

# Simple scheme for expanding a polarization-entangled $W$ state by adding one photon

Yan-Xiao Gong,\* Xu-Bo Zou, Yun-Feng Huang,† and Guang-Can Guo  
 Key Laboratory of Quantum Information, University of Science and Technology of China,  
 CAS, Hefei, 230026, People's Republic of China  
 (Dated: November 5, 2018)

We propose a simple scheme for expanding a polarization-entangled  $W$  state. By mixing a single photon and one of the photons in an  $n$ -photon  $W$  state at a polarization-dependent beam splitter (PDBS), we can obtain an  $(n + 1)$ -photon  $W$  state after post-selection. Our scheme also opens the door for generating  $n$ -photon  $W$  states using single photons and linear optics.

PACS numbers: 03.67.Mn, 03.65.Ud, 42.50.Dv

Entanglement not only plays a central role in fundamental quantum physics [1, 2], but also has wide applications in quantum information processing, such as quantum teleportation [3], dense coding [4], quantum cryptography [5], and quantum computation [6]. While bipartite entanglement has been well understood, multipartite entanglement offers a very complicated structure. For example, it was shown that genuine three-particle entanglement can be classified into two classes by the equivalence under stochastic local operations and classical communication (SLOCC) [7]. One is the Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger (GHZ) state [8]

$$|GHZ_3\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|000\rangle + |111\rangle). \quad (1)$$

The other is the  $W$  state

$$|W_3\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|001\rangle + |010\rangle + |100\rangle). \quad (2)$$

The GHZ state is usually taken as ‘‘maximally entangled’’ state in some senses, for instance, it violates Bell inequalities maximally. However, it is also maximally fragile, i.e., if one or more particles are lost or discarded, then all the entanglement is destroyed. The  $W$  state is less entangled in the sense that its violation is weaker than that of GHZ state. While, the  $W$  state is very robust against the loss of one of the particles, namely, two-particle entanglement can be observed after one particle is lost or measured. Thereby, in this sense, the  $W$  state is more entangled.

The entanglement persistency property can be easily obtained from the representation of the  $n$ -particle  $W$  state

$$\begin{aligned} |W_n\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(|00 \cdots 01\rangle + |00 \cdots 10\rangle + \cdots \\ &\quad + |01 \cdots 00\rangle + |10 \cdots 00\rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}|n-1, 1\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where  $|n-1, 1\rangle$  denotes the (unnormalized) totally symmetric state including  $n-1$  particles in state  $|0\rangle$  and one particle in state  $|1\rangle$ , e.g.,  $|3, 1\rangle = |0001\rangle + |0010\rangle + |0100\rangle + |1000\rangle$ . We can see that any particle is entangled with the other particles and that all the particles are equivalent. In fact, it was shown that the  $W$  state has the maximum degree of entanglement between any pair of particles [9]. These interesting features lead the  $W$ -class states to applications in a variety quantum information processing tasks, such as quantum teleportation [10, 11, 12], dense coding [13], quantum secret communication [14].

Linear optical systems have supplied a broad field for experimental implementation of multipartite entangled states. There have been many proposals [15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21] and experimental implementations [22, 23, 24, 25] for producing  $W$  states. Quite recently, Tashima *et al.* introduced an interesting optical gate for expanding polarization-entangled  $W$  states [26]. In their scheme, after the operation of the gate on one of the photons in an  $n$ -photon  $W$  state, an  $(n+2)$ -photon  $W$  state can be obtained after post-selection.

In this paper, using a similar expanding principle with that in Ref. [26], we propose a simple scheme for expanding a polarization-entangled  $W$  state by adding a single photon to the existing state, rather than adding two photons in Ref. [26]. Our scheme needs only a polarization-dependent beam splitter (PDBS), where one of the photons in an  $n$ -photon  $W$  state interferes with a single photon and after post-selection an  $(n+1)$ -photon  $W$  state can be obtained.

Before introducing our scheme we would like to note that the qubits here are all encoded in polarization states of single photons, so that  $|0\rangle \equiv |H\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle \equiv |V\rangle$ , where  $|H\rangle$  ( $|V\rangle$ ) denotes the horizontal (vertical) polarization state. Our scheme for adding a single photon to an  $n$ -photon  $W$  state is depicted in Fig. 1. The key of our scheme is an element of PDBS, with reflectivities of

$$\eta_H = \frac{5 - \sqrt{5}}{10} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_V = \frac{5 + \sqrt{5}}{10}, \quad (4)$$

for horizontally ( $H$ ) and vertically ( $V$ ) polarized photons, respectively. Such class of elements has been used in several experiments [27, 28, 29, 30]. One of the photons in state  $|W_n\rangle$  and a single photon in state  $|H\rangle$  meet at the PDBS, which are input in modes  $a$  and  $b$ , respectively.

\*Electronic address: yxgong@mail.ustc.edu.cn

†Electronic address: hyf@ustc.edu.cn




FIG. 1: Scheme for adding a single photon to an  $n$ -photon  $W$  state. The polarization-dependent beam splitter (PDBS) has reflectivities of  $\eta_H = (5 - \sqrt{5})/10$  and  $\eta_V = (5 + \sqrt{5})/10$  for horizontally ( $H$ ) and vertically ( $V$ ) polarized photons, respectively. One of the photons in the  $W$  state is input in mode  $a$ , and a single photon in state  $|H\rangle$  is added in mode  $c$ . A half-wave plate (HWP) oriented at  $0^\circ$  can introduce a phase shift of  $\pi$  between  $H$  and  $V$  polarized photons. This scheme succeeds in the case of twofold coincidence detection in the output modes  $c$  and  $d$ .

If they are indistinguishable except the degrees of path and polarization (fourth-order interference will happen for the same polarization photons), the state transformations at the PDBS can be expressed as

$$|H\rangle_a \rightarrow \sqrt{\eta_H}|H\rangle_c + \sqrt{1 - \eta_H}|H\rangle_d, \quad (5)$$

$$|V\rangle_a \rightarrow \sqrt{\eta_V}|V\rangle_c + \sqrt{1 - \eta_V}|V\rangle_d, \quad (6)$$

$$|H\rangle_b \rightarrow \sqrt{1 - \eta_H}|H\rangle_c - \sqrt{\eta_H}|H\rangle_d. \quad (7)$$

After the PDBS, we use a half-wave plate (HWP) set to  $0^\circ$  to introduce a phase shift of  $\pi$  between  $H$  and  $V$  polarized photons, with the transformations

$$|H\rangle_c \rightarrow |H\rangle_c, \quad |V\rangle_c \rightarrow -|V\rangle_c. \quad (8)$$

Therefore, if we post-select the successful events, i.e., twofold coincidence detection at the output modes  $c$  and  $d$ , we can obtain the state transformations as follows,

$$|H\rangle_a |H\rangle_b \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} |H\rangle_c |H\rangle_d, \quad (9)$$

$$|V\rangle_a |H\rangle_b \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} (|H\rangle_c |V\rangle_d + |V\rangle_c |H\rangle_d). \quad (10)$$

Next we explain how a single photon can be added to a state  $|W_n\rangle$  through our scheme. Since all the photons in the  $W$  state are equivalent, we can choose any photon to inject in mode  $a$ , for instance, mode  $n$ , so that we can rewrite the  $W$  state given by Eq. (3) as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} |W_n\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} |n-1, 1\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left[ |n-2, 1\rangle |H\rangle_n + |H\rangle^{\otimes(n-1)} |V\rangle_n \right] \\ &\rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left[ |n-2, 1\rangle |H\rangle_a + |H\rangle^{\otimes(n-1)} |V\rangle_a \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$




FIG. 2: Schematic of preparing an  $N$ -photon  $W$  state using single photons and the scheme shown in Fig. 1.

Then we can write the state evolution of the photon-added process as

$$\begin{aligned} |W_n\rangle |H\rangle_b &\rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{5n}} \left[ |n-2, 1\rangle |H\rangle_c |H\rangle_d + |H\rangle^{\otimes(n-1)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \otimes (|H\rangle_c |V\rangle_d + |V\rangle_c |H\rangle_d) \right] + |\Phi\rangle \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{n+1}{5n}} |W_{n+1}\rangle + |\Phi\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where  $|\Phi\rangle$  is an unnormalized state including the amplitudes that would not lead to the successful events.

From Eq. (12) we can see that the success probability for adding a single photon to a state  $|W_n\rangle$  is  $(n+1)/(5n)$ , which approaches a constant  $1/5$  when  $n$  becomes large. It is not difficult to find that if we generate an  $N$ -photon  $W$  state using single photons and our scheme (see Fig. 2 for the schematic), the total success probability is  $N/5^{N-1}$  ( $N \geq 2$ ). However, this is not optimal. By replacing the leftmost PDBS with a balanced polarization-independent beam splitter, namely,  $\eta_H = \eta_V = 1/2$ , the probability of success can be improved to  $N/(4 \times 5^{N-2})$ . Alternatively, if we do not restrict our sources to single photons and EPR states are available, we can get higher probability. Explicitly, with our scheme, we can first add a single photon to an EPR state  $(|HV\rangle + |VH\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$  to get state  $|W_3\rangle$  and then add single photons one by one as the way in Fig. 2. In this case we can obtain the state  $|W_N\rangle$  with the success probability  $N/(2 \times 5^{N-2})$ . In particular, the success probability for preparing state  $|W_3\rangle$  is  $3/10$ , which is highest compared with other linear optical schemes, as the most efficient one at present is  $3/16$  in Ref. [26]. The success probability for preparing state  $|W_4\rangle$  is  $2/25$ , which is lower than  $1/8$  in Ref. [26] but still higher than the other linear optical schemes (the most efficient one before the scheme in Ref. [26] is  $2/27$  in Ref. [15]). Therefore, we believe our scheme is experimental feasible for preparing states  $|W_3\rangle$  and  $|W_4\rangle$ . However, as the number of photons increases the success probability decreases exponentially, so experimental preparing more-photon  $W$  states would be still difficult. Actually, this is a common problem in many linear optical schemes.

Finally, we would like to give a brief discussion on the comparison of our scheme with the scheme in Ref. [26]. The two schemes are based on similar expanding principles (this can be seen from Eqs. (9) and (10) and

Eqs. (3) and (4) in their scheme), but a single photon is added in our scheme rather than two photons are added in their scheme. This leads to different experimental requirements, i.e., we need single photons while they need two-photon Fock states. In their scheme, the success probability is  $(2k+1)2^{-4k}$  for preparing state  $|W_{2k+1}\rangle$  and  $(k+1)2^{-4k}$  for preparing state  $|W_{2(k+1)}\rangle$ . Therefore, our scheme is more efficient for preparing state  $|W_3\rangle$  but less efficient for preparing more-photon  $W$  state.

In conclusion, we propose a simple scheme to expand a polarization-entangled  $W$  state by adding a single photon. This method should be very helpful in quantum information processing in the future when quantum memory and nondemolition measurements are available, be-

cause we can add a single photon to an existing  $W$  state easily to get a larger one. Furthermore, our method gives a new way to prepare  $W$  state using single photons and linear optical elements.

This work was funded by National Fundamental Research Program (Grant No. 2006CB921907), National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants No. 60621064, No. 10674128 and No. 10774139), Innovation Funds from Chinese Academy of Sciences, “Hundreds of Talents” program of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University, A Foundation for the Author of National Excellent Doctoral Dissertation of PR China (grant 200729).

- 
- [1] A. Einstein, B. Podolsky, and N. Rosen, *Phys. Rev.* **47**, 777 (1935).
- [2] J. S. Bell, *Physics* (Long Island City, N. Y.) **1**, 195 (1964).
- [3] C. H. Bennett, G. Brassard, C. Crépeau, R. Jozsa, A. Peres, and W. K. Wootters, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 1895 (1993).
- [4] C. H. Bennett and S. J. Wiesner, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 2881 (1992).
- [5] A. K. Ekert, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67**, 661 (1991).
- [6] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information* (Cambridge University, Cambridge, England, 2000).
- [7] W. Dür, G. Vidal, and J. I. Cirac, *Phys. Rev. A* **62**, 062314 (2000).
- [8] D. M. Greenberger, M. A. Horne, and A. Zeilinger, in *Bell's Theorem, Quantum Theory, and Conceptions of the Universe*, edited by M. Kafatos (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1989), p. 69.
- [9] M. Koashi, V. Bužek, and N. Imoto, *Phys. Rev. A* **62**, 050302 (2000).
- [10] B.-S. Shi and A. Tomita, *Phys. Lett. A* **296**, 161 (2002).
- [11] J. Joo, Y.-J. Park, S. Oh, and J. Kim, *New J. Phys.* **5**, 136 (2003).
- [12] Y. Yeo, arXiv:quant-ph/0302030.
- [13] V. N. Gorbachev, A. I. Trubilko, A. A. Rodichkina, and A. I. Zhiliba, *Phys. Lett. A* **314**, 267 (2003).
- [14] J. Joo, J. Lee, J. Jang, and Y.-J. Park, arXiv:quant-ph/0204003.
- [15] X. Zou, K. Pahlke, and W. Mathis, *Phys. Rev. A* **66**, 044302 (2002).
- [16] T. Yamamoto, K. Tamaki, M. Koashi, and N. Imoto, *Phys. Rev. A* **66**, 064301 (2002).
- [17] G.-Y. Xiang, Y.-S. Zhang, J. Li, and G.-C. Guo, *J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclassical Opt.* **5**, 208 (2003).
- [18] Y. Li and T. Kobayashi, *Phys. Rev. A* **70**, 014301 (2004).
- [19] H. Mikami, Y. Li, and T. Kobayashi, *Phys. Rev. A* **70**, 052308 (2004).
- [20] B.-S. Shi and A. Tomita, *J. Mod. Opt.* **52**, 765 (2005).
- [21] B. H. Liu, F. W. Sun, Y. F. Huang, and G. C. Guo, *Phys. Lett. A* **365**, 389 (2007).
- [22] N. Kiesel, M. Bourennane, C. Kurtsiefer, H. Weinfurter, D. Kaszlikowski, W. Laskowski, and M. Zukowski, *J. Mod. Opt.* **50**, 1131 (2003).
- [23] M. Eibl, N. Kiesel, M. Bourennane, C. Kurtsiefer, and H. Weinfurter, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 077901 (2004).
- [24] H. Mikami, Y. Li, K. Fukuoka, and T. Kobayashi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 150404 (2005).
- [25] B. P. Lanyon and N. K. Langford, arXiv:0802.3161.
- [26] T. Tashima, Şahin Kaya Özdemir, T. Yamamoto, M. Koashi, and N. Imoto, *Phys. Rev. A* **77**, 030302 (2008).
- [27] N. Kiesel, C. Schmid, U. Weber, G. Tóth, O. Gühne, R. Ursin, and H. Weinfurter, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 210502 (2005).
- [28] N. K. Langford, T. J. Weinhold, R. Prevedel, K. J. Resch, A. Gilchrist, J. L. O'Brien, G. J. Pryde, and A. G. White, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 210504 (2005).
- [29] N. Kiesel, C. Schmid, U. Weber, R. Ursin, and H. Weinfurter, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 210505 (2005).
- [30] R. Okamoto, H. F. Hofmann, S. Takeuchi, and K. Sasaki, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 210506 (2005).