

The efficiency of the molecular motors

Yunxin Zhang^{*†‡}

Abstract

Molecular motors convert chemical energy into mechanical work while operating in an environment dominated by Brownian motion. The aim of this paper is to explore the flow of energy between the molecular motors and its surroundings, in particular, its efficiency. Based on the Fokker-Planck equation with either N or infinite chemical states, we find that the energy efficiency of the molecular motors, whether the Stokes efficiency or the usual thermodynamic efficiency, is strictly bounded by 1, because of the dissipation of the energy in both the overdamped surroundings and in the process of the chemical reaction.

PACS: 87.16.Nn, 87.16.A-, 82.39.-k, 05.40.Jc

Keywords: molecular motors; Fokker-Planck equation; energy efficiency

1 Introduction

Molecular motors are biogenic force generators acting in the nanometer range ([13]). Linear motors produce sliding movements along filamentous structures called

^{*}School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China

[†]Shanghai Key Laboratory for Contemporary Applied Mathematics, Fudan University

[‡]Centre for Computational Systems Biology, Fudan University (E-Mail: xyz@fudan.edu.cn)

protein tracks; for example, myosin slides along an actin filament ([12] [8] [29]), kinesin ([11] [7] [31] [5] [32]) and dynein ([34] [28]) along a microtubule. Many of the molecular motors have the ability to rotate; for example, the bacterial flagellar motor ([15]) and F_0F_1 -ATP synthase ([20]). This movements and forces generated by the motors play essential roles in cellular functions. The linear motor kinesins are widely distributed in almost all eukaryotic cells. These motors are adenosine triphosphate (ATP)-driven walking machines that move in 8-nm steps toward the plus ends of the microtubule, turn over one ATP molecular per step under a range of loads ([13] [19] [9] [4]). Kinesin has two motor domains called heads, each of which includes the ATP- and microtubule-binding sites ([16], [1]). This motor steps mainly in the forward direction (to the plus end of the microtubule), but occasionally in the backward direction (to its minus end) ([30]).

The study of the energetics of molecular motors is relevant for several reasons. Firstly, highly efficient motors are desirable in order to decrease the heat dissipation. As occurs in modern computers, a high efficiency can be useful even if there is no need for energy saving, in cases where there are a lot of units in a small volume, a moderate temperature is required, and it is difficult to dissipate heat. Secondly, molecular motors are related to fundamental problems of thermodynamics and statistical mechanics. For instance, one of the first well-known Brownian motors was the ratchet-and-pawl setup studied by Feynman in his Lectures [27]. He calculated the efficiency of such an engine since his original purpose was to prove that an automatic demon cannot beat the second law of thermodynamics. Thirdly, many models of the molecular motors proposed in the literature are based on nonequilibrium fluctuations without specifying their source. However, the study of the energetics of such models requires a more precise formulation, since one has to determine the physical nature of the external agent and verify that the motor is consistent with the second law of thermodynamics ([22] [25]).

Recently, Hongyun Wang and G. Oster [36] have given the definition of the Stokes efficiency η_S (see Eq. (17)), and shown $\eta_S \leq 1$ through mathematical analysis of the Fokker-Planck equation with N chemical states. In [25], Hong Qian have introduced

a nonequilibrium potential function for a motor protein modelled by a rectified Brownian motion. Since the conservation of energy can be mathematically established, Qian's model provides a comprehensive theory for motor efficiency. In [22], the energetics of forced ratchets, chemical motors, and thermal motors has been discussed. The reasonable definitions of the energy efficiency of motors, which are compatible with the law of the thermodynamics, have also been reviewed.

In this research, we'll discuss the properties of the energy efficiency of the molecular motors in more details. Using the description of the Fokker-Planck equation with N or infinite chemical states, we obtain $\eta_S \not\leq 1, \eta_T \not\leq 1$. It is to say that the energy efficiency of the molecular motors, either the Stokes efficiency ([36]) or the usual thermodynamic efficiency ([22] [25]), is strictly bounded by 1, and any attempt to design a molecular motor that can convert the chemical energy into mechanical energy with energy efficiency 1 would be failed. The movement of the molecular motors satisfies the second law of the thermodynamics. It is why the Feynman ratchet doesn't work [26] [27]. But how is the chemical energy consumed in the motility cycle of the molecular motors? In this paper, we'll find that part of the chemical energy is converted into the mechanical work, part of which is dissipated into the overdamped surroundings and also part of which is dissipated in the chemical reaction (see Eq. (41)). In fact, for motor proteins, such as kinesin, myosin and dynein, the heat dissipation in the surroundings is very important to keep the body temperature and the well-balanced body temperature is essential to the biophysical functions of the cell. So, not only mathematical analysis but also biophysical demand implies that the energy efficiency of the molecular motor should be strictly bounded by 1. The chemical energy is not only used to do mechanical work but also used to keep the body temperature.

2 Fokker-Planck equation with N chemical states

In this section, we'll discuss the energy efficiency η_S and η_T using the Fokker-Planck equation with N chemical states.

Let $\rho_i(x, t)$ be the probability density for finding the motor at position x in the i -th chemical state at time t . The evolution of the probability density is governed by a set of coupled Fokker-Planck equations that ensure the conservation of probability ([23] [37] [14] [13]):

$$\frac{\partial \rho_i(x, t)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial J_i(x, t)}{\partial x} = I_{i-\frac{1}{2}}(x, t) - I_{i+\frac{1}{2}}(x, t) \quad 1 \leq i \leq N \quad (1)$$

Here J_i is the probability flux in the spatial direction for the i -th chemical state, associated with convective transport and diffusion. $I_{i+1/2}$ is the probability flux density from state i to state $i + 1$, N is the number of chemical states in one reaction cycle. For example, $N = 4$ is usually used in the motility cycle of motor proteins [36] [33].

In the i -th state, the motor is driven by a periodic potential $\phi_i(x)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} J_i(x, t) &= \rho_i(x, t)u_i(x, t) = -\frac{\rho_i(x, t)}{\xi} \frac{\partial \Phi_i(x, t)}{\partial x} \\ &= -\frac{\rho_i(x, t)}{\xi} \frac{\partial \bar{\phi}_i(x)}{\partial x} - D \frac{\partial \rho_i(x, t)}{\partial x} \\ &= \underbrace{-\frac{\rho_i(x, t)}{\xi} \frac{\partial \phi_i(x)}{\partial x} + \frac{\rho_i(x, t) f_{ext}}{\xi}}_{\text{spatial drift flux}} \quad \underbrace{-D \frac{\partial \rho_i(x, t)}{\partial x}}_{\text{spatial diffusion flux}} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

$$I_{i+\frac{1}{2}}(x, t) = \rho_i(x, t)k_i^+ - \rho_{i+1}(x, t)k_{i+1}^- \quad (\text{flux along reaction coordinates}) \quad (3)$$

where $\Phi_i = \bar{\phi}_i + k_B T \ln \rho_i = \phi_i - f_{ext}x + k_B T \ln \rho_i$ is the enthalpy, ξ is the coefficient of viscous drag, D is the free diffusion coefficient, k_B is the Boltzmann constant, T is absolute temperature (D, ξ, k_B, T satisfy the Einstein relation $k_B T = \xi D$), f_{ext} is the external load ($f_{ext} \leq 0$), and k_i^+ is the transition rate from state i to state $i + 1$, k_{i+1}^- is the transition rate from state $i + 1$ to state i . k_i^+ and k_{i+1}^- satisfy

$$\frac{k_i^+}{k_{i+1}^-} = \exp\left(\frac{\phi_i(x) - \phi_{i+1}(x)}{k_B T}\right) \quad (4)$$

which ensures detailed balance at equilibrium.

Since the motor operates in a chemical and mechanical cycle, the boundary conditions for Eq. (1) in both the spatial direction and the reaction coordinate are periodical: $\rho_i(x + L, t) = \rho_i(x, t)$, $\rho_{i+N}(x, t) = \rho_i(x, t)$, $\phi_{i+N} = \phi_i - \Delta\mu$, where L is the step size of the motor ($L = 8.2$ nm for motor protein kinesin), $\Delta\mu > 0$ is the

chemical free energy consumed in one reaction cycle (for motor protein, $\Delta\mu \approx 25k_B T$ is the energy released from ATP hydrolysis [13] [39]).

At steady state, the dissipation rate Π_i corresponding to slide within the potential profile Φ_i (i.e. the dissipation rate in the i -th chemical state) is ([21] [10])

$$\Pi_i = - \int_0^L J_i \frac{\partial \Phi_i}{\partial x} dx \quad 1 \leq i \leq N \quad (5)$$

It can be readily verified that $\Pi_i = \int_0^L \frac{\rho(x)}{\xi} \left(\frac{\partial \Phi_i}{\partial x} \right)^2 dx \geq 0$. Summing (5) over i and integral by part, we obtain the total spatial dissipation rate

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi &= \sum_{i=1}^N \Pi_i = - \int_0^L \sum_{i=1}^N J_i \frac{\partial \Phi_i}{\partial x} dx \\ &= f_{ext} L \sum_{i=1}^N J_i(0) + \int_0^L \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{J_i(x)}{\partial x} \Phi_i(x) dx \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

In view of Eq. (1), at steady state,

$$\frac{\partial J_i(x)}{\partial x} = I_{i-\frac{1}{2}}(x) - I_{i+\frac{1}{2}}(x) \quad (7)$$

Eqs. (6) (7) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi &= f_{ext} L \sum_{i=1}^N J_i(0) + \int_0^L \sum_{i=1}^N (I_{i-\frac{1}{2}}(x) - I_{i+\frac{1}{2}}(x)) \Phi_i(x) dx \\ &= f_{ext} L \sum_{i=1}^N J_i(0) + \int_0^L \sum_{i=1}^N (\Phi_{i+1}(x) - \Phi_i(x)) I_{i+\frac{1}{2}}(x) dx + \int_0^L I_{\frac{1}{2}}(x) (\Phi_1(x) - \Phi_{N+1}(x)) dx \\ &= f_{ext} L \sum_{i=1}^N J_i(0) + \Delta\mu \int_0^L I_{\frac{1}{2}}(x) dx + \int_0^L \sum_{i=1}^N (\Phi_{i+1}(x) - \Phi_i(x)) I_{i+\frac{1}{2}}(x) dx \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

By Eqs. (6) and (8), we obtain

$$f_{ext} L \sum_{i=1}^N J_i(0) + \Delta\mu \int_0^L I_{\frac{1}{2}}(x) dx = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^L \left[\frac{\rho(x)}{\xi} \left(\frac{\partial \Phi_i}{\partial x} \right)^2 dx + (\Phi_i(x) - \Phi_{i+1}(x)) I_{i+\frac{1}{2}}(x) \right] dx \quad (9)$$

Thanks to Eq. (7),

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N J_i(x) \right) \equiv 0 \quad \int_0^L I_{\frac{1}{2}}(x) dx = \int_0^L I_{\frac{3}{2}}(x) dx = \dots = \int_0^L I_{N+\frac{1}{2}}(x) dx \quad (10)$$

it is to say

$$L \sum_{i=1}^N J_i(0) = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^L J_i(x) dx = \int_0^L \sum_{i=1}^N \rho_i(x) u_i(x) dx =: V \quad (11)$$

$$\int_0^L I_{\frac{1}{2}}(x) dx = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^L I_{i+\frac{1}{2}}(x) dx =: \nu \quad (12)$$

where V is the mean velocity of the molecular motors, ν is the mean rate of the chemical reaction.

Due to (3) (4)

$$\begin{aligned} I_{i+\frac{1}{2}}(x, t) &= \rho_i(x, t) k_i^+ \left[1 - \frac{\rho_{i+1}(x, t) k_{i+1}^-}{\rho_i(x, t) k_i^+} \right] \\ &= \rho_i(x, t) k_i^+ \left[1 - \frac{\rho_{i+1}}{\rho_i(x, t)} \exp\left(\frac{\phi_{i+1}(x) - \phi_i(x)}{k_B T}\right) \right] \\ &= \rho_i(x, t) k_i^+ \left[1 - \exp\left(\frac{\bar{\phi}_{i+1}(x) - \bar{\phi}_i(x)}{k_B T}\right) \right] \\ &= \rho_i(x, t) k_i^+ \left[1 - \exp\left(\frac{\Phi_{i+1}(x) - \Phi_i(x)}{k_B T}\right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

which imply

$$(\Phi_i(x) - \Phi_{i+1}(x)) I_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \geq 0 \quad (14)$$

Finally, Eqs. (9) (11) (12) (14) mean

$$\begin{aligned} f_{ext} V + \nu \Delta \mu &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^L \left[\frac{\rho(x)}{\xi} \left(\frac{\partial \Phi_i}{\partial x} \right)^2 + (\Phi_i(x) - \Phi_{i+1}(x)) I_{i+\frac{1}{2}}(x) \right] dx \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^L \left[\underbrace{\xi \rho(x) u_i^2(x)}_I + \underbrace{(\Phi_i(x) - \Phi_{i+1}(x)) I_{i+\frac{1}{2}}(x)}_{II} \right] dx \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where I is the dissipation corresponding to slide within the potential profile Φ_i , II is the dissipation corresponding to transitions between two chemical states. (15) is the second law of the thermodynamics.

By Eq. (15), the thermodynamic efficiency

$$\eta_T := -\frac{f_{ext} V}{\nu \Delta \mu} \leq 1 \quad (16)$$

the Stokes efficiency

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_S &:= \frac{\xi V^2}{f_{ext}V + \nu\Delta\mu} = \frac{\xi \left(\int_0^L \sum_{i=1}^N \rho_i(x) u_i(x) dx \right)^2}{f_{ext}V + \nu\Delta\mu} \\
&\leq \frac{\xi \int_0^L \sum_{i=1}^N \rho_i(x) u_i^2(x) dx}{f_{ext}V + \nu\Delta\mu} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^L \frac{\rho(x)}{\xi} \left(\frac{\partial \Phi_i}{\partial x} \right)^2 dx}{f_{ext}V + \nu\Delta\mu} \\
&\leq 1
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

In view of (14)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^L (\Phi_i(x) - \Phi_{i+1}(x)) I_{i+\frac{1}{2}}(x) dx = 0 \\
&\iff (\Phi_i(x) - \Phi_{i+1}(x)) I_{i+\frac{1}{2}}(x) \equiv 0 \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq N \quad 0 \leq x \leq L \\
&\iff \Phi_i(x) \equiv \Phi_{i+1}(x) \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq N \quad 0 \leq x \leq L \\
&\iff \Phi_i(x) \equiv \Phi_j(x) \quad \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq N \quad 0 \leq x \leq L \\
&\implies \Delta\mu = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Therefore, if $\Delta\mu \neq 0$, then $\sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^L (\Phi_i(x) - \Phi_{i+1}(x)) I_{i+\frac{1}{2}}(x) dx \neq 0$, which implies

$$\eta_T \not\leq 1 \quad \eta_S \not\leq 1 \tag{19}$$

If $\Delta\mu = 0$, then the mean velocity $V = 0$ (see Eq. (15)). It is to say that the motor wouldn't do any mechanical work if there no input of the energy. If the mean velocity $V \neq 0$, i.e., the molecular motor do useful work against the external load f_{ext} , then $\Delta\mu \neq 0$, which implies $\eta_T \not\leq 1, \eta_S \not\leq 1$ (see Eqs. (15-18)). There always exists energy dissipation as long as the input energy $\Delta\mu \neq 0$.

3 Fokker-Planck equation with continuous chemical coordinates

In this section, we'll discuss the energy efficiency η_S and η_T using the Fokker-Planck equation with infinite chemical states.

Following Refs. [14] [24], we denote y as the coordinate of the internal conformational space of the motor protein, and x as its center of mass with respect to the linear track. Let $\rho(x, y, t)$ be the probability density for finding the motor at position x and chemical coordinate y at time t , $\Phi(x, y)$ be the potential. Due to (13), in the large N limit,

$$I_{i-\frac{1}{2}}(x) - I_{i+\frac{1}{2}}(x) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[\frac{1}{\zeta} \rho(x, y, t) \frac{\partial \Phi(x, y)}{\partial y} \right] = -\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[\frac{D_y}{k_B T} \rho(x, y, t) \frac{\partial \Phi(x, y)}{\partial y} \right] \quad (20)$$

where $D_y = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k^+(y \rightarrow y + \frac{1}{N})}{N^2}$ and $\zeta = k_B T / D_y$. Let $u(x, y) = -\frac{1}{\xi} \frac{\partial \Phi(x, y)}{\partial x}$, $v(x, y) = -\frac{1}{\zeta} \frac{\partial \Phi(x, y)}{\partial y}$, in the large N limit, Eq. (1) arrives

$$\frac{\partial \rho(x, y, t)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial J(x, y, t)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial I(x, y, t)}{\partial y} = 0 \quad 0 \leq x \leq L, \quad 0 \leq y \leq 1 \quad (21)$$

where $J = \rho u$, $I = \rho v$.

At the steady state, the mean velocity of the movement is

$$V = \langle u \rangle = \int_0^1 \int_0^L \rho(x, y) u(x, y) dx dy = \int_0^1 \int_0^L J(x, y) dx dy \quad (22)$$

the mean rate of the chemical reaction is

$$\nu = \langle v \rangle = \int_0^1 \int_0^L \rho(x, y) v(x, y) dx dy = \int_0^1 \int_0^L I(x, y) dx dy \quad (23)$$

Define

$$\Pi = \int_0^L \int_0^1 \left[\underbrace{-J(x, y) \frac{\partial \Phi(x, y)}{\partial x}}_{I'} - \underbrace{I(x, y) \frac{\partial \Phi(x, y)}{\partial y}}_{II'} \right] dy dx \quad (24)$$

where I' is the dissipation rate along the spatial coordinate x , II' is the dissipation rate along the reaction coordination y . So Π is the total dissipation rate of the molecular motors.

It is easy to find

$$\Pi = \int_0^1 \int_0^L \rho \left(\frac{\Phi_x^2}{\xi} + \frac{\Phi_y^2}{\zeta} \right) dx dy = \int_0^1 \int_0^L \rho (\xi u^2 + \zeta v^2) dx dy \geq 0 \quad (25)$$

at the same time

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_0^1 \int_0^L J(x, y) \Phi_x(x, y) dx dy \\
= & - \int_0^1 \left[J(x, y) \Phi(x, y) \Big|_{x=0}^{x=L} - \int_0^L J_x(x, y) \Phi(x, y) dx \right] dy \\
= & f_{ext} L \int_0^1 J(L, y) dy + \int_0^1 \int_0^L J_x(x, y) \Phi(x, y) dx dy \\
= & f_{ext} L \int_0^1 J(L, y) dy - \int_0^1 \int_0^L I_y(x, y) \Phi(x, y) dx dy \\
= & f_{ext} L \int_0^1 J(L, y) dy - \int_0^L \left[I(x, y) \Phi(x, y) \Big|_{y=0}^{y=1} - \int_0^1 I(x, y) \Phi_y(x, y) dy \right] dx \\
= & f_{ext} L \int_0^1 J(L, y) dy + \Delta\mu \int_0^L I(x, 1) dx + \int_0^1 \int_0^L I(x, y) \Phi_y(x, y) dx dy
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

so

$$\Pi = f_{ext} L \int_0^1 J(L, y) dy + \Delta\mu \int_0^L I(x, 1) dx \tag{27}$$

Since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[\int_0^1 J(x, y) dy \right] = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} J(x, y) dy = - \int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} I(x, y) dy = 0 \tag{28}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[\int_0^L I(x, y) dx \right] = \int_0^L \frac{\partial}{\partial y} I(x, y) dx = - \int_0^L \frac{\partial}{\partial x} J(x, y) dx = 0 \tag{29}$$

one knows

$$\int_0^1 J(x, y) dy = \int_0^1 J(L, y) dy \quad \int_0^L I(x, y) dx = \int_0^L I(x, 1) dx \tag{30}$$

therefore

$$L \int_0^1 J(L, y) dy = \int_0^L \int_0^1 J(x, y) dy dx = V \tag{31}$$

$$\int_0^L I(x, 1) dx = \int_0^1 \int_0^L I(x, y) dx dy = \nu \tag{32}$$

Finally, (25) (27) (31) (32) imply

$$\Pi = f_{ext} V + \nu \Delta\mu = \int_0^1 \int_0^L \rho (\xi u^2 + \zeta v^2) dx dy \geq 0 \tag{33}$$

this is the second law of the thermodynamics. By (33), the thermodynamic efficiency

$$\eta_T = - \frac{f_{ext} V}{\nu \Delta\mu} = 1 - \frac{\Pi}{\nu \Delta\mu} \leq 1 \tag{34}$$

the Stokes efficiency

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_S &= \frac{\xi V^2}{f_{ext}V + \nu\Delta\mu} = \frac{\xi \left(\int_0^L \int_0^1 \rho(x, y) u(x, y) dy dx \right)^2}{f_{ext}V + \nu\Delta\mu} \\
&\leq \frac{\xi \int_0^L \int_0^1 \rho(x, y) u^2(x, y) dy dx}{f_{ext}V + \nu\Delta\mu} \\
&= 1 - \frac{\zeta \int_0^L \int_0^1 \rho(x, y) v^2(x, y) dy dx}{f_{ext}V + \nu\Delta\mu} \leq 1
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Similar as (18)

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta \int_0^L \int_0^1 \rho(x, y) v^2(x, y) dy dx &= 0 \\
\iff v(x, y) &= 0 & 0 \leq x \leq L, \quad 0 \leq y \leq 1 \\
\iff \Phi(x, y) = \Phi(x, z) & & 0 \leq x \leq L, \quad 0 \leq y, z \leq 1 \\
\implies \Delta\mu = \Phi(x, 0) - \Phi(x, 1) &= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

So, if $\Delta\mu \neq 0$, then $\zeta \int_0^L \int_0^1 \rho(x, y) v^2(x, y) dy dx \neq 0$, which means the inequalities (19) hold true.

Moreover, applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, and using the constraint $\int_0^L \int_0^1 \rho(x, y) dy dx = 1$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^L \int_0^1 \rho(x, y) u^2(x, y) dy dx &\geq \left(\int_0^L \int_0^1 \rho(x, y) u(x, y) dy dx \right)^2 = V^2 \\
\int_0^L \int_0^1 \rho(x, y) v^2(x, y) dy dx &\geq \left(\int_0^L \int_0^1 \rho(x, y) v(x, y) dy dx \right)^2 = \nu^2
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

so

$$\eta_T = -\frac{f_{ext}V}{\nu\Delta\mu} \leq 1 - \frac{\xi V^2 + \zeta \nu^2}{\nu\Delta\mu} = 1 - \frac{(\xi L^2 + \zeta)V}{\Delta\mu L} \tag{38}$$

$$\eta_S = \frac{\xi V^2}{f_{ext}V + \nu\Delta\mu} \leq \frac{\xi V^2}{\xi V^2 + \zeta \nu^2} = \frac{\xi L^2}{\xi L^2 + \zeta} = 1 - \frac{\zeta}{\xi L^2 + \zeta} \tag{39}$$

here the equalities hold true if and only if $u(x, y) \equiv V$, $v(x, y) \equiv \nu$. Obviously $\eta_T < 1$, $\eta_S < 1$.

Finally, by Eq. (33) and the definitions of the energy efficient η_S, η_T , we can get the following interesting relationship

$$\xi V^2 = \eta_S(1 - \eta_T)\nu\Delta\mu \tag{40}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta\mu &= -f_{ext}L + \xi VL + \left[\frac{L}{V} \int_0^1 \int_0^L \rho (\xi u^2 + \zeta v^2) dx dy - \xi VL \right] \\
&= \eta_T \Delta\mu + \eta_S(1 - \eta_T)\Delta\mu + (1 - \eta_S)(1 - \eta_T)\Delta\mu
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

From Eq. (41), we can know how the energy is consumed in the movement of the molecular motors: where $\eta_T \Delta\mu = f_{ext}L$ is the energy used to do useful work against the external force f_{ext} , $(1 - \eta_T)\Delta\mu$ is the total energy dissipation, $\eta_S(1 - \eta_T)\Delta\mu$ is the energy dissipation due to the overdamping surroundings, $(1 - \eta_S)(1 - \eta_T)\Delta\mu$ is the energy dissipation during the chemical reaction. To the motor protein kinesin, the energy used to do useful work is about $6k_B T$ ([31], [38]). However, the energy released from ATP hydrolysis is about $25k_B T$ ([13] [39]), therefore much of the energy is dissipated during the movement of the motor protein kinesin.

4 Conclusions

In this paper, the properties of energy efficiency of the molecular motors is discussed in more details. We find that the energy efficiency of the molecular motors, whether the usual thermodynamic efficiency or Stokes efficiency, is strictly bounded by 1. In the motility cycle of the molecular motors, part of the energy is used to do useful work against the external force, part of which is dissipated due to the overdamping surroundings, and part of which is dissipated during the chemical reaction. Generally, more energy would be dissipated if the molecular motor moves more fast. For motor proteins, the energy dissipated into the surroundings might be used to keep the body temperature. Recently, it is find that more molecular motors contain multiple pathways ([17] [3]). In this multiple pathways case, the results in this paper also can be used. It is to say that, in each motility cycle of the molecular motors, the total energy is all consumed in three ways: to do mechanical work, to be dissipated into the surroundings, to be dissipated in the chemical reaction. The only thing that we should point out is that the energy efficiency of the molecular motors, which move in different pathways, might be different. Finally, the result in this paper also can be

used to describe the backward stepping case of the motor protein kinesin ([2] [18]). In the backward stepping case, the energy dissipated in the motility cycle is more than the forward stepping case. The detailed discussion can be carried out by the similar method used in this paper.

Acknowledgments

This work was funded by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10701029).

References

- [1] Maria C. Alonso, Douglas R. Drummond, Susan Kain, Julia Hoeng, Linda Amos, and Robert A. Cross. An atp gate controls tubulin binding by the tethered head of kinesin-1. *Science*, 316:120–123, 2007.
- [2] Juan Alvarez and Bruce Hajek. Equivalence of trans paths in ion channels. *Physical Review E*, 73:046126, 2006.
- [3] R Dean Astumian. Biasing the random walk of a molecular motor. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 17:S3753–S3766, 2005.
- [4] A.Yildiz, M.Tomishige, R.D.Vale, and P.R.Selvin. Kinesin walks hand-over-hand. *Science*, 303:676, 2004.
- [5] Block, S.M., Goldstein, L.S.B., and B.J. Schnapp. Bead movement by single kinesin molecules studied with optical tweezers. *Nature*, 348:348–352, 1990.
- [6] Carlos Bustamante, David Keller, and George Oster. The physics of molecular motors. *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 34:412–420, 2001.
- [7] N. J. Carter and R. A. Cross. Mechanics of the kinesin step. *Nature*, 435:308–312, 2005.

- [8] J. Christof, M. Gebhardt, Anabel E.-M. Clemen, Johann Jaud, and Matthias Rief. Myosin-v is a mechanical ratchet. *PNAS*, 103:8680–8685, 2006.
- [9] C.L.Asbury, A.N.Fehr, and S.M.Block. Kinesin moves by an asymmetric hand-over-hand mechanism. *Science*, 302:2130–2134, 2003.
- [10] S. R. De Groot and P. Mazur. *Non-Equilibrium Thermodynamics*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1962.
- [11] David D. Hackney. Processive motor movement. *Science*, 316:58–59, 2007.
- [12] Anneka M. Hooft, Erik J. Maki, Kristine K. Cox, and Josh E. Baker. An accelerated state of myosin-based actin motility. *Biochemistry*, 46:3513–3520, 2007.
- [13] J. Howard. *Mechanics of Motor Proteins and the Cytoskeleton*. Sinauer Associates and Sunderland, MA, 2001.
- [14] David Keller and Carlos Bustamante. The mechanochemistry of molecular motors. *Biophysical Journal*, 78:541–556, 2000.
- [15] S. Kudo, Y. Magariyama, and S. Aizawa. Abrupt changes in flagella rotation observed by laser dark-filed microscopy. *Nature*, 346:677–680, 1990.
- [16] F.J. Kull, E.P. Sablin, R.J. Fletterick, and R.D. Vale. Crystal structure of the kinesin motor domain reveals a structural similarity to myosin. *Nature*, 380:550–555, 1996.
- [17] Steffen Liepelt and Reinhard Lipowsky. Kinesins network of chemomechanical motor cycles. *Physical Review Letters*, 98:258102, 2007.
- [18] Martin Lindén and Mats Wallin. Dwell time symmetry in random walks and molecular motors. *Biophysical Journal*, 92:3804–3816, 2007.
- [19] Masayoshi Nishiyama, Hideo Higuchi, and Toshio Yanagida. Chemomechanical coupling of the forward and backward steps of single kinesin molecules. *Nature Cell Biology*, 4:790–797, 2002.

- [20] H. Noji, R. Yasuda, M. Yoshida, and K. Kinosita Jr. Direct observation of the rotation of f1-atpase. *Nature*, 386:299–302, 1997.
- [21] Andrea Parmeggiani, Frank Julicher, Armand Ajdari, and Jacques Prost. Energy transduction of isothermal ratchets: Generic aspects and specific examples close to and far from equilibrium. *Physical Review E*, 60:2127, 1999.
- [22] J.M.R. Parrondo and B.J. De Cisneros. Energetics of brownian motors: a review. *Appl. Phys. A*, 75:179–191, 2002.
- [23] Hong Qian. Vector field formalism and analysis for a class of brownian ratchets. *Physical Review Letters*, 81:3063–3066, 1998.
- [24] Hong Qian. The mathematical theory of molecular motor movement and chemo-mechanical energy transduction. *Journal of Mathematical Chemistry*, 27:219–234, 2000.
- [25] Hong Qian. Motor protein with nonequilibrium potential: Its thermodynamics and efficiency. *Physical Review E*, 69:012901, 2004.
- [26] Peter Reimann. Brownian motors: noisy transport far from equilibrium. *Physics Reports*, 361:57, 2002.
- [27] M. Sands R.P. Feynman, R.B. Leighton. *The Feynman Lectures on Physics*. Addison Wesley, Reading, MA, 1963.
- [28] H. Sakakibara, H. Kojima, Y. Sakai, E. Katayama, and K. Oiwa. Inner-arm dynein c of chlamydomonas flagella is a single-headed processive motor. *Nature*, 400:596–589, 1999.
- [29] Katsuyuki Shiroguchi and Kazuhiko Kinosita Jr. Myosin v walks by lever brownian motion. *Science*, 316:1208–1212, 2007.
- [30] K. Svoboda and S.M. Block. Force and velocity measured for single kinesin molecules. *Cell*, 77:773–784, 1994.

- [31] Yuichi Taniguchi, Masayoshi Nishiyama, Yoshiharu Ishii, and Toshio Yanagida. Entropy rectifies the brownian step of kinesin. *Nature Chemical Biology*, 1:342–347, 2005.
- [32] R.D. Vale, T. Funatsu, D.W. Pierce, L. Romberg, Y. Harada, and T. Yanagida. Direct observation of single kinesin molecules moving along microtubules. *Nature*, 380:451–453, 1996.
- [33] Ronald D. Vale. The way things move: Looking under the hood of molecular motor proteins. *Science*, 288:88, 2000.
- [34] Ronald D. Vale. The molecular motor toolbox for intracellular transport. *Cell*, 112:467–480, 2003.
- [35] Hongyun Wang. Chemical and mechanical efficiencies of molecular motors and implications for motor mechanisms. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 17:S3997–S4014, 2005.
- [36] Hongyun Wang and G. Oster. The stokes efficiency for molecular motors and its applications. *Europhysics Letters*, 57:134–140, 2002.
- [37] Hongyun Wang and George Oster. Energy transduction in the f1 motor of atp synthase. *Nature*, 396:279–280, 1998.
- [38] Yunxin Zhang. Three phase model of the processive motor protein kinesin. *Biophysical Chemistry*, 136:19–22, 2008.
- [39] Wenwei Zheng, Dagong Fan, Zhisong Wang, Min Feng. Kinesin is an evolutionarily fine-tuned molecular ratchet-and-pawl device of decisively locked direction. *Biophys. J.*, 93:3363–3372, 2007.