Charmless Two-Body and Quasi-Two-Body *B*-decays at BABAR

Ingrid Ofte

SLAC, 2575 Sand Hill Road, Menlo Park, CA 94025, USA Representing the BABAR Collaboration

We present improved measurements of the branching fractions and CP asymmetries in the two-body decays $B^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$, $B^0 \rightarrow K^0 \pi^0$ and $B^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$ as well as the quasi two-body $B^0 \rightarrow K_1(1270)^+ \pi^-$ and $B^0 \rightarrow K_1(1400)^+ \pi^-$ decays. These updated measurements are made using the complete set of BABAR data taken at the Y(4S) resonance, collected between 1999 and 2007 at the PEP-II collider at SLAC.

1. INTRODUCTION

B-mesons decaying into charmless hadronic final states are rare events. The leading tree diagrams are CKM suppressed and loop (penguin) diagrams typically contribute at comparable magnitude. Decay rates and *CP* asymmetries may deviate from Standard Model expectations if yet-unknown heavy particles contribute in the loop. The abundance of related decays allows for multiple independent measurements of CKM matrix parameters and *CP* violation.

Direct CP asymmetry occurs when the magnitude squared of a decay amplitude differs from that of its CP conjugate process, $|A(\bar{B} \to \bar{f})|^2 \neq |A(B \to f)|^2$. Experimentally, we measure A_{CP} in terms of different yield of one process compared to that of the CP conjugate process. We expect non-zero A_{CP} if two or more amplitudes of comparable size contribute with different weak (ϕ) and strong (δ) phases, e.g. in the $B \to K\pi$ decay, where we have similar-sized contributions from tree and penguin amplitudes. The direct CP asymmetry is given by $A_{CP} = 2\sin\phi\sin\delta/(|T/P| + |P/T| + 2\cos\phi\cos\delta)$. Theoretically, the amplitudes ratio |T/P| and phase δ are extremely difficult to compute, as these quantities involve long-distance effects.

The hadronic uncertainties cancel to some extent in appropriately constructed ratios. Sum rules [1] based on isospin and flavor SU(3) symmetries relate decay rates and asymmetries in different final states and can make precision tests possible in spite of the hadronic uncertainties.

In these proceedings we present preliminary results from the analysis of four charmless B^0 decay modes to twobody or quasi two-body final states using data from the BABAR [2] detector. The analyses are described in detail in [3, 4].

2. ANALYSIS TECHNIQUE

We use 467 million $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays collected between 1999 and 2007, which amounts to about 22% more $B\overline{B}$ pairs than used previously. Improvements in track reconstruction have further increased the efficiency of our analyses and thereby improved the statistical significance. For some of the decay modes, additional sensitivity is obtained from improved analysis techniques.

Charmless two-body and quasi two-body decays of B mesons typically have high reconstruction efficiency and large $q\bar{q}$ backgrounds. To reduce this background we make use of event-shape variables. At the PEP-II collider, the B-mesons are produced almost at rest in the center-of-mass (CM) frame, and their decay products are isotropically distributed. Backgrounds from $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ events, on the other hand, are produced with larger momenta and have a more jet-like event structure. To distinguish these from signal B decays, we use one or more of the following observables, all evaluated in the CM frame: the sums $L_0 \equiv \sum_i |\mathbf{p}_i^*|$ and $L_2 \equiv \sum_i |\mathbf{p}_i^*| \cos^2 \theta_i^*$, where \mathbf{p}_i^* are the momenta and θ_i^* are the angles with respect to the thrust axis [5] of the B candidate, of all tracks and clusters not used to reconstruct the signal B-meson candidate; $|\cos \theta_s^*|$, where θ_s^* is the angle between the sphericity axes [6] of the B candidate's decay products and that of the remaining tracks and neutral clusters in the event; $|\cos \theta_B^*|$, where θ_B^* is the angle between momentum vector of the signal *B* and the beam axis; and $|\cos \theta_T^*|$, where θ_T^* is the angle between the thrust axis of the signal *B*-meson's daughters and the beam axis.

Correctly reconstructed B decays are selected based on their kinematic signatures exploiting the fact that each of the B mesons have half of the precisely-known beam energy. Most commonly we use the energy substituted mass, $m_{\rm ES} = \sqrt{(E_{\rm beam}^{\rm CM})^2 - (p_B^{\rm CM})^2}$ and the energy difference, $\Delta E = E_B - \sqrt{s}/2$, where \sqrt{s} is the total CM energy. In the case of $B^0 \to K_S^0 \pi^0$, we instead use a kinematic constraint. We define $m_{\rm miss} = |\sqrt{s} - \hat{q}_B|$, where \hat{q}_B is the four-momentum of the reconstructed B^0 after a B^0 mass constraint has been applied. Also used is m_B , the invariant mass of the signal B.

In the modes where the B^0 decays into a CP eigenstate, B^0_{CP} , we rely on a multivariate technique [7] to determine the flavor of the other B, B_{tag} . Finally, we extract the signal yield and CP asymmetries via an extended unbinned maximum likelihood (ML) fit to the kinematic and event-shape variables.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Branching fraction of $B^0 \rightarrow K^0 \pi^0$

We reconstruct $K_S^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$ and $\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma$ and measure the branching fraction via a ML fit to m_{miss} , m_B , L_2/L_0 and $\cos\theta_B^*$, as well as Δt , the proper time difference between the decay of the signal *B* and that of the other *B* in the event, to enable extraction of *CP* asymmetry [8, 9]. The fit extracts a yield of 556 ± 32 signal events. With a selection efficiency $\varepsilon = (34.2 \pm 1.2)\%$, this translates into a branching fraction measurement $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^0\pi^0) =$ $(10.1 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-6}$, in agreement with, and superseding, *BABAR*'s previous result [10].

Sum rules assuming flavor SU(3) symmetry [1] can be used to make a prediction based on the other, more precisely measured, $K\pi$ final states: $2\Gamma(B^0 \to K^0\pi^0) + 2\Gamma(B^+ \to K^+\pi^0) = \Gamma(B^+ \to K^0\pi^+) + \Gamma(B^0 \to K^+\pi^-)$. Using this formula, we obtain the prediction $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^0\pi^0)^{\text{Sum rule}} = (8.4 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-6}$. Our measurement is in reasonable agreement with this prediction within experimental uncertainties.

3.2. Direct $C\!P$ asymmetry in $B^0 o K^+ \pi^-$

This decay is a self-tagging mode and the CP asymmetry is apparent from the different decay rates of $B^0 \to K^+\pi^$ and $\overline{B^0} \to K^-\pi^+$. We reconstruct the *B*-meson from two oppositely charged tracks that are both assumed to be pions for the purpose of calculating ΔE . The signal $\pi\pi$ and $K\pi$ yields are extracted from a ML fit to the kinematic variables $m_{\rm ES}$ and ΔE , a \mathcal{F} isher discriminant based on L_2 and L_0 , and Δt and *B* flavor tagging in order to extract the time-dependent *CP* parameters for $\pi^+\pi^-$ (see [11]). In addition, particle-identification observables (the Cherenkov angle Θ_C in the DIRC [12] and ionization-energy loss dE/dx in the main tracking detector) are used to separate *K* tracks from π tracks. The ΔE distribution is offset from zero for $K\pi$ events, seen in figure 1, which further aids in distinguishing the $\pi\pi$ and $K\pi$ events. The different rates for B^0 and $\overline{B^0}$ is apparent from the figure and we measure $A_{K^+\pi^-} = -0.107 \pm 0.016^{+0.006}_{-0.004}$ with 6.1 σ significance, in agreement with and superseding our previous result [13].

3.3. Branching fraction and time-integrated $C\!P$ asymmetry in $B^0 o \pi^0 \pi^0$

The decay $B^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^0$ is useful for the extraction of the CKM angle α from an isospin analysis of the $B \to \pi \pi$ system [15]. Combined with the other two $B \to \pi \pi$ modes, the rates and *CP* asymmetries can determine $|\Delta \alpha| = |\alpha - \alpha_{\text{eff}}|$ with a four-fold ambiguity. This evaluation has been presented in [11].

We reconstruct the B^0 from a pair of π^0 candidates. $\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma$ are formed from pairs of clusters in the EMC that are isolated from any charged tracks. For this mode, we also use π^0 candidates from a single EMC cluster containing two adjacent photons (a merged π^0), or one cluster and two tracks from a photon conversion to an e^+e^- pair inside the detectors. The yield and time-integrated CP asymmetry is obtained from a ML fit to the kinematic variables $m_{\rm ES}$



Figure 1: $s\mathcal{P}$ lot [14] of ΔE for $K^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}$ events. The different decay rates for $B^0 \to K^+\pi^-$ and $\overline{B^0} \to K^-\pi^+$ are apparent.

and ΔE , as well as the output of a neural network based on event-shape variables. The use of a neural network has improved the statistical sensitivity compared to the previous result. The time-integrated *CP* asymmetry is measured by the *B*-flavor tagging algorithm.

We use an improved background model for the ML fit, where we allow the background shape parameter to be linearly dependent on the neural network output observable. With this, we obtain a better fit to the data than in our previous measurement [16]. We observe $N_{\pi^0\pi^0} = 247 \pm 29$, with an efficiency $\varepsilon = (28.8 \pm 1.8)\%$. This results in the branching fraction measurement $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \pi^0\pi^0) = (1.83 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-6}$. We also obtain the time-integrated *CP* asymmetry measurement $A_{CP} = -C_{\pi^0\pi^0} = 0.43 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.05$.

3.4. Branching fraction of $B^0 o K_1(1270)^+\pi^-$ and $K_1(1400)^+\pi^-$

There has been interest recently in *B*-meson decays to an axial vector and a pseudoscalar meson. Experiments have found relatively large branching fractions and more measurements are needed to improve our understanding of these decays. These B^0 decays are also of interest to the measurement of the CKM angle α . A measurement of α_{eff} can be obtained from $B^0(\overline{B}{}^0) \rightarrow a_1(1260)^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}$ [17]. Using SU(3) flavor-symmetry [18], theoretical bounds can be set on the difference $\Delta \alpha = \alpha - \alpha_{\text{eff}}$ by relating $B^0(\overline{B}{}^0) \rightarrow a_1^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}$ with $\Delta S = 1$ decays: $B \rightarrow a_1 K$ and $B \rightarrow K_{1A}\pi$, where K_{1A} is a mixture of $K_1(1270)$ and $K_1(1400)$.

 $K_1(1270)^+$ and $K_1(1400)^+$ are wide, overlapping axial mesons. Both are reconstructed through their decays to $K^+\pi^+\pi^-$ final state. *B* mesons are reconstructed from $B^0 \to K_1(1270)^+\pi^-$ and $K_1(1400)^+\pi^-$ in 454 million $B\overline{B}$ pairs. This year's updated analysis uses an improved signal model [19] to describe the production of $K_1(1270)$ and $K_1(1400)$, including interference effects. The decay is described in terms of two real production parameters (θ, ϕ) , related to the relative amplitude and phase between $K_1(1270)^+$ and $K_1(1400)^+$. We do a likelihood scan with respect to these two variables. For each likelihood point, we perform a ML fit. At the minimum $-ln\mathcal{L}$, we obtain a combined branching fraction $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K_1(1270)^+\pi^- + K_1(1400)^+\pi^-) = (31.0 \pm 2.7 \pm 6.9) \times 10^{-6}$. We evaluate a significance of 5.1σ . We also set limits on the ratio of the production constants for the $K_1(1270)^+$ and $K_1(1400)^+$ mesons in B^0 decays: $0.25 < \theta < 1.32$ and $-0.51 < \phi < 4.51$ at 95% probability. This is the first attempt in *B*-decay data to measure the relative phase between $K_1(1270)$ and $K_1(1400)$.

4. CONCLUSION

We present preliminary results on several charmless two-body and quasi two-body decays of neutral *B*-mesons based on the complete *BABAR* data sample. We have three improved branching-fraction measurements: $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^0 \pi^0) = (10.1 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-6}$, $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^0) = (1.83 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-6}$ and $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K_1(1270)^+\pi^- + K_1(1400)^+\pi^-) = (31.0 \pm 2.7 \pm 6.9) \times 10^{-6}$. We have also two updated measurements of direct *CP* asymmetry: $A_{\pi^0\pi^0} = -C_{\pi^0\pi^0} = 0.43 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.05$ and $A_{K^+\pi^-} = -0.107 \pm 0.016^{+0.006}_{-0.004}$. We have also made the first attempt to measure the relative production phase between $K_1(1270)$ and $K_1(1400)$ in the decays of *B*-mesons.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank the organizers of ICHEP 2008 for an interesting conference, and my BABAR and PEP-II collaborators for their contributions. This work was partially supported by U.S. Department of Energy and National Science Foundation.

References

- [1] H. J. Lipkin, Phys. Lett. B 445, 403 (1999)
- M. Gronau and J. L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. D 59, 113002 (1999)
- [2] B. Aubert et al. [BABAR Collaboration], Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 479, 1 (2002).
- [3] B. Aubert et al. [BABAR Collaboration], arXiv:0807.4226 [hep-ex].
- [4] B. Aubert et al. [BABAR Collaboration], arXiv:0807.4760 [hep-ex].
- [5] S. Brandt et al., Phys. Lett. 12, 57 (1964); E. Farhi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 39, 1587 (1977).
- [6] J. D. Bjorken and S. J. Brodsky, Phys. Rev. D 1, 1416 (1970).
- [7] B. Aubert et al. [BABAR Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 161803 (2005).
- [8] B. Aubert et al. [BABAR Collaboration], arXiv:0809.1174 [hep-ex].
- [9] J. F. Hirschauer, these proceedings.
- [10] B. Aubert et al. [BABAR Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 77, 012003 (2008)
- [11] A. Gritsan, these proceedings.
- [12] I. Adam et al. [BABAR-DIRC Collaboration], Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 538, 281 (2005).
- [13] B. Aubert *et al.* [BABAR Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 021603 (2007).
- [14] M. Pivk and F. R. Le Diberder, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 555, 356 (2005).
- [15] M. Gronau and D. London, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 3381 (1990).
- [16] B. Aubert et al. [BABAR Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 76, 091102 (2007).
- [17] B. Aubert et al. [BABAR Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 181803 (2007)
- [18] M. Gronau and J. Zupan, Phys. Rev. D 73, 057502 (2007)
- [19] C. Daum et al. [ACCMOR Collaboration], Nucl. Phys. B 187, 1 (1981).