Hyperfine Level Splitting for Hydrogen-Like Ions due to Rotation-Spin Coupling

I. M. Pavlichenkov[∗](#page-0-0)

Russian Research Center "Kurchatov Institute", Moscow, 123182, Russia.

The theoretical aspects of spin-rotation coupling are presented. The approach is based on the general covariance principle. It is shown that the gyrogravitational ratio of the bare spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ and the spin-1 particles is equal unity. That is why spin couples with rotation as an ordinary angular momentum. This result is the rigorous substantiation of the cranking model. To observe the phenomenon, the experiment with hydrogen-like ions in a storage ring is suggested. It is found that the splitting of the $1^2S_{1/2}$, $F = 1/2$ hyperfine state of the 1^{40}Pr^{58+} and 1^{42}Pm^{60+} ions circulating in the storage ring ESR in Darmstadt along a helical trajectory is about 4.5 MHz. We argue that such splitting can be experimentally determined by means of the ionic interferometry.

PACS numbers: 04.20.+v, 32.10.Fn, 03.75.Dg

The spin of elementary particles is a fundamental quantum property which does not have the classical analogue. On the other hand, the gravity (in the broad sense as a consequence of the curvature of space-time which governs the motion of inertial objects) seems to be a pure classical phenomenon. There has been considerable theoretical interest in the coupling of such different physical entities since the paper of Kobsarev and Okun [\[1](#page-5-0)]. Currently, three different spin-gravity interactions are considered: gravitoelectric, gravitomagnetic and spin-rotation couplings. The latter was predicted independently by Mashhoon [\[2](#page-5-1)] and Silverman [\[3](#page-5-2)]. This coupling reveals the rotational inertia of the spin. Up to now there is no direct experimental evidence of the phenomenon, however it could be verified by present high precision experiments. We refer to the recent measurements of the electron capture decay (EC-decay) rates in hydrogen-like (H-like) 140 Pr and 142 Pm ions performed on the storage ring (ESR) of GSI Darmstadt [\[4](#page-5-3), [5\]](#page-5-4). The time-resolved spectroscopy employed there allows to study the time evolution of a single radioactive ion. The objective of the present paper is to show that such experimental technique offers an opportunity for observation of spin-rotation coupling, because ionic spectra carry unambiguous information about the space-time curvature at the position of an ion.

An ion circulating in a storage ring may move along a helical line winding around the main orbit. This is the result of betatron oscillations of a stable ion orbit. Consider the inertial frame of reference K with the origin O moving along the main orbit with the velocity V $(\beta = V/c = 0.71$ for the ESR) relative to the laboratory frame. Define $X^0 = ct$ and the Cartesian coordinate axes X^1, X^2, X^3 , with the X^3 axis along the main orbit. In the plane X^1, X^2 an ion moves in a closed trajectory which we assume to be a circle of the radius R centered on the main orbit in the point O. We introduce frame K' having the common origin with K and uniformly rotating with respect to K with angular ve-

locity $\Omega(0,0,\Omega)$. In this frame the electron (nucleus) is characterized by position vector \mathbf{r}_e (\mathbf{r}_n), and the ion center-of-mass is $\mathbf{R}_0(R_0, 0, 0)$. [\[6](#page-5-5)].

It is well known that the Schrödinger equation for the H-like ion in the rotating frame acquires in the Hamiltonian the additional term $-\hbar(\Omega\cdot\mathbf{l})$, where l is the electron orbital angular momentum. This is the Coriolis interaction which should split degenerated magnetic substates. However in this approximation the lowest hyperfine state $1S_{1/2}(F = 1/2, M_F = \pm 1/2)$ of the $140\gamma_{\rm F}^{3/5}$ and 142Pm^{60+} ions remains degenerate since the total angular momentum $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{I}$ includes only the electron (\mathbf{S}) and nuclear (I) spins.

The spin-rotation coupling has been obtained in refs. [\[2\]](#page-5-1) and [\[3](#page-5-2)] by exploiting a simplified approach which known in nuclear physics as cranking model [\[7](#page-5-6)]. Shortly afterward, Silverman [\[8\]](#page-5-7) extended this method to consider hydrogen hyperfine splitting in a rotating reference frame. We will see below that this method allows to find only the main term in the rotation induced perturbations of the energy levels of a heavy ion. In addition, for high-Z one-electron ions, account must be taken of the relativistic effects. Therefore, we will consider relativistic wave equations for electron, electromagnetic field and nucleus in a non-inertial reference frame by using the general covariance principle. The starting point of our calculations is the metric tensor in the rotating frame K' ,

$$
g_{00} = -1 + (\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{r})^2
$$
, $g_{0i} = g_{i0} = (\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{r})_i$, $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$, (1)

where **r** is $\mathbf{r}_e(x^1, x^2, x^3)$ for electron or $\mathbf{r}_n(y^1, y^2, y^3)$ for nucleus and $\omega = \Omega/c$. We will use Latin letters to denote three spatial indices 1,2,3 and Greek letters for four indices.

Electron.—In the standard formalism [\[9\]](#page-5-8), the general covariant Dirac equation has the form

$$
\left\{\hat{\gamma}^{\mu}(x)\left[\partial_{\mu}-\Gamma_{\mu}+\frac{ie}{\hbar c}A_{\mu}\right]+\frac{mc}{\hbar}\right\}\psi(x)=0,\qquad(2)
$$

where $A_{\mu} \{-\varphi, \mathbf{A}\}\$ is the vector potential in the noninertial frame which describes electromagnetic interaction of the electron with the nucleus. The coordinate-

[∗]Electronic address: pavi@mbslab.kiae.ru

dependent matrices $\hat{\gamma}^{\mu}(x)$ $(x = x^{\mu} = ct', x^1, x^2, x^3)$ satisfy the equation

$$
\hat{\gamma}^{\mu}(x)\hat{\gamma}^{\nu}(x) + \hat{\gamma}^{\nu}(x)\hat{\gamma}^{\mu}(x) = 2g^{\mu\nu}(x). \tag{3}
$$

The vierbein vectors $b^{\alpha}_{\mu}(x)$ are used to obtain the relationship between the curved matrices and the flat ones $\hat{\gamma}_0 = i\hat{\beta}, \hat{\gamma}_i = -i\hat{\beta}\hat{\alpha}_i$ ($\hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{\alpha}_i$ are the Dirac matrices)

$$
\hat{\gamma}^{\mu}(x) = g^{\mu\nu} b^{\alpha}_{\nu}(x) \hat{\gamma}_{\alpha}.
$$
 (4)

The four different vectors b^{α}_{μ} (on the μ index) connect with the metric tensor

$$
g_{\mu\nu}(x) = \eta_{\alpha\beta} b^{\alpha}_{\mu}(x) b^{\beta}_{\nu}(x), \tag{5}
$$

where $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$ is the flat space-time metric tensor $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$ = diag(- + ++). Vierbein indices α are lowered with $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$ while vector ones μ are raised with $g^{\mu\nu}$. Finally, the Fock-Ivanenko matrices (spinor affine connections) Γ_{μ} are expressed in term of the vierbeins and matrix $\hat{\gamma}_{\alpha}$

$$
\Gamma_{\mu} = -\frac{1}{4} \hat{\gamma}_{\alpha} \hat{\gamma}_{\beta} b^{\alpha}_{\ \nu} g^{\nu \lambda} (\partial_{\mu} b^{\beta}_{\ \lambda} - \Gamma^{\sigma}_{\mu \lambda} b^{\beta}_{\ \sigma}), \tag{6}
$$

where the affine connections (the Christoffel symbols),

$$
\Gamma^{\sigma}_{\mu\lambda} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\sigma\nu} \left(\partial_{\lambda} g_{\nu\mu} + \partial_{\mu} g_{\nu\lambda} - \partial_{\nu} g_{\mu\lambda} \right), \tag{7}
$$

are determined according to [\[10\]](#page-5-9).

The inertial effects are incorporated into eq. [\(2\)](#page-0-1) through the matrices $\hat{\gamma}^{\mu}(x)$ and the connections Γ_{μ} . To find these values, we must calculate $\Gamma^{\sigma}_{\mu\lambda}$ and b^{α}_{μ} . The former obtained from the metric tensor [\(1\)](#page-0-2) are

$$
\Gamma_{00}^{0} = \Gamma_{i0}^{0} = \Gamma_{ij}^{0} = 0,
$$

\n
$$
\Gamma_{00}^{i} = e_{ijk}\omega_{j}g_{k0}, \Gamma_{j0}^{i} = -e_{ijk}\omega_{k}, \Gamma_{jk}^{i} = 0.
$$
 (8)

The vierbein vectors are expressed through the tetrad vectors h_{α}^{μ} found in Ref. [\[9\]](#page-5-8) as follows $b_{\mu}^{\alpha} = g_{\mu\nu} \eta^{\alpha\beta} h_{\beta}^{\nu}$. They take the form

$$
b_0^{\alpha} = \delta_0^{\alpha} + \eta^{\alpha i} e_{ijk} \omega_j x^k, \quad b_i^{\alpha} = \delta_i^{\alpha}.
$$
 (9)

Substituting these results in [\(6\)](#page-1-0) we get the spin connections

$$
\Gamma_0 = \frac{1}{4} \hat{\gamma}_i \hat{\gamma}_j e_{ijk} \omega_k, \quad \Gamma_i = 0.
$$
 (10)

For the problem involving energy levels we need the Hamiltonian form of the Dirac equation

$$
i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t'} = H\psi.
$$
 (11)

By multiplying [\(2\)](#page-0-1) on the left by $\hat{\gamma}^0(x)/g^{00}(x)$, one finds after simple calculations the electron Hamiltonian

$$
H_e = c\hat{\alpha} \cdot \left(\mathbf{p}_e + \frac{e}{c}\mathbf{A}\right) + eA_0 + \hat{\beta}mc^2 - \hbar\mathbf{\Omega} \cdot (\mathbf{l}_e + \mathbf{S}), \tag{12}
$$

where \mathbf{p}_e is the electron momentum operator and $\mathbf{l}_e =$ $(\mathbf{r}_e \times \mathbf{p}_e)/\hbar$ is its angular momentum operator with respect to O. This exact result was obtained by Hehl and Ni [\[11\]](#page-5-10) for free Dirac particles by using the instantaneous inertial rest frame instead of the pseudo Riemannian space-time.

Rotation-spin coupling is incorporated in the last term of [\(12\)](#page-1-1). It is seen that the spin couples with rotation in the same way as the angular momentum l, that means that the gyrogravitational ratio of a bare Dirac particle is equal unity. Equation [\(12\)](#page-1-1) is in fact the relativistic generalization of the well known law of the classical mechanics concerned with non-inertial reference frame [\[12\]](#page-5-11). The orbital angular momentum is substituted by the total one $\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{l} + \mathbf{S}$. Since j is the generator of rotations, we can transform the flat space-time Dirac equation from the frame K to K' by means of the unitary operator

$$
\mathcal{R} = exp[-i(\mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{\Omega})t]. \tag{13}
$$

The resulting stationary Hamiltonian can be shown to take the form [\(12\)](#page-1-1). Important shortcoming of this method used in nuclear physics as the cranking model, is that the rotation [\(13\)](#page-1-2) requires extended interval of time $t > 1/\Omega$. But, according to the hypothesis of locality, the rotation time must be negligibly small compared to the acceleration time $1/\Omega$.

Electromagnetic field.—To find the vector potential A_μ produced by the point charge Ze and the magnetic moment $\mu = \mu_N g_I \mathbf{I}$ (μ_N and g_I are the nuclear magneton and gyromagnetic ratio respectively), we have to consider the Maxwell equations in the rotating frame K' . The covariant form of these equations and the Lorentz gauge condition are [\[10](#page-5-9)]

$$
g^{\lambda\sigma}\nabla_{\lambda}\nabla_{\sigma}A_{\mu} - R^{\nu}_{\mu}A_{\nu} = -\frac{4\pi}{c}J_{\mu}, \quad \nabla_{\mu}A^{\mu} = 0, \quad (14)
$$

where R^{ν}_{μ} is the Ricci curvature tensor vanishing for the metric [\(1\)](#page-0-2) and $J_{\mu} = g_{\mu\alpha}J^{\alpha}$, $J^{\alpha}\lbrace cZe\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{n}), \mathbf{i}\rbrace$ is the current vector. Using the covariant differentiation, and working to the first order in Ω/c , we find the following equations for the vector potential

$$
\delta^{ij}\partial_i\partial_j A_0 = 4\pi \rho + 2e_{klm}\omega_k \partial_l A_m + \frac{4\pi}{c}e_{klm}\omega_k i_l x^m,
$$

$$
\delta^{ij}\partial_i\partial_j A_k = -\frac{4\pi}{c}i_k - 4\pi \rho e_{klm}\omega_l x^m,
$$

$$
\delta^{ij}\partial_i A_j = -e_{klm}\omega_k x^l \partial_m A_0.
$$
 (15)

The solutions of these equations for a point nucleus are

$$
A_0 = -\frac{Ze}{\rho} - \frac{2(\omega \cdot \mu)}{\rho}, \quad \mathbf{A} = \frac{(\mu \times \rho)}{\rho^3} + \frac{Ze}{\rho}(\omega \times \mathbf{r}_n), \tag{16}
$$

where $\rho = \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_n$.

Nucleus.—Second component of the H-like ions mentioned above, *i.e.* nucleus having spin $I = 1$, is described by the Proca equation. In the rotating frame K' , the wave function ϕ_{μ} satisfies the covariant equation

$$
\left[g^{\nu\lambda}\nabla_{\nu}\nabla_{\lambda} - \left(\frac{Mc}{\hbar}\right)^{2}\right]\phi_{\mu} = 0, \qquad (17)
$$

and the complementary condition

$$
g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_{\nu}\phi_{\mu} = 0, \qquad (18)
$$

where $\nabla_{\nu}\phi_{\mu} = \partial_{\nu}\phi_{\mu} - \Gamma^{\sigma}_{\nu\mu}\phi_{\sigma}$ is the covariant derivative of the vector function ϕ_{μ} . Stationary solutions of these equations imply that

$$
\frac{\partial \phi_{\mu}}{\partial y^{0}} = -\frac{i}{\hbar c} E \phi_{\mu}.
$$
 (19)

Because $E \sim Mc^2$ in the frame K', the spatial components ϕ_k in [\(17\)](#page-2-0) are decoupled from ϕ_0 and satisfy the equation

$$
-\frac{\hbar^2}{2M} \sum_{i} \frac{\partial^2 \phi_k}{\partial y_i^2} + i\hbar c \omega_j e_{jli} y_l \frac{\partial \phi_k}{\partial y_i} + i\hbar c \omega_j e_{jki} \phi_i
$$

$$
= (E - Mc^2) \phi_k. \quad (20)
$$

In this approximation Eq. [\(18\)](#page-2-1) is reduced to

$$
\phi_0 = e_{ijk}\omega_i y_j \phi_k \sim \frac{\Omega R_0}{c} \phi_k,\tag{21}
$$

and hence ϕ_0 is small compared to the spatial components. Introducing the vector function $\mathbf{\Phi} = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3),$ we can rewrite [\(20\)](#page-2-2) in the matrix form

$$
H_n\mathbf{\Phi} = (E - Mc^2)\mathbf{\Phi}, \quad H_n = \frac{\mathbf{p}_n^2}{2M} - \hbar \mathbf{\Omega} \cdot (\mathbf{l}_n + \mathbf{I}), \tag{22}
$$

where \mathbf{p}_n is the momentum operator of an ion and $\mathbf{l}_n =$ $(\mathbf{r}_n \times \mathbf{p}_n)/\hbar$. The spin operator **I** involves the matrices 3×3 in the Decart basis [\[13\]](#page-5-12).

It should be noted that the spin-rotation couplings in [\(12\)](#page-1-1) and [\(22\)](#page-2-3) are the direct consequence of the covariant differentiation of spinor and vector functions. If we use in the flat Dirac and Proca equations solely the spatial coordinate transformation from K to K' , the Hamiltonians H_e and H_n will acquire the Coriolis terms only.

Stored hydrogen-like ion.—Now we consider the twoparticle Hamiltonian $H = H_e + H_n$ and the corresponding wave equation with the twelve component wave function. Let us transform this Hamiltonian to the new variables $\mathbf{R} = (Mr_n + mr_e)/(M + m)$ and $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_e - \mathbf{r}_n$. The corresponding conjugate momenta are $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{p}_e + \mathbf{p}_n$ and $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}_e - m\mathbf{P}/(M+m)$. It is easily proven by direct calculation that $\mathbf{l}_e + \mathbf{l}_n = \mathbf{l} + \mathbf{L}$, where l and L are the angular momenta in the variables r , p and R , P , respectively. Complications arise due to the Dirac and Proca differential equations having different order. As a result, the variables **and** $**r**$ **do not separate and the former can**not be called as a center-of-mass coordinate. However, as will be shown below, the variable R can be regarded

as the ion center-of-mass coordinate to an accuracy of m/M . Therefore, the Hamiltonian H is expressed as a sum of different components

$$
H = H_{cm}(\mathbf{R}) + H_0(\mathbf{r}) + V_1(\mathbf{r}) + V_2(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}).
$$
 (23)

The first term

 \overline{r}

$$
H_{cm} = \frac{M\mathbf{P}^2}{2(M+m)^2} - \hbar(\mathbf{\Omega} \cdot \mathbf{L}) - U(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}_0)
$$
 (24)

is the center of mass Hamiltonian with the δ -like potential U which provides the localization of ion on the stable orbit at the ring. Relative motion is described by the Hamiltonian

$$
H_0 = c(\hat{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{p}) - \frac{Ze^2}{r} + \mu_N \frac{eg_I}{r^3} \hat{\alpha} \cdot (\mathbf{I} \times \mathbf{r}) + \hat{\beta} mc^2, \quad (25)
$$

which includes hyperfine interaction. In the standard approximation, we label its eigenstates by the quantum numbers n, l, j, I , which will be denoted latter as ν , F and M_F , where $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{j} + \mathbf{I}$ is the total angular momentum. The third part of H ,

$$
V_1(\mathbf{r}) = -\hbar(\mathbf{\Omega} \cdot \mathbf{F}) - \frac{Ze^2 m}{c(M+m)r} \hat{\alpha} \cdot (\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r})
$$

$$
-2\mu_N \frac{eg_I}{cr} (\mathbf{\Omega} \cdot \mathbf{I}) + \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2M}, \qquad (26)
$$

includes the perturbations of relative motion resulting from spin-rotation coupling, the effect of rotation on electron-nucleus interaction and the "recoil" term. Finally, the potential

$$
V_2(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}) = -\frac{(\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{p})}{M+m} + \frac{mc}{M+m} (\hat{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{P}) + \frac{Ze^2}{cr} \hat{\alpha} \cdot (\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{R})
$$
\n(27)

describes the coupling of two degrees of freedom.

The energy spectrum of [\(23\)](#page-2-4) is found in the adiabatic approximation. Center of mass motion (slow variable \bf{R}) is described by the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H} = H_{cm}(\mathbf{R}) + \varepsilon(\mathbf{R}),$ where ε are the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian $H_0(\mathbf{r}) +$ $V_1(\mathbf{r}) + V_2(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R})$ involving the swift variable r and the parameter R. The last problem can be solved with perturbation theory of first order for V_1 and second one for V_2 . The resulting Schödinger equation describing slow motion is

$$
\left[\frac{M}{2(M+m)^2} \sum_{k} \left(1 + \frac{m}{M} A_k \right) P_k^2 - \hbar (\mathbf{\Omega} \cdot \mathbf{L}) - U(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}_0) \right]
$$

$$
-\hbar\Omega M_F - \frac{m}{2}\Omega^2 \sum_{k=1,2} B_k X_k^2 \left[\Psi(\mathbf{R}) = E\Psi(\mathbf{R}), \quad (28)
$$

where the dimensionless coefficients A_k and B_k involving the matrix elements of electron operators are of order 1

for $Z\alpha \sim 1$, α being the fine-structure constant. They depend on the quantum numbers ν , F and M_F^2 . In obtain-ing [\(28\)](#page-2-5) we drop terms of order $(m/M)\hbar\Omega M_F$, which are negligible compared with the main term of spin-rotation coupling. The Hamiltonian H of eq. [\(28\)](#page-2-5) allows to find

$$
\dot{\mathbf{R}} = \frac{i}{\hbar}[\mathcal{H}, \mathbf{R}] = \frac{\mathbf{P}}{M+m} - (\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{R}) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{m}{M}\right), \quad (29)
$$

which is approximately the ion center of mass velocity in the rotating frame. Considering \bf{R} we get the approximate equation of motion in the rotating frame with the Coriolis and the centrifugal forces [\[12](#page-5-11)]. Thus, the variable R is the center of mass coordinate with high precision.

The last term in the left hand side of [\(28\)](#page-2-5) is equal $(m/2)B_1(\Omega R_0)^2$ due to localization of ion, while the first term is reasonable to estimate by using the momentum spread δP of ion in the ring. Finally, the energy of the hyperfine state ν, F, M_F is approximately

$$
E_{\nu FM_F} = E_{\nu FM_F}^{(0)} - \frac{m}{2M^2} A_{\nu} (F, M_F) (\delta P)^2 - \frac{m}{2} B_{\nu} (F, M_F) (\Omega R_0)^2 - \hbar \Omega M_F,
$$
 (30)

where $E^{(0)}$ is unperturbed energy. It is seen that the coupling of center of mass and relative motions causes the hyperfine level to be split in components $|M_F| = F, F-1, \ldots$, while rotation breaks the degeneracy completely and split magnetic sub-levels. The levels with $F = j = 1/2$ are only shifted and split in the projections $M_F = \pm 1/2$. Let us note that the first term in the Hamiltonian [\(26\)](#page-2-6) can be obtained by using the transformation (13) with **F** instead of j. This method has been used earlier in ref. [\[8\]](#page-5-7).

The ground state $1^2S_{1/2}$ of the $^{140}\mathrm{Pr}^{58+}$ and $^{142}\mathrm{Pm}^{60+}$ ions having nuclear spin $I = 1$ involves the two hyperfine states $F = 1/2$ and $F = 3/2$ separated in energy by approximately 1 eV. According Eq. [\(30\)](#page-3-0), the expected splitting of the lowest $F = 1/2$ state is

$$
\Delta = \frac{\Omega}{2\pi} \approx \frac{1}{2} (\nu_x + \nu_y) = 4.5 \text{ MHz}, \quad (31)
$$

where ν_x and ν_y are the frequencies of the transverse betatron oscillations, which are determined by the quantities $Q_x = 2.27$, $Q_y = 2.23$ and revolution frequency $\nu \approx 2$ MHz $(Q_i = \nu_i/\nu)$ typical for the ESR. The shift of the lowest state is of order $m(\Omega R_0)^2 \sim 10^{-6}mc^2$ for $R_0 \sim 1$ cm because second term in [\(30\)](#page-3-0) is small compared to third one if the momentum spread $\delta P \sim 10^{-7}Mc$.

Electric dipole transitions between the $M_F = \pm 1/2$ sub-states are forbidden and the calculated mean lifetime of a magnetic dipole transition is $1.4 \cdot 10^{25}$ s. Thus, the natural line-width of the upper $M_F = -1/2$ sub-state is negligible in comparison with the splitting [\(31\)](#page-3-1). Even so, the splitting can not be resolved with the precision laser spectroscopy developed at the ESR [\[14,](#page-5-13) [15\]](#page-5-14), because the Doppler width of the line corresponding to the transition between the ground state components of the hyperfine

structure is

$$
\delta \nu = \frac{\nu_0 \delta \beta}{1 - \beta^2} \sim 100 \text{ MHz}
$$
 (32)

for the relative velocity spread $\delta\beta/\beta \sim 10^{-7}$ and the transition frequency $\nu_0 \sim 10^3$ THz. Entirely different type of experiments are needed to observe the rotationally induced splitting of the order of several megahertz.

To observe the level splitting of hydrogenic Rydberg states, Silverman [\[3](#page-5-2)] proposed to use the quantum beat spectroscopy (see e.g. [\[16\]](#page-5-15)). The bunched-beam technique developed in the GSI heavy ion experiments [\[15](#page-5-14)] may be supplemented by the quantum beat spectroscopy. It is convenient to take the H-like ion having nuclear spin $I = 1/2$ and the $1S_{1/2}$ ground state with $F = 0$. However, the observation of the modulation of the fluorescent light from the transition between the upper hyperfine state $F = 1$ and the ground one is complicated by the features of ion storing in the ESR. Ions circulating in the ring are exposed by the fringe transverse (in the plane of the main orbit) magnetic field of bending magnets. This alternating in time field causes the repopulation of the magnetic sub-states because the field strength $H \sim \hbar \Omega / \mu_0 = 3.2$ G (μ_0 is the Bohr magneton) is enough to mix these states [\[17](#page-5-16)]. The effects of time varying fields on quantum beats require a separate theoretical study.

It is interesting to note that the radiative energy loss of relativistic heavy ions circulating in storage rings is negligible compared to loses due to collisions with residual gas atoms (see e.g. [\[18\]](#page-5-17)). Thus the fluorescent light from one bunch will involve beat signal plus background mostly from ionization and excitation of residual gas atoms. This background has been observed in ref. [\[15\]](#page-5-14)

Ion Interferometry.—The time-resolved spectroscopy of a single ion at the ESR along with peculiar properties of the H-like ¹⁴⁰Pr and ¹⁴²Pm ions provide a unique opportunity for applying the atomic interferometry to resolve the rotationally induced splitting [\(31\)](#page-3-1). This technique, which is a prototype of the Stern-Gerlach experiment, successfully used in a series of the high-precision measurements of the Lamb shift in a hydrogen atom [\[19\]](#page-5-18).

According to ref. [\[20\]](#page-5-19), the EC-decay is forbidden from the state $1S_{1/2}$, $F = M_F = 1/2$ of these ions due to the neutrino left-handed helicity. Let us denote this state by ψ_+ and the $F = 1/2, M_F = -1/2$ one by $\psi_-.$ These states are mixed by a transverse magnetic field as stated above. A localized magnetic field is used as a "flipper" mixing the states ψ_+ and ψ_- . The interferometer consists of the two flippers I and II separated by the free field interval of a length L. The evolution of the two-level ion passing the flipper i is described by the unitary matrix

$$
\mathcal{U}_i = \begin{pmatrix} D_i & -A_i^* \\ A_i & D_i \end{pmatrix}, \ D_i = \sqrt{1 - |A_i|^2}, \tag{33}
$$

where A_i is the corresponding transition amplitude. Between the flippers, the adiabatic evolution is given by the diagonal matrix

$$
\mathcal{S}(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \exp\left[(i\Omega - \lambda/2)\tau\right] \end{pmatrix}, \ \tau = L\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}/V, \tag{34}
$$

where λ is the rate of the EC-decay. The ion velocity in the laboratory frame is approximately equal V because its velocity relative to the inertial frame K is of the order $\Omega R \sim 10^7$ cm/s. The value V is used also to take into account the Lorentz contraction of the interval L.

Let an ion enter the interferometer at the time t in the mixed state $a(t)\psi_+ + b(t)\psi_-\$. After passing sequentially the flippers I and II, its state is changed into $a(t + \tau)\psi_+ + b(t + \tau)\psi_-,$ where the new mixing coefficients are connected with old ones by

$$
\begin{bmatrix} a(t+\tau) \\ b(t+\tau) \end{bmatrix} = \mathcal{U}_2 \mathcal{S}(\tau) \mathcal{U}_1 \begin{bmatrix} a(t) \\ b(t) \end{bmatrix}.
$$
 (35)

The probability of the ion decay in some region beyond the flipper II is proportional to $|b(t + \tau)|^2$. This value involves interference terms because the two states evolve between the flippers with the phase difference $\Omega \tau$. However, in the technique of the single ion interferometry, an interference pattern can not be observed if the amplitudes $a(t)$ and $b(t)$ are random owing to the chaotic repopulation of these states in the ring.

To circumvent this obstacle an ion before entering the interferometer must be polarized in the state ψ_+ in a rf resonance cavity by means of the Lamb and Retherford method [\[21\]](#page-5-20). With the polarized ion eq. [\(35\)](#page-4-0) gives

$$
|b(t+T)|^2 = D_1^2|A_2|^2 + |A_1|^2 D_2^2 e^{-\lambda \tau} + 2|A_1|D_1|A_2|D_2 e^{-\lambda \tau/2} \cos(\Omega \tau + \varphi_1 - \varphi_2), \qquad (36)
$$

where φ_1 and φ_2 are the phases of the amplitudes A_1 and A_2 . As follows from this equation, the period of spatial oscillations is $L_0 = V/(\Delta\sqrt{1-\beta^2}) \approx 68$ m, well above the field-free straight section of the storage ring. The difficulty can be overcome by using the method of ref. [\[19\]](#page-5-18), which involves the following measurements:

(i) For the fixed distance L (time τ) and the flipper magnetic fields H_1 and H_2 , the number N of EC-decays per second is collected in some spatial interval beyond the flipper II (which may be wide enough for the considered ions because the decay length $L_d = V/(\lambda \sqrt{1 - \beta^2})$ is well above the circumference of the ring).

(ii) After the field in the flipper II is reversed ($\text{H}_2 \rightarrow$ $-\mathbf{H}_2$), the value N' is obtained.

(iii) The two measurements allow to find the difference $\Delta N(L) = N - N'.$

(iv) Analogously, the differences $\Delta N(L + \Delta L)$ and $\Delta N(L - \Delta L)$ corresponding to the altered intervals are acquired.

From these measurements, one gets

$$
\Omega = \frac{V}{\Delta L \sqrt{1 - \beta^2}} \arccos \frac{\Delta N (L + \Delta L) + \Delta N (L - \Delta L)}{2 \Delta N (L)},\tag{37}
$$

if $\lambda \tau \ll 1$. The main uncertainty in determing Ω comes from the measurements of ΔN because the velocity spread of ions, $\delta V / V \sim 10^{-7}$, is very small.

Conclusion.—The coupling of the particle spin with rotation reveals its rotational inertia. Therefore the coupling has to be considered by unified way in the framework of general relativity. We derive this inertial effect for the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ and the spin-1 particles by using the general covariance principle. The result of calculations shows that spin incorporates into gravity as an ordinary angular momentum. Correspondingly, the gyrogravitational ratio of bare elementary particles is equal unity.

Observational evidence for spin-rotation coupling includes the experiments of two types. The interference experiments provide the direct measurement of the gyrogravitational ratio. A point of interest in such experiments, does this ratio for elementary particles differ from unity? The neutron interferometry experiments were proposed by Mashhoon [\[2,](#page-5-1) [22\]](#page-5-21), but has not yet been performed. To observe spin-rotation coupling the storage ring based experiment with H-like ions may be used. These experiments are less precise than the interference ones because of the fine systematic effects of the ion internal structure.

Due to the betatron oscillations of a stable ion orbit, an ion has ability to rotate around the direction of its motion in the ring with the approximately doubled circulating frequency. In the rotating reference frame internal dynamics of the ion is described by using the generally covariant equations. It has been found that the degenerate magnetic sub-states of a hyperfine structure are split due the interaction of rotation with the ion total angular momentum and the effect of the curved space-time on the electromagnetic interaction electron and nucleus. The splitting of the ground hyperfine state $1^2S_{1/2}$, $F = 1/2$ of the $^{140}\text{Pr}^{58+}$ and $^{142}\text{Pm}^{60+}$ ions circulating in the ESR is about 4.5 MHz and is caused mainly by spin-rotation coupling. It can be detected with the method of ion interferometry. This is conceptually new method in which the coherent superposition of two electron states of the ion is destroyed by the orbital electron capture decay of nucleus. One may hope that with the ion interferometry installed at high-Z ion storage rings new high precision experiments will be available.

Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to A. Dolinski and V. L. Ushkov for helpful information concerning the stable ion orbits in storage rings. I am grateful also to S. T. Belyaev, A. A. Korsheninnikov and Yu. N. Novikov for a critical reading of the manuscript. The work was supported by the Grant NS-3004.2008.2.

- [1] I. Yu. Kobzarev and L. B. Okun, Sov. Phys. JETP 16, 1343 (1963).
- [2] B. Mashhoon, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 2639 (1988).
- [3] M. P. Silverman, Gen. Rel. Gravit. 21, 517 (1989).
- [4] Y. Litvinov et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 262501 (2007).
- $[5]$ Y. Litvinov *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B 664, 162 (2008).
- [6] The reason for the introduction of the two frames is that translation motion does not couple with the ion internal degrees of freedom. This can be confirmed by using in-stead of [\(1\)](#page-0-2) the metric tensor $g_{00} = -1 + (\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{r})^2$, $g_{0i} =$ $(\omega \times \mathbf{r})_i, g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}, \text{ for } i, j = 1, 2 \text{ and } g_{03} = \beta, g_{33} = 1,$ which corresponds to the non-inertial frame moving along a helical line. One finds after somewhat more complicated calculations that eq. [\(24\)](#page-2-7) acquires the additional term $-**V**·**P**$.
- [7] M. T. A. de Voigt et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. 55, 949 (1983).
- [8] M. P. Silverman, Phys. Lett. 152, 133 (1991).
- [9] T. C. Chapman and D. J. Leiter, Am. J. Phys. 44, 858 (1976).
- [10] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, The Classical Theory of Fields, (Pergamon, London, 1962).
- [11] F. V. Hehl and W-T Ni, Phys. Rev. D 42, 2045 (1990).
- [12] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, Mechanics, (Pergamon, Oxford, 1960).
- [13] D. A. Varshalovich, V. K. Khersonsky and A. N. Moskalev, Quantum Theory of Angular Momentum (World Scientific, Singapure, 1988).
- [14] I. Klaft *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 2425 (1994).
- [15] P. Seelig et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 4824 (1998).
- [16] M. P. Silverman, S. Haroche and M. Gross, Phys. Rev. A 18, 1507 and 1517 (1978).
- [17] A repopulation of levels has not been observed in the GSI heavy ion experiments [\[14](#page-5-13), [15\]](#page-5-14) because the magnetic field $H \sim h\nu_0/\mu_0 \sim 10^4$ T is necessary for the two ground state hyperfine levels of heavy H-like ions to be fully mixed.
- [18] B. Franzke, Nucl. Instr. Meth. B 24/25, 18 (1987).
- [19] Yu.L. Sokolov and V. P. Yakovlev, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 83, 15 (1982) [Sov. Phys. JETP. 56, 7 (1982)].
- [20] A. N. Ivanov, M. Faber, R. Reda, P. Kienle, arXiv:nuclth/0711.3184.
- [21] W. Lamb and R. Retherford, Phys. Rev. 79, 549 (1950).
- [22] B. Mashhoon *et al.*, Phys. Lett. A **249**, 161 (1998).