

A Non-minimally Coupled Quintom Dark Energy Model on the Warped DGP Brane

Kourosh Nozari^{a,1}, M. R. Setare^{b,2}, Tahereh Azizi^{a,3} and Noushin Behrouz^c

*^aDepartment of Physics, Faculty of Basic Sciences,
University of Mazandaran,
P. O. Box 47416-95447, Babolsar, IRAN*

^b Department of Science, Payam-e Nour University, Bijar, IRAN

*^c Department of Physics, Payam-e Nour University,
P. O. Box 919, Mashad, IRAN*

Abstract

We study dynamics of equation of state parameter for a non-minimally coupled quintom dark energy component on the warped DGP brane. We investigate crossing of the cosmological constant line in this scenario. This crossing occurs in both DGP[±] branches of the model.

PACS: 04.50.-h, 11.25.Wx, 95.36.+x, 98.80.-k

Key Words: Dark Energy Models, Braneworld Scenarios

¹knozari@umz.ac.ir

²rezakord@ipm.ir

³t.azizi@umz.ac.ir

1 Introduction

In the last few years, an increasing number of astronomical observations (such as data from CMB temperature fluctuations spectrum and Supernova type Ia redshift-distance surveys) have indicated that current universe is almost flat and undergoing a positively accelerated phase of expansion [1]. This phenomenon is not predicted by standard cosmology governed by general relativity with the known matter constituents. To explain this cosmic positive acceleration, mysterious dark energy has been proposed. There are several dark energy models which can be distinguished by, for instance, their equation of state (EoS) ($\omega_{de} = \frac{P_{de}}{\rho_{de}}$) during the evolution of the universe. The cosmological constant is the simplest model of dark energy with equation of state $\omega = -1$, but the huge fine-tuning required for its magnitude makes it unfavorable for the cosmologists. It is then tempting to find alternative models of dark energy which have dynamical nature unlike cosmological constant. An accelerated expansion can be realized by using a scalar field whose origin may be found in superstring or supergravity theories; some of these models are quintessence, k-essence, tachyonic models, dilatonic models and phantom fields [2] (see also [3]). Other alternative approaches to accommodate dark energy are modification of general relativity by considering additional spatial dimensions [4-6] or modified Einstein-Hilbert action [7].

In recent years, there has been a lot of interest in the extra dimensional theories by modifying the old Kaluza-Klien picture, where the extra dimensions must be sufficiently compact. These recent developments are based on the idea that ordinary matter and gauge fields could be confined to a three dimensional world (brane), while gravity and possibly non-standard matter are free to propagate in the entire extra dimensional spacetime (the bulk). One of the most popular braneworld models is the Randall-Sundrom II setup [5]. In this model conventional 4D gravity can be recovered at large scales (low energies) on a Minkowski braneworld embedded in a 5D Anti de Sitter (AdS) bulk. On the other hand, Dvali-Gabadadze and Porrati (DGP) introduced a braneworld model which gravity is modified at large distances rather than short distances in contrast to other popular braneworld scenarios, because of an induced four-dimensional Ricci scalar in the action on the brane [6]. This term can be obtained by the quantum interaction between the matter confined on the brane and the bulk gravitons. The DGP braneworld scenario explains accelerated expansion of the universe via leakage of gravity to extra dimension without need to introduce a dark energy [8]. While the RS model produces ultra-violet

(UV) modification to the General Relativity, the DGP model leads to infra-red (IR) modification of GR. However, by considering the effect of an induced gravity term as a quantum correction in RS model, we have a combined model that called "warped DGP braneworld" in the literature [9]. This setup gives also a "self-accelerating" phase in the brane evolution.

On the other hand, astrophysical data also indicate that ω lies in a very narrow strip close to -1 . The case $\omega = -1$ corresponds to the cosmological constant. For ω less than -1 the phantom dark energy is observed, and for ω more than -1 (but less than $-\frac{1}{3}$) the dark energy is described by quintessence. More over, the analysis of the properties of dark energy from recent observational data mildly favor models of dark energy with ω crossing -1 line in the near past. So, the phantom phase equation of state with $\omega < -1$ is still mildly allowed by observations. In this case, the universe lives in its phantom phase which ends eventually at a future singularity (Big Rip). There are also a lot of evidence all around of a dynamical equation of state, which has crossed the so called phantom divide line $\omega = -1$ recently, at the value of red shift parameter $z \approx 0.25$ [10]. Most of dark energy models treat scalar field(s) as dark component(s) with a dynamical equation of state. Currently scalar fields play crucial roles in modern cosmology. In the inflationary scenario they generate an exponential rate of evolution of the universe as well as density fluctuations due to vacuum energy. It seems that the presence of a non-minimal coupling (NMC) between scalar field and gravity is also necessary. There are many theoretical evidences that suggest incorporation of an explicit non-minimal coupling of scalar field and gravity in the action [11]. The nonzero non-minimal coupling arises from quantum corrections and it is required also by the renormalization of the corresponding field theory. Amazingly, it has been proven that the phantom divide line crossing of dark energy described by a single minimally coupled scalar field with general Lagrangian is even unstable with respect to the cosmological perturbations realized on the trajectories of the zero measure [12]. This fact has motivated a lot of attempts to realize crossing of the phantom divide line by equation of state parameter of scalar field as dark energy candidate in more complicated frameworks. One of these attempts is a hybrid model, composed of two scalar fields, quintessence and phantom, that usually dubbed as quintom model in literature [13]. A quintom model was initially proposed to obtain a model of dark energy with an EoS parameter ω which satisfies $\omega > -1$ in the past and $\omega < -1$ at present. As we have emphasized, this model is mildly favored by the current observational data fitting. Thus, the quintom model is a dynamical scenario

of dark energy with the property that its EoS can smoothly cross over the cosmological constant barrier $\omega = -1$. Recently, Zhang and Zhu have considered a minimally coupled scalar field on the DGP braneworld and realized crossing of the phantom divide line by considering two possible cases [14]: for ordinary scalar field (quintessence) EoS of dark energy crosses from $\omega > -1$ to $\omega < -1$ in negative branch of DGP setup (that is branch with $\epsilon = -1$) and for phantom field EoS of dark energy crosses from $\omega < -1$ to $\omega > -1$ in positive branch ($\epsilon = +1$) of DGP scenario. With these preliminaries, in this paper we investigate crossing of the phantom divide line by considering quintom field non-minimally coupled to induced gravity on a warped DGP brane. Assuming a FRW brane with induced gravity in the presence of a quintom field which is non-minimally coupled to the induced Ricci scalar, we show that the crossing occurs in a suitable range of model parameters. It is surprising that in this case we have crossing of phantom divide in both positive and negative branches ($\epsilon = \pm 1$) of the warped DGP brane. This phenomenon is depended on the choice of the sign of non-minimal coupling parameter.

2 A Dark Energy Model on the Warped DGP Brane

2.1 Warped DGP Braneworld

We start with the action of the warped DGP model as follows

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_{bulk} + \mathcal{S}_{brane}, \quad (1)$$

$$\mathcal{S} = \int_{bulk} d^5 X \sqrt{-(^{(5)}g)} \left[\frac{1}{2\kappa_5^2} {}^{(5)}R + {}^{(5)}\mathcal{L}_m \right] + \int_{brane} d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{\kappa_5^2} K^\pm + \mathcal{L}_{brane}(g_{\alpha\beta}, \psi) \right]. \quad (2)$$

Here \mathcal{S}_{bulk} is the action of the bulk, \mathcal{S}_{brane} is the action of the brane and \mathcal{S} is the total action. X^A with $A = 0, 1, 2, 3, 5$ are coordinates in bulk while x^μ with $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$ are induced coordinates on the brane. κ_5^2 is 5-dimensional gravitational constant. ${}^{(5)}R$ and ${}^{(5)}\mathcal{L}_m$ are 5-dimensional Ricci scalar and matter Lagrangian respectively. K^\pm is trace of extrinsic curvature on either side of the brane. $\mathcal{L}_{brane}(g_{\alpha\beta}, \psi)$ is the effective 4-dimensional Lagrangian. The action \mathcal{S} is actually a combination of Randall-Sundrum II and DGP model. In other words, an induced curvature term is appeared on the brane in Randall-Sundrum II model, hence the name *warped DGP Braneworld* [9]. Now consider the brane Lagrangian as follows

$$\mathcal{L}_{brane}(g_{\alpha\beta}, \psi) = \frac{\mu^2}{2} R - \lambda + L_m, \quad (3)$$

where μ is a mass parameter, R is Ricci scalar of the brane, λ is tension of the brane and L_m is Lagrangian of the other matters localized on the brane. Assume that bulk contains only a cosmological constant, $^{(5)}\Lambda$. With these choices, action (1) gives either a generalized DGP or a generalized RS II model: it gives DGP model if $\lambda = 0$ and $^{(5)}\Lambda = 0$, and gives RS II model if $\mu = 0$ [9]. The generalized Friedmann equation on the brane is as follows

$$H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2} = \frac{1}{3\mu^2} \left[\rho + \rho_0 (1 + \varepsilon \mathcal{A}(\rho, a)) \right], \quad (4)$$

where $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ is corresponding to two possible branches of solutions (two different embedding of the brane) in this warped DGP model and $\mathcal{A} = \left[\mathcal{A}_0^2 + \frac{2\eta}{\rho_0} \left(\rho - \mu^2 \frac{\mathcal{E}_0}{a^4} \right) \right]^{1/2}$ where $\mathcal{A}_0 \equiv \left[1 - 2\eta \frac{\mu^2 \Lambda}{\rho_0} \right]^{1/2}$, $\eta \equiv \frac{6m_5^6}{\rho_0 \mu^2}$ with $0 < \eta \leq 1$ and $\rho_0 \equiv m_\lambda^4 + 6 \frac{m_5^6}{\mu^2}$. \mathcal{E}_0 is an integration constant and corresponding term in the generalized Friedmann equation is called dark radiation term. We neglect dark radiation term in which follows. In this case, generalized Friedmann equation (4) takes the following form

$$H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2} = \frac{1}{3\mu^2} \left[\rho + \rho_0 + \varepsilon \rho_0 \left(\mathcal{A}_0^2 + \frac{2\eta\rho}{\rho_0} \right)^{1/2} \right], \quad (5)$$

where ρ is the total energy density, including scalar fields and dust matter energy densities on the brane:

$$\rho = \rho_\varphi + \rho_\sigma + \rho_{dm} \quad (6)$$

and ρ_0 is given by,

$$\rho_0 = \frac{6\mu^2}{r_c^2}, \quad (7)$$

where the crossover radius is defined as $r_c = \kappa_5^2 \mu^2$.

2.2 A Quintom Dark Energy Model on the Warped DGP Brane

Now we consider two scalar fields, one quintessence and the other phantom field both non-minimally coupled to induced gravity on the warped DGP brane. The action of this non-minimal quintom model is given by

$$\mathcal{S}_{quint} = \int_{brane} d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{2} \xi R (\varphi^2 + \sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \varphi \partial^\mu \varphi + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \sigma \partial^\mu \sigma - V_1(\varphi) - V_2(\sigma) \right], \quad (8)$$

where ξ is a non-minimal coupling, R is Ricci scalar of the brane, φ and σ are the normal (quintessence) and phantom field respectively and $V_1(\varphi)$ and $V_2(\sigma)$ are corresponding

potentials. We have assumed a conformal coupling of the scalar fields and induced gravity and these fields play the role of quintom dark-energy component on the brane. Variation of the action with respect to φ gives the equation of motion of the normal scalar field

$$\ddot{\varphi} + 3H\dot{\varphi} - \xi R\varphi + \frac{dV_1}{d\varphi} = 0. \quad (9)$$

and variation of the action with respect to σ gives the equation of motion of phantom field

$$\ddot{\sigma} + 3H\dot{\sigma} + \xi R\sigma - \frac{dV_2}{d\sigma} = 0. \quad (10)$$

The energy density and pressure of quintom are given by the following relation respectively

$$\rho_{quint} = \rho_{\varphi} + \rho_{\sigma} = \frac{1}{2}(\dot{\varphi}^2 - \dot{\sigma}^2) + V_1(\varphi) + V_2(\sigma) - 6\xi H(\varphi\dot{\varphi} + \sigma\dot{\sigma}) - 3\xi H^2(\varphi^2 + \sigma^2) \quad (11)$$

and

$$p_{quint} = p_{\varphi} + p_{\sigma} = \frac{1}{2}(\dot{\varphi}^2 - \dot{\sigma}^2) - V_1(\varphi) - V_2(\sigma) + 2\xi(\varphi\ddot{\varphi} + 2\varphi H\dot{\varphi} + \dot{\varphi}^2 + \sigma\ddot{\sigma} + 2\sigma H\dot{\sigma} + \dot{\sigma}^2) + \xi(2\dot{H} + 3H^2)(\varphi^2 + \sigma^2) \quad (12)$$

In which follows, by comparing the modified Friedmann equation in the warped DGP braneworld with the standard Friedmann equation, we deduce the equation of state of quintom field. This is reasonable since all observed features of dark energy are essentially derivable in general relativity [14,15]. The standard Friedmann equation in four dimensions is written as

$$H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2} = \frac{1}{3\mu^2}(\rho_{dm} + \rho_{de}), \quad (13)$$

where ρ_{dm} is the dust matter density, while ρ_{de} is dark energy density. Comparing this equation with equation (5) we find

$$\rho_{de} = \rho_{\varphi} + \rho_{\sigma} + \rho_0 + \varepsilon\rho_0\left(A_0^2 + 2\eta\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (14)$$

Non-minimal coupling of the scalar fields and Ricci curvature on the brane doesn't break the validity of conservation of the scalar fields effective energy density

$$\frac{d\rho_{quint}}{dt} + 3H(\rho_{quint} + p_{quint}) = 0. \quad (15)$$

Since the dust matter obeys the continuity equation and the Bianchi identity keeps valid, dark energy itself satisfies the continuity equation

$$\frac{d\rho_{de}}{dt} + 3H(\rho_{de} + p_{de}) = 0 \quad (16)$$

where p_{de} denotes the pressure of the dark energy. The equation of state for the dark energy can be written as follows

$$w_{de} = \frac{p_{de}}{\rho_{de}} = -1 + \frac{1}{3} \frac{d \ln \rho_{de}}{d \ln(1+z)}. \quad (17)$$

Using equations (14) and (16) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d \ln \rho_{de}}{d \ln(1+z)} &= \frac{3}{\rho_{de}} \left[\rho_{\varphi} + p_{\varphi} + \rho_{\sigma} + p_{\sigma} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \varepsilon \eta \left(A_0^2 + 2\eta \frac{\rho_{\varphi} + \rho_{\sigma} + \rho_{dm}}{\rho_0} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\rho_{\varphi} + p_{\varphi} + \rho_{\sigma} + p_{\sigma} + \rho_{dm} \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

There are three possible cases in this setup: if $\left(\frac{1}{3} \frac{d \ln \rho_{de}}{d \ln(1+z)} \right) > 0$, we have a quintessence model; if $\left(\frac{1}{3} \frac{d \ln \rho_{de}}{d \ln(1+z)} \right) < 0$ the model is phantom and if $\left(\frac{1}{3} \frac{d \ln \rho_{de}}{d \ln(1+z)} \right) = 0$, the dark component is a cosmological constant. Evidently, in this setup non-minimal coupling of scalar fields and induced gravity plays a crucial role supporting or preventing phantom divide line crossing. In this respect, the differences between the minimal and non-minimal setups will be more clear if we write the explicit dynamics of equation of state parameter. On the other hand, the effect of warp factor which appears in the definition of ρ_{de} , will be highlighted in forthcoming arguments. We choose the following exponential potential with motivation that this type of potential can be solved exactly in the standard model

$$V_1(\varphi) = V_{01} \exp(-\lambda_1 \frac{\varphi}{\mu}), \quad (19)$$

and

$$V_2(\sigma) = V_{02} \exp(-\lambda_2 \frac{\sigma}{\mu}), \quad (20)$$

where V_{01} , V_{02} , λ_1 , λ_2 and μ are constant. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &= -1 + \frac{1}{\rho_{de}} \left[(\dot{\varphi}^2 - \dot{\sigma}^2) + 2\xi \left(-H(\varphi\dot{\varphi} + \sigma\dot{\sigma}) + \dot{H}(\varphi^2 + \sigma^2) + \varphi\ddot{\varphi} + \sigma\ddot{\sigma} + \dot{\varphi}^2 + \dot{\sigma}^2 \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + [(\dot{\varphi}^2 - \dot{\sigma}^2) + 2\xi \left(-H(\varphi\dot{\varphi} + \sigma\dot{\sigma}) + \dot{H}(\varphi^2 + \sigma^2) + \varphi\ddot{\varphi} + \sigma\ddot{\sigma} + \dot{\varphi}^2 + \dot{\sigma}^2 \right) + \rho_{dm}] \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left[\varepsilon \eta \left(A_0^2 + 2\eta \frac{\frac{1}{2}(\dot{\varphi}^2 - \dot{\sigma}^2) + V_1(\varphi) + V_2(\sigma) - 6\xi H(\varphi\dot{\varphi} + \sigma\dot{\sigma}) - 3\xi H^2(\varphi^2 + \sigma^2) + \rho_{dm}}{\rho_0} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] \right] \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

As a comparison, in the minimal case (with $\xi = 0$) and neglecting the warp effect, when we consider just a quintessence field and choosing the sign of ε to be negative, two remaining terms on the right hand side of equation (21) will have opposite signs and the EoS

parameter essentially crosses the phantom divide line [14]. However, in our non-minimal quintom model the situation is more complicated and it is not simple to conclude that there is crossing of the phantom divide line or not just by defining ε sign since non-minimal coupling itself plays a crucial role in this case. We consider ξ (the non-minimal coupling of scalar fields and induced gravity) as a fine-tuning parameter in this setup. In which follows, we use some parameters like Ω_m (the value of energy density of dust matter over the critical density defined as $\rho_c = 24\mu^2 H_0^2$), Ω_{ki} (present value of kinetic energy density of the scalar field over the critical density), Ω_{rc} (present value of the energy density of ρ_0 over the critical density) and non-minimal coupling to calculate EoS parameter, $\omega(z)$. If we change the values of these parameters in appropriate manner (subjected to observational constraints), the redshift at which crossing of the phantom divide line occurs will change since it is a model dependent quantity in this respect. We explain further these behaviors of phantom divide line crossing in our forthcoming arguments and within a numerical scheme. For these numerical calculations we introduce a new parameter defined as $s = -\ln(1+z)$ and in all figures the behavior of ω is plotted versus s . In this numerical calculations, we need explicit form of $\ddot{\varphi}$ (or $\ddot{\sigma}$) in terms of other quantities. For instance $\ddot{\varphi}$ is given as follows

$$\ddot{\varphi} = \frac{6\xi\varphi[(\dot{\varphi}^2 - \dot{\sigma}^2) + 2\xi(-H(\varphi\dot{\varphi} + \sigma\dot{\sigma}) + \dot{\varphi}^2 + \dot{\sigma}^2) + \rho_{dm}]\left(\frac{b}{-2(\mu^2 + \xi(\varphi^2 + \sigma^2)b)}\right)}{1 + \left(\varphi - \frac{\sigma^2}{\varphi}\right)\frac{6\xi^2\varphi\dot{\varphi}b}{\mu^2 + \xi(\varphi^2 + \sigma^2)b}} + \frac{\frac{\lambda_1}{\mu}V_1(\varphi) + \left[\frac{\sigma x}{\varphi\dot{\varphi}} + \frac{y}{\dot{\sigma}}\right]\frac{3\xi\varphi\sigma b}{(\mu^2 + \xi(\varphi^2 + \sigma^2)b)} - 3H(\dot{\varphi} - 4\xi\varphi H)}{1 + \left(\varphi - \frac{\sigma^2}{\varphi}\right)\frac{6\xi^2\varphi\dot{\varphi}b}{\mu^2 + \xi(\varphi^2 + \sigma^2)b}} \quad (22)$$

where we have defined

$$x \equiv \frac{3H(\dot{\varphi}^2 - 4\xi\varphi H\dot{\varphi}) + \dot{V}_1(\varphi)}{6\xi\varphi\dot{\varphi}} \quad (23)$$

and

$$y \equiv \frac{3H(\dot{\sigma}^2 + 4\xi\sigma H\dot{\sigma}) + \dot{V}_2(\sigma)}{6\xi\sigma\dot{\sigma}} \quad (24)$$

and

$$b \equiv 1 + \varepsilon\eta(A_0^2 + 2\eta\frac{\rho}{\rho_0})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (25)$$

On the other hand, Friedmann equation given by (5) now takes the following complicated form

$$(\mu^2 + g)^2 H^4 + 2f(3\mu^2 + g)H^3 + [f^2 - 2l(3\mu^2 + g) + 2\eta\varepsilon^2\rho_0 g]H^2$$

$$+\left(-2fl + \varepsilon^2 \rho_0 \eta f\right)H - 2\eta \varepsilon^2 \rho_0(l - \rho_0) - \varepsilon^2 \rho_0^2 A_0^2 + l^2 = 0 \quad (26)$$

where

$$g \equiv 3\xi H^2(\varphi^2 + \sigma^2), \quad (27)$$

$$l \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\dot{\varphi}^2 - \dot{\sigma}^2) + V_1(\varphi) + V_2(\sigma) + \rho_{dm} + \rho_0, \quad (28)$$

and

$$f \equiv 6\xi H(\varphi\dot{\varphi} + \sigma\dot{\sigma}). \quad (29)$$

Equation (26) is a quadratic equation in terms of H and in principle has four roots. We show these roots as h_1 , h_2 , h_3 and h_4 . Two of these roots, say, h_1 and h_2 are negative, excluded from observational ground. The other two roots, h_3 and h_4 , are positive and as we will show have the capability to account for phantom divide line crossing. Since behaviors of these roots in treating phantom divide line crossing are very similar, in which follows we consider only one of these roots, say h_4 , and investigate its cosmological consequences. The effect of warp factor on the dynamics of these solutions will be discussed later. In table 1, we have obtained some reliable ranges of non-minimal coupling to have crossing of the phantom divide line in this setup. We have assumed the age of universe to be 13 Gyr and with this choice the values of ξ are constraint to the ranges shown in the table. On the other hand, observational data show that crossing of the phantom divide line is occurred in redshift $z \simeq 0.25$ (though a model dependent value but suitable for our purposes) so we have obtained the value of ξ which is corresponding to this value in last column of the table. The results of numerical calculations are shown in figure 1 for two branches of this DGP-inspired model and with different values of non-minimal coupling ξ . In this analysis the best ranges of values for ξ to have a reliable model in comparison with observational data are obtained. Note that in all of our numerical calculations we have assumed $\Omega_{ki} = 0.01$, $\Omega_{rc} = 0.01$, $\Omega_m = 0.3$ and λ_1 and $\lambda_2 = 0.5$, and also for investigating the effect of non-minimal coupling we have set $A_0 = 1$, $H_0 = 1$, $\mu = 1$ and $\eta = 0.99$. Figure 1 shows the crossing of phantom divide line obtains in both positive and negative branches of the DGP-inspired model. However, there is a difference between crossing behavior of these solutions. Indeed, as it could be understood from the figures, for positive branch of the model there is crossing of the PDL (from phantom phase $\omega < -1$ to quintessence phase $\omega > -1$) only for positive non-minimal coupling parameter. By contrast, the above result for negative branch of DGP model holds only for negative values of NMC parameter while EoS of dark energy has transition from quintessence phase $\omega > -1$ to phantom phase $\omega < -1$.




Figure 1: a) For positive branch ($\varepsilon = +1$) of this DGP-inspired scenario we find: a) with positive nonminimal coupling the EoS of dark energy crosses $\omega = -1$ (from phantom phase to quintessence) for $\xi = 0.126$ at $s = -0.22$ or $z = 0.25$, b) There is no crossing of the phantom divide line with negative values of non-minimal coupling. For negative branch (that is, $\varepsilon = -1$) of the scenario we see that: c) There is no crossing of the phantom divide line with positive values of non-minimal coupling, but, d) with negative nonminimal coupling the EoS of dark energy crosses $\omega = -1$ (from quintessence to phantom phase) for $\xi = -0.251$ at $s = -0.22$ or $z = 0.25$. This case has very good agreement with observational data that favor crossing from quintessence to phantom phase[16,17].

Table 1: Acceptable range of ξ (constraint by the age of the universe) for h_4 (a positive root of H as given by equation (26)) to have crossing of the phantom divide line.

ε	ξ	Acceptable range of ξ	The value of ξ for $z=0.25$
+1	positive	$0.088 \leq \xi \leq 0.217$	0.126
+1	negative	no crossing	—
-1	positive	no crossing	—
-1	negative	$-0.292 \leq \xi \leq -0.215$	-0.251

In figure 2 we have plotted the behavior of deceleration parameter q versus s in both branches of DGP-inspired scenario. We see that in positive branch of the scenario and with positive values of non-minimal coupling, deceleration parameter vanishes at a moment in future with redshift $z \approx -0.37$ for $\xi = 0.126$. On the other hand, in negative branch by decreasing the values of ξ (which is negative in this case), the deceleration parameter vanishes at late time epoches of the universe evolution. As an important result, by incorporating the non-minimal coupling we have an accelerated behavior even in the negative branch ($\varepsilon = -1$) of this DGP-inspired scenario.

In figure 3 we show the behavior of the EoS parameter of dark energy with different values of the parameter η which is related to warp effect. It is clearly seen that for sufficiently small values of η , in both branches of the model EoS parameter crosses the cosmological constant line in relatively small values of redshifts. Both of two possible crossing; from phantom phase to quintessence and from quintessence phase to phantom are possible in this scenario.

Figure 4 is a three dimensional plot of the parameter ω versus s and η . This figure confirm our previous discussions especially the role played by warp factor.




Figure 2: a) deceleration parameter in $DGP^{(+)}$ branch of the model which vanishes for instance at $s \approx 0.45$ for $\xi = 0.217$. b) deceleration parameter in $DGP^{(-)}$ branch of the model which vanishes for instance at $s \approx 0$ for $\xi = -0.292$. This vanishing shows the transition to acceleration or deceleration phase of the cosmological dynamics.




Figure 3: The role played by parameter η which is related to warp effect on the crossing of the phantom divide line. For sufficiently small values of η , equation of state parameter, ω , crosses the phantom divide line in relatively small values of redshifts. a) In $DGP^{(+)}$ branch of the model and with $\xi = 0.126$, the EoS of dark energy crosses $\omega = -1$ line for $\eta = 0.99$ at about $s = -0.22$. For $\eta = 0.5$ and $z = 0.25$ there is a crossing at $s \approx -0.17$ and so on. b) In $DGP^{(-)}$ branch of the model and with $\xi = -0.251$, the EoS of dark energy crosses $\omega = -1$ line for $\eta = 0.99$ at about $s = -0.22$, for instance.




Figure 4: Three dimensional plot of the phantom divide line crossing: a) In $\text{DGP}^{(+)}$ branch of the model with $\xi = 0.126$ and b) In $\text{DGP}^{(-)}$ branch of the model with $\xi = -0.251$. Cosmological line crossing is possible in both of these branches.

Now we discuss two especial cases of our model separately: a quintessence and a phantom phase.

2.3 Quintessence field

In this subsection, we investigate dynamics of a quintessence field non-minimally coupled to induced gravity on the background of a warped DGP brane. The action of the model in this case takes the following form

$$\mathcal{S}_\varphi = \int_{brane} d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{2} \xi R \varphi^2 - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \varphi \partial^\mu \varphi - V_1(\varphi) \right]. \quad (30)$$

The energy density and pressure of this quintessence field are given by

$$\rho_\varphi = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\varphi}^2 + V_1(\varphi) - 6\xi H \varphi \dot{\varphi} - 3\xi H^2 \varphi^2, \quad (31)$$

and

$$p_\varphi = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\varphi}^2 - V_1(\varphi) + 2\xi(\varphi \ddot{\varphi} + 2\varphi H \dot{\varphi} + \dot{\varphi}^2) + \xi \varphi^2 (2\dot{H} + 3H^2). \quad (32)$$

The equation of state parameter of this quintessence field takes the following form

$$\omega = -1 + \frac{1}{\rho_{de}} \left[\dot{\varphi}^2 + 2\xi(-H\varphi\dot{\varphi} + \dot{H}\varphi^2 + \varphi\ddot{\varphi} + \dot{\varphi}^2) + [\dot{\varphi}^2 + 2\xi(-H\varphi\dot{\varphi} + \dot{H}\varphi^2 + \varphi\ddot{\varphi} + \dot{\varphi}^2) + \rho_{dm}] \right. \\ \left. \left[\varepsilon \eta \left(A_0^2 + 2\eta \frac{\frac{1}{2}\dot{\varphi}^2 + V_1(\varphi) - 6\xi H \varphi \dot{\varphi} - 3\xi H^2 \varphi^2 + \rho_{dm}}{\rho_0} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] \right], \quad (33)$$

where

$$\ddot{\varphi} = \frac{6\xi\varphi[\dot{\varphi}^2 + 2\xi(-H\varphi\dot{\varphi} + \dot{\varphi}^2) + \rho_{dm}] \left(\frac{b}{-2(\mu^2 + \xi\varphi^2 b)} \right) - 3H(\dot{\varphi} - 4\xi\varphi H) + \frac{\lambda_1}{\mu} V_1(\varphi)}{1 + \frac{6\xi^2\varphi^2 b}{\mu^2 + \xi\varphi^2 b}}. \quad (34)$$

Table 2 shows some acceptable ranges of non-minimal coupling of quintessence field and induced gravity on the warped DGP setup. These values are constraint by the age of the universe for one root of equation (26) to have crossing of the phantom divide line by the equation of state parameter. Figure 5 shows the dynamics of EoS parameter of this scalar field on the warped DGP braneworld. As we see, in positive branch of the model (with $\varepsilon = +1$), this parameter crosses the cosmological constant line if non-minimal coupling is positive. The crossing on negative branch (with $\varepsilon = -1$) occurs only with negative values of non-minimal coupling. In both cases, crossing runs from phantom phase




Figure 5: a) In positive branch of the scenario and with positive nonminimal coupling, ω crosses the cosmological constant line. For instance, if $\xi = 0.298$ this crossing occurs at $s = -0.22$ or $z = 0.25$. b) There is no crossing of phantom divide line with negative values of non-minimal coupling ξ in the positive branch of the model. c) In negative branch of the model with positive values of non-minimal coupling, ω never crosses the cosmological constant line. d) In negative branch and with negative nonminimal coupling the EoS of dark energy crosses $\omega = -1$ line. For instance, if $\xi = -0.11$ this crossing occurs at $s = -0.22$ or $z = 0.25$.

Table 2: Acceptable ranges of ξ (constraint by the age of the universe) for h_4 (a positive root of H as given by equation (26)) to have crossing of the phantom divide line by the equation of state parameter of single quintessence field.

ε	ξ	Acceptable range of ξ	The value of ξ for $z=0.25$
+1	positive	$0.242 \leq \xi \leq 0.399$	0.298
+1	negative	no crossing	—
-1	positive	no crossing	—
-1	negative	$-0.147 \leq \xi \leq -0.09$	-0.11

($\omega < -1$) to quintessence phase ($\omega > -1$). There is no crossing of PDL in DGP^+ branch with negative sign of ξ and in DGP^- branch with positive sign of ξ .

Our results maybe compared with the minimal case that has been investigated by Zhang and Zhu [14]. Indeed, by considering an ordinary scalar field (quintessence), they have obtained a crossing of the $\omega = 1$ line running from $\omega > -1$ to $\omega < -1$ only in the negative branch of the DGP scenario. However, we see here that the presence of non-minimal coupling leads to a crossing behavior in both branches of DGP-inspired scenario. With a single quintessence scalar field this crossing runs from phantom phase to quintessence phase. Figure 6 shows the deceleration parameter in two branches of the model. It is observed from the figure that an accelerated phase will be occurs in the sufficiently high redshift in the future. The role played by the parameter η which is related to warped effect has been shown in figure 7. We should emphasize that from this figure we see that for small values of η , the equation of state parameter, ω , crosses the cosmological constant line in relatively small values of redshifts.

In figure 8, these results are shown in a three dimensional plot of EoS parameter ω versus s and η .




Figure 6: The deceleration parameter in $DGP^{(+)}$ branch which vanishes for $\xi = 0.08$ at $s \approx -0.82$ or $z \approx 1.27$. Crossing of phantom divide line in this case has been shown with dotted curve. b) The deceleration parameter in $DGP^{(-)}$ branch which vanishes at $s \approx 0.75$ for $\xi = -0.110$.




Figure 7: The role played by the warp effect (via parameter η) on the crossing of the phantom divide line. For sufficiently small values of η , the equation of state parameter, ω , crosses the phantom divide line in relatively small values of redshifts. a) In positive branch of the model with $\xi = 0.298$, the EoS parameter of dark energy crosses $\omega = -1$ line for $\eta = 0.99$ at $s \approx -0.22$ or $z \approx 0.25$. For $\eta = 0.6$ this crossing occurs at $s \approx -0.194$ or $z \approx 0.21$ and for $\eta = 0.3$ occurs at $s \approx -0.167$ or $z \approx 0.18$. b) In negative branch of the model with $\xi = -0.11$, the EoS of dark energy crosses $\omega = -1$ line for $\eta = 0.99$ at $s \approx -0.22$ or $z \approx 0.25$. Also, for $\eta = 0.8$ this crossing occurs at $s \approx -0.174$ or $z \approx 0.19$ and so on.




Figure 8: A three dimensional realization of the role played by the warp effect on the crossing of the phantom divide line. a) In DGP^+ branch of the model with $\xi = 0.298$ b) In DGP^- branch with negative non-minimal coupling, $\xi = -0.11$.

2.4 Phantom Field

Now we investigate dynamics of equation of state with a phantom field non-minimally coupled to induced gravity on the warped DGP brane. The action of the model is given by

$$\mathcal{S}_\sigma = \int_{brane} d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{2} \xi R \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \sigma \partial^\mu \sigma - V_2(\sigma) \right]. \quad (35)$$

Energy density and pressure of this phantom field are defined as

$$\rho_\sigma = -\frac{1}{2} \dot{\sigma}^2 + V_2(\sigma) - 6\xi H \sigma \dot{\sigma} - 3\xi H^2 \sigma^2, \quad (36)$$

and

$$p_\sigma = -\frac{1}{2} \dot{\sigma}^2 - V_2(\sigma) + 2\xi(\sigma \ddot{\sigma} + 2\sigma H \dot{\sigma} + \dot{\sigma}^2) + \xi \sigma^2 (2\dot{H} + 3H^2) \quad (37)$$

respectively. The equation of state parameter of this phantom field is given by

$$\omega = -1 + \frac{1}{\rho_{de}} \left[-\dot{\sigma}^2 + 2\xi(-H\sigma\dot{\sigma} + \dot{H}\sigma^2 + \sigma\ddot{\sigma} + \dot{\sigma}^2) + [\dot{\sigma}^2 + 2\xi(-H\sigma\dot{\sigma} + \dot{H}\sigma^2 + \sigma\ddot{\sigma} + \dot{\sigma}^2) + \rho_{dm}] \right. \\ \left. \left[\varepsilon \eta \left(A_0^2 + 2\eta \frac{\frac{1}{2}\dot{\sigma}^2 + V_2(\sigma) - 6\xi H \sigma \dot{\sigma} - 3\xi H^2 \sigma^2 + \rho_{dm}}{\rho_0} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] \right] \quad (38)$$

where

$$\ddot{\sigma} = \frac{6\xi\sigma[-\dot{\sigma}^2 + 2\xi(-H\sigma\dot{\sigma} + \dot{\sigma}^2) + \rho_{dm}] \left(\frac{b}{-2(\mu^2 + \xi\sigma^2 b)} \right) + 3H(\dot{\sigma} + 4\xi\sigma H) + \frac{\lambda_2}{\mu} V_2(\sigma)}{-1 + \frac{6\xi^2\sigma^2 b}{\mu^2 + \xi\sigma^2 b}}. \quad (39)$$

Table 3 shows the acceptable range of non-minimal coupling ξ to have crossing of cosmological line in this case.

Table 3: Acceptable range of non-minimal coupling constraint by the age of the universe and a positive root of H (as given by equation (26) with just one phantom field) in order to have crossing of the phantom divide line.

ε	ξ	Acceptable range of ξ	The value of ξ for $z=0.25$
+1	positive	$0.16 \leq \xi \leq 0.126$	—
+1	negative	$-0.106 \leq \xi \leq -0.056$	-0.091
-1	positive	no crossing	—
-1	negative	no crossing	—

Figure 9 shows that in the positive branch of this DGP-inspired scenario, the EoS of dark energy crosses the phantom divide line for all values of non-minimal coupling parameter. It is worth noticing that there is a very different behavior of such a crossing relative to existing literature (for instance [14]) . While the EoS of dark energy crosses from below of cosmological line to its above for positive values of ξ , with negative values of ξ this phenomenon occurs from above cosmological constant line to its below. The figure also indicates that there is no crossing in the negative branch of this setup for any range of non-minimal coupling parameter. This result could be compared with the minimal case obtained by Zhang and Zhu [14]. In fact in their framework, for phantom field the EoS of dark energy crosses from $\omega < -1$ to $\omega > -1$ in positive branch of DGP scenario. Here the situation differs due to non-minimal coupling and warp effect.

In figure 10 we show the dynamics of deceleration parameter with a non-minimally coupled phantom field on the warped DGP brane. The result confirm that this parameter vanishes in sufficiently late times of the universe evolution. We also plotted the three-dimensional profile of EoS parameter ω versus s and η .

3 Summary and Conclusion

Light-curves analysis of several hundreds type Ia supernovae, WMAP observations of the cosmic microwave background radiation and other CMB-based experiments have shown that our universe is currently in a period of accelerated expansion. An alternative to explain this accelerated expansion is a multi-component dark energy with at least one




Figure 9: a) With positive values of nonminimal coupling, the EoS parameter of dark energy on the positive branch of the model crosses the $\omega = -1$ line. This crossing runs from phantom to quintessence phase. b) With negative values of nonminimal coupling, the EoS parameter of dark energy crosses the $\omega = -1$ line in positive branch of the model from quintessence to phantom phase. This crossing occurs for $\xi = -0.091$ at $s = -0.22$ or $z = 0.25$. c) There is no crossing of phantom divide line in negative branch of the model with positive values of non-minimal coupling. d) There is no crossing of phantom divide line in negative branch with negative values of non-minimal coupling.




Figure 10: a) Dynamics of deceleration parameter for the case with one phantom field. b) The role played by the parameter η (related to warp effect) on the crossing of the phantom divide line. For sufficiently small values of η , equation of state parameter, ω , crosses the phantom divide line in relatively large values of redshifts. In DGP^+ branch of the model and with $\xi = -0.091$, the EoS of dark energy crosses the $\omega = -1$ line for $\eta = 0.99$ at $s \approx -0.22$ or $z \approx 0.25$. For $\eta = 0.5$, this crossing occurs at $s \approx -0.315$ or $z \approx 0.37$ while for $\eta = 0.1$ this occurs at $s \approx -0.46$ or $z \approx 0.58$. c) Dynamics of equation of state parameter versus s and η . This figure is plotted for DGP^+ branch of the model with $\xi = -0.091$.

non-canonical phantom field. The analysis of the properties of dark energy from recent observations mildly favor models where $\omega = \frac{p}{\rho}$ crosses the phantom divide line, $\omega = -1$ in the near past. In this respect, construction of theoretical frameworks with potential to describe positively accelerated expansion and crossing of phantom divide line by equation of state parameter is an interesting challenge. In this paper, we have constructed a quintom dark energy model on a warped DGP brane. We have investigated the crossing of the phantom divide line in this setup in three cases: first we have considered a combined scenario consist of two scalar fields as a realization of quintom model. This model is built on a unified treatment of an ordinary (quintessence) scalar field and a phantom field non-minimally coupled to the induced Ricci scalar on the warped DGP brane. In this case we have realized that cosmological constant line crossing occurs in both branches of this DGP-inspired scenario with suitable values and signs of non-minimal coupling parameter, (ξ) . For positive values of ξ , the EoS parameter of dark energy crosses the phantom divide line in DGP^+ branch of the model from below of cosmological constant line (phantom phase) to its above (quintessence phase). But, for negative values of ξ , this crossing occurs in DGP^- branch from above cosmological constant line (quintessence phase) to its below (phantom phase). Secondly, we have considered an ordinary scalar field (quintessence) non-minimally coupled to the induced gravity. In this case we have obtained crossing of the cosmological constant line in both $DGP^{(\pm)}$ branches of the model. The crossing occurs for positive branch by positive ranges of ξ and for negative branch by negative values of ξ . We have compared our results with the results of a similar analysis with minimal scalar field on the ordinary DGP setup investigated by Zhang and Zhu [14]. They have considered an ordinary scalar field (quintessence) on the DGP brane and obtained a crossing of the phantom divide line from $\omega > -1$ to $\omega < -1$ only in negative branch of DGP scenario. Consequently, by our analysis it can be concluded that the presence of non-minimal coupling of scalar field and induced Ricci scalar on the warped DGP brane leads to a crossing behavior in both branches of this DGP-inspired scenario. And finally we have considered a phantom scalar field non-minimally coupled to the induced Ricci scalar on the brane. In this case the EoS parameter of dark energy crosses the phantom divide line only in positive branch of model and its behavior is sensitive to the sign of the non-minimal coupling parameter. Indeed, the EoS of dark energy crosses cosmological constant line from phantom phase to quintessence phase for positive ξ ; with negative ξ this phenomenon occurs reversely (from quintessence to phantom phase). By comparing our results with the minimal case (which for phantom field the EoS of dark

energy crosses from $\omega < -1$ to $\omega > -1$ in positive branch of DGP scenario), we see that in our model this crossing behavior can occur even in reverse direction depending on the sign of the non-minimal coupling parameter.

References

- [1] S. Perlmutter *et al*, *Astrophys. J.* **517** (1999) 565; A. G. Riess *et al*, *Astron. J.* **116** (1998) 1006; A. D. Miller *et al*, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **524** (1999) L1; P. de Bernardis *et al*, *Nature* **404** (2000) 955; S. Hanany *et al*, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **545** (2000) L5; D. N. Spergel *et al*, *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **148** (2003) 175; L. Page *et al*, *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **148** (2003) 233; G. Hinshaw *et al*, [WMAP Collaboration], arXiv:0803.0732; D. N. Spergel *et al*, *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **170** (2007) 377; G. Hinshaw *et al*, *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **170** (2007) 288; L. Page *et al*, *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **170** (2007) 335; A. G. Reiss *et al*, *Astrophys. J.* **607** (2004) 665; S. W. Allen *et al*, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **353** (2004) 457; E. Komatsu *et al*. [WMAP Collaboration], arXiv:0803.0547.
- [2] E. J. Copeland, M. Sami and Sh. Tsujikawa, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **15** (2006) 1753, [arXiv:hep-th/0603057]
- [3] T. Padmanabhan, [arXiv:0807.2356]; T. Padmanabhan, [arXiv:0705.2533]
- [4] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, G. Dvali, *Phys. Lett. B* **429** (1998) 263, [hep-ph/9803315]; N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, G. Dvali, *Phys. Rev. D* **59** (1999) 086004, [hep-th/9807344]; I. Antoniadis, N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G. Dvali, *Phys. Lett. B* **436** (1998) 257
- [5] L. Randall, R. Sundrum, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** (1999) 3370; L. Randall, R. Sundrum, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** (1999) 4690
- [6] G. Dvali, G. Gabadadze and M. Porrati, *Phys. Lett. B* **485** (2000) 208, [hep-th/0005016]
- [7] S. Nojiri and S.D. Odintsov, *Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys.* **4** (2007) 115, [hep-th/0601213]; M. R. Setare, *Phys. Lett. B* **642**, 421, (2006); S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, [arXiv:0807.0685]; T. P. Sotiriou and V. Faraoni, [arXiv:0805.1726]; S. Capozziello and M. Francaviglia, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **40** (2008) 357; M. R. Setare and P. Moyassari, [arXiv:0806.2418].

- [8] C. Deffayet, Phys. Lett. B **502** (2001) 199; C. Deffayet, G. Dvali and G. Gabadadze, Phys. Rev. D **65** (2002) 044023; C. Deffayet, S. J. Landau, J. Raux, M. Zaldarriaga and P. Astier, Phys. Rev. D **66** (2002) 024019
- [9] Kei-ichi Maeda, S. Mizuno and T. Torii, Phys. Rev. D **68** (2003) 024033, [arXiv:gr-qc/0303039]
- [10] S. Nesseris and L. Perivolaropoulos, JCAP **0701** (2007) 018, [arXiv:astro-ph/0610092]
- [11] V. Faraoni, Phys. Rev. D **53** (1996) 6813; V. Faraoni, Phys. Rev. D **62** (2000) 023504; M. R. Setare, Phys. Lett. B **644**, 99, (2007); K. Nozari, JCAP **09** (2007) 003, [arXiv:hep-th/07081611]; M. Bouhamdi-Lopez and D. Wands, Phys. Rev. D **71** (2005) 024010, [arXiv:hep-th/0408061]; K. Nozari and B. Fazlpour, JCAP **11** (2007) 006, [arXiv:0708.1916]; K. Nozari and B. Fazlpour, JCAP **06** (2008) 032, [arXiv:0805.1537]; M. R. Setare and E. N. Saridakis, [arXiv:0810.0645]; O. Hrycyna, M. Szydlowski, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 123510, (2007); M. Szydlowski, O. Hrycyna, A. Kurek, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 027302, (2008).
- [12] A. Vikman, Phys. Rev. D **71** (2005) 023515, [arXiv:astro-ph/0407107]; V. Sahni and Y. Shtanov, JCAP **0311** (2003) 014; F. Piazza and S. Tsujikawa, JCAP **0407** (2004) 004; B. Wang, Y.G. Gong and E. Abdalla, Phys. Lett. B **624** (2005) 141; S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, [arXiv:hep-th/0506212]; S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov and S. Tsujikawa, [arXiv:hep-th/0501025]; E. Elizalde, S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov and P. Wang, Phys. Rev. D **71** (2005) 103504; P. S. Apostolopoulos and N. Tetradis, [arXiv:hep-th/0604014]
- [13] Z.-K. Guo *et al*, Phys. Lett. B **608** (2005) 177; M.-Z. Li, B. Feng, X.-M. Zhang, JCAP, 0512, 002, (2005); W. Zhao and Y. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **73** (2006) 123509; M. R. Setare, Phys. Lett. B **641**, 130, (2006); Y.-F. Cai, H. Li, Y.-S Piao and X. Zhang, Phys. Lett. B **646** (2007) 141; X. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **74** (2006) 103505; Y.-F. Cai *et al*, Phys. Lett. B **651** (2007) 1; Y.-F. Cai *et al*, JHEP **0710** (2007) 071; M. R. Setare and E. N. Saridakis, Phys. Lett. B **668** (2008) 177; H.-H. Xiong *et al*, Phys. Lett. B **666** (2008) 212; M. R. Setare, E. N. Saridakis, JCAP 0809, 026, (2008); M. R. Setare and E. N. Saridakis, [arXiv:0807.3807], to appear in IJMPD.
- [14] H. Zhang and Z.-H. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D **75** (2007) 023510

- [15] S.-F Wu, *et al*, Phys. Lett. B **659** (2008) 45, [arXiv:0708.1038]
- [16] U. Alam, V. Sahni, and A. Starobinsky, JCAP **0406** (2004) 008; Y. G. Gong, Class. Quant. Grav. **22** (2005) 2121; Y. Wang and M. Tegmark, Phys. Rev. D **71** (2005) 103513; Y. Wang and P. Mukherjee, Astrophys. J. **606** (2004) 654; R. Daly and S. Djorgovski, Astrophys. J. **612** (2004) 652; U. Alam, V. Sahni, T. Saini, and A. Starobinsky, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **354** (2004) 275; T. Choudhury and T. Padmanabhan, Astron. Astrophys. **429** (2005) 807
- [17] S. Yin, B. Wang, E. Abdalla and C.-Y. Lin, Phys. Rev. D **76** (2007) 124026, [arXiv:0708.0992]