

STRONG TIME OPERATORS ASSOCIATED WITH GENERALIZED HAMILTONIANS

FUMIO HIROSHIMA^{*†}, SOTARO KURIBAYASHI[‡]
and Yasumichi Matsuzawa[§]

Abstract

Let the pair of operators, (H, T) , satisfy the weak Weyl relation:

$$Te^{-itH} = e^{-itH}(T + t),$$

where H is self-adjoint and T is closed symmetric. Suppose that g is a real-valued Lebesgue measurable function on \mathbb{R} such that $g \in C^2(\mathbb{R} \setminus K)$ for some closed subset $K \subset \mathbb{R}$ with Lebesgue measure zero. Then we can construct a closed symmetric operator D such that $(g(H), D)$ also obeys the weak Weyl relation.

1 Weak Weyl relation and strong time operators

1.1 Introduction

The energy of a quantum system can be realized as a self-adjoint operator on some Hilbert space, whereas time t is treated as a parameter, and not intuitively as an operator. So, since the foundation of quantum mechanics, the energy-time uncertainty relation has had a different basis from that underlying the position-momentum uncertainty relation.

Let Q be the multiplication operator defined by $(Qf)(x) = xf(x)$ with maximal domain $D(Q) = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \mid \int |x|^2 |f(x)|^2 dx < \infty\}$ and let $P = -id/dx$ be the weak derivative with domain $H^1(\mathbb{R})$. In quantum mechanics, the position operator Q and

^{*}F.H. thanks for Grant-in-Aid for Science Research (B) 20340032 from JSPS for financial support.

[†]Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University 812-8581, Fukuoka, Japan

[‡]Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University 812-8581, Fukuoka, Japan

[§]Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, 060-0810, Japan

the momentum operator P in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ obey the Weyl relation: $e^{-isP}e^{-itQ} = e^{ist}e^{-itQ}e^{-isP}$ for $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$. From this we can derive the so-called weak Weyl relation:

$$Qe^{-itP} = e^{-itP}(Q + t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.1)$$

and moreover the canonical commutation relation $[P, Q] = -iI$ also holds. The strong time operator T is defined as an operator satisfying (1.1) with Q and P replaced by T and the Hamiltonian H of the quantum system under consideration, respectively.

More precisely, we explain the weak Weyl relation (1.1) as follows. Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space over the complex field \mathbb{C} . We denote by $D(L)$ the domain of an operator L .

Definition 1.1 *We say that the pair (H, T) consisting of a self-adjoint operator H and a symmetric operator T on \mathcal{H} obeys the weak Weyl relation if and only if, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,*

- (1) $e^{-itH}D(T) \subset D(T)$;
- (2) $Te^{-itH}\Phi = e^{-itH}(T + t)\Phi$ for all $\Phi \in D(T)$.

Here T is referred to as a strong time operator associated with H and we denote it by T_H for T . Note that a strong time operator is not unique. Although from the weak Weyl relation it follows that $[H, T_H] = -iI$, the converse is not true; a pair (A, B) satisfying $[A, B] = -iI$ does not necessarily obey the Weyl relation or the weak Weyl relation. If strong time operator T_H is self-adjoint, then it is known that

$$e^{-isT_H}e^{-itH} = e^{-ist}e^{-itH}e^{-isT_H} \quad (1.2)$$

holds. In particular when Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is separable, by the von Neumann uniqueness theorem the Weyl relation (1.2) implies that H and T_H are unitarily equivalent to $\oplus^n P$ and $\oplus^n Q$ with some n , respectively. This asserts that any strong time operators associated with a semibounded H on a separable Hilbert space are symmetric non-self-adjoint. These facts may implicitly suggest that strong time operators are not "observable".

A time operator but not necessarily strong associated with a self-adjoint operator H is defined as an operator T for which $[H, T] = -iI$. As was mentioned above, although a strong time operator is automatically a time operator, the converse is not true. It is remarkable that when the pair (H, T) obeys the weak Weyl relation, H has

purely absolutely continuous spectrum. For example there is no strong time operator associated with the harmonic oscillator $\frac{1}{2}(P^2 + \omega^2 Q^2)$, whereas its time operator is formally given by

$$\frac{1}{2\omega}(\arctan(\omega P^{-1}Q) + \arctan(\omega QP^{-1})).$$

See e.g. [Ara08-b, AM08-b, Gal02, Gal04, LLH96, Dor84, Ros69].

The concept of time operators was derived in the framework for the energy-time uncertainty relation in [KA94]. See also e.g. [Fuj80, FWY80, GYS81-1, GYS81-2]. A strong connection with the decay of survival probability was pointed out by [Miy01], where the weak Weyl relation was introduced and then strong time operators were discussed. Moreover it was drastically generalized in [Ara05] and some uniqueness theorems are established in [Ara08].

This paper is inspired by [Miy01, Section VII] and [AM08-a]. In particular Arai and Matsuzawa [AM08-a] developed machinery for reconstructing a pair of operators obeying the weak Weyl relation from a given pair (H, T_H) ; in particular, they constructed a strong time operator associated with $\log |H|$. The main result of the paper is an extension of this work and we derive a strong time operator associated with general Hamiltonian $g(H)$ with a real-valued function g .

1.2 Description of the main results

By (1.1) a strong time operator T_P associated with P is given by

$$T_P = Q. \tag{1.3}$$

For the self-adjoint operator $(1/2)P^2$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, it is established that

$$T_{(1/2)P^2} = \frac{1}{2}(P^{-1}Q + QP^{-1}) \tag{1.4}$$

is an associated strong time operator referred to as the Aharonov-Bohm operator. Comparing (1.3) with (1.4) we arrive at

$$T_{(1/2)P^2} = \frac{1}{2}(f'(P)^{-1}T_P + T_P f'(P)^{-1}), \tag{1.5}$$

where $f(\lambda) = (1/2)\lambda^2$. We wish to extend formula (1.5) for more general f 's and for any (H, T_H) .

More precisely let g be some Borel measurable function from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} . We want to construct a map $\mathcal{T}(g)$ such that $\mathcal{T}(g)T_H = T_{g(H)}$ and to show that

$$T_{g(H)} = \frac{1}{2}(g'(H)^{-1}T_H + T_H g'(H)^{-1}).$$

We denote the set of n times continuously differentiable functions on $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$ with compact support by $C_0^n(\Omega)$.

Proposition 1.2 *Assume that (H, T) satisfies the weak Weyl relation. Then*

- (1) *H has purely absolutely continuous spectrum. In particular H has no point spectrum;*
- (2) *(H, \bar{T}) also satisfies the weak Weyl relation.*

PROOF: (1) Refer to see [Ara05]. (2) It can be proven by a simple limiting argument. **qed**

Throughout, we suppose that the following assumptions hold.

Assumption 1.3 *(H, T) obeys the weak Weyl relation and T is a closed symmetric operator.*

Assumption 1.4 *Let $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Lebesgue measurable function such that*

- (1) *$g \in C^2(\mathbb{R} \setminus K)$ for some closed subset $K \subset \mathbb{R}$ with Lebesgue measure zero;*
- (2) *the Lebesgue measure of $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus K \mid g'(\lambda) = 0\}$ is zero.*

We fix (H, T) , $K \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $g \in C^2(\mathbb{R} \setminus K)$ satisfying Assumptions 1.3 and 1.4 in what follows. For a Lebesgue measurable function f , $f(H)$ is defined by

$$f(H) = \int_{\text{Spec}(H)} f(\lambda) dE_\lambda^H$$

for the spectral resolution E_λ^H of H . Let Z be the set of singular points of g'^{-1} :

$$Z = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus K \mid g'(\lambda) = 0\} \cup K,$$

which is closed and has Lebesgue measure zero.

Now we will define a useful subspace $X_n^\mathcal{D}$.

Definition 1.5 Let $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be a dense subspace. The subspace $X_n^{\mathcal{D}}$, $0 \leq n \leq \infty$, in \mathcal{H} is defined by

$$X_n^{\mathcal{D}} = \text{linear hull of } \{\rho(H)\phi \mid \rho \in C_0^n(\mathbb{R} \setminus Z), \phi \in \mathcal{D}\}, \quad (1.6)$$

where $C_0^0 = C_0$.

Lemma 1.6 $X_n^{\mathcal{D}}$ is dense in \mathcal{H} .

PROOF: Let $(f, \Phi) = 0$ for all $\Phi \in X_n^{\mathcal{D}}$. Then $(\rho(H)^*f, \phi) = 0$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\rho \in C_0^n(\mathbb{R} \setminus Z)$, which implies that $f \in E_Z^H \mathcal{H}$, where E^H denotes the spectral resolution of H . Since H has purely absolutely continuous spectrum and the Lebesgue measure of Z is zero, $f = 0$ is concluded. Hence $X_n^{\mathcal{D}}$ is dense. **qed**

The next proposition is fundamental.

Proposition 1.7 [Ara05] Let $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ and let both f and f' be bounded. Then $f(H)D(T) \subset D(T)$ and

$$Tf(H)\phi = f(H)T\phi + if'(H)\phi, \quad \phi \in D(T). \quad (1.7)$$

PROOF: First suppose that $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Let \check{f} denote the inverse Fourier transform of f . Then for $\psi \in D(T)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (T\psi, f(H)\phi) &= (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (T\psi, e^{-i\lambda H}\phi) \check{f}(\lambda) d\lambda \\ &= (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \check{f}(\lambda) (\psi, e^{-i\lambda H}(T + \lambda)\phi) d\lambda = (\psi, (f(H)T + if'(H))\phi). \end{aligned}$$

So (1.7) follows for $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. By a limiting argument on f and the fact that T is closed, (1.7) follows for $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that f and f' are bounded. **qed**

This proposition suggests that *informally*

$$Te^{-itg(H)}\phi = e^{-itg(H)}T\phi + tg'(H)e^{-itg(H)}\phi$$

and then $Tg'(H)^{-1}e^{-itg(H)}\phi = e^{-itg(H)}(Tg'(H)^{-1} + t)\phi$. Symmetrizing $Tg'(H)^{-1}$, we expect that a strong time operator associated with $g(H)$ will be given by

$$T_{g(H)} = \frac{1}{2}(g'(H)^{-1}T + Tg'(H)^{-1}). \quad (1.8)$$

In order to establish (1.8), the remaining problem is to check the domain argument and to extend Proposition 1.7 for unbounded f and f' .

By the definition of g , for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus Z$, there exists the derivative $dg(\lambda)/d\lambda = g'(\lambda)$ and $g'(\lambda)^{-1} < \infty$. Let

$$\tilde{g}'(\lambda) = \begin{cases} g'(\lambda), & \lambda \notin Z, \\ 0, & \lambda \in Z \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

and define

$$g'(H) = \tilde{g}'(H). \quad (1.10)$$

Equivalently

$$g'(H) = \int_{\text{spec}(H) \setminus Z} g'(\lambda) dE_\lambda^H. \quad (1.11)$$

In what follows we denote $g'(\lambda)$ for $\tilde{g}'(\lambda)$ without confusion may arise. Since the Lebesgue measure of Z is zero and H has purely absolutely continuous spectrum, we see that

$$\dim \ker g'(H) = 0.$$

Thus $g'(H)^{-1}$ is well defined.

Lemma 1.8 *It follows that*

- (1) $T : X_n^{\text{D}(T)} \rightarrow X_{n-1}^{\mathcal{H}}$ for $1 \leq n \leq \infty$.
- (2) $g'(H)^{-1} : \begin{cases} X_n^{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow X_1^{\mathcal{D}}, & 1 \leq n \leq \infty, \\ X_0^{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow X_0^{\mathcal{D}}, & n = 0, \end{cases}$ for any $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{H}$.

PROOF: Let $\Phi = \rho(H)\phi \in X_n^{\text{D}(T)}$. By Proposition 1.7, $\Phi \in \text{D}(T)$ and we have $T\Phi = i\rho'(H)\phi + \rho(H)T\phi$. Then (1) follows. It is clear that $D(g'(H)^{-1}) \ni \Phi = \rho(H)\phi$ and $g'(H)^{-1}\Phi = (g'(H)^{-1}\rho(H))\phi$. Note that $\rho/g' \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R} \setminus Z)$ for $\rho \in C_0^n(\mathbb{R} \setminus Z)$ with $n \geq 1$, and $\rho/g' \in C_0(\mathbb{R} \setminus Z)$ for $\rho \in C_0(\mathbb{R} \setminus Z)$. Then (2) follows. **qed**

Define the symmetric operator \tilde{D} by

$$\tilde{D} = \frac{1}{2}(g'(H)^{-1}T + Tg'(H)^{-1}) \Big|_{X_1^{\text{D}(T)}}. \quad (1.12)$$

\tilde{D} is well defined by Lemma 1.8. Actually $\tilde{D} : X_1^{\text{D}(T)} \rightarrow X_0^{\mathcal{H}}$. Since the domain of the adjoint of \tilde{D} includes the dense subspace $X_1^{\text{D}(T)}$, \tilde{D} is closable. We define

$$D = \overline{\frac{1}{2}(g'(H)^{-1}T + Tg'(H)^{-1}) \Big|_{X_1^{\text{D}(T)}}}. \quad (1.13)$$

The main theorem is as follows.

Theorem 1.9 *Suppose Assumptions 1.3 and 1.4. Then $(g(H), D)$ obeys the weak Weyl relation.*

Example 1.10 *Examples of strong time operators are as follows:*

(1) *Let g be a polynomial. Then $Z = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} | g'(\lambda) = 0\}$ and a strong time operator associated with $g(H)$ is*

$$\frac{1}{2} \overline{(g'(H)^{-1}T + Tg'(H)^{-1})} \Big|_{X_1^{D(T)}}$$

(2) *Let $g(\lambda) = \log |\lambda|$. Then $Z = \{0\}$ and a strong time operator associated with $\log |H|$ is*

$$\frac{1}{2} \overline{(HT + TH)} \Big|_{X_1^{D(T)}}.$$

This strong time operator is derived in [AM08-a].

(3) *Let $(H, T) = (P, Q)$ and $g(\lambda) = \sqrt{\lambda^2 + m^2}$, $m \geq 0$. Then $Z = \begin{cases} \emptyset, & m > 0 \\ \{0\}, & m = 0 \end{cases}$.*

A strong time operator associated with $H(P) = \sqrt{P^2 + m^2}$ is

$$\frac{1}{2} \overline{(H(P)P^{-1}Q + QP^{-1}H(P))} \Big|_{D(X_1^{D(Q)})}.$$

$H(P)$ is a semi-relativistic Schrödinger operator.

(4) *(3) can be generalized to fractional Schrödinger operators. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Define $H_\alpha(P)$ by $H_\alpha(P) = (P^2 + m^2)^{\alpha/2}$. A strong time operator associated with $H_\alpha(P)$ is given by*

$$\frac{1}{2\alpha} \overline{((P^2 + m^2)P^{-1}H_\alpha(P)^{-1}Q + QH_\alpha(P)^{-1}P^{-1}(P^2 + m^2))} \Big|_{D(X_1^{D(Q)})}.$$

2 Proof of Theorem 1.9

In order to prove Theorem 1.9 we prepare two lemmas, where it is proven that the weak Weyl relation holds for the pair $(g(H), \tilde{D})$ but on $X_1^{D(T)}$.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $\Phi \in X_1^{D(T)}$. Then*

(1) $\Phi \in D(g'(H)^{-1})$ and $g'(H)^{-1}\Phi \in D(T)$;

(2) $g'(H)^{-1}e^{-itg(H)}\Phi \in D(T)$;

(3) $e^{-itg(H)}\Phi \in D(T)$ and $Te^{-itg(H)}\Phi \in D(g'(H)^{-1})$.

(4) $e^{-itg(H)}T\Phi \in D(g'(H)^{-1})$;

PROOF: Throughout the proof we set $\Phi = \rho(H)\phi \in X_1^{D(T)}$ with some $\rho \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R} \setminus Z)$ and $\phi \in D(T)$. Note that $g \in C^2(\mathbb{R} \setminus K)$.

(1) Since $\rho/g' \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R} \setminus Z)$, $g'(H)^{-1}\Phi = (g'(H)^{-1}\rho(H))\phi \in D(T)$ follows from Proposition 1.7.

(2) Since $e^{-itg}\rho/g' \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R} \setminus Z)$, $e^{-itg(H)}g'(H)^{-1}\Phi \in D(T)$ also follows from Proposition 1.7.

(3) Since $\xi = e^{-itg}\rho \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R} \setminus Z)$ and its derivative is bounded, $e^{-itg(H)}\Phi \in D(T)$ and

$$Te^{-itg(H)}\Phi = T\xi(H)\phi = \xi(H)T\phi + i\xi'(H)\phi$$

follows from Proposition 1.7. Here $\xi' = -itg'e^{-itg}\rho + e^{-itg}\rho' \in C_0(\mathbb{R} \setminus Z)$. From this we have $Te^{-itg(H)}\Phi \in D(g'(H)^{-1})$.

(4) Since $T\Phi = T\rho(H)\phi = i\rho'(H)\phi + \rho(H)T\phi$ and then

$$e^{-itg(H)}T\Phi = ie^{-itg(H)}\rho'(H)\phi + e^{-itg(H)}\rho(H)T\phi,$$

we have $e^{-itg(H)}T\Phi \in D(g'(H)^{-1})$.

qed

Lemma 2.2 Let $\Phi \in X_1^{D(T)}$. Then

$$\tilde{D}e^{-itg(H)}\Phi = e^{-itg(H)}(\tilde{D} + t)\Phi. \quad (2.1)$$

PROOF: Let $\Phi = \rho(H)\phi \in X_1^{D(T)}$ with some $\rho \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R} \setminus Z)$ and $\phi \in D(T)$. We divide the proof into three steps.

(Step 1) It holds that

$$Tg'(H)^{-1}e^{-itg(H)}\Phi = e^{-itg(H)}(Tg'(H)^{-1} + t)\Phi. \quad (2.2)$$

Proof: Let $\xi = e^{-itg}\rho \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R} \setminus Z)$. As was seen in the proof of (3) of Lemma 2.1, both ξ and ξ' are bounded and

$$Te^{-itg(H)}\Phi = T\xi(H)\phi = \xi(H)T\phi + i\xi'(H)\phi. \quad (2.3)$$

Here

$$\xi'(H)\phi = -itg'(H)e^{-itg(H)}\rho(H)\phi + e^{-itg(H)}\rho'(H)\phi. \quad (2.4)$$

Then (2.3) and (2.4) yield that

$$Te^{-itg(H)}\Phi = tg'(H)e^{-itg(H)}\rho(H)\phi + e^{-itg(H)}(\rho(H)T\phi + i\rho'(H)\phi). \quad (2.5)$$

Note that $T\Phi = T\rho(H)\phi = \rho(H)T\phi + i\rho'(H)\phi$. Then we have

$$Te^{-itg(H)}\Phi = e^{-itg(H)}(T + tg'(H))\Phi. \quad (2.6)$$

Since we have already shown in (1) and (2) of Lemma 2.1 that $\Phi \in D(g'(H)^{-1})$ and $g'(H)^{-1}\Phi \in D(e^{-itg(H)}T) \cap D(Te^{-itg(H)})$, we can substitute $g'(H)^{-1}\Phi$ for Φ in (2.6). Then (2.2) follows.

(Step2) It holds that

$$g'(H)^{-1}Te^{-itg(H)}\Phi = e^{-itg(H)}(g'(H)^{-1}T + t)\Phi. \quad (2.7)$$

Proof: Let $\Psi \in X_1^{\text{D}(T)}$. (2.2) implies that

$$(\Phi, Tg'(H)^{-1}e^{-itg(H)}\Psi - e^{-itg(H)}Tg'(H)^{-1}\Psi) = t(\Phi, e^{-itg(H)}\Psi). \quad (2.8)$$

By (3) and (4) of Lemma 2.1, we can take the adjoint of both sides of (2.8). Then (2.7) follows if we transform t to $-t$.

(Step3) Combining (2.2) and (2.7), we have (2.1). **qed**

Proof of Theorem 1.9:

Let $\Phi \in D(D)$. There exists $\Phi_n \in X_1^{\text{D}(D)}$ such that $\Phi_n \rightarrow \Phi$ and $D\Phi_n \rightarrow D\Phi$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ strongly. By Lemma 2.2, for each Φ_n , $De^{-itg(H)}\Phi_n = e^{-itg(H)}(D + t)\Phi_n$ holds. Since D is closed, the theorem follows by a limiting argument. **qed**

Acknowledgments: We thank A. Arai for helpful comments and careful reading of the first manuscript. We also thank unknown referee for useful comments.

References

- [Ara05] A. Arai, Generalized weak Weyl relation and decay of quantum dynamics, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **17** (2005), 1071–1109.
- [Ara08] A. Arai, On the uniqueness of weak Weyl representations of the canonical commutation relation, to be published in *Lett. Math. Phys.*
- [Ara08-b] A. Arai, Necessary and sufficient conditions for a Hamiltonian with discrete eigenvalues to have time operators, mp-arc 08-154, preprint 2008.
- [AM08-a] A. Arai and Y. Matsuzawa, Construction of a Weyl representation from a weak Weyl representation of the canonical commutation relation, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **83** (2008), 201-211.

- [AM08-b] A. Arai and Y. Matsuzawa, Time operators of a Hamiltonian with purely discrete spectrum, to be published in *Rev. Math. Phys.*
- [Gal02] E. A. Galapon, Self-adjoint time operator is the rule for discrete semi-bounded Hamiltonians, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **458** (2002), 2671–2689.
- [Gal04] E. A. Galapon, R. F. Caballar and R. T. Bahague Jr, Confined quantum time of arrivals, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93** (2004), 180406.
- [Dor84] G. Dorfmeister and J. Dorfmeister, Classification of certain pairs of operators (P, Q) satisfying $[P, Q] = -i\text{Id}$, *J. Funct. Anal.* **57** (1984), 301–328.
- [Fuj80] I. Fujiwara, Rational construction and physical signification of the quantum time operator, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **64** (1980), 18–27.
- [FWY80] I. Fujiwara, K. Wakita and H. Yoro, Explicit construction of time-energy uncertainty relationship in quantum mechanics, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **64** (1980), 363–379.
- [GYS81-1] T. Goto, K. Yamaguchi and N. Sudo, On the time operator in quantum mechanics, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **66** (1981), 1525–1538.
- [GYS81-2] T. Goto, K. Yamaguchi and N. Sudo, On the time operator in quantum mechanics. II, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **66** (1981), 1915–1925.
- [KA94] D. H. Kobe and V. C. Aguilera-Navarro, Derivation of the energy-time uncertainty relation. *Phys. Rev. A* **50** (1994), 933 - 938.
- [LLH96] H. R. Lewis, W. E. Laurence and J. D. Harris, Quantum action-angle variables for the harmonic oscillator, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **26** (1996), 5157-5159.
- [Miy01] M. Miyamoto, A generalised Weyl relation approach to the time operator and its connection to the survival probability, *J. Math. Phys.* **42** (2001), 1038–1052.
- [Ros69] D. M. Rosenbaum, Super Hilbert space and the quantum-mechanical time operators, *J. Math. Phys.* **19** (1969), 1127–1144.