A two-bath model for surface diffusion of interacting adsorbates

R. Martínez-Casado,^{1,*} G. Rojas-Lorenzo,^{1,2,†} A.S. Sanz,^{1,‡} and S. Miret-Artés^{1,§}

¹Instituto de Física Fundamental, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Serrano 123, 28006 Madrid, Spain

²Instituto Superior de Tecnologías y Ciencias Aplicadas,

Ave. Salvador Allende y Luaces, Quinta de Los Molinos, Plaza, La Habana 10600, Cuba

(Dated: May 29, 2019)

Here, a two-bath model, similar to the Caldeira-Legget Hamiltonian, is proposed to study surface diffusion, either activated or by tunneling, with interacting adsorbates. The introduction of two independent, uncorrelated noise sources into the stochastic dynamics leads to a renormalization of the frequencies associated with barriers and wells on corrugated surfaces.

PACS numbers: 05.10.Gg, 05.40.-a, 68.43.-h

Quasielastic helium atom scattering^{1,2} and ³He spinecho³ are well established experimental techniques to study fast diffusion processes. The diffuse elastic intensity of the He atoms scattered at large angles away from the specular direction provides very detailed information on the mobility of adsorbates on surfaces. Based on the transition matrix formalism, Manson and Celli⁴ proposed a quantum diffuse inelastic theory for small coverages of adsorbates on the surface in order to ignore multiple scattering effects. The dynamical structure factor, the observable in this theory, is then obtained by assuming all the crystal vibrational modes and point-like scattering centers satisfying the harmonic approximation with a given frequency distribution function.

Alternatively, surface diffusion can also be tackled through the Langevin formalism. One of the most popular and ubiquitous phenomenological equations for systems interacting with environments is the generalized Langevin equation (GLE),

$$\ddot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = -\int_0^t \mathbf{K}(t-t')\,\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t')\,dt' + \mathbf{F}[\mathbf{x}(t)] + \delta\mathbf{R}(t).$$
 (1)

This is a stochastic integro-differential equation with additive fluctuations and linear dissipation [the fluctuating force $\delta \mathbf{R}(t)$ and the dissipative kernel $\mathbf{K}(t - t')$ do not depend on the adsorbate position, **x**]. The fluctuating force is generally taken to be a zero-centered Gaussian, completely specified by its autocorrelation function, $\mathbf{C}(t - \tau)$, which is assumed to be stationary. Because of the fluctuation-dissipation theorem, which assures that the system reaches an equilibrium (steady state) asymptotically in time, $\mathbf{C}(t - \tau) = k_B T \mathbf{K}(t - \tau)$ (k_B denotes the Boltzmann constant and T the surface temperature), which is independent of the details of the interaction between the system and the surrounding heat bath.

Instead of deriving the GLE phenomenologically, it can be derived from a microscopic Hamiltonian model,^{5,6} with a bath formed by a collection of harmonic oscillators. The corresponding formalism has been used in the study of quantum tunneling by dissipative systems,^{5,7,8} the Kramers' turnover problem,^{9,10} and vibrational dephasing rates.¹¹ More importantly, this establishes a direct link between the Langevin formalism in surface diffusion and more exact, rigorous approaches, like the one introduced by Manson and Celli.⁴ Now, since Eq. (1)is so general, we can also assume there are two independent, uncorrelated baths and, therefore, two independent fluctuating forces. The total kernel then consists of the sum of the kernels associated with each fluctuating force. Considering an Ohmic friction for each bath (i.e., both kernels are given by delta functions in time), this assumption has been applied recently to the diffusion of Na interacting adsorbates on a Cu(001) surface in the so-called interacting single adsorbate (ISA) model, 12,13,14 which describes fairly well the experimental data. Here we provide a microscopic Hamiltonian framework for the ISA model, where the two baths correspond to two independent collections of harmonic oscillators, one associated with the surface atoms and the other one with the (interacting) adsorbates.

Within the ISA context, the GLE transforms into two coupled standard Langevin equations,

$$\ddot{\mathbf{x}} = -\eta \dot{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) + \delta \mathbf{R},\tag{2}$$

where \mathbf{x} describes the position of a single adsorbate subjected to a deterministic force, $\mathbf{F} = -\nabla V$ (V is the periodic adsorbate-surface interaction potential), and two baths: the substrate and the remaining adsorbates. These baths are simulated by two noncorrelated noises: a Gaussian white noise (G), accounting for the lattice vibrational effects that the surface temperature induces on the adsorbate, and a white shot noise (S), which simulates the adsorbate-adsorbate collisions. Thus, for each degree of freedom, $\delta R(t) = \delta R_G(t) + \delta R_S(t)$, where $\delta R = R - \langle R \rangle$ is the noise fluctuation. The Gaussian white noise satisfies $\langle R_G(t) \rangle = 0$ and $\langle R_G(t) R_G(t') \rangle =$ $2m\gamma k_B T \delta(t'-t)$, where m is the adsorbate mass and γ is the (constant) friction coefficient measuring the adsorbate-phonon coupling strength. On the other hand, the shot noise is given¹⁵ by a sum of pulses mimicking the collision impacts. When these collisions are assumed to be sudden (strong but elastic), with post-collision effects relaxing exponentially at a constant rate much larger than the average number of collision per time unit or collisional friction, λ , the memory function or kernel associated with the shot noise in (1) becomes local in time

and $\delta R_S(t)$ will display features of a *white* shot noise. Under this Markovian approximation, $K(t-t') \simeq \eta \, \delta(t-t')$, where the total (Ohmic) friction is $\eta = \gamma + \lambda$. A simple relationship between the collisional friction, λ , and the coverage, θ , at a temperature T can be found.¹⁵

Based on Kramers' theory, a quantum and classical theory of surface diffusion at very low coverages has been developed in recent years.^{16,17} The GLE can be split up into two coupled equations, one for each degree of freedom. The corresponding total Hamiltonian is written in terms of only one bath and is equivalent to the coupled Langevin equations, (2). For a two-bath model (and a given coverage), we take one adsorbate as the tagged particle or system, while the remaining ones constitute the second bath. In this way, the corresponding total Hamiltonian reads as

$$H = \frac{p_x^2}{2m} + \frac{p_y^2}{2m} + V(x, y) + \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\frac{p_{x_i}^2}{2m_i} + \frac{m_i}{2} \left(\omega_i x_i - \frac{c_i}{m_i \omega_i} x \right)^2 \right] + \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\frac{p_{y_i}^2}{2m_i} + \frac{m_i}{2} \left(\omega_i y_i - \frac{c_i}{m_i \omega_i} y \right)^2 \right] + \sum_{j=1}^M \left[\frac{\bar{p}_{x_j}^2}{2\bar{m}_j} + \frac{\bar{m}_j}{2} \left(\bar{\omega}_j \bar{x}_j - \frac{d_j}{\bar{m}_j \bar{\omega}_j} x \right)^2 \right] + \sum_{j=1}^M \left[\frac{\bar{p}_{y_j}^2}{2\bar{m}_j} + \frac{\bar{m}_j}{2} \left(\bar{\omega}_j \bar{y}_j - \frac{d_j}{\bar{m}_j \bar{\omega}_j} y \right)^2 \right], \quad (3)$$

where (p_x, p_y) and (x, y) are the adparticle momenta and positions, and (p_{x_i}, x_i) and (p_{y_i}, y_i) are the momenta and positions of the *i*th bath oscillator, with mass and frequency given by m_i and ω_i , respectively. The same holds for the barred magnitudes, but referring to a bath of Madsorbates, which are also taken as harmonic oscillators. The c_i and d_j coefficients give the coupling strength between the adsorbate and the substrate phonons or other adsorbates, respectively. The spectral density for the two baths is defined analogously to the one-bath model,⁵

$$J(\omega) = \frac{\pi}{2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{c_i^2}{m_i \omega_i} \,\delta(\omega - \omega_j) + \sum_{j=1}^{M} \frac{d_j^2}{\bar{m}_j \bar{\omega}_j} \,\delta(\omega - \bar{\omega}_j) \right]_{(4)}.$$

This enables the passage to a continuum model when the time-dependent friction is expressed in terms of $J(\omega)$ as $\eta(t) = (2/\pi) \int (\cos \omega t/\omega) J(\omega) d\omega$. If $\eta(t) = \eta \delta(t)$ (Ohmic friction), we recover the above two coupled standard Langevin equations, Eqs. (2).

The main physical consequences of this representation is that, on average, the tagged adparticle is moving among effective barriers and wells whose imaginary frequencies are obtained from a normal mode analysis.^{8,9} This is a very important result, because it leads to a simple and coherent way to modify the surface barriers and wells and, therefore, the activated and/or tunneling surface diffusion. The corresponding renormalized frequencies have been reported for the one-bath model elsewhere.⁹ A straightforward generalization of the effective frequencies can be done for the two-bath model. In particular, this model could provide a theoretical framework to interpret the coverage dependence of tunneling diffusion experiments¹⁸ within a quantum Markovian formalism recently published.¹⁹ Moreover, in surface diffusion calculations most of time only surface barriers are changed with the coverage in order to reproduce the experimental results. What we propose here is that, due to the two-bath model, the renormalization frequencies give us a natural way to provide effective barriers without need to include any fitting parameter (barrier height) in the theory. This theory can also be used to study the adparticle vibrational dephasing whenever the anharmonicity of the interaction potential is included, since this is the main dephasing source.¹¹

This work has been supported by the Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (Spain) under Project FIS2007-62006 and a Sabbatical (G. Rojas-Lorenzo) with Ref. SB2006-0011. R. Martínez-Casado and A.S. Sanz acknowledge the Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas for a predoctoral contract and a JAE-Doc contract, respectively.

- * Electronic address: ruth@imaff.cfmac.csic.es
- † Electronic address: grojas@imaff.cfmac.csic.es
- [‡] Electronic address: asanz@imaff.cfmac.csic.es
- [§] Electronic address: s.miret@imaff.cfmac.csic.es
- ¹ F. Hofmann and J.P. Toennies, Chem. Rev. **96**, 1307 (1996).
- ² S. Miret-Artés and E. Pollak, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 17, S4133 (2005).
- ³ G. Alexandrowicz, A.P. Jardine, H. Hedgeland, W. Allison, and J. Ellis, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 156103 (2006).
- ⁴ J. R. Manson and V. Celli, Phys. Rev. B **39**, 3605 (1989).
- ⁵ A. O. Caldeira and A. J. Leggett, Phys. Rev. Lett. 46, 211 (1981); *ibid.* Ann. Phys. 149, 374 (1983).
- ⁶ E. Cortés, B. J. West, and K. Lindenberg, J. Chem. Phys. 82, 2708 (1985).
- ⁷ H. Grabert, U. Weiss, and P. Hänggi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **52**,

2193 (1984).

- ⁸ E. Pollak, Phys. Rev. A **33**, 4244 (1986).
- ⁹ E. Pollak, J. Chem. Phys. **85**, 865 (1986).
- ¹⁰ E. Pollak, H. Grabert, and P. Hänggi, J. Chem. Phys. **91**, 4073 (1989); I. Rips and E. Pollak, Phys. Rev. A **41**, 5366 (1990).
- ¹¹ A. M. Levine, M. Shapiro, and E. Pollak, J. Chem. Phys. 88, 1959 (1988).
- ¹² R. Martínez-Casado, J.L. Vega, A.S. Sanz, and S. Miret-Artés, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98** 216102 (2007).
- ¹³ R. Martínez-Casado, J.L. Vega, A.S. Sanz, and S. Miret-Artés, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **19**, 305002 (2007).
- ¹⁴ R. Martínez-Casado, J.L. Vega, A.S. Sanz, and S.Miret-Artés, Phys. Rev. B 77, 115414 (2008).
- ¹⁵ R. Martínez-Casado, J.L. Vega, A.S. Sanz, and S. Miret-

Artés, Phys. Rev. E 75, 051128 (2007).

- ¹⁶ Y. Georgievskii and E. Pollak, Phys. Rev. E **49**, 5098 (1994); Y. Georgievskii, M. A. Kozhushner, and E. Pollak, J. Chem. Phys. **102**, 6908 (1995).
- ¹⁷ J.L. Vega, R. Guantes, and S. Miret-Artés, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **4**, 4985 (2002); R. Guantes, J.L. Vega, S. Miret-Artés, and E. Pollak, J. Chem. Phys. **119**, 2780 (2003); R. Guantes, J.L. Vega, S. Miret-Artés, and E. Pollak, J. Chem. Phys. **120**, 10768 (2004).
- ¹⁸ A. Wong, A. Lee, and X.D. Zhu, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 4418 (1995); G.X. Cao, E. Nabighina, and X.D. Zhu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 3696 (1997).
- ¹⁹ R. Martínez-Casado, A.S. Sanz, and S. Miret-Artés, J. Chem. Phys. (accepted for publication, 2008).