 := \hbar \nabla S / m$ is the velocity of the condensate. With these quantities, the GP equation can be rewritten as two real equations

$$\hbar \partial_t S + n_0^{-1/2} K n_0^{1/2} + V_{\text{ext}} - \mu + U_0 n_0 + \frac{m}{2} v_0^2 = 0, \quad (8)$$

$$\partial_t n_0 + \nabla \cdot (n_0 \mathbf{v}_0) = 0, \quad (9)$$

The first equation is Bernoulli's equation for the condensate current and the second equation represents conservation of the current.

Density fluctuations and current density fluctuations are described by

$$\hat{\rho}' = \Psi^* \phi + \phi^\dagger \Psi = \sqrt{n_0} (e^{-iS} \phi + e^{iS} \phi^\dagger), \quad (10)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{j}}' = \frac{\hbar}{2mi} [\Psi^* \nabla \phi + \phi^\dagger \nabla \Psi - (\nabla \Psi^*) \phi - (\nabla \phi^\dagger) \Psi]. \quad (11)$$

Here, we take the linearized approximation, that is, we neglect higher order fluctuations, like $\phi^\dagger \phi$. The fluctuation fields ϕ, ϕ^\dagger obey the BdG equations. These fields can be U(1) gauge transformed as

$$\tilde{\phi} := e^{-iS} \phi, \quad \tilde{\phi}^\dagger := e^{iS} \phi^\dagger. \quad (12)$$

The field equations are

$$i\hbar \partial_t \tilde{\phi} = (\tilde{L} + 2U_0 n_0 + \hbar \partial_t S) \tilde{\phi} + U_0 n_0 \tilde{\phi}^\dagger, \quad (13)$$

$$-i\hbar \partial_t \tilde{\phi}^\dagger = (\tilde{L}^* + 2U_0 n_0 + \hbar \partial_t S) \tilde{\phi}^\dagger + U_0 n_0 \tilde{\phi}, \quad (14)$$

where \tilde{L} is defined as

$$\tilde{L} := \tilde{K} + V_{ext} - \mu, \quad \tilde{K} := e^{-iS} K e^{iS}. \quad (15)$$

Performing a short calculation, one obtains

$$\tilde{K} = K - i\hbar \mathbf{v}_0 \cdot \nabla - \frac{1}{2} i\hbar (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_0) + \frac{1}{2} m v_0^2. \quad (16)$$

With these fields, $\hat{\rho}'$ and $\hat{\mathbf{j}}'$ take the simple forms

$$\hat{\rho}' = \sqrt{n_0}(\tilde{\phi} + \tilde{\phi}^\dagger), \quad \hat{\mathbf{j}}' = \hat{\rho}' \mathbf{v}_0 + n_0 \hat{\mathbf{v}}', \quad (17)$$

where we have introduced $\hat{\mathbf{v}}' = \nabla \hat{\Phi}'$ with

$$\hat{\Phi}' := \frac{\hbar}{2mi\sqrt{n_0}}(\tilde{\phi} - \tilde{\phi}^\dagger). \quad (18)$$

Note that the field $\hat{\Phi}'$ is the velocity potential in the first order approximation with respect to fluctuation fields.

Taking the difference of eqs. (13) and (14), we see that the operators $\hat{\rho}'$ and $\hat{\mathbf{j}}'$ obey the conservation law

$$\partial_t \hat{\rho}' + \nabla \cdot \hat{\mathbf{j}}' = 0. \quad (19)$$

Therefore, the continuity equation is satisfied within first order terms for fluctuation fields. Under the hydrodynamic approximation, in which the density gradient is smooth over the local healing length $\xi = \hbar/\sqrt{2mU_0 n_0}$, the sum of eqs. (13) and (14) yields

$$\hat{\rho}' \simeq -\frac{m}{U_0}(\partial_t + \mathbf{v}_0 \cdot \nabla) \hat{\Phi}'. \quad (20)$$

Then, eq. (19) can be rewritten as

$$(\partial_t + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_0)(\partial_t + \mathbf{v}_0 \cdot \nabla) \hat{\Phi}' - \nabla \cdot (c_s^2 \nabla \hat{\Phi}') \simeq 0, \quad (21)$$

where $c_s := \sqrt{U_0 n_0/m}$. Now, we introduce a symmetric tensor

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \Lambda c_s \begin{pmatrix} -(c_s^2 - v_0^2) & -v_{0a} \\ -v_{0b} & \delta_{ab} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (22)$$

where Λ is a constant and $a, b = 1, 2, 3$. We can then write eq. (21) as

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\det g}} \partial_\mu \left[\sqrt{-\det g} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \hat{\Phi}' \right] = 0. \quad (23)$$

If we identify $g_{\mu\nu}$ with a spacetime metric through $ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu$, the field $\hat{\Phi}'$ obeys the equation of motion for a minimally coupled scalar field in curved spacetime with the metric (22), and can be seen to be a field in curved spacetime.

III. CONSTRUCTION OF QUANTUM FIELD THEORY IN CURVED SPACETIME

Now, we construct quantum field theory on the analogue spacetime by using the BdG theory. For that purpose, we introduce linear transformation and separate

operators and wave functions so that the operators α_j and α_j^\dagger respectively become annihilation and creation operators, as

$$\tilde{\phi} = \sum_j [u_j \alpha_j - v_j^* \alpha_j^\dagger], \quad \tilde{\phi}^\dagger = \sum_j [u_j^* \alpha_j^\dagger - v_j \alpha_j]. \quad (24)$$

The operators α_j and α_j^\dagger are set to satisfy the boson commutation relation $[\alpha_j, \alpha_k^\dagger] = \delta_{jk}$. The wave functions u_j and v_j obey the following matrix equations:

$$i\hbar \partial_t \begin{pmatrix} u_j \\ v_j \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{W} & -U_0 n_0 \\ U_0 n_0 & -\tilde{W}^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_j \\ v_j \end{pmatrix}, \quad (25)$$

where $\tilde{W} := \tilde{L} + 2U_0 n_0 + \hbar \partial_t S$. They then satisfy the orthonormal conditions

$$\int d^3x (u_j^* u_k - v_j^* v_k) = \delta_{jk}, \quad (26)$$

$$\int d^3x (u_j u_k - v_j v_k) = 0.$$

The operators α_j and α_j^\dagger describe the annihilation and creation of Bogoliubov quasiparticles, respectively. Here, we identify these with annihilation and creation operators of quanta in the analogue spacetime. Then, the field $\hat{\Phi}'$ has to be normalized so that the coefficient functions of these operators are orthonormal with respect to the inner product defined in the analogue spacetime. In the following, we show that a set $\{f_j\}$ with

$$f_j := \frac{\sqrt{\hbar}}{2i\sqrt{\Lambda U_0 n_0}}(u_j + v_j), \quad (27)$$

is a complete set in quantum field theory in curved spacetime, and that the normalized field is

$$\varphi = \sum_j \left[\alpha_j f_j + \alpha_j^\dagger f_j^* \right] = \frac{m}{\sqrt{\Lambda \hbar U_0}} \hat{\Phi}'. \quad (28)$$

Firstly, we check that the coefficient functions $\{f_j\}$ satisfy the relativistic wave equation with the metric (22). Eq. (8) leads to

$$\tilde{W} = K' + U_0 n_0 - i\hbar D_v, \quad (29)$$

with these definitions $K' := K - n_0^{-1/2}(K n_0^{1/2})$ and

$$D_v := \mathbf{v}_0 \cdot \nabla + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_0). \quad (30)$$

The matrix equation (25) then gives equations for the functions $u_j + v_j$ and $u_j - v_j$ as

$$i\hbar (\partial_t + D_v)(u_j + v_j) \approx 2U_0 n_0 (u_j - v_j), \quad (31)$$

$$i\hbar (\partial_t + D_v)(u_j - v_j) = K'(u_j + v_j), \quad (32)$$

where the hydrodynamic approximation,

$$K' + 2U_0 n_0 \approx 2U_0 n_0, \quad (33)$$

has been used. From eq.(31),

$$u_j - v_j = \frac{i\hbar}{2U_0 n_0} (\partial_t + D_v)(u_j + v_j). \quad (34)$$

Substituting this into eq.(32), we obtain

$$(\partial_t + D_v) \frac{1}{n_0} (\partial_t + D_v)(u_j + v_j) + \frac{2U_0}{\hbar^2} K'(u_j + v_j) = 0. \quad (35)$$

The conservation of the condensate current (9) leads to

$$(\partial_t + \mathbf{v}_0 \cdot \nabla) \frac{X}{\sqrt{n_0}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_0}} (\partial_t + D_v) X, \quad (36)$$

$$(\partial_t + D_v) \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_0}} X = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_0}} (\partial_t + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_0) X, \quad (37)$$

for any function X . Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (\partial_t + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_0) (\partial_t + \mathbf{v}_0 \cdot \nabla) f_j \\ & + \frac{2U_0}{\hbar^2} \sqrt{n_0} K' \sqrt{n_0} f_j = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Furthermore, by noting that

$$K' \sqrt{n_0} X = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_0}} \nabla \cdot (n_0 \nabla X), \quad (39)$$

one finds that the functions $\{f_j\}$ satisfy

$$\partial_\mu \left[\sqrt{-\det g} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu f_j \right] = 0, \quad (40)$$

with metric (22), as expected.

Secondly, we show that the functions $\{f_j\}$ form a complete set with respect to the Klein-Gordon (KG) inner product in the analogue spacetime, which is a conserved product for solutions to the wave equation in curved spacetime. The KG product for functions F and G is defined as

$$(F, G)_{KG} = -i \int_\Sigma (F n^\mu \partial_\mu G^* - G^* n^\mu \partial_\mu F) \sqrt{\det h} d^3 x, \quad (41)$$

where $n = n^\mu \partial_\mu$ is a unit normal vector orthogonal to the spacelike surface Σ , that is, n is a future-directed timelike vector. $\sqrt{\det h} d^3 x$ is an invariant volume element of the surface Σ . h_{ab} is the induced metric on Σ . If F and G are solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation (40), the value $(F, G)_{KG}$ does not depend on the choice of Σ as long as Σ is a Cauchy surface [43]. If we choose a t -constant surface as Σ , the induced metric is

$$ds_\Sigma^2 = h_{ab} dx^a dx^b = \Lambda c_s \delta_{ab} dx^a dx^b. \quad (42)$$

Then, we obtain $\sqrt{\det h} = \Lambda^{3/2} c_s^{3/2}$. The normal vector to the surface is

$$n^\mu \partial_\mu = c_s^{-3/2} \Lambda^{-1/2} (\partial_t + \mathbf{v}_0 \cdot \nabla). \quad (43)$$

By using eqs. (36) and (31), the KG product between the coefficient functions can be calculated as

$$(f_j, f_k)_{KG} = \int_\Sigma d^3 x [u_j u_k^* - v_j v_k^*]. \quad (44)$$

Using the orthonormal relation (26), we obtain

$$(f_j, f_k)_{KG} = \delta_{jk}. \quad (45)$$

Similar calculations show that $(f_j^*, f_k^*)_{KG} = -\delta_{jk}$ and $(f_j, f_k^*)_{KG} = 0$, as in the case of conventional quantum field theory in curved spacetime. Note that this orthonormal relation is guaranteed by the normalization given in (27).

We identify the creation and annihilation operators of Bogoliubov quasiparticles with those of quanta in the analogue spacetime. Now, predictions of quantum field theory in curved spacetime can be applied to Bogoliubov quasiparticles.

IV. PARTICLE CREATION

In this section, we discuss a simple example of particle creation and derive a formula connecting particle creation spectrum and BdG wave functions.

Let us consider a condensate which is static and having no excitation at initial time $t = t_1$. For $t_1 < t < t_2$, the condensate evolves dynamically and, at $t = t_2$, it becomes static again. Then, the corresponding effective spacetime evolves dynamically and, in general, quantum field theory in curved spacetime will predict particle creation. Therefore, the analogy implies that, Bogoliubov quasiparticles will be created at $t = t_2$.

We choose a complete set at time $t = t_\lambda$ ($\lambda = 1, 2$), $\{f_j^{(\lambda)}\}$, as each $f_j^{(\lambda)}$ consists of energy eigenfunctions of the BdG equation $u_j^{(\lambda)}$ and $v_j^{(\lambda)}$ at each time $t = t_\lambda$. In this way, we define one particle state of the quantum by using annihilation and creation operators $\alpha_j^{(\lambda)}$ and $\alpha_j^{(\lambda)\dagger}$ which diagonalize the BdG Hamiltonian.

At the initial and final times $t = t_\lambda$ ($\lambda = 1, 2$), we expand the field using a complete set at each time as

$$\varphi = \sum_j \left[\alpha_j^{(\lambda)} f_j^{(\lambda)} + \alpha_j^{(\lambda)\dagger} f_j^{(\lambda)*} \right], \quad (46)$$

These two sets are related to each other by linear transformations

$$f_k^{(2)} = \sum_j \left[A_{kj} f_j^{(1)} + B_{kj} f_j^{(1)*} \right]. \quad (47)$$

Therefore, the creation and annihilation operators are related as

$$\alpha_k^{(2)} = \sum_j \left(A_{kj}^* \alpha_j^{(1)} - B_{kj}^* \alpha_j^{(1)\dagger} \right). \quad (48)$$

Since the initial condensate has no excitations, the state for φ is in the initial vacuum denoted by $|0\rangle_{(1)}$, i.e., $\alpha_j^{(1)}|0\rangle_{(1)} = 0$. We consider the Heisenberg picture and the state for φ is not time-dependent. Then, the expectation value of the final number operator in the j -th mode $\hat{N}_j^{(2)} := \alpha_j^{(2)\dagger}\alpha_j^{(2)}$ is calculated as

$${}_{(1)}\langle 0|\hat{N}_j^{(2)}|0\rangle_{(1)} = \sum_k |B_{jk}|^2. \quad (49)$$

If B_{kj} is not zero for any k , then particles will be created. The expected total number of particles is thus $\text{tr}(B^*B)$.

We should note that solutions to the BdG equations u_j, v_j solve relativistic wave equations (40) through the relation (27). Therefore, if the time-dependent BdG equations are solved, then the functions $\{f_j^{(1)}\}$ satisfy the equation of motion in time-dependent effective spacetime. Therefore, time evolution of the initial complete set in analogue spacetime is solved automatically. Then, the coefficient B_{jk} is calculated as the KG product at the final time $t = t_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} B_{jk} &= -(f_j^{(2)}, f_k^{(1)*})_{KG} \\ &= \int_{t=t_2} d^3x (u_j^{(2)}v_k^{(1)} - v_j^{(2)}u_k^{(1)}). \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

In the derivation of this formula, we have used (36) and (31) as in the calculation of (44).

In this way, the spectrum of particle creation (49) can be expressed in terms of the BdG wave functions via the formula (50).

Finally, we comment that the total number of particles

$$N = \int d^3x \langle \psi^\dagger \psi \rangle = \int d^3x (|\Psi|^2 + \langle \phi^\dagger \phi \rangle), \quad (51)$$

is not conserved. $\partial_t N \neq 0$ in our model, since the conservation is closed only in the GP equation for the condensate particles;

$$\partial_t \int d^3x |\Psi|^2 = 0. \quad (52)$$

To overcome this unphysical result, we have to account for the quantum backreaction which is the effect of the dynamical development of the Bogoliubov field to the BEC. Although some formalisms that incorporate the quantum backreaction have been proposed [44, 45], they are still controversial. In this paper, therefore, we assume that the quantum backreaction can be neglected when the number of the BEC particles far exceeds the number of Bogoliubov quasiparticles.

V. NUMERICAL SIMULATION

Now, we show a numerical simulation giving particle creation in an expanding and shrinking BEC in the trapping potential $V_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{x}) = m(\omega_x^2 x^2 + \omega_y^2 y^2 + \omega_z^2 z^2)/2$, where

ω_x, ω_y , and ω_z are the trapping frequencies of the potential along the x, y , and z -axes respectively. We consider the case in which a BEC is tightly trapped only in the x -axis; $\omega_x \gg \omega_y, \omega_z$, and apply the one-dimensional simulation by neglecting the spatial derivatives of the y and z -axes. We find the stationary solution of the GP equation at the trapping frequency $\omega_x = \omega_i$, and then change the frequency to $\omega_x = \omega_f$ at $t = t_1 = 0$ to make the expanding and shrinking BEC. This situation can be interpreted as a cosmological expansion and contraction, because, in the expansion of condensate for example, the sound velocity becomes small and the time interval Δt during which a particle on the condensate can travel over it,

$$\Delta t := 2 \int_0^{R_{TF}} c_s^{-1} dx, \quad (53)$$

becomes long, where R_{TF} is the Thomas-Fermi edge of the condensate. This implies that the size of the analogue spacetime measured by the sound velocity also expands. Furthermore, the sound velocity is not constant with respect to the spatial coordinate, which implies that the analogue spacetime is inhomogeneous. Therefore, the analogue spacetime corresponds to an inhomogeneous expanding universe. Similarly, a shrinking BEC corresponds to an inhomogeneous contracting universe.

The spectrum of particle creation $\sum_k |B_{jk}|^2$ can be calculated as follows. Firstly, we calculate the time evolution of $u_j^{(1)}$ and $v_j^{(1)}$ with that of the condensate wave function Ψ by using eq. (25), starting from the quasi-steady solution at $t = t_1$:

$$E_j^{(\lambda)} \begin{pmatrix} u_j^{(\lambda)} \\ v_j^{(\lambda)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{W} & -U_0 n_0 \\ U_0 n_0 & -\tilde{W}^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_j^{(\lambda)} \\ v_j^{(\lambda)} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (54)$$

Then, at $t = t_2$, we obtain $u_j^{(1)}$ and $v_j^{(1)}$ after the time evolution and $u_j^{(2)}$ and $v_j^{(2)}$ by using eq. (54) again. Finally, we obtain the spectrum of particle creation from eq. (50).

As the physical parameters, we use $m = 1.44 \times 10^{-25}$ kg, $\omega_i = 150 \times 2\pi$ Hz, $\omega_f = \omega_i/\sqrt{2}$, and $\omega_y = \omega_z = \omega_\perp = 50 \times 2\pi$ Hz considering a BEC experiment of ^{87}Rb atoms, the total number of which is set to be $N = 2.5 \times 10^6$. As the coupling constant of atomic interactions U_0 , we use s -wave scattering:

$$U_0 = 4 \left[\frac{\hbar^3 a^{3/2} \omega_\perp^3 N^{3/2}}{15m^{1/2}\omega_x} \right]^{2/5}, \quad (55)$$

with a scattering length of $a = 5.61$ nm. As a numerical method, we use the fully dealiased Chebyshev-Galerkin method in space $-16a_x \leq x \leq 16a_x$ with the Dirichlet boundary condition and the Runge-Kutta-Gill method in time with $\Delta t = 1 \times 10^{-4}/\omega_i$, where $a_x = \sqrt{\hbar/m\omega_i}$ is the characteristic length defined by the trapping potential.

Figure 1(a) shows the time development of the Thomas-Fermi edge R_{TF} of the condensate fitted by a

harmonic function. Dynamics of the condensate is almost periodic, and its period is $T \simeq 5.10/\omega_i$ which is consistent with that of the breathing mode $\sim 2\pi/(\sqrt{3}/2\omega_i)$. The sound velocity c_s decreases with an increase in R_{TF}




FIG. 1: Dependence of the Thomas-Fermi edge R_{TF} (a) and the sound velocity $c_s = \sqrt{U_0 n_0(x=0)/m}$ (b) at the center on time. Here, $a_x = \sqrt{\hbar/m\omega_i}$, $v_x = \sqrt{\hbar\omega_i/m}$ are the characteristic length and velocity defined by the trapping potential.

as shown in Fig. 1(b), also becoming periodic in time.

The decrease in the sound velocity shown in Fig. 1(b) corresponds to expansion of the analogue spacetime. We select the time at which the size of condensate becomes maximum as the final time t_2 , i.e., $t_2 := T/2 \simeq 2.55/\omega_i$, and calculate $u_j^{(\lambda)}$ and $v_j^{(\lambda)}$ ($\lambda = 1, 2$). Before calculating the spectrum of the particle creation, we need to verify whether the effect of quantum backreaction can be neglected, as discussed above. Figures 2(a) and (b) show the time dependence of the total number of non-condensate particles;

$$\begin{aligned} N_e &= \int dx \langle \phi^\dagger \phi \rangle_{(1)} \\ &= \int dx \sum_j N_e(E_j^{(1)}) = \int dx \sum_j |v_j^{(1)}|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

and its spectrum at $t = t_2$. Both figures reveal that the number of Bogoliubov quasiparticles is small enough relative the number of BEC $N_0 := \int dx |\Psi|^2$ that the quantum backreaction can be neglected.




FIG. 2: Dependence of the number of the Bogoliubov quasiparticles on time (a) and its spectrum (b).

Next, we investigate its particle creation. Figure 3

shows the spectrum of the particle creation $\sum_k |B_{jk}|^2$. In the low energy region $E_j^{(2)} < \mu$ corresponding to Fig. 3(a), the spectrum has the thermal Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution: $\sum_k |B_{jk}|^2 \propto \exp(-E_j^{(2)}/k_B T_e)$ with the temperature $k_B T_e \simeq 0.777\hbar\omega_i$. This result means that the spectrum of the particle creation in the analogue expanding universe obeys the thermal distribution with some temperature T_e . This particle creation is quite non-trivial because, particle creation in an expanding universe generally does not have a thermal distribution.

To confirm this experimentally, a BEC is needed at a temperature lower than T_e , to ensure that it is not be submerged by thermal noise. Using realistic parameters, T_e is estimated to be 5.60 nK which has been already achieved in many BEC experiments. On the other hand,




FIG. 3: The spectrum of particle creation at $t = 2.55/\omega_i$ in the low energy region $5\hbar\omega_i < E_j^{(2)} < 20\hbar\omega_i$ (a) and $10\hbar\omega_i < E_j^{(2)} < 200\hbar\omega_i$ (b).

in the high energy region $E_j^{(2)} \gg \mu$ shown in Fig. 3 (b), the spectrum exhibits power-law behavior $\sum_k |B_{jk}|^2 \propto E_j^{(2)-4}$. This power-law behavior, however, seems to be difficult to detect because particle creation in this region is very weak.

VI. SUMMARY

In conclusion, we have formulated quantum field theory in analogue spacetime based on the BdG equations. In this analogy, quanta in curved spacetimes are identified with Bogoliubov quasiparticles on condensates. It has been demonstrated that, the orthonormal relations for Bogoliubov modes correspond to that for quanta in effective spacetime with respect to the KG product. We derive a simple formula for the particle creation spectrum in terms of BdG wave functions, which can be applied to simple dynamical evolution whose initial and final condensations are quasi-static. Furthermore, we calculate the particle creation in the analogue expanding universe by numerically solving time-dependent BdG equations for an expanding BEC. The spectrum obtained is consistent with the thermal Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution for the temperature $T_e \simeq 5.60$ nK, which is experimen-

tally accessible. This supports the experimental testing of particle creation in an expanding universe by using a BEC.

Because we neglected the effect of the quantum backreaction from the Bogoliubov field to the BEC, we are unable to calculate the precise amount of particle creation. To overcome this deficiency, we are extending the model to incorporate the quantum backreaction and will report on this in near future. Furthermore, numerical simulations of particle creations in dynamical BEC corresponding to Hawking radiation will be reported in future

studies.

Acknowledgments: MK acknowledges JSPS Research Fellowships for Young Scientists (Grant No. 207229). MT is supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from JSPS (Grant No. 18340109) and by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas from MEXT (Grant No. 17071008). HI is supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research Fund of the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture of Japan (Grant No. 19540305).

-
- [1] S. W. Hawking, *Nature* **248**, 30 (1974); S. W. Hawking, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **43**, 199 (1975) [Erratum-ibid. **46**, 206 (1976)].
- [2] W. G. Unruh, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **46**, 1351 (1981).
- [3] *Artificial Black Holes*, edited by M. Novello, M. Visser, and G. Volovik (World Scientific, 2002).
- [4] C. Barcelo, S. Liberati and M. Visser, *Living Rev. Rel.* **8**, 12 (2005).
- [5] M. H. Anderson, J. R. Ensher, M. R. Matthews, C. E. Wieman, and E. A. Cornell, *Science* **269**, 198 (1995).
- [6] K. B. Davis, M.-O. Mewes, M. R. Andrews, N. J. van Druten, D. S. Durfee, D. M. Kurn, and W. Ketterle, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 3969 (1995).
- [7] C. J. Pethick and H. Smith, *Bose-Einstein Condensation in Dilute Gases* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002).
- [8] L. J. Garay, J. R. Anglin, J. I. Cirac and P. Zoller, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 4643 (2000).
- [9] L. J. Garay, J. R. Anglin, J. I. Cirac and P. Zoller, *Phys. Rev. A* **63**, 023611 (2001).
- [10] C. Barcelo, S. Liberati and M. Visser, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **18**, 1137 (2001).
- [11] C. Barcelo, S. Liberati and M. Visser, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **12** 1641 (2003).
- [12] C. Barcelo, S. Liberati and M. Visser, *Phys. Rev. A* **68** 053613 (2003).
- [13] P. O. Fedichev and U. R. Fischer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 240407 (2003).
- [14] P. O. Fedichev and U. R. Fischer, *Phys. Rev. A* **69**, 033602 (2004).
- [15] P. O. Fedichev and U. R. Fischer, *Phys. Rev. D* **69**, 064021 (2004).
- [16] U. R. Fischer and R. Schützhold, *Phys. Rev. A* **70**, 063615 (2004).
- [17] J. E. Lidsey, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **21**, 777 (2004).
- [18] S. E. C. Weinfurtnner, gr-qc/0404063.
- [19] M. Uhlmann, Y. Xu and R. Schutzhold, *New J. Phys.* **7**, 248 (2005).
- [20] P. Jain, S. Weinfurtnner, M. Visser and C. W. Gardiner, *Phys. Rev. A* **76**, 033616 (2007).
- [21] U. Leonhardt, T. Kiss and P. Öhberg, *J. Opt.* **B** 5 S 42 (2003).
- [22] U. Leonhardt, T. Kiss and P. Öhberg, *Phys. Rev. A* **67**, 033602 (2003).
- [23] S. Giovanazzi, C. Farrell, T. Kiss and U. Leonhardt, *Phys. Rev. A* **70**, 063602 (2004).
- [24] S. Giovanazzi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 061302 (2005).
- [25] H. Nakano, Y. Kurita, K. Ogawa and C. M. Yoo, *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 084006 (2005).
- [26] S. Basak, [arXiv:gr-qc/0501097].
- [27] F. Federici, C. Cherubini, S. Succi and M. P. Tosi, [arXiv:gr-qc/0503089].
- [28] S. Wüster and C. M. Savage *Phys. Rev. A* **76** 013608 (2007).
- [29] C. Barcelo, A. Cano, L. J. Garay and G. Jannes, *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 084024 (2007) [arXiv:gr-qc/0701173].
- [30] Y. Kurita and T. Morinari, *Phys. Rev. A* **76**, 053603 (2007).
- [31] H. Takeuchi, M. Tsubota and G. E. Volovik, *J. Low Temp. Phys.* **150**, 528 (2008).
- [32] S. Weinfurtnner, A. White and M. Visser, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 124008 (2007).
- [33] R. Balbinot, A. Fabbri and S. Fagnocchi, [arXiv:0711.4520].
- [34] I. Carusotto, S. Fagnocchi, A. Recati, R. Balbinot and A. Fabbri, [arXiv:0803.0507].
- [35] S. Wüster, *Phys. Rev. A* **78** 021601(R) (2008) [arXiv:0805.1358].
- [36] S. Weinfurtnner, P. Jain, M. Visser and C. W. Gardiner, [arXiv:0801.2673].
- [37] F. Girelli, S. Liberati and L. Sindoni, [arXiv:0807.4910].
- [38] See, for example, A. L. Fetter and J. D. Walecka, "Quantum Theory of Many-Particle Systems" (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1971).
- [39] A. L. Fetter, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **70**, 67 (1972).
- [40] O. Penrose, *Philos. Mag.* **42**, 1373 (1951); O. Penrose and L. Onsager, *Phys. Rev.* **104**, 576 (1956); C. N. Yang, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **34**, 694 (1962).
- [41] V. L. Ginzburg and L. P. Pitaevskii, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **34**, 1240 (1958) [*Sov. Phys. JETP* **7**, 858 (1958)].
- [42] E. P. Gross, *Nuovo Cimento* **20**, 451 (1961); *J. Math. Phys.* **46**, 137 (1963).
- [43] See for ex. : *Quantum fields in curved spaces*, N. D. Birrel and P. C. W. Davies, Cambridge Univ. Press (1982).
- [44] V. I. Yukalov and H. Kleinert, *Phys. Rev. A* **73**, 063612 (2006).
- [45] T. Kita, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **74**, 1891 (2005).