

Universality in open system entanglement dynamics

Markus Tiersch,* Fernando de Melo, and Andreas Buchleitner
*Physikalisches Institut, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg,
Hermann-Herder-Str. 3, D-79104 Freiburg, Germany*
(Dated: May 26, 2022)

We show that the entanglement evolution of an open quantum system initially prepared in a random pure state – as quantified by a large class of entanglement quantifiers – is universal, in the limit of large Hilbert space dimensions.

PACS numbers: 03.67.-a, 03.67.Mn, 03.65.Yz.

The ability to characterize and control quantum systems with an ever increasing number of constituents is an ever more desired and necessary skill in many fields of modern physics [1, 2, 3], material science [4], and even biology [5, 6]. From a strictly deterministic point of view, however, the rapidly growing generic complexity of such systems apparently renders this task ineffable. Yet, a *statistical* description often allows to extract robust, generic features which emerge in the limit of large system size, and imply quantitative predictions [7].

Entanglement, an unmistakable quantum signature, is a prime example of the above, apparent illusiveness of an exhaustive characterization as the system size is scaled up: The structure of multipartite entanglement turns more and more intricate with the exponentially increasing number of possible correlations between different subgroups or sublevels, and a complete characterization of the quantum state to be analyzed (by quantum state tomography) requires an exponentially increasing experimental overhead. Even worse, since many-particle quantum coherence is a necessary (though insufficient) condition for entanglement, entanglement tends to get ever more fragile when enlarging the system size: the more degrees of freedom, the more difficult it becomes to shield these coherences against the detrimental influence of a noisy environment. In such situations, the strong quantum correlations due to entanglement additionally need to be distinguished from classical correlations induced by the ambient noise. This is in general accomplished by high dimensional optimization procedures on exponentially increasing state spaces, and apparently leaves little hope for quantitative predictions on entanglement evolution in large, noisy, and, possibly, driven systems far from equilibrium. Since, on the other hand, the signatures of entanglement in such systems are of high fundamental and, potentially, practical interest, e.g. when it comes to harnessing the computational power of quantum algorithms or assessing the role of quantum correlations in intrinsically noisy biological systems, new experimental and/or theoretical ideas are in need.

Here we consider the fate of high dimensional entangled states in contact with an incoherent environment, and show that a statistical analysis unveils *universal* open system entanglement evolution in the limit of large

Hilbert space dimensions. In the thermodynamic limit, an efficient characterization of entanglement dynamics is thus again possible.

More specifically, let us start with a composite quantum system on a d -dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , subject to some dynamics, and possibly coupled to uncontrollable degrees of freedom which define its environment. In order to gain a global picture of the time evolution of the entanglement properties of arbitrary pure initial states $|\chi\rangle\langle\chi|$ under this coupling, we seek a statistical description of the *distribution* of the entanglement of an *ensemble* of states which underwent some – possibly open system – dynamics which we represent by the mapping $|\chi\rangle\langle\chi| \rightarrow \rho = \Lambda(|\chi\rangle\langle\chi|)$, and which is parametrized by the time t . To describe a physically reasonable time evolution in the sense that ρ be a density matrix, Λ ought to be completely positive and trace preserving. As a measure for the relative effect of Λ on two states ρ and ω , we choose the metric distance

$$D_{\text{Tr}}(\rho, \omega) \equiv \|\rho - \omega\|_{\text{Tr}} := \text{Tr}|\rho - \omega|, \quad (1)$$

induced by the trace norm $\|\cdot\|_{\text{Tr}}$ on the state space $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ over \mathcal{H} . D_{Tr} decreases monotonously upon application of any such Λ on $\rho - \omega$ [8],

$$\|\Lambda(\rho) - \Lambda(\omega)\|_{\text{Tr}} \leq \|\rho - \omega\|_{\text{Tr}}, \quad (2)$$

and the dynamics will thus not render the states more different than they were initially. Note that, for purely Hamiltonian dynamics, this is essentially a consequence of the unitarity of quantum mechanics, and it is intuitively clear that incoherent dynamics cannot degrade the situation. This is but one way to express that the trace distance is arguably a natural metric to distinguish quantum states.

To proceed, we focus on entanglement quantifiers which are Lipschitz continuous [9],

$$|E(\rho) - E(\omega)| \leq \eta \|\rho - \omega\|_{\text{Tr}} \quad (3)$$

with Lipschitz constant η . Note that all entanglement measures defined by some distance $E_D(\rho) = \min_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}} D(\rho, \sigma)$ of ρ to the set \mathcal{S} of separable states [10, 11, 12], with respect to a suitable metric which abides

to the above monotonicity condition (2) [24], straightforwardly fulfill this condition, with Lipschitz constant $\eta_D = 1$ (by virtue of the triangle inequality [9]). As shown in the Appendix below, so does the negativity \mathcal{N} [13], a sufficient entanglement criteria, but with a different constant $\eta_{\mathcal{N}}$. Given (2,3), E then inherits Lipschitz continuity even with respect to the norm induced by the scalar product on \mathcal{H} , with Lipschitz constant 2η :

$$\begin{aligned} |E(\rho) - E(\omega)| &\leq \eta \|\Lambda(|\chi\rangle\langle\chi|) - \Lambda(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)\|_{\text{Tr}} \\ &\leq \eta \|\left| |\chi\rangle\langle\chi| - |\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \right|\|_{\text{Tr}} \\ &\leq 2\eta \|\left| |\chi\rangle - |\psi\rangle \right|\|. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

We have thus obtained an estimate for the evolution of the relative entanglement evolution of two arbitrary, pure initial states $|\chi\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle$ under the dynamics Λ . To turn this into a statement on the *statistical distribution* of the entanglement of an initially prepared ensemble of pure states after interaction with the environment [14], we just need to realize that the set of all pure states in \mathcal{H} is isomorphic to the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^{2d-1} in a $2d$ dimensional space. Levy's lemma [15, 16],[7] then immediately allows to infer our central result: The probability for the deviation of $E(\rho)$ from its average value $\langle E \rangle$, given a uniform distribution of the initial ensemble of pure states over \mathbb{S}^{2d-1} (i.e., uniform with respect to the Haar measure [17]), decays exponentially fast with the increasing of the system dimension d :

$$\Pr(|E(\rho) - \langle E \rangle| > \epsilon) \leq 4 \exp\left(-C \frac{2d}{4\eta^2} \epsilon^2\right). \quad (5)$$

The constant $C > 0$ is independent of d and may be chosen as $C = (72 \pi^3)^{-1}$ (following the derivation in [15]).

A concrete result (with the same value of C) is derived for the negativity of a bipartite system composed of $d_A \times d_B$ -level systems (rescaled with respect to its maximal value $\mathcal{N}_{\max} = (d_A - 1)/2$ for $d_A \leq d_B$):

$$\Pr\left(\left|\frac{\mathcal{N}(\rho) - \langle \mathcal{N} \rangle}{\mathcal{N}_{\max}}\right| > \epsilon\right) \leq 4 \exp\left(-C \frac{d_B(d_A - 1)^2}{2d_A} \epsilon^2\right), \quad (6)$$

which is visualized in Fig. 1 for the negativity distribution of ensembles of $N = 3, \dots, 8$ qubits which are locally coupled to a dephasing environment. Negativity is here evaluated with respect to the least balanced bipartition of the qubit register, i.e., quantifies the entanglement of one qubit with the remaining $N - 1$ qubits, after application of the dephasing dynamics. In accord with our above assumption, we sample over a distribution of 10000 pure initial register states, and parametrize the dynamics by the probability p for complete dephasing (i.e., the annihilation of the density matrix' off-diagonal element, the coherence) of a single qubit. By virtue of the identification $p = 1 - e^{-\Gamma t}$, with Γ the dephasing rate of a single qubit, in Markov approximation, this is tantamount to a




FIG. 1: (Color online) Negativity distributions of the least balanced partition (one vs. $N - 1$ qubits) of $N = 3, 4, 6, 8$ qubits, at different snapshots of the evolution. We sample over 10000 uniformly distributed pure initial states of N qubits which evolve under local coupling to a dephasing reservoir. The system–environment interaction time t is parametrized by $p = (1 - e^{-\Gamma t})$, with Γ the local dephasing rate. Clearly, the bigger N , the more concentrates the negativity distribution around its mean value, for all times.




FIG. 2: Standard deviation and mean value of the negativity distribution of 10000 uniformly distributed pure states of an N -qubit register, upon local dephasing, with $p = 1 - e^{-\Gamma t} = 0.5$ and $N = 2, \dots, 8$. While the mean increases with N , as expected for increasing dimensions, the standard deviation decreases *exponentially* with N (as tested by an exponential fit).

parametrization by the system–environment interaction time [18]. Fig. 2 compares the variances of the distributions shown in Fig. 1 with the respective mean values, at fixed $p = 0.5$, and thus quantifies the rapid concentration of negativity around its mean value with increasing N . Thereby, our numerical results underpin the *mixed state entanglement concentration* predicted by Eqs. (5) and (6). Furthermore, the concentration observed by the numeric experiment is even stronger than estimated – the measured variances are approx. one order of magnitude smaller than the variance which we would infer from (6), with our above estimate of C and η_N .

The above results determine the open system evolution of entanglement with an error *exponentially small* in the dimension of the underlying Hilbert space (provided η does not increase faster than \sqrt{d} , what is the case under our above assumptions). Therefore, in high dimensions, it suffices to monitor the entanglement evolution of a *single*, randomly picked pure state, to predict the fate of any other typical state subjected to the same dynamics with high precision, with *no restrictions* on the specific nature of the environment coupling. Inasmuch as knowledge of a single state’s entanglement evolution fully determines (in the present, asymptotic sense) the result for arbitrary states, our result is reminiscent of previously derived entanglement evolution equations [19, 20], where the final entanglement of an arbitrary initial pure state was shown to be fully characterized by the entanglement evolution of the maximally entangled state. Though, these equations could hitherto be derived only for bipartite systems with the environment acting on only one system constituent, and, in the higher dimensional case, only for finite system-entanglement interaction times.

The *concentration* of open system entanglement evolu-

tion as spelled out by (5) and (6) has yet another bearing, for the optimization problem typically encountered [21, 22] when determining the entanglement of arbitrary mixed states. At least under our above, rather general conditions on mixed states generated through arbitrary *physical* dynamics Λ from a uniform distribution of pure states, mixed state entanglement concentration suggests a reduction of the optimization space: A single representative of the obtained sample *generated under a specific physical evolution*, selected with convenient properties (symmetries in terms of its pure state decomposition) to perform that optimization will suffice given an exponentially narrow range of entanglement. Note that this is consistent with earlier findings on the unravelling of the open system entanglement evolution of pairs of qubits, where numerical evidence suggested an effective reduction of the dimension of optimization space, for a given environment coupling [23].

Acknowledgements. We enjoyed illuminating discussions and exchanges during the Workshop “Mathematical Horizons of Quantum Physics” at the National University of Singapore, 2008, in particular with Mark Fannes, Alexander Holevo, and Reinhard Werner. Special thanks go to the last for reminding us that the calculation of the Lipschitz constant of negativity is much more straightforward when using its definition in terms of the trace norm. We also profited from intense exchanges with Carlos Viviescas, Alejo Sales, and Daniel Cavalcanti, and express our gratitude for the hospitality of Berge Englert and the NUS, as well as Luiz Davidovich’s group at the Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, where this work was finished. DAAD/CAPES is acknowledged for financial support through the PROBRAL program, and F. de M. thanks the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for support through a AvH Fellowship.

Appendix. We shall now find the Lipschitz constant for the negativity of a bipartite system. This task is eased by the fact that negativity is basically the state’s trace norm [13]

$$\mathcal{N}(\rho) := \frac{\|\rho^\tau\|_{\text{Tr}} - 1}{2}, \quad (7)$$

with τ indicating the partial transposition. By means of the triangle inequality, $\| \|x\| - \|y\| \| \leq \|x - y\|$, and the linearity of the partial transpose we arrive at a first estimation:

$$|\mathcal{N}(\rho) - \mathcal{N}(\omega)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|(\rho - \omega)^\tau\|_{\text{Tr}}. \quad (8)$$

We now make use of the underlying tensor product structure of the Hilbert space, and explicitly write the partial transposition as an operation:

$$(\rho - \omega)^\tau = (\mathbf{1} \otimes T)(\rho - \omega). \quad (9)$$

The norm of this result can be estimated with the operator norm defined as

$$\|A\|_{\text{op}} := \sup_x \frac{\|Ax\|}{\|x\|}, \quad (10)$$

where the norm on the right side is the one on the domain and the image of A , respectively. By choosing a particular x , which is not necessarily optimal, we obtain a lower bound for the right side of (9). Thus the relation

$$\|(\mathbb{1} \otimes T)\|_{\text{op}} \geq \frac{\|(\mathbb{1} \otimes T)(\rho - \omega)\|_{\text{Tr}}}{\|\rho - \omega\|_{\text{Tr}}} \quad (11)$$

gives us the next estimate for negativity:

$$|\mathcal{N}(\rho) - \mathcal{N}(\omega)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|(\mathbb{1} \otimes T)\|_{\text{op}} \|\rho - \omega\|_{\text{Tr}}. \quad (12)$$

Of course, we already know how to maximize the operator norm, namely with the maximally entangled state, which yields $\|(\mathbb{1} \otimes T)\|_{\text{op}} = n$ with n the dimension of one subsystem of the bipartition, i.e., $\rho, \omega \in M_n \otimes M_n$. Consequently, we find Lipschitz continuity for negativity,

$$|\mathcal{N}(\rho) - \mathcal{N}(\omega)| \leq \frac{n}{2} \|\rho - \omega\|_{\text{Tr}}, \quad (13)$$

with Lipschitz constant $\eta_{\mathcal{N}} = n/2$.

* Electronic address: markus.tiersch@physik.uni-freiburg.de

- [1] L. Amico, R. Fazio, A. Osterloh, and V. Vedral, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **80**, 517 (2008).
 [2] D. P. DiVincenzo, *Fortschr. Phys.* **48**, 9 (2000).
 [3] C. H. Bennett and D. P. DiVincenzo, *Nature* **404**, 247 (2000).

- [4] F. X. Redl, K.-S. Cho, C. B. Murray, and S. O'Brien, *Nature* **423**, 968 (2003).
 [5] G. S. Engel, T. R. Calhoun, E. L. Read, T.-K. Ahn, T. Mancal, Y.-C. Cheng, R. E. Blankenship, and G. R. Fleming, *Nature* **446**, 782 (2007).
 [6] H. J. Briegel, and S. Popescu, arXiv:0806.4552 (2008).
 [7] P. Hayden, D. Leung, and A. Winter, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **265**, 95 (2006).
 [8] M. B. Ruskai, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **6**, 1147 (1994).
 [9] I. N. Bronshtein, K. A. Semendyayev, G. Musiol, and H. Mühlig, *Handbook of Mathematics*, Springer 2004.
 [10] J. Eisert, K. Audenaert, and M. B. Plenio, *J. Phys. A* **36**, 5605 (2003).
 [11] C. Witte and M. Trucks, *Phys. Lett. A* **257**, 14 (1999).
 [12] V. Vedral and M. B. Plenio, *Phys. Rev. A* **57**, 1619 (1998).
 [13] G. Vidal and R. F. Werner, *Phys. Rev. A* **65**, 032314 (2002).
 [14] K. Życzkowski and H.-J. Sommers, *J. Phys. A* **34**, 7111 (2001).
 [15] M. Ledoux, *The Concentration of Measure Phenomenon*, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs* **89**, AMS 2001.
 [16] V. D. Milman and G. Schechtman, *Asymptotic Theory of Finite Dimensional Normed Spaces*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 1200, Springer 1986.
 [17] K. Życzkowski and M. Kuś, *J. Phys. A* **27**, 4235 (1994).
 [18] Formally, the dephasing map for a single qubit reads in its operator sum representation $\Lambda(\rho) = \sum_{i=1,2} K_i \rho K_i^\dagger$ with Kraus operators $K_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{1-p} \end{pmatrix}$ and $K_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{p} \end{pmatrix}$.
 [19] T. Konrad, F. de Melo, M. Tiersch, C. Kasztelan, A. Aragão, and A. Buchleitner, *Nat. Phys.* **4**, 99 (2008).
 [20] M. Tiersch, F. de Melo, and A. Buchleitner, *Phys. Rev. Lett. in press* (2008); arXiv:0804.0208.
 [21] A. Uhlmann, *Phys. Rev. A* **62**, 032307 (2000).
 [22] F. Mintert, A. R. R. Carvalho, M. Kuś, and A. Buchleitner, *Phys. Rep.* **415**, 207 (2005).
 [23] A. R. R. Carvalho, M. Busse, O. Brodier, C. Viviescas, and A. Buchleitner, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 190501 (2007).
 [24] So does, e.g., the Bures distance, but *not* the Hilbert–Schmidt distance.