

MODELING USUAL AND UNUSUAL ANISOTROPIC SPHERES

Stefano Viaggiu

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università "Tor Vergata",
Via della Ricerca Scientifica, 1
Rome, Italy 00133,
viaggiu@axp.mat.uniroma2.it

November 3, 2018

Abstract

In this paper, we study anisotropic spheres built from known static spherical solutions. In particular, we are interested in the physical consequences of a "small" departure from a physically sensible configuration. The obtained solutions smoothly depend on free parameters. By setting these parameters to zero, the starting seed solution is regained. We apply our procedure in detail by taking as seed solutions the Florides metrics, and the Tolman IV solution. We show that the chosen Tolman IV, and also Heint IIa Durg IV,V perfect fluid solutions, can be used to generate a class of parametric solutions where the anisotropic factor has features recalling boson stars. This is an indication that boson stars could emerge by "perturbing" appropriately a perfect fluid solution (at least for the seed metrics considered). Finally, starting with Tolman IV, Heint IIa and Durg IV,V solutions, we build anisotropic gravastar-like sources with the appropriate boundary conditions.

Keywords: Anisotropic spheres; Tolman solution; Florides solution; Boson stars; gravastars.

PACS Numbers.: 04.20.-q, 04.20.Jb, 04.40.Nr, 04.40.Dg

1 Introduction

In the real world, stars are not made up of perfect fluids. Despite this, it seems that perfect fluids are appropriate for describing ordinary astrophysical objects. However, since of the work of Bower and Liang [1], anisotropic

models have been attracting increasing interest [2]. Firstly, anisotropic fluids have been considered in studying objects with high redshift such as quasars [3]. Local anisotropies can arise from modeling very compact objects such as neutron stars. Further, anisotropies can lead to important modifications of the physical parameters of the fluid [4, 5, 6]. In particular, these can affect the critical mass and the stability of stars [7, 8] and also the redshift. In this context, particularly interesting is the case of boson stars [9, 14], where naturally anisotropies come in action. These are hypothetical stars, born of an idea of Kaup [15], constituting a bosonic particle solution of the Klein-Gordon equation coupled with general relativity. Bosons are described with a complex scalar field $\Phi(r, t)$,: $\Phi(r, t) = J(r)e^{-i\sigma t}$, with σ the frequency and (r, t) the usual coordinates in a static spherical space-time. The function $J(r)$ is a measure of the anisotropy of the star. These stars always have $(P_{\perp} - P_r) \leq 0$, with P_{\perp} and P_r respectively the tangential and the radial pressure, and $(P_{\perp} - P_r)$ vanishes at the centre $r = 0$ and near the approximate radius of the star (see [11] and references therein). From the considerations above, it is evident that there is a need to investigate anisotropies in general relativity. In particular, it is useful to build anisotropic metrics that differ from a given seed metric by free parameters. In the literature [1, 11, 16, 17], methods have been developed to build explicit anisotropic spheres. These methods require, before solving the Tolman equation [19], an ansatz regarding the expression of the anisotropy $(P_{\perp} - P_r)$. Generally, only solutions with constant density E or with $E \propto \frac{1}{r^2}$ are practically available [1, 11]. In other cases [16, 17], anisotropy factor is calculated by means of an integration involving the chosen seed perfect fluid configuration. Also in this way, only particular configurations are available. Recently [18], all the anisotropic static spherical solutions have been obtained via quadratures by specifying two generating functions .

Motivated by these facts, we derive a simple procedure for obtaining workable regular anisotropic metrics with the anisotropy factor depending on arbitrary regular functions satisfying some boundary conditions. We point out that this paper is not principally devoted to the generating method, but rather to the physical consequences of a departure from a physically sensible configuration. In particular, we are interested in possible phase transitions of the matter caused by a "small" departure from a given starting space-time. We show as a "dynamic" describing this departure can be introduced. We present our procedure in detail with two seed space-times: the Florides [20] class of solutions with vanishing radial pressure and a particular Tolman [21] perfect fluid solution. Further, we show that the technique can also be applied, at least, to other perfect fluid seed metrics than the Tolman IV

one, i.e. Heint IIa, Durg IV and Durg V. In section 2 we describe our simple procedure together with the regularity conditions on the metric coefficients. In section 3 the technique is applied to the Florides solutions and in section 4 to the Tolman IV solution and to the Heint IIa metric. In section 5, starting with the Tolman IV and Heint IIa metrics, we build a simple gravastar model. Gravastar models can also be built (at least) starting with Durg IV, V spacetimes. Section 6 presents some final remarks and conclusions.

2 General Facts

Our starting point is the line element appropriate for static spheres:

$$ds^2 = A(r)dr^2 + r^2[d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2] - B(r)dt^2. \quad (1)$$

The most general expression for the energy-momentum tensor T_{ab} is:

$$T_{ab} = E(r)V_aV_b + P_{\perp}(r)[W_aW_b + L_aL_b] + P_r(r)S_aS_b, \quad (2)$$

where $E(r)$ is the energy-density, $P_{\perp}(r)$ the tangential pressure and $P_r(r)$ the radial pressure, with (Einstein's equations are $G_{ab} = -T_{ab}$)

$$V_a = [0, 0, 0, -\sqrt{B(r)}], \quad (3)$$

$$W_a = [0, r, 0, 0],$$

$$L_a = [0, 0, r \sin \theta, 0],$$

$$S_a = [\sqrt{A(r)}, 0, 0, 0]. \quad (4)$$

In terms of $A(r), B(r)$, the Einstein's equations reduce to:

$$E = \frac{rA_{,r} + A^2 - A}{r^2A^2}, \quad (5)$$

$$P_r = \frac{1}{r^2A} \left[1 - A + r \frac{B_{,r}}{B} \right], \quad (6)$$

$$P_{\perp} = \frac{1}{4rA^2B^2}X(r), \quad (7)$$

$$X(r) = 2B_{,r}AB - 2A_{,r}B^2 + 2rB_{,r,r}AB - rA_{,r}B_{,r}B - rB_{,r}^2A,$$

$$P_{\perp} - P_r = S(r) = -\frac{1}{4r^2A^2B^2}\Gamma(r), \quad (8)$$

$$\Gamma(r) = 2rA_{,r}B^2 + 2rB_{,r}AB - 2r^2B_{,r,r}AB + r^2B_{,r}A_{,r}B - 4A^2B^2 + 4AB^2 + r^2AB_{,r}^2,$$

where subindices with comma denote partial derivative.

Now, suppose that $A(r), B(r)$ depend smoothly on certain parameters a_i, b_i respectively in such a way that, setting $a_i = b_i = 0$, we have

$$A(r) = A_0(r) \quad , \quad B(r) = B_0(r), \quad (9)$$

A_0, B_0 being given seed solutions.

Under the assumption that the seed solution is regular, satisfies all energy conditions and is smoothly matched to the vacuum Schwarzschild solution, we show that the solution $A(r), B(r)$ also is, provided that a_i, b_i is chosen appropriately and that, by inspection of (5)-(8), the following conditions are fulfilled:

$$\begin{aligned} A(r) &> 0 \quad \forall r \in [0, R] \quad , \quad A(R) = A_0(R), & (10) \\ A(0) &= 1 + \alpha r^n + o(1) \quad , \quad \alpha \in \mathbf{R} \quad , \quad n \geq 2, \\ B(r) &> 0 \quad \forall r \in [0, R] \quad , \quad B(R) = B_0(R) \quad , \quad B_{,r}(R) = B_{0,r}(R), \\ B_{,r}(0) &= \beta r^n + o(1) \quad , \quad \beta \in \mathbf{R} \quad , \quad n \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

R being the radius of the star. Further, if the seed metric is a perfect fluid solution or anisotropic matter with $P_{0\perp}(R) = 0$, then the generated solution will have $S(R) = 0$, provided that

$$A_{,r}(R) = A_{0,r}(R) \quad , \quad B_{,r,r}(R) = B_{0,r,r}(R). \quad (11)$$

Finally, all energy conditions and physical reasonability for usual ordinary matter require that

$$P_r \geq 0, P_\perp \geq 0, E \geq 0 \quad , \quad E - P_\perp \geq 0 \quad , \quad E - P_r \geq 0 \quad \forall r \in [0, R]. \quad (12)$$

For usual matter, all of the conditions (10)-(12) must follow. Conversely, we can build solutions with non-usual matter, by dropping some of the conditions (12). In the next two sections, we show that the procedure described above can effectively generate physically reasonable anisotropic fluids.

As seed metrics we take the Florides solution without radial pressure and with constant energy-density and the perfect fluid solutions called Tolman IV and Heint IIa.

3 Example 1: Florides seed solution

As a first example, we take as seed metric the Florides [20] class of solutions representing anisotropic static spheres with vanishing radial pressure. The

most interesting feature of these solutions is that the tangential pressure is sufficient to prevent the gravitational collapse of a dustlike distribution of matter. Further, these solutions describe the interior field of Einstein's clusters where the tangential pressure is generated by a gas of noncollisional particles moving on spheres. From (6) we see that these solutions have

$$A_0(r) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2m_0(r)}{r}} = 1 + r \frac{B_{0,r}}{B_0}, \quad (13)$$

$$m_0(r) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^r E_0(u) u^2 du, \quad m_0(R) = M,$$

where $m_0(r)$ is the gravitational mass inside a radius r . As a title of example, we consider the solution in [20] with constant energy-density given by

$$A_0(r) = \frac{3}{3 - r^2 E}, \quad E = \frac{6M}{R^3}, \quad P_{0\perp}(r) = \frac{E_0^2 r^2}{4[3 - r^2 E_0]},$$

$$P_{0r} = 0, \quad B_0(r) = \left(1 - \frac{R^2 E}{3}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{r^2 E}{3}\right)^{\frac{-1}{2}}. \quad (14)$$

We take

$$A = A_0, \quad B = B_0 e^{H(r)}, \quad E = E_0. \quad (15)$$

The choice for $B(r)$ in (15) is not restrictive and permits simpler computations. With (15), for P_r we read

$$P_r = \frac{H_{,r}}{rA} = \frac{H_{,r}}{3r} (3 - r^2 E). \quad (16)$$

The expression (16) implies that, in order to obtain physically reasonable solutions, the function $H(r)$, adjoining (10)-(12), must be monotonically increasing.

As an example, we choose

$$H = a \left(\frac{r^2}{R^2} - 1 \right)^3, \quad a > 0. \quad (17)$$

With (17) we get

$$P_r = \frac{2a}{R^6} (3 - Er^2) (r^2 - R^2)^2. \quad (18)$$

In this way, P_r is regular, positive, vanishing at the boundary $r = R$ and monotonically decreasing. Therefore, energy conditions impose $E - P_r \geq 0$, i.e.

$$a \leq \frac{M}{R} = \frac{1}{k}. \quad (19)$$

Regarding P_{\perp} , we have

$$P_{\perp} = P_{0\perp} + \frac{6a}{R^9} (R^2 - r^2) (R^2 - 3r^2) (R^3 - 2r^2M) + \frac{9a^2r^2}{R^{15}} (R^2 - r^2)^4 (R^3 - 2r^2M). \quad (20)$$

The first condition to be imposed for (20) is that $P_{\perp} \geq 0$. Since the third term on the right hand side of (20) is positive inside the star, the positivity of P_{\perp} can be obtained by requiring that the minimum of the two first terms in the right hand side of (20) be positive. With a simple algebra, we get

$$a \leq \frac{-243(3k^3 + 8k^2 - \alpha)k^6}{(6k^3 - 8k^2 + \alpha)^2(3k^3 + 2k^2 - \alpha)(3k^3 - 10k^2 - \alpha)} = a^*,$$

$$\alpha = k^2\sqrt{9k^2 - 24k + 28}. \quad (21)$$

The inequality (21) contains (19). It is interesting to note that expression (21) is monotonically decreasing in k for $k > 4$, with $a \rightarrow 0$ for $k \rightarrow \infty$. This indicates that anisotropy corrections to the seed metric considered are relevant for compact objects.

Regarding the behaviour of (20), for $a \lesssim \frac{a^*}{10}$, P_{\perp} is monotonically increasing (remember that $P_{0\perp}$ is monotonically increasing). Further, for $a \gtrsim \frac{a^*}{10}$, P_{\perp} is monotonically decreasing up to a certain value r^* where there is an absolute minimum and is monotonically increasing for $r > r^*$.

Thus, for $a \gtrsim \frac{a^*}{10}$, the term $H(r)$ drastically changes the behaviour of P_{\perp} . The condition $E - P_{\perp} \geq 0$ is satisfied in the range (21). For the anisotropic factor $S(r)$ (see (8)) we get

$$S(r) = \frac{3r^2M^2}{R^3(R^3 - 2r^2M)} + \frac{12ar^2}{R^9} (R^2 - r^2) (-R^3 + 2r^2M) + \frac{9r^2a^2}{R^{15}} (R^2 - r^2)^4 (R^3 - 2r^2M). \quad (22)$$

For $a \lesssim \frac{a^*}{10}$, expression (22) is positive and monotonically increasing inside the star. Conversely, when $a \gtrsim \frac{a^*}{10}$, we have always $S(0) = 0$ but $S(r)$ is now negative up to a certain radius r' where $S(r') = 0$. For $r \in (r', R]$, $S(r)$ becomes positive and monotonically increasing. Therefore, the physics of the system changes for a sufficiently large. Note that with a negative value for $S(r)$ we have a "soft" core, while for $S(r)$ positive we have a "hard" core for the star. Further, a negative value for $S(r)$, vanishing at the centre $r = 0$, is typical of boson stars (see [11]). For such stars $S(r) = -J_{,r}^2/A(r)$, where

$\Phi(r, t) = J(r)e^{-i\sigma t}$ is a complex scalar field satisfying the Klein-Gordon equation and σ is the frequency. Obviously, since Klein-Gordon equation must be satisfied for boson stars, we do not claim that the solution found is an exact solution for such stars, but only that solutions with a behavior for $S(r)$ related to that of boson stars can be obtained in our context. Physically, the parameter a induces a phase transition for the matter inside the star. In fact, when $a \gtrsim \frac{a^*}{10}$, there is a region filled with usual matter, i.e. for $r \in (r', R]$, but the region $r \in [0, r']$ is filled with unusual matter with $S(r) \leq 0$. Remember that $S(r)/r$ is a measure of the force exerted by the pressure. In the next section we show that a "dynamic" can be introduced by means of a time dependence of the parameter a . For the possibility of existence of mixed boson-fermion stars, see [22] and references therein. Although we have analyzed only a particular class of generating functions $H(r)$, the reasonings above hold for more general choices, provided that $H(r)$ is monotonically increasing, regular and satisfies all the appropriate conditions. For example, we can take $H(r) = a(r^2/R^2 - 1)^{3+2n}$, with $a > 0$ and n a positive integer. The physical features remain the ones depicted above, with an expression for a^* similar to (21) with $k = \frac{3M}{R(3+3n)}$.

4 Example 2: Tolman seed solution

As a further example, we take the well know Tolman IV perfect fluid solution [23] derived in [21]:

$$\begin{aligned}
A_0(r) &= \frac{R^3(R^3 - 3R^2M + 2r^2M)}{(R^3 - 3R^2M + r^2M)(R^3 - r^2M)}, \\
B_0(r) &= 1 - \frac{3M}{R} + \frac{Mr^2}{R^3}, \\
E_0 &= \frac{3M(2R^6 - 9R^5M - 7R^2M^2r^2 + 2r^4M^2 + 3R^3r^2M + 9R^4M^2)}{R^3(R^3 - 3R^2M + 2r^2M)^2}, \\
P_0 &= \frac{3M^2(R^2 - r^2)}{R^3(R^3 - 3R^2M + 2r^2M)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Regularity and energy conditions follow for (23), provided that $R > 3M$. Note that, since E_0 is monotonically decreasing, we can express r^2 in terms

of E_0 . After some little algebra, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} r^2 &= F(E_0), \\ F(E_0) &= \frac{12M^2R^5E_0 + 9R^3M^2 - 4E_0R^6M - 21R^2M^3 + R^2\beta}{2(4E_0R^3M^2 - 6M^3)}, \\ \beta &= \sqrt{3M^3(R - M)(69M^2 - 48ME_0R^3 - 21RM + 16E_0R^4)}. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Putting $F(E_0)$ in the expression for P_0 , we get $P_0 = P_0(E_0)$. First of all, we examine the solution $A = A_0, B = B_0e^{H(r)}$. It is interesting to note that the related expression for P_r reads

$$P_r = P_0 + \frac{H_{,r}}{Ar}. \quad (25)$$

Therefore, starting with a know perfect fluid metric and by setting $H_{,r} = -ArP_0$, we obtain solutions with vanishing radial pressure. As an initial input, we again take $H = a(r^2/R^2 - 1)^3$, $a \geq 0$. With this choice, we get

$$\begin{aligned} P_r &= P_0(r) + \frac{6a}{QR^9}(R^2 - r^2)^2 (R^3 - r^2M) (R^3 - 3R^2M + r^2M), \\ Q &= R^3 - 3R^2M + 2r^2M. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

For $R > 3M$ and $\forall a \geq 0$, P_r is monotonically decreasing. Thus $E - P_r \geq 0$ for

$$a \leq \frac{(2RM + 13\frac{M^3}{R} - 11M^2)}{2(R^2 + 3M^2 - 4RM)}. \quad (27)$$

For P_{\perp} we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\perp} &= P_0 + \frac{3\gamma(r)a}{R^9} \frac{(R^2 - r^2)}{Q^2} + \\ &\frac{9r^2a^2}{R^{15}Q}(R^2 - r^2)^4 (R^3 - r^2M) (Mr^2 - 3R^2M + R^3), \\ \gamma(r) &= 40R^8r^2M - 12MR^{10} + 18r^8M^3 - 6R^9r^2 + 93R^4r^4m^3 + \\ &18M^2R^9 + 6R^3M^2r^6 + 13R^5r^4M^2 - 76R^2M^3r^6 - 57R^7M^2r^2 + \\ &2R^{11} - 12R^6Mr^4 - 27M^3R^6r^2. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

By inspection of (28), we see that γ is monotonically decreasing and gets its minimum (negative) value at $r = R$. Further, the third term on the right

hand side of (28) is always positive inside the star. As a result, the values of a for which $P_{\perp} \geq 0$ are

$$a \leq \frac{M^2 R^6 (R^3 - 3R^2 M)}{|\gamma(R)|} = \frac{(k-3)}{4(k-2)(k-1)^2} = a^*. \quad (29)$$

The condition (29) contains the condition (27). The expression (29) is a monotonically decreasing function of $k = R/M$ for $k > \frac{11+\sqrt{17}}{4}$. As we shall see later, stability requires $k \gtrsim 4.661$. Consequently, according to [2], the role of anisotropies becomes more relevant for stable compact objects. Further, $E - P_{\perp} \geq 0$ and P_{\perp} is monotonically decreasing in the range (29). For $S(r)$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\perp} - P_r = S(r) &= \frac{3a}{Q^2 R^9} r^2 \Delta (R^2 - r^2) + \quad (30) \\ &\frac{9r^2 a^2}{R^{15} Q} (R^2 - r^2)^4 (R^3 - 3R^2 M + Mr^2) (R^3 - Mr^2) \\ \Delta &= -4R^9 + 4r^4 M^2 R^3 + 57r^2 M^3 R^4 + 14M^3 r^6 - 8r^2 M R^6 + \\ &24MR^8 - 54r^4 M^3 R^2 + 9r^2 M^2 R^5 - 33M^2 R^7 - 9M^3 R^6. \end{aligned}$$

By a Taylor expansion of (30) near $r = 0$ we get

$$S(r) = \frac{3ar^2[3Ra(R-3M) - 4R^2 + 3M^2]}{R^5(R-3M)} + o(r^3). \quad (31)$$

From (31) we see that $S(r) \geq 0$ near $r = 0$ for $a > \frac{(4k^2-3)}{3k(k-3)}$. Thus, thanks to (29), we have $S(r) \leq 0, \forall r \in [0, R]$ with $S(0) = S(R) = 0$. Since $S(r)$ is negative, the star has a "soft" core. The behaviour above for $S(r)$ is typical of the (hypothetical!) boson stars [9, 14]. To best represent such objects we could require that (remember that a boson star has no well-defined radius [11]) $S(r)$ vanishes exponentially outside the star reading a maximum negative value near $r = R$. This can be obtained, for example, by dropping condition (11) and by posing

$$H(r) = -a(r^2/R^2 - 1)^2 e^{-\frac{r^n}{R^n}}, \quad n \geq 2, \quad a > 0. \quad (32)$$

However, the behaviour of P_r, P_{\perp} is very similar to the one depicted above. Concerning the equation of state of our generated solution (26)-(28), thanks to (24), we have $P_r = P_r(E), P_{\perp} = P_{\perp}(E)$, with $P_{r,E} \in [0, 1], P_{\perp,E} \in [0, 1]$, where

$$E \in \left[\frac{6M(R-2M)}{R^3(R-M)}, \frac{3M(2R-3M)}{R^3(R-3M)} \right], \quad (33)$$

since E is monotonically decreasing.

For example, for $k = 10$, $a = a^*$, $(P_{r,E})_{max} \simeq 0.33$. Therefore, the sound velocity of the fluid remains always less than 1. For the seed solution, $(P_{0,E})_{max} \simeq 0.24$. However, note that for Tolman IV solution, $P_{0,E}$ is a monotonically decreasing function of E . Conversely, with respect to the generated solution, $P_{r,E}$ is a monotonically decreasing function of E for (approximately) $a \in [0, \frac{a^*}{2})$, while is a monotonically increasing function for $a \in [\frac{a^*}{2}, a^*]$. Note that, since E is a monotonically decreasing function of r , we expect, on general grounds, that the sound velocity decreases for increasing r . This desirable behaviour is obtained in our solution in the regime where anisotropies are most relevant. Therefore, for $a \in [\frac{a^*}{2}, a^*]$ our generated solutions satisfy all the fundamental features of physics requested in the literature.

For the redshift we have

$$z = \frac{e^{-\frac{H}{2}}}{\sqrt{B_0}} - 1. \quad (34)$$

With the starting choice for H and for small a , we read

$$z = z_0 + \frac{a}{2\sqrt{B_0}} \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{R^2}\right)^3 + o(a). \quad (35)$$

The maximum redshift for our solution is attained at the centre:

$$z_{max} = \frac{1 + \frac{a}{2}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{3M}{R}}} - 1 + o(a). \quad (36)$$

If we impose the maximum redshift possible for stable boson stars [14], i.e. $z_{max} \simeq 0.687$, for the critical value k_{crit} we get

$$k \geq k_{crit}, \quad k_{crit} \simeq \frac{3}{1 - 0.351(1 + a/2)^2}. \quad (37)$$

From the reasonings below equation (29), the maximum value of a^* is attained at $k = \frac{11 + \sqrt{17}}{4}$. By putting this value in (37), we obtain the estimation $k_{crit} \simeq 4.661$, and thus $k > k_{crit}$ for stability. Note that the redshift is bigger than that of the seed Tolman IV solution.

By taking $B(r) \rightarrow B(r)T(t)$, the field equations do not involve derivatives with respect to the time coordinate. As a result, if we take $a \rightarrow a(t)$, all the expressions given above remain unchanged. Consequently, we can add "dynamic" to our generated solutions by choosing a generic time dependence

for a , provided that $a(t) \leq a^*$. For example, we can take

$$a(t) = \frac{a^* t^2}{\tau^2} \left[e^{\frac{\tau^2}{\tau^2 + t^2}} - 1 \right], \quad (38)$$

τ being a constant. Physically, the term $a(t)$ could be interpreted as the "ignition" of some scalar field, represented by the function $H(r, t)$, at $t = 0$. This scalar field can generate a phase transition ending with the transformation of the starting "Tolman" star in a boson-like star. The considerations above suggest the possibility that boson stars can form by a suitable "perturbation" of a perfect fluid (at least for the seed metric considered). For a review for this problem see [24, 25]. Finally, also for the solution depicted in section 3, we can introduce a time dependence for a given by (38) with a^* given by (21).

We studied the physical properties of the generated solution in terms of the generating functions $H(r)$ satisfying conditions (10)-(12). The results obtained cannot be summarized as a theorem, but, almost, only necessary conditions can be done. For example, from a lot of functions $H(r)$ considered it seems that, in order to have $S(r) \leq 0$, $H(r)$ must be monotonically increasing. Conversely, in order to have $S(r) \geq 0$, $H(r)$ has to be chosen monotonically decreasing.

For example, for $H(r) = a(r^2/R^2 - 1)^{2n+3}$, $H(r) = -a(r^2/R^2 - 1)^{2n+4}$, $a > 0$, where n is a positive integer, we have $S(r) \leq 0$ inside the star, while the same expression with a negative leads to $S(r) \geq 0$. But monotony is a necessary but not sufficient condition. For example, for $H(r) = a(r^6/R^6 - 1)^{2n+3}$, $S(r)$ changes sign inside the star. Generally, if $H(r)$ is not monotonic inside the star, $S(r)$ changes sign. For example, if $H = ar^2/R^2(r^2/R^2 - 1)^{2n+3}$, $a > 0$, then $S(r) \geq 0$ up to a certain $r = r^*$ ("hard" core), while $S(r) \leq 0$ ("soft" core) for $r \in (r^*, R]$ and $S(0) = S(r^*) = S(R) = 0$. Conversely, if $H = -ar^2/R^2(r^2/R^2 - 1)^{2n+3}$, $a > 0$, then $S(r) \leq 0$ up to a certain $r = r^*$, while $S(r) \geq 0$ for $r \in (r^*, R]$ and $S(0) = S(r^*) = S(R) = 0$.

We now study the generated solution with $B = B_0$, $A = A_0 e^G$ (without loss of generality). For example, we could take $G = br^2/R^2(r^2/R^2 - 1)^4$, $b > 0$. Also in this case, we can find a limiting value for b^* such that for $b \leq b^*$ the generated solutions satisfy all the conditions (10)-(12) with $E(r), P_r$ monotonically decreasing. Further, for $b \lesssim \frac{b^*}{2}$, P_\perp is also monotonically decreasing. For example, if $k = 10$, we found $b^* \simeq \frac{1}{70}$. Further, for all the values allowed for b , $S(r) \geq 0$ and $S(0) = S(R) = 0$. If we put, in the example above, $b \rightarrow -b$ with $b > 0$, then we read $S(r) \leq 0$ and $S(0) = S(R) = 0$ with the same range of variability for b .

Furthermore, we have studied the generated solutions by considering a lot of $G(r)$ satisfying conditions (10)-(12). Also in this case, necessary and sufficient conditions cannot be imposed. However, it seems that a necessary condition to have $S(r) \leq 0$ is that $G(r) \leq 0$, while a necessary condition to have $S(r) \geq 0$ is that $G(r) \geq 0$.

To conclude our study, we consider $A = A_0 e^G, B = B_0 e^H$.

The results obtained are:

- If $H(r)$ is such that $S(r) \leq 0$ for $G(r) = 0$ and $G(r)$ is such that $S(r) \geq 0$ for $H(r) = 0$, then for $a \simeq a^*$ and $b \lesssim b^*/n$ for a certain $n > 1$ depending on the explicit expression chosen for (H, G) , $H(r)$ dominates and $S(r) \leq 0 \forall r \in [0, R]$ and $S(R) = S(0) = 0$. Conversely, if $a \lesssim a^*/n$ and $b \simeq b^*$, then $G(r)$ dominates and therefore $S(r) \geq 0 \forall r \in [0, R]$.
- If $H(r)$ is such that $S(r) \geq 0$ for $G(r) = 0$ and $G(r)$ is such that $S(r) \leq 0$ for $H(r) = 0$, then for $a \simeq a^*$, $b \lesssim b^*/n$, $H(r)$ dominates and $S(r) \geq 0 \forall r \in [0, R]$. Conversely, if $a \lesssim a^*/n$ and $b \simeq b^*$, then $G(r)$ dominates and $S(r) \leq 0 \forall r \in [0, R]$.
- If $H(r)$ is such that $S(r) \geq 0$ for $G(r) = 0$ and $G(r)$ is such that $S(r) \geq 0$ for $H(r) = 0$, then $S(r) \geq 0 \forall r \in [0, R]$.
- If $H(r)$ is such that $S(r) \leq 0$ for $G(r) = 0$ and $G(r)$ is such that $S(r) \leq 0$ for $H(r) = 0$, then $S(r) \leq 0 \forall r \in [0, R]$.
- In all the other cases, $S(r)$ changes sign inside the star.

Obviously, the values of a^*, b^* depend on the chosen generating functions (H, G) , but generally we get expressions similar to (29).

From the discussion above, it follows that, in particular, configurations with $S(r) \leq 0$ can be generated also by varying the energy density of the seed perfect fluid solution.

The results obtained with Tolman IV, in relation to the possibility of building interior solutions with $S \leq 0$, do not represent a "lucky" case. The same "phenomenon" can be easily obtained with other perfect fluid seed metrics. As a further example, we can take the seed Heint IIa metric (see [23]). The metric functions are ($R > 3M$):

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_0 &= K(1 + ar^2)^3, \quad A_0 = \left[1 - \frac{3ar^2}{2} \left(\frac{1 + C(1 + 4ar^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{1 + ar^2} \right) \right]^{-1}, \\
 a &= \frac{M}{R^2(3R - 7M)}, \quad K = \frac{(3R - 7M)^3}{27R(R - 2M)^2}, \\
 C &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{R}(3R - 8M) \sqrt{\frac{R - M}{3R - 7M}}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

With the metric (39), we have $S(r) \leq 0 \forall r \in [0, R]$ with $H(r)$ given by (17) (with $a > 0$) and $S(0) = S(R) = 0$, provided that:

$$a < \frac{24k^2 - 65k + \sqrt{\frac{3(k-1)}{3k-7}}(9k - 24)}{6k(3k - 7)}. \quad (40)$$

By using the less restrictive condition (29), it follows that, for $a > 0$, in adjoint, our generated solutions satisfy all energy conditions and $\forall r \in [0, R]$ are regular and $E(r), P_r(r), P_\perp(r)$ are positive and monotonically decreasing. Thus the seed metric Heint IIa can also be used to build models recalling boson stars. Further, the procedure above for building boson-like stars can be successfully applied to Durg IV and Durg V seed metrics with $H(r)$ given, for example, by (17). (see[23]).

5 Example three: Building gravastars solutions

Gravastars (see [26, 27]) represent an alternative to black holes. These are described in terms of an interior with the equation of state $E = -P$ (de Sitter) matched with a thin shell with $E = P$. In [28] a more generalized class of gravastars is discussed for which no thin shell is needed. The matter is composed by an anisotropic fluid with a continuous expression for P_r with the following boundary conditions (see [28]):

- 1) $\forall r \in [0, R], E \geq 0$
- 2) $E(0) = -P_r(0) = -P_\perp(0)$ (only at the centre), $P_{r,r}(0) = 0$.
- 3) For $r^* \simeq 2M$, there exists a positive maximum for P_r . For $r \in [r^*, R]$, P_r is monotonically decreasing and the stars is filled with "ordinary" matter. For $r \in [0, r^*)$, P_r is monotonically increasing. Further, $S \geq 0$.
- 4) There exist exactly two points at which $P_r = 0$.

All these conditions can be easily fulfilled. As an example, we consider again the Tolman IV solution together with

$$A = A_0, \quad H(r) = a \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{b^2}\right)^3, \quad a > 0, \quad b \in [0, R]. \quad (41)$$

In this way, the solution for $r \in [0, b)$ is composed of anisotropic matter, while for $r \in [b, R]$ the matter content is that given by Tolman IV solution (23) and the two metrics are smoothly joined at $r = b$. Condition 1) is obviously satisfied. Conditions 2) follow by setting:

$$a = \frac{Mb^2(R - M)}{R^3(R - 3M)}. \quad (42)$$

Regarding conditions 3), we must choose $b \simeq 2M$. It follows that $S \geq 0$ and $S(0) = S(b) = 0$. Finally, the behaviour for P_r is the one required by the conditions 3),4). For example, by setting $b = 2M, R = 10M$, we found $r^* = 1.9986M < b$. The graph of P_\perp is similar to the one for P_r and for P_r and P_\perp follow expressions similar to those given by (26), (28). Obviously, at $r = R$ our solution is smoothly matched to the vacuum Schwarzschild solution. With the simple procedure described in this section, specific workable models for gravastars can be built simply by setting an appropriate perfect fluid solution in the region $r \in [b, R]$.

We can use other seed metrics than Tolman IV. As a further example, we can use Heint IIa metric given by (39). For this seed metric, it is easy to see that all the conditions 1 – 4 can be fulfilled with (41), provided that, instead of (42), we take:

$$a = \frac{Mb^2 \left[3R + \sqrt{\frac{3(R-M)}{3R-7M}} (3R - 8M) \right]}{2R^3(3R - 7M)}, \quad (43)$$

with $b \simeq 2M$. We do not enter in the details, but only mention the fact that the procedure above to build gravastar-like models can also be obtained, for example, with the Durg IV and Durg V seed metrics, with respectively

$$a = \frac{4Mb^2}{21R^3(4R - 9M)^2} \left[q \sqrt{\frac{4(R - M)}{4R - 9M}} + 52R^2 - 117MR \right], \quad (44)$$

$$a = \frac{5Mb^2}{336R^3(5R - 11M)^3} \left[s \left(\frac{5(R - M)}{5R - 11M} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} + 29161M^2R - 26510MR^2 + 6025R^3 \right],$$

$$q = 224M^2 - 172MR + 32R^2,$$

$$s = 2375R^3 + 52425M^2R - 44800M^3 - 19800MR^2.$$

With the relations (44), also for Durg IV, V all the conditions 1 – 4 can be satisfied together with $b \simeq 2M$.

6 Conclusions

Motivated by the increasing interest in anisotropic fluids, we presented a simple procedure for building physically reasonable spacetimes with local anisotropies starting from a known regular physically sensible seed metric. The principal aim of this paper has been the construction of a simple algorithm for exploring the physical consequence derived by a departure from

a given configuration by means of given parameters. We studied in detail two physically interesting cases by taking as seed spacetimes the Florides solution without radial pressure and with constant energy-density and the Tolman IV perfect fluid solution. In particular, we showed that spacetimes with a behaviour of the anisotropy factor $S(r)$ closely related to that of boson stars can be generated starting from a suitable perfect fluid solution (at least with the seed solutions considered!). A time dependence can be introduced in the $B(r)$ metric coefficient simply by taking $a \rightarrow a(t)$. The same is not possible for $A(r)$ because, in this case, $G_{rt} \neq 0$ and a heat flow term appears. Physically, the term $a(t)$ could be interpreted as the "ignition" at $t = 0$ of some scalar field represented by the term $H(r)$ in the metric component g_{tt} . Further, starting with an appropriate seed perfect fluid metric, also a gravastar-like model can be built with the desired boundary conditions. In particular, we have obtained gravastar-like models and boson-like stars by using the following seed metrics: Tolman IV, Heint IIa, Durg IV, Durg V.

Finally, we point out that the technique used in this paper can also be used by taking a generic starting metric representing a regular physically reasonable perfect fluid with an oculte choice for the functions $H(r), G(r)$.

References

- [1] R. Bower and E.P.T. Liang, *Astrophys. J.* **188**, 657 (1967).
- [2] L. Herrera and N.O. Santos, *Phys. Rep.* **286**, 43 (1997).
- [3] M.C. Durgapal, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **8**, 1697 (1975).
- [4] L. Herrera, G.J. Ruggeri and L.Witten, *Astrophys. J.* **234**, 1094 (1979).
- [5] M. Cosenza, L. Herrera, M. Esculpi and L. Witten, *J. Math. Phys.* **22**, 118 (1981).
- [6] B.W. Stewart, *J. Phys. A: Math and Gen.* **15**, 2419 (1982).
- [7] K. Dev and M. Gleiser, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **35**, 1435 (2003).
- [8] W. Hillebrondt and K.O. Steinnetz, *Astro. Astrophys.* **53**, 283 (1976).
- [9] A.R. Liddle and M.S. Mardsen, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **1**, 101 (1992).
- [10] P. Jetzen, *Phys. Rep.* **220**, 163 (1982).

- [11] K. Dev and M. Gleiser, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **34**, 1793 (2002).
- [12] M.K. Mak and T. Harko, *Chin. J. Astro. Astrophys.* **2**, 248 (2002).
- [13] M.K. Mak and T. Harko, *J. Math. Phys.* **41**, 4752 (2000).
- [14] E.S. Franz and A.R. Liddle, *Phys. Lett. B* **404**, 25 (1997).
- [15] D.J. Kaup, *Phys. Rev.* **172**, 1331 (1968).
- [16] S.D. Maharaj and S. Maartens, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **21**, 899 (1989).
- [17] M. Chaisi and S.D. Maharaj, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **37**, 1177 (2005).
- [18] L. Herrera , J. Ospino and Di Prisco, *Phys Rev. D***77**, 027502 (2008).
- [19] R.C. Tolman, *Phys. Rev.* **35**, 875 (1930).
- [20] P.S. Florides, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond A* **337**, 529 (1974).
- [21] R.C. Tolman, *Phys. Rev.* **55**, 364 (1939).
- [22] A.B. Henriques , A.R.Liddle and R.G.Moorhouse, *Phys. Lett. B* **233**, 99 (1989).
- [23] M.S.R. Delgaty and K. Lake, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **115**, 395 (1998).
- [24] M. Bianchi, D. Grasso and R. Ruffini, *Astron. Astrophys.* **231**, 301 (1990).
- [25] D. Grasso, *Phys. Rev. D* **41**, 2998 (1990).
- [26] P.O. Mazur and E. Mottola, *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* **111**, 9545 (2004).
- [27] S.N.F. Lobo, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **23**, 1525 (2006).
- [28] C. Cattoen, T. Faber and M. Visser, *Class Quantum Grav.* **22**, 4189 (2005).