ZERO-CLASS ADMISSIBILITY OF OBSERVATION OPERATORS

BIRGIT JACOB, JONATHAN R. PARTINGTON, AND SANDRA POTT

ABSTRACT. An admissible observation operator is zero-class admissible if the norm of the output map tends to zero as the time tends to zero. Sufficient and necessary conditions for zero-class admissibility of observation operators are developed and a modified Weiss condition is studied. It is shown that the modified Weiss condition is in general necessary, but not sufficient for zero-class admissibility. For several important classes of C_0-semigroups it is proved that the modified Weiss condition is indeed equivalent to zero-class admissibility. The methods are illustrated by certain PDE examples.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the Cauchy problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}(t) &= Ax(t), \qquad t \geq 0, \\
y(t) &= Cx(t).
\end{aligned}
\tag{1}
$$

where the state $x(t)$ lies in a Hilbert space H and the output $y(t)$ lies in a Hilbert space Y for each time $t \geq 0$. Here A and C are linear operators that may be unbounded, but A is the generator of a C_0-semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on H and C maps $D(A)$, the domain of A, boundedly into Y. In order to guarantee that the output function lies locally in L^2 we impose the following condition.

Definition 1.1. *The operator $C \in \mathcal{L}(D(A), Y)$ is a* finite-time admissible observation operator *for $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$, if for each $\eta > 0$ there is a constant $K_\eta > 0$ such that*

$$
\int_0^\eta \|CT(t)x\|^2 \, dt \leq K_\eta^2 \|x\|^2, \qquad x \in D(A).
\tag{2}
$$

Likewise, C is an infinite-time admissible observation operator*, if in addition the constants K_η are uniformly bounded.*

For exponentially stable systems, the two notions are equivalent. The notion of admissible observation operators is well studied in the literature, see for example [4], [12], [13], [15]. In applications, quite often the observation operator belongs to the zero class of admissible observation operator, see Section 3 for an example.

Definition 1.2. *The finite-time admissible observation operator C is said to belong to the* zero class of admissible observation operators for $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ *(C is zero-class admissible), if the best constant K_η, given by (2), satisfies $K_\eta \to 0$ as $\eta \to 0$. Likewise, C is* infinite-time zero-class admissible (ITZCA)*, if in addition the constants K_η are uniformly bounded.*

The class of zero-class admissible observation operators was first introduced in [17], in order to provide conditions for exact observability of semigroup systems. One of the main results in [17] shows that if the observation operator is zero-class admissible and the system is exact observable, then the semigroup generated by A is left invertible. If additionally the residual spectrum of A is empty, then A generates a C_0-group. Clearly, bounded observation operators belong to the zero class of admissible observation operators. In Section 3 an example of an admissible but not zero-class admissible observation operator is given. Further, we show that if A generates an analytic semigroup, $S \in \mathcal{L}(H, Y)$ and $C = S(-A)^\alpha$, for some $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, then C is an admissible

observation operator for $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ of zero class. For positive definite operator A with compact resolvent this result can be found in [17].

A necessary condition for admissibility is the *Weiss condition* (A1): There exists a constant $m > 0$ such that

$$\|C(sI - A)^{-1}x\| \leq \frac{m\|x\|}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} s}}, \qquad x \in H, \quad s \in \mathbb{C}_+.$$

The Weiss condition is actually equivalent to infinite-time admissibility for several classes of systems. However, it has been shown that in general the Weiss condition is not equivalent to infinite-time admissibility. We refer the reader to the survey article [4] for more information on the Weiss condition. In this article we introduce a modified Weiss condition in order to characterize zero-class admissibility.

We proceed as follows. In Section 2 we review some known results on admissibility. The main results of this paper are contained in Section 3. We give necessary and sufficient condition for zero-class admissible observation operator. In particular a modified Weiss condition is introduced and studied. The obtained results are illustrated by certain PDE examples. Finally, in Section 4 we give two examples showing that the modified Weiss condition is not sufficient for zero-class admissibility.

2. Equivalent conditions for admissibility

In this section we review some known results on admissibility and we pay particular care to the constants involved. In [6], it was shown that for bounded C_0-semigroups, the following conditions (among others) are equivalent:

- (A1) There exists a constant $m > 0$ such that

$$\|C(sI - A)^{-1}x\| \leq \frac{m\|x\|}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} s}}, \qquad x \in H, \quad s \in \mathbb{C}_+;$$

- (A2a) There exists a constant $K > 0$ such that

$$\|\tau^{-1/2} \int_0^\tau e^{i\omega t} CT(t)x \, dt\| \leq K\|x\|, \qquad x \in D(A), \quad \tau > 0, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{R};$$

- (A2b) There exists a constant $K > 0$ such that

$$\|\tau^{-1/2} \int_\tau^{2\tau} e^{i\omega t} CT(t)x \, dt\| \leq K\|x\|, \qquad x \in D(A), \quad \tau > 0, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Moreover, these conditions are all implied by admissibility and under certain circumstances (e.g. when $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is a contraction semigroup and Y is finite-dimensional, or when $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is an exponentially stable right-invertible semigroup, or when $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is analytic and $(-A)^{1/2}$ is admissible, see [3], [8] and [16].), they are equivalent conditions to admissibility. Note that condition (A1) is the Weiss condition introduced in Section 1.

More precisely, we have the following result. We recall that S is an *ω-sectorial operator if S* is a closed linear operator S in a Hilbert space H satisfying $\sigma(S) \subset \{s \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\} \mid |\arg \lambda| < \omega\}$ and for every $\nu \in (\omega, \pi)$ we have

$$\sup\{\|\lambda(\lambda I - S)^{-1}\| \mid |\arg \lambda| \geq \nu\} < \infty.$$

An operator A generates a bounded analytic semigroup if and only if $-A$ is a densely defined ω-sectorial operator with $\omega \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $M \geq 1$, $\alpha > 0$ and $\omega \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$, let $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ be a bounded C_0-semigroup with infinitesimal generator A and $C \in \mathcal{L}(D(A), Y)$. We assume further that one of the following conditions holds:*

1. *$(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is a contraction semigroup and $\dim Y \leq N$.*
2. *$-A$ is an ω-sectorial operator and $(-A)^{1/2}$ is infinite-time admissible.*
3. *$(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is an exponentially stable right-invertible semigroup with $\|T(t)\| \leq Me^{-\alpha t}$.*

Then C is an infinite-time admissible observation operator if and only if Property (A1) holds. Denoting by $K := \sup_{\eta > 0} K_\eta$ the best constant in Definition 1.1 and by m the best constant in Property (A1), there exists a constant $c > 0$, only dependent on N, M, α and ω, such that $K \leq cm$.

Proof It is well-known that C is an infinite-time admissible observation operator if and only if Property (A1) holds, see [3], [8] and [16]. Suppose that K is not bounded by an absolute multiple of m. Then there exists for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, a semigroup $(T_k(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on H_k with infinitesimal generator A_k, and an observation operator $C_k : D(A_k) \to Y$, such that $(T_k(t))_{t \geq 0}$ and C_k satisfy the assumption of the proposition, $m_k = 1$ and $(K_k)_{k \geq 1}$ is unbounded. Here $K_k := \sup_{\eta > 0}(K_k)_\eta$ denotes the best constant of C_k in Definition 1.1 and m_k is the best constant in Property (A1). Without loss of generality we may assume that each semigroup $(T_k(t))_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies the same Condition 1, 2, 3 or 4.

Then we form the product semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on the ℓ^2 direct sum H of the spaces H_k, with generator $A = \mathrm{diag}(A_1, A_2, \ldots)$, and for every ℓ^1 sequence (α_k) we consider the observation operator C defined by

$$C(x_1, x_2, \ldots) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k C_k x_k.$$

Clearly, we may choose (α_k) such that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_k| = 1$ but $(K_k \alpha_k)$ is unbounded.

Since each semigroup $(T_k(t))_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies the same Condition 1, 2 or 3, the product semigroup satisfies the same condition. Thus for the product semigroup we have the equivalence of infinite-time admissibility and Property (A1).

Now $C(sI - A)^{-1}x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k C_k(sI - A_k)^{-1}x_k$, so that we have

$$\|C(sI - A)^{-1}x\| \leq \sup_k \|C_k(sI - A_k)^{-1}x_k\| \leq \frac{\|x\|}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Re}\, s}},$$

and $CT(t)x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k C_k T_k(t)x_k$, so for each k

$$\sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \|CT(\cdot)x\|_{L^2(0,\infty)} \geq \sup_{\|x_k\| \leq 1} |\alpha_k| \|C_k T_k(\cdot)x_k\|_{L^2(0,\infty)} = |\alpha_k| K_k.$$

Thus C satisfies the resolvent condition but not the admissibility condition. This is a contradiction to our assumption and thus the proposition is proved. ∎

3. Equivalent conditions for zero-class admissibility

In this section we develop equivalent conditions for zero-class admissibility. Consider the following conditions, which we shall see are equivalent to infinite-time zero-class admissibility in some circumstances. Note that condition (B1) is a modification of the Weiss condition (A1).

- (B1) For each $r > 0$ there exists a constant $m_r > 0$ such that the m_r are uniformly bounded, $m_r \to 0$ as $r \to \infty$, and

$$\|C(sI - A)^{-1}x\| \leq \frac{m_{\mathrm{Re}\, s}\|x\|}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Re}\, s}}, \qquad x \in H, \quad s \in \mathbb{C}_+.$$

  Clearly we can, and will, assume without loss of generality that m_r is a decreasing function of r.

- (B2a) For each $\tau > 0$ there exists a constant $K_\tau > 0$ such that the K_τ are uniformly bounded, $K_\tau \to 0$ as $\tau \to 0$, and

$$\left\| \tau^{-1/2} \int_0^\tau e^{i\omega t} CT(t)x \, dt \right\| \leq K_\tau \|x\|, \qquad x \in D(A), \quad \omega \in \mathbb{R};$$

- (B2b) For each $\tau > 0$ there exists a constant $K_\tau > 0$ such that the K_τ are uniformly bounded, $K_\tau \to 0$ as $\tau \to 0$, and

$$\left\| \tau^{-1/2} \int_\tau^{2\tau} e^{i\omega t} CT(t)x \, dt \right\| \leq K_\tau \|x\|, \qquad x \in D(A), \quad \omega \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Again we can, and will, assume without loss of generality that K_τ is a increasing function of r. It is easily seen that (B2a) and (B2b) are equivalent, first by writing $\int_0^\tau$ as $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \int_{2^{-n-1}\tau}^{2^{-n}\tau}$, and second by writing $\int_\tau^{2\tau} = \int_0^{2\tau} - \int_0^\tau$, in each case making the obvious estimates as done for the equivalence between (A2a) and (A2b) in [6]. We may therefore write condition (B2) to refer to either of these conditions.

Remark 3.1. *Note that, by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, infinite-time zero-class admissibility implies condition (B2) immediately.*

Theorem 3.2. *Let $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ be a bounded C_0-semigroup with infinitesimal generator A and let $C \in \mathcal{L}(D(A), Y)$ be infinite-time admissible. Then conditions (B1) and (B2) are equivalent.*

Proof (B2) $\implies$ (B1). For $s = \sigma + i\omega \in \mathbb{C}_+$ and $x \in D(A)$ we have

$$C(sI - A)^{-1}x = \int_{y=0}^\infty \sigma e^{-\sigma y} \int_{t=0}^y CT(t)x e^{-i\omega t}\, dt\, dy,$$

as in [6, p. 321], and so

$$\|C(sI - A)^{-1}x\| \leq \|x\| \int_0^\infty K_y \sigma e^{-\sigma y} y^{1/2}\, dy,$$

where K_y is defined in condition (B2a). Let $y = v/\sigma$, so that

$$\int_0^\infty K_y \sigma e^{-\sigma y} y^{1/2}\, dy = \sigma^{-1/2} \int_0^{\sqrt{\sigma}} v^{1/2} e^{-v} K_{v/\sigma}\, dv + \sigma^{-1/2} \int_{\sqrt{\sigma}}^\infty v^{1/2} e^{-v} K_{v/\sigma}\, dv$$

which is clearly bounded by a constant times $\sigma^{-1/2}$ and is $o(\sigma^{-1/2})$ as $\sigma \to \infty$, given that K_η is a bounded function of η, which tends to 0 as $\eta \to 0$.

(B1) $\implies$ (B2). We adapt an argument from [6, pp. 319–320], paying particular care to the constants involved. Note first that, with $J(s) = C(sI - A)^{-1}$ we have

$$\int_0^\tau CT(t)x e^{-(\rho/\tau + i\omega)t}\, dt = J(\rho/\tau + i\omega)x - e^{-\rho}e^{-i\omega\tau} J(\rho/\tau + i\omega)T(\tau)x,$$

and so

(3) $$\left\| \int_0^\tau CT(t)x e^{-(\rho/\tau + i\omega)t}\, dt \right\| \leq m_{\rho/\tau}(1 + e^{-\rho}K)\frac{\tau^{1/2}}{\rho^{1/2}}\|x\|,$$

where K is the norm bound of the semigroup $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$.

Let $0 < \rho < \frac{1}{2}$. We have from [6, Lem. 2.4] that $\chi_{[0,\tau)} = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}_\tau} a_I \psi_I$, where $\mathcal{D}_\tau$ is the collection of half-open dyadic subintervals $I \subseteq [0,\tau)$, with left endpoint $l(I)$, and $a_I \geq 0$ for all I, with $\psi_I(t) = |I|^{-1/2}\chi_I e^{-\rho|I|^{-1}(t-l(I))}$, the sum converging uniformly on $[0,\tau)$. Moreover, $\sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}_\tau} a_I \leq M\tau^{1/2}$, where the constant M can be taken independent of τ.

Using this and (3), we have

$$\left\| \int_0^\tau e^{i\omega t} CT(t)x\, dt \right\| \leq \sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}_\tau} a_I \left\| \int_I \psi_I(t) e^{i\omega t} CT(t)x\, dt \right\|$$

$$\leq \sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}_\tau} a_I |I|^{-1/2} \left\| \int_0^{|I|} e^{-\rho|I|^{-1}t} e^{i\omega(t+l(I))} CT(t)T(l(I))x\, dt \right\|$$

$$\leq K(1 + e^{-\rho}K)\rho^{-1/2}\|x\| \sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}_\tau} a_I m_{\rho/|I|}$$

$$\leq K(1 + e^{-\rho}K)\rho^{-1/2}\|x\| m_{\rho/\tau} M\tau^{1/2},$$

and the result follows since $m_{\rho/\tau} \to 0$ as $\tau \to 0$. $\blacksquare$

We are now in a position to present the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $(T(t))_{t\geq0}$ be a bounded C_0-semigroup with infinitesimal generator A and let $C \in \mathcal{L}(D(A), Y)$. Assume that one of the following conditions holds:*

- *$(T(t))_{t\geq0}$ is a contraction semigroup and Y is finite-dimensional.*
- *$(T(t))_{t\geq0}$ is an exponentially stable right-invertible semigroup.*
- *$(T(t))_{t\geq0}$ is an analytic semigroup and $(-A)^{1/2}$ is infinite-time admissible.*

Then C is an infinite-time zero-class admissible observation operator (ITZCA) if and only if condition (B1) holds.

Proof (B1) $\implies$ (ITZCA). Take $\eta > 0$ and write $\lambda = 1/\eta$. Then (B1) implies that

$$\|C((s+\lambda)I - A)^{-1}x\| \leq \frac{m_{\operatorname{Re} s+\lambda}\|x\|}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} s + \lambda}} \leq \frac{m_\lambda\|x\|}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} s}}, \qquad s \in \mathbb{C}_+, \quad x \in H.$$

This implies infinite-time admissibility for C with respect to the semigroup $(e^{-\lambda t}T(t))_{t\geq0}$ generated by $A - \lambda I$. Using (B1) we have $\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} m_\lambda = 0$. Then, for $x \in D(A) = D(A - \lambda I)$,

$$\int_0^\eta \|CT(t)x\|^2\,dt \leq e^2 \int_0^\eta \|Ce^{-\lambda t}T(t)x\|^2\,dt \leq e^2 \int_0^\infty \|Ce^{-\lambda t}T(t)x\|^2\,dt \leq e^2 C_\lambda^2\|x\|^2.$$

By Proposition 2.1 the constant C_λ is bounded by an absolute multiple of m_λ. Thus $C_\lambda \to 0$ as $\lambda \to \infty$. Recalling that $\eta = 1/\lambda$, we have the result.
(ITZCA) $\implies$ (B1). This follows from Remark 3.1 and Theorem 3.2. ∎

Remark 3.4. *Suppose that $(T(t))_{t\geq0}$ has a Riesz basis $(\phi_n)_{n\geq1}$ of eigenvectors, with eigenvalues $(\lambda_n)_{n\geq1}$. For an observation operator $C : D(A) \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $C\phi_n = c_n$ $(n \geq 1)$, it is easily verified that C satisfies the condition (B1), which is here equivalent to (ITZCA), if and only if*

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}_+} \frac{d\mu(\lambda)}{|s + \lambda|^2} \leq \frac{m_{\operatorname{Re} s}}{\operatorname{Re} s}, \qquad for \quad s \in \mathbb{C}_+,$$

where $\mu = \sum_{n=1}^\infty |c_n|^2 \delta_{-\lambda_n}$ and the constants $m_r > 0$ are uniformly bounded with $m_r \to 0$ as $r \to \infty$. Here $\delta_{-\lambda_n}$ denotes a Dirac measure at $-\lambda_n$.
By standard estimates, this property is seen to be equivalent to saying that for a Carleson square

$$Q_{r,\omega} = \{x + iy \in \mathbb{C} : x \in [0, r], y \in [\omega - r/2, \omega + r/2]\}$$

with $r > 0$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, one has that $\mu(Q_{r,\omega})/r \leq M_r$, where $M_r > 0$ is uniformly bounded and $M_r \to 0$ as $r \to \infty$ (cf. [2, 14]).
Easy examples show:
(i) This property is strictly stronger than the property of being a Carleson measure *(i.e., $\mu(Q_{r,\omega})/r$ is bounded; equivalently, the canonical embedding J from $H^2(\mathbb{C}_+)$ to $L^2(\mathbb{C}_+, \mu)$ is bounded): e.g., take $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \delta_n$.*
(ii) The property is strictly weaker than the property of being a vanishing Carleson measure *(i.e., for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a compact subset $K \subset \mathbb{C}_+$ such that $\mu(Q_{r,\omega})/r < \varepsilon$ whenever $\frac{r}{2} + i\omega \notin K$; equivalently, J is a compact operator, cf. [1]): e.g., take $\sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{-2}\delta_{1/n}$.*

Next we give some sufficient conditions for zero-class admissibility.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $(T(t))_{t\geq0}$ be an analytic and bounded C_0-semigroup with infinitesimal generator A and let $C = S(-A)^\alpha$, where S is a linear bounded operator from H to Y and $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. Then C is a zero-class admissible observation operator.*

Proof Using [10, Theorem 6.13, p. 74] there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^\eta \|CT(t)x\|^2 dt \leq \|S\|^2 \int_0^\eta M^2 t^{-2\alpha}\,dt\|x\|^2 \leq K_\eta\|x\|^2, \qquad for \quad x \in D(A),$$

where $K_\eta \to 0$ as $\eta \to 0$. ∎

A further sufficient condition for zero-class admissibility, which is an analogue of [17, Prop. 2.1] for normal subgroups (and hence also those with a Riesz basis of eigenvectors) is the following, which includes also the case when $-A$ is ω-sectorial (where we can take $\beta = 1$).

Theorem 3.6. *Let $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ be a normal semigroup with generator A such that $\sigma(-A)$ is contained in a region $\{z = v + iw \in \mathbb{C} : v > 0, |w| \leq a + bv^\beta\}$ for some a, $b > 0$ and $\beta > 0$. If $C = S(-A)^\alpha$ for some bounded linear operator $S : H \to Y$ and $\alpha \in (0, \min(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2\beta}))$, then C is zero-class admissible.*

Proof   By standard functional calculus arguments for normal operators, we may conclude that, for $t > 0$ and $x \in D(A)$,

$$\|CT(t)x\| \leq \|S\| \sup_{z\in\sigma(-A)} |z|^\alpha |e^{-zt}| \|x\|.$$

This is bounded by $c_1 \max_{v\geq 0} \max(e^{-vt}, v^\alpha e^{-vt}, v^{\alpha\beta} e^{-vt}) \|S\| \|x\|$ for some $c_1 > 0$, which in turn is bounded by $c_2 \max(1, t^{-\alpha}, t^{-\alpha\beta}) \|S\| \|x\|$ for some $c_2 > 0$: both c_1 and c_2 can be taken to be independent of t. Thus, if $\alpha \in (0, \min(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2\beta}))$, we see that

$$\int_0^\eta \|CT(t)x\|^2 \leq K_\eta \|x\|^2, \qquad \text{for} \quad x \in D(A),$$

where $K_\eta \to 0$ as $\eta \to 0$.   ∎

In [18], Zwart proved a sufficient condition for infinite-time admissibility. Actually his proof shows zero-class admissibility.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ be a bounded C_0-semigroup with infinitesimal generator A and let $C \in \mathcal{L}(D(A), Y)$. If there exists a constant $K > 0$ and a monotonically increasing function $g : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ satisfying*

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} g(\alpha^n)^{-2} < \infty \quad \text{for some } \alpha > 1,$$

such that

$$(4) \qquad\qquad \|C(sI - A)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{m}{g(\operatorname{Re} s)\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} s}}, \quad s \in \mathbb{C}_+,$$

then C is a zero-class infinite-time admissible observation operator.

A suitable choice for g is $g(t) = (\log(2 + t))^\alpha$ for $\alpha > 1/2$. Note that the condition (4) is stronger than the modified Weiss condition ($m_r = m/g(\operatorname{Re} s)$). We conclude this section by some examples.

Example 3.8. We study the one dimensional heat equation on the interval $[0, 1]$ with Neumann boundary conditions and Dirichlet boundary observation, which is given by

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial t}(\xi, t) \;=\; \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial \xi^2}(\xi, t), \qquad \xi \in (0, 1), t \geq 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi}(0, t) \;=\; 0, \quad \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi}(1, t) = 0, \quad t \geq 0,$$

$$z(\xi, 0) \;=\; z_0(\xi), \qquad \xi \in (0, 1),$$

$$y(t) \;=\; z(0, t), \quad t \geq 0.$$

This p.d.e. can be written equivalently in the form (1) with $H = L^2(0, 1)$, A is given by

$$A\phi_n = \lambda_n \phi_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

with $\phi_n(x) := \sqrt{2}\cos(n\pi x)$ and $\lambda_n := -\pi^2 n^2$, and C is defined by $C\phi_n = \sqrt{2}$. Note that $(\phi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is an orthonormal basis of H. An easy calculation shows that C is a zero-class infinite-time admissible observation operator.

Example 3.9. The one dimensional undamped wave equation with Dirichlet boundary conditions and Neumann observation can be described by

$$\frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial t^2}(\xi, t) = \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial \xi^2}(\xi, t), \qquad \xi \in (0, 1), t \geq 0,$$

$$z(0, t) = 0, \quad z(1, t) = 0, \quad t \geq 0,$$

$$z(\xi, 0) = z_0(\xi), \quad \frac{\partial z}{\partial t}(\xi, 0) = z_1(\xi), \quad \xi \in (0, 1),$$

$$y(t) = \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi}(0, t), \quad t \geq 0.$$

The partial differential equation can be written in form (1) by choosing $H = D(A_0^{1/2}) \times L^2(0, 1)$, $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -A_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ with $D(A) = D(A_0) \times D(A_0^{1/2})$ and $Cw = (1 \ 0)\frac{\partial w}{\partial \xi}(0)$. Here $A_0 h = \frac{d^2 h}{d\xi^2}$ with $D(A_0) = \{h \in H^2(0, 1) \mid h(0) = h(1) = 0\}$. An easy calculation shows that A has the eigenvalues $\lambda_n = in\pi$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \neq 0$, and the corresponding normalized eigenvectors are given by $\phi_n(x) = \lambda_n^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \sin(n\pi x) \\ \lambda_n \sin(n\pi x) \end{pmatrix}$; moreover $(\phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0}$ is an orthonormal basis of H. It is easy to see that C is an admissible observation operator, but not a zero-class admissible observation operator.

4. Counterexamples

In this section we give two examples showing that the modified Weiss condition is in general not sufficient for zero-class admissibility. Note that these examples even show that the modified Weiss condition in general does not imply admissibility. We start with the case of an analytic, exponentially stable semigroup and scalar-valued outputs.

Theorem 4.1. *There exists an analytic, exponentially stable semigroup $(\mathcal{T}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ with infinitesimal generator $\mathcal{A}$ on a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and an observation operator $\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{C}$ such that*

(1) *Condition (B1) holds;*
(2) *there exist a sequence of positive numbers (c_N) and a sequence (x_N) in $\mathcal{H}$ such that $c_N \to \infty$, $\|x_N\| = 1$ and*

$$\int_0^1 \|\mathcal{C}\mathcal{T}(t)x_N\|^2 \geq c_N \|x_N\|^2 \text{ for all } N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

That means, $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})$ satisfies (B1), but is not finite-time admissible for any time $\eta > 0$, and in particular not zero-class admissible.

The proof relies on the construction in [7], but some care has to be taken to adapt this to the setting of zero-class admissibility. Let H be an infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space, let (ϕ_n) be a non-Besselian conditional basis of H with $\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\phi_n\| > 0$, let $\mu_n = -4^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let A be densely defined on H defined by $A\phi_n = \mu_n \phi_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As shown [7], A is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic, exponentially stable semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$. For $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \phi_n \in D(A)$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$C_N x = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sqrt{-\mu_n} \alpha_n \phi_n.$$

As in Proposition 3.1 of [7], one shows that the C_N are uniformly A-bounded for all N. Here is our main estimate.

Lemma 4.2. *There exists a sequence of positive numbers $(c_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $c_N \to \infty$ for $N \to \infty$, a sequence of vectors $(x_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\|x_N\| = 1$, and sequences $(m_{N,r})_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$, with $m_{N,r} \to 0$ as $r \to 0$ for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m_{N,r}$ uniformly bounded in N and r, such that*

$$\|C_N(sI - A)^{-1}\| \leq m_{N,\mathrm{Re}\,s} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Re}\,s}}, \quad s \in \mathbb{C}_+, N \in \mathbb{N},$$

and

$$\int_0^1 |C_N T(t) x_N|^2 dt \geq c_N \|x_N\|^2, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof of Lemma 4.2 By the same calculation as in [7], Prop. 3.2, we have for $x \in H$, $\|x\| = 1$, and for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} s}|C_N(s - A)^{-1}x| \leq \kappa\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} s}\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{2^n}{\operatorname{Re} s + 4^n} \leq 2\kappa\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} s}\sum_{n=1}^{2^N} \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re} s + n^2},$$

where κ is an absolute constant only depending on the sequence (ϕ_n).

Letting $m_r = 2\kappa r^{1/2}\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{r+n^2}$ and $m_{N,r} = 2\kappa r^{1/2}\sum_{n=1}^{2^N} \frac{1}{r+n^2} \leq 2\kappa \arctan\frac{2^N}{\sqrt{r}}$, we find that $m_{N,r} \leq m_r \leq \kappa\pi$ for all $r > 0$ as in [7], $\lim_{r\to\infty} m_{N,r} = 0$ for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$|C_N(sI - A)^{-1}x| \leq \frac{m_{N,\operatorname{Re} s}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} s}}.$$

Consequently, (A, C_N) satisfies (B1) for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, with a uniform bound on the $m_{N,r}$.

On the other hand, since (ϕ_n) is non-Besselian, we can find a sequence of positive numbers $(\tilde{c}_N)$, $\tilde{c}_N \to \infty$ for $N \to \infty$, and vectors $x_N = \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_{N,n}\phi_n$, $\|x_N\| = 1$, such that

$$\|x_N\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\tilde{c}_N}\sum_{n=1}^N |\alpha_{N,n}|^2.$$

However, the system $(\sqrt{-\mu_n}e^{\mu_n t})_{n\geq 1}$ is unconditional in $L^2(0,1)$ (see e. g. [9], Corollary 4.5.2). Therefore, there exists a constant $L > 0$ independent of N such that

$$\int_0^1 |C_N T(t) x_N|^2 dt = \int_0^1 |\sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_{N,n}\sqrt{-\mu_n}e^{\mu_n t}\phi_n|^2 dt \geq L\sum_{n=1}^N |\alpha_{N,n}|^2.$$

Letting $c_N = L\tilde{c}_N$, we find that $\int_0^1 |C_N T(t) x_N|^2 dt \geq c_N \|x_N\|^2$. ∎

Proof of Theorem 4.1 Let $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$. We choose a sequence of nonnegative numbers (β_N) with $\sum_{N=1}^\infty |\beta_N| = 1$, $(\beta_N^2 c_N^{1-2\alpha})$ unbounded.

Now as in the proof of Proposition 2.1, form the ℓ^2-direct sum of countably many copies H_N of the Hilbert space H, $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{N=1}^\infty H_N$, let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the densely defined block-diagonal operator $\operatorname{diag}(A, A, \dots)$ on $\mathcal{H}$, and let $(\mathcal{T}(t))_{t\geq 0}$ denote the semigroup generated by $\mathcal{A}$. Obviously $(\mathcal{T}(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is still analytic and exponentially stable. Define

$$\mathcal{C} : D(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{C}, \qquad \mathcal{C}x = \sum_{N=1}^\infty \frac{\beta_N}{c_N^\alpha}C_N x_N,$$

for $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in \mathcal{H}$. Then for $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in \mathcal{H}$, and $s \in \mathbb{C}_+$,

$$|\mathcal{C}(sI - \mathcal{A})^{-1}x| = |\sum_{N=1}^\infty \frac{\beta_N}{c_N^\alpha}C_N(sI - A)^{-1}x_N| \leq \sup_{N\in\mathbb{N}}\frac{1}{c_N^\alpha}|C_N(sI - A)^{-1}x_N| \leq \sup_{N\in\mathbb{N}}\frac{1}{c_N^\alpha}\frac{m_{N,\operatorname{Re} s}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} s}}.$$

It is easy to see that $M_r = \sup_{N\in\mathbb{N}}\frac{1}{c_N^\alpha}m_{N,r}$ satisfies $M_r \to 0$ for $r \to \infty$. Thus $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})$ satisfies condition (B1).

On the other hand, letting $\tilde{x}_N = (0, 0, \dots, x_N, 0, 0, \cdots) \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\int_0^1 |\mathcal{C}\mathcal{T}(t)\tilde{x}_N|^2 dt \geq \beta_N^2 c_N^{1-2\alpha}\|\tilde{x}_N\|^2 \text{ for each } N \in \mathbb{N}$$

by the lemma. Hence $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})$ is not finite-time admissible and in particular not zero-class admissible. ∎

Next we study the modified Weiss condition

(5) $$\|C(sI - A)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{m}{(\log(\operatorname{Re} s + 2))^\gamma\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} s}}, \quad s \in \mathbb{C}_+,$$

with $\gamma > 0$. Theorem 3.7 implies that condition (5) is sufficient for zero-class infinite-time admissibility if $\gamma > \frac{1}{2}$. Next we show that this result is sharp. More precisely, for $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ there exists an analytic exponentially stable C_0-semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ with infinitesimal generator A and an operator $C \in \mathcal{L}(D(A), Y)$ such that C is not finite-time admissible but (5) holds.

Remark 4.3. *We study again the C_0-semigroup and the observation operator defined in the proof of Theorem 4.1 for a particular choice of the non-Besselian basis (ϕ_n). For $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$ the functions ϕ_k given by $\phi_{2n}(t) = |t|^\beta e^{int}$ and $\phi_{2n+1}(t) = |t|^\beta e^{-int}$, $t \in (-\pi, \pi)$ $(n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots)$ form a non-Besselian bounded basis of $L^2(-\pi, \pi)$ (see [11, pp. 351-353]), and indeed for $\frac{1}{4} < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$ there is a function $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k \phi_k \in L^2(-\pi, \pi)$ (a multiple of the function $t \mapsto |t|^{-\beta}$) such that α_k is the Fourier coefficient of the non-L^2 function $t \mapsto |t|^{-2\beta}$ with respect to the orthogonal system $\{e^{int}, e^{-int}, n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$. An easy estimate of $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |t|^{-2\beta} \exp(\pm int) \, dt$ shows that α_k is asymptotic to $k^{-1+2\beta}$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{N} |\alpha_k|^2$ grows as $N^{-1+4\beta}$. Thus c_N is asymptotic to $N^{-1+4\beta}$. This implies that*

$$M_r \leq c_1 \sup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\arctan \frac{2^N}{\sqrt{r}}}{N^{(-1+4\beta)\alpha}} \leq \frac{c_2}{(\log(r+2))^{(-1+4\beta)\alpha}},$$

for some constants c_1, c_2 independent on r. Note that $\gamma := (-1 + 4\beta)\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$.

Now we give a counterexample for the case of a contraction semigroup, but infinite-dimensional output space.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space, let $(\mathcal{T}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ denote the right shift semigroup on $L^2([0, 1]; \mathcal{H})$, and let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the infinitesimal generator of $(\mathcal{T}(t))_{t \geq 0}$. Then there exists an observation operator $\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{C}$ such that*

  (1) *Condition (B1) holds;*
  (2) *there exists a sequence of positive numbers (c_N), $c_N \approx N$, and a sequence (x_N) in $\mathcal{H}$ such that $c_N \to \infty$ and*

$$\int_0^1 \|\mathcal{C}\mathcal{T}(t)x_N\|^2 \geq c_N \|x_N\|^2 \text{ for all } N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

That means, $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})$ satisfies (B1), but is not finite-time admissible for any time $\eta > 0$, and in particular not zero-class admissible.

Remark. This sharpens the counterexample to the Weiss conjecture in [5], where it was shown that the Weiss condition (A1) in this setting does not imply infinite-time admissibility.

The proof is based on the counterexample in [5], but again we have to look carefully at the details. Let H be an infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space, let e be a unit vector in H, let (e_k) denote an orthonormal sequence, and define

$$b_N : i\mathbb{R} \to H, \quad b_N = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \psi_k(i\cdot)e_k,$$

where (ψ_k) is an orthonormal system in $H^2(\mathbb{C}_+)$ to be specified later. With the proof of Theorem 2.4 in [5], one sees that the operators given by

$$(6) \qquad C_N x = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle b_N(i\omega), x(i\omega) \rangle d\omega \quad (x \in \mathcal{D}(A), h \in H)$$

are observation operators, satisfying the estimates

$$(7) \qquad \|C_N(sI - A)^{-1}\| \leq M \|b_N\|_{\text{WBMO}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\text{Re } s}}$$

with an absolute constant $M > 0$. Here, WBMO(H) denotes the weak BMO space of H-valued functions, WBMO$(H) = \{b \in L^2(i\mathbb{R}, H) : \sup_{e \in H, \|e\|=1} \|\langle b(\cdot), e \rangle\|_{\text{BMO}} < \infty\}$. Furthermore, one sees with the calculation in [5], Theorem 2.4, (ii) that for $f \in H^2(\mathbb{C}_+)$,

$$(8) \qquad C_N T(t)f = \int_0^{\infty} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(b_N)(u+t)\mathcal{F}^{-1}(u)du,$$

where $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ or $\check{}$ denotes the inverse Fourier transform of functions in $H^2(\mathbb{C}_+) \subset L^2(i\mathbb{R})$, taken as a function on $L^2(0, \infty)$.

Let $f_N(i\omega) = \frac{1}{N^{1/2}} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \overline{\psi_k(-i\omega)}$. Then $f_N \in H^2(\mathbb{C}_+)$, $\|f_N\|_2 = 1$. Here is the technical result we require:

Lemma 4.5. *There exist constants $\delta, K > 0$ such that for a suitable choice of (ψ_k),*

    (1) $\|b_N\|_{WBMO} \leq K$ *for all* $N \in \mathbb{N}$;
    (2) $\int_0^1 \| \int_0^\infty (\mathcal{F}^{-1} b_N)(u+t)(\mathcal{F}^{-1} f)(u) du \|^2 dt \geq \delta N$ *for all* $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof   Let $\psi : i\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$, $\psi \in H^2(\mathbb{C}^+)$, $\|\psi\|_2 = 1$ such that

    (1) $\check{\psi}$ bounded, supp $\check{\psi} \subseteq [1, 2]$,
    (2) $|\psi(i\omega)|$ is rapidly decreasing,
    (3) $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(i\omega) = 0$,
    (4)

$$\delta = \int_0^1 |\mathcal{F}^{-1}(|\psi|^2)(-t)|^2 dt = \int_0^1 |\int_0^\infty \check{\psi}(u)\overline{\check{\psi}(u+t)} du|^2 dt > 0$$

(take for example the analytic part of the Meyer wavelet). Let $\psi_k = e^{-i\rho_k \cdot}\psi$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\rho_k = 2^k$. Then each ψ_k also satisfies properties (1)-(3), and (ψ_k) is an orthonormal system. Moreover, since the $\check{\psi}_k$ are translates of $\check{\psi}$, supported in the lacunary family of intervals $(\rho_k + [1, 2])$, all L^p norms, and BMO norm, of linear combinations of the ψ_k are equivalent (see e. g. [19] for the case of lacunary Fourier series, from which the results fitting our case are easily deduced). Applying this fact to scalar-valued functions $i\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ of the form $i\omega \mapsto \langle b_N(i\omega), e \rangle$, where $e \in H$, $e = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k e_k$ with $\|e\|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k e_k = 1$, we see that

$$\|\langle b_N, e \rangle\|_{BMO}^2 = \|\sum_{k=1}^{N} \alpha_k \psi_k\|_{BMO(i\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq K \sum_{k=1}^{N} \alpha_k^2 \leq K,$$

where K is an absolute constant only depending on ψ and the sequence (ρ_k). Thus the first part of the lemma holds.

For the second part, observe that since supp $\check{\psi}_k \subseteq \rho_k + [1, 2]$ for each k, mixed convolutions $\check{\psi}_k * \overline{\check{\psi}_l(-\cdot)}$, $l \neq k$, have support outside $[0, 1]$, and

$$\int_0^1 \| \int_0^\infty \check{b}_N(u+t)\check{f}_N(u) du \|^2 dt = \frac{1}{N}\int_0^1 \|\sum_{k=1}^{N}\int_0^\infty \check{\psi}_k(u)\overline{\check{\psi}_k(u+t)} du\|^2 dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{N}\int_0^1 \|\sum_{k=1}^{N}\int_0^\infty \check{\psi}(u)\overline{\check{\psi}(u+t)} du\|^2 dt = N\delta.$$

This finishes the proof of the lemma.   ∎

It remains only to prove that the systems (A, C_N) satisfy not only the Weiss condition (A1), as already proved with (7) and the lemma, but the stronger condition (B1). Recall that

$$\|C_N(sI - A)^{-1}x\| = \| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} b_N(i\omega)\frac{x(i\omega)}{s+i\omega} d\omega\|.$$

Since we already have a uniform bound over all N in (7), it is sufficient to show that for each k, there exists a sequence $(m_r)_{r>0}$ such that $m_r \overset{r\to\infty}{\to} 0$ and

$$|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_k(i\omega)\frac{x(i\omega)}{s+i\omega} d\omega| \leq \frac{m_{\mathrm{Re}\,s}}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Re}\,s}} \|x\|.$$

But this follows from Cauchy-Schwarz and the estimate

$$|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\psi_k \frac{1}{s+i\cdot})(t)| = |(\check{\psi}_k * e^{-s\cdot})(t)| \leq \int_{1+\rho_k}^{2+\rho_k} \chi_{[0,t)}(u) e^{-\operatorname{Re} s(t-u)} |\check{\psi}_k(u)| du$$

$$\leq K_1 e^{-\operatorname{Re} st} \int_{1+\rho_k}^{\min\{2+\rho_k,t\}} e^{(\operatorname{Re} s)u} du \leq K_1 \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re} s} e^{\operatorname{Re} s(2+\rho_k-t)} & \text{if} & t > 2 + \rho_k, \\ \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re} s} & \text{if} & 1 + \rho_k \leq t < 2 + \rho_k, \\ 0 & \text{if} & t \leq 1 + \rho_k, \end{cases}$$

using boundedness of $\check{\psi}$ by a constant K_1, which yields

$$|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_k(i\omega) \frac{x(i\omega)}{s+i\omega} d\omega| \leq \|x\|_2 \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\psi_k \frac{1}{s+i\cdot})\|_2 \leq K_1 \|x\|_2 \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re} s}\left(1 + \frac{1}{2\operatorname{Re} s}\right)^{1/2}$$

and therefore our desired result for e.g. $m_r = 2K_1 r^{-1/2}$. We obtain the following lemma for the observation operators C_N, defined in (6):

Lemma 4.6. *There exists a sequence of positive numbers $(c_N)_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $c_N \approx N \to \infty$, a sequence of vectors $(x_N)_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$, $\|x_N\| = 1$, and sequences $(m_{N,r})_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$, with $m_{N,r} \to 0$ as $r \to \infty$ for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m_{N,r}$ uniformly bounded in N, r, such that*

$$\|C_N(sI-A)^{-1}\| \leq m_{N,\operatorname{Re} s} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} s}}$$

and

$$\int_0^1 |C_N T(t) x_N|^2 dt \geq c_N \|x_N\|^2 \quad \text{for all } N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

With a weighted diagonal construction similar to the one in Theorem 4.1, we obtain the desired counterexample. This finishes the proof of Theorem 4.4.

References

[1] J.B. Garnett, *Bounded analytic functions*. Revised first edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 236. Springer, New York, 2007.

[2] L.F. Ho and D.L. Russell, Admissible input elements for systems in Hilbert space and a Carleson measure criterion. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 21 (4) (1983), 614–640.

[3] B. Jacob and J.R. Partington, The Weiss conjecture on admissibility of observation operators for contraction semigroups. *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, 40 (2) (2001), 231–243.

[4] B. Jacob and J.R. Partington, Admissibility of control and observation operators for semigroups: a survey. *Current trends in operator theory and its applications*, 199–221, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., 149, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2004.

[5] B. Jacob, J.R. Partington and S. Pott, Admissible and weakly admissible observation operators for the right shift semigroup. *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.*, 45 (2002), 353–362

[6] B. Jacob, J.R. Partington and S. Pott, Conditions for admissibility of observation operators and boundedness of Hankel operators. *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, 47 (3) (2003), 315–338.

[7] B. Jacob and H. Zwart, Counterexamples concerning observation operators for C_0 semigroups, *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 43 (1) (2004), 137–153

[8] C. Le Merdy, The Weiss conjecture for bounded analytic semigroups. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 67 (3) (2003), 715–738.

[9] N.K. Nikolski, Operators, Functions and Systems: An Easy Reading, Part 2, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 93, American Mathematical Society, 2002.

[10] A. Pazy, *Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations*. Applied Mathematical Sciences, 44. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.

[11] I. Singer, *Bases in Banach spaces. I.* Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 154. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1970.

[12] O. Staffans, *Well-posed linear systems*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 103. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.

[13] M. Tucsnak and G. Weiss, *Observation and control for operator semigroups*, submitted manuscript.

[14] G. Weiss, Admissibility of input elements for diagonal semigroups on ℓ^2. *Systems Control Lett.*, 10 (1988), 79–82.

[15] G. Weiss, Admissible observation operators for linear semigroups. *Israel J. Math.*, 65 (1) (1989), 17–43.

[16] G. Weiss, Two conjectures on the admissibility of control operators, in: W. Desch, F. Kappel, K. Kunisch (Eds.), Estimation and Control of Distributed Parameter Systems, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1991, 367–378.

[17] G.Q. Xu, C. Liu and S.P. Yung, Necessary conditions for the exact observability of systems on Hilbert space. *Systems Control Lett.*, 57 (3) (2008), 222–227.

[18] H. Zwart, Sufficient conditions for admissibility. *Systems Control Lett.*, 54 (2005), 973–979.

[19] A. Zygmund, Trigonometric Series, Vol I, II. Third Edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.

Institut für Mathematik, Universität Paderborn, Warburger Strasse 100, 33098 Paderborn, Germany
E-mail address: `jacob@math.uni-paderborn.de`

School of Mathematics, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, U.K.
E-mail address: `J.R.Partington@leeds.ac.uk`

Institut für Mathematik, Universität Paderborn, Warburger Strasse 100, 33098 Paderborn, Germany
and Department of Mathematics, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, G12 8QW, U.K.
E-mail address: `s.pott@maths.gla.ac.uk`