

Gauge Approach to Symmetric Teleparallel Gravity

Muzaffer Adak

Department of Physics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences,
Pamukkale University, 20070 Denizli, Turkey
madak@pau.edu.tr

Murat Sari

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences,
Pamukkale University, 20070 Denizli, Turkey
msari@pau.edu.tr

Gülçin Köseoğlu

Department of Physics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences,
Pamukkale University, 20070 Denizli, Turkey
madak@pau.edu.tr

Abstract

We discuss a gauge invariant gravity model in a geometry in which curvature and torsion are zero, nonmetricity is nonzero. We argue that only a metric ansatz is enough in order to start finding a solution to field equations. We obtain a conformally flat solution as an application.

1 Introduction

Gauge theory is a successful approach in modern physics for explaining the nature. For example, electromagnetic and weak nuclear interactions have been combined through $SU(2) \otimes U(1)$ gauge theory; electroweak theory. $SU(3) \otimes SU(2) \otimes U(1)$ gauge theory which is one step advance of the same approach contains strength nuclear interactions as well; standard model [1].

Gauge theory is briefly following. A physical object (e.g. spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particle) is represented by a field (e.g. spinor field). A field Lagrange containing kinetic and mass terms is written. A transformation in the field is defined and the Lagrange is desired to be invariant first globally and then locally under this transformation. Local invariance is usually broken because of the derivative in the kinetic term. To repair the invariance a new (gauge) field and its transformation rules are introduced (e.g. electromagnetic potential field). Since this gauge potential is required not to stay alone, the kinetic and mass terms of the gauge potential are added to the Lagrange. The local invariance of new Lagrange under the defined transformations is checked. If the local invariance is loosed either the invariance breaking term is dismissed or a new field enters the game. Finally, the group structure of the set of transformation elements is investigated.

Gauge Theoretical Models are studied to describe gravitation. Let us summarize these models under three groups. In the first group, gauge structure of General Relativity (GR) was analyzed. GR is a gravitational theory written in pseudo-Riemannian geometry in which only curvature is nonzero. Here the field representing the gravitation is metric, the field representing the gauge field is connection and gauge group is Lorentz group. But, the Einstein-Hilbert Lagrange yielding Einstein equation takes derivatives of metric into account in an unusual way through curvature scalar. Consequently, quantization efforts of this Lagrange have been unsuccessful so far. In the second group, there are gravity models containing square of curvature in order to obtain Yang-Mills-type terms. Here again the geometry is pseudo-Riemannian, metric represents gravitation and connection represents gauge field. This time, however, fourth order non-linear differential equations of metric functions appear [2],[3],[4]. This result gives rise to problems in quantization, too. In the third group, geometry is left very large; nonzero torsion or nonzero nonmetricity together with curvature, or all three together. There are some problems in these models. For example, fields representing gravitation and gauge potential are not clear, calculations are very cumbersome, it is not easy to interpret the results and so on [5],[6],[7],[8],[9],[10].

In this work, we propose a gravity model in a geometry in which only nonmetricity is nonzero; Symmetric Teleparallel Gravity (STPG) [11],[12]. Here interpretation is clear. Gravitation is represented by metric and gauge field by connection. One net contribution of this work to STPG literature, in which metric ansatz and connection ansatz are independent, is to show that only a metric ansatz is enough for starting solution. That is, STPG is a metric theory like GR. In other words, connection is not independent of metric. Details can be found in Section 3. Finally, after we discuss some candidates for kinetic and mass terms of gauge field, we find a set of conformally flat solution to the field equations obtained from gauge invariant STPG Lagrange via independent variations.

2 Mathematical Preliminaries

Spacetime, in general, is denoted by $\{M, g, \nabla\}$ where M is orientable and differentiable manifold, g is (0,2)-type symmetric metric tensor, ∇ is connection. Let $\{x^\alpha(p)\}$, $\alpha = \hat{0}, \hat{1}, \hat{2}, \hat{3}$ be coordinate functions of coordinate system set at any point $p \in M$. This coordinate system forms a reference frame denoted by $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}(p)\}$ or shortly ∂_α , the so-called *coordinate frame*. This frame is a set of basis vectors at point p for the tangent space $T_p(M)$. Similarly, the set of differentials of coordinate functions $\{dx^\alpha(p)\}$ forms the *coordinate co-frame* at point p for cotangent space $T_p^*(M)$, the so-called base co-vectors. Interior product of ∂_α and dx^α is given by Kronecker symbol

$$dx^\alpha\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta}\right) \equiv \iota_\beta dx^\alpha = \delta_\beta^\alpha . \quad (1)$$

Any linearly independent vectors can be made orthonormal. Let $\{X_a\}$, $a = 0, 1, 2, 3$ be orthonormal set of vectors, the so-called *orthonormal frame*. In this case, the metric satisfies $g(X_a, X_b) = \eta_{ab}$ where $\eta_{ab} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$. Let $\{e^a\}$ be the dual set of $\{X_a\}$, the so-called *orthonormal co-frame*

$$e^a(X_b) \equiv \iota_b e^a = \delta_b^a . \quad (2)$$

This is another manifestation of (1).

In this work we adhere the notation. Greek indices are called *coordinate indices*; $\alpha, \beta, \dots = \hat{0}, \hat{1}, \hat{2}, \hat{3}$. Latin ones are called *orthonormal indices*; $a, b, \dots = 0, 1, 2, 3$. One can pass from coordinate frame to orthonormal frame and vice versa via vierbein $h^\alpha_a(p)$

$$X_a(p) = h^\alpha_a(p)\partial_\alpha(p) . \quad (3)$$

Here, in order $\{X_a\}$ to be a basis vierbein must be nondegenerate; $\det h^\alpha_a(p) \neq 0$. Similarly,

$$e^a(p) = h^\alpha_a(p) dx^\alpha(p) . \quad (4)$$

Besides, because of (1) and (2) it is written

$$h^\alpha_\alpha(p) h^\beta_a(p) = \delta_\alpha^\beta \quad , \quad h^\alpha_a(p) h^b_\alpha(p) = \delta_a^b . \quad (5)$$

Connection ∇ is associated with connection 1-forms Λ^a_b . Orientation of manifold is fixed by Hodge map $*1 = e^0 \wedge e^1 \wedge e^2 \wedge e^3$ or anti-symmetric Levi-Civita symbol $\epsilon_{0123} = +1$, where \wedge denotes exterior product. From now on we make use of abbreviation $e^{ab\dots} \equiv e^a \wedge e^b \wedge \dots$. Cartan structure equations define nonmetricity 1-forms, torsion 2-forms and curvature 2-forms, respectively

$$Q_{\alpha\beta} := -\frac{1}{2} Dg_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} (-dg_{\alpha\beta} + \Lambda_{\alpha\beta} + \Lambda_{\alpha\beta}) , \quad (6)$$

$$T^\alpha := De^\alpha = de^\alpha + \Lambda^\alpha_\beta \wedge e^\beta , \quad (7)$$

$$R^\alpha_\beta := D\Lambda^\alpha_\beta := d\Lambda^\alpha_\beta + \Lambda^\alpha_\gamma \wedge \Lambda^\gamma_\beta \quad (8)$$

where d and D are exterior derivative and covariant exterior derivative, respectively. These satisfy Bianchi identities:

$$DQ_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} (R_{\alpha\beta} + R_{\beta\alpha}) , \quad (9)$$

$$DT^\alpha = R^\alpha_\beta \wedge e^\beta , \quad (10)$$

$$DR^\alpha_\beta = 0 . \quad (11)$$

2.1 Decomposition of Full Connection

Full connection 1-form can be decomposed uniquely as follows [7]:

$$\Lambda^\alpha_\beta = \underbrace{(g^{\alpha\gamma} dg_{\gamma\beta} + p^\alpha_\beta)/2 + \omega^\alpha_\beta}_{Metric} + \underbrace{K^\alpha_\beta}_{Torsion} + \underbrace{q^\alpha_\beta + Q^\alpha_\beta}_{Nonmetricity} \quad (12)$$

where ω^α_β Levi-Civita connection 1-forms

$$\omega^\alpha_\beta \wedge e^\beta = -de^\alpha , \quad (13)$$

K_{ab} contortion tensor 1-forms,

$$K^\alpha_\beta \wedge e^\beta = T^\alpha , \quad (14)$$

and anti-symmetric 1-forms

$$q_{\alpha\beta} = -(\iota_{\alpha}Q_{\beta\gamma})e^{\gamma} + (\iota_{\beta}Q_{\alpha\gamma})e^{\gamma} , \quad (15)$$

$$p_{\alpha\beta} = -(\iota_{\alpha}dg_{\beta\gamma})e^{\gamma} + (\iota_{\beta}dg_{\alpha\gamma})e^{\gamma} . \quad (16)$$

This decomposition is self-consistent. To see that it is enough to multiply (12) from right by e^{β} and to use definitions above. While moving indices vertically in front of both d and D, special attention is needed because $dg_{\alpha\beta} \neq 0$ and $Dg_{\alpha\beta} \neq 0$. Symmetric part of the full connection comes from (6)

$$\Lambda_{(\alpha\beta)} = Q_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2}dg_{\alpha\beta} \quad (17)$$

and the remainder is anti-symmetric part

$$\Lambda_{[\alpha\beta]} = \frac{1}{2}p_{\alpha\beta} + \omega_{\alpha\beta} + K_{\alpha\beta} + q_{\alpha\beta} . \quad (18)$$

If only $Q_{\alpha\beta} = 0$, connection is metric compatible; Einstein-Cartan geometry. If both $Q_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ and $T^{\alpha} = 0$, connection is Levi-Civita; pseudo-Riemannian geometry. If $dg_{\alpha\beta} = 0$, we denote metric components as η_{ab} and call it as *orthonormal metric*. In this case, decomposition of the full connection takes the form

$$\Lambda_{ab} = \omega_{ab} + K_{ab} + q_{ab} + Q_{ab} . \quad (19)$$

In the literature there are works preferring orthonormal frames [5], coordinate frames [7], frames between them [10]. In calculations the following identities may be useful:

$$D * e_{\alpha} = -Q \wedge * e_{\alpha} + * e_{\alpha\beta} \wedge T^{\beta} , \quad (20)$$

$$D * e_{\alpha\beta} = -Q \wedge * e_{\alpha\beta} + * e_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \wedge T^{\gamma} , \quad (21)$$

$$D * e_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = -Q \wedge * e_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + * e_{\alpha\beta\gamma\sigma} \wedge T^{\sigma} , \quad (22)$$

$$D * e_{\alpha\beta\gamma\sigma} = -Q \wedge * e_{\alpha\beta\gamma\sigma} \quad (23)$$

where $Q := Q^{\alpha}_{\alpha} = g^{\alpha\beta}Q_{\alpha\beta}$ is the trace 1-form of nonmetricity.

3 The Geometry is a Metric Geometry

In STPG models only nonmetricity tensor is nonzero:

$$Q_{\alpha\beta} \neq 0 \quad , \quad T^{\alpha} = 0 \quad , \quad R^{\alpha}_{\beta} = 0 . \quad (24)$$

STPG is a metric theory, that is, only a metric anzast is enough for the conditions (24). Let us show this argument step by step:

- **Step 1:** Write a metric $g = g_{\alpha\beta}(x)dx^\alpha \otimes dx^\beta$ where x denotes coordinate functions x^α .
- **Step 2:** Choose co-frame and full connection 1-forms as $e^\alpha = dx^\alpha$ and $\Lambda^\alpha_\beta = 0$. In this case $R^\alpha_\beta = 0$, $T^\alpha = 0$, $Q_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{2}dg_{\alpha\beta} \neq 0$ (see subsection 2.1).
- **Step 3:** Determine vierbien and its inverse by using $e^a = h^a_\alpha dx^\alpha$.
- **Step 4:** In orthonormal frame, calculate full connection $\Lambda^a_b = h^a_\alpha \Lambda^\alpha_\beta h^\beta_b + h^a_\alpha dh^\alpha_b = h^a_\alpha dh^\alpha_b \neq 0$, curvature $R^a_b = h^a_\alpha R^\alpha_\beta h^\beta_b = 0$, torsion $T^a = h^a_\alpha T^\alpha = 0$, nonmetricity $Q^a_b = h^a_\alpha Q^\alpha_\beta h^\beta_b \neq 0$. This result corresponds to $\omega_{ab} + q_{ab} = 0$ in the decomposition (19) which means $\Lambda_{ab} = Q_{ab}$ together with $K_{ab} = 0$.
- **Step 5:** Finally, calculate metric functions by using dynamical field equations written in terms of nonmetricity.

3.1 Gauge Approach

In this geometry identities (9)-(11) become a Bianchi identity,

$$DQ_{ab} = 0. \quad (25)$$

In the gauge approach to STPG we first propose the Lagrange

$$\mathcal{L}^{Glo} = -\frac{\kappa}{8}dg_{\alpha\beta} \wedge *dg^{\alpha\beta} + M * 1 \quad (26)$$

where κ and M constants. Here let $g_{\alpha\beta}$ represent the gravitational field. Meanwhile, mass term would be written as $M * 1 = \frac{M}{4}g_{\alpha\beta} \wedge *g^{\alpha\beta}$. This Lagrange is invariant under the *global gauge transformation*

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha'\beta'} L^{\beta'}_\beta L^{\alpha'}_\alpha, \quad g^{\alpha\beta} = L^\beta_{\beta'} L^\alpha_{\alpha'} g^{\alpha'\beta'} \quad (27)$$

because the derivatives of transformation elements are zero. More suitable nomenclature would be global frame transformation. Here $g_{\alpha\beta} g^{\alpha\gamma} = \delta_\beta^\gamma$ property of metric causes the conditions $L^\beta_{\beta'} L^{\beta'}_\alpha = \delta_\alpha^\beta$ and $L^{\beta'}_\beta L^\beta_{\alpha'} = \delta_{\alpha'}^{\beta'}$ on transformation elements. Now coincident with gauge theory let us impose local invariance condition on the Lagrange: $L^{\beta'}_\beta = L(x)^{\beta'}_\beta$. In this case our Lagrange is not invariant because of $dL^{\beta'}_\beta \neq 0$ terms. Thus to restore the invariance we add terms such as $dg_{\alpha\beta} \wedge *\Lambda^{\alpha\beta}$ and $\Lambda_{\alpha\beta} \wedge *\Lambda^{\alpha\beta}$, such that

$$\mathcal{L}^{Loc} = \frac{\kappa}{2}Q_{\alpha\beta} \wedge *Q^{\alpha\beta} + M * 1 \quad (28)$$

where $Q_{\alpha\beta} := -\frac{1}{2}Dg_{\alpha\beta}$ and $Q^{\alpha\beta} := \frac{1}{2}Dg^{\alpha\beta}$. This new Lagrange is invariant under *local gauge transformations*

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha'\beta'}L(x)^{\beta'}_{\beta}L(x)^{\alpha'}_{\alpha} \quad (29)$$

$$\Lambda^{\alpha}_{\beta} = L(x)^{\alpha}_{\alpha'}\Lambda^{\alpha'}_{\beta'}L(x)^{\beta'}_{\beta} + L(x)^{\alpha}_{\alpha'}dL(x)^{\alpha'}_{\beta} \quad (30)$$

because $Q^{\alpha}_{\beta} = L^{\alpha}_{\alpha'}Q^{\alpha'}_{\beta'}L^{\beta'}_{\beta}$. Transformations (29) defined on metric are known as *local Lorentz transformations*. Therefore, we believe that our gauge group is Lorentz group [13].

In gauge theoretical approach, kinetic and mass terms of gauge field are expected to be added to this Lagrange. The first candidate would be $\mathcal{L}_1^{Gau} = c_1D\Lambda^{\alpha}_{\beta}\wedge *D\Lambda^{\beta}_{\alpha} + c_2\Lambda^{\alpha}_{\beta}\wedge *\Lambda^{\beta}_{\alpha}$. Here, however, the first term brings the expression $R^{\alpha}_{\beta}\wedge *R^{\beta}_{\alpha}$ to mind and it is zero in STPG. And also since the second term is not invariant under the transformation (30) it is discarded. Another candidate would be Chern-Simons term $K = \Lambda^a_b \wedge d\Lambda^b_a + \frac{2}{3}\Lambda^a_b \wedge \Lambda^b_c \wedge \Lambda^c_a$ invariant under the transformation (30). In this case, an invariant gauge Lagrange in four-dimensions would be $\mathcal{L}_2^{Gau} = d\theta \wedge K + \frac{1}{2}d\theta \wedge *d\theta$. Here again tracing the gauge spirit we added the kinetic term of newly introduced scalar field θ . Mass term of θ may be put into M term of gravity. But, that is written in the form of $\mathcal{L}_2^{Gau} = \theta R^a_b \wedge R^b_a + \frac{1}{2}d\theta \wedge *d\theta$ because of the property $dK = -R^a_b \wedge R^b_a$ when the exact form discarded. The first term is again zero in STPG and then no reason leaves to keep the second term.

3.2 Field equations of STPG

We prefer working in orthonormal gauge in this section. That is because orthonormal metric components are made of $0, \pm 1$ and their variations are all zero. Thus, only independent co-frame and connection variations of Lagrange are needed. By the way, we know that co-frame and connection are not independent in general. We, also know that the relation between e^a and Λ^a_b is not so clear. So, the best way is to use the methods of Lagrange multipliers,

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\kappa}{2}Q_{ab} \wedge *Q^{ab} + M * 1 + \lambda_a \wedge T^a + R^a_b \wedge \rho_a^b \quad (31)$$

where λ_a and ρ_a^b Lagrange multiplier 2-forms giving constrains, respectively, $T^a = 0$ and $R^a_b = 0$. In addition, as connection variation yields

$$\lambda_a \wedge e^b + D\rho_a^b = -\Sigma_a^b \quad (32)$$

co-frame variation gives

$$M * e_a + D\lambda_a = -\tau_a \quad (33)$$

where

$$\Sigma_a^b = \frac{\kappa}{2} * (Q_a^b + Q^b_a), \quad (34)$$

$$\tau_a = -\frac{\kappa}{2} [(\iota_a Q^{bc}) * Q_{bc} + Q^{bc} \wedge (\iota_a * Q_{bc})]. \quad (35)$$

In principle, the Lagrange multipliers are solved from Eqn(32) and the results are substituted into Eqn(33). We notice that what we need in the second equation is only $D\lambda_a$ rather than λ_a or ρ_{ab} . Thus, we calculate that by taking exterior derivative of Eqn(32)

$$D\lambda_a \wedge e^b = -D\Sigma_a^b. \quad (36)$$

Here we used $De^a = T^a = 0$ ve $D^2\rho_a^b = R^b_c \wedge \rho_a^c - R^c_a \wedge \rho_c^b = 0$. Now, after multiplying Eqn(33) by e^b from right, we insert Eqn(36) into that equation

$$D\Sigma_a^b - \tau_a \wedge e^b + M\delta_a^b * 1 = 0 \quad (37)$$

where $e^a \wedge *e^b = \eta^{ab} * 1$ was used. We lower an index by paying special attention in order to be able to use symmetry arguments,

$$\kappa D * Q_{ab} + 2\kappa Q^c_b \wedge *Q_{ac} - \tau_a \wedge e_b + M\eta_{ab} * 1 = 0 \quad (38)$$

where we wrote Σ_{ab} in terms of Q_{ab} through (34). It is clear that $Q^c_b \wedge *Q_{ac} = Q^c_a \wedge *Q_{bc}$. Besides, it may be seen by using Eqn(35) that $\tau_a \wedge e_b = \tau_b \wedge e_a$. Thus, Eqn(38) is definitely symmetric. As off-diagonal elements of it give

$$\kappa d * Q_{ab} - \kappa(\iota_a Q^{cd})(\iota_b Q_{cd}) * 1 = 0, \quad (a \neq b), \quad (39)$$

the trace of Eqn(38) yields

$$\kappa d * Q + \kappa Q^{cd} \wedge *Q_{cd} + 4M * 1 = 0 \quad (40)$$

where we used $\Lambda^a_b = Q^a_b$ in the expression $D * Q_{ab}$. Finally, covariant exterior derivative of Eqn(33) produces

$$D\tau_a - MQ \wedge *e_a = 0 \quad (41)$$

where we used $D * e_a = -Q \wedge *e_a$ (see Eqn.(20)) and $D^2\lambda_a = -R^b_a \wedge \lambda_b = 0$. In summary, the last three equations form STPG theory.

3.3 A Conformally Flat Solution

Let us follow the steps in Section 3 as an application. We firstly make a conformally flat metric anast

$$g = e^{2\psi}(-dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2) \quad (42)$$

where ψ is scalar function. Thus, $g_{\alpha\beta} = e^{2\psi}\delta_\alpha^a\delta_\beta^b\eta_{ab}$. In the second step, we choose co-frame and connection 1-form as $e^\alpha = dx^\alpha$ and $\Lambda^\alpha_\beta = 0$ in the coordinate gauge. Now definitely $R^\alpha_\beta = 0$, $T^\alpha = 0$, $Q_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{2}dg_{\alpha\beta} = -d\psi g_{\alpha\beta}$. In the third step, we write orthonormal co-frame $e^a = e^\psi\delta_\alpha^a dx^\alpha$ such that vierbein are $h^a_\alpha = e^\psi\delta_\alpha^a$ and the inverses are $h^\alpha_a = e^{-\psi}\delta_a^\alpha$. In the fourth step

$$\Lambda^a_b = h^a_\alpha dh^\alpha_b = -d\psi\delta_b^a, \quad R^a_b = 0, \quad T^a = 0, \quad Q^a_b = -d\psi\delta_b^a. \quad (43)$$

In the last step we will insert nonmetricity given by Eqn(43) into field equations (39)-(41) and will solve ψ .

The arguments in the first four steps would be restated in terms of Weyl transformation. That is, Minkowski metric $g = -dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2$ is a trivial solution of the field equations. Now, we see that as metric transforms

$$g \mapsto e^{2\psi}g \quad \text{or} \quad e^a \mapsto e^\psi e^a, \quad (44)$$

the related quantities transform

$$\omega_{ab} \mapsto \omega_{ab} - (\partial_a\psi)e_b + (\partial_b\psi)e_a, \quad (45)$$

$$Q_{ab} \mapsto Q_{ab} - \eta_{ab}d\psi, \quad (46)$$

$$\Lambda_{ab} \mapsto \Lambda_{ab} - \eta_{ab}d\psi, \quad (47)$$

$$T^a \mapsto e^\psi T^a, \quad (48)$$

$$R^a_b \mapsto R^a_b. \quad (49)$$

From this point of view, ψ is treated as the source of nonmetricity. This result is expressed as "a kind of gravitational charge (or Weyl charge) produces nonmetricity" in the literature [14], [15].

Anyway, when we put nonmetricity (43) into the symmetric field equation (39) we obtain

$$(\partial_\alpha\psi)(\partial_\beta\psi) = 0 \quad (50)$$

where it was used that $g_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$. We also insert nonmetricity (43) into the trace field equation (40) to give

$$\partial^\alpha\partial_\alpha\psi + (\partial^\alpha\psi)(\partial_\alpha\psi) - M/\kappa = 0. \quad (51)$$

Finally we substitute Eqn(43) into Eqn(41) to get

$$4(\partial_\alpha\psi)[\partial^\beta\partial_\beta\psi + (\partial^\beta\psi)(\partial_\beta\psi) - M/\kappa] = 0 \quad (52)$$

where $\partial^\alpha\partial_\alpha = g^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha\partial_\beta$ together with $\partial_\alpha := (\partial/\partial t, \partial/\partial x, \partial/\partial y, \partial/\partial z)$. Thus one has to solve the following equations

$$(\partial_\alpha\psi)(\partial_\beta\psi) = 0, \quad (\alpha \neq \beta), \quad (53)$$

$$-\ddot{\psi} + \nabla^2\psi - \dot{\psi}^2 + (\vec{\nabla}\psi) \cdot (\vec{\nabla}\psi) - (M/\kappa)e^{2\psi} = 0 \quad (54)$$

where dot denotes t -derivative and $\vec{\nabla}$ is spacial gradient operator. According to the first equation ψ can be dependent only on one coordinate. Here two cases are worth to work.

Case 1: $\psi = \psi(r)$ where r is spherical radial coordinate. Then Eqn(54) turns out to be

$$\psi'' + \frac{2}{r}\psi' + \psi'^2 - (M/\kappa)e^{2\psi} = 0 \quad (55)$$

where prime denotes r -derivative. Since we encounter difficulties at obtaining the solution of this highly nonlinear differential equation we leave it as it is. If a solution might be found, after a coordinate transformation $re^{\psi(r)} = \rho$ our metric would take the form

$$g = -f^2(\rho)dt^2 + g^2(\rho)d\rho^2 + \rho^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2) \quad (56)$$

where $f(\rho) = \rho/r$ and $g(\rho) = (1 + r d\psi/dr)^{-1}$. This metric may be treated as spherically symmetric static metric.

Case 2: $\psi = \psi(t)$ where t is time coordinate. Now Eqn(54) takes the form

$$\ddot{\psi} + \dot{\psi}^2 + (M/\kappa)e^{2\psi} = 0 \quad (57)$$

Here first we perform the transformation $\psi(t) = \ln y(t)$

$$\ddot{y} + (M/\kappa)y^3 = 0 \quad (58)$$

then we obtain $t = \int (b^2 - (M/2\kappa)y^4)^{-1/2} dy$ where b is a constant. If $b \neq 0$, this integral can be written in terms of elliptical integrals. To see an exact solution let us set $b = 0$. Thus $y(t) = -(a + \sqrt{-M/2\kappa t})^{-1}$ where a is constant. Now we perform one more coordinate transformation $T =$

$\int e^{\psi(t)} dt = \int y(t) dt$, then we find $(a + \sqrt{-M/2\kappa t})^{-1} = ce^{\sqrt{-M/2\kappa}T}$. With a suitable choice of constant c , the metric is expressed as

$$g = -dT^2 + S^2(T)(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2) \quad (59)$$

where $S^2(T) = e^{2\psi[t(T)]} = e^{\sqrt{-2M/\kappa}T}$. Here $\sqrt{-2M/\kappa}$ is a real constant when the signs of constants are chosen appropriately. Our metric brings expanding universe model of GR satisfying perfect principle to mind. This naive solution predicting no start and no end for universe has a defect in GR. It is that continuous energy formation is needed in order to fill in vacuum appeared because of expansion (a kind of violation of energy conservation) [16]. Since our theory is different from GR and there is a few work on STPG in the literature, we are not at a position to say anything about this discussion.

4 Result

We work in a geometry which has nonmetricity, but no curvature and no torsion. The subject is approached in gauge theoretical way differently from STPG models in the literature. In this approach, as metric represents gravitational field connection corresponds to gauge field. Thus, a gauge invariant Lagrange containing kinetic and mass terms was written and variational field equations are derived. While a solution is sought to these equations, metric and connection anzasts are made independently in the literature. Here we showed that only a metric anzast is enough to begin to look for a non-trivial solution. As an application, a conformally flat solution of the field equations was obtained. This solution was turned out to be a form similar to expanding universe model of GR. The deficiency of that solution in GR is a kind of violation of energy conservation. However, our theory is different from GR and there is not enough works on STPG in the literature. Therefore, we can not comment on this subject and leave technical analysis of STPG for future research.

References

- [1] D J Griffiths, **Introduction to Elementary Particles**, 1987 John Wiley&Sons, Inc
- [2] G Stephenson, *Nuo. Cim.* **9** (1958) 263

- [3] C N Yang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **33** (1974) 445
- [4] I M Benn, T Dereli and R W Tucker, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **13** (1981) 581
- [5] T Dereli and R W Tucker, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **11** (1994) 2575
- [6] T Dereli and R W Tucker, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **12** (1995) L31
- [7] F W Hehl, J D McCrea, E W Mielke and Y Ne'eman, *Phys. Rep.* **258** (1995) 1
- [8] Y N Obukhov, *Phys. Rev. D* **69** (2004) 064009
- [9] M Adak, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **38** (2006) 971
- [10] M Adak and D Grumiller, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **24** (2007) F65
- [11] M Adak, M Kalay and Ö Sert, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **D15** (2006) 619
- [12] M Adak and T Dereli, *Europhys Lett.* **82** (2008) 30008
- [13] I M Benn, T Dereli and R W Tucker, *J. Phys. A* **15** (1982) 849
- [14] R W Tucker and C Wang, *Class. Quantum Gravit.* **15** (1998) 933
- [15] T Dereli and R W Tucker, *JHEP03* March issue (2002) 041
- [16] W Rindler, **Essential Relativity**, 1977 Springer-Verlag