

Entanglement evolution of two remote and non-identical Jaynes-Cummings atoms

Stanley Chan¹, M D Reid² and Z Ficek¹

¹Department of Physics, School of Physical Sciences, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD 4072, Australia

²ARC Centre of Excellence for Quantum-Atom Optics, School of Physical Sciences, The University of Queensland, Brisbane QLD 4072, Australia

Abstract. A detailed treatment of the entanglement dynamics of two distant but non-identical systems is presented. We study the entanglement evolution of two remote atoms interacting independently with a cavity field, as in the double Jaynes-Cummings (J-C) model. The four-qubit pairwise concurrences are studied, allowing for asymmetric atom-cavity couplings and off-resonant interactions. Counter to intuition, imperfect matching can prove advantageous to entanglement creation and evolution. For two types of initial entanglement, corresponding to spin correlated and anti-correlated Bell states Φ and Ψ , a full, periodic and directed transfer of entanglement into a specific qubit pair is possible, for resonant interactions, depending on the choice of relative couplings. Furthermore, entanglement transfer and sudden death (ESD) can be prevented using off-resonant interactions, although for some initial states, detunings will trigger an otherwise frozen entanglement, to allow a full entanglement transfer.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ud, 42.50.Lc, 42.50.Pq

1. Introduction

Entanglement is now regarded to be a resource central to the development of quantum technologies. It has been extensively studied theoretically and creation of entanglement has now been reported in a range of systems, including trapped ions [1], atomic ensembles [2] and photon pairs [3].

A fundamental feature of entanglement is that it is easily degraded when the entangled system interacts with another [4, 5, 6]. Work by Yu and Eberly [6, 7] has shown that the entanglement of two qubits can deteriorate rapidly, to the point of an abrupt total destruction, when coupled to an environment that results in irreversible loss. Recent experiments [8, 9] confirm the sudden death of entanglement (ESD) and there have been further studies investigating this behaviour [10, 11, 12, 15, 13, 14, 17, 16, 18].

Particularly relevant for the purpose of quantum networks are entangled qubits that can be stored, localised and controlled. Many investigations have focused on the storing of entanglement in two level atoms, that are constrained to interact with a single mode field in a cavity [19]. The use of very high Q cavities and Rydberg atoms [20] minimises the irreversible losses of the type studied by Yu and Eberly. In this case, the atom interacts only with the single cavity mode and the Jaynes-Cummings model [21, 22] is realized. The dynamics and control of entanglement between two isolated atoms A and B in a “lattice”, allowed only to interact with two “control” fields a and b , respectively, as in the JC model is thus of fundamental interest [23, 24, 25].

The evolution of the entanglement between two isolated atoms that are each coupled to a field via Jaynes-Cummings cavity has been studied recently by Yonac et al [23, 24] and Sainz and Bjork [14]. By examining the pairwise entanglement using the method of concurrences [26], Yonac et al [23] showed that the atom-atom entanglement is transferred to the cavity-cavity system, and then back again, in a periodic fashion. Two types of initial two-qubit entanglement of the atoms were considered, the Bell state Ψ where the spins are anti-correlated, and the Bell state Φ where spins are correlated. A complete and abrupt loss of atom-atom entanglement (ESD) is found to occur for Φ , provided the initial entanglement is not maximum, though the full entanglement is periodically regained.

In this paper, we study the effect of non-identical JC systems, so that one can control the entanglement between A and B , via manipulation of the localised atom-field interaction or detunings. We present complete entanglement solutions, for the case of asymmetric atom-cavity couplings and off-resonant interactions (detunings), so that all possible pairwise concurrences are calculated. The results reveal that a full periodic and directed transfer of the entanglement from one qubit pair to another occurs with suitable choice of coupling ratios. We find that the full entanglement transfer and ESD can be controlled with the use of suitable detunings, for both initial states Ψ and Φ . Thus the general effect of detuning is to stabilize entanglement. For some initial states however, where entanglement is already constant, the effect of detuning can be to trigger a maximal entanglement.

2. Hamiltonian and energy states

We begin by introducing the Hamiltonian of the system and the energy basis states that we will use to solve for the entanglement creation and evolution.

2.1. The Double Jaynes-Cummings Hamiltonian

We consider a system composed of two separated single mode cavities each containing a single two-level atom, as shown in Fig. 1. In general, the two subsystems may not be identical in that the cavity frequencies and the coupling strengths of the atoms to the cavity modes could be different.




Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the system considered, two distant and non-interacting subsystems 1 and 2 each with a single-mode cavity containing a two-level atom.

The Hamiltonian for the system in the electric-dipole and rotating-wave approximations can be written in the Jaynes Cummings (JC) form

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_{Field} + \hat{H}_{Atom} + \hat{H}_{int}, \quad (1)$$

where

$$\hat{H}_{Field} = \hbar\omega_1 \left(a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \hbar\omega_2 \left(b^\dagger b + \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad (2)$$

is the Hamiltonian of the cavity modes with resonant frequencies ω_1 and ω_2 respectively,

$$\hat{H}_{Atom} = \hbar\omega_0 S_A^z + \hbar\omega_0 S_B^z \quad (3)$$

is the Hamiltonian of the atoms of the transition frequency ω_0 , and

$$\hat{H}_{int} = \hbar g_1 \left(a^\dagger S_A^- + a S_A^\dagger \right) + \hbar g_2 \left(b^\dagger S_B^- + b S_B^\dagger \right) \quad (4)$$

is the interaction Hamiltonian between the atoms and the cavity modes. The parameters g_1 and g_2 are the strengths of the coupling between the atoms and the cavity modes, that can be assumed to be real with no loss of generality. The S_i^+ and S_i^- are respectively the raising and lowering operators of the i th atom, and a^\dagger (a) and b^\dagger (b) are the creation (annihilation) operators for the modes of the cavities labelled a and b , respectively.

In previous treatments [23, 24], the focus of study has been on identical and resonant cavities, where $\omega_1 = \omega_2 = \omega_0$ and $g_1 = g_2$. This makes the two subsystems 1 and 2 non-distinguishable, which might suggest a greater robustness for entanglement creation. By contrast, we will show that asymmetric cavities and cavity-atom detunings can prove advantageous in enabling a control of entanglement. Our objective then is to include $\omega_1 \neq \omega_2 \neq \omega_0$ and $g_1 \neq g_2$, which also has the purpose of better modelling a real experimental situation, where it may be difficult to produce identical cavities. The unequal coupling constants for example may arise when atoms are not in equivalent positions inside the cavities. In addition, we find the case of asymmetric couplings leads to a breakdown of a simple entanglement transfer conservation rule derived by Yonac et al [24], and proved to hold where $g_1 = g_2$.

2.2. Energy basis states

We assume an initial entanglement between the distant atomic systems A and B , and study the evolution of the entanglement in the absence of external losses, where there is a local coupling of each atom to its cavity mode. The energy basis states of the non-interacting Hamiltonian are the product states of those for the atoms and the cavity modes. We denote the energy states of a two-level atom as $|\uparrow\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\rangle$, for the excited and ground states respectively, so that $S^z|\uparrow\rangle = \frac{1}{2}|\uparrow\rangle$ and $S^z|\downarrow\rangle = -\frac{1}{2}|\downarrow\rangle$. The energy states of a cavity are denoted by the Fock states $|n\rangle$, with n being the number of quanta in the cavity mode. The size of the Hilbert space for the system depends on the number of energy quanta involved in the evolution of the atoms and the cavity modes.

We follow the approach of Yonac et al. [23], and consider two different types of initial entanglement, corresponding to the Bell state with spins anti-correlated

$$|\Psi\rangle = \cos\alpha|\uparrow\downarrow 00\rangle + e^{i\beta}\sin\alpha|\downarrow\uparrow 00\rangle, \quad (5)$$

and the Bell state with spins correlated

$$|\Phi\rangle = \cos\alpha|\uparrow\uparrow 00\rangle + e^{i\beta}\sin\alpha|\downarrow\downarrow 00\rangle. \quad (6)$$

Here, $|\uparrow\downarrow ij\rangle$ represents the atom A in the excited (“up”) state, the atom B in the ground (“down”) state, and i and j photons in the cavity modes a and b , respectively. We will use the notation Ψ and $|\Psi\rangle$ interchangeably, to represent the quantum Bell state. Following Yonac et al. [23], we allow for an initial non-maximal entanglement state by incorporating the parameter α .

Firstly, in Section 3, we consider the initial state $|\Psi\rangle$ where there is only a single excitation present, so that the space of the system is spanned by four state vectors, defined as follows:

$$|\Psi_1\rangle = |\uparrow\downarrow 00\rangle, \quad |\Psi_2\rangle = |\downarrow\uparrow 00\rangle, \quad |\Psi_3\rangle = |\downarrow\downarrow 10\rangle, \quad |\Psi_4\rangle = |\downarrow\downarrow 01\rangle. \quad (7)$$

In addition, we will study the “delocalised” entangled states of type $\sum_{i=1}^4 |\Psi_i\rangle/2$, where the component states have equal weightings, to show that such states can give a stable, albeit reduced, entanglement.

Secondly, in Section 4, we will consider the case where there are two excitations present, one in each system, so the space of the system is spanned by the vectors

$$|\Phi_1\rangle = |\uparrow\uparrow 00\rangle, \quad |\Phi_2\rangle = |\uparrow\downarrow 01\rangle, \quad |\Phi_3\rangle = |\downarrow\uparrow 10\rangle, \quad |\Phi_4\rangle = |\downarrow\downarrow 11\rangle. \quad (8)$$

In this case we also include the ground state of the system

$$|0\rangle = |\Phi_0\rangle = |\downarrow\downarrow 00\rangle \quad (9)$$

for which there is no excitation present, so that we can specifically study the case where the initial entanglement is in the form of the Bell state (6).

We will quantify entanglement by using the measure of concurrence, introduced by Wootters [26]. The concurrence is defined as

$$C = \max\left(0, \sqrt{\lambda_1} - \sqrt{\lambda_2} - \sqrt{\lambda_3} - \sqrt{\lambda_4}\right), \quad (10)$$

where λ_i are the eigenvalues of the density matrix $\rho' = \rho(\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y)\rho^*(\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y)$, and σ_y is the Pauli matrix in y direction. The maximum possible entanglement is given by $C = 1$, while $C = 0$ implies separability. The state is entangled (inseparable) for $C > 0$. One can calculate that the concurrence for the states $|\Psi\rangle$ and $|\Phi\rangle$ is

$$C_{12} = 2 \sin \alpha \cos \alpha, \quad (11)$$

to indicate maximal entanglement for $\alpha = \pi/4$.

The transfer of entanglement between the two qubit subsystems is governed by rules derived recently by Yonac et al. [24], and Chan et al. [27]. Sainz and Bjork [14] have also presented an entanglement invariant, for the case of the double JC model. Chan et al. [27] have proved the following result for entanglement initially in the form of the Bell state $|\Psi\rangle$:

$$C_{AB}^2 + C_{Ab}^2 + C_{aB}^2 + C_{ab}^2 = C_{12}^2. \quad (12)$$

The total non-local pairwise entanglement, as measured by the concurrence squared, is conserved, where C_{12} is defined as in Eq. (11) to be the bipartite entanglement between the systems we label 1 and 2. In fact, from the work of Chan et al., it is seen that this rule applies to any initial state for which there is a single-excitation (refer to Section 3). For the case of symmetric JC couplings, where $g_1 = g_2$, Yonac et al [24] have proved that in fact the following simpler result also holds, if the atoms are initially in the Bell state $|\Psi\rangle$:

$$C_{AB} + C_{ab} = C_{12}. \quad (13)$$

For the case of entanglement initially in the form of the Bell state $|\Phi\rangle$, the pairwise entanglement is not conserved [28], and following inequality is always valid [27] for non-interacting systems 1 and 2:

$$0 \leq C_{AB}^2 + C_{Ab}^2 + C_{aB}^2 + C_{ab}^2 \leq C_{12}^2. \quad (14)$$

3. Evolution of single-excitation states

3.1. Dynamical solutions

We consider first the dynamics of the system in a pure state where only one excitation is present, so that the state of the system is always of the form

$$|\Psi_T(t)\rangle = \cos \alpha |10\rangle + e^{i\beta} \sin \alpha |01\rangle. \quad (15)$$

The entanglement properties of such a state for the case of perfect coupling have been studied in detail by Yonac et al. [23, 24]. We allow for the possibility of imperfect couplings of the atoms to the cavity modes, where detunings can be non-zero and coupling constants different. For the purpose of solution, we introduce the wave function of the system

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = d_1(t) |\uparrow\downarrow 00\rangle + d_2(t) |\downarrow\uparrow 00\rangle + d_3(t) |\downarrow\downarrow 10\rangle + d_4(t) |\downarrow\downarrow 01\rangle, \quad (16)$$

which is a linear combination of the available product states (7) of the atoms and the cavity modes at time t . The coefficient $d_i(t)$ determines the probability amplitude of the i th state at time t .

We find the coefficients $d_i(t)$ by solving the Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} |\phi(t)\rangle = \hat{H} |\phi(t)\rangle. \quad (17)$$

It is easily verified that the coefficients satisfy the differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{d}_1 &= -ig_1 d_3, & \dot{d}_2 &= -ig_2 d_4, \\ \dot{d}_3 &= 2i\Delta_1 d_3 - ig_1 d_1, & \dot{d}_4 &= 2i\Delta_2 d_4 - ig_2 d_2, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where $2\Delta_j = (\omega_0 - \omega_j)$ is the detuning of the j th cavity frequency from the atomic transition frequency.

Equations (18) form decoupled pairs of differential equations that can be easily solved by using e.g. the Laplace transform technique. A simple solution of the equations, valid for an arbitrary initial state is given by

$$\begin{aligned} d_1(t) &= \frac{e^{i\Delta_1 t}}{\Omega_1} \{ \Omega_1 d_1(0) \cos(\Omega_1 t) - i [\Delta_1 d_1(0) + g_1 d_3(0)] \sin(\Omega_1 t) \}, \\ d_3(t) &= \frac{e^{i\Delta_1 t}}{\Omega_1} \{ \Omega_1 d_3(0) \cos(\Omega_1 t) + i [\Delta_1 d_3(0) - g_1 d_1(0)] \sin(\Omega_1 t) \}, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where $\Omega_1 = \sqrt{g_1^2 + \Delta_1^2}$ is a detuned Rabi frequency, $d_1(0)$ and $d_3(0)$ are the initial values of the probability amplitudes. Corresponding expressions for the coefficients $d_2(t)$ and $d_4(t)$, which determine the evolution of second cavity system, are obtained from (19) by exchanging $1 \rightarrow 2$ and $3 \rightarrow 4$, and replacing g_1 by g_2 , and Δ_1 by Δ_2 .

The probability amplitudes oscillate sinusoidally with the Rabi frequency Ω_1 , and their dynamics is strongly affected by the modulation term that depends on the detuning Δ_1 and the coupling strength g_j between the atom and the corresponding cavity mode. These modulation terms vanish periodically at time $t_n = n\pi/\Omega_1$. It is worth noting that the detuning enters the solutions in an antisymmetric way, whereas

the coupling strength enters the solutions in a symmetric way. This difference will be evident in the features of the time evolution of entanglement in the system. It is also worth pointing out that each of the amplitudes is influenced by the initial value of the other. This fact will also have a crucial effect on the evolution of an entanglement in the system.

Solutions (19) differ from previous results of Ref. [24] in that non-zero detunings and unequal coupling strengths are included. Non-vanishing detunings and differences between the coupling strengths lead to new aspects of the entanglement creation and evolution that differ qualitatively and quantitatively from those observed under exact resonance and equal couplings.

3.2. Single-excitation entanglement concurrences

Our interest is centered principally on the evolution of entanglement between the different parts of the two two-qubit subsystems 1 and 2. By denoting the two atoms as A and B , and the corresponding cavity modes as a and b , we may distinguish six pairs of sub-systems AB, ab, Aa, Ab, Ba and Bb , as investigated by Yonac et al [23, 24].

To calculate the entanglement between any two qubit pair IJ , for example the atoms A and B , we take the trace over the other subsystems, to evaluate the reduced density matrix, from which the eigenvalues can be calculated. In general, the reduced states are two qubit mixtures, and have a density matrix of the general ‘‘X-form’’ [17, 16]

$$\rho_{IJ} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & z \\ 0 & b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c & 0 \\ z^* & 0 & 0 & d \end{pmatrix}, \quad (20)$$

which has the concurrence

$$C_{IJ} = 2 \max\{0, |z| - \sqrt{bc}\}. \quad (21)$$

We solve for all the two qubit concurrences, $C_{AB}, C_{ab}, C_{Aa}, C_{Bb}, C_{Ab}, C_{Ba}$, and find that in terms of the probability amplitudes, their time evolutions are given by the simple expressions

$$\begin{aligned} C_{AB}(t) &= 2|d_1(t)||d_2(t)|, & C_{ab}(t) &= 2|d_3(t)||d_4(t)| \\ C_{Aa}(t) &= 2|d_1(t)||d_3(t)|, & C_{aB}(t) &= 2|d_2(t)||d_3(t)| \\ C_{Ab}(t) &= 2|d_1(t)||d_4(t)|, & C_{Bb}(t) &= 2|d_2(t)||d_4(t)|. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

There are several remarks that can be made about the general results for the concurrence measures, before we proceed to the detailed analysis of the entanglement evolution. In the first place, we observe that an entanglement between the subsystems 1 and 2 cannot be created in time if initially the excitation was entirely in the 1 or 2 subsystem, so that $C_{AB}(t), C_{ab}(t), C_{Ab}(t), C_{Ba}(t)$ are all initially zero. Since the subsystems 1 and 2 are not directly coupled to each other, no transfer of the excitation, and hence entanglement,

is possible during the evolution. This means that an initial entanglement between the subsystems must be imposed to observe any entanglement evolution.

Secondly, we note that an entanglement between A and a or between B and b can be created in time if the excitation is either in the 1 or 2 subsystem. This is easy to understand. Since the atoms interact with the cavity modes to which they are coupled, they can share the excitation with the corresponding mode.

Finally, if initially $C_{Aa} = 1$ or $C_{Bb} = 1$, to indicate maximum possible entanglement between each atom and its local cavity mode, one can easily show that the entanglements remain constant (frozen) in time unless the detunings Δ_1 or Δ_2 are different from zero. In this case, the entanglement measures evolve in time, but cannot be zero.

3.3. Initial states that result in a “frozen” pairwise entanglement evolution

There is the question of which initial states have stable, or “frozen”, entanglement properties under the evolution of the J-C Hamiltonian. We consider the superposition with a uniform population distribution over the available energy states (7). We write

$$|\Psi_0\rangle = \frac{1}{2} (|\Psi_1\rangle + e^{i\theta}|\Psi_3\rangle \pm (|\Psi_2\rangle - e^{i\phi}|\Psi_4\rangle)), \quad (23)$$

where θ and ϕ are arbitrary phase factors. For these states, in the case of zero detunings, we see from (22) that all of the two-qubit entanglement between pairs remains constant with time. In this case, the entanglement is maximally shared (we call this “delocalized”) between the six subsystem pairs, so $C_{AB}(0) = C_{Ab}(0) = \dots = C_{Bb}(0) = 1/2$ (see Fig. 2), in accordance with the rule (12). Thus, we see that where detunings are zero and for symmetric coupling constants $g_1 = g_2$, the superposition state (23) is a stationary state of the system, and hence must maintain fixed entanglement features. Interestingly though, this stability of all two-qubit entanglement concurrences is also predicted for asymmetric couplings, where $g_1 \neq g_2$.

The effect of detunings Δ on the time evolution of the atom-atom concurrence $C_{AB}(t)$ is quite different. For the initial state (23), the evolution of concurrence C_{AB} is plotted in Fig. 2 for equal coupling constants $g_1 = g_2 = g$. The atomic concurrence varies in time only for nonzero detuning and the time evolution of the concurrence is not symmetric with respect to the sign of the detunings. The dependence on time of the atom-atom concurrence $C_{AB}(t)$ changes qualitatively as well as quantitatively when the detunings Δ are varied from positive to negative values. A large and even *maximal* entanglement between the atoms can be created when the detunings are *positive*, whereas the initial atomic entanglement is reduced and can even be suppressed when the detunings are *negative*. We note that from the equality (12), a maximum entanglement ($C_{AB} = 1$) between atoms will imply zero entanglement between the two cavities. When the atom-atom entanglement is maximum, at $C_{AB} = 1$, the cavity-cavity entanglement is zero, at $C_{ab} = 0$, and vice versa, so that this result also implies a channeling into the cavity-cavity system. In addition, an important result is that the maximum atomic entanglement $C_{AB} = 1$ is created when the ratios $\Delta_1/g_1 = \Delta_2/g_2 = 1$. Otherwise, the atomic entanglement is reduced.

The effect of detuning implies that one can engineer the direction of evolution of entanglement by controlling the detuning. By this we mean that an initial “non-localized” fully symmetric entanglement and population, as given by (23) can be transferred to a desired “localized” atom-atom entanglement and population by a suitable choice of the detunings: positive detuning can channel entanglement entirely into the atoms, or cavities, at appropriate times. “Localized” in this context means that the entanglement exists solely between the two atoms, or between the two fields, as in (5).

3.4. Entanglement evolution for the Bell state Ψ

Next, we consider the evolution of the concurrences for the following Bell initial state

$$|\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\Psi_1\rangle \pm |\Psi_2\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\downarrow 00\rangle \pm |\downarrow\uparrow 00\rangle), \quad (24)$$

where the entanglement is restricted to just the two atoms.

Here the population is solely localized in the atoms, and the entanglement of the pair is maximum, corresponding to $C_{AB} = 1$. The time evolution of the concurrence measures is given by

$$\begin{aligned} C_{AB}(t) &= |\cos(\Omega_1 gt)| |\cos(\Omega_2 gt)|, & C_{ab}(t) &= |\sin(\Omega_1 gt)| |\sin(\Omega_2 gt)|, \\ C_{Aa}(t) &= |\cos(\Omega_1 gt)| |\sin(\Omega_1 gt)|, & C_{Ab}(t) &= |\cos(\Omega_1 gt)| |\sin(\Omega_2 gt)|, \\ C_{Ba}(t) &= |\cos(\Omega_2 gt)| |\sin(\Omega_1 gt)|, & C_{Bb}(t) &= |\sin(\Omega_2 gt)| |\cos(\Omega_2 gt)|, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

for the case of exact resonances $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = 0$ and unequal coupling strengths, $g_1 \neq g_2$. Here $\Omega_1 = (1 + u/g)$ and $\Omega_2 = (1 - u/g)$ are dimensionless Rabi frequencies, with




Figure 2. Time evolution of the concurrence measure C_{AB} showing stability of entanglement between atoms for zero detunings $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = 0$, and arbitrary g_1, g_2 , for the initial state (23) (solid line). The dashed lines reveal the effects of detuning, where $g_1 = g_2 = g$: $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = g$ (dashed line), $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = -g$ (dashed-dotted line), $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = 2g$ (dotted line).

$g = (g_1 + g_2)/2$ and $u = (g_1 - g_2)/2$.

For equal coupling strengths $g_1 = g_2 \equiv g$ and non-zero detunings, the bipartite concurrence measures are

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_{AB}(t) &= \cos^2(\Omega gt) + \left(\frac{\delta}{\Omega}\right)^2 \sin^2(\Omega gt), \\
 C_{ab}(t) &= \frac{1}{\Omega^2} \sin^2(\Omega t), \\
 C_{Aa}(t) &= C_{Ab}(t) = C_{Ba}(t) = C_{Bb}(t) = \frac{1}{\Omega} \sin(\Omega gt) \\
 &\quad \times \left[\cos^2(\Omega gt) + \left(\frac{\delta}{\Omega}\right)^2 \sin^2(\Omega gt) \right]^{1/2}, \tag{26}
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have simplified the detunings to $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2 \equiv \Delta$ and have introduced a dimensionless Rabi frequency, the same for both subsystems, $\Omega = (1 + \delta^2)^{1/2}$ with $\delta = \Delta/g$.




Figure 3. Oscillation of the concurrence measures for equal coupling strengths, $g_1 = g_2 = g$ and exact resonance $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = 0$, for the initial Bell state Ψ , given by (24). $C_{AB}(t)$ (solid line), $C_{ab}(t)$ (dashed line), and $C_{Aa}(t), C_{aB}(t), C_{Ab}(t), C_{bB}(t)$ (dashed-dotted line). Full transfer of entanglement from atoms to cavity modes takes place at $t = n\pi/2\Omega$, where $n = 1, 3, 5, \dots$, in accordance with both conservation rules (12) and (13). Only (12) holds for asymmetric g 's (see Fig. (4)).

These expressions are plotted in Fig. 3 to reveal the periodic transfer of entanglement from atoms to cavity modes, and vice versa. The oscillatory behaviour of the atom-atom concurrence was reported by Yonac et al. [24]. We note the transfer process of entanglement from the atoms to the cavity modes does not involve just the pairs C_{AB} and C_{ab} . By inspection of the time evolution of the concurrence measures in Fig. 3, we find that the initial maximal entanglement between the atoms is not only totally transferred to the cavity modes, but at the same time an additional pairwise entanglement is created during the evolution. This can also be seen by summing the pair

concurrence measures to find that at times $t_n = n\pi/4\Omega$, where $n = 1, 3, 5, \dots$, the total pair entanglement is larger than one. As time progresses, a part of the entanglement is transferred into the other pairs of the subsystems. Then, after a further interval, the entanglement is completely transferred into the cavity modes. The additional entanglement vanishes at times $t_n = n\pi/2\Omega$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, when the transfer process is completed.




Figure 4. Time evolution for the single-excitation state, showing the conservation of the sum of the individual pairwise 1 – 2 concurrences (SSPC): C_{AB} (solid line); C_{ab} (dotted line); C_{12} (dashed-dotted line); $C_{AB} + C_{ab}$ (dashed line). The total $C_{AB}^2 + C_{ab}^2 + C_{Ab}^2 + C_{aB}^2$ is equal to $C_{12}^2 = 1$ as indicated by (12). Here $\Delta = 0$, $g_1 = 2g_2$, $g = (g_1 + g_2)/2$ and $\alpha = \pi/4$. We note that in this case, $C_{AB} + C_{ab}$ is not constant, and the conservation rule (13) breaks down.

We note however that the nonlocal entanglement, as defined by (12) is conserved throughout the transfer process. For the case of $g_1 = g_2$ the rule (13) also applies, as is evident in Fig. 3. Where there is asymmetry in the interaction, so we have non-identical cavities, this rule breaks down, though the general conservation rule (12) still applies. This is evident in Fig. 4 and has been noted by Chan et al. [27].

Another interesting prediction of (25) is that complete entanglement transfer from the atoms to the cavity fields requires exact resonances but not necessarily equal coupling constants. To examine the effect of detuning first, in Figures 5 and 6, we note that where detunings Δ_1 and Δ_2 are nonzero, the localized initial entanglement is not completely transferred to another pair of qubits (see Fig. 7). Detuning increases the oscillation frequency and decreases the minimum entanglement between the atoms. This is in complete contrast to the case of the initially completely symmetric entanglement, where entanglement transfer is possible only for a nonzero detuning. It is interesting, however, that the atomic entanglement returns to its initial maximum value periodically with the detuned Rabi frequency. This can be understood in terms of the localization of the initial energy. When $\Delta \neq 0$, only a part of the initial energy, proportional to ω_0 is transferred to the cavity modes leaving the excess energy unlocalized. We illustrate this

situation in Fig. 5, where we plot the concurrence measure $C_{AB}(t)$ as a function of time and the detuning $\Delta \equiv \Delta_1 = \Delta_2$, and note that a nonzero detuning can thus be used to stabilise, or “freeze”, the entanglement between the atoms.

A second advantage to be given by imperfectly matched and asymmetric systems lies in the use of asymmetric couplings. We examine the effect of $g_1 \neq g_2$, which has in part been studied by Sainz and Bjork [14] and Cavalcanti et al [15]. These authors reveal that a periodic revival of full entanglement between atoms is possible, as well as a full transfer of entanglement to cavity systems. By studying all the pairwise concurrences, we report the new result, that for cavities with unequal rather than equal coupling strengths, one can engineer the transfer process so that the initial entanglement is fully transferred into a desired qubit pair. It is of practical importance to know if an initial localized entanglement can be transferred on demand with the perfect fidelity to a particular pair of qubits. Consider the time evolution of the initial atomic Bell state Ψ , as given by (24). In the case of unequal coupling strengths, the entanglement evolution is described by (25) from which one can easily find that if the ratio $n_g = g_1/g_2$ is not an integer number or a fraction of an integer number, no complete transfer of the state (24) is possible to any of the qubit pairs. The complete transfer is possible *only* if n_g is an integer number or a fraction of an integer number. However, the destination to where the initial entanglement can be completely transferred depends on whether n_g is an even or an odd integer number.

If n_g is an even number, the initial maximal entanglement between the atoms, $C_{AB}(0) = 1$, can be completely transferred only to the atom-field qubit pair $C_{\alpha b}$. On the other hand, if n_g is a fraction of an even number, the initial entanglement between the atoms can be completely transferred only to the atomic-field qubit pair C_{Ba} . We




Figure 5. Effect of detuning on the entanglement evolution of the Bell state Ψ , given by (24). The concurrence measure C_{AB} is plotted as a function of time and detuning Δ . Here $g_1 = g_2 = g$. Detuning stabilises the entanglement between atoms and decreases the period of entanglement revival.




Figure 6. Effect of detuning on the evolution of the atom-atom concurrence C_{AB} , for asymmetric g 's, and where the initial state is the Bell state Ψ , given by (24). Here $g_1 = 2g_2$, and $g = (g_1 + g_2)/2$. Effect is similar to the case of symmetric g 's, except for the modulation of the period of oscillation.




Figure 7. Time evolution of the concurrences C_{AB} (solid line), C_{ab} (dotted line) for the Bell state Ψ , showing the effect of detuning. The transfer of entanglement from atoms to cavities is not complete, but a full periodic transfer back to atoms occurs. Here, $\Delta = 2g$ and $g_1 = g_2 = g$. We note that in this case, the simple conservation rule (13) of Yonac et al [24] holds.

illustrate this situation in Fig. 8, where we plot the concurrence of the different qubit pairs as a function of time for exact resonances but unequal coupling strengths with $n_g = 2$. It is evident from the figure that at particular discrete times, the initial entanglement between the atoms is completely transferred to the qubit pair C_{Ba} . The transfer of the entanglement to other pairs of qubits is incomplete at all times, the concurrences oscillate between zero and certain values below unity. The reason for this feature of the entanglement transfer can be understood intuitively by noting that for $n_g = 2$ the Rabi frequency Ω_1 of the population oscillation in the subsystem 1 is twice that of the Rabi frequency Ω_2 for the population oscillation in the subsystem 2. This means that over a complete Rabi cycle $\Omega_1 t = \pi$, the initial population in the subsystem 1 returns to the atom, but at the same time the population makes a half Rabi cycle in the subsystem 2, i.e. the excitation in system 2 will be in the cavity mode. Thus, $C_{Ab} = 1$ at that time, with the concurrence in the other qubit pairs equal to zero.

We now consider the situation where the ratio $n_g = g_1/g_2$ is an odd integer number. Like the previous case of even n_g , the initial entanglement can be completely transferred to only one of the qubit pairs, in this case, to the cavity modes. This is illustrated in Fig. 9, where we plot the time evolution of the concurrence of the qubit pairs for the exact resonance but unequal coupling strengths with $n_g = 3$. During the evolution, the initial entanglement $C_{AB}(0) = 1$ is completely transferred to the cavity modes, $C_{ab}(t) = 1$ at $\Omega_1 t = 2\pi$. Again, the transfer of the entanglement to only one of the entanglement pairs can be understood in terms of the Rabi cycles of the population in each of the subsystems. Since Ω_1 is triple Ω_2 , over the one and half of a Rabi-cycle in the subsystem 1, the population is completely transferred to the cavity mode a , and




Figure 8. Effect of asymmetric coupling constants on the evolution of the entanglement of the initial Bell state Ψ , given by (24). Concurrence measures are evaluated for resonance $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = 0$ and $g_1 = 2g_2$: $C_{AB}(t)$ (solid line), $C_{Ab}(t)$ (slim line). In this case, maximum entanglement is transferred periodically from atoms AB to the atom-field system Ab .




Figure 9. Effect of asymmetric coupling constants on the evolution of the entanglement of the initial Bell state Ψ , given by (24). The concurrence measures are evaluated for $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = 0$ and $g_1 = 3g_2$: $C_{AB}(t)$ (solid line), $C_{ab}(t)$ (dashed line). In this case, maximum entanglement is transferred to the field-field system ab .

at the same time, the population in the subsystem 2 is also completely transferred to the cavity mode b . Consequently, at this time, the cavity modes become maximally entangled with $C_{ab}(t) = 1$.

In concluding this section, we would like to emphasize that we have found a significant difference between the evolutions of initial “fully symmetric non-localized” and “targeted localized” entanglements. That is, we have found that the “non-localized” entanglement of states (23) evolves only if the atoms are imperfectly coupled to the cavity modes, so that detunings Δ are nonzero. On the other hand, where entanglement is initially targeted solely to the atoms, as in (24), large detunings provide a means of stabilising the entanglement so it cannot be completely transferred to the field system. Moreover, for zero detunings in this case, one can achieve complete transfer of an initial entanglement to a desired pair of qubits, this transfer process being steered by changing the ratio between the coupling strengths g of the atoms to the cavity modes.

4. Evolution of two-excitation entanglement

We now turn to the problem of entanglement dynamics when two excitations are can be present. This allows us to consider the evolution for the case of the Bell state

$$|\Phi\rangle = \cos \alpha |\uparrow\uparrow 00\rangle + e^{i\theta} \sin \alpha |\downarrow\downarrow 00\rangle, \quad (27)$$

which has been studied previously [23], and found to undergo an abrupt depletion of entanglement for $\alpha \neq \pi/4$, called “sudden death of entanglement” (ESD).

Using the Schrödinger equation, we set up equations of motion for the probability amplitudes and then solve them to study the dynamics of the entanglement. It is easy to show that the equations of motion for the probability amplitudes of the states (8)

group into three independent sets of coupled equations. We define amplitudes for the wavefunction as

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\Psi\rangle = & d_1(t)|\uparrow\uparrow 00\rangle + d_2(t)|\uparrow\downarrow 01\rangle + d_3(t)|\downarrow\uparrow 10\rangle + d_4(t)|\downarrow\downarrow 11\rangle \\
 & + d_0(t)|\downarrow\downarrow 00\rangle
 \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

in terms of the energy basis states defined by (8). The evolution of this set involves four equations

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dot{\tilde{d}}_1 &= -i(\Delta_1 + \Delta_2)\tilde{d}_1 - i(g_2\tilde{d}_2 + g_1\tilde{d}_3), \\
 \dot{\tilde{d}}_2 &= -i(\Delta_1 - \Delta_2)\tilde{d}_2 - i(g_2\tilde{d}_1 + g_1\tilde{d}_4), \\
 \dot{\tilde{d}}_3 &= i(\Delta_1 - \Delta_2)\tilde{d}_3 - i(g_1\tilde{d}_1 + g_2\tilde{d}_4), \\
 \dot{\tilde{d}}_4 &= i(\Delta_1 + \Delta_2)\tilde{d}_4 - i(g_1\tilde{d}_2 + g_2\tilde{d}_3).
 \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

We note the remaining equations that would arise by including the possibility of two quanta per site form two independent sets, namely

$$\dot{\tilde{d}}_5 = -i\sqrt{2}g_1\tilde{d}_6, \quad \dot{\tilde{d}}_6 = i\Delta_1\tilde{d}_6 - i\sqrt{2}g_1\tilde{d}_5, \tag{30}$$

and

$$\dot{\tilde{d}}_7 = -i\sqrt{2}g_2\tilde{d}_8, \quad \dot{\tilde{d}}_8 = i\Delta_2\tilde{d}_8 - i\sqrt{2}g_2\tilde{d}_7, \tag{31}$$

where states 5–8 are $|\uparrow\downarrow 10\rangle$, $|\downarrow\downarrow 20\rangle$, $|\downarrow\uparrow 01\rangle$, $|\downarrow\downarrow 02\rangle$ respectively. We have removed the fast oscillating terms by introducing a rotating frame through the relation

$$\tilde{d}_i = e^{-i(\omega_1 + \omega_2)t} d_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 8. \tag{32}$$

As before for the single excitation, we have allowed for the possibility of imperfect couplings of the atoms to the cavity modes by introducing detunings Δ_1 and Δ_2 , and unequal coupling strengths g_1 and g_2 .

Note that the dynamics of the coupled amplitudes $d_5(t)$ and $d_6(t)$ as well as $d_7(t)$ and $d_8(t)$ involve, in fact, an exchange of a single photon between the atoms and the cavity modes to which they are coupled. They can display an entanglement during the evolution, but the dynamics will be similar to that discussed in Sec. III for a single excitation. Therefore, we will not consider the evolution of these states and will focus instead only on the evolution of the other two-photon states that involve simultaneous evolution of two excitations.

Although the set of equations of motion (29) involves four coupled equations, it is analytically solvable. We can put Eqs. (29) into a matrix form and solve them by the matrix inversion. Due to the complexity of the general solution, we study separately the time evolution of the probability amplitudes for two cases: (1) non-zero detunings and equal coupling strengths, (2) zero detunings and unequal coupling strengths.

Instead of the four equations for \tilde{d}_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$), we introduce the following combinations of the probability amplitudes

$$\begin{aligned}
 d^{(1)}(t) &= \tilde{d}_1(t) + \tilde{d}_4(t), \quad d^{(2)}(t) = \tilde{d}_1(t) - \tilde{d}_4(t), \\
 d^{(3)}(t) &= \tilde{d}_2(t) + \tilde{d}_3(t), \quad d^{(4)}(t) = \tilde{d}_2(t) - \tilde{d}_3(t),
 \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

and find that in the first case, the time evolution of the amplitudes is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 d^{(1)}(t) &= d^{(1)}(0) \cos(\Omega gt) - \frac{2i}{\Omega} [d^{(3)}(0) + \delta d^{(2)}(0)] \sin(\Omega gt), \\
 d^{(2)}(t) &= \frac{4}{\Omega^2} [d^{(2)}(0) - \delta d^{(3)}(0)] + \frac{4\delta}{\Omega^2} [d^{(3)}(0) + \delta d^{(2)}(0)] \cos(\Omega gt) \\
 &\quad - \frac{2i\delta}{\Omega} d^{(1)}(0) \sin(\Omega gt), \\
 d^{(3)}(t) &= \frac{4\delta}{\Omega^2} [\delta d^{(3)}(0) - d^{(2)}(0)] + \frac{4}{\Omega^2} [d^{(3)}(0) + \delta d^{(2)}(0)] \cos(\Omega gt) \\
 &\quad - \frac{2i}{\Omega} d^{(1)}(0) \sin(\Omega gt), \\
 d^{(4)}(t) &= d^{(4)}(0),
 \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

where the detunings are simplified to $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2 \equiv \Delta$, so we have introduced a dimensionless Rabi frequency, the same for both subsystems, $\Omega = 2(1 + \delta^2)^{1/2}$ with $\delta = \Delta/g$.

For the second case of exact resonance but unequal coupling strengths, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 d^{(1)}(t) &= d^{(1)}(0) \cos(2gt) - id^{(3)}(0) \sin(2gt), \\
 d^{(2)}(t) &= d^{(2)}(0) \cos(2ut) + id^{(4)}(0) \sin(2ut), \\
 d^{(3)}(t) &= d^{(3)}(0) \cos(2gt) - id^{(1)}(0) \sin(2gt), \\
 d^{(4)}(t) &= d^{(4)}(0) \cos(2ut) + id^{(2)}(0) \sin(2ut),
 \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

where, as before for one-photon states, $g = (g_1 + g_2)/2$ and $u = (g_1 - g_2)/2$.

4.1. Entanglement evolution for the double-excitation state

We first investigate the evolution of the bipartite entanglement with two quanta present in the system, but where there is always one excitation in each of the subsystems 1 and 2. The initial state of the system is a linear superposition of the form

$$|\Psi\rangle = d_1(t)|\uparrow\uparrow 00\rangle + d_2(t)|\uparrow\downarrow 01\rangle + d_3(t)|\downarrow\uparrow 10\rangle + d_4(t)|\downarrow\downarrow 11\rangle. \tag{36}$$

This state cannot give any entanglement in the atom-atom subsystem, regardless of the values of the coefficients involved. This is readily seen by evaluating the reduced density operator for the atom systems. We evaluate

$$\rho_{AB} = \text{Tr}_{cavity}\rho = \sum_{i,j=0,1} \langle i|\langle j|\rho|j\rangle|i\rangle, \tag{37}$$

where $|i\rangle$ and $|j\rangle$ refer to cavity modes a and b respectively, to get

$$\rho_{AB} = |d_1(t)|^2|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle + |d_2(t)|^2|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + |d_3(t)|^2|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle + |d_4(t)|^2|\downarrow\downarrow\rangle, \tag{38}$$

which is a mixture of separable states and hence cannot be entangled. The atom-atom bipartite entanglement is always zero, $C_{AB}(t) = 0$. The same conclusion applies to the bipartite entanglements $C_{ab}(t)$, $C_{Ab}(t)$ and $C_{Ba}(t)$. The only non-zero concurrence possible is for the qubit pairs $C_{Aa}(t)$ and $C_{Bb}(t)$. In other words, an entanglement can be created during the evolution between the atoms and the cavity modes to which they

are coupled. The amount of entanglement that can be created in these pairs can be determined from the relations

$$C_{Aa}(t) = 2|\tilde{d}_1(t)\tilde{d}_3^*(t) + \tilde{d}_2(t)\tilde{d}_4^*(t)|, \quad (39)$$

and

$$C_{Bb}(t) = 2|\tilde{d}_1(t)\tilde{d}_2^*(t) + \tilde{d}_3(t)\tilde{d}_4^*(t)|. \quad (40)$$

Figure 10 shows the entanglement creation and evolution between the atom A and the cavity mode a , with an initial separable state $|\uparrow\uparrow 00\rangle$. Similar entanglement properties appear between the atom B and the cavity mode b . We see that an entanglement between the atom and the cavity mode is created during the transfer process of the excitation between them. The maximum entanglement is observed at times when the excitation is equally shared between the atom and the cavity mode, and vanishes when the excitation is completely located at either the atom or the cavity mode. In terms of the Rabi oscillations, the system becomes disentangled at every half of the Rabi cycle of the oscillation, i.e. at $gt = n\pi/\Omega$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$).




Figure 10. Evolution of the concurrence $C_{Aa}(t)$ for the initial separable state $|\uparrow\uparrow 00\rangle$ and two different detunings: $\Delta = 0$ (solid line) and $\Delta = g$ (dashed line).

Similar to the case of single excitation states of Section 3, the system becomes entangled for a longer time when the frequencies of the atom and the cavity field are detuned from each other. In other words, an imperfect matching between the atom and the cavity field leads to a more stable entanglement than in the case of the perfect matching. In terms of the Rabi oscillations, the system becomes disentangled only at every Rabi cycle of the oscillation, i.e. at $gt = 2n\pi/\Omega$. This is easy to understand if one considers entanglement as resulting from a superposition corresponding to a delocalization of energy. When the frequencies of the atom and the cavity mode are different, the initial amount of energy localized in the atom is not completely transferred to the cavity mode. A part of the energy, not absorbed by the cavity mode remains delocalized, which results in a nonzero entanglement.

4.2. Inclusion of the auxiliary state $|0\rangle$

The above analysis has showed that no pairwise entanglement is possible between any parts of the two subsystems 1 and 2 when we have with certainty a single excitation in each of 1 and 2. We can introduce entanglement by including in the wave function an auxiliary state, the ground state $|0\rangle$ for which there is no excitation [23]:

$$|0\rangle = |\downarrow\downarrow 00\rangle. \quad (41)$$

The evolution of the entire wave function can be written explicitly as

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi\rangle = & d_1(t)|\uparrow\uparrow 00\rangle + d_2(t)|\uparrow\downarrow 01\rangle + d_3(t)|\downarrow\uparrow 10\rangle + d_4(t)|\downarrow\downarrow 11\rangle \\ & + d_0(t)|\downarrow\downarrow 00\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

It is easy to show that the state (41) is not the eigenstate of the Hamiltonian (1). Therefore, the probability amplitude d_0 does not evolve in time, $d_0(t) = d_0(0)$. As before for the single-excitation states, we will examine the entanglement between different pairs of the subsystems and its transient behaviour especially under imperfect matching conditions. For this general case, the pairwise concurrences between the subsystems are found to be

$$\begin{aligned} C_{AB}(t) &= \max\{0, 2(|d_1(t)||d_0(t)| - |d_2(t)||d_3(t)|)\}, \\ C_{ab}(t) &= \max\{0, 2(|d_4(t)||d_0(t)| - |d_2(t)||d_3(t)|)\}, \\ C_{Ab}(t) &= \max\{0, 2(|d_2(t)||d_0(t)| - |d_1(t)||d_4(t)|)\}, \\ C_{aB}(t) &= \max\{0, 2(|d_3(t)||d_0(t)| - |d_1(t)||d_4(t)|)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Evidently, we see that entanglement between the pairs of subsystems is possible *only* if the ground state is included.

We note interesting properties of the concurrence and differences between the single and double-excitation cases. Firstly, the concurrences appear as differences of products of the absolute values of the probability amplitudes. This gives a possibility for discontinuities in the behavior of entanglement that was absent in the case of the one-excitation, where the concurrences, given by (22), are continuous functions. The abrupt disappearance of entanglement that persists for a period of time is referred to as ‘‘sudden death of entanglement’’ (ESD) and was reported by Yonac et al. [23] for this case. Secondly, there is evident a competition in the creation of entanglement C_{AB} between two pairs of states $(|\Phi_1\rangle, |\Phi_4\rangle)$ and $(|\Phi_2\rangle, |\Phi_3\rangle)$. Entanglement creation in concurrence pairs involving the states $|\Phi_1\rangle$ and $|\Phi_4\rangle$ is diminished by the presence of population in the states $|\Phi_2\rangle$ and $|\Phi_3\rangle$, and vice versa creation of entanglement involving the states $|\Phi_2\rangle$ and $|\Phi_3\rangle$ is diminished by the presence of population in the states $|\Phi_1\rangle$ and $|\Phi_4\rangle$. In terms of the population transfer, entanglement creation by a simultaneous exchange of two photons is diminished by one-photon exchange processes, and vice versa. The competition between these one and two-photon processes has been recognized as the source of the phenomenon of ESD, sudden death of entanglement.

4.3. Inequality for sum of square of the nonlocal pairwise concurrences:

The reduced density matrix for the atom-atom system, in terms of basis states $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle$, $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle$, $|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$, is

$$\rho_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} |d_1|^2 & 0 & 0 & d_1 d_0^* \\ 0 & |d_2|^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & |d_3|^2 & 0 \\ d_1^* d_0 & 0 & 0 & |d_4|^2 + |d_0|^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (44)$$

for which the concurrence is $C_{AB} = 2(|d_1||d_0| - |d_2||d_3|)$. We drop the explicit time dependence for simplicity of notation.




Figure 11. Evolution of entanglement for the Bell state Φ showing the lack of conservation of the sum of the square of the nonlocal pairwise 1 – 2 concurrences, $SSPC = |C_{AB}|^2 + |C_{Ab}|^2 + |C_{aB}|^2 + |C_{ab}|^2$. Here $\Delta = 0$ and $g_1 = g_2 = g$. The squares of concurrences are plotted in bold for $\alpha = \pi/6$, and as thin lines, for $\alpha = \pi/12$. We have C_{AB}^2 (solid line), C_{ab}^2 (dashed line), $C_{Ab}^2 = C_{aB}^2$ (dash-dotted line). As the initial entanglement weakens, to $\alpha = \pi/12$ for example, regions of total loss of all nonlocal pairwise entanglement are evident ($SSPC=0$). Total pairwise 1 – 2 entanglement ($SSPC$) is restored when the entanglement has been completely transferred from atoms to cavity modes.

In contrast with the single-excitation case of Section 3, there is the difference that the reduced state can be entirely separable, for periods of time (sudden death), even when the off-diagonal coherence term $d_1 d_0^*$ which is the source of the entanglement is non-zero. This feature results in a reduction of the sum total of the squares of the nonlocal pairwise concurrences ($SSPC$) and is also responsible for the entanglement sudden death (ESD) phenomenon. The entanglement for the remaining reduced systems, the two cavities, and atom and cavity at different location, is given similarly, by the concurrences as in (43).

In fact, for the Bell state Φ of the form (6), we can identify regions of evolution for which each of C_{AB} , C_{ab} , C_{aB} , C_{Ab} is zero, meaning that all nonlocal pairwise

entanglement involving systems 1 and 2 is lost. This effect has been discussed by Chan et al [27], and is evident in Fig. 11, for $\alpha = \pi/12$. In this case, the entanglement involves the four states, that of the atoms and cavity modes. We readily see from (43) that where we have $|d_1| = |d_2| = |d_3| = |d_4| = \frac{1}{2}$, for any nonzero value of $d_0 = \sin \alpha$, each pairwise concurrence between systems 1 and 2 will be zero. The entangled state at $t = n\pi/4\Omega$, where $n = 1, 3, 5, \dots$, that can give this total depletion of all pairwise entanglement for $\tan \alpha < 1/2$, is written

$$\frac{1}{2} \cos \alpha (|\uparrow\uparrow 00\rangle + |\uparrow\downarrow 01\rangle + |\downarrow\uparrow 10\rangle + |\downarrow\downarrow 11\rangle) + \sin \alpha |\downarrow\downarrow 00\rangle. \quad (45)$$

4.4. Controlling ESD using detunings

Sudden death of entanglement has been reported by Yonac et al. [23], for the case where the initial state is the Bell state Φ

$$|\Phi\rangle = \cos \alpha |\uparrow\uparrow 00\rangle + \sin \alpha |\downarrow\downarrow 00\rangle, \quad (46)$$

and where the system has zero detunings and equal coupling constants. They showed that for $\alpha \neq \pi/4$, entanglement is lost in a discontinuous fashion, as displayed in Fig. 12, for $\alpha = \pi/12$. As $\tan \alpha$ deviates from 1, the length of time for which entanglement is lost is increased.

We observe from the full solutions that an imperfect matching of the cavities to the atomic transition can prevent the onset of ESD, to provide a stabilization of entanglement. This effect, which is similar to that of Figure 5, is shown in Fig. 12, and may be understood by realizing that the nonzero detuning Δ inhibits the full entanglement transfer from atom to cavity modes.




Figure 12. Plot of the evolution of C_{AB} for the initial Bell state Φ , given by (6). Here $g = g_1 = g_2$ and $\alpha = \pi/12$. The effect of detuning $\Delta \neq 0$ is to remove the ESD, the sudden death of entanglement.

For some initial states however, we point out that the detuning will act to induce ESD, that is, to destabilise the entanglement, though stabilization is reached for sufficiently large Δ . To further investigate the role of the imperfect matching on the evolution of entanglement, we consider two different initial states, one that displays ESD with zero detuning Δ , and another that displays stable entanglement with $\Delta = 0$. We consider a linear superposition of the zero-excitation state with a two-quanta symmetric state

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\uparrow 00\rangle + |\downarrow\downarrow 11\rangle) + |\downarrow\downarrow 00\rangle \right), \quad (47)$$

and a linear superposition of the zero excitation state with a two-quanta antisymmetric state

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\uparrow 00\rangle - |\downarrow\downarrow 11\rangle) + |\downarrow\downarrow 00\rangle \right). \quad (48)$$




Figure 13. Concurrence between the atoms as a function of time t and detuning Δ for two different initial states: (a) the asymmetric state (48) and (b) symmetric state (47).

Figure 13 shows the evolution of concurrence $C_{AB}(t)$ as a function of the detuning $\Delta = \Delta_1 = \Delta_2$ of the cavity modes from the atomic resonances. We see from the figure that the detuning has an opposite effect on the two initial states: the stable entanglement at $\Delta = 0$ for the antisymmetric state is forced into an entanglement sudden death (ESD) with the onset of a non-zero Δ , whereas the unstable entanglement evolution (ESD) displayed by the symmetric state at $\Delta = 0$ is stabilized by detunings $\Delta \neq 0$. We note in both cases however, that for very large Δ , the entanglement is stabilized at or near the initial value.

4.5. Effect of asymmetric coupling constants

The loss of pairwise entanglement for the spin correlated Bell state Φ is a feature maintained even in the case of asymmetric couplings: $g_1 \neq g_2$. The depletion of entanglement (ESD) for the atom-atom pair has been reported, for asymmetric couplings, by Sainz and Bjork [14]. In Fig. 14, we plot the non-local pairwise concurrences from which one can calculate the sum of their squares, $SSPC$, as in (14), to reveal a loss of total pairwise entanglement where couplings are asymmetric. As with the symmetric case, the effect is more pronounced with a decreasing initial entanglement, C_{12} . We note from Fig. 15 that there is a shift of the period of entanglement sudden death, as the ratio of the g 's increases.




Figure 14. Evolution of the nonlocal pairwise concurrences for the Bell state Φ , where couplings are asymmetric: $g_2 = 2g_1$, $\Delta = 0$. Here $\alpha = \pi/6$. The entanglement sudden death (ESD) is evident, as is the lack of conservation of the sum of the square of the pairwise 1 – 2 concurrences, $SSPC = |C_{AB}|^2 + |C_{Ab}|^2 + |C_{aB}|^2 + |C_{ab}|^2$. Individual concurrences are: C_{AB}^2 (solid line), C_{ab}^2 (dashed line), C_{aB}^2 (dash-dotted line), C_{Ab}^2 (dotted line). Also plotted is C_{12}^2 , the horizontal dotted line at 0.75.

In Fig. 16, we plot the atom-atom concurrence $C_{AB}(t)$, for different coupling strengths, for the different initial state

$$|\Lambda\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\downarrow\uparrow 10\rangle + |\downarrow\downarrow 00\rangle), \quad (49)$$

which has no entanglement between the two atoms. In contrast to the state Φ , there is no abrupt depletion (ESD) of entanglement. We note that a suitable choice of coupling ratio can lead to a periodic maximal entanglement between the atoms.

In summary of this section, we point out that small differences in the frequencies can lead to the disappearance of the zeros (ESD) in the entanglement evolution, whereas small differences in the coupling strengths do not generally prevent the vanishing of entanglement, but rather tend to create ESD. In other words, entanglement sudden

death is much more sensitive to an imperfection in the frequencies than to the coupling strengths.

5. Conclusion

We have studied the time evolution of entanglement between two remote and in general non-identical Jaynes-Cummings systems. A particular attention has been paid to the effect of an imperfect matching of the atoms to the cavity fields and to the understanding of the nature of entanglement evolution and steered transfer. We have quantified entanglement through the pairwise concurrence between different parts of the two systems and have found that entanglement transfer can be triggered and controlled by a




Figure 15. Evolution of atom-atom concurrence for the Bell state Φ , with $\alpha = \pi/6$, where couplings are asymmetric: $\Delta = 0$ and $g_1 = g_2$ (solid line); $g_2 = 2g_1$ (dashed line); $g_2 = 10g_1$ (dashed-dotted line). The ESD shifts toward a later time as the ratio of coupling constants increases.




Figure 16. Evolution of the concurrence $C_{AB}(t)$ for the initial state $|\Lambda\rangle$ with $g_1 = g_2$ (solid line), $g_2 = 2g_1$ (dashed line) and $g_2 = 0.5g_1$ (dashed-dotted line).

suitable choice of the detunings between the atomic and the cavity field frequencies and the coupling strengths of the atoms to the cavity fields. We consider two cases: the first where there is only one excitation present in the entire system; the second where there is a superposition of two excitation levels, zero excitation and two excitations, one in each of the two remote systems. These two cases exhibit completely different properties of the entanglement evolution and steering of the entanglement transfer. When the atomic and field frequencies are detuned from each other and there is only one excitation present, an initial entanglement cannot be completely transferred between the pairwise subsystems. On the other hand, for zero detunings one can achieve complete transfer of the entanglement to a desired pair of the subsystems and this process can be steered by a suitable choice of the ratio between the coupling strengths. In the second case where two excitations are present in the system, we have showed that no entanglement is possible between any parts of the two subsystems when the number of photons in each subsystem is conserved. An additional auxiliary state of zero excitation has to be included to create entanglement between the subsystems. We also discuss a conservation rule governing the pairwise entanglement between the systems, that the sum of the squares of the pairwise concurrences is conserved during the evolution of an initial entanglement, for the one-excitation case. For the superposition of the zero and two excitation case, the sum is not conserved, and can be zero for intervals of time, implying an entanglement sudden death in all pairwise concurrences. Finally, we have addressed the issue of sudden death of entanglement in relation to non-identical cavities, and have found that a mismatch in the frequencies can lead to the disappearance of sudden death in the entanglement evolution, whereas differences in the coupling strengths can prevent and even create the vanishing of entanglement.

Acknowledgement

This research was supported by the Australian Research Council. One of us, MDR, acknowledges support from the ARC Centre of Excellence Program.

References

- [1] Turchette Q A, Wood C S, King B E, Myatt C J, Leibfried D, Itano W M, Monroe C and D. J. Wineland D J 1998 Phys. Rev. Lett. **81** 3631
- [2] Julsgaard B, Kozhekin A and Polzik E S 2001 Nature **413** 400
- [3] Aspect A, Grangier P and Roger G 1981 Phys. Rev. Lett. **47** 460
- [4] Diosi L 2003 Lect. Notes Phys. **622** 157
- [5] Dodd P J and Halliwell J J 2004 Phys. Rev. A **69** 052105
- [6] Yu T and Eberly J H 2004 Phys. Rev. Lett. **93** 140404
- [7] Eberly J H and Yu T 2007 Science **316** 555
- [8] Almeida M P et al. 2007 Science **316** 579
- [9] Salles A et al, 2008 Phys. Rev. A **78** 022322
- [10] Ficek Z and Tanaś R 2006 Phys. Rev. A **74** 024304
- [11] Santos M F, Milman P, Davidovich L and Zagury N 2006 Phys. Rev. A **73** 040305
- [12] Jamroz A 2006 J. Phys. A **39** 7727

- [13] Terra Cunha M O 2007 *New J. Phys.* **9** 237
- [14] Sainz I and Bjork G 2007 *Phys. Rev. A* **76** 042313
- [15] Cavalcanti D et al. 2006 *Phys. Rev. A* **74** 042328
- [16] Al-Qasimi A and James D F V 2008 *Phys. Rev. A* **77** 012117
- [17] Yu T and Eberly J H 2007 *Quant. Inf. and Comp.* **7** 459
- [18] Tahira R, Ikram M, Azim T and Zubairy M S 2008 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **41** 205501 and references therein.
- [19] Ye J, Vernooy D V and Kimble H J 1999 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** 4987
- [20] Hagley E et al. 1997 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79** 1
- [21] Jaynes E T and Cummings F W 1963 *Proc. IEEE* **51** 89
- [22] Brune M et al. 1996 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76** 1800
- [23] Yonac M, Yu T and Eberly J H 2006 *J. Phys. B, At Mol Opt Phys.* **39** S621
- [24] Yonac M, Yu T and Eberly J H 2007 *J. Phys. B, At Mol Opt Phys.* **40** S45
- [25] Yonac M and Eberly J H 2008 *Opt. Lett.* **33** 270
- [26] Wootters K 1998 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80** 2248
- [27] Chan S, Reid M D and Ficek Z, to be published.
- [28] Lopez C E et al. 2008 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101** 080503