

Leonard Euler: addition theorems and superintegrable systems

A V Tsiganov

St.Petersburg State University, St.Petersburg, Russia

e-mail: tsiganov@mph.phys.spbu.ru

Abstract

We consider the Euler approach to construction and to investigation of the superintegrable systems related to the addition theorems. As an example we reconstruct Drach systems and get some new two-dimensional superintegrable Stäckel systems.

PACS numbers: 02.30.Jr, 02.30.Ik, 03.65.Fd

Mathematics Subject Classification: 70H06, 70H20, 35Q72

1 Introduction


There are many different mathematical approaches to classification and to investigation of the superintegrable systems with very extensive literature on the subject, see some recent reviews [5, 12, 15]. Here we want to discuss one of the oldest but almost completely forgotten approaches, which relates superintegrable systems with the addition theorems.

The story began in 1761 when Euler proposed a generic construction of the additional algebraic integral of equations of motion

$$\frac{\kappa_1 dx_1}{\sqrt{P(x_1)}} = \frac{\kappa_2 dx_2}{\sqrt{P(x_2)}},$$

where P is an arbitrary quartic and κ 's are integer [8]. In fact, the Laplace integral for the Kepler model and entries of the angular momentum for the oscillator are particular cases of the Euler integral.

Remind, that with geometric point of view Euler (and later Abel in his famous theorem) studied the points of intersection of the hyperelliptic curve $\mu^2 = P(x)$ with any arbitrary algebraical curve whose equation in a rational form may be written as $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ (see the following symbolic picture for the Euler two-dimensional case) [3].



In the Jacobi separation of variables method these points are the points of intersection of the *separated relations* $p_j^2 = P(x_j)$ defined on the whole phase space with the classical *trajectory of motion* $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ on its configurational subspace.

So, Euler proposed algebraic construction of the classical trajectories of motion without any integration and inversion of the Abel map. This particular algebraic construction of the trajectories of motion was one of the starting points of the Jacobi investigations of the last multiplier theory and of the inversion of the Abel map.

Such as algebraic curve $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ has many different parameterizations $x_k(t)$, there are many different superintegrable systems associated with this curve. For instance, the overwhelming majority of the known two-dimensional superintegrable systems are related with the different parametrizations of the conic sections.

Remark 1 For the ellipse

$$F(x_1, x_2) = \frac{x_1^2}{a^2} + \frac{x_2^2}{b^2} - 1 = 0,$$

we can use well known parametrizations by trigonometric or elliptic functions

$$x_1 = a \sin t, \quad x_2 = b \cos t \quad \text{or} \quad x_1 = a \operatorname{sn} \tilde{t}, \quad x_2 = b \operatorname{cn} \tilde{t}$$

which may be associated with the oscillator and the Kepler problem, respectively.

1.1 Additional integrals of motion and angle variables

A classical superintegrable system is an integrable n -dimensional Hamiltonian system with $n+k$ single valued and functionally independent integrals of motion on the whole phase space M . At $k = n - 1$ we have maximally superintegrable systems with the closed trajectories of motion.

The Liouville classical theorem on completely integrable Hamiltonian systems implies that almost all points of the manifold M are covered by a system of open toroidal domains with the action-angle coordinates $I = (I_1, \dots, I_k)$ and $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$:

$$\{I_j, I_k\} = \{\omega_i, \omega_k\} = 0, \quad \{I_i, \omega_j\} = \delta_{ij}. \quad (1.1)$$

The n integrals of motion H_1, \dots, H_n are functions of the action variables I_1, \dots, I_n such that the corresponding Jacobian does not equal to zero

$$\det \mathbf{J} \neq 0, \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{J}_{ij} = \frac{\partial H_i(I_1, \dots, I_n)}{\partial I_j}. \quad (1.2)$$

The $n - 1$ additional integrals of motion

$$\phi_j = \sum_k (\mathbf{J}^{-1})_{kj} \omega_k, \quad \{H_1, \phi_j\} = 0, \quad j = 2, \dots, n, \quad (1.3)$$

are functionally independent on $H_1(I), \dots, H_n(I)$ and any completely integrable system is maximally superintegrable system in a neighborhood of every regular point of M [24].

In generic case the action variables I_k are single-valued functions, whereas the angle variables ω_k are multi-valued functions on M . However, additional functionally independent integrals of motion K_i have to be some functions on ϕ_j , which are sums of the multivalued functions ω_k .

So, for any superintegrable system we have the following question:

How are single-valued functions obtained from the sums of multi-valued functions?

In fact for all the known superintegrable systems we have only one answer:

We have to apply addition theorem and to get one multi-valued function on the single-valued argument, which is the desired integral of motion:

$$\sum_k (\mathbf{J}^{-1})_{kj} \omega_k = \phi_i(K_j), \quad \Rightarrow \quad \{H_1, K_j\} = 0.$$

So, the addition theorems could help us to classify algebraically superintegrable systems and vice versa we can get addition theorems by using known superintegrable systems.

2 The action-angle variables for the open lattices.

In 1975 Moser proposed construction of the action-angle variables for the open Toda lattice. This construction may be extended on other integrable systems related with the quadratic r -matrix algebras [24].

For all these systems the action variables I_j are zeroes of the polynomial

$$A(\lambda) = \lambda^n + H_1\lambda^{n-1} + \dots + H_n = \prod_{j=1}^n (\lambda - I_j), \quad \{A(\lambda), A(\mu)\} = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

whose coefficients are independent integrals of motion H_1, \dots, H_n in the involution.

The angle variables ω_j are defined by the second polynomial $B(\lambda)$ having the standard Poisson bracket with the first polynomial $A(\mu)$ [24]

$$\{B(\lambda), A(\mu)\} = \frac{\eta}{\lambda - \mu} \left(B(\lambda)A(\mu) - B(\mu)A(\lambda) \right), \quad \eta \in \mathbb{C},$$

or

$$\{B(\lambda), A(\mu)\} = \frac{\eta}{\lambda - \mu} \left(B(\lambda)A(\mu) - B(\mu)A(\lambda) \right) + \frac{\eta}{\lambda + \mu} \left(B(\lambda)A(\mu) + B(-\mu)A(\lambda) \right).$$

In this case angle variables ω_j are equal to

$$\omega_j = \eta^{-1} \ln B(I_j), \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad \eta \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (2.2)$$

Usually brackets between these two polynomials are either part of the Sklyanin algebra or the reflection equation algebra. It allows us to use the representation theory in order to get single-valued function $A(\lambda)$ and $B(\lambda)$ on M in initial physical variables, see [24].

Using the angle variables ω_j (2.2) we can obtain additional algebraic integrals of motion

$$K_j = e^{\eta\omega_j} = B(I_j), \quad \{I_k, K_j\} = 0, \quad k \neq j,$$

for these maximally superintegrable systems.

Among such maximally superintegrable systems we can find the open Toda lattices associated with the classical root systems \mathcal{A}_n , \mathcal{BC}_n , and \mathcal{D}_n , the XXX Heisenberg magnets with boundaries, and the discrete self-trapping model with boundaries [24].

Remark 2 The properties of the trajectories of motion is independent on the choice of integrals of motion in the involution I_1, \dots, I_n or H_1, \dots, H_n . This choice affects on the *parametrization* only, i.e. on the explicit dependence of the coordinates q_j on the parameter t .

Remark 3 The global action-angle variables, the corresponding Birkhoff coordinates and the KAM-theorem for the periodic Toda lattices are discussed in [9]. Up to now the computer experiments indicate that periodic Toda lattice can not be superintegrable system on the whole phase space.

3 The action-angle variables for the Stäckel systems.

The system associated with the name of Stäckel [19, 20] is a holonomic system on the phase space $M = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, with the canonical variables $q = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$ and $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$:

$$\Omega = \sum_{j=1}^n dp_j \wedge dq_j, \quad \{p_j, q_k\} = \delta_{jk}. \quad (3.1)$$

The nondegenerate $n \times n$ Stäckel matrix S , whose j column depends on the coordinate q_j only, defines n functionally independent integrals of motion

$$H_k = \sum_{j=1}^n (S^{-1})_{jk} \left(p_j^2 + U_j(q_j) \right). \quad (3.2)$$

From this definition one immediately gets the separated relations

$$p_j^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n H_k S_{kj} - U_j(q_j) \quad (3.3)$$

and the angle variables

$$\omega_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \int \frac{S_{ij} dq_j}{p_j} = \sum_{j=1}^n \int \frac{S_{ij} dq_j}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n H_k S_{kj} - U_j(q_j)}}.$$

It allows reducing solution of the equations of motion to a problem in algebraic geometry [20]. Namely, let us suppose that there are functions μ_j and λ_j on the canonical separated variables

$$\mu_j = u_j(q_j)p_j, \quad \lambda_j = v_j(q_j), \quad \{q_i, p_j\} = \delta_{ij}, \quad (3.4)$$

which allows us to rewrite separated equations (3.3) as equations defining the hyperelliptic curves

$$\mathcal{C}_j : \quad \mu_j^2 = P_j(\lambda_j) \equiv u_j^2(\lambda_j) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n H_k S_{kj}(\lambda_j) - U_j(\lambda_j) \right), \quad (3.5)$$

where $P_j(\lambda_j)$ are polynomials on λ_j . In this case the action variables $I_k = H_k$ (3.2) have the canonical Poisson brackets (1.1) with the angle variables

$$\omega_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{A_j} \frac{S_{ij}(\lambda_j)}{\sqrt{P_j(\lambda_j)}} d\lambda_j = \sum_{j=1}^n \vartheta_{ij}(p_j, q_j), \quad (3.6)$$

which are usually the sums of integrals ϑ_{ij} of the first kind Abelian differentials on the hyperelliptic curves \mathcal{C}_j (3.5) [20, 24].

Remark 4 If the separated relations are obtained from the hyperelliptic curves by some substitution (3.4) the Lagrangian submanifold of the corresponding Stäckel system is a direct product of these hyperelliptic curves

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{C}_n.$$

If these curves are equal $\mathcal{C}_j = \mathcal{C}$ then \mathcal{F} may be identified with the Jacobian $J(\mathcal{C})$ of \mathcal{C} [20].

3.1 Addition theorems

In generic case the action variables (3.6) are the sum of the multi-valued functions ϑ_{ij} . However, if we are able to apply some addition theorem to the calculation of ω_i (3.6)

$$\omega_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \vartheta_{ij}(p_j, q_j) = \Theta_i(K_i) + const, \quad (3.7)$$

where Θ_i is a multi-valued function on the algebraic argument $K_i(p, q)$, then one could get additional single-valued integral of motion K_i .

Treating λ_{n+1} as a function of the independent variables $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ the addition theorem (3.7) may be rewritten in the integral form

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \int^{\lambda_j} \frac{S_{ij}(\lambda)}{\sqrt{P_j(\lambda)}} d\lambda_j - \int^{\lambda_{n+1}} \frac{S(\lambda)}{\sqrt{P_{n+1}(\lambda)}} d\lambda_{n+1} = const$$

or in the differential form

$$\epsilon_1 \frac{S_{i1}(\lambda_1) d\lambda_1}{\sqrt{P_1(\lambda_1)}} + \cdots + \epsilon_n \frac{S_{in}(\lambda_n) d\lambda_n}{\sqrt{P_n(\lambda_n)}} - \epsilon_{n+1} \frac{S(\lambda_{n+1}) d\lambda_{n+1}}{\sqrt{P_{n+1}(\lambda_{n+1})}} = 0, \quad \epsilon_i = \pm 1, \quad (3.8)$$

where choice of the ϵ_i is related with the choice of the contours of integration. In this case additional integrals of motion are λ_{n+1} and $\frac{S(\lambda_{n+1})}{\sqrt{P_{n+1}(\lambda_{n+1})}}$, which have to be single-valued functions on the whole phase space.

Remark 5 If we add the necessary words to the equation (3.8) at $P_i = P_j$, for all the $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ we obtain the famous Abel theorem, see as an example [3]. Recall, that Abel reduced equation (3.8) to an algebraic identity (trajectory of motion), which is a consequence of an expansion into partial fractions.

Another standard form of the addition theorem for the function $f(x)$ looks like

$$F\left(f(x), f(y), f(x+y)\right) = 0, \quad \forall x, y \in M, \quad (3.9)$$

where F is some function associated with f . For instance, we can show different forms (3.7) and (3.9) for the simplest addition theorem

$$\ln(x) + \ln(y) = \ln(xy) \quad \text{or} \quad e^x e^y = e^{x+y}, \quad (3.10)$$

associated with the zero-genus hyperelliptic curves \mathcal{C}_j

$$\mathcal{C}_j : \quad \mu_j^2 = P_j(\lambda_j) = f_j \lambda_j^2 + g_j \lambda_j + h_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad (3.11)$$

where f_j, g_j, h_j are linear functions on n integrals of motion H_1, \dots, H_n .

Namely, if the separated relations are obtained from the zero-genus hyperelliptic curves (3.11) and $S_{ij} = \kappa_{ij}$ are constants we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_i &= \sum_{j=1}^n \vartheta_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^n \int \frac{\kappa_{ij}}{\sqrt{f_j \lambda_j^2 + g_j \lambda_j + h_j}} d\lambda \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \ln \left(\mu_j + \frac{2f_j \lambda_j + g_j}{2\sqrt{f_j}} \right)^{\kappa_{ij} f_j^{-1/2}} \\ &= \ln \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\mu_j + \frac{2f_j \lambda_j + g_j}{2\sqrt{f_j}} \right)^{\kappa_{ij} f_j^{-1/2}} = \ln K_i. \end{aligned}$$

So, the angle variables ω_i are logarithms as for the Moser systems (2.2). Of course, if we want to get algebraic or polynomial integrals of motion K_i we have to impose some constrains on κ_{ij} and f_j .

We have to underline that we use different hyperelliptic curves \mathcal{C}_j in this example in contrast with the Euler example.

3.2 Trajectories of motion

According to the standard Jacobi procedure of inversion of the Abel map the variables q_j are found from the equations

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \int \frac{S_{ij}(q_j)}{\sqrt{P_j(q_j, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)}} dq_j = \beta_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where $\beta_1 = t$ and $H_j = \alpha_j$. From these equations one gets trajectories in *parametric* form $q_j(t)$, i.e. coordinates q_j are functions on the time $\beta_1 = t$ and parameters $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n$.

On the other hand, for the superintegrable systems if we substitute momenta from the separated equations (3.3)

$$p_j = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k S_{kj}(q_j) - U_j(q_j)}$$

into additional algebraic integrals of motion K_j one gets trajectories of the motion in the *algebraic* form

$$F_j = K_j(q_1, \dots, q_n, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) - k_j = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n-1. \quad (3.12)$$

Remark 6 There are many different parameterizations of the algebraic curves. Since, trajectories may be associated with the different Stäckel systems (3.12), which are related by the canonical transformation of the time $t \rightarrow \tilde{t}$:

$$d\tilde{t} = v(q)dt, \quad v(q) = \frac{\det S}{\det \tilde{S}}. \quad (3.13)$$

Here S and \tilde{S} are the Stäckel matrices for the dual systems with common trajectories [21, 23]. Existence of the such dual systems is related with the fact that the Abel map is surjective and generically injective if $n = g$ only.

Of course, such dual Stäckel systems have so-called equivalent metrics.

4 Logarithmic angle variables

In order to classify two-dimensional superintegrable systems associated with the addition theorem (3.10) we can start with a pair of the Riemann surfaces

$$\mathcal{C}_j : \quad \mu^2 = P_j(\lambda) = f\lambda^2 + g_j\lambda + h_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$f = \alpha H_1 + \beta H_2 + \gamma, \quad g_j = \alpha_j^g H_1 + \beta_j^g H_2 + \gamma_j^g, \quad h_j = \alpha_j^h H_1 + \beta_j^h H_2 + \gamma_j^h,$$

and α , β and γ are real or complex numbers.

We can use addition theorem (3.10) if we we fix last row of the Stäckel matrix only. Namely, substituting

$$S_{2j}(\lambda) = \kappa_j \quad (4.2)$$

into the (3.3-3.6) one gets

$$\vartheta_{2j} = \int \frac{S_{2j}(q_j) dq_j}{p_j} = \int \frac{\kappa_j d\lambda_j}{\sqrt{P_j}} = \kappa_j f^{-1/2} \ln \left(\mu_j + \frac{2f\lambda_j + g_j}{2\sqrt{f}} \right), \quad (4.3)$$

so the angle variable

$$\omega_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{f}} \ln \left[\left(p_1 u_1 + \frac{P'_1}{2\sqrt{f}} \right)^{\kappa_1} \left(p_2 u_2 + \frac{P'_2}{2\sqrt{f}} \right)^{\kappa_2} \right], \quad P'_j = \left. \frac{dP_j(\lambda)}{d\lambda} \right|_{\lambda=v_j(q_j)},$$

is the multi-valued function on the desired algebraic argument

$$K = \left(p_1 u_1 + \frac{P'_1}{2\sqrt{f}} \right)^{\kappa_1} \left(p_2 u_2 + \frac{P'_2}{2\sqrt{f}} \right)^{\kappa_2}.$$

If $\kappa_{1,2}$ are positive integer, then

$$K = \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{f}} \right)^{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2} \left(K_\ell + \sqrt{f} K_m \right) \quad (4.4)$$

is the generating function of polynomial integrals of motion K_m and K_ℓ of the m -th and $m \pm 1$ -th order in the momenta, respectively. As an example we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_m &= 2(p_1 u_1 P'_2 + p_2 u_2 P'_1), & \kappa_1 = 1, \kappa_2 = 1, \\ K_m &= 2P'_2 \left(2p_2 u_2 P'_1 + p_1 u_1 P'_2 \right) + 8p_1 u_1 p_2^2 u_2^2 f, & \kappa_1 = 1, \kappa_2 = 2, \\ K_m &= 2P_2'^2 \left(3p_2 u_2 P'_1 + p_1 u_1 P'_2 \right) + 8p_2^2 u_2^2 \left(p_2 u_2 P'_1 + 3p_1 u_1 P'_2 \right) f, & \kappa_1 = 1, \kappa_2 = 3, \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

where $m = 1, 3, m = 3, 5, m = 3, 7$, because $P'_{1,2}$ and f are linear functions on $H_{1,2}$, which are the second order polynomials on momenta.

The corresponding expressions for the K_ℓ look like

$$\begin{aligned} K_\ell &= P_1' P_2' + 4p_1 p_2 u_1 u_2 f, & \kappa_1 = 1, \kappa_2 = 1, \\ K_\ell &= P_1' P_2'^2 + 4f p_2 u_2 (p_2 u_2 P_1' + 2p_1 u_1 P_2'), & \kappa_1 = 1, \kappa_2 = 2, \\ K_\ell &= P_1' P_2'^3 + 12P_2' p_2 u_2 (P_2' p_1 u_1 + P_1' p_2 u_2) f + 16p_1 u_1 p_2^3 u_2^3 f^2. & \kappa_1 = 1, \kappa_2 = 3. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Of course, we can try to get another polynomial integrals of motion using the following recurrence relations

$$K_{j-1} = \{K_j, H_2\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \{H_1, K_j\} = 0, \quad j = m, m \pm 1, m \pm 2, \dots \quad (4.7)$$

see examples in [25].

Remind, that we get polynomial integrals of motion K_m and K_ℓ for the special Stäckel matrices only. The imposed necessary condition (4.2) leads to some restrictions on the substitutions

$$\lambda_j = v_j(q_j), \quad \mu_j = u_j(q_j)p_j.$$

In fact, after these substitutions we obtain the following expression for the Stäckel matrix

$$S(q) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\alpha v_1^2 + \alpha_1^g v_1 + \alpha_1^h}{u_1^2} & \frac{\alpha v_2^2 + \alpha_2^g v_2 + \alpha_2^h}{u_2^2} \\ \frac{\beta v_1^2 + \beta_1^g v_1 + \beta_1^h}{u_1^2} & \frac{\beta v_2^2 + \beta_2^g v_2 + \beta_2^h}{u_2^2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \det S \neq 0. \quad (4.8)$$

So, for a given $\kappa_{1,2}$ expressions for ϑ_{2j} (4.3) yield two differential equations on functions u, v and parameters β :

$$S_{2j}(q_j) = \frac{\kappa_j v_j'(q_j)}{u_j(q_j)} \implies \kappa_j u_j v_j' = \beta v_j^2 + \beta_j^g v_j + \beta_j^h, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (4.9)$$

There are equations on u, v and parameters β because we have to solve these equations in the fixed functional space [25].

Proposition 1 *If $\kappa_j \neq 0$ equations (4.9) have the following three monomial solutions*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{I} \quad & \beta = 0, \quad \beta_j^h = 0, \quad u_j = q_j, \quad v_j = q_j^{\frac{\beta_j^g}{\kappa_j}}, \\ \text{II} \quad & \beta_j^g = 0, \quad \beta_j^h = 0, \quad u_j = 1, \quad v_j = -\kappa_j (\beta q_j)^{-1}, \\ \text{III} \quad & \beta = 0, \quad \beta_j^g = 0, \quad u_j = 1, \quad v_j = \kappa_j^{-1} \beta_j^h q_j, \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

up to canonical transformations. The fourth solution (IV) is the combination of the first and third solutions for the different j 's.

Below we mark all the superintegrable systems by number of the solution from this Proposition.

If we suppose that after point transformation

$$\begin{aligned} x &= z_1(q), & y &= z_2(q), \\ p_x &= w_{11}(q)p_1 + w_{12}(q)p_2, & p_y &= w_{21}(q)p_1 + w_{22}(q)p_2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

the kinetic energy has some fixed form

$$T = \sum (S^{-1})_{1j} p_j^2 = g_{11}(x, y)p_x^2 + g_{12}(x, y)p_x p_y + g_{22}(x, y)p_y^2, \quad (4.12)$$

then we will get some additional restrictions on the separated relations and the Stäckel matrix.

For instance, if we suppose that

$$T = \sum (S^{-1})_{1j} p_j^2 = p_x p_y,$$

then one will get the following algebraic equations

$$w_{11} w_{21} = (S^{-1})_{11}, \quad w_{12} w_{21} + w_{11} w_{22} = 0, \quad w_{12} w_{22} = (S^{-1})_{12} \quad (4.13)$$

and the partial differential equations

$$\{x, p_x\} = \{y, p_y\} = 1, \quad \{p_x, y\} = \{p_y, x\} = \{p_x, p_y\} = 0. \quad (4.14)$$

on parameters α and functions $z_{1,2}(q_1, q_2)$, $w_{kj}(q_1, q_2)$.

The remaining free parameters $\gamma, \gamma_j^h, \gamma_j^g$ determine the corresponding potential part of the Hamiltonian $V(x, y)$. In fact, since integrals $H_{1,2}$ is defined up to the trivial shifts $H_k \rightarrow H_k + c_k$, our potential $V(x, y)$ depends on three arbitrary parameters only.

4.1 Examples

According to [25] in this section we consider superintegrable systems on a complex Euclidean space $E_2(\mathbb{C})$ with the following Hamiltonian

$$H_1 = p_x p_y + V_Z(x, y), \quad (4.15)$$

where subscript $Z = I, II, III$ or IV indicates on the type of the solution (4.10). The passage from the conformal coordinate system (x, y) to another coordinate systems can be realized by using the Beltrami partial differential equations.

Remark 7 According to [21, 23] the Stäckel transformations (3.13) relate systems associated with the same solution of (4.10) because the corresponding Stäckel matrices $S_Z(q)$ are differed on the first row only.

Example 1 Let us put $\kappa_1 = 1$ and $\kappa_2 = 1$ in (4.9) and try to solve equations (4.13)-(4.14). Here is one system with first order additional integral K_m (4.5)

$$V_{III}^{(1)} = \gamma_1 xy + \gamma_2(x + y) + \gamma_3(x - y),$$

and seven systems with cubic integral of motion K_m (4.5)

$$\begin{aligned} V_I^{(1)} &= \frac{\gamma_1}{\sqrt{xy}} + \frac{\gamma_2}{(y-x)^2} + \frac{\gamma_3(y+x)}{\sqrt{xy}(y-x)^2}, \\ V_I^{(2)} &= \gamma_1 xy + \frac{\gamma_2}{(y-x)^2} + \frac{\gamma_3}{(y+x)^2}, \\ V_{II}^{(1)} &= \frac{\gamma_1}{\sqrt{y(x-1)}} + \frac{\gamma_2}{\sqrt{y(x+1)}} + \frac{\gamma_3 x}{\sqrt{x^2-1}}, \\ V_{II}^{(2)} &= \gamma_1 xy + \gamma_2 y \frac{2x^2+1}{\sqrt{x^2+1}} + \frac{\gamma_3 x}{\sqrt{x^2+1}}, \\ V_{III}^{(2)} &= \frac{\gamma_1}{\sqrt{xy}} + \frac{\gamma_2}{\sqrt{x}} + \frac{\gamma_3}{\sqrt{y}}, \\ V_{III}^{(3)} &= \gamma_1 y^{-1/2} + \gamma_2 x y^{-1/2} + \gamma_3 x, \\ V_{IV} &= \frac{\gamma_1}{(y+x)^2} + \gamma_2(y-x) + \frac{\gamma_3(3y-x)(y-3x)}{3}, \end{aligned}$$

Solution of the recurrence relations (4.7)

$$K_{m-1} = \pm \left(2p_1 p_2 u_1 u_2 + 2v_1 v_2 f + v_1 g_2 + v_2 g_1 \right) \quad (4.16)$$

is the additional polynomial integral of motion, which is the first order polynomial for the system with potential $V_{III}^{(1)}$ and the second order polynomial in the momenta for another systems.

All these systems are listed in the Drach papers [7].

Example 2 At $\kappa_1 = 1$ and $\kappa_2 = 2$ there is one superintegrable system with cubic additional integral K_m (4.5) and quadratic integral K_ℓ (4.6)

$$V_{III} = \gamma_1(3x + y)(x + 3y) + \gamma_2(x + y) + \gamma_3(x - y),$$

and seven systems with the real potentials

$$\begin{aligned} V_I &= \gamma_1(3x + y)(x + 3y) + \frac{\gamma_2}{(x + y)^2} + \frac{\gamma_3}{(x - y)^2}, \\ V_{II}^{(1)} &= \gamma_1 xy + \frac{\gamma_2}{\sqrt{x^3 y}} + \frac{\gamma_3}{x^2}, \\ V_{II}^{(2)} &= \frac{\gamma_1}{\sqrt{xy}} + \frac{\gamma_2}{x^2} + \frac{\gamma_3 y}{x^3}, \\ V_{II}^{(3)} &= \frac{\gamma_1}{\sqrt{xy}} + \frac{\gamma_2}{\sqrt{x^3 y}} + \frac{\gamma_3}{x^{5/4} y^{3/4}}, \\ V_{II}^{(4)} &= \gamma_1 xy + \frac{\gamma_2 y}{x^3} + \frac{\gamma_3 y^3}{x^5}, \\ V_{IV}^{(1)} &= \frac{\gamma_1}{\sqrt{xy}} + \frac{\gamma_2(\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{y})}{\sqrt{xy}} + \frac{\gamma_3}{\sqrt{xy}(\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{y})^2}, \\ V_{IV}^{(2)} &= \gamma_1 xy + \gamma_2(x - y) + \frac{\gamma_3}{(x + y)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

for which integrals of motion K_m and K_ℓ (4.5-4.6) are fifth and sixth order polynomials in the momenta. Solution of the recurrence relations (4.7)

$$\begin{aligned} K_{m-1} = \{K_m, H_2\} &= 4p_1 p_2 u_1 u_2 (2f v_2 + g_2) + 4v_2 (2f v_1 + g_1) (f v_2 + g_2) + (4f h_2 + g_2^2) v_1 \\ &= 4\mu_2 (\mu_1 P_2' + P_1') - (4f h_2 - g_2^2) \lambda_1 - 4h_2 g_1. \end{aligned}$$

is the additional polynomial integral of motion, which is the second order polynomial for the system with potential V_{III} and the fourth order polynomial in the momenta for another systems.

Solution of the next recurrence relation $K_{m-2} = \{K_{m-1}, H_2\}$ is the rational function in momenta.

Example 3 Now we present some superintegrable Stäckel systems at $\kappa_1 = 1$ and $\kappa_2 = 3$. Here is one system with cubic additional integral K_m (4.5)

$$V_{III}^{(1)} = \gamma_1(x + 2y)(2x + y) + \gamma_2(x + 2y) + \gamma_3(2x + y), \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and seven systems with the real potentials

$$\begin{aligned} V_I &= \gamma_1(x + 2y)(2x + y) + \frac{\gamma_2}{(x + y)^2} + \frac{\gamma_3}{(x - y)^2}, \\ V_{II}^{(1)} &= \gamma_1 xy + \frac{\gamma_2}{x^{2/3} y^{4/3}} + \frac{\gamma_3}{x^{1/3} y^{5/3}}, \\ V_{II}^{(2)} &= \frac{\gamma_1}{\sqrt{xy}} + \frac{\gamma_2 \sqrt{y}}{x^{5/2}} + \frac{\gamma_3 y^2}{x^4}, \\ V_{II}^{(3)} &= \frac{\gamma_1}{\sqrt{xy}} + \frac{\gamma_2}{x^{4/3} y^{2/3}} + \frac{\gamma_3}{x^{7/6} y^{5/6}}, \\ V_{II}^{(4)} &= \gamma_1 xy + \frac{\gamma_2 y^2}{x^4} + \frac{\gamma_3 y^5}{x^7}, \\ V_{III}^{(2)} &= \gamma_1(x^2 - 5x\sqrt{y} + 4y) + \frac{\gamma_2 x}{\sqrt{y}} + \frac{\gamma_3}{\sqrt{y}}, \\ V_{IV} &= \gamma_1(x + 5y)(5x + y) + \gamma_2(x - y) + \frac{\gamma_3}{(x - y)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

for which integrals of motion K_m and K_ℓ (4.5-4.6) are seventh and eighth order polynomials in the momenta.

4.2 Algebra of integrals of motion

For all the superintegrable systems considered in the previous section the algebra of integrals of motion $H_{1,2}$ and K_m, K_ℓ (4.5) is the polynomial algebra in terms of the coefficients of the hyperelliptic curves

$$\{H_2, K_m\} = 2K_\ell, \quad \{H_2, K_\ell\} = 2fK_m, \quad \{K_m, K_\ell\} = \pm\Phi_Z,$$

where polynomial F_Z depends on the type of solution (4.10) only:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_I &= 2(4fh_2 - g_2^2)^{\kappa_2 - \kappa_1} \left(4f(\kappa_1^2 h_2 g_1 + \kappa_2^2 h_1 g_2) - g_1 g_2 (\kappa_2^2 g_1 + \kappa_1^2 g_2) \right), \\ \Phi_{II} &= 4(4fh_2 - g_2^2)^{\kappa_2 - \kappa_1} \left(4f(\kappa_2 + \kappa_1) h_2 h_1 - \kappa_1 h_1 g_2^2 - \kappa_2 h_2 g_1^2 \right) \mp K_m^\nu, \\ \Phi_{III} &= 4(4fh_2 - g_2^2)^{\kappa_2 - \kappa_1} \left(4f(\kappa_1 h_2 + \kappa_2 h_1) - \kappa_1 g_2^2 - \kappa_2 g_1^2 \right) f, \\ \Phi_{IV} &= 2(4fh_2 - g_2^2)^{\kappa_2 - \kappa_1} \left(4f(2\kappa_2 f h_1 - \kappa_1 h_2 g_1) - 2\kappa_2 f g_1^2 + \kappa_1 g_1 g_2^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

Here $\nu = 1$ for $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2$ and $\nu = 2$ for $\kappa_1 \neq \kappa_2$. Choice of the sign $+$ or $-$ depends on κ 's too.

The Stäckel transformations (3.13) relate systems associated with one type of the solutions (4.10), whereas algebra of integrals of motion is invariant with respect to such transformations.

5 Elliptic angle variables

The first demonstration of the existence of an addition theorem for elliptic functions is due to Euler [8], who showed that the differential relation

$$\frac{\kappa_1 dx}{\sqrt{X}} + \frac{\kappa_2 dy}{\sqrt{Y}} = 0 \quad (5.1)$$

connecting the most general quartic function of a variable x

$$X = ax^4 + 4bx^3 + 6cx^2 + 4dx + e \quad (5.2)$$

and the same function Y of another variable y , leads to an algebraical relation between x and y , X and Y at integer $\kappa_{1,2}$.

As an example at $\kappa_{1,2} = 1$ one gets

$$\left(\frac{\sqrt{X} - \sqrt{Y}}{x - y} \right)^2 = a(x + y)^2 + 4b(x + y) + C$$

where C is the arbitrary constant of integration. This algebraic relation when rationalized leads to a symmetrical biquadratic form of x and y

$$F(x, y) = ax^2y^2 + 2bxy(x + y) + c(x^2 + 4xy + y^2) + 2d(x + y) + e = 0, \quad (5.3)$$

which defines the conic section on the plane (x, y) , which then will be classical trajectory of motion in the configurational space.

According to [3] we could replace the constant C in the Euler integral relation by $4c + 4s$, where

$$s = \frac{F(x, y) - \sqrt{X}\sqrt{Y}}{2(x - y)^2} = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\sqrt{X} - \sqrt{Y}}{x - y} \right)^2 - \frac{(x + y)^2}{4} - b(x + y) - c, \quad (5.4)$$

is the famous algebraic Euler integral. Treating s as a function of the independent variables x and y , one gets the following addition theorem

$$\frac{dx}{\sqrt{X}} + \frac{dy}{\sqrt{Y}} + \frac{ds}{\sqrt{S}} = 0 \quad (5.5)$$

Of course, the Euler addition theorem is a very special case of the Abel theorem [3]. As an example, the similar explicit addition formulas for genus two hyperelliptic curves may be found in [6].

The polynomial S in (5.5) may be defined in the algebraic form

$$\sqrt{S} = \frac{(Y_1x + Y_2)\sqrt{X} - (X_1y + X_2)\sqrt{Y}}{(x - y)^3}, \quad (5.6)$$

where

$$X_1 = (ax^3 + 3bx^2 + 3cx + d), \quad X_2 = bx^3 + 3cx^2 + 3dx + e$$

and similar to $Y_{1,2}$, or in the Weierstrass form

$$S = 4s^3 - g_2s - g_3 \quad (5.7)$$

where

$$g_2 = ae - 4bd + 3c^2, \quad g_3 = ace + 2bcd - ad^2 - eb^2 - c^3, \quad (5.8)$$

are the quadrivariant and cubicvariant of the quartic X [2], respectively.

For $\kappa_1 = 1$ and $\kappa_2 = 2$ we present Euler's equation of the trajectory of motion at $c = d = 0$ only:

$$F(x, y) = (e - ay^4)x + 2y\sqrt{e(ay^4 + 6cy^2 + e)},$$

see page 355 in [8].

5.1 Classification of the Euler superintegrable systems

According to [11], in order to use the Euler addition theorem (5.5) for construction of the superintegrable Stäckel systems we have to start with the genus one hyperelliptic curve

$$\mu^2 = P(\lambda), \quad \text{where} \quad P(x) = X,$$

and a pair of arbitrary substitutions

$$\lambda_j = v_j(q_j) \quad \mu_j = u_j(q_j)p_j, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

where p and q are canonical variables $\{p_j, q_i\} = \delta_{ij}$.

This hyperelliptic curve and substitutions give us a pair of the separated relations

$$p_j^2 u_j^2(q_j) = av_j(q_j)^4 + 4bv_j(q_j)^3 + 6cv_j(q_j)^2 + 4dv_j(q_j) + e, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (5.9)$$

where coefficients a, b, c, d and e of the quartic X (5.2) are linear functions of integrals of motion $H_{1,2}$:

$$a = \alpha_1 H_1 + \alpha_2 H_2 + \alpha, \quad b = \beta_1 H_1 + \beta_2 H_2 + \beta, \quad \dots, \quad e = \epsilon_1 H_1 + \epsilon_2 H_2 + \epsilon.$$

As above the separated relations (5.9) coincide with the Jacobi relations for the uniform Stäckel systems [19, 20, 21]

$$p_j = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n H_k \mathbf{S}_{kj} - U_j(q_j)} \quad (5.10)$$

where \mathbf{S} is the so-called Stäckel matrix and U_j is the Stäckel potential:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}_{ij} &= u_j^{-2}(\alpha_i v_j^4 + 4\beta_i v_j^3 + 6\gamma_i v_j^2 + 4\delta_i v_j + \epsilon_i), \\ U_j &= u_j^{-2}(\alpha v_j^4 + 4\beta v_j^3 + 6\gamma v_j^2 + 4\delta v_j + \epsilon), \end{aligned} \quad i, j = 1, 2.$$

Solving these separated relations (5.9-3.3) with respect to $H_{1,2}$ one gets pair of the Stäckel integrals of motion in the involution

$$H_k = \sum_{j=1}^2 (S^{-1})_{jk} (p_j^2 + U_j(q_j)), \quad k = 1, 2, \quad (5.11)$$

and angle variables

$$\omega_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^2 \int \frac{\mathbf{S}_{ij} dq_j}{p_j} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^2 \int \frac{\mathbf{S}_{ij} dq_j}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n H_k S_{kj} - U_j(q_j)}} \quad (5.12)$$

canonically conjugated with the action variables $H_{1,2}$.

In generic case the action variables (5.12) are the sum of the multi-valued functions. However, if we are able to apply some addition theorem to the calculation of ω_2

$$\omega_2 = \frac{1}{2} \int^{v_1(q_1)} \frac{\mathbf{S}_{21}(\lambda) d\lambda}{\sqrt{P(\lambda)}} + \frac{1}{2} \int^{v_2(q_2)} \frac{\mathbf{S}_{22}(\lambda) d\lambda}{\sqrt{P(\lambda)}} = \frac{1}{2} \int^s \frac{ds}{\sqrt{S}},$$

then one could get additional single-valued integrals of motion s and S :

$$\{H_1, \omega_2\} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \{H_1, s\} = \{H_1, S\} = 0.$$

Of course, opportunity to apply some addition theorem to computation of the angle variable ω_2 and of the additional single-valued integrals of motion s, S leads to some restrictions on our quartic $P(\lambda)$ and substitutions $\lambda_j = v_j(q_j)$, $\mu_j = u_j(q_j)p_j$, see [11].

For instance, if we want to use the Euler addition theorem (5.5) we have to put

$$\mathbf{S}_{21}(\lambda) = 1, \quad \mathbf{S}_{22}(\lambda) = \pm 1.$$

Such as

$$\mathbf{S}_{ij}(q) = \frac{v'_j(q_j)}{u_j(q_j)} \mathbf{S}_{ij}(\lambda)$$

these restrictions are equivalent to the following equations

$$\kappa_j u_j v'_j = \alpha_2 v_j^4 + 4\beta_2 v_j^3 + 6\gamma_2 v_j^2 + 4\delta_2 v_j + \epsilon_2, \quad \kappa_1 = 1, \quad \kappa_2 = \pm 1, \quad (5.13)$$

on functions $u(q), v(q)$ and coefficients $\alpha_2, \beta_2, \dots, \epsilon_2$ of the quartic, because we have to solve these equations in some fixed functional space [25].

It's easy to prove that there are five monomial solutions

$$\begin{array}{llll} \text{I} & u_j = 1, & v_j = q_j, & \epsilon_2 \neq 0 \\ \text{II} & u_j = q_j, & v_j = q_j^{4\delta_2 \kappa_j^{-1}}, & \delta_2 \neq 0, \\ \text{III} & u_j = 1, & v_j = q_j^{-1}, & \gamma_2 \neq 0 \\ \text{IV} & u_j = q_j^{-1}, & v_j = q_j^{-1}, & \beta_2 \neq 0, \\ \text{V} & u_j = q_j^{-2}, & v_j = q_j^{-1}, & \alpha_2 \neq 0 \end{array} \quad (5.14)$$

up to canonical transformations of the separated variables (p_j, q_j) and transformations of integrals of motion $H_j \rightarrow \sigma H_j + \rho$. Here notations $\alpha_2 \neq 0, \beta_2 \neq 0, \dots$ mean that other parameters are equal to zero.

As above, if we suppose that after some point transformation (4.11) kinetic part of the Hamilton function has a special form (4.12), then one gets some additional restrictions (4.13-4.14) on the coefficients of the quartic $P(\lambda)$.

The remaining free parameters α, \dots, ϵ determine potential part of the Hamiltonian $V(x, y)$. In fact, since integrals $H_{1,2}$ is defined up to the trivial shifts $H_k \rightarrow H_k + \rho_k$, our potential $V(x, y)$ depends on three arbitrary parameters only.

Summing up, we have proved that classification of the Euler superintegrable systems on the plain is equivalent to solution of the equations (5.13, 4.13, 4.14). For all the possible solutions additional quadratic in momenta integral of motion looks like

$$K_2 = s + c = \frac{F(v_1, v_2) - p_1 u_1 p_2 u_2}{2(v_1 - v_2)^2} + c,$$

see (5.4) and additional cubic in momenta integral of motion is equal to

$$K_3 = \sqrt{S} \equiv \sqrt{4s^3 - g_2s - g_3}$$

see (5.6) and (5.7).

Remark 8 Of course, additional integrals of motion for the n -dimensional superintegrable systems are related with the Abel theorem as well. As an example we present the quadratic in momenta Richelot integral [18]

$$s = \lambda_1^2 \dots \lambda_n^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\mu_j}{\lambda_j^2 F'(\lambda_j)} \right)^2 - a_1 \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \right) - a_0 \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \right)^2$$

for the uniform Stäckel systems linearized on the Jacobian of the following hyperelliptic curve

$$\mu^2 = a_{2n}\lambda^{2n} + \dots + a_1\lambda + a_0,$$

such that the Stäckel matrix is a lowest block of the corresponding Brill-Noether matrix [20, 21]. Here $F(\lambda) = \prod(\lambda - \lambda_j)$ and $\lambda_j = v_j(q_j)$, $\mu_j = u_j(q_j)p_j$ are the suitable substitutions.

5.2 Examples

Let us find all the Euler superintegrable systems on a complex Euclidean space $E_2(\mathbb{C})$

$$H_1 = p_x p_y + V(x, y) \tag{5.15}$$

with the real potentials V . Solving equations (5.13,4.13,4.14) one gets the following five superintegrable potentials

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 &= \alpha(x+y) + \beta(y+3x)x^{-1/2} + \gamma x^{-1/2}, \\ V_2 &= \alpha y(x+y^2) + \beta(x+3y^2) + \gamma y, \\ V_3 &= \alpha(x+3y)(3x+y) + \beta(x+y) + \frac{\gamma}{(x-y)^2} \\ V_4 &= \alpha xy^{-3} - \beta y^{-2} - \gamma xy, \\ V_5 &= \frac{\alpha}{x^2} + \frac{\beta}{x^{3/2}\sqrt{y-1}} + \frac{\gamma}{x^{1/2}\sqrt{y-1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

Recall, that implicitly all these systems have been found by Euler in 1761 [8]. Potentials V_1 and V_3 in explicit form have been found by Drach [7], the (ℓ) and (g) cases, whereas potential V_2 , V_4 and V_5 may be found in [14], as the E_{10} , E_8 and E_{17} cases, respectively.

The first and fifth solutions (5.14) of the equations (5.13) are related with potentials $V_{1,2}$. The second and fourth solutions give us potential V_3 , whereas third solution yields potentials $V_{4,5}$. For brevity we present the main stages of calculations only, all the details may be found in [11].

Case 1 If we take first solution from (5.14)

$$u_j = \pm 1, \quad v_j = \pm q_j, \quad \Rightarrow \quad p_1^2 = P(q_1), \quad (-p_2)^2 = P(-q_2)$$

and quartic

$$P(\lambda) = -\frac{\alpha}{2}\lambda^4 + 2\beta\lambda^3 + H_1\lambda^2 + 2\gamma\lambda + H_2,$$

then after the following change of variables

$$x = \frac{(q_1 - q_2)^2}{4}, \quad p_x = p_1 - p_2 q_1 - q_2, \quad y = \frac{(q_1 + q_2)^2}{2}, \quad p_y = \frac{p_1 + p_2}{q_1 + q_2}, \quad (5.17)$$

we obtain the Stäckel integrals

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= p_x p_y + \alpha(x + y) + \frac{\beta(3x + y)}{\sqrt{x}} + \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{x}}, \\ H_2 &= (p_x - p_y)(p_x x - p_y y) - \frac{\alpha(x - y)^2}{2} - \frac{\beta(x - y)^2}{\sqrt{x}} + \frac{\gamma(x - y)}{\sqrt{x}}, \end{aligned}$$

the Euler integrals of motion

$$\begin{aligned} K_2 &= s + c = \frac{p_y^2}{4} + \frac{\alpha x}{2} + \beta\sqrt{x}, \\ K_3 &= \sqrt{S} = \frac{p_y^2(p_x - p_y)}{4} + \frac{\alpha(p_x - p_y)x}{2} + \frac{\beta(2p_x x - 3p_y x + p_y y)}{4\sqrt{x}} + \frac{\gamma p_y}{4\sqrt{x}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

The same system may be obtained by using fifth substitution from (5.14).

Case 2 Using the same first solution (5.14) and another quartic

$$P(\lambda) = -\frac{\alpha}{4}\lambda^4 - \beta\lambda^3 - \frac{\gamma}{2}\lambda^2 + H_1\lambda + H_2$$

after the following change of coordinates

$$x = \frac{(q_1 + q_2)^2}{4}, \quad p_x = \frac{p_1 + p_2}{q_1 + q_2}, \quad y = \frac{q_1 - q_2}{2}, \quad p_y = p_1 - p_2,$$

we can get superintegrable Stäckel system

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= p_x p_y + \alpha y(x + y^2) + \beta(x + 3y^2) + \gamma y, \\ H_2 &= \frac{p_y^2}{4} + p_x^2 x - y p_y p_x + \frac{\alpha(3y^2 + x)(x - y^2)}{4} + 2\beta y(x - y^2) + \frac{\gamma}{2}(x - y^2), \end{aligned}$$

with the quadratic Euler integral

$$K_2 = s + c = \frac{p_x^2}{4} + \frac{\alpha y^2}{4} + \frac{\beta y}{2}$$

and with the cubic integral of motion

$$K_3 = \sqrt{S} = \frac{p_x^3}{4} + \frac{\alpha(3p_x(x + y^2) - p_y y)}{8} + \frac{\beta(6p_x y - p_y)}{8} + \frac{\gamma p_x}{8}.$$

The same system may be obtained by using fifth substitution from (5.14) as well.

Case 3 If we take the second solution from (5.14)

$$u_j = q_j, \quad v_j = q_j^2, \quad \Rightarrow \quad p_1^2 q_1^2 = P(q_1^2), \quad p_2^2 q_2^2 = P(q_2^2)$$

and quartic

$$P(\lambda) = -\alpha\lambda^4 - \frac{\beta}{2}\lambda^3 + H_1\lambda^2 + H_2\lambda + \gamma,$$

after canonical transformations (5.17) we will get the following superintegrable Stäckel system

$$H_1 = p_x p_y + \alpha(x + 3y)(3x + y) + \beta(x + y) + \frac{\gamma}{(x - y)^2},$$

$$H_2 = (p_x - p_y)(p_x x - p_y y) - 2\alpha(x + y)(x - y)^2 - \frac{\beta(x - y)^2}{2} - \frac{2\gamma(x + y)}{(x - y)^2}.$$

Using the Euler addition theorem (5.5) we can get the Euler integral

$$K_2 = s + c = \frac{(p_x + p_y)^2}{16} + \alpha(x + y)^2 + \frac{\beta}{4}(x + y)$$

and the cubic in momenta integral of motion

$$K_3 = \sqrt{S} = \frac{(p_x - p_y)^2(p_x + p_y)}{32} - \frac{\alpha(x - y)(p_x(5x + 3y) - (3x + 5y)p_y)}{8}$$

$$- \frac{\beta(p_x - p_y)(x - y)}{16} - \frac{\gamma(p_x + p_y)}{8(x - y)^2}.$$

The same system may be obtained by using fourth substitution from (5.14).

Case 4 If we take the third solution from (5.14)

$$u_j = \pm 1, \quad v_j = \pm q_j^{-1}, \quad \Rightarrow \quad p_1^2 = X(q_1^{-1}), \quad p_2^2 = Y(-q_2^{-1})$$

and quartic

$$P(\lambda) = \alpha\lambda^4 - \beta\lambda^3 + H_2\lambda^2 + H_1\lambda - \gamma,$$

then after canonical transformation

$$x = \sqrt{q_1 q_2}, \quad p_x = \frac{p_1 q_1 + q_2 p_2}{\sqrt{q_1 q_2}}, \quad y = \frac{q_1 - q_2}{\sqrt{q_1 q_2}}, \quad p_y = \frac{\sqrt{q_1 q_2}(p_1 q_1 - q_2 p_2)}{q_1 + q_2}$$

we will get the following superintegrable Stäckel system

$$H_1 = p_x p_y + \frac{\alpha y}{x^3} + \frac{\beta}{x^2} + \gamma x y, \quad H_2 = p_y^2 + \frac{(p_x x - y p_y)^2}{4} - \frac{\alpha(y^2 + 1)}{x^2} - \frac{\beta y}{x} + \gamma x^2.$$

Using the Euler addition theorem (5.5) one gets additional quadratic in momenta Euler integral

$$K_2 = s + C = \frac{(p_x x - y p_y)^2}{16} - \frac{\alpha y^2}{4x^2} - \frac{\beta y}{4x}$$

and the cubic integral of motion

$$K_3 = \sqrt{S} = \frac{p_y^2(p_x x - y p_y)}{8} + \frac{\alpha(3y p_y + x p_x)}{8x^2} + \frac{\beta p_y}{4x} + \frac{\gamma(p_x x - y p_y)x^2}{8}.$$

Case 5 Using the same third solution (5.14) and another quartic

$$P(\lambda) = 4\alpha\lambda^4 + 4\beta\lambda^3 + H_2\lambda^2 + 2\gamma\lambda + H_1$$

after the following change of variables

$$x = \frac{q_1 q_2}{2}, \quad p_x = \frac{p_1 q_1 + q_2 p_2}{q_1 q_2}, \quad y = \frac{q_1^2 + q_2^2}{2q_1 q_2}, \quad p_y = \frac{q_1 q_2(p_1 q_1 - q_2 p_2)}{q_1^2 - q_2^2},$$

we can get more complicated superintegrable system with the Stäckel integrals of motion

$$H_1 = p_x p_y + \frac{\alpha}{x^2} + \frac{\beta}{x^{3/2}\sqrt{y-1}} + \frac{\gamma}{x^{1/2}\sqrt{y-1}},$$

$$H_2 = (x p_x - p_y - p_y y)(x p_x + p_y - p_y y) - \frac{4\alpha y}{x} - \frac{2\beta(2y-1)}{x^{1/2}\sqrt{y-1}} - \frac{2\gamma x^{1/2}}{\sqrt{y-1}}.$$

The Euler integral of motion is equal to

$$K_2 = s + c = \frac{(p_x x + p_y - p_y y)^2}{4} - \frac{\alpha(y-1)}{x} - \frac{\beta\sqrt{y-1}}{\sqrt{x}},$$

whereas the cubic in momenta integral reads as

$$K_3 = \sqrt{S} = -\frac{p_y(xp_x + p_y - p_y y)^2}{2} + \frac{2\alpha(y-1)p_y}{x} - \frac{\beta(p_x x - 3p_y y + 3py)}{2x^{1/2}\sqrt{y-1}} - \frac{\gamma x^{1/2}(p_x x + p_y - p_y y)}{2\sqrt{y-1}}.$$

This list of examples may be easily broadened because Euler proposed construction of the algebraic integrals of motion for the equations (5.1) with any integer κ 's. We discuss the Euler construction of the algebraic integrals of motion for superintegrable systems at $\kappa_{1,2} = \pm 1$ only. Of course, the most interesting case is the case with the different $\kappa_{1,2}$ in (5.1) for which the corresponding additional integrals of motion will be higher order polynomials in momenta as for logarithmic angle variables.

5.3 The quadratic integrals of motion

It is easy to prove that the algebra of integrals of motion $H_{1,2}$ and K_2 is the quadratic Poisson algebra because

$$\{H_2, K_2\} = \sigma K_3 = \sigma\sqrt{S(s)}, \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{cases} \sigma = 2, & V_1, \\ \sigma = -2, & V_2, V_4, V_5, \\ \sigma = 4, & V_3, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\{H_2\{H_2, K_2\}\} = \{H_2, \sigma\sqrt{S}\} = \frac{\sigma^2}{2} S' = \frac{\sigma^2}{2} (12s^2 - g_2) = \Phi(H_1, H_2, K_2), \quad (5.19)$$

where $\Phi(H_1, H_2, K_2)$ is the second order polynomial such as $s = K_2 - c$ and g_2 is quadrivariant of the quartic (5.8). Another details on the quadratic Poisson algebras of integrals of motion may be found in [5].

The search of the two dimensional manifolds whose the geodesics are curves which possess two additional quadratic integrals of motion was initiated by Darboux [4], who found five classes of the metrics. These metrics or "formes essentielles" are tabulated in "Tableau" by Koenigs [16] and in [15].

The superintegrable Darboux-Koenigs systems have a generic conformal Hamiltonian

$$H_1 = \frac{p_\xi p_\eta}{g(\xi, \eta)} + V(\xi, \eta),$$

where the Darboux-Koenigs metric g is a metric on the Liouville surface [4, 5] if

$$g(\xi, \eta) = F(\xi + \eta) + G(\xi - \eta), \quad \text{and} \quad K_2 = p_\xi^2 + p_\eta^2 - 2p_\xi p_\eta \frac{\beta(\xi, \eta)}{g(\xi, \eta)} + Q(\xi, \eta)$$

or metric g is a metric on the Lie surface if

$$g(\xi, \eta) = \xi F(\eta) + G(\eta), \quad \text{and} \quad K_2 = p_\xi^2 - 2p_\xi p_\eta \frac{\beta(\xi, \eta)}{g(\xi, \eta)} + Q(\xi, \eta).$$

Superintegrable systems associated with the Liouville surfaces are separable in the two different orthogonal systems of coordinates. It means that two pairs of integrals of motion (H_1, H_2) and (H_1, K_2) take on the Stäckel form (3.2) after some different point transformations (4.11).

For the superintegrable systems associated with the Lie surfaces only one pair of integrals (H_1, H_2) may be reduced to the Stäckel form (3.2), whereas second pair of integrals (H_1, K_2) doesn't separable in the class of the point transformations (4.11).

It's easy to prove that two systems with potentials V_1 and V_2 are defined on the Lie surfaces. The remaining systems with potentials V_3, V_4 and V_5 are defined on the Liouville surfaces. The second

separated variables $\tilde{q}_{1,2}$ for integrals of motion H_1, K_2 may be found by using the software proposed in [10]:

$$x = \frac{\tilde{q}_1^2 - \tilde{q}_2^2}{4}, \quad y = \frac{\tilde{q}_1^2 + \tilde{q}_2^2}{4}, \quad \text{for } V_3,$$

$$x = \tilde{q}_2 \tilde{q}_1^{-2}, \quad y = \tilde{q}_2 \tilde{q}_1^2, \quad \text{for } V_4, V_5.$$

It is easy to prove that the corresponding separated relations $\tilde{p}_j^2 = P(\tilde{q}_j)$ define two different zero-genus hyperelliptic curves and lead to logarithmic angle variables [25]. Thus, for these three Euler superintegrable systems there are two different addition theorems: addition theorem for elliptic functions (5.5) and addition theorem for logarithms $\ln x + \ln y = \ln xy$. So, multiseparability of the superintegrable systems may be associated with occurrence of the different addition theorems for a given superintegrable hamiltonian.

According to [13], the Stäckel integrals of motion are in the bi-involution with respect to a pair of compatible Poisson brackets

$$\{H_i, H_j\} = \{H_i, H_j\}' = 0,$$

where the second Poisson tensor $P' = NP$ is obtained by the following recursion operator N :

$$N \frac{\partial}{\partial q^k} = \sum_{i=1}^n L_k^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + \sum_{ij} p_j \left(\frac{\partial L_i^j}{\partial q^k} - \frac{\partial L_k^j}{\partial q^i} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}, \quad N \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k} = \sum_{i=1}^n L_i^k \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}.$$

Here L is so-called Benenti tensor [1]. There is symbolic software [10], which allows us to obtain this tensor starting with the Hamiltonian H_1 only.

So, it is easy to prove that any superintegrable system associated with the Liouville surface has two different linear in momenta Poisson bivectors P' and P'' , which are compatible with the canonical bivector P and incompatible to each other. On the other hand, superintegrable system associated with the Lie surface has one linear Poisson bivector P' only. It will be interesting to understand the geometric origin of this phenomena.

Moreover, we can find some integrable systems associated with the Lie surfaces, which doesn't separable in the class of the point transformations and which hasn't linear second Poisson bivectors. As an example, we present the following integrable system with the quadratic integrals of motion

$$H_1 = p_x p_y + \alpha y^{-3/2} + \beta x y^{-3/2} + \gamma x, \quad K_2 = p_x^2 - 4\beta y^{1/2} + 2\gamma y,$$

which hasn't any other quadratic integrals. It will be interesting to classify such systems and describe the non-linear bi-hamiltonian structures associated with the Lie surfaces.

The research was partially supported by the RFBR grant 06-01-00140.

References

- [1] S. Benenti, *Intrinsic characterization of the variable separation in the Hamilton-Jacobi equation*, J. Math. Phys. v.38, pp.6578-6602, 1997.
- [2] W.S. Burnside and A.W. Panton, *Theory of Equations*, Longmans, 1886.
- [3] A. Cayley, *An Elementary Treatise on Elliptic Functions*, London, 1876.
A.G. Greenhill, *The applications of elliptic functions*, London, 1892.
- [4] G. Darboux, *Leçons sur la Théorie Générale des Surfaces*, 1898.
- [5] C. Daskaloyannis, K. Ypsilantis, *Unified treatment and classification of superintegrable systems with integrals quadratic in momenta on a two-dimensional manifold*, J. Math. Phys., v.47, 042904, 2006.

- [6] A.L. Dixon, *On hyperelliptic functions of genus two*, Quarterly Journal of Mathematics, v. 36, p. 1, 1904.
W.V. Parker, *Addition formulas for hyperelliptic functions*, Bulletin A. M. S. v.38, p.895-901, 1932.
- [7] J. Drach. *Sur l'intégration logique des équations de la dynamique à deux variables: Forces conservatives. Intégrales cubiques. Mouvements dans le plan.* Comptes Rendus, v.200, p.22-26, 1935.
- [8] L. Euler, *Institutiones Calculi integralis*, Ac.Sc. Petropoli, 1761, (Russian translation GITL, Moskow, 1956.)
- [9] A. Henrici, T. Kappeler, *Global action-angle variables for the periodic Toda lattice*, Preprint: arXiv:0802.4032, 2008.
- [10] Yu.A. Grigoryev, A.V. Tsiganov, *Symbolic software for separation of variables in the Hamilton-Jacobi equation for the L-systems*, Regular and Chaotic Dynamics, v.10(4), p.413-422, 2005.
- [11] Yu A Grigoryev, V. A. Khudobakhshov, A V Tsiganov, *On the Euler superintegrable systems*, to appear.
- [12] I. Marquette, P. Winternitz, *Superintegrable systems with third-order integrals of motion*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor., v.41, 304031 (10pp), 2008.
- [13] A. Ibort, F. Magri, G. Marmo, *Bihamiltonian structures and Stäckel separability*, J. Geometry and Physics, v.33, p.210-228, 2000.
- [14] E.G. Kalnins, J.M. Kress, G.S. Pogosyan and W. Miller, *Completeness of superintegrability in two-dimensional constant-curvature spaces*, J.Phys. A: Math. Gen. v.34, p.4705, 2001.
- [15] E.G. Kalnins, J.M. Kress, W. Miller Jr, *Nondegenerate 2D complex Euclidean superintegrable systems and algebraic varieties*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor., 40, 3399-3411, 2007.
- [16] M.G. Koenigs, *Sur les géodésiques a intégrales quadratiques*, Note II in G. Darboux, *Leçons sur la Théorie Générale des Surfaces*, 1898.
- [17] J. Moser, *Finitely many mass points on the line under the influence of an exponential potential - an integrable system*, Lect. Notes in Phys., v.38, p.467-497, 1975.
- [18] F. Richelot, *Ueber die Integration eines merkwürdigen Systems von Differentialgleichungen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. v.23, p.354-369, 1842.
- [19] P. Stäckel *Über die Integration der Hamilton-Jacobischen Differential Gleichung Mittelst Separation der Variabel*, Habilitationsschrift, Halle, 1891.
- [20] A.V. Tsiganov, *The Stäckel systems and algebraic curves*, J. Math. Phys., v.40, p.279-298, 1999.
- [21] A.V. Tsiganov, *Duality between integrable Stäckel systems*, J. Phys.A: Math. Gen., v.32, p.7965-7982, 1999.
- [22] A.V. Tsiganov, *On the Drach superintegrable systems*, J. Phys.A: Math. Gen., v.33, p.7407-7423, 2000.
- [23] A.V. Tsiganov, *The Maupertuis principle and canonical transformations of the extended phase space*, J. Nonlinear Math.Phys, v.8(1), p.157-182, 2001.
- [24] A.V. Tsiganov, *On maximally superintegrable systems*, Regular and Chaotic Dynamics, v.13(3), p. 178-190, 2008.
- [25] A.V. Tsiganov, *Addition theorem and the Drach superintegrable systems*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor., v.41(33), 335204 (16pp), 2008.