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#### Abstract

In this article we show that the fundamental equations of relativistic Bohmian mechanics for a single particle can be derived from a scalar theory of curved space-time. This paper is written in honor of David Bohm.


PACS numbers: 04.62.+v, 03.65.Ta

## I. INTRODUCTION

The de Broglie-Bohm interpretation (dBB) offers an alternative way for the intuitive understanding of quantum mechanical phenomena in terms of particle trajectories [1, 2]. At the same time it gives a clear answer to the typical difficulties that appear in the standard interpretation of quantum mechanics, like the so called collapse of the wave function. This theory was further generalized to relativistic quantum mechanics and quantum field theory with bosonic and fermionic fields [3, 4, 5, 6]. The above approaches address the critical issues that are involved with the dBB and its relativistic generalization, such as non-locality, non-conservation of particle numbers and the theory of quantum measurements. However, they all start by postulating a set of three differential equations which include the famous "quantum potential". In this paper we show that those three equations of relativistic bosonic quantum mechanics naturally follow from a scalar theory of curved space-time similar to that suggested by Gunnar Nordström [7, 8, 9]. Since this result is valid for a consistent interpretation of the quantum Klein Gordon equation, it is also valid in the nonrelativistic limit, giving the Schrödinger equation. This work is based on a previous attempt on a similar subject [10], but the previous inconsistencies and technical problems have now been overcome. The idea of combining geometry and the quantum Klein-Gordon equation has already been suggested in a number of different approaches:
An analogous formulation of our geometrical toy model can also be obtained by studying a nontrivial vector transplantation law in Weyl geometry [11]. However, this was done without giving the necessary relation to Bohmian mechanics.
A different approach studies conformal transformations which directly contain the dBB quantum potential $Q$ [12, 13]. Further, the Einstein-Hilbert action is extended by kinetic terms for two scalar fields and a constraint condition which identifies one of those fields with the quantum potential is imposed. The highly coupled differential equations of this approach contain general relativity as a zero order approximation in a parameter $\sim \hbar$. The single particle dBB equations result from a first order approx-
imation. Thus, in contrast to the toy model which is presented here, the Bohmian equations are not part of an exact duality.
Recently a study on the Ricci flow equation has independently shown that the Ricci scalar can be identified with a potentail [14, 15] (in our case the Schrödinger quantum potential). This is consistent with the first condition in (18).

## II. PARTICLE TRAJECTORIES IN RELATIVISTIC BOHMIAN MECHANICS

In this section we shortly list the ingredients for the interpretation of the single particle quantum Klein-Gordon equation in terms of Bohmian trajectories. For a detailed description of subsequent topics in the dBB theory like particle creation, the theory of quantum measurement, many particle states, and quantum field theory the reader is referred to [16]. The first two equations postulated in this version of the dBB theory are

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \equiv \partial_{m}\left(P^{2}\left(\partial^{m} S_{Q}\right)\right)  \tag{1}\\
2 M Q & \equiv\left(\partial^{m} S_{Q}\right)\left(\partial_{m} S_{Q}\right)-M^{2} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $M$ is the particle mass, $S_{Q}$ is the quantum phase, $Q$ is the quantum potential, and $P=P(x)$ is the pilot wave. The first equation takes the form of a continuity equation (which gives in the non-relativistic limit probability conservation) and the second equation has the form of a classical Hamilton-Jacobi equation with the additional potential $Q$. The use of the Latin indices in contrast to the usual Greek indices is in order to allow a distinction between coordinates in curved space-time (Greek) and coordinates in flat space-time (Latin). The quantum potential is further given from the particle mass and the pilot wave by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M} \frac{\partial^{m} \partial_{m} P}{P} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the two real equations (112) can be rearranged by using the equation (3) and the definition $\Phi(x, t) \equiv$ $P \exp \left(i S_{Q} / \hbar\right)$ in such a way that they give the complex
quantum Klein-Gordon equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial^{m} \partial_{m} \Phi(x)=-\frac{M^{2}}{\hbar^{2}} \Phi(x) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The interpretation in terms of particle trajectories [16] (which differs from the interpretation given by [4]) enters now by the third postulate, which relates the particle momentum $p^{m}$ to the quantum phase

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{m}=M \frac{d x^{m}}{d \tau} \equiv \partial^{m} S_{Q} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

A derivative of this equation with the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d s}=\frac{d x^{m}}{d s} \partial_{m} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

gives the equation of motion of a relativistic particle in the dBB interpretation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} x^{m}}{d s^{2}}=\frac{\left(\partial^{n} S_{Q}\right)\left(\partial^{m} \partial_{n} S_{Q}\right)}{M^{2}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using equation (2) a further simplification of this equation can be made

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \frac{d^{2} x^{m}}{d s^{2}}=\partial^{m} Q \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the described theory gives in the nonrelativistic limit the original dBB interpretation of the Schrödinger equation [5].

## III. SCALAR THEORY OF CURVED SPACE-TIME

Now we will discuss a scalar theory of curved spacetime, similar to the theory of gravitation suggested by Gunnar Nordström [7, 8]. This theory does not predict light bending due to heavy astronomical objects and therefore it is not in agreement with astrophysical observations. Although it is now known that this theory can not reproduce gravity it yields some very interesting features which we will develop in this section. The two defining equations are

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\kappa T \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta}=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is the Ricci scalar, $T$ is the trace of the stressenergy tensor, $\kappa$ is the coupling constant of this theory, and $C_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta}=R_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta}-\left(g_{\mu[\alpha} R_{\beta] \nu}-g_{\nu[\alpha} R_{\beta] \mu}\right)+$ $1 / 3 R g_{\mu[\alpha} g_{\beta] \nu}$ is the Weyl curvature tensor. One further imposes that the metric has a vanishing covariant derivative

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{\kappa} g\right)_{\mu \nu}=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will now show that it is possible to derive the equations of the dBB theory (17 5) and (7) from equations (9), 10, and 11) by demanding one set of matching conditions. From the conditions (10, (11) one can show that the connection $\Gamma$ is of the Levi Civita type

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\mu \nu}^{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha \beta}\left(\partial_{\mu} g_{\beta \nu}+\partial_{\nu} g_{\beta \mu}-\partial_{\beta} g_{\mu \nu}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general relativity the Levi Civita connection is derived by imposing (11) and vanishing torsion. Another consequence of the conditions (10, (11) is that the metric has to be proportional to the Minkowski metric

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\mu \nu}(x)=\phi^{2}(x) \eta_{m n} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the function $\phi$ is a local scale factor. This ensures that all possible solutions for the metric are conformal to the Minkowski space-time. The inverse of this metric is $g^{\mu \nu}(x)=1 /\left(\phi^{2}(x)\right) \eta^{m n}$. Like in the previous section the Latin and Greek indices help to distinguish between the effective Minkowski space-time (with $\eta_{m n}$ ) and the actual space-time (with $g_{\mu \nu}$ ). As a consequence derivatives in both notations can be identified $\partial_{\mu}=\partial_{m}$ but adjoint derivatives carry an additional factor of $1 / \phi^{2}$ since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial^{\mu}=g^{\mu \nu} \partial_{\nu}=1 / \phi^{2} \eta^{m n} \partial_{n}=1 / \phi^{2} \partial^{m} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the stress-energy tensor $T_{\mu \nu}$ we extend the Hamilton Jacobi definition by adding the product of a constant four vector $k_{\mu}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\mu \nu} \equiv k_{\mu} k_{\nu}+\left(\partial_{\mu} S_{H}\right)\left(\partial_{\nu} S_{H}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the Hamilton principle function $S_{H}$ defines the local momentum $p_{\mu}=M d x^{\mu} / d \tau=\partial_{\mu} S_{H}$. The additional term is part of the definition of this theory and it will in the end allow to define matching conditions between $k_{\mu}$ and $M$. Note that elevating $S_{H}$ to a field, corresponds to taking into account the congruence of possible solutions of the equations of motion instead of just considering one single solution which parts from one single initial condition. Two energy units $\left(\delta^{3}(x) / m\right)$ were absorbed in this definition, thus the tensor carries in natural units [GeV ${ }^{2}$ ] and therefore $\kappa$ is dimensionless. Contracting equation (15) with the inverse of equation (13) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{k^{m} k_{m}}{\phi^{2}(x)}+\frac{\left(\partial^{m} S_{H}\right)\left(\partial_{m} S_{H}\right)}{\phi^{2}(x)} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the above in equation (19) one finds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{m} \partial_{m} \phi(x)}{\phi(x)}=-\frac{\kappa}{6}\left(\partial^{m} S_{H}\right)\left(\partial_{m} S_{H}\right)-\kappa \frac{k^{m} k_{m}}{6} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now one can see that this is exactly equation (2) if one identifies

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi(x) & =P(x)  \tag{18}\\
S_{H}(x) & =S_{Q}(x) \\
\kappa & =-6 / \hbar^{2} \\
k^{m} k_{m} & =-M^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the derivative of the Hamilton principle function defines a momentum, the second condition $\left(S_{H}=S_{Q}\right)$ ensures that the third postulate of relativistic Bohmian mechanics (5) is fulfilled naturally. It is of course possible to shift the above matching conditions into each other without disturbing the overall matching between (21) and (17). The first equation of the dBB theory (11) now follows from the condition that the four momentum $p^{\mu}$ (which appears in the stress-energy tensor) has to be a conserved quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\mu} p^{\mu}=\partial_{\mu} p^{\mu}+\Gamma_{\mu \nu}^{\nu} p^{\mu}=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Writing again the momentum in terms of the Hamilton principle function $S_{H}$ and by using (12, (13), this condition reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\phi^{4}(x)} \partial_{m}\left(\phi^{2} \partial^{m} S_{H}\right)=0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the virtue of the matching conditions (18) this is exactly the first Bohmian equation (11). (Please note that further demanding $\nabla_{\mu} T^{\mu \nu}$ contains condition (20) but it gives also an additional condition: $0=P^{m}\left(\left(\partial_{m} P^{n}\right) \phi^{2}-\right.$ $\left.1 / 2 P_{m}\left(\partial^{n} \phi^{2}\right)\right)$.) The total derivative (6) is now generalized to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d \tau}=\frac{d x^{\mu}}{d \tau} \partial_{\mu}=\frac{1}{\phi^{2}} \frac{d x^{m}}{d \tau} \partial_{m}=\frac{1}{\phi^{2}} \frac{d}{d s} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying this to the momentum $p^{\mu}$ gives the equation of motion in curved space-time

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} x^{\mu}}{d \tau^{2}}=\frac{\left(\partial^{n} \partial_{n} S_{H}\right)\left(\partial^{m} S_{H}\right)+\left(\partial^{m} \partial_{n} S_{H}\right)\left(\partial^{n} S_{H}\right)}{M^{2} \phi^{4}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently the equation of motion in the Minkowski coordinates $x^{m}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} x^{m}}{d s^{2}}=\frac{\left(\partial^{m} \partial_{n} S_{H}\right)\left(\partial^{n} S_{H}\right)}{M^{2}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (23) to (7) shows that also the equation of motion of relativistic Bohmian mechanics is reproduced from the matching conditions (18). However, in addition to the equation of motion (22) there is also the geodesic equation of motion in curved space-time

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} x^{\mu}}{d \tau^{2}}+\Gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{\mu} \frac{d x^{\alpha}}{d \tau} \frac{d x^{\beta}}{d \tau}=f(x) \frac{d x^{\mu}}{d \tau} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(x)$ can be some arbitrary scalar function. Now one has to show that both equations of motion (22, 24) are consistent. This can be proven by plugging equation
(22) into (24) and by using equations (12, 22), and by using twice the rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{m} \phi^{2} \partial^{n} S_{H}=-\phi^{2}\left(\partial_{m} \partial^{n} S_{H}\right)+Y_{m}^{n} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to (20) al long as the arbitrary matrix $Y_{m}^{n}$ is traceless. After some transformations we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=-\frac{3}{2} \frac{\left(\partial_{a} \phi^{2}\right)\left(\partial^{a} S_{H}\right)}{M^{3} \phi^{4}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is indeed a scalar function. Thus, we have shown that the straight forward equation of motion (22) is consistent with the geodesic equation of motion (24).

Before going to the conclusions we want to comment on the matching conditions between the relativistic dBB theory and the scalar theory of curved space-time. Those conditions make it possible to show that relativistic dBB theory for a single particle is dual to the above theory of scalar gravity. Although the matching conditions we have chosen in (18) are not unique, they have a number of intrinsic properties which have to be true for any set of matching conditions: First, the scalar function $\phi$ in front of the metric (13) has to be proportional to the pilot wave $P$ in the dBB theory. Second, the Hamilton principle function $S_{H}$ in the stress-energy tensor has to be proportional to the quantum phase $S_{Q}$ of the dBB theory. Third, if the quantum phase $S_{Q}$ and the Hamilton principle function $S_{H}$ are defined as real functions, then the coupling of the geometrical theory has to be negative.

## IV. SUMMARY

In this paper we showed that the equations of the relativistic dBB theory for a single particle (175) and 7) can be derived from a scalar theory of curved space-time based on the four equations (911 and 15). By "derived" we mean that for every function and every constant in the dBB theory we could define a corresponding function and a corresponding constant in the latter theory which obeys the same equations and therefore is suitable for describing the same physical reality. The important question whether this duality can be generalized to the many particle case, interacting theories, fermionic degrees of freedom, or quantum field theory will be subject of future studies.
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