

Tractors, Mass and Weyl Invariance

A.R. GOVER[♠], A. SHAUKAT[♠] AND A. WALDRON[♠]

[♠] *Department of Mathematics*
The University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand
 gover@math.auckland.ac.nz

[♠] *Physics Department*
University of California, Davis CA 95616, USA
 ashaukat@ucdavis.edu

[♠] *Department of Mathematics*
University of California, Davis CA 95616, USA
 wally@math.ucdavis.edu

ABSTRACT

Deser and Nepomechie established a relationship between masslessness and rigid conformal invariance by coupling to a background metric and demanding local Weyl invariance, a method which applies neither to massive theories nor theories which rely upon gauge invariances for masslessness. We extend this method to describe massive and gauge invariant theories using Weyl invariance. The key idea is to introduce a new scalar field which is constant when evaluated at the scale corresponding to the metric of physical interest. This technique relies on being able to efficiently construct Weyl invariant theories. This is achieved using tractor calculus—a mathematical machinery designed for the study of conformal geometry. From a physics standpoint, this amounts to arranging fields in multiplets with respect to the conformal group but with novel Weyl transformation laws. Our approach gives a mechanism for generating masses from Weyl weights. Breitenlohner–Freedman stability bounds for Anti de Sitter theories arise naturally as do direct derivations of the novel Weyl invariant theories given by Deser and Nepomechie. In constant curvature spaces, partially massless theories—which rely on the interplay between mass and gauge invariance—are also generated by our method. Another simple consequence is conformal invariance of the maximal depth partially massless theories. Detailed examples for spins $s \leq 2$ are given including tractor and component actions, on-shell and off-shell approaches and gauge invariances. For all spins $s \geq 2$ we give tractor equations of motion unifying massive, massless and partially massless theories.

1 Introduction

The history of Weyl invariance [1] as a principle for developing physical theories is long one. Notable early examples include Dirac’s formulation of conformally invariant four dimensional wave equations in six dimensions [2] and Zumino’s work relating Weyl transformations to the conformal group [3] and the introduction of Weyl compensator fields by Deser and Zumino [3, 4]. We pick up the story with the investigations of Deser and Nepomechie in the early 1980’s who found various novel conformally invariant theories in a study of masslessness in constant curvature spaces [5]. These included a conformal, but not gauge invariant vector theory; the partially massless spin two theory [5, 6, 7] and a trace-free spin two model [8, 9]. Their criteria for constructing these theories was to require rigid conformal invariance and then use the fact that constant curvature spaces are conformally flat to establish lightlike propagation. To construct conformally invariant theories in constant curvature spaces, a trick was employed: first one couples the model to an arbitrary (conformally flat) metric and requires that this system be Weyl invariant (where, of course, both the fields and metric transform accordingly under local Weyl transformations). This ensures rigid conformal invariance upon specializing to a constant curvature metric when only the fields are transformed. This clever maneuver underlies a deep relationship between mass and Weyl invariance that we will explore in this Article.

Rigid conformal invariance is *not* the mechanism underlying masslessness for many theories (for instance, consider four dimensional gravitons). More generally gauge invariance implies masslessness but again there are exceptions even to this rule (aside from the trivial example of Stückelberg gauge invariances [10], three dimensional topologically massive theories [11] are gauge invariant but support massive propagation¹). Indeed, subsequently to the work of Deser and Nepomechie, higher spin partially massless constant curvature theories were discovered [7]. These theories are gauge invariant and in four dimensions they are massless in the sense that propagation is lightlike. Yet, at non-maximal depth² these theories are not conformal, so

¹Save for special choices of their mass parameters which restore lightlike propagation in constant curvature spaces [12]).

²Partially massless theories are characterized by higher derivative gauge invariances, the number of which is called the depth. In fact at maximal depth these theories enjoy a scalar gauge invariance (just as for Maxwell theory), and were proven to be conformal [13]. These theories could have been predicted by Deser–Nepomechie methods, but computing

could not be found using the methods of Deser and Nepomechie. Nonetheless, in this Article we show that Weyl invariance can be used to construct not only conformally invariant theories, but also gauge invariant massless ones and even massive and partially massless theories.

A hint that our viewpoint may be correct can be gleaned from the work of Dolan, Nappi and Witten who constructed an AdS/CFT correspondence for partially massless theories [14]. In particular, they related partially massless gauge invariances to conformally invariant, higher derivative boundary operators constructed by conformal geometers in the mathematics literature [15, 16, 17, 18]. Conformal geometry is the study of manifolds equipped with a conformal equivalence class of metrics with equivalence defined by equality modulo Weyl transformations. This hints that Weyl invariance may indeed be the correct underlying principle.

The question then, is if Weyl invariance is used as the guiding principle for constructing massive and massless theories, how does one avoid obtaining only conformally invariant theories when specializing to metrics with conformal isometries? Put simpler; one does not expect to find Weyl invariant massive theories. The solution is to add an additional Weyl scale to the theory. *I.e.*, instead of coupling the model of interest to a background metric, one also introduces an extra scalar field and asks that theory to be Weyl invariant. This seems counterintuitive, because upon setting the metric to the background metric of interest, an extra unwanted scalar remains. However, for any metric chosen from a conformal class of metrics there exists a canonical scalar field that is constant for that choice of metric. Weyl invariance holds only when this scalar, the metric, and underlying physical fields *all* transform. Upon choosing a given metric, conformal invariance is broken if this scalar is held constant. One may think of this scalar/Weyl scale as measuring the breaking of Weyl invariance by adding masses. This is essentially the main idea of the Weyl compensator method [3] (a very useful pedagogical introduction is [19]). As a consequence of this approach, masses are related to Weyl weights (which become conformal weights when specializing to metrics with conformal isometries).

This still leaves us with the practical problem of systematically and efficiently constructing Weyl invariant theories. However, the Weyl scale method

the detailed Weyl invariant couplings to a background metric would be extremely arduous. Our approach provides a simple solution to this problem and therefore an alternate proof to that given in [13].

can be neatly incorporated with tractor calculus methods. This gives an elegant approach to constructing the Weyl invariant theories underlying massless, massive and partially massive theories and does so within a single framework. The main idea is that while the metric transforms in the standard way under Weyl transformations, the physical fields have transformations corresponding to sections of certain “tractor bundles”. In simple terms, this means that fields are arranged as multiplets of the conformal group and have local transformations valued in a parabolic subgroup thereof. We will refer to such multiplets as tractors, importantly there exist a set of differential operators that map tractors to tractors and facilitate the construction of Weyl invariant quantities. These ideas are explained in detail in the mathematics literature in [20, 21, 22], while original references are [23, 24, 15, 25]. A brief physical *exposé* may be found in [26]. The main notations and ideas required here are given in the next Section.

Having explained some key ideas of tractor calculus in Section 2, the rest of this Article is devoted to physical examples starting with scalars followed by a detailed discussion of vector theories that unifies Maxwell’s and Proca’s equations. Spin two is handled thereafter, being the first case where partially massless theories appear. Section 6 is devoted to higher spin theories. Many of our calculations are performed in a constant curvature setting for both simplicity and physical reasons, but others are germane to any background, as are the methods presented here. Although our main aim is to develop theories in fixed backgrounds, the metric can be made dynamical in a simple way. This and the theory of Weyl compensators is discussed in Section 7. In our Conclusions we speculate about Weyl invariant ancestors of three dimensional topologically massive theories as well as new methods for computing massive spin two interactions. We also include Appendices with detailed formulæ to assist readers convert tractor quantities to their more familiar tensor components and review an elegant index-free algebraic technique for handling higher spin computations [27, 28, 29].

2 The Tractor Philosophy

Under (local) Weyl transformations the metric transforms as

$$g_{\mu\nu} \mapsto \Omega^2 g_{\mu\nu} . \tag{1}$$

Let us denote

$$\Upsilon_\mu = \Omega^{-1} \partial_\mu \Omega. \quad (2)$$

In conformal geometry (in dimensions $d \geq 3$) the trace adjusted version of the Ricci tensor, plays an important *rôle*; this is the *rho*-tensor (sometimes called the Schouten tensor) $P_{\mu\nu}$,

$$P_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{d-2} \left(R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{d-1} g_{\mu\nu} R \right), \quad P \equiv P^\mu{}_\mu = \frac{R}{2(d-1)}. \quad (3)$$

Firstly the Riemann tensor can be simply expressed as

$$R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - W_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = P_{\mu\rho} g_{\nu\sigma} - P_{\nu\rho} g_{\mu\sigma} - P_{\mu\sigma} g_{\nu\rho} + P_{\nu\sigma} g_{\mu\rho}, \quad (4)$$

where $W_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ is the trace-free Weyl tensor—the obstruction to conformal flatness in dimensions $d > 3$. In three dimensions the Weyl tensor vanishes and instead, the Cotton–York tensor, which is the curl of the *rho*-tensor, measures the failure of conformal flatness. However, of far more importance is that the vielbein, Levi-Civita connection and *rho*-tensor can be combined into a larger “tractor” connection

$$\mathcal{A}_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -e_{\mu n} & 0 \\ P_\mu{}^m & \omega_\mu{}^m{}_n & e_\mu{}^m \\ 0 & -P_{\mu n} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

Evidently, on Lorentzian signature manifolds,³ this is a Weyl invariant $so(d, 2)$ -connection. In the component presentation we have here, this is captured by the Weyl transformation property

$$\mathcal{A}_\mu \mapsto U(\partial_\mu U^{-1}) + U \mathcal{A}_\mu U^{-1}, \quad (6)$$

when the metric is transformed according to (1). Here the $SO(d, 2)$ -valued matrix U is given by⁴

$$U \equiv U(\Omega) = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega & 0 & 0 \\ \Upsilon^m & \delta_n^m & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2}\Omega^{-1} \Upsilon_r \Upsilon^r & -\Omega^{-1} \Upsilon_n & \Omega^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

³Throughout this Article we work in Lorentzian signature, although essentially every equation we display is valid in arbitrary metric signatures.

⁴We denote curved indices μ, ν, \dots and flat indices m, n, \dots

Notice that $U(\Omega)$ above actually takes values in a parabolic subgroup of $SO(d, 2)$. In tractor calculus one studies multiplets with gauge transformations given by the matrix $U(\Omega)$, or, in tighter language, sections of so-called tractor bundles with parallel transport defined by the connection \mathcal{A}_μ . For example a tractor vector field of weight⁵ w is a system consisting of functions T^+ , T^- and a vector field T^m that is required to satisfy the Weyl transformation law

$$T^M \equiv \begin{pmatrix} T^+ \\ T^m \\ T^- \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \Omega^w U^M{}_N T^N = \Omega^w \begin{pmatrix} \Omega T^+ \\ T^m + \Upsilon^m T^+ \\ \Omega^{-1} [T^- - \Upsilon_n T^n - \frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_n \Upsilon^n T^+] \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8)$$

in response to (1). We often say the tractor components T^+ , T^m and T^- are placed in the the top, middle and bottom slots, respectively. The generalization of the above transformation rule to tractor tensors is the canonical one. On weight zero tractor vector fields the covariant gradient operator, is given by

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu = \nabla_\mu + \mathcal{A}_\mu,$$

where ∇_μ is the Levi-Civita gradient operator acting on the three slots. This formula then extends to give a covariant gradient operator on arbitrary tractor fields via the Leibniz rule. (In fact, when the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ is fixed, we also use this formula for fields which are tensor products of tractor fields with tensors.) It follows immediately⁶ that the tractor metric

$$\eta_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \eta_{mn} & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (9)$$

is a weight zero, symmetric, rank two tractor tensor that is parallel with respect to \mathcal{D}_μ . Using the last fact it is safe in calculations to use the tractor metric and its inverse to raise and lower tractor indices M, N, \dots in the usual fashion, and this we shall do without further mention. Along similar lines,

⁵The term ‘‘conformal weight’’, or just ‘‘weight’’ is often used in mathematics literature where Weyl invariance is often called conformal invariance.

⁶This first part of this statement is the essentially the identity $U^M{}_R U^N{}_S \eta^{RS} = \eta^{MN}$ where U is given in (7).

it is easy to see that

$$X^M \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (10)$$

is a (Weyl invariant) weight one tractor vector. Note that, by contraction, X^M may be used to “project out” the top slot of a tractor vector field.

The Thomas D -operator acts on weight w tractors by

$$D^M \equiv \begin{pmatrix} (d + 2w - 2)w \\ (d + 2w - 2)\mathcal{D}^m \\ -(\mathcal{D}_\nu \mathcal{D}^\nu + wP) \end{pmatrix} \quad (11)$$

and is extremely important since it allows us to produce new, weight $w - 1$, tractors from old. It is important not to confuse the symbol D^M with the covariant gradient operator \mathcal{D}^m (contracted with an inverse vielbein) which appears in the middle slot of the Thomas D -operator. Although D^M is *not* a covariant derivative, nevertheless it can often be employed to similar effect. Let us denote the Laplacian on scalars by $\Delta \equiv \mathcal{D}_\nu \mathcal{D}^\nu$. Acting on a weight $w = 1 - \frac{d}{2}$ scalar φ , the Thomas D -operator yields, in particular,

$$D_M \varphi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -\left(\Delta - \frac{d-2}{2} P\right) \varphi \end{pmatrix}, \quad (12)$$

where the operator in the bottom slot is the conformally invariant wave operator (or in Riemannian signature, the Yamabe operator). Hence $D^M \varphi = 0$ yields the equation of motion of a conformally improved scalar $\Delta \varphi = \frac{d-2}{2} P \varphi$. It is also important to note that D^M reduces the weight of a tractor by one and that

$$D^M D_M = 0. \quad (13)$$

In this Article, we not only construct tractor equations of motion, but also action principles. To that end we need to know how to integrate the Thomas D -operator by parts. Notice that the D -operator does not satisfy a Leibniz rule; this is to be expected because for example its bottom slot is a second order differential operator. Nonetheless, at commensurate weights,

an integration by parts formula does hold. For example, if V^M is a weight w tractor vector and φ is a weight $1 - d - w$ scalar then (see *e.g.* [30])

$$\int \sqrt{-g} V_M D^M \varphi = \int \sqrt{-g} \varphi D^M V_M. \quad (14)$$

Notice, there is no sign flip in this formula and that the integral itself is Weyl invariant because the metric determinant carries Weyl weight d . An analogous formula holds for tractor tensors.

The final piece of tractor technology we will need is how to handle choices of scale without losing contact with the tractor systems. Consider a conformal class of metrics $[g_{\mu\nu}]$ with equivalence defined by Weyl transformations (1); so

$$[g_{\mu\nu}] = [\Omega^2 g_{\mu\nu}]. \quad (15)$$

Adjoining the conformal class of a weight $w = 1$, non-vanishing, scalar σ to this with equivalence

$$[g_{\mu\nu}, \sigma] = [\Omega^2 g_{\mu\nu}, \Omega \sigma], \quad (16)$$

we can use σ to uniquely (up to an overall constant factor) pick a metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ from this equivalence class by requiring the accompanying representative scalar σ^0 is constant for that choice. Evaluated at that choice of metric, the Thomas D -operator acting on σ^0 is simple and plays a distinguished *rôle*. Therefore (dropping the superscript “0”) we define the weight zero tractor vector⁷

$$I^M = \frac{1}{d} D^M \sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma \\ 0 \\ -\frac{1}{d} \mathbf{P} \sigma \end{pmatrix}, \quad (17)$$

that we term the *scale tractor*. Notice that

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu I^M = \sigma \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{P}_\mu{}^m - \frac{1}{d} e_\mu{}^m \mathbf{P} \\ -\frac{1}{d} \partial_\mu \mathbf{P} \end{pmatrix} \quad (18)$$

so that I^M is parallel if and only if $g_{\mu\nu}$ is an Einstein metric⁸. Hence, at arbitrary scales, when $g_{\mu\nu}$ is conformally Einstein, $D^M \sigma$ is a parallel tractor.

⁷It is important to realize this is the expression for I^M evaluated at a special scale. To obtain its correct tractor transformation law, one must first transform σ as a weight one scalar and subsequently evaluate the derivatives in D^M .

⁸The space of solutions to the requirement of parallel I^M can be enhanced to include almost Einstein structures by allowing zeroes in σ . at conformal infinities [32, 33].

It also follows that $[D^M, I^N] = 0$. If in addition to I^M parallel, one has vanishing Weyl tensor, then $g_{\mu\nu}$ is conformally flat and it follows that Thomas D -operators commute. Many of the computations in this Article pertain to arbitrary conformal classes of metrics, but as the spin of the systems we study increases, we typically restrict ourselves to conformally Einstein, or even conformally flat metrics.

We have now assembled enough tractor technology to construct physical models. We refer the reader to the literature [24, 20, 21, 22, 31, 26] for a more detailed and motivated account of tractors.

3 Scalars

Let us show how to describe a massive scalar field in a curved background using tractors. In particular we want to exhibit how the choice of scale can be used to write down a Weyl invariant theory. Of course once a choice of scale is included, then there are trivial ways to form Weyl invariants and Weyl invariant equations. In contrast we shall be very restrictive in the way the scale and its derivatives may couple with matter fields; the scale is only allowed to enter via the scale tractor I . We might view I as breaking conformal symmetry, but alternatively we can equally view this as conformal theory that couples scale via I and this is the notion of *Weyl invariance* that we shall describe here. This yields a theory whose Weyl weights are related to masses.

Let φ be a weight w scalar field so that under Weyl transformations

$$\varphi \mapsto \Omega^w \varphi. \quad (19)$$

We propose the Weyl covariant equation of motion

$$I_M D^M \varphi = 0. \quad (20)$$

Important, covariance is achieved only upon transforming the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, the scale σ and the physical field φ simultaneously. It is convenient to choose the scale such that it is constant for the background metric of interest. In that case I^M takes the simple form (17), so it is easy to use the expressions (11) and (9) to evaluate the “component” expression⁹ for the equation

⁹We also refer the reader to Appendix B for a tractor/tensor component dictionary.

of motion (20). We obtain (cf. [34])

$$-\sigma \left(\Delta + \frac{2\text{P}}{d} w(w+d-1) \right) \varphi = 0. \quad (21)$$

Our first observation is that when $w = 1 - \frac{d}{2}$, this is the equation of motion for a conformally improved scalar field

$$\left(\Delta - \frac{R}{4} \frac{d-2}{d-1} \right) \varphi = 0. \quad (22)$$

This equation is Weyl covariant so we expect no choice of scale σ to be needed. Indeed this is true, since at this weight, the equation of motion (20) can be rewritten as

$$D^M \varphi = 0, \quad (23)$$

because the top and middle slots of the Thomas D -operator vanish. The same conclusion follows from a Weyl invariant action principle¹⁰ for the equation of motion (20)

$$S[g_{\mu\nu}, \sigma, \varphi] = \frac{1}{2} \int \sqrt{-g} \sigma^{1-d-2w} \varphi I_M D^M \varphi = S[\Omega^2 g_{\mu\nu}, \Omega \sigma, \Omega^w \varphi], \quad (24)$$

because S is independent of σ when $w = 1 - \frac{d}{2}$.

For generic $w \neq 1 - \frac{d}{2}$ we can treat the equation of motion (20) as one for a massive scalar by (locally) taking the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ to have constant scalar curvature.¹¹ Then, the equation of motion (21) describes a massive scalar field

$$(\Delta - m^2) \varphi = 0, \quad (25)$$

with the mass-Weyl weight relation

$$m^2 = \frac{2\text{P}}{d} \left[\left(\frac{d-1}{2} \right)^2 - \left(w + \frac{d-1}{2} \right)^2 \right]. \quad (26)$$

¹⁰Recall that the metric determinant has Weyl weight d .

¹¹If backgrounds with non-constant scalar curvature are desired, we can always make a Weyl transformation that brings the equation (21) to the form

$$\left(\Delta + \frac{2\text{P}}{d} w(w+d-1) \right) \varphi = (d+2w-2) \left(v^\mu \nabla_\mu - w(\nabla_\mu v^\mu + v_\mu v^\mu) \right) \varphi,$$

where P is constant and $v_\mu = \sigma^{-1} \partial_\mu \sigma$.




Figure 1: The Weyl weight w can be reinterpreted as a scalar mass parameter. Generic values of w (the thick line) give massive theories, while $w = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{d}{2}$ and $w = 1 - \frac{d}{2}$ describe a scalar saturating the Breitenlohner–Freedman bound (in Anti de Sitter space) and an improved scalar, respectively.

Hence, in summary, the Weyl covariant equation of motion $I_M D^M \varphi = 0$ describes massive propagation for generic w and at the conformal value $w = 1 - \frac{d}{2}$ the scale σ decouples and we may impose $D^M \varphi = 0$.

Finally to set notations, note that for the weight $w = 1 - \frac{d}{2}$ we have

$$m^2 = \frac{\mathbf{P}}{2} (d - 2) = \frac{R d - 2}{4 d - 1}. \quad (27)$$

Also, in constant curvature backgrounds

$$R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \frac{4\mathbf{P}}{d} g_{\mu[\rho} g_{\sigma]\nu}, \quad (28)$$

and the cosmological constant is related to the *rho*-tensor by

$$\mathbf{P} = \frac{R}{2(d-1)} = \frac{\Lambda d}{(d-1)(d-2)}, \quad (29)$$

which is negative in Anti de Sitter and positive in de Sitter spaces. The Breitenlohner–Freedman bound [35, 36] for stable scalar propagation in Anti de Sitter space is

$$m^2 \geq \frac{\mathbf{P}}{2} (d - 1)^2, \quad (30)$$

and is saturated by setting the second term in (26) to zero, so that $w = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{d}{2}$. It is also worth observing that, according to (26), any real weight w obeys this bound. Figure 1 depicts the physical interpretation of the various values of the Weyl weight w .

4 Vectors

To describe theories of a vector field V_μ according to the tractor philosophy espoused in Section 2, we need to arrange fields as $SO(d, 2)$ multiplets

transforming as tractors under Weyl transformations. This necessitates the addition of auxiliary fields in order to build a tractor from V_μ . So to begin with we introduce a weight w tractor vector

$$V^M = \begin{pmatrix} V^+ \\ V^m \\ V^- \end{pmatrix}, \quad (31)$$

and identify¹²

$$V_\mu = e_\mu{}^m V_m. \quad (32)$$

This forces us to incorporate, in our model, the two auxiliary fields V^\pm . With V_μ these have Weyl transformation laws (see (8))

$$\begin{aligned} V^+ &\mapsto \Omega^{w+1} V^+, \\ V_\mu &\mapsto \Omega^{w+1} (V_\mu + \Upsilon_\mu V^+), \\ V^- &\mapsto \Omega^{w-1} (V^- - \Upsilon^\mu [V_\mu + \frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_\mu V^+]). \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

These formulæ are perhaps somewhat mysterious from a physical perspective. Firstly, what do the extra fields V^\pm mean? Secondly, although nothing prevents us from choosing strange looking Weyl transformations (so long as we maintain the standard formula for the metric (1)), these are certainly non-standard. For example, in four dimensions, Maxwell theory is Weyl invariant and only requires a single vector field which is inert under Weyl transformations. In other dimensions, Deser and Nepomechie have written down a non-gauge invariant, yet Weyl invariant vector theory [5] which again involves only a single vector field V_μ , but with weight $w = 1 - \frac{d}{2}$.

Let us hint at a solution to this problem: For special weights the auxiliaries will decouple and can be set to zero consistently; for generic weights, one auxiliary remains and will be a Stückelberg field enabling us to deal with massive fields in a gauge invariant way.

Since the tractor vector V^M seems to have a too large field content, we may search for Weyl-covariant constraints, using the tractor operators of Section 2. Hence we impose the requirement

$$D^M V_M = 0. \quad (34)$$

¹²Notice that the vielbein transforms as $e_\mu{}^m \mapsto \Omega e_\mu{}^m$.

(One might also consider the further constraint $X^M V_M = 0$ which forces $V^+ = 0$; we choose not to at this juncture, but will encounter it later for special choices of w .) This constraint has the advantage that it can be solved algebraically for V^- . In components the solution is¹³

$$V^M = \begin{pmatrix} V^+ \\ V^m \\ -\frac{1}{d+w-1} \left(\nabla \cdot V - \frac{1}{d+2w} \left[\Delta - (d+w-1)\mathbf{P} \right] V^+ \right) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (35)$$

at least for $w \neq -\frac{d}{2}, 1-d$. At the weight $-d/2$ the equation (34) amounts to a conformal wave equation on V^+ , we shall discuss this below. (As a check of this computation, one can readily verify that the bottom slot of this tractor transforms according to (33) through its dependence on the middle and top slots.)

Having removed the auxiliary V^- as an independent field, we still need to deal with the auxiliary V^+ ; here we employ the standard physics principle—gauge invariance. Therefore we posit the local invariance

$$\delta V^M = D^M \xi. \quad (36)$$

where the parameter ξ is a weight $w+1$ scalar. This invariance respects the constraint (34) because the operator D^M is “null” (see (13)).

It is instructive to write these transformations out in components

$$\begin{aligned} \delta V^+ &= (d+2w)(w+1)\xi, \\ \delta V_\mu &= (d+2w)\nabla_\mu \xi. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Notice that we recover the standard Maxwell type gauge transformation for the vector V_μ , while the auxiliary V^+ is indeed a Stückelberg field since its gauge transformation is a shift symmetry. We must pay careful attention to the w -dependent coefficients of these transformations in the computations that follow.

Next, we search for gauge invariant (and Weyl covariant) quantities built from V^M . The first is the rather elegant¹⁴

$$\mathcal{F}^{MN} = D^M V^N - D^N V^M, \quad (38)$$

¹³We often denote contraction of d -dimensional indices by a dot “.” while contractions of tractor indices are given by a slightly higher dot “.”.

¹⁴We editorialize by reiterating that although D^M is *not* the covariant derivative, many standard formulæ may be mimicked by treating it so.

which for obvious reasons we shall term the *tractor Maxwell curvature*, even though it is not the curvature of a connection. Its gauge invariance is manifest because Thomas D -operators commute acting on scalars (for any conformal class of metrics).

Interestingly enough, for $w \neq -1, -\frac{d}{2}$ there is a second gauge invariant quantity built by subtracting from V^M an appropriate *differential splitting operator* applied to V^M . The meaning of this is made clear by the explicit formula:

$$\tilde{V}^M = V^M - \frac{1}{(d+2w)(w+1)} D^M X \cdot V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \tilde{V}^m \\ -\frac{1}{d+w-1} \nabla \cdot \tilde{V} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (39)$$

where the quantity

$$\tilde{V}_\mu = V_\mu - \frac{1}{w+1} \nabla_\mu V^+, \quad (40)$$

is easily seen to be invariant under (37). (Note that the replacement $V^M \rightarrow \tilde{V}^M$ leaves the tractor Maxwell curvature unchanged.)

At this point we are done with kinematics and proceed to develop dynamics for the tractor V^M . Therefore we now introduce a choice of scale σ and pick a metric where σ is constant. We propose the tractor equation of motion

$$I_M \mathcal{F}^{MN} \equiv G^N = 0. \quad (41)$$

Observe that $I_N G^N \equiv 0$. Note also that other possibilities, for the equations of motion, such as $X_M \mathcal{F}^{MN}$ and $D_M \mathcal{F}^{MN}$ are not interesting. The former gives trivial dynamics, while the latter either vanishes identically in a conformally flat setting (and yields trivial dynamics in any setting).

We note that the equation of motion (41) mimicks and generalizes the scalar equation of motion (20) since expanding out the tractor Maxwell curvature yields

$$I \cdot D V^N - I_M D^N V^M = 0. \quad (42)$$

Let us now analyze in detail these equations to see what physics they describe.

We begin with special values of the weight w . Examining the gauge transformations (37) we see that $w = -\frac{d}{2}$ and $w = -1$ play special *rôles*. The case $w = -d/2$ is deceptive, since it appears to be a distinguished value.

In fact it actually amounts to the massive Proca system¹⁵ that we will find for generic weights w .

The case $w = -1$ is far more interesting. The auxiliary field V^+ is inert under the transformations (37). Or in other words the tractor quantity $X \cdot V$ is gauge invariant. Hence we may consistently impose an additional constraint

$$X_M V^M = 0. \quad (43)$$

In that case, a component computation of the equation of motion (41) is rather simple, the only non-vanishing component of the left hand side is

$$G^n = -\sigma \nabla_m F^{mn}, \quad (44)$$

where $F_{\mu\nu} = 2\nabla_{[\mu} V_{\nu]}$ is the usual Maxwell curvature. So $G^n = 0$ is *precisely the system of Maxwell's equations in vacua!* This is hardly surprising since at this value of w , along with the additional $X \cdot V$ constraint, we have a theory of a single vector V_μ along with its usual Maxwell gauge invariance. Notice, the tractor technology does not predict Weyl (co/in)variance of Maxwell's equations in arbitrary dimensions since the construction does involve choosing a scale. Rather the combination $-\sigma \nabla_m F^{mn}$ belongs in the middle slot of a weight -2 tractor vector. Note also that the value $w = -1$ along with the constraints $X \cdot V = D \cdot V = 0$ implies the usual Weyl transformation rule for the Maxwell potential V_μ , namely that V_μ is inert.

It turns out there is a further distinguished value of the weight w . Namely the canonical engineering dimension of a d -dimensional field, namely $w = 1 - \frac{d}{2}$ (just as for an improved scalar). In four dimensions this value coincides with the $w = -1$ Maxwell one! To see that this value is special we compute the components of the tractor Maxwell curvature subject to the constraint (34), but at arbitrary weight and find

$$\mathcal{F}^{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (d+2w-2)(w+1)\tilde{V}^n & -\frac{(d+2w-2)(w+1)}{d+w-1}\nabla \cdot \tilde{V} \\ a/s & (d+2w-2)F^{mn} & \nabla_r F^{rm} - (w+1)[2P_r^m \tilde{V}^r - P \tilde{V}^m + \frac{1}{d+w-1}\nabla^m \nabla \cdot \tilde{V}] \\ a/s & a/s & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (45)$$

¹⁵The technical details are as follows: Firstly, both V^+ and V_μ are inert under gauge transformations (36). Moreover V^- decouples both from the field constraint and equation of motion $D \cdot V = 0 = G^M$; in fact if we assume invertibility of $(\Delta - \frac{P}{2})$, then it can be gauged away using the gauge invariance $\delta V^- = D^- \xi = -(\Delta - \frac{P}{2})\xi$. Then PV^+ turns out to be proportional to $\nabla \cdot V$

Notice that at $w = 1 - \frac{d}{2}$ every component of \mathcal{F}^{MN} vanishes save for \mathcal{F}^{m-} . Therefore there is no longer any need to introduce the scale σ to obtain the field equations. Just as we did in (23) for the improved scalar field, we may simply replace (41) by vanishing of the tractor Maxwell curvature

$$\mathcal{F}^{MN} = 0. \quad (46)$$

This gives Weyl covariant equations of motion that depend only on the combination \tilde{V}_μ . Without loss of generality, therefore, we can gauge away the Stückelberg field and are left with a theory of a single vector $A_\mu \equiv \tilde{V}_\mu|_{V^+=0}$, with Weyl transformation law

$$A_\mu \mapsto \Omega^{-\frac{d-4}{2}} A_\mu. \quad (47)$$

Writing out these apparently novel equations explicitly gives

$$\Delta A_\mu - \frac{4}{d} \nabla^\nu \nabla_\mu A_\nu + \frac{d-4}{d} \left(2 P_\mu^\nu A_\nu + \frac{d+2}{2} P A_\mu \right) = 0. \quad (48)$$

These equations have in fact been encountered before—they are precisely the Weyl invariant, but non-gauge invariant vector theory of Deser and Nepomechie [5]. When $d = 4$, they revert to Maxwell’s equations. Observe that the intersection of the conditions $w = -1$ and $w = 1 - d/2$ is at $d = 4$, precisely the value when the Maxwell theory is Weyl invariant. It is rather pleasing that the simple tractor equation (41) directly generates the curvature couplings required for Weyl invariance.

Having dealt with special weights w , we now analyze generic weights which will correspond to massive vector fields. For this we specialize to conformally Einstein metrics and choose a scale σ which is constant when $g_{\mu\nu}$ is Einstein so that

$$P_{\mu\nu} = \frac{P}{d} g_{\mu\nu}, \quad \nabla_\mu P = 0. \quad (49)$$

Moreover, since I^M is now parallel it commutes with the Thomas D -operator. This means that (just as for regular Maxwell theory), it is easy to prove a Bianchi identity for the field equation G^M in (41): Since we deal with fields V^M subject to $D \cdot V = 0$ and a gauge invariance $\delta V^M = D^M \xi$, we expect (and indeed must require) both a constraint and Bianchi identity for the field equation G^M . Indeed this is the case; it is easy to verify that

$$I \cdot G = 0 = D \cdot G. \quad (50)$$

It may be that there exist corrections to the equation of motion G^M that allow these conditions to be generalized beyond conformally Einstein metrics, but we have not studied this issue in detail.

Let us now examine the component form of our proposed equations of motion (41) at arbitrary w . A fairly simple computation shows that a combination of the components of G^M take a familiar form

$$G^m - \frac{1}{d+2w-2} \nabla^m G^+ = -\sigma \left(\nabla_n F^{nm} + \frac{2P}{d} (w+1)(d+w-2) \tilde{V}^m \right) \equiv \mathcal{G}^m. \quad (51)$$

Massive vector cognescenti will recognize this as the Stückelberg formulation of the Proca equation in a cosmological background! It is invariant under the gauge transformations (37) because it only depends on the gauge invariant combination \tilde{V}_μ . At $w = -1$, the second, mass, term vanishes (so long as one sets the Stückelberg field $V^+ = 0$ as a gauge invariant constraint) which could be expected from the gauge invariances (37). The other components of G^M are simply consequences of (51).

At generic w we can gauge away the auxiliary Stückelberg field using (37), and the divergence of the equation of motion (51) $\nabla_\mu \mathcal{G}^\mu = 0$ implies $\nabla_\mu V^\mu = 0$, so we obtain a wave equation for a massive, divergence free vector field

$$\begin{cases} \left(\Delta + \frac{2P}{d} [w(w+d-1) - 1] \right) V_\mu = 0, \\ \nabla^\mu V_\mu = 0. \end{cases} \quad (52)$$

The mass-squared of the Proca system is usually defined by the coefficient of \tilde{V}^m in (51). Hence, performing some elementary algebra, we read off the mass-Weyl weight relation

$$m^2 = \frac{2P}{d} \left[\left(\frac{d-3}{2} \right)^2 - \left(w + \frac{d-1}{2} \right)^2 \right] \quad (53)$$

Firstly note, that this result predicts a Breitenlohner–Freedman bound

$$m^2 \geq \frac{2P}{d} \left(\frac{d-3}{2} \right)^2, \quad (54)$$

for the Proca system.

We also observe, that if instead of the standard definition of the mass given above, we define a parameter μ^2 by the eigenvalue of the (Bochner) Laplacian so that

$$\Delta V_\mu = \mu^2 V_\mu, \quad (55)$$

then the mass-Weyl weight relation for the spin $s = 0$ scalar and $s = 1$ Proca systems can be unified as

$$\mu^2 = \frac{2\mathbf{P}}{d} \left[\left(\frac{d-1}{2} \right)^2 - \left(w + \frac{d-1}{2} \right)^2 + s \right]. \quad (56)$$

In fact, this result holds at arbitrary spin s (see Section 6). This result predicts a arbitrary spin Breitenlohner–Freedman bound

$$\mu^2 \geq \frac{2\mathbf{P}}{d} \left[\left(\frac{d-1}{2} \right)^2 + s \right]. \quad (57)$$

We also note that the three-dimensional topologically massive Maxwell system saturates this Breitenlohner–Freedman bound [12].

The Proca equation (51) follows from an action principle $S[g_{\mu\nu}, \sigma, V_\mu, V^+]$ which evaluated at a constant curvature metric and constant scale reads

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \int \frac{\sqrt{-g}}{\sigma^{d+2w-2}} \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{P}{d} (w+1)(d+w-2) \tilde{V}^m \tilde{V}_m \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{\sqrt{-g}}{\sigma^{d+2w-2}} \left\{ V^+ \mathcal{G}^- + V^m \mathcal{G}_m \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

Here \mathcal{G}^m is given in (51), and gives the Proca equation, while

$$\mathcal{G}^- = -\frac{2\mathbf{P}}{d} \frac{(d+w-2)(d+w-1)}{(w+1)(d+2w-2)} \mathcal{G}^+. \quad (59)$$

The pair of equations of motion $\mathcal{G}^m = 0 = \mathcal{G}^-$ are those that come from varying the action (58). Although it is obviously gauge invariant with respect to (37), Weyl invariance of this action is not manifest. Therefore we construct an equivalent tractor action

$$S[g_{\mu\nu}, \sigma, V^M] = \int \sqrt{-g} V_M \mathcal{H}^M, \quad (60)$$

where the weight $-d-w$ tractor \mathcal{H}^M is given (at the choice of scale where σ is constant) by

$$\mathcal{H}^M = \sigma^{1-d-2w} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathcal{G}^m \\ \mathcal{G}^- \end{pmatrix}. \quad (61)$$

Because \mathcal{H}^M is a tractor vector of weight $-w - d$ the action enjoys the Weyl invariance

$$S[g_{\mu\nu}, \sigma, V^M] = S[\Omega^2 g_{\mu\nu}, \Omega\sigma, \Omega^w U^M{}_N V^N]. \quad (62)$$

Moreover, the integration by parts formula (14), along with the invariance of (58) (and hence (61)) with respect to the gauge transformation (37), implies the Bianchi identity

$$D_M \mathcal{H}^M = 0. \quad (63)$$

Essentially what we have done here is beginning with weight $w - 1$ field equations G^M in (41), which obey $I \cdot G = 0 = D \cdot G$, but do not arise directly from varying an action, we have formed equations of motion \mathcal{H}^M which do follow from an action and obey

$$X \cdot \mathcal{H} = 0 = D \cdot \mathcal{H}. \quad (64)$$

Now the displayed Weyl invariant equations determine \mathcal{H} from its middle slot. To write explicitly a tractor formula for \mathcal{H}^M , we note that the tractor

$$\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{G}}^M = \left\{ G^M - \frac{1}{(d + 2w - 2)^2} D^M X \cdot G \right\}, \quad (65)$$

has middle slot equaling the Proca equation $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{G}}^M = \mathcal{G}^m$. Then we can produce a tractor obeying (64) of any desired weight $k + w - 1$ from the quantity $D_N(\sigma^k X^{[N} \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{G}}^{M]})$. In particular (excepting two exceptional weights) its middle slot is a non-zero multiple of a power of σ times \mathcal{G}^m .

The projection methods outlined in Appendix C can be used to express the integrand $\sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}$ of the action (60) as a tractor scalar

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{d+2w-2} \mathcal{L} = & - \frac{1}{4(d + 2w - 2)^2} \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{MN} \widehat{\mathcal{F}}^{MN} \\ & - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (w + 1)(d + w - 2) (I \cdot I) \widetilde{V}^M \widetilde{V}_M. \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

Here the hat on the tractor Maxwell curvature denotes a tractor covariant (but scale dependent) projection onto its middle slot as explained in Appendix C. The first term is reminiscent of the Maxwell action, while the second reminds one of the Proca mass term.

The various theories we have described using the single equation (41) are plotted in Figure 2.




Figure 2: A plot of the theories described by the tractor Maxwell system as a function of Weyl weight and dimension. Theories saturating a vector Breitenlohner–Freedman bound appear at $w = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{d}{2}$.

4.1 On-Shell Approach

Although, we have already given a complete and unified description of the Maxwell and Proca systems using tractors, it is useful to have an on-shell approach thanks to its simplicity and easy applicability to higher spin systems.

As prelude, we review the on-shell approach to the Proca equation in components. As explained above, the Proca system is described by the pair of equations

$$\begin{cases} \left(\Delta + \frac{2P}{d} [w(w + d - 1) - 1] \right) V_\mu = 0, \\ \nabla^\mu V_\mu = 0. \end{cases} \quad (67)$$

The latter, divergence constraint, implies that the system describes $d - 1$ propagating modes which obey the Klein–Gordon equation. However, this is only true at generic values of the mass (alias Weyl weight w). For special values of w there may be “residual” gauge invariance implying a reduction in degrees of freedom. Indeed, the (Maxwell) gauge transformation

$$\delta V_\mu = \nabla_\mu \xi \quad (68)$$

leaves the divergence constraint invariant whenever the gauge parameter ξ obeys

$$\Delta \xi = 0. \quad (69)$$

Then the identity

$$[\Delta, \nabla_\mu] \xi = \frac{2P}{d} (d - 1) \nabla_\mu \xi \quad (70)$$

implies that the variation of the left hand side of the Klein–Gordon equation equals $-m^2 \nabla_\mu \xi$, which vanishes whenever the Maxwell mass (defined in (53)) does. *I.e.*, when $m^2 = 0$, there is a residual gauge invariance which removes an additional degree of freedom so that the system of equations (67) describes $d - 2$ propagating photon modes. (The divergence constraint is then reinterpreted as the Lorentz choice of gauge.)

The above discussion was completely standard, but let us see if it can be reproduced using tractors: The Proca system is now described by a weight w tractor subject to

$$D \cdot V = 0. \quad (71)$$

We can fix the Stückelberg gauge invariance (37) by setting

$$X \cdot V = 0. \quad (72)$$

Then the pair of equations (67) correspond to tractor equations

$$I \cdot D V^M = 0, \tag{73}$$

$$I \cdot V = 0. \tag{74}$$

Our task now is to search for residual gauge symmetries of the system of equations (71-74). Clearly we should study the transformation

$$\delta V^M = D^M \xi, \tag{75}$$

where ξ has weight $w + 1$. Equation (71) is trivially invariant under this gauge transformation, but (74) requires

$$I \cdot D \xi = 0. \tag{76}$$

(This is the tractor analog of (69).) As a consequence, equation (73) is now invariant so the final condition is given by varying (72) which gives

$$X \cdot D \xi = (d + 2w)(w + 1)\xi = 0. \tag{77}$$

At $w = -d/2$ special analysis is required as in the previous section. For example here it follows that $D^M \xi \equiv 0$ which is uninteresting. At $w = -1$ we find a genuine residual gauge invariance. This value of course implies $m^2 = 0$ in agreement with the above component computation.

5 Spin Two

The massive spin two system is more subtle than its spin one Proca relative. Its massless case corresponds to (linearized) gravitons so introducing a general background would lead us to the non-linear Einstein theory of gravitation. Also, it is generally accepted that massive spin two systems cannot be coupled to general curved backgrounds consistently (see [37, 38, 39, 40, 41]). However, these difficulties can be circumvented in cosmological backgrounds modulo subtleties—special tunings of the mass parameters lead to the partially massless spin two theory [5, 6, 7]. In the following we specialize to constant curvature theories¹⁶. We first introduce the various possible spin two theories—massive gravitons, gravitons, partially massless spin two—using the simple on-shell approach.

¹⁶A study of non-minimal gravitational couplings for massive spin two fields yields consistent propagation in Einstein backgrounds [39, 40]. Therefore we strongly suspect that there exist non-minimal tractor couplings that extend the results of this Section to conformally Einstein metrics. We reserve this issue for future study.

5.1 On-Shell Approach

Let us start directly with tractors; the basic field is a weight w , symmetric rank two tractor V^{MN} . As the spin two generalization of the spin one on-shell tractor equations (71-74) we consider¹⁷

$$D \cdot V^N = 0, \quad (78)$$

$$X \cdot V^N = 0, \quad (79)$$

$$I \cdot D V^{MN} = 0, \quad (80)$$

$$I \cdot V^N = 0, \quad (81)$$

$$V_N^N = 0. \quad (82)$$

The final trace relation is the only relation which is not a direct analogue of a relation/equation in the spin one system of equations. Written out in components these equations amount to the triplet of equations. As usual special attention is required at certain weights. We will suppress discussion of this as the analysis is a straightforward generalisation of that above for lower spins.

$$\left(\Delta + \frac{2\mathbf{P}}{d} [w(w+d-1) - 2] \right) V_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (83)$$

$$\nabla \cdot V_\nu = 0, \quad (84)$$

$$V_\nu^\nu = 0, \quad (85)$$

where the symmetric tensor $V_{\mu\nu}$ sits in the middle slot of V^{MN} . These are precisely the on-shell equations of motion for a massive spin two graviton. Using the usual Pauli–Fierz definition of the spin two mass for which

$$\left(\Delta - \frac{4\mathbf{P}}{d} - m^2 \right) V_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (86)$$

we find the spin two mass–Weyl weight relation

$$m^2 = \frac{2\mathbf{P}}{d} \left[\left(\frac{d-1}{2} \right)^2 - \left(w + \frac{d-1}{2} \right)^2 \right]. \quad (87)$$

This gives a Breitenlohner–Freedman bound

$$m^2 \geq \frac{2\mathbf{P}}{d} \left(\frac{d-1}{2} \right)^2. \quad (88)$$

¹⁷The notation $D \cdot V^N$ is shorthand for $D_M V^{MN}$.

Note that the expression (87) factors as $m^2 = -\frac{2P}{d} w(d + w - 1)$. We will find that the value $w = 0$ at which the Pauli–Fierz mass term is absent, corresponds to the gauge invariant, massless graviton limit.

Let us now search for residual gauge invariances of the tractor equations of motion (78-82). First we consider transformations

$$\delta V^{MN} = D^{(M} \xi^{N)}. \quad (89)$$

where ξ^N is a weight $w + 1$ tractor vector. It is easy to see that equations (78), (80), (81) and (82) are invariant if

$$I \cdot D \xi^N = 0 = I \cdot \xi = D \cdot \xi. \quad (90)$$

Invariance of (79), however, requires

$$(w + 1)(d + 2w)\xi^M + X^N D^M \xi_N = 0. \quad (91)$$

Contracting this relation with X_M yields

$$2(w + 1)(d + 2w)X \cdot \xi = 0. \quad (92)$$

It is not hard to verify that $D^{(M} \xi^{N)} \equiv 0$ at $w = -d/2$ so this value is uninteresting. Hence either $w = -1$ or $X \cdot \xi = 0$. First we analyze the case $X \cdot \xi = 0$. Using (90) in conjunction with $X \cdot \xi = 0$ we find (using Appendix B) that

$$X^N D^M \xi_N = -(d + 2w)\xi^M. \quad (93)$$

Hence equation (91) says

$$w(d + 2w)\xi^M = 0. \quad (94)$$

Thus we learn that $\delta V^{MN} = D^{(M} \xi^{N)}$ is a residual gauge invariance of the onshell equations (78-82) at weight $w = 0$ with $I \cdot D \xi^M = 0 = I \cdot \xi = D \cdot \xi = X \cdot \xi$. This weight corresponds to vanishing Pauli–Fierz mass and in components the residual gauge invariance reads

$$\delta V_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_{(\mu} \xi_{\nu)}, \quad \text{where } \nabla \cdot \xi = 0 = \left(\Delta - \frac{2P}{d} (d - 1) \right) \xi_\mu. \quad (95)$$

This is a residual, linearized diffeomorphism so, as promised, the $w = 0$ theory describes constant curvature gravitons.

Now let us turn to the other case $w = -1$. There the equation (91) becomes

$$X^N D^M \xi_N = 0. \quad (96)$$

A solution to this equation is given by setting

$$\xi^M = D^M \alpha \quad (97)$$

where α has weight one. Comparing with (89) we see that $\alpha = \frac{1}{d} X \cdot \xi$ and have therefore found a new residual gauge invariance

$$\delta V^{MN} = \frac{1}{d} D^M D^N X \cdot \xi. \quad (98)$$

In components this transformation reads

$$\delta V_{\mu\nu} = (d-2) \left\{ \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu + \frac{2\mathbf{P}}{d} g_{\mu\nu} \right\} \xi^+, \quad \text{where } (\Delta + 2\mathbf{P})\xi^+ = 0. \quad (99)$$

This double derivative, scalar gauge invariance is one that has been seen before – it is the on-shell residual gauge invariance of a partially massive spin two field [5, 7]. The weight $w = -1$ corresponds to a mass

$$m^2 = \frac{2\mathbf{P}}{d} (d-2). \quad (100)$$

In four dimensions, this gives the well-known result $m^2 = 2\Lambda/3$. This value gives a positive squared mass in de Sitter space where \mathbf{P} and Λ are positive, and obeys (as does any real weight w) the Anti de Sitter Breitenlohner–Freedman bound (57).

5.2 Off-Shell Approach

Just as the Proca and Maxwell equations were unified in a single tractor equation

$$I_M \mathcal{F}^{MN} = 0, \quad (101)$$

involving a choice of scale σ and the tractor Maxwell curvature; massive gravitons, gravitons and partially massless spin two theories can also be unified in a single equation

$$I_R \Gamma^{RMN} = 0. \quad (102)$$

Here Γ^{RMN} are quantities that will be termed tractor Christoffel symbols. The reason for this name will soon be clear, but the reader is warned that we are not asserting here that these are connection coefficients. Let us now explain this result in detail by deriving it from first principles.

The model is described in terms of a weight w , rank two, symmetric tractor tensor. The starting point, from which everything follows, is the gauge invariance

$$\delta V^{MN} = D^{(M} \xi^{N)}. \quad (103)$$

There are various possibilities for the weight $w + 1$ gauge parameter ξ^M . For example, we could leave it unconstrained or ask it to satisfy relations built from D^M , X^M and I^M . The ‘‘correct’’ choice can be determined by comparison with the residual gauge symmetries discussed above and is¹⁸

$$I \cdot \xi = 0. \quad (104)$$

Hence in components

$$\xi^M = \begin{pmatrix} \xi^+ \\ \xi^m \\ \frac{P}{d} \xi^+ \end{pmatrix} \quad (105)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta V^{++} &= (d + 2w)(w + 1)\xi^+, \\ \delta V^{m+} &= \frac{1}{2}(d + 2w)(w\xi^m + \nabla^m \xi^+), \\ \delta V^{mn} &= (d + 2w)\left(\nabla^{(m} \xi^{n)} + \frac{2P}{d}\eta^{mn}\xi^+\right), \end{aligned} \quad (106)$$

while all other transformations are dependent on these ones. These are exactly the gauge transformations of a Stückelberg approach to massive spin two excitations [42, 28]. Generically, they allow the auxiliary fields V^{++} and V^{m+} to be gauged away. At $w = 0$, however, one obtains a massless graviton theory because the ξ^+ invariance gauges away V^{++} so V^{m+} is inert and can be gauge invariantly set to zero, leaving linearized diffeomorphisms

$$\delta V_{\mu\nu} = d\nabla_{(\mu} \xi_{\nu)}. \quad (107)$$

¹⁸The model where $D \cdot \xi = 0$ is also interesting since it leads to the Weyl invariant spin two model for a trace-free symmetric rank two tensor introduced by Deser and Nepomechie [5].

At $w = -1$, the auxiliary V^{++} is inert and can be set to zero, while V^{m+} is also inert so long as ξ^+ gauge transformations are accompanied by the compensating transformation $\xi^m = \nabla^m \xi^+$ which yields the partially massless gauge transformation

$$\delta V_{\mu\nu} = (d + 2w) \left(\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \xi^+ + \frac{2P}{d} g_{\mu\nu} \xi^+ \right). \quad (108)$$

These results are consistent with the ones found in the above on-shell approach.

Having established the correct gauge transformations, we can now develop dynamics for our theory. The gauge transformations δV^{MN} in (103) obey a constraint

$$D_M \delta V^{MN} = \frac{1}{2} D^N \delta V_M^M. \quad (109)$$

Therefore we impose the same constraint on our fields V^{MN}

$$D \cdot V^N - \frac{1}{2} D^N V_M^M = 0. \quad (110)$$

This constraint implies that the independent field content of the model is the physical spin two field $V_{\mu\nu}$ along with the auxiliaries V^{m+} and V^{++} .

To build field equations we form the *tractor Christoffel symbols*

$$2\Gamma^{RMN} = D^M V^{NR} + D^N V^{MR} - D^R V^{MN}, \quad (111)$$

which, as mentioned above, are not claimed here to be related to connection coefficients for any connection. Unlike the tractor Maxwell curvature, these are not gauge invariant but transform as

$$\delta \Gamma^{RMN} = \frac{1}{2} D^M D^N \xi^R. \quad (112)$$

Moreover they obey a trace and Thomas D divergence identity

$$\Gamma^{RM}{}_M = 0 = D_M \Gamma^{RMN}. \quad (113)$$

The Christoffel gauge transformation (112) combined with the parameter constraint (104) imply that the tractor Christoffels contracted with I^M are gauge invariant. Hence we propose the gauge invariant equations of motion

$$G^{MN} = -2I_R \Gamma^{RMN} = 0. \quad (114)$$

These equations are, of course, not all independent. In fact we expect them to obey relations corresponding to the field constraint (110) as well as a Bianchi identity coming from the gauge invariance (103). This is indeed the case; the Christoffel identities (113) imply

$$G_M^M = 0 = D_M G^{MN}. \quad (115)$$

These are alone not sufficiently many relations, since we would predict a pair of tractor vector relations. However, a simple computation shows that G^{MN} contracted with I^M is parallel to the Thomas D operator

$$I_M G^{MN} = D^N \mathcal{X}, \quad (116)$$

with $\mathcal{X} = I_M I_N V^{MN}$.

It is easy to compare our proposed equations of motion with those found above via an on-shell approach. Expanding out (114) gives

$$I \cdot D V^{MN} - 2D^{(M} I \cdot V^{N)} = 0. \quad (117)$$

It can be checked explicitly that choosing a gauge where $V^{++} = V^{m+} = 0$ and using the field equations implies that $X \cdot V^N = I \cdot V^N = D \cdot V^N = 0$. In turn $I \cdot D V^{MN} = 0$ which yields the on-shell equations (78-82).

Instead of choosing a gauge however, one can also compute by *tour de force* the component expressions for G^{MN} and verify that they reproduce the known Stückelberg equations of motion for massive spin two in constant curvature backgrounds. We devote the remainder of this Section to this calculation.

Our first step is to solve the field constraint (110) for (V^{--}, V^{m-}, V^{+-}) in terms of the independent field components (V^{mn}, V^{m+}, V^{++}) . The results are displayed in Table 1. We employ the symmetric tensor algebra notation explained in Appendix D. In this vein we have denoted

$$V = V_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu \quad \text{and} \quad V^\pm = V_\mu^\pm dx^\mu, \quad (118)$$

and similarly for the components of G^{MN} . Next we must compute various components of the tractor Christoffel symbols (111); the results are given in Table 2.

$$V^{+-} = \frac{1}{d(d+2w)} \left([\Delta - (d+w)\mathbf{P}]V^{++} - (d+2w+2) \mathbf{div} V^+ + \frac{w^2+w+d+wd/2}{2} \mathbf{tr} V \right)$$

$$V^- = \frac{1}{(d+w)(d+2w)} \left([\Delta - \frac{\mathbf{P}}{d}(d(d+w) + 2(w+1))]V^+ - \frac{d+2w}{2} \mathbf{div} V + \frac{1}{d} \mathbf{grad} [\Delta - (d+w-2)\mathbf{P}]V^{++} - \frac{d+2w+2}{d} \mathbf{grad} \mathbf{div} V^+ + \frac{d(d+2w)+w(d+2w+2)}{4d} \mathbf{grad} \mathbf{tr} V \right)$$

$$V^{--} = \frac{1}{d(d+w)(d+w-1)(d+2w)} \left(\frac{d(d+2w)}{2} \mathbf{div}^2 V - \frac{1}{2} \{ [(d+w)^2 + w]\Delta + w(d+w)(d+w-1)\mathbf{P} \} \mathbf{tr} V + 2\{ (w+1)\Delta + [(d+w)(d+w-1) + 2(w+1)]\mathbf{P} \} \mathbf{div} V^+ - [\Delta^2 + 2\mathbf{P}\Delta - (d+w)(d+w-1)\mathbf{P}^2]V^{++} \right)$$

Table 1: Solutions to the constraint $D.V^N = \frac{1}{2}D^N V$

$2\Gamma^{+++} = w(d + 2w - 2)V^{++}$
$2\Gamma^{++n} = (d + 2w - 2)(\nabla^n V^{++} - 2V^{n+})$
$2\Gamma^{r++} = -(d + 2w - 2)(\nabla^n V^{++} - 2(w + 1)V^{n+})$
$2\Gamma^{+mn} = -(w + 2)(d + 2w - 2)V^{mn} + 2(d + 2w - 2)\nabla^{(m}V^{n)+} + \eta^{mn}2(d + 2w - 2)\left(\frac{P}{d}V^{++} + V^{+-}\right)$
$2\Gamma^{r+n} = w(d + 2w - 2)V^{rn} + (d + 2w - 2)(\nabla^n V^{+r} - \nabla^r V^{+n})$
$2\Gamma^{rmn} = (d + 2w - 2)(2\nabla^m V^{rn} - \nabla^r V^{mn} + 2P^{mn}V^{+r} + 2\eta^{mn}V^{-r})$

Table 2: Tractor Christoffels used to build equations of motion.

Just as for the tractor Maxwell system, some equations of motion involve higher derivatives that can be eliminated. The field equation G^{++} involves no higher derivatives while the higher derivatives in G^+ and G can be eliminated by studying linear combinations of field equations as well as their traces and divergences:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_o^{++} &= G^{++}, \\
\mathcal{G}_o^+ &= G^+ - \frac{1}{d+w} \mathbf{grad} G^{++}, \\
\mathcal{G}_o &= G - \frac{2}{d+2w-2} \mathbf{grad} G^+ \\
&+ \frac{2(d+2w-1)}{w(d+w-1)(d+2w-2)} \mathbf{g} \left(\mathbf{div} G^+ - \frac{1}{d+2w} \Delta G^{++} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{119}$$

Even though all the higher derivatives have been eliminated in $(\mathcal{G}_o, \mathcal{G}_o^+, \mathcal{G}_o^{++})$, these equivalent equations of motion do not directly follow from an action. Bianchi identities implied by the gauge invariances (106) will serve as the guiding principle in our pursuit of equations of motion $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}^+, \mathcal{G}^{++})$ that do come directly from an action principle

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int \sqrt{-g} \left(V^{++} \mathcal{G}^{++} + V_m^+ \mathcal{G}^{m+} + V_{mn} \mathcal{G}^{mn} \right). \tag{120}$$

The required Bianchi identities are

$$\begin{aligned}
-\mathbf{div} \mathcal{G} + \frac{1}{2} w \mathcal{G}^+ &= 0, \\
\frac{2P}{d} \mathbf{tr} \mathcal{G} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{div} \mathcal{G}^+ + (w+1) \mathcal{G}^{++} &= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{121}$$

These are solved by the following combinations of field equations

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}^{++} &= \frac{4\mathbf{P}}{dw(d+2w-2)} \left[\frac{2(d+w-2)(d-1)\mathbf{P}}{(w+1)} \mathcal{G}_o^{++} - (d+w) \mathbf{div} \mathcal{G}_o^+ \right], \\
\mathcal{G}^+ &= \frac{-8(d+w-1)(d+w)\mathbf{P}}{dw(d+2w-2)} \mathcal{G}_o^+, \\
\mathcal{G} &= \mathcal{G}_o - \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{g} \mathbf{tr} \mathcal{G}_o \\
&\quad - \frac{\mathbf{P}}{(d+2w-2)} \left[1 - \frac{2}{w} \left(1 - \frac{w+1}{d+2w} - \frac{(d-1)^2}{d} \right) \right] \mathbf{g} \mathcal{G}_o^{++}.
\end{aligned} \tag{122}$$

Explicit expressions for \mathcal{G}^{++} , \mathcal{G}^+ , and \mathcal{G} are provided in the accompanying Table 3. They are gauge invariant, derive from an action principle and obey the above identities. We finish this Section by showing they correctly describe the massive spin two system in constant curvature along with its massless and partially massless limits.

Using the gauge invariance (106) the fields V^+ and V^{++} can be gauged away (so long as $w \neq 0, -1$) and the spin two equations of motion can be written in a simpler way in terms of the minimal covariant field content $V_{\mu\nu}$:

$$\mathcal{G}_{\text{PF}} \equiv \mathcal{G}|_{V^+=0, V^{++}=0} = G_{\text{Einstein}} + G_{\text{mass}} = 0, \tag{123}$$

where the linearized cosmological Einstein tensor is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{\text{Einstein}} &= \left[\Delta - \frac{4\mathbf{P}}{d} \right] V - \mathbf{grad} \mathbf{div} V + \frac{1}{2} \left[\mathbf{g} \mathbf{div}^2 + \mathbf{grad}^2 \mathbf{tr} \right] V \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g} \left[\Delta + \frac{2\mathbf{P}}{d} (d-3) \right] \mathbf{tr} V.
\end{aligned} \tag{124}$$

and the Pauli–Fierz mass term [43] is

$$G_{\text{mass}} = -m^2 \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g} \mathbf{tr} \right] V. \tag{125}$$

In these formulæ the mass m^2 is the same as given in (87).

Taking a divergence of the cosmological Pauli–Fierz field equation (123), we learn the constraint:

$$-m^2(\mathbf{div} - \mathbf{grad} \mathbf{tr})V = 0. \quad (126)$$

There is, however, a further constraint obtained from the combination of a double divergence and trace of the field equation

$$\mathbf{div}^2 \mathcal{G}_{\text{PF}} + \frac{m^2}{d-2} \mathbf{tr} \mathcal{G}_{\text{PF}} = \frac{d-1}{d-2} m^2 \left[m^2 - \frac{2\text{P}}{d}(d-2) \right] \mathbf{tr} V = 0 \quad (127)$$

Hence when

$$m^2 \neq 0, \quad \frac{2\text{P}}{d}(d-2), \quad (128)$$

we immediately find

$$\mathbf{tr} V = 0 = \mathbf{div} V. \quad (129)$$

These constraints imply that the field $V_{\mu\nu}$ describes the $(d+1)(d-2)/2$ degrees of freedom of a massive spin two excitation. The same results can also be obtained from $\mathcal{G}^{++}|_{V^+=0, V^{++}=0}$ in conjunction with $\mathcal{G}^+|_{V^+=0, V^{++}=0}$. Plugging the constraints into (123), we recover (86)—the massive on-shell spin two equation

$$\left(\Delta - \frac{4\text{P}}{d} - m^2 \right) V = 0. \quad (130)$$

Finally, the special masses $m^2 = 0$ and $m^2 = (2\text{P}/d)(d-2)$ correspond to weights $w = 0$ and $w = -1$, respectively. In these cases, the above constraints become the respective Bianchi identities

$$\mathbf{div} \mathcal{G}_{\text{PF}} = 0, \quad \left[\mathbf{div}^2 + \frac{2\text{P}}{d} \mathbf{tr} \right] \mathcal{G}_{\text{PF}} = 0, \quad (131)$$

corresponding to gauge invariances

$$\delta V = \mathbf{grad} \xi, \quad \delta V = \left[\mathbf{grad}^2 + \frac{2\text{P}}{d} \right] \xi^+. \quad (132)$$

These correspond to linearized diffeomorphisms and the partially massless gauge transformation of [5]. A detailed discussion of these theories is given in [7]. This concludes our demonstration that the simple tractor equations describe the cosmological spin two system.

$\mathcal{G}^{++} = \frac{8(d+2w-1)(d-1)P^2}{d^2w(w+1)}\square V^{++} - \frac{16(d+w-2)(d-1)P^3}{d^2(w+1)}V^{++} - \frac{16(d-1)(d+w-1)P^2}{d^2w}\mathbf{div}V^+ - \frac{2P}{d}\square\mathbf{tr}V + \frac{2P}{d}\mathbf{div}^2V + \frac{4(d-1)(d+w-2)P^2}{d^2}\mathbf{tr}V$
$\mathcal{G}^+ = -\frac{8(d+w-1)P}{dw}\left(-\frac{2(d-1)P}{d}\mathbf{grad}V^{++} - \frac{w}{2}[\mathbf{div} - \mathbf{grad}\mathbf{tr}]V^+ + [\square - \mathbf{grad}\mathbf{div}]V^+ + \frac{4P(d-1)}{d}V^+\right)$
$\mathcal{G} = G_{linearEinstein} + G_{PF} - \frac{4(d+w-1)P}{d}[\mathbf{grad} - \mathbf{gdiv}]V^+ - \frac{2P}{d}\mathbf{g}\square V^{++} + \frac{2P}{d}\mathbf{grad}^2V^{++} + \frac{4(d-1)(d+w-2)P^2}{d^2}\mathbf{g}V^{++}$

Table 3: Equations of motions for spin 2 system.

6 Arbitrary Spins

The methods explicated in detail for spins $s \leq 2$ can be applied also to higher spin systems, which can be massive, massless or partially massless with gauge invariances ranging from a single derivative on a tensor parameter (depth one) to s derivatives on a scalar parameter (depth s) [7]. Again these models are all unified by a single tractor equation of motion. We begin with an on-shell approach.

6.1 On-Shell Approach

In components, the on-shell field equations for massive higher spin s fields in constant curvature backgrounds¹⁹ are given by

$$\left(\Delta + \frac{2\mathbf{P}}{d}[w(w+d-1) - s]\right)V_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_s} = 0, \quad (133)$$

$$\nabla \cdot V_{\mu_2 \dots \mu_s} = 0, \quad (134)$$

$$V_{\rho\mu_3 \dots \mu_s}^{\rho} = 0, \quad (135)$$

It is not difficult to verify that these follow from the tractor equations of motion

$$D \cdot V^{M_2 \dots M_s} = X \cdot V^{M_2 \dots M_s} = I \cdot D V^{M_1 \dots M_s} = I \cdot V^{M_2 \dots M_s} = V_R^{RM_3 \dots M_s} = 0, \quad (136)$$

where $V^{M_1 \dots M_s}$ is a totally symmetric, rank s , weight w tractor tensor and the mass-Weyl weight relation is the one suggested above

$$\mu^2 = \frac{2\mathbf{P}}{d} \left[\left(\frac{d-1}{2}\right)^2 - \left(w + \frac{d-1}{2}\right)^2 + s \right], \quad (137)$$

defining the mass by $\Delta V_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_s} = \mu^2 V_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_s}$. The Breitenlohner–Freedman bound is given in (57).

Once again, these equations enjoy residual gauge invariances, but now at weights $w = s - 1, s - 2, \dots, 0, -1$. In tractors these read simply

$$\delta V^{M_1 \dots M_s} = D^{(M_1} \dots D^{M_t} \xi^{M_{t+1} \dots M_s)}, \quad (138)$$

¹⁹We ignore the possibility of mixed symmetry higher spin fields, although these should be simple to handle using our approach.

where $\xi^{M_1 \dots M_{s-t}}$ has weight $w + 1 + t$ and the parameter t is called the depth of a partially massless gauge transformation. Since these are on-shell residual transformations they are also subject to

$$\begin{aligned} X \cdot \xi^{M_1 \dots M_{s-t-1}} &= I \cdot \xi^{M_1 \dots M_{s-t-1}} = D \cdot \xi^{M_1 \dots M_{s-t-1}} = 0 \\ I \cdot D \xi^{M_1 \dots M_{s-t}} &= 0 = \xi_R^{RM_1 \dots M_{s-t-2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (139)$$

At depth t the partially massless field $V^{M_1 \dots M_s}$ must have weight $w = s - t - 1$ corresponding to masses

$$\mu^2 = -\frac{2P}{d} \left[(s - t - 1)(s - t - 1 + d) + t + 1 \right]. \quad (140)$$

These results reproduce those found earlier in [7] by rather different methods.

6.2 Off-Shell Approach

The Stückelberg field content required to describe a massive spin s field in d -dimensions is equivalent to that of massless spin s field in $d + 1$ dimensions (see [28] for a detailed explanation). In $d + 1$ dimensions a massless spin s field is described by a totally symmetric rank s tensor subject to the condition that its double trace vanishes. A counting of independent field components therefore yields

$$\binom{d + s}{s} - \binom{d + s - 4}{s - 4}. \quad (141)$$

The tractor description involves a weight w , totally symmetric, rank s tractor tensor $V^{M_1 \dots M_s}$ but again field constraints are necessary. Indeed, the same number of independent field components as in (141) solve the tractor field constraints²⁰

$$D \cdot V^{M_2 \dots M_s} - \frac{s - 1}{s} D^{(M_2} V_R^{M_3 \dots M_s)R} = 0 = V_{RS}^{RSM_5 \dots M_s}. \quad (142)$$

The first of these is consistent with our proposed gauge invariance

$$\delta V^{M_1 \dots M_s} = D^{(M_s} \xi^{M_2 \dots M_s)}, \quad (143)$$

²⁰This follows from the binomial coefficient identity

$$\binom{d + s}{s} - \binom{d + s - 4}{s - 4} = \binom{d + s + 1}{s} - \binom{d + s}{s - 1} + \binom{d + s - 4}{s - 5} - \binom{d + s - 3}{s - 4}.$$

where the parameter $\xi^{M_2\dots M_s}$ is weight $w + 1$ and obeys the parameter constraints

$$I \cdot \xi^{M_1\dots M_{s-2}} = 0 = \xi_R^{RM_3\dots M_{s-1}}. \quad (144)$$

It is not difficult to write these out in components for the example of spin 3 (say) and check that they concur with the general Stückelberg gauge transformations given in [28].

The field constraints (142) and gauge transformations (143) constitute the kinematics of our model. The dynamics are determined by finding the gauge invariant higher spin generalization of the spin two equation of motion (114). We conjecture this to be

$$G^{M_1\dots M_s} = I \cdot D V^{M_1\dots M_s} - s D^{(M_1} I \cdot V^{M_2\dots M_s)}. \quad (145)$$

Its gauge invariance is trivially checked and it can also be rewritten in terms of higher spin tractor Christoffel symbols²¹. A proof requires verifying that this system of equations reproduces the on-shell ones (135); we postpone it to a forthcoming publication [45]. Gauge invariance, the matching of counting of field components and our explicit $s \leq 2$ computations are already strong evidence in favor of this conjecture.

7 Gravity and Weyl Compensators

Another way to view the approach we advocate is in terms of a dilaton field viewed as a Weyl compensator. From a physical standpoint, much of what follows is standard material (see [3, 4, 19]), but it is still very useful to connect tractor and physical approaches. Consider the cosmological Einstein–Hilbert action

$$S_{\text{EH}}(g_{\mu\nu}) = -\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int \sqrt{-g} \left(R - 2\lambda\kappa^{\frac{2}{1-d/2}} \right). \quad (146)$$

where $\Lambda = \lambda\kappa^{-\frac{2}{1-d/2}}$ so that λ is dimensionless. Setting

$$\sigma = \kappa^{\frac{1}{d-2}}, \quad (147)$$

with $\kappa^2 = 8\pi G$ equaling the Newton constant, we may rewrite the cosmological Einstein–Hilbert action in tractors as

$$S_{\text{EH}}(g_{\mu\nu}, \sigma) = \frac{d(d-1)}{2} \int \frac{\sqrt{-g}}{\sigma^d} \left(I \cdot I + \frac{2\lambda}{d(d-1)} \right) = S_{\text{EH}}(\Omega^2 g_{\mu\nu}, \Omega\sigma). \quad (148)$$

²¹The generalized Christoffel symbol approach to higher spins was pioneered in [44, 27].

We can add this Weyl invariant action to any of the matter actions we have discussed in previous Sections and obtain a Weyl invariant theory coupled dynamically to gravity²².

The scale $\sigma^{1-d/2}$ plays the *rôle* of the dilaton φ ,

$$\varphi = \sigma^{1-\frac{d}{2}}. \quad (149)$$

At arbitrary scales

$$S_{EH}(g_{\mu\nu}, \varphi) = -\frac{4(d-1)}{(d-2)} \int \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{1}{2} [\nabla_\mu \varphi]^2 + \frac{1}{8} \frac{d-2}{d-1} [R - 2\lambda\varphi^{\frac{2}{d-1}}] \varphi^2 \right), \quad (150)$$

which is the Weyl invariant action for a conformally improved scalar with a conformally invariant potential. Fixing a gauge where σ and therefore the Weyl compensator φ is constant

$$\varphi = \frac{1}{\kappa}, \quad (151)$$

is often thought of as spontaneous breaking of Weyl invariance. The residual gauge invariance of this choice of gauge —constant rescalings of κ — amounts to changes in the system of units. Then we obtain

$$S_{EH}(g_{\mu\nu}, \kappa^{\frac{1}{d-2}}) = -\frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int \sqrt{-g} (R - 2\Lambda), \quad (152)$$

the standard cosmological Einstein–Hilbert action.

8 Conclusions

In this Article we have given a general calculus for deriving physical theories based on Weyl invariance. The method is applicable regardless whether the desired result is a massive, massless or partially massless theory. There are many possible applications of our ideas, let us sketch just two of them here.

The theories exhibited in our work are not new, rather what we have developed is an efficient repackaging of massless, partially massless and massive theories as single Weyl invariant tractor theory. In itself, this is perhaps not

²²Modulo the usual issues involving lost gauge invariances and possible ghost excitations for higher spins in generally curved backgrounds.

so surprising, since a similar result can be obtained by radial dimensional reduction [46, 28] of massless flat theories to obtain massless constant curvature ones in one dimension lower (in fact those results essentially can be viewed as inhabiting the top and middle slots of the tractors used here). It would be very desirable to use our formalism to generate new theories or a deeper understanding of existing ones. The latter is clearly possible, given the large body literature on conformal geometries which can be employed to study Weyl invariant theories. However, even the possibility of writing new theories is open; let us give some ideas.

We have used Weyl invariance in this Article to derive rigid conformal invariance of theories in fixed backgrounds. For example, In dimension 4 it has been shown that maximal depth partially massless theories are conformally invariant [13]. Since these theories have masses corresponding to weights $w = -1$ equaling the value $w = 1 - d/2$ in $d = 4$ dimensions where the Thomas D -operator has only a bottom slot, we see that this earlier result is really a consequence of Weyl invariance. This argument can be turned around, *i.e.* if we find conformally invariant theories in a given background, we should look for new Weyl invariant theories underlying them. An example is odd dimensional vector theories. In even dimensional de Sitter spaces, Maxwell's equations can be solved in terms of Bessel functions of half-integer index [7]. These can be expressed as a slowly varying wave envelope multiplying massless plane waves, which explains the lightlike propagation of these theories. This is no longer true in odd dimensions, strangely enough. But at least in three dimensions there is a resolution. In flat three-dimensional space a topological mass term can be added to Maxwell vector theory yielding massive propagation [11]. The same mechanism in de Sitter space with appropriate tuning of the mass parameter leads to lightlike and even conformal propagation [12]. This suggests an underlying Weyl invariant theory. It ought be possible to find this theory using the tractor techniques developed here.

Self-interacting, massive gravity theories, save possibly when viewed as effective theories, are plagued with ghost excitations [47]. However, we cannot resist remarking that the tractor formulation of massive spin two theories in terms of tractor Christoffel symbols bears many similarities to its massless cousin. But massless spin two interactions are described by the Einstein–Hilbert action, moreover, it is known how to systematically derive that theory from its linearized approximation [48]. It is tempting to think that a non-linear, interacting theory of a perturbed tractor metric $\eta^{MN} + V^{MN}$ might

be calculable along these lines. This is rather speculative, but it might at least lead to new insights into the problems of interacting higher spins.

Acknowledgments

ARG is supported by Marsden Grant no. 06-UOA-029. The article was completed during ARG's participation in the programme "Geometric Partial Differential Equations" at the Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton. A.W. is indebted to the University of Auckland for its warm hospitality during several visits that progressed this article.

A Compendium of Weyl Transformations

In this Appendix, we list some elementary Weyl transformations that follow from the metric transformation

$$g_{\mu\nu} \mapsto \Omega^2 g_{\mu\nu}. \quad (153)$$

Throughout, we denote

$$\Upsilon_\mu = \Omega^{-1} \partial_\mu \Omega. \quad (154)$$

Firstly the volume form transforms as

$$\sqrt{-g} \mapsto \Omega^d \sqrt{-g}. \quad (155)$$

Since the vielbein, Levi-Civita connection, and *rho*-tensor belong to tractor connection, their transformations follow from (5) and (6). Explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} e_\mu^m &\mapsto \Omega e_\mu^m, \\ \omega_\mu^m{}_n &\mapsto \omega_\mu^m{}_n - \Upsilon^m e_{\mu n} + \Upsilon_n e_\mu^m, \\ P_{\mu n} &\mapsto \Omega^{-1} \left(P_{\mu n} - \nabla_\mu \Upsilon_n + \Upsilon_n \Upsilon_\mu - \frac{1}{2} e_{\mu n} \Upsilon \cdot \Upsilon \right). \end{aligned} \quad (156)$$

Similarly, the transformations of Cotton–York and Weyl tensors follow from the transformation of the tractor curvature (not to be confused with the tractor Maxwell curvature of Section 4)

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = [\mathcal{D}_\mu, \mathcal{D}_\nu] \mapsto U \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} U^{-1} \quad (157)$$

with

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ C_{\mu\nu}{}^m & W_{\mu\nu}{}^m{}_n & 0 \\ 0 & -C_{\mu\nu n} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (158)$$

Here the Cotton–York tensor equals

$$C_{\mu\nu}{}^m = \nabla_\mu P_\nu{}^m - \nabla_\nu P_\mu{}^m, \quad (159)$$

and explicitly equation (157) says

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\mu\nu}{}^m{}_n &\mapsto W_{\mu\nu}{}^m{}_n, \\ C_{\mu\nu}{}^m &\mapsto \Omega^{-1} \left(C_{\mu\nu}{}^m - W_{\mu\nu}{}^m{}_n \Upsilon^n \right). \end{aligned} \quad (160)$$

Transformations for scalars, vectors, and one forms of weight w are given by

$$\begin{aligned} f &\mapsto \Omega^w f, \\ v^\mu &\mapsto \Omega^w v^\mu, \\ \omega_\mu &\mapsto \Omega^w \omega_\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (161)$$

Covariant derivatives acting on these transform as follows:

$$\nabla_\mu f \mapsto \Omega^w [(\nabla_\mu + w \Upsilon_\mu) f], \quad (162)$$

$$\nabla_\mu v^\nu \mapsto \Omega^w [(\nabla_\mu + w \Upsilon_\mu) v^\nu + \Upsilon_\mu v^\nu - \Upsilon^\nu v_\mu + \delta_\mu^\nu \Upsilon \cdot v], \quad (163)$$

$$\nabla_\mu \omega_\nu \mapsto \Omega^w [(\nabla_\mu + w \Upsilon_\mu) \omega_\nu - \Upsilon_\mu \omega_\nu - \Upsilon_\nu \omega_\mu + g_{\mu\nu} \Upsilon \cdot \omega]. \quad (164)$$

B Tractor Component Expressions

In this Appendix we tabulate the component expressions for some of the more important tractor quantities used in the text. Table 4 gives the tractor covariant derivative acting on scalars, tractor vectors and rank two symmetric tractor tensors while Table 5 gives the tractor Laplacian on the same objects. Finally, Table 6 gives the Thomas D -operator acting on scalars and tractor vectors.

$\mathcal{D}_\mu f = \nabla_\mu f$
$\mathcal{D}_\mu V^M = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_\mu V^+ - V_\mu \\ \nabla_\mu V^m + P_\mu{}^m V^+ + e_\mu{}^m V^- \\ \nabla_\mu V^- - P_\mu{}^n V_n \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathcal{D}_\mu V^{(MN)} = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_\mu V^{++} - 2V_\mu{}^+ & \nabla_\mu V^{+n} - V_\mu{}^n + P_\mu{}^n V^{++} + e_\mu{}^n V^{+-} & \nabla_\mu V^{+-} - V_\mu{}^- - P_{\mu r} V^{r+} \\ \text{Symm} & \nabla_\mu V^{mn} + 2P_\mu{}^{(m} V^{n)+} + 2e_\mu{}^{(m} V^{n)-} & \nabla_\mu V^{m-} + P_\mu{}^m V^{+-} + e_\mu{}^m V^{--} - P_{\mu r} V^{rm} \\ \text{Symm} & \text{Symm} & \nabla_\mu V^{--} - 2P_{\mu r} V^{r-} \end{pmatrix}$

Table 4: Tractor covariant derivative acting on scalars, tractor vectors, and rank two symmetric tractor tensors.

$\mathcal{D}^2 f = \Delta f$											
$\mathcal{D}^2 V^M = \begin{pmatrix} (\Delta - \mathbf{P})V^+ - 2\nabla^n V_n - dV^- \\ \Delta V^m + 2\mathbf{P}^{mn}(\nabla_n V^+ - V_n) + \nabla^n \mathbf{P}V^+ + 2\nabla^m V^- \\ (\Delta - \mathbf{P})V^- - 2\mathbf{P}^{mn}\nabla_m V_n - \mathbf{P}^{mn}\mathbf{P}_{mn}V^+ - V^n \nabla_n \mathbf{P} \end{pmatrix}$											
$\mathcal{D}^2 V^{(MN)} =$	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">$\Delta V^{++} - 2(2\nabla \cdot V^+ - V_r{}^r + \mathbf{P}V^{++} + dV^{+-})$</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">$\Delta V^{+n} - 2\nabla \cdot V^n - \mathbf{P}V^{+n} - 4\mathbf{P}_r^n V^{r+} - (d+2)V^{n-} + \mathbf{P}^{sn}\nabla_s V^{++} + 2\nabla^n V^{+-} + \nabla_s(\mathbf{P}^{sn}V^{++})$</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">$\Delta V^{+-} - 2\nabla \cdot V^- - 2\mathbf{P}V^{+-} - dV^{--} + 2\mathbf{P}_{sr}V^{sr} - \mathbf{P}_r^s \nabla_s V^{+r} - \mathbf{P}_r^s \mathbf{P}_s^r V^{++} - \nabla_s(\mathbf{P}_r^s V^{r+})$</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">Symm</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">$\Delta V^{mn} + 2\mathbf{P}^{sm}\nabla_s V^{+n} + 2\mathbf{P}^{sm}\mathbf{P}_s^n V^{++} + 4\nabla^n V^{m-} + 4\mathbf{P}^{nm}V^{+-} + 2\eta^{mn}V^{--} - 4\mathbf{P}_r^n V^{rm} + 2\nabla_s(\mathbf{P}^{sm}V^{+n})$</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">$\Delta V^{m-} + \mathbf{P}^{sm}(\nabla_s V^{+-} - 4V_s^- - 2\mathbf{P}_{sr}V^{r+}) + 2\nabla^m V^{--} - \mathbf{P}_r^s(\nabla_s V^{rm} + \mathbf{P}_s^r V^{m+}) - \mathbf{P}V^{m-} + \nabla_s(\mathbf{P}^{sm}V^{+-}) - \nabla^s(\mathbf{P}_{sr}V^{rm})$</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">Symm</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">Symm</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">$\Delta V^{--} - 2\mathbf{P}_{rs}(\nabla^s V^{r-} + \mathbf{P}^{rs}V^{+-} - \mathbf{P}_t^s V^{tr}) - 2\mathbf{P}V^{--} - 2V^{r-}\nabla^s \mathbf{P}_{sr}$</td> </tr> </table>	$\Delta V^{++} - 2(2\nabla \cdot V^+ - V_r{}^r + \mathbf{P}V^{++} + dV^{+-})$	$\Delta V^{+n} - 2\nabla \cdot V^n - \mathbf{P}V^{+n} - 4\mathbf{P}_r^n V^{r+} - (d+2)V^{n-} + \mathbf{P}^{sn}\nabla_s V^{++} + 2\nabla^n V^{+-} + \nabla_s(\mathbf{P}^{sn}V^{++})$	$\Delta V^{+-} - 2\nabla \cdot V^- - 2\mathbf{P}V^{+-} - dV^{--} + 2\mathbf{P}_{sr}V^{sr} - \mathbf{P}_r^s \nabla_s V^{+r} - \mathbf{P}_r^s \mathbf{P}_s^r V^{++} - \nabla_s(\mathbf{P}_r^s V^{r+})$	Symm	$\Delta V^{mn} + 2\mathbf{P}^{sm}\nabla_s V^{+n} + 2\mathbf{P}^{sm}\mathbf{P}_s^n V^{++} + 4\nabla^n V^{m-} + 4\mathbf{P}^{nm}V^{+-} + 2\eta^{mn}V^{--} - 4\mathbf{P}_r^n V^{rm} + 2\nabla_s(\mathbf{P}^{sm}V^{+n})$	$\Delta V^{m-} + \mathbf{P}^{sm}(\nabla_s V^{+-} - 4V_s^- - 2\mathbf{P}_{sr}V^{r+}) + 2\nabla^m V^{--} - \mathbf{P}_r^s(\nabla_s V^{rm} + \mathbf{P}_s^r V^{m+}) - \mathbf{P}V^{m-} + \nabla_s(\mathbf{P}^{sm}V^{+-}) - \nabla^s(\mathbf{P}_{sr}V^{rm})$	Symm	Symm	$\Delta V^{--} - 2\mathbf{P}_{rs}(\nabla^s V^{r-} + \mathbf{P}^{rs}V^{+-} - \mathbf{P}_t^s V^{tr}) - 2\mathbf{P}V^{--} - 2V^{r-}\nabla^s \mathbf{P}_{sr}$	
$\Delta V^{++} - 2(2\nabla \cdot V^+ - V_r{}^r + \mathbf{P}V^{++} + dV^{+-})$	$\Delta V^{+n} - 2\nabla \cdot V^n - \mathbf{P}V^{+n} - 4\mathbf{P}_r^n V^{r+} - (d+2)V^{n-} + \mathbf{P}^{sn}\nabla_s V^{++} + 2\nabla^n V^{+-} + \nabla_s(\mathbf{P}^{sn}V^{++})$	$\Delta V^{+-} - 2\nabla \cdot V^- - 2\mathbf{P}V^{+-} - dV^{--} + 2\mathbf{P}_{sr}V^{sr} - \mathbf{P}_r^s \nabla_s V^{+r} - \mathbf{P}_r^s \mathbf{P}_s^r V^{++} - \nabla_s(\mathbf{P}_r^s V^{r+})$									
Symm	$\Delta V^{mn} + 2\mathbf{P}^{sm}\nabla_s V^{+n} + 2\mathbf{P}^{sm}\mathbf{P}_s^n V^{++} + 4\nabla^n V^{m-} + 4\mathbf{P}^{nm}V^{+-} + 2\eta^{mn}V^{--} - 4\mathbf{P}_r^n V^{rm} + 2\nabla_s(\mathbf{P}^{sm}V^{+n})$	$\Delta V^{m-} + \mathbf{P}^{sm}(\nabla_s V^{+-} - 4V_s^- - 2\mathbf{P}_{sr}V^{r+}) + 2\nabla^m V^{--} - \mathbf{P}_r^s(\nabla_s V^{rm} + \mathbf{P}_s^r V^{m+}) - \mathbf{P}V^{m-} + \nabla_s(\mathbf{P}^{sm}V^{+-}) - \nabla^s(\mathbf{P}_{sr}V^{rm})$									
Symm	Symm	$\Delta V^{--} - 2\mathbf{P}_{rs}(\nabla^s V^{r-} + \mathbf{P}^{rs}V^{+-} - \mathbf{P}_t^s V^{tr}) - 2\mathbf{P}V^{--} - 2V^{r-}\nabla^s \mathbf{P}_{sr}$									

Table 5: Tractor Laplacian acting on scalars, tractor vectors, and rank two index symmetric tractor tensors.

$$D^M f = \begin{pmatrix} (d + 2w - 2)wf \\ (d + 2w - 2)\nabla^m f \\ -(\Delta + w\mathbf{P})f \end{pmatrix}$$

$$D^M V^N = \begin{pmatrix} w(d + 2w - 2)V^+ & w(d + 2w - 2)V^n & w(d + 2w - 2)V^- \\ (d + 2w - 2)(\nabla^m V^+ - V^m) & (d + 2w - 2)(\nabla^m V^n + \mathbf{P}^{mn}V^+ + \delta^{mn}V^-) & (d + 2w - 2)(\nabla^m V^- - \mathbf{P}_n^m V^n) \\ -(\Delta + (w - 1)\mathbf{P})V^+ + 2\nabla \cdot V + dV^- & -(\Delta + w\mathbf{P})V^n - 2\mathbf{P}_m^n (\nabla^m V^+ - V^m) - V^+ \nabla^n \mathbf{P} - 2\nabla^n V^- & -(\Delta + (w - 1)\mathbf{P})V^- + 2\mathbf{P}^{mn} \nabla_m V_n + \mathbf{P}^{mn} \mathbf{P}_{mn} V^+ + V^n \nabla_n \mathbf{P} \end{pmatrix}$$

Table 6: Thomas D-operator acting on functions and tractor vectors.

C Projectors

Equipped with a choice of scale σ , it is possible to convert any component expression into a tractor one using a projector technique. The expressions obtained this way are often unwieldy, so that it is better to work directly in tractors from first principles. Nonetheless, we sketch here a few details of the construction.

Given the a scale σ , we build the weight zero tractor vector

$$I^M = \frac{1}{d} D^M \sigma, \quad (165)$$

from which we construct a null vector

$$Y^M = \frac{1}{X \cdot I} \left(I^M - \frac{I \cdot I}{2 X \cdot I} X^M \right), \quad Y \cdot Y = 0. \quad (166)$$

obeying

$$Y \cdot X = 1. \quad (167)$$

Armed with the null vectors X^M and Y^M we can now define the top, middle and bottom slots of a tractor vector V^M by

$$V^+ \equiv X \cdot V, \quad V^m \equiv (V^M - Y^M X \cdot V - X^M Y \cdot V), \quad V^- \equiv Y \cdot V, \quad (168)$$

Tautologically then,

$$Y^M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (169)$$

and the tractor metric decomposes as a sum of projectors

$$\eta^{MN} = X^M Y^N + \Pi^{MN} + Y^M X^N. \quad (170)$$

For an arbitrary tractor vector V^M we denote

$$\widehat{V}^M \equiv \Pi_N^M V^N = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ V^m \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (171)$$

and similarly for any tractor tensor. This method allows us to extract the components of any tractor.

As a simple example, we can relate the usual Maxwell curvature to the tractor one $\mathcal{F}^{MN} = D^M V^N - D^N V^M$ (see also (45)) using projectors

$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^{MN} = \Pi_R^M \Pi_S^N \mathcal{F}^{RS} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (d + 2w - 2)F^{mn} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (172)$$

D Symmetric Tensor Algebra

Computations involving symmetric tensors with high or many different ranks are greatly facilitated using the algebra of gradient, divergence, metric, trace and modified wave operators first introduced by Lichnerowicz [49] and systemized in [27, 28, 29] (see [50, 51, 52, 53] for other studies). For completeness, we review the key formulæ here. The key idea is to write symmetric tensors in an index-free notation using commuting coordinate differentials, so that a symmetric rank s tensor $\varphi_{(\mu_1 \dots \mu_s)}$ becomes

$$\Phi = \varphi_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_s} dx^{\mu_1} \dots dx^{\mu_s}. \quad (173)$$

In this algebra, it is no longer forbidden to add tensors of different ranks. Then there are seven distinguished operators mapping symmetric tensors to symmetric tensors:

N –Counts the number of indices

$$\mathbf{N} \Phi = s \Phi. \quad (174)$$

tr –Traces over a pair of indices

$$\mathbf{tr} \Phi = s(s-1) \varphi_{\rho \mu_3 \dots \mu_s} dx^{\mu_3} \dots dx^{\mu_s}. \quad (175)$$

g –Adds a pair of indices using the metric

$$\mathbf{g} \Phi = g_{\mu_1 \mu_2} \varphi_{\rho \mu_3 \dots \mu_{s+2}} dx^{\mu_1} \dots dx^{\mu_{s+2}}. \quad (176)$$

c –The Casimir of the $sl(2)$ Lie algebra obeyed by the triplet $(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{N} + \frac{d}{2}, \mathbf{tr})$

$$\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{g} \mathbf{tr} - \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{N} + d - 2). \quad (177)$$

div –The symmetrized divergence

$$\mathbf{div} \Phi = \nabla^\rho s \varphi_{\rho\mu_2 \dots \mu_s} dx^{\mu_2} \dots dx^{\mu_s} . \quad (178)$$

grad –The symmetrized gradient

$$\mathbf{grad} \Phi = \nabla_{\mu_1} \varphi_{\mu_2 \dots \mu_{s+1}} dx^{\mu_1} \dots dx^{\mu_{s+1}} . \quad (179)$$

\square –The constant curvature Lichnerowicz wave operator

$$\square = \Delta + \frac{2P}{d} \mathbf{c} . \quad (180)$$

The calculational advantage of these operators is the algebra they obey

$$[\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{tr}] = -2\mathbf{tr} , \quad [\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{div}] = -\mathbf{div} , \quad [\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{grad}] = \mathbf{grad} , \quad [\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{g}] = 2\mathbf{g} ,$$

$$[\mathbf{tr}, \mathbf{grad}] = 2\mathbf{div} , \quad [\mathbf{tr}, \mathbf{g}] = 4\mathbf{N} + 2d , \quad [\mathbf{div}, \mathbf{g}] = 2\mathbf{grad} ,$$

$$[\mathbf{div}, \mathbf{grad}] = \square - \frac{4P}{d} \mathbf{c} . \quad (181)$$

All other commutators vanish. In particular the Lichnerowicz wave operator is central!

References

- [1] H. Weyl, Z. Phys. **56**, 330 (1929) [Surveys High Energ. Phys. **5**, 261 (1986)].
- [2] P.A.M. Dirac, Ann. Math. **37**, 429 (1936).
- [3] B. Zumino, “Effective Lagrangians and Broken Symmetries”, Lectures on Elementary Particles and Quantum Field Theory, Brandeis University Summer Institute, **2**, 437 (1970).
- [4] S. Deser, Ann. Phys. **59**, 248 (1970).
- [5] S. Deser and R. I. Nepomechie, Phys. Lett. B **132**, 321 (1983); Annals Phys. **154**, 396 (1984).

- [6] A. Higuchi, Nucl. Phys. B **282**, 397 (1987); Nucl. Phys. B **325**, 745 (1989); J. Math. Phys. **28**, 1553 (1987) [Erratum-ibid. **43**, 6385 (2002)].
- [7] S. Deser and A. Waldron, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 031601 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0102166]; Nucl. Phys. B **607**, 577 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0103198]; Phys. Lett. B **508**, 347 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0103255]; Phys. Lett. B **513**, 137 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0105181]; Nucl. Phys. B **662**, 379 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0301068].
- [8] M. S. Drew and J. D. Gegenberg, Nuovo Cim. A **60**, 41 (1980).
- [9] A. O. Barut and B. W. Xu, J. Phys. A **15**, L207 (1982).
- [10] E.C.G. Stückelberg, Helv. Phys. Acta **30**, 209 (1957).
- [11] S. Deser, R. Jackiw and S. Templeton, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 975 (1982); Annals Phys. **140**, 372 (1982) [Erratum-ibid. **185**, 406.1988 AP-NYA,281,409 (1988 APNYA,281,409-449.2000)].
- [12] S. Carlip, S. Deser, A. Waldron and D. K. Wise, Phys. Lett. B **666**, 272 (2008) [arXiv:0807.0486 [hep-th]]; arXiv:0803.3998 [hep-th].
- [13] S. Deser and A. Waldron, Phys. Lett. B **603**, 30 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0408155].
- [14] L. Dolan, C. R. Nappi and E. Witten, JHEP **0110**, 016 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0109096].
- [15] M. Eastwood, Suppl. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo **43**, 57 (1996).
- [16] R.J. Baston and M. Eastwood, in the collection “Twistors in Mathematics and Physics”, L.M.S. Lecture Notes **156**,129 (1990).
- [17] M. Eastwood and J.W. Rice, Comm. Math. Phys. **109**, 207 (1987). Erratum, Comm. Math. Phys. **144**, 213 (1992).
- [18] M. Eastwood and J. Slovak, J. Alg. **197**, 424 (1997).
- [19] W. Siegel, “Fields,” arXiv:hep-th/9912205.
- [20] A. R. Gover, Adv. Math. **163**, 206 (2001).

- [21] A. R. Gover and L. J. Peterson, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **235**, 339 (2003).
- [22] A. Căp and A. R. Gover, *Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom.* **24**, 231 (2003).
- [23] T. Y. Thomas, *Proc. N.A.S.*, **12**, 352 (1926); “The Differential Invariants of Generalized Spaces,” Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1934.
- [24] T. N. Bailey, M. G. Eastwood and A. R. Gover, *Rocky Mtn. J. Math.* **24**, 1 (1994).
- [25] A.R. Gover, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl. No. 59* (1999), 25–47.
- [26] A.R. Gover and A. Waldron, to appear.
- [27] T. Damour and S. Deser, *Annales Poincare Phys. Theor.* **47**, 277 (1987).
- [28] K. Hallowell and A. Waldron, *Nucl. Phys. B* **724** (2005) 453 [arXiv:hep-th/0505255].
- [29] K. Hallowell and A. Waldron, *SIGMA* **3**, 089 (2007), arXiv:0707.3164 [math.DG]. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **278** (2008) 775 [arXiv:hep-th/0702033]; *Phys. Rev. D* **75** (2007) 024032 [arXiv:hep-th/0606160];
- [30] T. Branson, and A.R. Gover, *Pacific J. Math.* **201** (2001), 19–60.
- [31] A. Căp and A. R. Gover, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **354**, (2002), no. 4, 1511–1548
- [32] A.R. Gover, Almost conformally Einstein manifolds and obstructions, in: *Differential geometry and its applications*, 247–260, Matfyzpress, Prague, 2005.
- [33] A.R. Gover, Almost Einstein and Poincare-Einstein manifolds in Riemannian signature, arXiv:0803.3510.
- [34] A.R. Gover, *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.* **3** (2007), Paper 100, 21 pp.
- [35] P. Breitenlohner and D. Z. Freedman, *Annals Phys.* **144**, 249 (1982); *Phys. Lett. B* **115**, 197 (1982).
- [36] L. Mezincescu and P. K. Townsend, *Annals Phys.* **160**, 406 (1985).

- [37] C. Aragone and S. Deser, *Nuovo Cim. B* **57**, 33 (1980).
- [38] M. Kobayashi and A. Shamaly, *Phys. Rev. D* **17**, 2179 (1978); *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **61**, 656 (1979).
- [39] I. L. Buchbinder, D. M. Gitman, V. A. Krykhtin and V. D. Pershin, *Nucl. Phys. B* **584**, 615 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9910188].
- [40] I. L. Buchbinder, D. M. Gitman and V. D. Pershin, *Phys. Lett. B* **492**, 161 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/0006144].
- [41] S. Deser and A. Waldron, *Nucl. Phys. B* **631**, 369 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0112182].
- [42] Yu. M. Zinoviev, arXiv:hep-th/0108192.
- [43] W. Pauli and M. Fierz, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **12**, 297 (1939); *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A* **173**, 211 (1939).
- [44] B. de Wit and D. Z. Freedman, *Phys. Rev. D* **21**, 358 (1980).
- [45] A. R. Gover, A. Shaikat and A. Waldron, in preparation.
- [46] T. Biswas and W. Siegel, *JHEP* **0207**, 005 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0203115].
- [47] D. G. Boulware and S. Deser, *Phys. Rev. D* **6**, 3368 (1972).
- [48] S. Deser, *J. Gen. Rel. Grav.* **1**, 9 (1970).
- [49] A. Lichnerowicz, *Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math.* **10** (1961); *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **92**, 11 (1964).
- [50] J. M. F. Labastida, *Nucl. Phys. B* **322**, 185 (1989).
- [51] M. A. Vasiliev, *Phys. Lett. B* **209**, 491 (1988).
- [52] C. Duval, P. Lecomte, V. Ovsienko, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **49**, 1999 (1999), [arXiv:math.DG/9902032].
- [53] C. Duval, V. Ovsienko, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **7**, 291 (2001).