

Attributing sense to some integrals in Regge calculus

V.M. Khatsymovsky

Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics

Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia

E-mail address: khatsym@inp.nsk.su

Abstract

Regge calculus minisuperspace action in the connection representation has the form in which each term is linear over some field variable (scale of area-type variable with sign). We are interested in the result of performing integration over connections in the path integral. To find this function, we compute its moments, i. e. integrals with powers of that variable. Calculation proceeds through intermediate appearance of δ -functions and integrating them out and leads to finite result for any power. The function of interest should therefore be exponentially suppressed at large areas and it really does being restored from moments. This gives for gravity a way of defining such nonabsolutely convergent integral as path integral. The result following from the full complex analysis in genuine Minkowsky spacetime coincides with that one earlier obtained by us by considering Euclidean version of the theory. In particular, at some values of some constant of the theory we find a set of local maxima in the dependence of the result (that is, of probability distribution) on the area. These maxima turn out to correspond to (equidistant) area eigenvalues following within earlier considered operator approach to the *continuous time* Regge calculus.

PACS numbers: 04.60.-m Quantum gravity

Strict definition of the functional integral is possible for Gaussian case; for small deviations from this case it is considered to be definable perturbatively. For general relativity system perturbative expansion is poorly defined due to nonrenormalizability of gravity, and we have nonGaussian path integral. The action is essentially nonlinear, but in the Cartan-Weyl form, in terms of tetrad and connections, the action can be viewed as linear in some field variable which is bilinear in the tetrad. Suppose we have performed integration over connections and are interested in the dependence of the result on the tetrad bilinears. Of course, different bilinears are not independent, but nothing prevent us from studying analytical properties on the extended region of varying these bilinears as if these were independent variables.

Since we do not possess exact definition of nonGaussian functional integral, we need its finite dimensional realization on minisuperspace system. Piecewise flat manifold or simplicial complex provides such framework known as Regge calculus [1, 2].

Consider integral of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N} = \int \exp \frac{i}{2} \sum_{\sigma^2} \left[\left(1 + \frac{i}{\gamma} \right) \sqrt{+\mathbf{v}_{\sigma^2}^2} \arcsin \frac{+\mathbf{v}_{\sigma^2} * +R_{\sigma^2}(\Omega)}{\sqrt{+\mathbf{v}_{\sigma^2}^2}} \right. \\ \left. + \left(1 - \frac{i}{\gamma} \right) \sqrt{-\mathbf{v}_{\sigma^2}^2} \arcsin \frac{-\mathbf{v}_{\sigma^2} * -R_{\sigma^2}(\Omega)}{\sqrt{-\mathbf{v}_{\sigma^2}^2}} \right] \prod_{\sigma^3} \mathcal{D}\Omega_{\sigma^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Here $\pm\mathbf{v}_{\sigma^2}$ are vectors parameterizing (anti-)selfdual parts $\pm v_{\sigma^2}^{ab}$ of the bivector $v_{\sigma^2}^{ab}$ of the triangle σ^2 ($v^{ab} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ab}{}_{cd}l_1^c l_2^d$ for some two 4-vectors l_1^c, l_2^d which span the triangle), Ω_{σ^3} is connection SO(3,1) matrix on the tetrahedron σ^3 , R_{σ^2} is curvature matrix on the triangle σ^2 (holonomy of Ω 's). The $\pm R_{\sigma^2}$ is (anti-)selfdual part of R_{σ^2} acting in adjoint, SO(3) representation (to be precise, SO(3,C) matrix). For a 3-vector \mathbf{v} and a 3×3 matrix R denote $\mathbf{v} * R \equiv \frac{1}{2}v^a R^{bc} \epsilon_{abc}$. The γ is analog of the Barbero-Immirzi parameter [4] in our formulation of minisuperspace gravity system. Matrices $\pm\Omega$, $\pm R$ can be parameterized by complex vector angles $\pm\phi = \varphi \mp i\psi$ (rotation by the angle $\sqrt{\pm\phi^2}$ around the unit vector $\pm\phi/\sqrt{\pm\phi^2}$).

In recent paper [3] we have analyzed integral of the form (1) in Euclidean version and then continued to the Minkowsky spacetime of interest. Now let us study Minkowsky version from the very beginning. We regard (1) as function of arbitrary $+\mathbf{v}$, $-\mathbf{v} = (+\mathbf{v})^*$ which we redenote as \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}^* in the main body of the paper. To be specific, we study the following integrals,

$$\mathcal{N}_{\gamma\delta}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}^*) \equiv \int \exp \left[\frac{i}{2} \mathbf{v} h(\mathbf{n} \mathbf{r}) + \frac{i}{2} \mathbf{v}^* h(\mathbf{n} \mathbf{r})^* \right] r_{c_1} \dots r_{c_\lambda} (r_{d_1} \dots r_{d_\mu})^* \mathcal{D}R. \quad (2)$$

Here $\gamma = (c_1 \dots c_\lambda)$, $\dot{\delta} = (\dot{d}_1 \dots \dot{d}_\mu)$ are multiindices; the dot on an index has the only sense that corresponding vector component enters complex conjugated. The $h(z)$ is analytical at $z = 0$ odd function $h(z) = -h(-z)$. Principal value $\arcsin z$ or simply z are examples of $h(z)$. Besides that, $v = \sqrt{\mathbf{v}^2}$, $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{v}/v$, $\mathbf{n}^2 = 1$, $r_a = \epsilon_{abc} {}^+R^{bc}/2 = \phi_a(\sin \phi)/\phi$, $\phi = \sqrt{\phi^2}$, $\phi = \varphi - i\psi$,

$$\mathcal{D}R = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \mathbf{r}^2}} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \mathbf{r}^{*2}}} - 1 \right) \frac{d^3 \mathbf{r} d^3 \mathbf{r}^*}{(8\pi^2)^2 \mathbf{r}^2 \mathbf{r}^{*2}}. \quad (3)$$

Here $d^3 \mathbf{r} d^3 \mathbf{r}^* \equiv 2^3 d^3 \Re \mathbf{r} d^3 \Im \mathbf{r} \equiv 2^3 d^6 \mathbf{r}$. The monomial $r_{c_1 \dots c_\lambda} (r_{d_1 \dots d_\mu})^*$ originates as a term in Taylor expansion of possible dependence on R of the factors provided by R_{σ^2} in other triangles due to the Bianchi identities. As usual, functional integral is not absolutely convergent. To give sense to it, consider the following integral of $\mathcal{N}_{\gamma \dot{\delta}}$ with powers of \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}^* ,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\gamma \dot{\delta}}^{\alpha \dot{\beta}}(l, m) = \int \mathcal{N}_{\gamma \dot{\delta}}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}^*) (\mathbf{v}^2)^l (\mathbf{v}^{*2})^m v^{a_1} \dots v^{a_j} (v^{b_1} \dots v^{b_k})^* d^6 \mathbf{v}, \quad (4)$$

and change overall integration order: first integrate over $d^6 \mathbf{v}$, then over $d^6 \mathbf{r}$. Here $d^6 \mathbf{v} \equiv d^3 \Re \mathbf{v} d^3 \Im \mathbf{v}$, etc. The $\alpha, \dot{\beta}$ are multiindices. The only sense of distinguishing between superscripts and subscripts is that the former refer to \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}^* , the latter refer to \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}^* .

At $h(z) \propto z$ we get derivatives of δ -functions $\delta^6(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \delta^3(\Re \mathbf{r}) \delta^3(\Im \mathbf{r})$ which are then integrated over $\mathcal{D}R$. Finiteness is provided by analyticity of this measure at $\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}^* \rightarrow 0$ w.r.t. \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}^* viewed as independent complex variables, $\mathcal{D}R = |c_0 + c_1 \mathbf{r}^2 + c_2 (\mathbf{r}^2)^2 + \dots|^2 d^3 \mathbf{r} d^3 \mathbf{r}^*$.

In general case $h(z) \neq \text{const} \cdot z$ integral also can be defined. Again, consideration goes through intermediate appearance of δ -functions. For that we make use of special structure of the exponential in (2) and temporarily pass to components of \mathbf{v} which remind spherical ones, but are modified for complex case,

$$\mathbf{v} = v \mathbf{n}, \quad v = u + iw, \quad \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{e}_1 \text{ch} \rho + i \mathbf{e}_2 \text{sh} \rho, \quad \mathbf{e}_1^2 = 1 = \mathbf{e}_2^2, \quad \mathbf{e}_1 \mathbf{e}_2 = 0. \quad (5)$$

The orthogonal pair $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2$ is specified by three angles, e. g. by azimuthal θ_1 and polar φ_1 angles of \mathbf{e}_1 and polar angle φ_2 of \mathbf{e}_2 (in the plane orthogonal to \mathbf{e}_1). The integration measure in the coordinates $u, w, \rho, \theta_1, \varphi_1, \varphi_2$

$$d^6 \mathbf{v} \equiv d^3 \Re \mathbf{v} d^3 \Im \mathbf{v} = (u^2 + w^2)^2 du dw d^4 \mathbf{n}, \quad d^4 \mathbf{n} = \text{ch} \rho \text{sh} \rho d\rho \sin \theta_1 d\theta_1 d\varphi_1 d\varphi_2. \quad (6)$$

In particular, u, w are defined via $(u + iw)^2 = \mathbf{v}^2$, i. e. region of variation for these is a half of the complex plane. For example, for the standard choice of the cut for square root function $u \geq 0$. However, integration over du in (4) can be extended to the full real axis $(-\infty, +\infty)$. This is only possible because formal putting $u \rightarrow -u, w \rightarrow -w$ is equivalent to $\mathbf{e}_1 \rightarrow -\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2 \rightarrow -\mathbf{e}_2$ in (4) due to the oddness of $h(z)$. Such identity of integration points leads to δ -functions of h ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int \exp \left[\frac{i}{2} \mathbf{v} h(\mathbf{n}\mathbf{r}) + \frac{i}{2} \mathbf{v}^* h(\mathbf{n}\mathbf{r})^* \right] (\mathbf{v}^2)^l (\mathbf{v}^{*2})^m v^{a_1} \dots v^{a_j} (v^{b_1} \dots v^{b_k})^* d^6 \mathbf{v} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int n^{a_1} \dots n^{a_j} (n^{b_1} \dots n^{b_k})^* d^4 \mathbf{n} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} du \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dw e^{i[uf(\mathbf{n}\mathbf{r}) + wg(\mathbf{n}\mathbf{r})]} (u + iw)^{j+2l+2} (u - iw)^{k+2m+2} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int n^{a_1} \dots n^{a_j} (n^{b_1} \dots n^{b_k})^* d^4 \mathbf{n} (2\pi)^2 \left(\frac{\partial}{i\partial f} + \frac{\partial}{\partial g} \right)^{j+2l+2} \left(\frac{\partial}{i\partial f} - \frac{\partial}{\partial g} \right)^{k+2m+2} \delta(f)\delta(g) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int n^{a_1} \dots n^{a_j} (n^{b_1} \dots n^{b_k})^* d^4 \mathbf{n} (2\pi)^2 \left(\frac{2}{i} \frac{d}{dh} \right)^{j+2l+2} \left(\frac{2}{i} \frac{d}{dh^*} \right)^{k+2m+2} \delta^2(h) \quad (7)
\end{aligned}$$

where $f(z) = \Re h(z)$, $g(z) = -\Im h(z)$, $\delta(f)\delta(g) \equiv \delta^2(h)$,

$$\frac{\partial}{i\partial f} + \frac{\partial}{\partial g} \equiv \frac{2}{i} \frac{d}{dh}, \quad \frac{\partial}{i\partial f} - \frac{\partial}{\partial g} \equiv \frac{2}{i} \frac{d}{dh^*}. \quad (8)$$

Derivatives of $\delta^2(h)$ expand into combinations of the derivatives of $\delta^2(z) \equiv \delta(x)\delta(y)$, $z \equiv \mathbf{n}\mathbf{r} = x - iy$. These combinations can be found by applying to probe functions $\varphi(z, z^*)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int \left[\left(\frac{d}{dh} \right)^{j+2l+2} \left(\frac{d}{dh^*} \right)^{k+2m+2} \delta^2(h) \right] \varphi(z, z^*) d^2 z \\
&= (-1)^{j+k} \left(\frac{d}{dh} \right)^{j+2l+2} \left(\frac{d}{dh^*} \right)^{k+2m+2} \left[\varphi(z(h), z(h)^*) \frac{dz}{dh} \frac{dz^*}{dh^*} \right]_{h, h^*=0}, \quad d^2 z = dx dy. \quad (9)
\end{aligned}$$

Let us choose

$$\varphi(z, z^*) = \frac{\varphi^{(n,p)}(0,0)}{n!p!} z^n z^{*p}, \quad (10)$$

thus we find coefficient of $\delta^{(n)}(z)\delta^{(p)}(z^*) \equiv (d/dz)^n (d/dz^*)^p \delta(z)\delta(z^*)$ (here $2\delta(z)\delta(z^*) \equiv \delta^2(z)$) in $\delta^{(j+2l+2)}(h)\delta^{(k+2m+2)}(h^*)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \delta^{(j+2l+2)}(h)\delta^{(k+2m+2)}(h^*) = \dots + (-1)^{j+n+k+p} \delta^{(n)}(z)\delta^{(p)}(z^*) \\
& \cdot \left(\frac{d}{dh} \right)^{j+2l+3} \left(\frac{d}{dh^*} \right)^{k+2m+3} \left[\frac{z(h)^{n+1} z(h)^{*p+1}}{(n+1)!(p+1)!} \right]_{h, h^*=0} + \dots \quad (11)
\end{aligned}$$

(This term is nonzero at $(j-n) \pmod{2} = 0$, $(k-p) \pmod{2} = 0$, $j+2l+2 \geq n$, $k+2m+2 \geq p$.) Let us apply formula (7) read from right to left to appearing here

$\delta^{(n)}(z)\delta^{(p)}(z^*)$, now for $h(z) = z$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \int n^{a_1} \dots n^{a_j} (n^{b_1} \dots n^{b_k})^* d^4 \mathbf{n} (2\pi)^2 \left(\frac{2}{i} \frac{d}{dz} \right)^n \left(\frac{2}{i} \frac{d}{dz^*} \right)^p \delta^2(z) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int n^{a_1} \dots n^{a_j} (n^{b_1} \dots n^{b_k})^* d^4 \mathbf{n} (2\pi)^2 \left(\frac{\partial}{i\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^n \left(\frac{\partial}{i\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^p \delta(x)\delta(y) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int n^{a_1} \dots n^{a_j} (n^{b_1} \dots n^{b_k})^* d^4 \mathbf{n} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} du \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dw e^{i[u\Re(\mathbf{n}r) - w\Im(\mathbf{n}r)]} (u + iw)^n (u - iw)^p \\
&= \int \exp \left(\frac{i}{2} \mathbf{v}r + \frac{i}{2} \mathbf{v}^* r^* \right) v^{n-j} v^{*p-k} v^{a_1} \dots v^{a_j} (v^{b_1} \dots v^{b_k})^* \frac{d^6 \mathbf{v}}{v^2 v^{*2}}. \tag{12}
\end{aligned}$$

Substitute (11) and (12) to (7) and integrate (7) over $r_{c_1} \dots r_{c_\lambda} (r_{d_1} \dots r_{d_\mu})^* \mathcal{D}R$. We get for the moment

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{\gamma\delta}^{\alpha\beta}(l, m) &= \int r_{c_1} \dots r_{c_\lambda} (r_{d_1} \dots r_{d_\mu})^* \mathcal{D}R \int \exp \left[\frac{i}{2} \mathbf{v}h(\mathbf{n}r) + \frac{i}{2} \mathbf{v}^* h(\mathbf{n}r)^* \right] \\
&\cdot (\mathbf{v}^2)^l (\mathbf{v}^{*2})^m v^{a_1} \dots v^{a_j} (v^{b_1} \dots v^{b_k})^* d^6 \mathbf{v} = \int r_{c_1} \dots r_{c_\lambda} (r_{d_1} \dots r_{d_\mu})^* \mathcal{D}R \\
&\cdot (-1)^{j+k} \left(\frac{2}{i} \frac{d}{dh} \right)^{j+2l+2} \left(\frac{2}{i} \frac{d}{dh^*} \right)^{k+2m+2} \frac{dz dz^*}{dh dh^*} \int \exp \left(\frac{i}{2} \mathbf{v}r + \frac{i}{2} \mathbf{v}^* r^* \right) \\
&\cdot \frac{v^{a_1} \dots v^{a_j} (v^{b_1} \dots v^{b_k})^*}{v^j v^{*k}} \frac{d^6 \mathbf{v}}{v^2 v^{*2}} \left\{ \dots + \frac{[vz/(2i)]^n [v^* z^*/(2i)]^p}{n! p!} + \dots \right\}_{h, h^*=0}. \tag{13}
\end{aligned}$$

We can extend summation over n, p to that over infinite set of nonnegative integers of which only finite number of terms at the given finite j, k, l, m (pointed out after formula (11)) are active. Thus we have

$$\mathcal{M}_{\gamma\delta}^{\alpha\beta}(l, m) = \left(2i \frac{d}{dh} \right)^{j+2l+2} \left(2i \frac{d}{dh^*} \right)^{k+2m+2} \left[\frac{dz dz^*}{dh dh^*} \mathcal{I}_{\gamma\delta}^{\alpha\beta}(z, z^*) \right]_{h, h^*=0} \tag{14}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_{\gamma\delta}^{\alpha\beta}(z, z^*) &= \int r_{c_1} \dots r_{c_\lambda} (r_{d_1} \dots r_{d_\mu})^* \mathcal{D}R \int \exp \left(\frac{i}{2} \mathbf{v}r + \frac{i}{2} \mathbf{v}^* r^* \right) \frac{v^{a_1} \dots v^{a_j} (v^{b_1} \dots v^{b_k})^*}{v^j v^{*k}} \\
&\cdot \left[\frac{1}{2} \exp \frac{vz}{2i} + \frac{(-1)^j}{2} \exp \frac{ivz}{2} \right] \left[\frac{1}{2} \exp \frac{v^* z^*}{2i} + \frac{(-1)^k}{2} \exp \frac{iv^* z^*}{2} \right] \frac{d^6 \mathbf{v}}{v^2 v^{*2}} \tag{15}
\end{aligned}$$

is "generating function".

The terms like $z^n C_n(z^*)$ or $C_n(z) z^{*n}$ in $\mathcal{I}_{\gamma\delta}^{\alpha\beta}(z, z^*)$ with holomorphic $C_n(z)$ need particular consideration. E. g. let $\mathcal{I}_{\gamma\delta}^{\alpha\beta}(z, z^*)$ be replaced by $z^n C_n(z^*)$. The dependence of $\mathcal{M}_{\gamma\delta}^{\alpha\beta}(l, m)$ on l decouples as

$$\left(2i \frac{d}{dh} \right)^{j+2l+2} \left(\frac{dz}{dh} z^n \right)_{h=0} = \left(2i \frac{d}{dh} \right)^{j+2l+3} \frac{z^{n+1}}{2i(n+1)} \Big|_{h=0}. \tag{16}$$

At $z = \sin \frac{h}{1+i/\gamma}$ the power z^{n+1} contains harmonics $\sin \frac{\tilde{n}h}{1+i/\gamma}$ and $\cos \frac{\tilde{n}h}{1+i/\gamma}$, $\tilde{n} = n+1, n-1, \dots, n(\bmod 2) + 1$, for even or odd n , respectively. Contribution to the moment from harmonic $\sin \frac{\tilde{n}h}{1+i/\gamma}$ or $\cos \frac{\tilde{n}h}{1+i/\gamma}$ is proportional to $\frac{1}{2i(n+1)} \left(\frac{2i\tilde{n}}{1+i/\gamma} \frac{d}{dh} \right)^{j+2l+3} [\sin h \text{ or } \cos h]$. Nonzero contribution to the moment follows for even or odd j , respectively, and the dependence on l is proportional to $[2\tilde{n}/(1+i/\gamma)]^{2l}$. This corresponds to the singular term in the functional

$$\mathcal{M}_{\gamma\dot{\delta}}^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}(f(\mathbf{v}^2)g(\mathbf{v}^2)^*) = \int \mathcal{N}_{\gamma\dot{\delta}}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}^*) f(\mathbf{v}^2) g(\mathbf{v}^2)^* v^{a_1} \dots v^{a_j} (v^{b_1} \dots v^{b_k})^* d^6 \mathbf{v} \quad (17)$$

proportional to $f(4\tilde{n}^2(1+i/\gamma)^{-2})$, that is, to probe function $f(\mathbf{v}^2)$ taken at the point $4\tilde{n}^2(1+i/\gamma)^{-2}$. Were we in the 6-dimensional space of independent real \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}^* , this would correspond to a δ -function term $\delta(\mathbf{v}^2 - \mathbf{v}_0^2)$ in $\mathcal{N}_{\gamma\dot{\delta}}$; however, such term does not have analytic continuation to complex space, i. e. thus defined functional has no representation in terms of usual function. On the other hand, the point $\mathbf{v}^2 = 4\tilde{n}^2(1+i/\gamma)^{-2}$ is located in the nonphysical region (physical region corresponds to $\Im \mathbf{v}^2 = 0$). We may define set of probe (anti-)holomorphic functions $f(\mathbf{v}^2), g(\mathbf{v}^2)^*$ to vanish at $\mathbf{v}^2 = 4\tilde{n}^2(1+i/\gamma)^{-2}$, $\tilde{n} = n+1, n-1, \dots, n(\bmod 2) + 1$ for a given finite n . Then we are free to add/subtract the terms $z^n C_n(z^*)$ and $C_n(z) z^{*n}$ to/from $\mathcal{I}_{\gamma\dot{\delta}}^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}$ without changing the functional $\mathcal{M}_{\gamma\dot{\delta}}^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}$ defined on these functions. These functions probe $\mathcal{N}_{\gamma\dot{\delta}}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}^*)$ in the region interesting for us.

Now we would like to prove factorization of $\mathcal{N}_{\gamma\dot{\delta}}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}^*)$ into holomorphic and anti-holomorphic parts in the region with the above nonphysical points excluded. Let us use consideration of the above paragraph and subtract several first terms of expansion over z and several first terms of expansion over z^* from $\mathcal{I}_{\gamma\dot{\delta}}^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}(z, z^*)$. Namely, subtract from $\exp(\pm ivz/2)$ and from $\exp(\pm iv^*z^*/2)$ in square brackets in the formula (15) for $\mathcal{I}_{\gamma\dot{\delta}}^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}(z, z^*)$ the first up to $\propto (vz)^j$ inclusive and the first up to $\propto (v^*z^*)^k$ inclusive terms of the Taylor expansions of these functions over z and over z^* , respectively. The $\mathcal{I}_{\gamma\dot{\delta}}^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}(z, z^*)$ is replaced by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\gamma\dot{\delta}}^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}(z, z^*) &= \int r_{c_1} \dots r_{c_\lambda} (r_{d_1} \dots r_{d_\mu})^* \mathcal{D}R \int \exp\left(\frac{i}{2} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{r} + \frac{i}{2} \mathbf{v}^* \mathbf{r}^*\right) \frac{v^{a_1} \dots v^{a_j} (v^{b_1} \dots v^{b_k})^*}{v^j v^{*k}} \\ &\cdot \left[\frac{1}{2} \exp \frac{vz}{2i} + \frac{(-1)^j}{2} \exp \frac{ivz}{2} - \sum_{n=0}^{[j/2]} \frac{1}{(j-2n)!} \left(\frac{vz}{2i} \right)^{j-2n} \right] \\ &\cdot \left[\frac{1}{2} \exp \frac{v^*z^*}{2i} + \frac{(-1)^k}{2} \exp \frac{iv^*z^*}{2} - \sum_{p=0}^{[k/2]} \frac{1}{(k-2p)!} \left(\frac{v^*z^*}{2i} \right)^{k-2p} \right] \frac{d^6 \mathbf{v}}{v^2 v^{*2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Thus we have got analytical separately in \mathbf{v} at $\mathbf{v} = 0$ and in \mathbf{v}^* at $\mathbf{v}^* = 0$ integrand

(i. e. when \mathbf{v} , \mathbf{v}^* are considered as formally different variables). This generating function defines moments of some $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\gamma\delta}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}^*)$ which coincides with $\mathcal{N}_{\gamma\delta}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}^*)$ in the region with nonphysical points $v^2 = 4\tilde{j}^2(1+i/\gamma)^{-2}$, $\tilde{j} = j+1, j-1, \dots, j(\text{mod}2)+1$ and $v^{*2} = 4\tilde{k}^2(1-i/\gamma)^{-2}$ or $v^2 = 4\tilde{k}^2(1+i/\gamma)^{-2}$, $\tilde{k} = k+1, k-1, \dots, k(\text{mod}2)+1$ excluded. Expansion over z, z^* gives nonnegative powers of v^2, v^{*2} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\gamma\delta}^{\alpha\beta}(z, z^*) &= \left(\frac{z}{2i}\right)^{j+2} \left(\frac{z^*}{2i}\right)^{k+2} \int r_{c_1} \dots r_{c_\lambda} (r_{d_1} \dots r_{d_\mu})^* \mathcal{D}R \int \exp\left(\frac{i}{2}\mathbf{v}\mathbf{r} + \frac{i}{2}\mathbf{v}^*\mathbf{r}^*\right) \\ &\cdot \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(vz/2i)^{2n}}{(j+2+2n)!} \right] \left[\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(v^*z^*/2i)^{2p}}{(k+2+2p)!} \right] v^{a_1} \dots v^{a_j} (v^{b_1} \dots v^{b_k})^* d^6\mathbf{v}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Upon separating real and imaginary parts $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} + i\mathbf{w}$, $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{s} - i\mathbf{q}$ each term transforms through intermediate appearance of δ -functions ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int r_{c_1} \dots r_{c_\lambda} (r_{d_1} \dots r_{d_\mu})^* \mathcal{D}R \int \exp\left(\frac{i}{2}\mathbf{v}\mathbf{r} + \frac{i}{2}\mathbf{v}^*\mathbf{r}^*\right) (v^2)^n (v^{*2})^p v^{a_1} \dots v^{a_j} (v^{b_1} \dots v^{b_k})^* d^6\mathbf{v} \\ &= \int r_{c_1} \dots r_{c_\lambda} (r_{d_1} \dots r_{d_\mu})^* \mathcal{D}R \int \exp(i\mathbf{u}\mathbf{s} + i\mathbf{w}\mathbf{q}) [(\mathbf{u} + i\mathbf{w})^2]^n [(\mathbf{u} - i\mathbf{w})^2]^p \\ &\cdot (u^{a_1} + iw^{a_1}) \dots (u^{a_j} + iw^{a_j}) (u^{b_1} - iw^{b_1}) \dots (u^{b_k} - iw^{b_k}) d^3\mathbf{u} d^3\mathbf{w} \\ &= \int r_{c_1} \dots r_{c_\lambda} (r_{d_1} \dots r_{d_\mu})^* \mathcal{D}R (2\pi)^6 \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{i\partial\mathbf{s}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial\mathbf{q}} \right)^2 \right]^n \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{i\partial\mathbf{s}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial\mathbf{q}} \right)^2 \right]^p \\ &\cdot \left(\frac{\partial}{i\partial s_{a_1}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{a_1}} \right) \dots \left(\frac{\partial}{i\partial s_{a_j}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{a_j}} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{i\partial s_{b_1}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{b_1}} \right) \dots \left(\frac{\partial}{i\partial s_{b_k}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{b_k}} \right) \delta^3(\mathbf{s}) \delta^3(\mathbf{q}) \\ &= 8\pi^2 \left\{ (2i)^{j+2n} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{a_1}} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{a_j}} \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\mathbf{r}} \right)^2 \right]^n \frac{r_{c_1} \dots r_{c_\lambda}}{\mathbf{r}^2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\mathbf{r}^2}} - 1 \right) \right\}_{\mathbf{r}=0} \\ &\cdot \left\{ (2i)^{k+2p} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{b_1}^*} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{b_k}^*} \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\mathbf{r}^*} \right)^2 \right]^p \frac{r_{d_1}^* \dots r_{d_\mu}^*}{\mathbf{r}^{*2}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\mathbf{r}^{*2}}} - 1 \right) \right\}_{\mathbf{r}^*=0}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Here

$$\frac{\partial}{i\partial s_a} + \frac{\partial}{\partial q_a} \equiv \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_a}, \quad \frac{\partial}{i\partial s_a} - \frac{\partial}{\partial q_a} \equiv \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_a^*} \quad (21)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r_a^*} \frac{r_{c_1} \dots r_{c_\lambda}}{\mathbf{r}^2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\mathbf{r}^2}} - 1 \right) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial r_a} \frac{r_{d_1}^* \dots r_{d_\mu}^*}{\mathbf{r}^{*2}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\mathbf{r}^{*2}}} - 1 \right) = 0 \quad (22)$$

due to analyticity (Cauchy-Riemann conditions). This is key point for the factorization to occur. Complex dummy variables \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}^* in the RHS of (20) can equally be viewed as real independent variables, the result being the same. This looks as possibility to replace integration over SO(3,1) by integration over SO(4). Eventually we trace back to (18) where now $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{r}, z$ on one hand and $\mathbf{v}^*, \mathbf{r}^*, z^*$ on another hand can be taken

as independent real variables. Then z, z^* on which the result $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\gamma\dot{\delta}}^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}$ depends can be continued to the desired region. Therefore $2^3\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\gamma\dot{\delta}}^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}(z, z^*) = \tilde{I}_\gamma^\alpha(z)\tilde{I}_{\dot{\delta}}^{\dot{\beta}}(z^*)$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{I}_\gamma^\alpha(z) &= \int r_{c_1} \dots r_{c_\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\mathbf{r}^2}} - 1 \right) \frac{d^3\mathbf{r}}{8\pi^2\mathbf{r}^2} \int \exp\left(\frac{i}{2}\mathbf{v}\mathbf{r}\right) \\ &\cdot \frac{v^{a_1} \dots v^{a_j}}{v^j} \left[\frac{1}{2} \exp \frac{vz}{2i} + \frac{(-1)^j}{2} \exp \frac{ivz}{2} - \sum_{n=0}^{[j/2]} \frac{1}{(j-2n)!} \left(\frac{vz}{2i}\right)^{j-2n} \right] \frac{d^3\mathbf{v}}{v^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Here integration is performed over real $\text{SO}(3)$, $\Im\mathbf{r} = 0, \mathbf{r}^2 \leq 1$, and over real \mathbf{v} . Evidently, $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\gamma\dot{\delta}}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}^*)$ (that is $\mathcal{N}_{\gamma\dot{\delta}}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}^*)$ outside singularity points) restored from these $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\gamma\dot{\delta}}^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}(z, z^*)$ factorizes too, $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\gamma\dot{\delta}}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}^*) = \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\gamma(\mathbf{v})\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\dot{\delta}}(\mathbf{v}^*)$.

Consider important particular case when $\mathcal{N}_{\gamma\dot{\delta}} = \mathcal{N}$ is scalar (indices $\gamma, \dot{\delta}$ are empty). Then nontrivial $\tilde{I}_\gamma^\alpha(z) \equiv \tilde{I}^\alpha(z), \tilde{I}_{\dot{\delta}}^{\dot{\beta}}(z^*) \equiv \tilde{I}^{\dot{\beta}}(z^*)$ are expressible in the simplest way in terms of metric tensor g^{ab} and scalars, i. e. it is sufficient to consider empty $\alpha, \dot{\beta}$ as well. This also means that $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}^*)$ can be considered as function of v^2, v^{*2} only (although this is evident in this simple case from the very beginning since there is no singled out vector(s) on which structures over $\alpha, \dot{\beta}$ might depend). It will not cause confusion if we shall denote this function by the same symbol $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(v^2, v^{*2})$. We have $\tilde{I}_\gamma^\alpha(z) \equiv \tilde{I}(z), \tilde{I}_{\dot{\delta}}^{\dot{\beta}}(z^*) \equiv \tilde{I}(z^*)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{I}(z) &= \int \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\mathbf{r}^2}} - 1 \right) \frac{d^3\mathbf{r}}{8\pi^2\mathbf{r}^2} \int \exp\left(\frac{i}{2}\mathbf{v}\mathbf{r}\right) \left(\cos \frac{vz}{2} - 1 \right) \frac{d^3\mathbf{v}}{v^2} \\ &= 2\pi \ln \frac{1 + \sqrt{1-z^2}}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int \tilde{\mathcal{N}}(v^2, v^{*2}) v^{2l} v^{*2m} d^6\mathbf{v} &= 2^{-3} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}(v^{2l}) \tilde{\mathcal{N}}(v^{2m})^*, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{N}}(v^{2l}) &= \pi(-1)^l \left(2 \frac{d}{dh} \right)^{2l+2} \left(2 \frac{dz}{dh} \ln \frac{1 + \sqrt{1-z^2}}{2} \right)_{h=0} \\ &= \pi(-1)^l \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{i}{\gamma} \right) \right]^{-2l-3} \left(\frac{d}{dh} \right)^{2l+2} \left(\cos h \ln \frac{1 + \cos h}{2} \right)_{h=0} \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

at $z = \sin \frac{h}{1+i/\gamma}$ (rescaling $h \rightarrow (1+i/\gamma)h$ is made). Let us use the value of the following table integral,

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{l}{l^2+1} \frac{\text{ch}hl}{\text{sh}\pi l} dl = \frac{h}{2} \sin h - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cos h \ln[2(1 + \cos h)], \quad (26)$$

to express RHS of (25) in terms of it and thus map differentiation over h to operation of multiplication. The terms $\cos h$ and $h \sin h$ lead to appearance of the terms \propto

$f(v^2)_{v^2=4(1+i/\gamma)^{-2}}$ and $\propto f'(v^2)_{v^2=4(1+i/\gamma)^{-2}}$ in the functional $\tilde{N}(f(v^2))$. Situation is analogous to that one described in the paragraph with formula (16), and, as it has been suggested in the paragraph with the formula (18), the set of probe functions $f(v^2)$ is already chosen in the considered scalar case $j = 0, k = 0$ to vanish at the nonphysical point $v^2 = 4(1+i/\gamma)^{-2}$. Additional requirement is that also first derivatives of these functions be vanishing at this point. Upon simple transformation of integration contours the functional in question reads

$$\begin{aligned} 2^3 \tilde{M}(f(v^2)g(v^2)^*) &= \int \tilde{\mathcal{N}}(v^2, v^{*2}) f(v^2) g(v^2)^* 2^3 d^6 \mathbf{v} \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \int \frac{(1/\gamma - i)v/2}{(1/\gamma - i)^2 v^2/4 + 1 \operatorname{sh}[\pi(1/\gamma - i)v/2]} \frac{f(v^2) d^3 \mathbf{v}}{1} \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{-i}{2} \int \frac{(1/\gamma + i)\tilde{v}/2}{(1/\gamma + i)^2 \tilde{v}^2/4 + 1 \operatorname{sh}[\pi(1/\gamma + i)\tilde{v}/2]} \frac{g^*(\tilde{v}^2) d^3 \tilde{\mathbf{v}}}{1} \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

at $f(4(1+i/\gamma)^{-2}) = 0$, $f'(4(1+i/\gamma)^{-2}) = 0$, $g(4(1+i/\gamma)^{-2}) = 0$, $g'(4(1+i/\gamma)^{-2}) = 0$; integrals in the RHS are over *independent real* $\mathbf{v}, \tilde{\mathbf{v}}$. We have introduced notation $g^*(\tilde{v}^2) = g(\tilde{v}^{2*})^* (\equiv [g(\tilde{v}^{2*})]^*)$ having in view generalization to complex \tilde{v}^2 . In principle, this already says what is the value of \mathcal{N} defined for the *real* variables $\mathbf{v}, \tilde{\mathbf{v}}$, and we could continue this to genuine complex \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}^* as required by the LHS part. In a more strict way, we consider integrals in the RHS of (27) as single 6-fold integral over $d^3 \mathbf{v} d^3 \tilde{\mathbf{v}}$, redenote $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} = \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w}$, and, for $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}\mathbf{n}$, $\mathbf{n}^2 = 1$ rotate integration interval $w \in (0, \infty)$ in the plane of complex w according to $w \rightarrow iw$. So we consider integral over dw over contour consisting of original interval $(0, \Lambda)$ (the RHS of (27)), of interval $(0, i\Lambda)$ (the RHS of (27) transformed to complex \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}^*) and of quarter of the circle $w = \Lambda e^{i\alpha}$, $0 < \alpha < \pi/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{4} \int 2^3 d^3 \mathbf{u} d^2 \mathbf{n} \left[\int_0^\Lambda w^2 dw F(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{n}, w) - \int_0^\Lambda (iw)^2 idw F(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{n}, iw) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^{\pi/2} e^{3i\alpha} id\alpha \Lambda^3 F(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{n}, \Lambda e^{i\alpha}) \right], \\ &F(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{n}, w) = \frac{(1/\gamma - i)\sqrt{(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w}\mathbf{n})^2/2}}{(1/\gamma - i)^2(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w}\mathbf{n})^2/4 + 1} \cdot \frac{(1/\gamma + i)\sqrt{(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w}\mathbf{n})^2/2}}{(1/\gamma + i)^2(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w}\mathbf{n})^2/4 + 1} \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{f((\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w}\mathbf{n})^2)g^*((\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w}\mathbf{n})^2)}{\operatorname{sh}[\pi(1/\gamma - i)\sqrt{(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w}\mathbf{n})^2/2}]\operatorname{sh}[\pi(1/\gamma + i)\sqrt{(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w}\mathbf{n})^2/2}]} \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

The sum of integrals in square brackets should be sum of the residues of the poles enclosed by our contour. We tend $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$, and for any given \mathbf{u} the integrand $F(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{n}, \Lambda e^{i\alpha})$

turns out to be exponentially suppressed (uniformly at $\alpha \in [0, \pi/2]$) due to hyperbolic functions in the denominator,

$$F(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{n}, \Lambda e^{i\alpha}) \propto (\text{power of } \Lambda) \cdot \exp \left\{ -\frac{\pi\Lambda}{2\sqrt{1+\gamma^2}} \left[1 + C \left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}|}{\Lambda}, \frac{\mathbf{u}\mathbf{n}}{\Lambda} \right) \right] \right\},$$

$$C \left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}|}{\Lambda}, \frac{\mathbf{u}\mathbf{n}}{\Lambda} \right) = O \left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}|}{\Lambda} \right). \quad (29)$$

(Note that since we issue from probe functions as monomials, the probe functions $f(v^2)g(v^2)^*$ or, more generally $f(v^2, v^{*2})$ by linearity can be taken as polynomials, i. e. these possess polynomial growth.) Therefore the last integral in square brackets in (28) over part of the circle of radius Λ vanishes at $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

The poles of the integrand $(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w}\mathbf{n})^2 = 4n^2(1 + i/\gamma)^{-2}$ and $(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w}\mathbf{n})^2 = 4n^2(1 - i/\gamma)^{-2}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ are of interest if these are achieved in the main quadrant $\Im w > 0$, $\Re w > 0$ of the plane of w . These contribute the terms proportional to $f(4n^2(1 + i/\gamma)^{-2})$ or/and $g(4n^2(1 + i/\gamma)^{-2})^*$, $n = 2, 3, \dots$ (Contribution of the double pole at $n = 1$ vanishes due to the above adopted vanishing $f(v^2), f'(v^2), g(v^2), g'(v^2)$ at $v^2 = 4(1 + i/\gamma)^{-2}$.) That is, we have again singular contribution from nonphysical points $v^2 = 4n^2(1 + i/\gamma)^{-2}$ which is uninteresting for us. To be more exact, these singularities are needed to cancel singularities in the resulting integral over $d\mathbf{v}, d\mathbf{v}^*$ since we issue from the well-defined integral over $d\mathbf{v}, d\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$. May be, it is more appropriate mathematically to define \mathcal{N} just for real variables $\mathbf{v}, \tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ and then continue to physical ones \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}^* . In any case we get the result of [3],

$$\mathcal{N}(v^2, v^{*2}) = \left| \frac{1}{\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} - i \right)^2 v^2 + 1} \cdot \frac{\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} - i \right) v}{\text{sh} \left[\frac{\pi}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} - i \right) v \right]} \right|^2 \quad (30)$$

in the region with the points $v^2 = 4n^2(1 + i/\gamma)^{-2}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ excluded.

The form of dependence providing singularity of $\mathcal{N}(v^2, v^{*2})$ at $v^2 = 4n^2(1 + i/\gamma)^{-2}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ looks as result of some summation in the path integral over branches of the arcsin function, as if we had substituted $\arcsin \rightarrow \arcsin + 2\pi n$ in the exponential and summed over n for each of the two arcsin. (This would just result in the hyperbolic or trigonometric function in the denominator of (30).) This might seem to be surprising since we have proceeded only from the values of a finite number of derivatives i. e. from *local* properties of the *principal* value of $\arcsin z$ at $z = 0$ when calculating any moment of distribution \mathcal{N} . Only when restoring \mathcal{N} from the moments we have got such a non-perturbative result. On the other hand, this is the consequence of the full




Figure 1: Dependence of \mathcal{N} on v^2 for small and large values of γ .

complex analysis and of the analyticity of $\arcsin z$ which can be uniquely restored from knowing it in the arbitrarily small neighborhood of a point, here of $z = 0$.

Effect of the considered singular points in physical region grows especially at $\gamma \ll 1$ or at $\gamma \gg 1$, when the straight line on which these points lay, $v^2 = (1 + i/\gamma)^{-2}t$ where $t > 0$ is a parameter, approaches physical region $\Im v^2 = 0$. This develops as appearance of a set of local maxima of \mathcal{N} approximately at $v^2 = -4\gamma^2 n^2$, $\gamma \ll 1$ or at $v^2 = 4n^2$, $\gamma \gg 1$ where $n = 1, 2, \dots$, see Figure 1. There $-n^2$ at $\gamma \ll 1$ or n^2 at $\gamma \gg 1$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, are approximate values of $v^2 \gamma^{-2}/4$ or $v^2/4$, respectively, corresponding to the position of local maxima. In usual units (coefficient $(16\pi G)^{-1}$ at the action) and taking into account that $v/2$ is triangle area A , the maxima are located at $|A| = 16\pi G \gamma n$ or at $|A| = 16\pi G n$ in the spacelike or timelike region, respectively. This reminds quantization of areas, here outside the framework of the operator formalism. We have considered the latter in Regge calculus in our work [5] for the case $\gamma^{-1} = 0$. The kinetic term of the continuous time Regge calculus Lagrangian for a certain triangle used in [5] generalizes to the case $\gamma^{-1} \neq 0$ as

$$\left(v - \frac{1}{\gamma} * v \right) \circ \Omega^T \dot{\Omega}. \quad (31)$$

Here $*v^{ab} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ab}_{cd}v^{cd}$; $v \circ R \equiv \frac{1}{2}v_{ab}R^{ab}$ for the two matrices v, R . Consider the following degrees of freedom in Ω ,

$$\Omega \implies \Omega \exp \left(\alpha \frac{v}{|v|} + \beta \frac{*v}{|v|} \right) \quad (32)$$

(rotation *around* the triangle parameterized by α or *in* the triangle parameterized by β preserving the area tensor). Since $v * v = 0$ for physical v , contribution of α, β to the kinetic term is

$$\frac{v^2}{|v|} \left(\dot{\alpha} - \frac{\dot{\beta}}{\gamma} \right). \quad (33)$$

For the spacelike area $v^2 = -|v^2|$. In this case β is Euclidean angle, and by requiring the canonical commutation relations and periodicity at $\beta \rightarrow \beta + 2\pi$ this enforces quantization of the conjugate variable $|v|/\gamma$, $v^2 = -\gamma^2 n^2$. For the timelike area $v^2 = |v^2|$. In this case α is Euclidean angle, and by requiring the canonical commutation relations and periodicity at $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha + 2\pi$ this enforces quantization of the conjugate variable $|v|$, $v^2 = n^2$. These eigenvalues are two times smaller than the above values of areas providing local maxima in the probability distribution, but exhaust the latter at the even numbers.

The main underlying difficulty in definition of path integral in a theory with finite $SO(3,1)$ rotations is exponential growth of the Haar measure on some rotations (Lorentz boosts). Nevertheless, path integral in the representation of minisuperspace Regge calculus system in terms of rotation matrices in Minkowsky spacetime can be well defined. Upon integrating out connections, probability distribution turns out to decrease exponentially at large areas. Thus vertices do not go away to infinity and in this sense the minisuperspace system described by elementary lengths/areas is self-consistent. In the region $\gamma \ll 1$ or $\gamma \gg 1$ of variation of the parameter γ probability distribution has a set of local maxima at the areas which are eigenvalues within operator approach to the continuous time Regge calculus. This should not seem surprising since both effects are caused by similar reasons, periodicity over (Euclidean) angles and multivalent nature of arcsin function.

The present work was supported in part by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research through Grant No. 08-02-00960-a.

References

- [1] T. Regge, General relativity theory without coordinates. - *Nuovo Cimento* **19**, 568 (1961).
- [2] J. Cheeger, W. Müller, R. Schrader, On the curvature of the piecewise flat spaces. - *Commun. Math. Phys.* **92**, 405 (1984).
- [3] V.M. Khatsymovsky, Defining some integrals in Regge calculus, arXiv:0808.1042.
- [4] S. Holst, Barbero's Hamiltonian Derived from a Generalized Hilbert-Palatini Action. - *Phys. Rev. D*, **53**, 5966 (1996), gr-qc/9511026.
J.F. Barbero, Real Ashtekar Variables for Lorentzian Signature Space-times. - *Phys. Rev. D* **51**, 5507 (1995), gr-qc/9410014.
G. Immirzi, Quantum Gravity and Regge Calculus. - *Class. Quantum Grav.* **14**, L177 (1997), gr-qc/9701052.
- [5] V.M. Khatsymovsky, On the quantization of Regge links. - *Phys. Lett.* **323B**, 292, (1994), gr-qc/9311001.