

REPRESENTATIONS OF FINITE SPECIAL LINEAR GROUPS IN NON-DEFINING CHARACTERISTIC

ALEXANDER S. KLESHCHEV AND PHAM HUU TIEP

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Background.** Let $q = p^f$ be a power of a prime number p , and $SL_n(q) = SL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ be the special linear group over the field \mathbb{F}_q with q elements. Let \mathbb{F} be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $\ell \geq 0$. We are interested in parametrizing irreducible representations of $SL_n(q)$ over \mathbb{F} . If $\ell = p$, this is well known: a parametrization is given by the so-called q -restricted dominant weights, see for example [25, Theorem 43].

Assume from now on that $\ell \neq p$. Then it seems natural to proceed through the general linear group $GL_n(q) = GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Indeed, a natural classification of irreducible $\mathbb{F}GL_n(q)$ -modules is available [7, 8, 9, 17], and one might try to study irreducible $\mathbb{F}SL_n(q)$ -modules by restricting from $GL_n(q)$ to $SL_n(q)$. A parametrization of complex irreducible characters of $SL_n(q)$ along these lines was obtained in [22] (see also [3]).

Restricting irreducible modules from a finite group G to a normal subgroup S is the subject of Clifford theory. The first key general fact is that the restriction $V \downarrow_S$ of an irreducible $\mathbb{F}G$ -module V is completely reducible. The situation is especially nice when G/S is cyclic, e.g. $GL_n(q)/SL_n(q) \cong C_{q-1}$. In this case the restriction $V \downarrow_S$ is also multiplicity-free. Clifford theory is most effective if G/S is a cyclic group of order prime to ℓ . So the problem of classifying irreducible $\mathbb{F}SL_n(q)$ -modules is easy if $\ell \nmid (q-1)$. An additional consideration shows that the problem is still easy if $\ell \nmid \gcd(n, q-1)$.

However, in the difficult case where $\ell \mid \gcd(n, q-1)$ there are no general tools to describe the number of irreducible summands in the restriction $V \downarrow_{SL_n(q)}$ of an irreducible $\mathbb{F}GL_n(q)$ -module V . The main results of this paper are a precise description of this number and a corresponding parametrization of irreducible $\mathbb{F}SL_n(q)$ -modules.

We note that even a classification of irreducible $\mathbb{F}GL_n(q)$ -modules which are *irreducible* on restriction to $SL_n(q)$, which is of course a special case of our problem, seems to have been unknown. This question is important for Aschbacher-Scott program [1, 23] on classifying maximal subgroups in finite classical groups and has been our original motivation, see [21]. Although representations of $SL_n(q)$ in non-defining characteristic were studied in [15] using a different approach, it is not clear how to use [15] to describe irreducible restrictions from $GL_n(q)$ to $SL_n(q)$.

As another application of our results, we classify for the first time complex representations of $SL_n(q)$ whose reductions modulo ℓ are irreducible, relying on a similar result for $GL_n(q)$ from [19] (as above, we are assuming $\ell \nmid q$).

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 20C20, 20E28, 20G40.

Research supported by the NSF (grants DMS-0654147 and DMS-0600967). This work was completed when both authors visited MSRI, whom we thank for support.

For a finite group G , let $\text{IBr}(G)$ (resp. $\text{Irr}(G)$) denote the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible $\mathbb{F}G$ -modules (resp. $\mathbb{C}G$ -modules) or the set of irreducible ℓ -Brauer characters (resp. complex characters), depending on the context. As a final application, we exhibit an explicit subset of $\text{Irr}(SL_n(q))$ of size $|\text{IBr}(SL_n(q))|$ and a partial order on $\text{IBr}(SL_n(q))$ such that the corresponding decomposition submatrix is lower unitriangular. Combining this with a recent result of Bonnafé [3], we get a parametrization of irreducible $\mathbb{F}SL_n(q)$ -modules. This also yields an ordinary basic set for each ℓ -block of $SL_n(q)$.

We outline an analogy with the alternating and symmetric groups $A_n \triangleleft S_n$. There are two cases to consider when one studies irreducible $\mathbb{F}A_n$ -modules via restrictions of irreducible $\mathbb{F}S_n$ -modules. The case $\ell = 2$, where Clifford theory is of little help, was treated in [2] using double covers of S_n . In the case $\ell \neq 2$, Clifford theory tells us that an irreducible $\mathbb{F}S_n$ -module V splits into two components or remains irreducible on restriction to A_n , depending on whether V is isomorphic to $V \otimes \text{sgn}$ or not, where sgn is the sign representation of S_n . The first explicit description of $V \otimes \text{sgn}$ in terms of partitions has been found in [20, 14]. As for the problem of irreducible reductions modulo ℓ , we refer the reader to [12] and references therein (for alternating groups a complete classification is still only conjectural).

1.2. Statement of the main results. For a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$, denote $|\lambda| := \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots$, and write λ' for the transposed partition. Set $\Delta(\lambda) := \gcd(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$. For a multipartition $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}, \dots, \lambda^{(a)})$ (which means that each $\lambda^{(i)}$ is a partition) we write $\underline{\lambda}'$ for the transposed multipartition $((\lambda^{(1)})', \dots, (\lambda^{(a)})')$, and set

$$\Delta(\underline{\lambda}) := \gcd(\Delta(\lambda^{(1)}), \dots, \Delta(\lambda^{(a)})).$$

For $\sigma \in \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$ we denote by $[\sigma]$ the set of all roots of the minimal polynomial of σ over \mathbb{F}_q ; in particular, $\#[\sigma] = \deg(\sigma)$. We say that σ_1 and σ_2 are *conjugate* if $[\sigma_1] = [\sigma_2]$. The *order* of σ , denoted $|\sigma|$, is its multiplicative order, and σ is an ℓ - (resp. ℓ' -) element if $|\sigma|$ is a power of ℓ (resp. prime to ℓ). If $\ell = 0$, all elements are ℓ' -elements.

We state a classification of irreducible $\mathbb{F}GL_n(q)$ -modules, referring the reader to §2.3 for details and references. An *n -admissible tuple* is a tuple

$$([\sigma_1], \lambda^{(1)}), \dots, ([\sigma_a], \lambda^{(a)}) \tag{1.1}$$

of pairs, where $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_a \in \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$ are ℓ' -elements, and $\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(a)}$ are partitions, such that $[\sigma_i] \neq [\sigma_j]$ for all $i \neq j$ and $\sum_{i=1}^a \deg(\sigma_i) \cdot |\lambda^{(i)}| = n$. An equivalence class of the n -admissible tuple (1.1) up to a permutation of the pairs $([\sigma_1], \lambda^{(1)}), \dots, ([\sigma_a], \lambda^{(a)})$ is called an *n -admissible symbol* and denoted

$$\mathfrak{s} = [([\sigma_1], \lambda^{(1)}), \dots, ([\sigma_a], \lambda^{(a)})] \tag{1.2}$$

The set \mathfrak{L} of n -admissible symbols is the labeling set for irreducible $\mathbb{F}GL_n(q)$ -modules. The module corresponding to the symbol (1.2) is

$$L(\mathfrak{s}) = L(\sigma_1, \lambda^{(1)}) \circ \dots \circ L(\sigma_a, \lambda^{(a)}),$$

and $\{L(\mathfrak{s}) \mid \mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{L}\}$ is a complete set of representatives of irreducible $\mathbb{F}GL_n(q)$ -modules.

The subgroup $O_{\ell'}(\mathbb{F}_q^\times)$ (consisting of the ℓ' -elements in the multiplicative group \mathbb{F}_q^\times) acts on the set \mathfrak{L} of n -admissible symbols via

$$\tau \cdot [([\sigma_1], \lambda^{(1)}), \dots, ([\sigma_a], \lambda^{(a)})] = [([\tau\sigma_1], \lambda^{(1)}), \dots, ([\tau\sigma_a], \lambda^{(a)})]$$

for $\tau \in O_{\ell'}(\mathbb{F}_q^\times)$. The order of the stabilizer group in $O_{\ell'}(\mathbb{F}_q^\times)$ of a symbol $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{L}$ is called the ℓ' -branching number of \mathfrak{s} and is denoted $\kappa_{\ell'}(\mathfrak{s})$. Next, if \mathfrak{s} is of the form (1.2), then the ℓ -branching number $\kappa_{\ell}(\mathfrak{s})$ is the ℓ -part of $\gcd(n, q-1, \Delta(\underline{\lambda}')) = \gcd(q-1, \Delta(\underline{\lambda}'))$, where $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}, \dots, \lambda^{(a)})$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $V = L(\mathfrak{s})$ be the irreducible $\mathbb{F}GL_n(q)$ -module corresponding to $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{L}$. Then $V \downarrow_{SL_n(q)}$ is a sum of $\kappa_{\ell'}(\mathfrak{s}) \cdot \kappa_{\ell}(\mathfrak{s})$ irreducible summands.*

By Lemma 4.1, for \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s}' in the same $O_{\ell'}(\mathbb{F}_q^\times)$ -orbit on \mathfrak{L} , the restrictions $L(\mathfrak{s}) \downarrow_{SL_n(q)}$ and $L(\mathfrak{s}') \downarrow_{SL_n(q)}$ are isomorphic. Moreover, by Theorem 1.1, we have a decomposition into non-isomorphic irreducible components:

$$L(\mathfrak{s}) \downarrow_{SL_n(q)} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\kappa_{\ell'}(\mathfrak{s})\kappa_{\ell}(\mathfrak{s})} L(\mathfrak{s})_j$$

From Lemma 3.8 we now get:

Corollary 1.2. *The set $\{L(\mathfrak{s})_j\}$, where \mathfrak{s} runs through the $O_{\ell'}(\mathbb{F}_q^\times)$ -orbit representatives on \mathfrak{L} and j runs through the integers between 1 and $\kappa_{\ell'}(\mathfrak{s})\kappa_{\ell}(\mathfrak{s})$, is a complete set of representatives of the irreducible $\mathbb{F}SL_n(q)$ -modules.*

Note that our labeling of the summands in the corollary is not canonical. This problem will be resolved with the use of Theorem 1.4 below.

To state the result on reductions modulo ℓ , we need more notation. Given two partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$ and $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots)$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, define new partitions $\lambda + \mu := (\lambda_1 + \mu_1, \lambda_2 + \mu_2, \dots)$ and by $k\lambda := (k\lambda_1, k\lambda_2, \dots)$.

Assume until the end of the section that $\ell > 0$ and as usual $\ell \nmid q$. All representations in characteristic 0 will be over \mathbb{C} , and the corresponding index will be used to distinguish from the modular representations. Thus the labeling set for irreducible $\mathbb{C}GL_n(q)$ -modules is the set $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbb{C}}$ of n -admissible symbols of form (1.2), where the σ_i need not be ℓ' -elements, but $[(\sigma_i)_{\ell'}] \neq [(\sigma_j)_{\ell'}]$ whenever $i \neq j$. The irreducible $\mathbb{C}GL_n(q)$ -module corresponding to the n -admissible symbol (1.2) is

$$L_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{s}) = L_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma_1, \lambda^{(1)}) \circ \dots \circ L_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma_a, \lambda^{(a)}).$$

Given $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{L}_{\mathbb{C}}$ as in (1.2), we define $\mathfrak{s}^* \in \mathfrak{L}$ as follows. For each i , let s_i (resp. u_i) be the ℓ' -part (resp. the ℓ -part) of σ_i , and let $d_i := \deg(s_i)$, $k_i := \deg(\sigma_i) / \deg(s_i)$. Permuting if necessary, we may assume that s_1, \dots, s_m form a complete set of representatives of the conjugacy classes $[s_i]$, $1 \leq i \leq a$ (one for each). For $1 \leq j \leq m$, set

$$\{i_1, \dots, i_{b_j}\} = \{i \mid [s_i] = [s_j]\},$$

and $\delta^{(j)} := \left(k_{i_1}(\lambda^{(i_1)})' + k_{i_2}(\lambda^{(i_2)})' + \dots + k_{i_{b_j}}(\lambda^{(i_{b_j})})' \right)'$. Now

$$\mathfrak{s}^* := [([s_1], \delta^{(1)}), \dots, ([s_m], \delta^{(m)})].$$

Finally, we say that the symbol \mathfrak{s} is *critical*, if $\ell = 2$, $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $k_i > 1$ for all i , and there exists j such that $k_j = 2$, d_j is odd, and $|u_j| \geq 8$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $V_{\mathbb{C}} = L_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{s})$ be an irreducible $\mathbb{C}GL_n(q)$ -module and $W_{\mathbb{C}}$ be an irreducible constituent of $(V_{\mathbb{C}})\downarrow_{SL_n(q)}$. Then reduction modulo ℓ of $W_{\mathbb{C}}$ is irreducible if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- (1) *reduction modulo ℓ of $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ is irreducible (so $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ is known [19, (4.33)]);*
- (2) *$\kappa_{\ell'}(\mathfrak{s}) = \kappa_{\ell'}(\mathfrak{s}^*)$; and*
- (3) *\mathfrak{s} is not critical.*

In this case the reduction is isomorphic to an irreducible constituent of $L(\mathfrak{s}^)\downarrow_{SL_n(q)}$.*

We refer the reader to Example 5.11 for an illustration.

Next, we show that a certain submatrix of the ℓ -decomposition matrix of $SL_n(q)$ is unitriangular. To be more precise, in §6 we construct an explicit injective map $\Theta : \text{IBr}(SL_n(q)) \rightarrow \text{Irr}(SL_n(q))$ and a partial order \triangleright on $\text{IBr}(SL_n(q))$ such that:

Theorem 1.4. *For any $\varphi \in \text{IBr}(SL_n(q))$,*

$$\Theta(\varphi)(\text{mod } \ell) = \sum_{\psi \in \text{IBr}(SL_n(q))} d_{\varphi, \psi} \psi$$

with $d_{\varphi, \varphi} = 1$, $d_{\varphi, \psi} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and $d_{\varphi, \psi} = 0$ unless $\psi \triangleright \varphi$.

Note that Bonnafé [3] has obtained a *canonical* parametrization of the summands $L_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{s})_j$ of $L_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{s})\downarrow_{SL_n(q)}$, which is independent of \mathfrak{s} and dependent only on a fixed choice of a Gelfand-Graev representation of $SL_n(q)$, thus obtaining a parametrization of irreducible $\mathbb{C}SL_n(q)$ -modules. Combining this with Theorem 1.4 yields a parametrization of irreducible $\mathbb{F}SL_n(q)$ -modules compatible with branching from $GL_n(q)$, see Proposition 6.3 for more details.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Cédric Bonnafé for useful explanations.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Notation. Throughout the paper all groups are assumed to be finite. We use the following notation in addition to the one introduced in §1:

- $\lambda \vdash k$ means that λ is a partition of k ;
- \triangleright denotes the dominance order on partitions;
- $m|\lambda$ means that m divides all parts of the partition λ (for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\lambda \vdash k$);
- $\underline{\lambda} \vdash \underline{k}$ means that $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(a)})$ a multipartition of $\underline{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_a)$, i.e. each $\lambda^{(i)}$ is a partition of k_i ;
- g^G the conjugacy class of an element g in a group G ;
- $\overline{V} = V(\text{mod } \ell)$ reduction modulo ℓ of a $\mathbb{C}G$ -module V ;
- ε a fixed generator of the cyclic group \mathbb{F}_q^\times ;
- GL_n denotes $GL_n(q)$ and SL_n denotes $SL_n(q)$.

If $X \leq Y$ are groups, U an $\mathbb{F}X$ -module, and V an $\mathbb{F}Y$ -module, then $V\downarrow_X$ is V restricted to X , and $U\uparrow^Y$ is U induced to Y . Denote by $\kappa_X^Y(V)$ the number of irreducible components of $V\downarrow_X$ (sometimes called a *branching number*). We also use the notation $\kappa_X(V)$, κ_X , $\kappa(V)$ or just κ when the missing ingredients are clear from the context.

Finally, let $R_n = R_n(q)$ be the subgroup such that $SL_n \leq R_n \leq GL_n$ and

$$R_n/SL_n = O_{\ell'}(GL_n/SL_n).$$

2.2. Conjugacy classes in \mathbf{GL}_n . If $\sigma \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times$ is an element of degree d over \mathbb{F}_q then $\{1, \sigma, \dots, \sigma^{d-1}\}$ is an \mathbb{F}_q -basis of $\mathbb{F}_q(\sigma) = \mathbb{F}_{q^d}$. The left multiplication by an element of \mathbb{F}_{q^d} is \mathbb{F}_q -linear. In this way we get a group embedding $\iota^\sigma : \mathbb{F}_{q^d}^\times \rightarrow GL_d(q)$. This obviously generalizes to an embedding

$$\iota_k^\sigma : GL_k(q^d) \rightarrow GL_{kd}(q) \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}).$$

Semisimple conjugacy classes of GL_n are represented (uniquely up to block permutation) by the block-diagonal matrices of the form

$$s = \text{diag}((\iota^{\sigma_1}(\sigma_1))^{k_1}, \dots, (\iota^{\sigma_a}(\sigma_a))^{k_a}), \quad (2.1)$$

where elements $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_a \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times$ of degrees d_1, \dots, d_a respectively are not conjugate to each other, and $n = k_1 d_1 + \dots + k_a d_a$. Let \mathcal{S} be a set of representatives of the semisimple classes of GL_n which are of the form (2.1) and \mathcal{S}_ℓ be the subset of the ℓ -regular elements in \mathcal{S} . For $s \in \mathcal{S}$ set

$$\underline{k}(s) := (k_1, \dots, k_a).$$

The centralizer $C_{GL_n(q)}(s)$ equals the (not necessarily split) Levi subgroup

$$GL_{k_1}(q^{d_1}) \times \dots \times GL_{k_a}(q^{d_a}),$$

embedded into $GL_{k_1 d_1}(q) \times \dots \times GL_{k_a d_a}(q) < GL_n(q)$ via $\iota_{k_1}^{\sigma_1} \times \dots \times \iota_{k_a}^{\sigma_a}$.

The unipotent conjugacy classes of $GL_n(q)$ are represented (uniquely) by the Jordan matrices

$$J(\lambda) := \text{diag}(J(\lambda_1), \dots, J(\lambda_m)) \quad (\lambda \vdash n),$$

where $J(\lambda_i)$ is the Jordan block of size λ_i . If we write λ in the form $\lambda = (1^{r_1}, 2^{r_2}, \dots)$, then by [24] there exists $N = N(\lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$|C_{GL_n(q)}(J(\lambda))| = q^N \prod_{i \geq 1} |GL_{r_i}(q)|. \quad (2.2)$$

By the Jordan decomposition, the conjugacy classes of GL_n are labeled by pairs $(s, \underline{\lambda})$, where $s \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\underline{\lambda}$ is a multipartition of $\underline{k}(s)$. A canonical representative of the corresponding conjugacy class looks like $su = us$ for the unipotent element $u \in GL_n$ chosen as follows. For each $i = 1, \dots, a$, let u_i be the Jordan matrix $J(\lambda^{(i)}) \in GL_{k_i}(q^{d_i})$, and let

$$u := \text{diag}(\iota_{k_1}^{\sigma_1}(u_1), \dots, \iota_{k_a}^{\sigma_a}(u_a)) \in GL_{k_1 d_1}(q) \times \dots \times GL_{k_a d_a}(q) < GL_n(q).$$

Note that the element su is ℓ -regular if and only if s is ℓ -regular.

2.3. Representation theory of \mathbf{GL}_n . If $n = kd$, then to every, not necessarily ℓ -regular, element σ of degree d over \mathbb{F}_q and $\lambda \vdash k$ we associate the irreducible $\mathbb{F}GL_n$ -module denoted $L(\sigma, \lambda)$, see [4, (3.5.3)] (or [17], where it is denoted $D_{\mathbb{F}}(\sigma, \lambda)$). Let \circ denote the Harish-Chandra induction.

For $s \in \mathcal{S}$ as in (2.1) and $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(a)}) \vdash \underline{k}(s)$, define

$$L(s, \underline{\lambda}) := L(\sigma_1, \lambda^{(1)}) \circ \dots \circ L(\sigma_a, \lambda^{(a)}).$$

Theorem 2.1. *The set $\{L(s, \underline{\lambda}) \mid s \in \mathcal{S}_\ell, \underline{\lambda} \vdash \underline{k}(s)\}$, is a complete set of representatives of isomorphism classes of the irreducible $\mathbb{F}GL_n$ -modules.*

To connect with the notation used in the Introduction, define an n -admissible symbol

$$\mathfrak{s} := [([\sigma_1], \lambda^{(1)}), \dots, ([\sigma_a], \lambda^{(a)})].$$

Then $L(s, \underline{\lambda}) = L(\mathfrak{s})$, cf. §1.2. This is the James' classification of irreducible $\mathbb{F}GL_n$ -modules (see [17] and [4, 4.4b]). Even though this classification suggests that the modules $L(\sigma, \lambda)$ for ℓ -singular σ are redundant, it is sometimes convenient to use them. So we will not assume that σ is ℓ -regular, unless otherwise stated.

If $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, we write $L_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma, \lambda)$ instead of $L(\sigma, \lambda)$, $L_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{s})$ instead of $L(\mathfrak{s})$, etc. in order to distinguish from the case of positive characteristic. The *Specht module* $S_{\mathbb{F}}(\sigma, \lambda)$ can be constructed in any characteristic, and $S_{\mathbb{F}}(\sigma, \lambda)$ is a reduction modulo ℓ of $L_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma, \lambda) = S_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma, \lambda)$, see [17].

2.4. Conjugacy classes in the intermediate subgroup. We will make use of the following general:

Lemma 2.2. *Let $S \triangleleft G$ and $S \leq R \leq G$ be such that G/S is cyclic. For any $g \in G$, set $c = (G : C_G(g)S)$ and $d = (G : R)$. Then $|g^G|/|g^R| = \gcd(c, d)$. Moreover, if G/R is an ℓ -group and g is an ℓ' -element, then $g \in R$.*

Proof. Denote $C := C_G(g)$ and $D := C \cap R$. Then $C \cap S = D \cap S$, hence

$$\frac{|DS|}{|CS|} = \left(\frac{|D||S|}{|D \cap S|} \right) : \left(\frac{|C||S|}{|C \cap S|} \right) = \frac{|D|}{|C|}. \quad (2.3)$$

Let $G/S = \langle a \rangle$ be of order m , and $\pi : G \rightarrow \langle a \rangle$ be a surjection with kernel S . Then $\pi(R) = \langle a^d \rangle$ and $\pi(CS) = \langle a^c \rangle$, hence $\pi(CS \cap R) = \langle a^{\gcd(c, d)} \rangle$. It is easy to see that $CS \cap R = DS$, so $|\pi(DS)| = m/\text{lcm}(c, d)$. Now we have

$$\frac{|g^G|}{|g^R|} = \frac{|G|}{|C|} : \frac{|R|}{|D|} = \frac{|G|}{|R|} \frac{|D|}{|C|} = \frac{|G|}{|R|} \frac{|DS|}{|CS|} = \frac{|G|}{|CS|} \frac{|DS|}{|R|} = c \frac{m/\text{lcm}(c, d)}{m/d},$$

which is $\gcd(c, d)$, as required. \square

To apply Lemma 2.2, we need the following fact.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $u \in GL_n(q)$ be a unipotent element whose conjugacy class corresponds to a partition λ . Then \det maps $C_{GL_n(q)}(u)$ onto the subgroup $\langle \varepsilon^{\Delta(\lambda)} \rangle$ of \mathbb{F}_q^\times .*

Proof. Write $\lambda = (1^{r_1}, 2^{r_2}, \dots)$ and $C := C_{GL_n(q)}(u)$. Then u is conjugate to the matrix $\bigoplus_{i:r_i>0} I_{r_i} \otimes J(i)$, where I_r is the identity $r \times r$ matrix. Hence C contains the subgroup $D := \prod_{i:r_i>0} GL_{r_i}(q) \otimes I_i$. Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of C . Using (2.2), we conclude that $C = PD$. Note that $\det(P)$ is trivial, so $\det(C) = \det(D)$. Now, for each i , \det maps the subgroup $GL_{r_i}(q) \otimes I_i$ onto $\langle \varepsilon^i \rangle$. So it maps D onto $\prod_{i:r_i>0} \langle \varepsilon^i \rangle = \langle \varepsilon^{\Delta(\lambda)} \rangle$. \square

Proposition 2.4. *Let $g = su$ be a standard representative of an ℓ -regular conjugacy class in GL_n corresponding to the pair $(s, \underline{\lambda})$, where $s \in \mathcal{S}_{\ell'}$ and $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(a)})$ is a multipartition of $\underline{k}(s)$. Then $g \in R_n$ and*

$$\frac{|g^{GL_n}|}{|g^{R_n}|} = \gcd \{ (GL_n : R_n), \Delta(\underline{\lambda}) \}.$$

Proof. In view of Lemma 2.2, we need to know the image of $C := C_{GL_n(q)}(g)$ under the determinant map $\det : GL_n(q) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\times$. Now

$$C = C_{GL_n(q)}(su) = C_{C_{GL_n(q)}(s)}(u) = \prod_{i=1}^a C_{GL_{k_i}(q^{d_i})}(u_i), \quad (2.4)$$

where u_i are as in §2.2.

For positive integers m, d and an element σ of degree d over \mathbb{F}_q , we consider the composition map \det_d :

$$\det_d : GL_m(q^d) \xrightarrow{\iota_m^\sigma} GL_{md}(q) \xrightarrow{\det} \mathbb{F}_q^\times.$$

Observe that \det_d is also the composition

$$\det_d : GL_m(q^d) \xrightarrow{\det} \mathbb{F}_{q^d}^\times \xrightarrow{N_{\mathbb{F}_{q^d}/\mathbb{F}_q}} \mathbb{F}_q^\times.$$

(In particular, \det_d does not depend on σ). Fix a generator ε_d of the group $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}^\times$ such that $\varepsilon = N_{\mathbb{F}_{q^d}/\mathbb{F}_q}(\varepsilon_d)$. By Lemma 2.3, \det maps $C_{GL_{k_i}(q^d)}(u_i)$ onto $\langle \varepsilon_{d_i}^{\Delta(\lambda^{(i)})} \rangle$, and $N_{\mathbb{F}_{q^d}/\mathbb{F}_q}$ maps the latter onto $\langle \varepsilon^{\Delta(\lambda^{(i)})} \rangle$. So the image of C under \det is $\langle \varepsilon^{\Delta(\Delta)} \rangle$. The result now follows from Lemma 2.2. \square

3. CLIFFORD THEORY

3.1. Known results. Let $S \triangleleft G$ be a normal subgroup and $V \in \text{IBr}(G)$. Clifford theory (see e.g. [13, III.2], [5, §49], [6, §11A]) gives information on the restriction $V \downarrow_S$. For example, it is known that $V \downarrow_S$ is completely reducible, so we can write

$$V \downarrow_S = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t V_i, \quad (V_1, \dots, V_t \in \text{IBr}(S)).$$

Moreover, the irreducible S -modules V_1, \dots, V_t are G -conjugate to each other (but not necessarily pairwise non-isomorphic in general). Let $I := \text{Stab}_G(V_1)$ be the *inertia group* of V_1 in G . Then $I \geq S$ and there is an $\mathbb{F}I$ -module \tilde{V}_1 such that V_1 is an irreducible component of $\tilde{V}_1 \downarrow_S$ and $V = \tilde{V}_1 \uparrow^G$.

If G/S is an ℓ -group and an irreducible $\mathbb{F}S$ -module appears as a component of the restrictions $V \downarrow_S$ and $V' \downarrow_S$ of the irreducible $\mathbb{F}G$ -modules V and V' , then $V \cong V'$. If G/S is cyclic, V_1, \dots, V_t are pairwise non-isomorphic, $\tilde{V}_1 = V_1$ as vector spaces, and $\kappa_S^G(V) = t = (G : I)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $S \leq H$ be normal subgroups of a group G , $V \in \text{IBr}(G)$, and U be an irreducible component of $V \downarrow_H$. Then $\kappa_S^G(V) = \kappa_H^G(V) \cdot \kappa_S^H(U)$.*

Proof. Write $V \downarrow_S = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t V_i$, where $V_1, \dots, V_t \in \text{IBr}(S)$ are G -conjugate, and $\kappa_S^G(V) = t$. Similarly, write $V \downarrow_H = \bigoplus_{j=1}^m U_j$, where $U = U_1, \dots, U_m \in \text{IBr}(H)$ are G -conjugate, and $\kappa_H^G(V) = m$. We may assume that V_1 is a constituent of $U_1 \downarrow_S$. Then $U_1 \downarrow_S = \bigoplus_{j=1}^a U_{1,j}$, where $V_1 \simeq U_{1,1}, \dots, U_{1,a} \in \text{IBr}(S)$ are H -conjugate, and $a = (H : H_1)$ for $H_1 = \text{Stab}_H(V_1)$. For any i there is $g \in G$ such that $V_i \simeq {}^g V_1$. Hence

$$\text{Stab}_H(V_i) = H \cap g^{-1} \text{Stab}_G(V_1) g = g^{-1} (H \cap \text{Stab}_G(V_1)) g = g^{-1} H_1 g$$

since $H \triangleleft G$. Thus $\kappa_S^H(U_j) = a = \kappa_S^H(U)$ for all j , and so $t = ma$. \square

3.2. Number of constituents for cyclic extensions. We need more results in spirit of Clifford theory, especially for the case of cyclic extensions, i.e. when G/S is cyclic. Given a G/S -module we consider it as a G -module via inflation without further comment.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $S \triangleleft G$ with G/S a cyclic ℓ' -group, and $V \in \text{IBr}(G)$.*

- (i) *We have $\kappa_S(V) = \sharp\{L \in \text{IBr}(G/S) \mid V \simeq V \otimes L\}$.*

(ii) If $L \in \text{IBr}(G/S)$ and $V \simeq V \otimes L$ then $\kappa_S(V) \geq (G : \text{Ker}(L))$.

Proof. (i) Let $\eta := \#\{L \in \text{IBr}(G/S) \mid V \simeq V \otimes L\}$. If $L \in \text{IBr}(G/I)$, we have

$$V \otimes L = (\tilde{V}_1 \uparrow_I^G) \otimes L \simeq (\tilde{V}_1 \otimes (L \downarrow_I)) \uparrow^G \simeq \tilde{V}_1 \uparrow^G = V,$$

and so $\eta \geq \kappa_S(V)$. Conversely, assume that $V \simeq V \otimes L$ for some $L \in \text{IBr}(G/S)$. To see that $\eta \leq \kappa_S(V)$, it suffices to show that I acts trivially on L . Again we have $\tilde{V}_1 \uparrow^G \simeq V \simeq V \otimes L \simeq (\tilde{V}_1 \otimes (L \downarrow_I)) \uparrow^G$. As a subspace \tilde{V}_1 equals the V_1 -isotypic component of the S -module V . It follows that $\tilde{V}_1 \simeq \tilde{V}_1 \otimes (L \downarrow_I)$, so $\tilde{V}_1^* \otimes \tilde{V}_1 \simeq \tilde{V}_1^* \otimes \tilde{V}_1 \otimes (L \downarrow_I)$. As S is irreducible on \tilde{V}_1 and trivial on L , taking S -fixed points, we see that $1_I \simeq L \downarrow_I$, as required.

(ii) Arguing as in (i), we see that $L \downarrow_I$ is trivial. So $\kappa_S(V) = (G : I) \geq (G : \text{Ker}(L))$. \square

Lemma 3.2 provides information on $\kappa(V)$ for cyclic ℓ' -extensions. For an arbitrary cyclic extension the problem breaks into two parts; the first (easier) part for a cyclic ℓ' -extension, and the second part for a cyclic ℓ -extension:

Lemma 3.3. *Let r be a prime, $S \triangleleft G$ with G/S cyclic, $V \in \text{IBr}(G)$, and $S \leq A, B \leq G$ be such that $A/S = O_r(G/S)$ and $B/S = O_{r'}(G/S)$. Also, let U (resp. W) be an irreducible constituent of $V \downarrow_A$ (resp. $V \downarrow_B$). Then*

- (i) $\kappa_S^G(V) = \kappa_A^G(V) \cdot \kappa_B^G(V)$;
- (ii) $\kappa_A^G(V) = \kappa_S^B(W)$, $\kappa_B^G(V) = \kappa_S^A(U)$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1,

$$t := \kappa_S^G(V) = \kappa_A^G(V) \kappa_S^A(U) = \kappa_B^G(V) \kappa_S^B(W).$$

Write $V \downarrow_S = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t V_i$ for $V_1, \dots, V_t \in \text{IBr}(S)$, and let $I = \text{Stab}_G(V_1)$, $A_1 = \text{Stab}_A(V_1)$, $B_1 = \text{Stab}_B(V_1)$. Then $G/S = A/S \times B/S$ and $I/S \geq A_1/S \times B_1/S$. Conversely, assume $x \in I$ and write $xS = yS \cdot zS$, where yS is the r -part of xS and zS is the r' -part of xS . Then yS and zS are powers of xS and so y and z stabilize V_1 , hence $y \in A_1$ and $z \in B_1$. Thus $I/S = A_1/S \times B_1/S$. So

$$t = (G : I) = (A : A_1) \cdot (B : B_1) = \kappa_S^A(U) \kappa_S^B(W).$$

Hence $\kappa_S^B(W) = \kappa_A^G(V)$, $\kappa_S^A(U) = \kappa_B^G(V)$, and $\kappa_S^G(V) = \kappa_A^G(V) \cdot \kappa_B^G(V)$. \square

Next we link branching numbers κ_S^G to those for intermediate extensions $S \triangleleft H$ and $H \triangleleft G$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $S \triangleleft G$ with G/S a cyclic ℓ -group, $S \leq H \leq G$, $V \in \text{IBr}(G)$. Then $\kappa_H^G(V) = \min\{\kappa_S^G(V), |G/H|\}$.*

Proof. Let $U \in \text{IBr}(H)$ an irreducible constituent of $V \downarrow_H$ and $W \in \text{IBr}(S)$ be an irreducible constituent of $U \downarrow_S$. Let $I := \text{Stab}_G(W)$. Then $V = \tilde{W} \uparrow^G$ for $\tilde{W} \in \text{IBr}(I)$ with $\tilde{W} \downarrow_S = W$. As G/S is a cyclic ℓ -group, either $I \geq H$ or $H > I$. If $I \geq H$ then $U' := \tilde{W} \downarrow_H$ is also irreducible and I -invariant. Conversely, if $g \in G$ stabilizes U' then g stabilizes $U' \downarrow_S = W$, so $g \in I$. Thus $\text{Stab}_G(U') = I$, whence

$$\kappa_H^G(V) = (G : I) = \kappa_S^G(V) \leq |G/H|,$$

and we are done in the case $I \geq H$.

If $H > I$ then $U' := \tilde{W} \uparrow^H$ is an irreducible component of $V \downarrow_H$. Hence $\kappa_H^G(V) = \dim V / \dim U' = |G/H| < (G : I)$, and we are done again. \square

Corollary 3.5. *Let $S \triangleleft G$ with G/S cyclic, $S \leq H \leq B \leq G$ such that $B/H = O_\ell(G/H)$, and $V \in \text{IBr}(G)$. Then*

$$\kappa_H^G(V) = \kappa_B^G(V) \cdot \min\{(\kappa_S^G(V))_\ell, |G/H|_\ell\}.$$

Proof. Consider $A \geq H$ with $A/H = O_{\ell'}(G/H)$, and $R, T \geq S$ with $R/S = O_{\ell'}(G/S)$, $T/S = O_\ell(G/S)$. By Lemma 3.3, $\kappa_H^G(V) = \kappa_A^G(V) \cdot \kappa_B^G(V)$ so we just need to compute $\kappa_A^G(V)$. As

$$RH/H \simeq R/(R \cap H) \simeq (R/S)/((R \cap H)/S)$$

is an ℓ' -group, $RH/H \leq O_{\ell'}(G/H) = A/H$, whence $R \leq A$. By Lemma 3.4, $\kappa_A^G(V) = \min\{\kappa_R^G(V), |G/A|\}$. Clearly $|G/A| = |G/H|_\ell$. By Lemma 3.3, $\kappa_S^G(V) = \kappa_R^G(V) \cdot \kappa_T^G(V)$. As the first factor is an ℓ' -power and the second factor is coprime to ℓ , we have $\kappa_R^G(V) = (\kappa_S^G(V))_\ell$, and we are done. \square

Corollary 3.5 shows that if we know $\kappa_S^G(V)$, then, to determine $\kappa_H^G(V)$ for $H \geq S$, it suffices to know $\kappa_B^G(V)$, which can be found using Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $G = G_1 \times G_2$, $V \in \text{IBr}(G)$, $H_i \triangleleft G_i$ and $G_i/H_i = \langle \bar{x}_i \rangle \simeq C_n$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let the subgroup $K \leq G$ be such that $G \geq K \geq H_1 \times H_2$ and $K/(H_1 \times H_2) = \langle \bar{x}_1 \bar{x}_2 \rangle$. Then $\kappa_K(V) = \gcd(s_1, s_2)$, where $s_1 := \kappa_{H_1 \times G_2}(V)$, $s_2 := \kappa_{G_1 \times H_2}(V)$.*

Proof. Throughout the proof we assume $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Write $V = U^1 \otimes U^2$ for $U^i \in \text{IBr}(G_i)$. By assumption, $U^i \downarrow_{H_i} = U_1^i \oplus \dots \oplus U_{s_i}^i$ for $U_1^i, \dots, U_{s_i}^i \in \text{IBr}(H_i)$ and $s_i = (G_i : I_i)$ where $I_i := \text{Stab}_{G_i}(U_1^i)$. Clearly, $J := \text{Stab}_K(U_1^1 \otimes U_1^2)$ contains $H_1 \times H_2$. Choose $x_i \in G_i$ such that $\bar{x}_i = x_i H_i$. Then $K = \langle H_1, H_2, x_1 x_2 \rangle$ and $G/K \simeq C_n$. Assume that $(x_1 x_2)^m \in J$ for some integer m . Then

$$U_1^1 \otimes U_1^2 \simeq (x_1^m x_2^m)(U_1^1 \otimes U_1^2) \simeq (x_1^m U_1^1) \otimes (x_2^m U_1^2)$$

as $H_1 \times H_2$ -modules. By the irreducibility of the U_1^i , we see that $x_i^m U_1^i \simeq U_1^i$, i.e. $x_i^m \in I_i$. But G_i/I_i is cyclic of order s_i , hence $s_i | m$. Setting $r = \text{lcm}(s_1, s_2)$, we see that $r | m$. Thus $(x_1 x_2)^m \in J$ only if $r | m$. The converse is obviously true. Therefore, $J/(H_1 \times H_2) = \langle (\bar{x}_1 \bar{x}_2)^r \rangle$ and $(K : J) = r$.

Now choose T to be an irreducible constituent of $V \downarrow_K$ that lies over $U_1^1 \otimes U_1^2$. As $(K : J) = r$, we have $\kappa_{H_1 \times H_2}(T) = r$. Hence

$$\dim(T) = r \dim(U_1^1) \dim(U_1^2),$$

so $\dim(V)/\dim(T) = s_1 s_2 / r = \gcd(s_1, s_2)$, and $\kappa_K(V) = \gcd(s_1, s_2)$. \square

Lemma 3.7. *Let $S \triangleleft G$ with G/S cyclic, $S \leq A \leq G$ with $A/S = O_\ell(G/S)$, and $U \in \text{IBr}(S)$. Assume that U is an S -composition factor of $V_i \downarrow_S$ for some $V_i \in \text{IBr}(G)$, $i = 1, 2$. Then $V_2 = V_1 \otimes L$ for some $L \in \text{IBr}(G/A)$.*

Proof. By assumption, there are $W_i \in \text{IBr}(A)$ such that U is a direct summand of $W_i \downarrow_A$ and W_i is a direct summand of $V_i \downarrow_A$. Since A/S is an ℓ -group, $W_1 \cong W_2 \cong W$. Let $I := \text{Stab}_G(W)$. By Clifford theory, we have $V_i = M_i \uparrow^G$ for some $M_i \in \text{IBr}(I)$ and $W = M_i \downarrow_A$ ($i = 1, 2$). It follows that $M_2 \simeq M_1 \otimes N$ for some $N \in \text{IBr}(I/A)$. But G/A is cyclic, hence N is also G/A -invariant and it extends to an irreducible G/A -module L . Thus

$$V_2 \simeq (M_1 \otimes N) \uparrow^G = (M_1 \otimes (L \downarrow_I)) \uparrow^G \simeq (M_1 \uparrow^G) \otimes L = V_1 \otimes L,$$

as required. \square

We now address the question of describing irreducible $\mathbb{F}S$ -modules in terms of irreducible $\mathbb{F}G$ -modules and branching from G to S .

Lemma 3.8. *Let $S \triangleleft G$ with G/S cyclic, and $S \leq A, B \leq G$ with $A/S = O_\ell(G/S)$, $B/S = O_{\ell'}(G/S)$. Introduce the equivalence relation \sim on $\text{IBr}(G)$ by setting $V \sim V'$ if and only if $V \simeq V' \otimes L$ for some $L \in \text{IBr}(G/A)$, and let V_1, \dots, V_m be a complete set of representatives of the equivalence classes. Decompose*

$$V_i \downarrow_S = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{k_i} V_{ij} \quad (1 \leq i \leq m)$$

where V_{ij} are irreducible $\mathbb{F}S$ -modules. Then

$$\{V_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq k_i\}$$

is a complete set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of the irreducible $\mathbb{F}S$ -modules.

Proof. We only have to check that $V_{ij} \not\simeq V_{kl}$ if $i \neq k$, which follows immediately from Lemma 3.7. \square

3.3. Clifford theory and blocks.

Lemma 3.9. *Let $S \triangleleft G$ with G/S an ℓ -group, V an $\mathbb{F}G$ -module, and*

- (i) $V \downarrow_S$ has a filtration $0 = V^0 < V^1 < \dots$, where each $V_i := V^i/V^{i-1}$ is a G -conjugate of $V_1 = V^1$;
- (ii) All composition factors of V_1 belong to the same $\mathbb{F}S$ -block.

Then all composition factors of V belong to the same $\mathbb{F}G$ -block.

Proof. Pick an S -composition factor U_1 of V_1 and $W_1 \in \text{IBr}(G)$ such that U_1 is a submodule of $W_1 \downarrow_S$. Let B be the G -block containing W_1 , and b be the S -block containing U_1 . Then B covers b , as well as any G -conjugate of b , cf. [13, Lemma IV.4.10]. By the assumption (ii), every composition factor of $V \downarrow_S$ belongs to some G -conjugate of b .

Now consider any composition factor M of V and any composition factor N of $M \downarrow_S$. Then we may assume that N is a composition factor of some V_i . But $V_i = {}^z V_1$ for some $z \in G$. Hence N belongs to the S -block b^z . Now b^z is also covered by B . In fact, since G/S is an ℓ -group, B is the unique G -block that covers b^z , cf. [13, Lemma V.3.5]. Hence M belongs to B . \square

Lemma 3.10. *Let $S \triangleleft G$ with G/S cyclic, and let B be an ℓ -block of S . Suppose that every ordinary character ρ belonging to B is G -invariant. Then every Brauer character φ in B is extendible to G .*

Proof. By [11, Theorem 61.6], $\varphi = \sum_{\rho \in B \cap \text{Irr}(S)} a_\rho \bar{\rho}$ for some $a_\rho \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\bar{\rho}$ denotes the restriction of irreducible characters ρ to ℓ' -classes of S . It follows that φ is G -invariant. Since G/S is cyclic, φ is extendible to G . \square

Lemma 3.11. *Let $S \triangleleft G$ with G/S a cyclic ℓ -group, and B be an ℓ -block of S . Suppose there is $b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $(G : \text{Stab}_G(\rho)) \leq \ell^b$ for any complex irreducible character $\rho \in B$. Then $(G : \text{Stab}_G(\psi)) \leq \ell^b$ for any $\psi \in B \cap \text{IBr}(S)$.*

Proof. We may assume that $b \leq a$, where $\ell^a := |G/S|$. Let J be the unique subgroup of index ℓ^b in G containing S . By assumption, any complex $\rho \in B$ is J -invariant. Now Lemma 3.10 applied to $J \triangleleft G$ implies that every $\psi \in B \cap \text{IBr}(S)$ is J -invariant. \square

Lemma 3.12. *Let $S \triangleleft G$ with G/S a cyclic ℓ -group, and B be an ℓ -block of G . Suppose there is $b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $\kappa_S^G(\chi) \leq \ell^b$ for any complex irreducible character $\chi \in B$. Then $\kappa_S^G(\varphi) \leq \ell^b$ for any $\varphi \in B \cap \text{IBr}(G)$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi \in B \cap \text{IBr}(G)$, $\psi \in \text{IBr}(S)$ be an irreducible component of $\varphi \downarrow_S$, and B_1 be the ℓ -block of S containing ψ . As G/S is cyclic, by Lemma 3.11 it suffices to show that $(G : \text{Stab}_G(\rho)) \leq \ell^b$ for any complex irreducible $\rho \in B_1$. Consider any such ρ . As G/S is an ℓ -group, B is the unique block of G that covers B_1 . So there is some irreducible $\chi \in B$ such that ρ is an irreducible component of $\chi \downarrow_S$. As G/S is cyclic, $(G : \text{Stab}_G(\rho)) = \kappa_S^G(\chi)$, and so we are done. \square

3.4. ℓ -elementary groups. A finite group is ℓ -elementary if it is a direct product of an ℓ -group and a cyclic ℓ' -group. It is easy to see that any subgroup and any quotient group of an ℓ -elementary group are also ℓ -elementary. In this subsection we explain why many results in Clifford theory valid for cyclic extensions, are also valid for ℓ -elementary extensions. This material, even though not needed in this paper, will be useful in other situations of Clifford theory.

Lemma 3.13. *Any irreducible projective representation over the field \mathbb{F} of an ℓ -elementary group H has degree 1.*

Proof. We can realize our projective representation as a linear representation Ψ of a covering group T of H . Writing $Z := Z(T)$, we have $T/Z \simeq H$. As $\Psi \downarrow_{O_\ell(Z)}$ is trivial, we may assume that Z is an ℓ' -group. Now $O_{\ell'}(T) \geq Z$ and $O_{\ell'}(T)/Z \simeq O_{\ell'}(H)$ is cyclic. Hence $O_{\ell'}(T)$ is abelian. As H is nilpotent, so is T . Hence $T = O_{\ell'}(T) \times O_\ell(T)$, and $\deg(\Psi) = 1$. \square

Lemma 3.14. *Let $S \triangleleft G$ with G/S being ℓ -elementary, and $V \in \text{IBr}(G)$. Then $V \downarrow_S$ is multiplicity free.*

Proof. Let U be an irreducible component of $V \downarrow_S$ and $I := \text{Stab}_G(U)$. Assume first that $G = I$. By [6, 11.20], $V = X \otimes Y$, where X is a projective representation of G of dimension equal to $\dim U$ and Y is a projective representation of G/S . By Lemma 3.13, $\dim Y = 1$, so $V \downarrow_S = U$.

In the general case we have $V = \tilde{U} \uparrow^G$ for some $\tilde{U} \in \text{IBr}(I)$ lying above U . As U is I -invariant and I/S is ℓ -elementary, the above argument shows that $\tilde{U} \downarrow_S = U$. In particular, $\dim V / \dim U = (G : I)$, and the claim follows. \square

Now Lemmas 3.13 and 3.14 allow us to repeat the proof of Lemma 3.3. So the conclusions of that key lemma also hold for ℓ -elementary extensions.

4. MAIN RESULTS

4.1. Computing $\kappa_{\ell'}$. The irreducible $\mathbb{F}GL_n$ -modules which factor through SL_n are among the $L(\tau, (n))$ with $\tau \in O_{\ell'}(\mathbb{F}_q^\times)$, see [17]. The following statement is needed in view of Lemma 3.2, cf. also [16, Lemma 2.9]:

Lemma 4.1. *Let \mathfrak{s} be an n -admissible symbol and $\tau \in O_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_q^{\times})$. Then*

$$L(\mathfrak{s}) \otimes L(\tau, (n)) \simeq L(\tau \cdot \mathfrak{s}).$$

Proof. It suffices to prove that $L(\sigma, \lambda) \otimes L(\tau, (n)) \simeq L(\sigma\tau, \lambda)$, where $\deg(\sigma) = d$, $\lambda \vdash k := n/d$. We use notation and results from [17]. First of all, the values of the Brauer character of the irreducible $\mathbb{F}GL_d$ -module $M_{\mathbb{F}}(\sigma, (1))$ are given explicitly in [17, (3.1)]—they involve a certain function $\langle x, y \rangle_d : \mathbb{F}_{q^d}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ with the property

$$\langle x_1 x_2, y \rangle_d = \langle x_1, y \rangle_d \cdot \langle x_2, y \rangle_d.$$

In particular, $\langle \sigma\tau, y \rangle_d = \langle \sigma, y \rangle_d \cdot \langle \tau, y \rangle_d$, and $\langle \tau, y \rangle_d^{q-1} = 1$. These two equalities imply that the Brauer character of $M_{\mathbb{F}}(\sigma\tau, (1))$ is just the product of the Brauer characters of $M_{\mathbb{F}}(\sigma, (1))$ and $L(\tau, (n))$, whence

$$M_{\mathbb{F}}(\sigma, (1)) \otimes L(\tau, (n)) \simeq M_{\mathbb{F}}(\sigma\tau, (1)).$$

This identity, together with the construction of $M_{\mathbb{F}}(\sigma, (1^k))$ in [17], implies that

$$M_{\mathbb{F}}(\sigma, (1^k)) \otimes L(\tau, (n)) \simeq M_{\mathbb{F}}(\sigma\tau, (1^k)).$$

Next, the submodule $S_{\mathbb{F}}(\sigma, \lambda)$ of $M_{\mathbb{F}}(\sigma, (1^k))$ is defined using some idempotent depending only on λ , see [17, (7.7)]. It follows that

$$S_{\mathbb{F}}(\sigma, \lambda) \otimes L(\tau, (n)) \simeq S_{\mathbb{F}}(\sigma\tau, \lambda).$$

Each $S_{\mathbb{F}}(\sigma, \lambda)$ has a unique maximal submodule, the quotient by which is exactly $L(\sigma, \lambda)$. Hence $L(\sigma, \lambda) \otimes L(\tau, (n)) \simeq L(\sigma\tau, \lambda)$. \square

4.2. Lower bound for κ_{ℓ} . Throughout §4.2, σ denotes an element of $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q^{\times}$ of degree $d|n$ and $k = n/d$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $\sigma \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q^{\times}$, and $SL_n \leq R \leq GL_n$. If $\kappa_R(L_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma, \lambda)) \geq m$ for all $\lambda \vdash k$, then $\kappa_R(L(\sigma, \lambda)) \geq m$ for all $\lambda \vdash k$.*

Proof. By [17, 8.2], composition factors of $S_{\mathbb{F}}(\sigma, \lambda)$ are of the form $L(\sigma, \mu)$ for $\mu \supseteq \lambda$, and exactly one of them is $L(\sigma, \lambda)$. We apply induction on the dominance order \supseteq on partitions of k . If $\lambda = (k)$, then $L(\sigma, \lambda) = S_{\mathbb{F}}(\sigma, \lambda)$, and the result follows, as $S_{\mathbb{F}}(\sigma, \lambda)$ is a reduction modulo ℓ of $L_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma, \lambda)$.

For the induction step, we assume that for each $\mu \supseteq \lambda$ and $\mu \neq \lambda$, we have $L(\sigma, \mu) \downarrow_R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m(\mu)} L(\sigma, \mu, i)$ for some $m(\mu) \geq m$ and $L(\sigma, \mu, i) \in \text{IBr}(R)$. Since GL_n/R is cyclic, the inertia group of $L(\sigma, \mu, i)$ has index $m(\mu)$ in GL_n . By our assumption, $L_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma, \lambda) \downarrow_R = \bigoplus_{j=1}^t V_{\mathbb{C}}(j)$ for some integer $t \geq m$ and some irreducible $\mathbb{C}R$ -modules $V_{\mathbb{C}}(j)$, $1 \leq j \leq t$, which are GL_n -conjugate. Let $V(j)$ denote an ℓ -modular reduction of $V_{\mathbb{C}}(j)$. Now in the Grothendieck group of $\mathbb{F}GL_n$ -modules we have

$$S_{\mathbb{F}}(\sigma, \lambda) = L(\sigma, \lambda) + \sum_{\mu \supseteq \lambda, \mu \neq \lambda} d_{\lambda\mu} L(\sigma, \mu)$$

for some integers $d_{\lambda\mu} \geq 0$. Thus over R we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^t V(j) = L(\sigma, \lambda) \downarrow_R + \sum_{\mu \supseteq \lambda, \mu \neq \lambda} d_{\lambda\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{m(\mu)} L(\sigma, \mu, i). \quad (4.1)$$

Assume for a contradiction that $L(\sigma, \lambda) \downarrow_R = L_1 \oplus \dots \oplus L_s$, where L_1, \dots, L_s are distinct GL_n -conjugates of $L_1 \in \text{IBr}(R)$, and $s < m$. We may assume

that L_1 is a composition factor of $V(1)$. For any $j > 1$, $V_{\mathbb{C}}(j) = {}^gV_{\mathbb{C}}(1)$ for some $g \in GL_n$, and so gL_1 is a subquotient of $V(j)$. Thus the left-hand side of (4.1) contains at least t conjugates of L_1 . Since $t \geq m > s$, (4.1) now implies that some GL_n -conjugate gL_1 of L_1 must be isomorphic to some $L(\sigma, \mu, i)$. So the inertia group of gL_1 has index $m(\mu) \geq m > s$ in GL_n , a contradiction. \square

Corollary 4.3. *Let $\lambda \vdash k$, $1 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$, and assume that $\sigma\alpha$ is conjugate to σ . Then $\kappa_R(L(\sigma, \lambda)) \geq |\alpha|$ for $R := \text{Ker}(L_{\mathbb{C}}(\alpha, (n)))$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, we have $L_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma, \lambda) \otimes L_{\mathbb{C}}(\alpha, (n)) \simeq L_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma\alpha, \lambda) \simeq L_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma, \lambda)$. Note also that $|\alpha| = (GL_n : R)$. By Lemma 3.2(ii), $\kappa_R(L_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma, \lambda)) \geq |\alpha|$, and this is true for all $\lambda \vdash k$. Now the statement follows from Proposition 4.2. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Let r, c, d be positive integers and ℓ^c be the ℓ -part of $r - 1$. Then the ℓ -part of $\frac{r^{\ell^d} - 1}{r - 1}$ is ℓ^d , unless $c = 1$, $\ell = 2$ and $r \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.*

Proof. We only explain the case $c \geq 2$. As $\frac{r^{\ell^d} - 1}{r - 1} = \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{r^{\ell^i} - 1}{r^{\ell^{i-1}} - 1}$, it suffices to see that $\left(\frac{r^{\ell^i} - 1}{r^{\ell^{i-1}} - 1}\right)_{\ell} = \ell$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. For such i we have $r^{\ell^{i-1}} = 1 + A\ell^b$ for some integer $b \geq 2$ and $(A, \ell) = 1$. So $r^{\ell^i} = (1 + A\ell^b)^{\ell} = 1 + A\ell^{b+1} + B\ell^{2b}$ for some $B \in \mathbb{Z}$, whence the ℓ -part of $r^{\ell^i} - 1$ is ℓ^{b+1} (using $2b > b + 1$). It follows that $\left(\frac{r^{\ell^i} - 1}{r^{\ell^{i-1}} - 1}\right)_{\ell} = \frac{\ell^{b+1}}{\ell^b} = \ell$, as required. \square

Lemma 4.5. *Assume that σ is an ℓ' -element and $\ell^c | \gcd(n, q - 1)$ for some $c \geq 1$. Then for any $\lambda \vdash k$ with $\ell^c | \lambda'$, we have $\kappa_{R_n}(L(\sigma, \lambda)) \geq \ell^c$.*

Proof. As $\ell^c | \lambda'$, we have $\ell^c | k$, and so $\ell^c d | n$, where $d := \deg(\sigma)$. Let $P := O_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_{q^{d\ell^c}}^\times)$ and $Q := O_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_{q^d}^\times)$. Note that $Q < P$ via $\mathbb{F}_{q^d} \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^{d\ell^c}}$, and ℓ^c divides $|P/Q|$.

First, assume that $c = 1$. Then there is $\tau \in P \setminus Q$ such that $Q := \langle \tau^{\ell} \rangle$. Note that τ has degree ℓ over \mathbb{F}_{q^d} , whence $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}(\tau) = \mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell d}}$. The ℓ' -part (resp. ℓ -part) of $\sigma\tau$ is σ (resp. τ). In particular, σ and τ are powers of $\sigma\tau$. Therefore

$$\mathbb{F}_q(\sigma\tau) = \mathbb{F}_q(\sigma, \tau) = \mathbb{F}_q(\sigma)(\tau) = \mathbb{F}_{q^d}(\tau) = \mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell d}},$$

i.e. $\deg(\sigma\tau) = \ell d$. Set $\alpha := (\sigma\tau)^{q^d - 1}$. We claim that $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ and $|\alpha| = \ell$. Indeed, since $\sigma^{q^d - 1} = 1$, we have $\alpha = \tau^{q^d - 1}$. Now $\alpha^{\ell} = (\tau^{\ell})^{q^d - 1} = 1$ since $\tau^{\ell} \in Q$; in particular, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$. Also, $\alpha \neq 1$, as otherwise $\tau \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}^\times$, a contradiction.

We have shown that $\sigma\tau$ has degree ℓd and $(\sigma\tau)^{q^d} = \alpha(\sigma\tau)$ with $1 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$. By Corollary 4.3, $\kappa_K(L(\sigma\tau, \nu)) \geq \ell$ for $K := \text{Ker}(L_{\mathbb{C}}(\alpha, (n))) \geq R_n$ and all $\nu \vdash n/\ell d$. In particular, $\kappa_K(L(\sigma\tau, \mu)) \geq \ell$, where $\mu \vdash n/\ell d$ is chosen so that $\mu' = (1/\ell)\lambda'$. Since $L(\sigma\tau, \mu) \simeq L(\sigma, \lambda)$ by [9], the claim follows.

Now let $c \geq 2$. Observe that $\ell^c = |P/Q|$ or $\left(\frac{q^{d\ell^c} - 1}{q^d - 1}\right)_{\ell} = \ell^c$ by Lemma 4.4. Now if $P = \langle \tau \rangle$, then $Q = \langle \tau^{\ell^c} \rangle$ and $\tau^{\ell^{c-1}} \notin Q$. Note τ has degree ℓ^c over \mathbb{F}_{q^d} , so $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}(\tau) = \mathbb{F}_{q^{d\ell^c}}$. As the ℓ' -part and the ℓ -part of $\sigma\tau$ are σ and τ , respectively, σ and τ are powers of $\sigma\tau$. So

$$\mathbb{F}_q(\sigma\tau) = \mathbb{F}_q(\sigma, \tau) = \mathbb{F}_q(\sigma)(\tau) = \mathbb{F}_{q^d}(\tau) = \mathbb{F}_{q^{d\ell^c}},$$

i.e. $\deg(\sigma\tau) = d\ell^c$. Set $\alpha := (\sigma\tau)^{q^d-1} = \tau^{q^d-1}$. We claim $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ has order ℓ^c . Indeed, $\alpha^{\ell^c} = (\tau^{\ell^c})^{q^d-1} = 1$ since $\tau^{\ell^c} \in Q$, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ as $\ell^c | (q-1)$. On the other hand, $\alpha^{\ell^{c-1}} \neq 1$, as otherwise $\tau^{\ell^{c-1}} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}^\times$ and so $\tau^{\ell^{c-1}} \in Q$, a contradiction. Thus $\deg(\sigma\tau) = d\ell^c$ and $(\sigma\tau)^{q^d} = \alpha(\sigma\tau)$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ of order ℓ^c . Now we finish as in the case $c = 1$. \square

Theorem 4.6. *Let $\ell^c | \gcd(n, q-1)$ and $V = L(\sigma_1, \lambda^{(1)}) \circ \dots \circ L(\sigma_a, \lambda^{(a)})$ be an irreducible $\mathbb{F}GL_n$ -module, where $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_a$ are ℓ' -elements and $\ell^c | (\lambda^{(i)})'$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, a$. Then $\kappa_{R_n}(V) \geq \ell^c$.*

Proof. We apply induction on a . The case $a = 1$ is Lemma 4.5. For the induction step, assume $a \geq 2$, and let $\lambda_i \vdash k_i$, $\deg(\sigma_i) = d_i$. Set $r := k_1 d_1$, $s := n - r$, $A := GL_r$, $B := GL_s$, $A_1 := R_r$, $B_1 := R_s$, and

$$U := L(\sigma_1, \lambda^{(1)}) \in \text{IBr}(A), \quad W := (L(\sigma_2, \lambda^{(2)}) \circ \dots \circ L(\sigma_a, \lambda^{(a)})) \in \text{IBr}(B).$$

As $\ell^c | (\lambda^{(i)})'$ for all i , we have $\ell^c | \gcd(r, q-1)$ and $\ell^c | \gcd(s, q-1)$. By the inductive assumption,

$$u := \kappa_{A_1 \times B}(U \otimes W) = \ell^\alpha \geq \ell^c,$$

and

$$v := \kappa_{A \times B_1}(U \otimes W) = \ell^\beta \geq \ell^c.$$

Choose $x \in GL_r$, $y \in GL_s$ such that $\langle \det(x) \rangle = O_\ell(\mathbb{F}_q^\times)$ and $\det(y) = \det(x)^{-1}$. Then $\bar{x} := xA_1$ generates A/A_1 , $\bar{y} := yB_1$ generates B/B_1 , and $A/A_1 \simeq B/B_1$.

Next, consider the standard parabolic subgroup $P = QL < GL_n$ with Levi subgroup $L := GL_r \times GL_s$. Note that $K := L \cap R_n = \langle A_1, B_1, xy \rangle$, i.e. $K/(A_1 \times B_1) = \langle \bar{x}\bar{y} \rangle$. By Lemma 3.6,

$$\kappa_K(U \otimes W) = \gcd(u, v) = \gcd(\ell^\alpha, \ell^\beta) \geq \ell^c.$$

Now $V = U \circ W$ and $(R_n : QK) = (GL_n : P)$, so

$$V \downarrow_{R_n} \simeq (\text{infl}_K^{QK}(U \otimes W)) \uparrow^{R_n}.$$

Hence $\kappa_{R_n}(V) \geq \kappa_K(U \otimes W) \geq \ell^c$. \square

Theorem 4.7. *Let $V = L(\sigma_1, \lambda^{(1)}) \circ \dots \circ L(\sigma_a, \lambda^{(a)})$ be an irreducible $\mathbb{F}GL_n$ -representation, where the σ_i are ℓ' -elements. Set $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(a)})$. Then $\kappa_{R_n}(V) = \gcd\{(GL_n : R_n), \Delta(\underline{\lambda}')\}$.*

Proof. Note that $\gcd\{(GL_n : R_n), \Delta(\underline{\lambda}')\}$ is some power $\ell^{c(V)}$, which divides $\gcd(n, q-1)$, and all $(\lambda^{(i)})'$. By Theorem 4.6, we have $\kappa_{R_n}(V) \geq \ell^{c(V)}$.

To see that $\kappa_{R_n}(V) = \ell^{c(V)}$ for each V , we apply a counting argument. First apply Lemma 3.8 with $S = B = R_n$ and $G = A = GL_n$ to conclude that the set of all irreducible summands of the restriction $W \downarrow_{R_n}$, as W runs over the isomorphism classes $[W]$ of irreducible $\mathbb{F}GL_n$ -modules, is exactly the set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of the irreducible $\mathbb{F}R_n$ -modules. Hence it suffices to show that the number of ℓ -regular conjugacy classes in R_n equals $\sum_{[W]} \ell^{c(W)}$.

In order to compute the number of ℓ -regular conjugacy classes in R_n , we recall first that such classes in GL_n are parametrized by the pairs $(s, \underline{\mu})$ where $s \in \mathcal{S}_{\ell'}$ and $\underline{\mu} \vdash \underline{k}(s)$. Moreover, by Proposition 2.4, such class splits into exactly $\gcd((GL_n : R_n), \Delta(\underline{\mu}))$ R_n -conjugacy classes, which is precisely

$c(W)$ for the irreducible $\mathbb{F}GL_n$ -module $W = L(s, \underline{\mu}')$. We conclude that the total number of ℓ -regular conjugacy classes in R_n equals $\sum_{[W]} \ell^{c(W)}$. \square

4.3. Proof of Theorem 1.1. We first apply Lemma 3.3 to the case $G = GL_n$ and $S = SL_n$. In particular $B = R_n$. We conclude that $\kappa_S(V) = \kappa_A(V) \cdot \kappa_B(V)$. But by Lemmas 4.1 and 3.2(i), $\kappa_A(V) = \kappa_{\ell'}(\mathfrak{s})$. On the other hand, by Theorem 4.7, $\kappa_B(V) = \kappa_{\ell}(\mathfrak{s})$. The proof is complete.

5. AN APPLICATION: IRREDUCIBLE REDUCTIONS MODULO ℓ

Here we classify complex representations of $SL_n(q)$ irreducible modulo ℓ .

5.1. A canonical composition factor. We will show that reduction modulo ℓ of $L_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{s})$ has a canonical composition factor $L(\mathfrak{s}^*)$. The proof of the following lemma is an easy exercise.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\alpha^{(i)}, \beta^{(i)} \vdash n_i$ with $\alpha^{(i)} \supseteq \beta^{(i)}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Then:*

- (i) $\alpha^{(1)} + \dots + \alpha^{(m)} \supseteq \beta^{(1)} + \dots + \beta^{(m)}$;
- (ii) if $\alpha^{(1)} + \dots + \alpha^{(m)} = \beta^{(1)} + \dots + \beta^{(m)}$ then $\alpha^{(i)} = \beta^{(i)}$ for all i . \square

Lemma 5.2. *Any composition factor of $V_{\mathbb{C}} := L_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma, \alpha^{(1)}) \circ \dots \circ L_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma, \alpha^{(m)})$ is of the form $L_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma, \lambda)$ with $\lambda' \trianglelefteq \sum_{i=1}^m (\alpha^{(i)})'$. Moreover, $L_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma, \lambda)$ is a multiplicity one composition factor of $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ if $\sum_{i=1}^m (\alpha^{(i)})' = \lambda'$.*

Proof. By [10], [18], or [4], we have

$$L_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma, \alpha) \circ L_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma, \beta) = \sum_{\gamma \vdash |\alpha| + |\beta|} a_{\alpha\beta\gamma} L_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma, \gamma),$$

where $a_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ are Littlewood-Richardson coefficients. Now the result follows by induction on m using associativity of Harish-Chandra induction and well-known properties of Littlewood-Richardson coefficients. \square

Lemma 5.3. *If σ be an ℓ' -element, then every composition factor of $V := L(\sigma, \lambda^{(1)}) \circ \dots \circ L(\sigma, \lambda^{(m)})$ is $L(\sigma, \gamma)$, with multiplicity one, or $L(\sigma, \eta)$ with $\eta \supseteq \gamma$ and $\eta \neq \gamma$, where $\sum_{i=1}^m (\lambda^{(i)})' = \gamma'$.*

Proof. Let $k = \deg(\sigma)$. The unitriangularity of the submatrix $\Delta(\sigma, k)$ (see [10]) of the decomposition matrix of GL_{kd} implies that in the Grothendieck group of $\mathbb{F}GL_{kd}$ -modules we have

$$L(\sigma, \lambda^{(i)}) = \overline{L_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma, \lambda^{(i)})} + \sum_{\alpha \supseteq \lambda^{(i)}, \alpha \neq \lambda^{(i)}} x_{i\alpha} \overline{L_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma, \alpha)} \quad (x_{i\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Hence

$$V = \overline{V_{\mathbb{C}}} + \sum y_{\alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(m)}} \overline{L_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma, \alpha^{(1)}) \circ \dots \circ L_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma, \alpha^{(m)})}, \quad (5.1)$$

where the sum is over all $\alpha^{(i)} \supseteq \lambda^{(i)}$ such that $\alpha^{(j)} \neq \lambda^{(j)}$ for at least one j , $V_{\mathbb{C}} = L_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma, \lambda^{(1)}) \circ \dots \circ L_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma, \lambda^{(m)})$ and $y_{\alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(m)}} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Assume that $L(\sigma, \eta)$ is a composition factor of some summand

$$\overline{L_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma, \alpha^{(1)}) \circ \dots \circ L_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma, \alpha^{(m)})}$$

in the summation in (5.1). Then by Lemma 5.2, $L(\sigma, \eta)$ is a composition factor of some $\overline{L_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma, \beta)}$, where $\sum_{i=1}^m (\alpha^{(i)})' \trianglerighteq \beta'$. Then $\eta \trianglerighteq \beta$, whence $\beta' \trianglerighteq \eta'$. But $(\lambda^{(i)})' \trianglerighteq (\alpha^{(i)})'$ for each i (since $\alpha^{(i)} \trianglerighteq \lambda^{(i)}$). So by Lemma 5.1(i),

$$\sum_{i=1}^m (\lambda^{(i)})' \trianglerighteq \sum_{i=1}^m (\alpha^{(i)})' \trianglerighteq \beta' \trianglerighteq \eta'.$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^m (\lambda^{(i)})' = \gamma'$ by the choice of γ , we get $\eta \trianglerighteq \gamma$. In particular, if $\eta = \gamma$, then Lemma 5.1(ii) implies that $(\lambda^{(i)})' = (\alpha^{(i)})'$, and so $\lambda^{(i)} = \alpha^{(i)}$ for all i , a contradiction.

On the other hand, by Lemma 5.2, $L_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma, \gamma)$ is a multiplicity one composition factor of $V_{\mathbb{C}}$, with other composition factors of the form $L_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma, \mu)$ for $\mu \trianglerighteq \gamma$, $\mu \neq \gamma$. Finally, $\overline{L_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma, \mu)}$ contains $L(\sigma, \mu)$ as a multiplicity one composition factor, with other factors of the form $L(\sigma, \eta)$ for $\eta \trianglerighteq \mu$, $\eta \neq \mu$. So the right hand of (5.1) contains $L(\sigma, \gamma)$ with multiplicity one, and all other composition factors are of the form $L(\sigma, \eta)$ with $\eta \trianglerighteq \gamma$ and $\eta \neq \gamma$, as stated. \square

Theorem 5.4. *Let $V = L_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{s})$ be an irreducible $\mathbb{C}GL_n$ -module. Then $V(\text{mod } \ell)$ has $L(\mathfrak{s}^*)$ as a composition factor with multiplicity one.*

Proof. In the notation of §1.2, assume that the ℓ' -parts s_i of σ_i are conjugate to s_1 precisely when $i \in \{j_1 = 1, j_2, \dots, j_b\}$. By [10], the Brauer character of

$$L_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma_{j_1}, \lambda^{(j_1)}) \circ \dots \circ L_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma_{j_b}, \lambda^{(j_b)})(\text{mod } \ell)$$

is a sum of the Brauer character of $W_1 := L(s_1, \mu^{(j_1)}) \circ \dots \circ L(s_1, \mu^{(j_b)})$ with some other Brauer character ψ , where $(\mu^{(i)})' = k_i(\lambda^{(i)})'$. By Lemma 5.3, W_1 has $L(s_1, \delta^{(1)})$ as a multiplicity one composition factor (see §1.2). On the other hand, using [9],

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{L_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma_{j_i}, \lambda^{(j_i)})} &= L(\sigma_{j_i}, \lambda^{(j_i)}) + \sum_{\beta^{(j_i)} \trianglerighteq \lambda^{(j_i)}, \beta^{(j_i)} \neq \lambda^{(j_i)}} x_{\beta^{(j_i)}}^i L(\sigma_{j_i}, \beta^{(j_i)}) \\ &= L(s_1, \mu^{(j_i)}) + \sum_{\nu^{(j_i)} \trianglerighteq \lambda^{(j_i)}, \nu^{(j_i)} \neq \lambda^{(j_i)}} x_{\nu^{(j_i)}}^i L(s_1, \nu^{(j_i)}), \end{aligned}$$

where $x_{\beta^{(j_i)}}^i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(\nu^{(j_i)})' = k_{j_i}(\beta^{(j_i)})' \trianglelefteq (\mu^{(j_i)})'$. Hence, by Lemma 5.3, any composition factor of ψ is of the form $L(s_1, \gamma)$, where $\gamma \trianglerighteq \delta^{(1)}$ but $\gamma \neq \delta^{(1)}$. Now the result comes from repeating this argument for all other conjugate classes of s_i . \square

5.2. The James-Mathas Theorem. $\mathbb{C}GL_n$ -modules irreducible modulo ℓ have been classified by James and Mathas. For reader's convenience, we reformulate the result here adapting to the notation of §1.2.

Theorem 5.5. [19, Theorem (4.33)] *The reduction $L_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{s})(\text{mod } \ell)$ is irreducible if and only the following two conditions hold:*

(JM1) *If $i \neq j$ and $\deg(\sigma_i) = \deg(\sigma_j)$, then $[s_i] \neq [s_j]$;*

(JM2) *For all i and all nodes (a, c) and (b, c) in the Young diagram of $\lambda^{(i)}$, the corresponding hook lengths h_{ac} and h_{bc} satisfy the condition*

$$\left(\frac{q^{h_{acf}} - 1}{q^f - 1} \right)_{\ell} = \left(\frac{q^{h_{bcf}} - 1}{q^f - 1} \right)_{\ell}$$

where $f := \deg(\sigma_i)$.

A partition λ will be called a *JM-partition* if it satisfies the condition (JM2) for some power $q^f > 1$. (Empty partition is a JM-partition).

Lemma 5.6. *Let $\ell|(q-1)$ and $\lambda, \lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(m)}, \mu, \mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(n)}$ be JM-partitions, with all the $\lambda^{(i)}, \mu^{(j)}$ non-empty. Then:*

- (i) *if $\lambda' \equiv \mu' \pmod{\ell}$, then $\lambda = \mu$;*
- (ii) *if $\ell|\lambda'$, then λ is empty;*
- (iii) *if $0 \leq a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_m, 0 \leq b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_n$ are integers and $\sum_{i=1}^m \ell^{a_i} (\lambda^{(i)})' = \sum_{j=1}^n \ell^{b_j} (\mu^{(j)})'$, then $m = n$ and $a_i = b_i, \lambda^{(i)} = \mu^{(i)}$ for all i .*

Proof. (i) Adding empty columns to λ and μ , we may assume that both λ and μ have c columns and the c th column of both is empty. We prove by induction on $i = 0, 1, \dots, c$, that $\lambda'_{c-i} = \mu'_{c-i}$. The induction base is clear. So suppose $\lambda'_j = \mu'_j$ for $c-k+1 \leq j \leq c$, and prove that $\lambda'_{c-k} = \mu'_{c-k}$. By assumption $\lambda'_{c-k} \equiv \mu'_{c-k} \pmod{\ell}$, and we may assume $\lambda'_{c-k} \geq \mu'_{c-k}$. So $\lambda'_{c-k} \geq \mu'_{c-k} + \ell$. Then we have

$$\lambda'_{c-k+1} = \mu'_{c-k+1} \leq \mu'_{c-k} \leq \lambda'_{c-k} - \ell.$$

Hence, setting $b := \lambda'_{c-k}$ and $a := b - \ell + 1$, we have $h_{b,c-k} = 1$ and $h_{a,c-k+1} = \ell$. Now, λ satisfies the condition (JM2) for some $Q := q^f > 1$. As $\ell|(q-1)$, we have $\ell|(Q-1)$, so $(Q^{h_{b,c-k}} - 1)/(Q-1) = 1$, but $(Q^{h_{a,c-k}} - 1)/(Q-1) = (Q^\ell - 1)/(Q-1)$ is divisible by ℓ , contradicting (JM2).

(ii) follows from (i) by taking $\mu = \emptyset$.

(iii) Adding empty partitions, we may assume that $m = n$ and $a_i = b_i = i - 1$. The equality $\sum_{i=1}^n \ell^{i-1} (\lambda^{(i)})' = \sum_{i=1}^n \ell^{i-1} (\mu^{(i)})'$ now implies $(\lambda^{(1)})' \equiv (\mu^{(1)})' \pmod{\ell}$. By (i), we get $\lambda^{(1)} = \mu^{(1)}$. Now we have $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \ell^{i-1} (\lambda^{(i+1)})' = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \ell^{i-1} (\mu^{(i+1)})'$, and the claim follows by induction on n . \square

5.3. Relations between branching numbers.

Lemma 5.7. *Let $\sigma, \sigma' \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times$, $\sigma_\ell = u$, $\sigma_{\ell'} = s$, $\sigma'_\ell = u'$, $\sigma'_{\ell'} = s'$, and $[\sigma\tau] = [\sigma']$ for some $\tau \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$. Then $\deg(\sigma) = \deg(\sigma')$. Moreover:*

- (i) *if τ is an ℓ -element then $[s] = [s']$;*
- (ii) *if τ is an ℓ' -element then $[s\tau] = [s']$ and $\deg(s) = \deg(s')$.*

Proof. The first statement is clear. By assumption, $\sigma\tau = (\sigma')^{q^i}$ for some $i \geq 0$. Then in (i) we have that $\tau u (u')^{-q^i} = (s')^{q^i} s^{-1}$ is both an ℓ -element and an ℓ' -element and so it must be 1. Hence $s = (s')^{q^i}$, i.e. $[s] = [s']$. In (ii) we have that $u (u')^{-q^i} = (s')^{q^i} s^{-1} \tau^{-1}$ is both an ℓ -element and an ℓ' -element and so it must be 1. It follows that $s\tau = (s')^{q^i}$, i.e. $[s\tau] = [s']$. \square

Lemma 5.8. *If $\sigma \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times$, $s = \sigma_{\ell'}$, $\deg(\sigma) = kd$, and $\deg(s) = d$, then the order of the group $I = \{\tau \in O_\ell(\mathbb{F}_q^\times) \mid [\sigma] = [\sigma\tau]\}$ divides $\gcd(k, q-1)_\ell$. In fact, $|I| = \gcd(k, q-1)_\ell$, except for the case where $\ell = 2$, $q^d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $k = 2$, and the ℓ -part u of σ has order ≥ 8 . In the exceptional case $|I| = 1$.*

Proof. We may assume that $\ell|(q-1)$. For $\tau \in I$ we have $su\tau = \sigma\tau = (\sigma)^{q^j} = s^{q^j}u^{q^j}$ for some $j \geq 0$. So $s^{1-q^j} = u^{q^j-1}\tau^{-1} = 1$. As $\deg(s) = d$, we must have $d|j$. Hence, using the facts that $\tau^q = \tau$ and $\deg(\sigma) = kd$, we get

$$\tau^k = \tau^{1+q^j+\dots+q^{(k-1)j}} = \left(\sigma^{q^j-1}\right)^{1+q^j+\dots+q^{(k-1)j}} = \sigma^{q^{kj}-1} = 1.$$

Now $|I|$ divides $N := \gcd(k, q-1)_\ell$, as I is a cyclic ℓ -subgroup of \mathbb{F}_q^\times .

The second statement is now obvious if $k = 1$, so we may assume by [9] that $k = \ell^a$ and $N = \ell^b$ for some integers $a \geq b \geq 1$. Suppose first that $\ell \neq 2$ if $q^d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Then by Lemma 4.4, for any integers $c, m \geq 1$, $(q^{md\ell^c} - 1)/(q^{md} - 1)$ has the ℓ -part equal to ℓ^c . Set

$$\tau = \sigma^{q^{d\ell^a-b}-1} = u^{q^{d\ell^a-b}-1}.$$

In particular, τ is an ℓ -element. Since $\deg(\sigma) = d\ell^a$ and the ℓ -part of $(q^{d\ell^a} - 1)/(q^{d\ell^a-b} - 1)$ is ℓ^b , we see that $\tau^{\ell^b} = 1$. But $\ell^b|(q-1)$, hence $\tau^{q-1} = 1$ and so $\tau \in I$. On the other hand, if $\tau^{\ell^{b-1}} = 1$, then, since the ℓ -part of $(q^{d\ell^{a-1}} - 1)/(q^{d\ell^{a-b}} - 1)$ is ℓ^{b-1} , we must have

$$\sigma^{q^{d\ell^{a-1}}-1} = \tau^{(q^{d\ell^{a-1}}-1)/(q^{d\ell^{a-b}}-1)} = 1,$$

contrary to the equality $\deg(\sigma) = \ell^a d$. Thus $|\tau| = \ell^b = N$ and so $|I| = N$.

Now let $\ell = 2$ and $q^d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$; in particular, $N = 2$. First we consider the case where either $a \geq 2$, or $a = 1$ but $|u| < 8$ (hence $|u| = 4$). Set

$$\tau = \sigma^{q^{2^{a-1}d}-1} = u^{q^{2^{a-1}d}-1}.$$

Then $\tau \neq 1$ since $\deg(\sigma) = 2^a d$. On the other hand, if $a \geq 2$, then the 2-part of $(q^{2^a d} - 1)/(q^{2^{a-1}d} - 1)$ is 2 and so $\tau^2 = 1$, whence $\tau \in I$ and $|I| = N$. If $a = 1$ and $|u| = 4$, then $\tau^2 = u^{2(q^d-1)} = 1$, and again $|I| = N$.

Finally, if $a = 1$ and $I \ni \tau \neq 1$, then $\tau = -1$ and $-\sigma = (\sigma)^{q^j}$ for some j , $1 \leq j < 2d$. As above, $d|j$, so $j = d$. Hence $-1 = (\sigma)^{q^d-1} = u^{q^d-1}$. Since $q^d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and u is a 2-element, we conclude that $|u| = 4$. \square

Let $V_{\mathbb{C}} = L_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{s})$ be an arbitrary irreducible $\mathbb{C}GL_n$ -module. By Theorem 1.1, $\kappa_{SL_n}^{GL_n}(V_{\mathbb{C}}) = \{\tau \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times \mid \tau \cdot \mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}\}$. Denote the ℓ -part (resp. ℓ' -part) of this number by $\kappa_\ell(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ (resp. $\kappa_{\ell'}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$). Thus $\kappa_{SL_n}^{GL_n}(V_{\mathbb{C}}) = \kappa_\ell(V_{\mathbb{C}})\kappa_{\ell'}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$.

Proposition 5.9. *Let $V_{\mathbb{C}} = L_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{s})$. Then:*

- (i) $\kappa_\ell(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ divides $\kappa_\ell(\mathfrak{s}^*)$.
- (ii) Assume in addition that $V_{\mathbb{C}} \pmod{\ell}$ is irreducible. If \mathfrak{s} is not critical, then $\kappa_\ell(V_{\mathbb{C}}) = \kappa_\ell(\mathfrak{s}^*)$. If \mathfrak{s} is critical, then $\kappa_\ell(V_{\mathbb{C}}) = 1$ and $\kappa_\ell(\mathfrak{s}^*) = 2$.

Proof. (i) Let τ be a generator of the group $I := \{\sigma \in O_\ell(\mathbb{F}_q^\times) \mid \sigma \cdot \mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}\}$. Then $\kappa_\ell(V_{\mathbb{C}}) = |\tau| = \ell^e$ for some e . We adopt the notation $\sigma_i = s_i u_i$, k_i , d_i , as in §1.2. By Lemma 5.7(i), if $[\sigma_i \tau] = [\sigma_j]$ then $[s_i] = [s_j]$. So the multiplication by τ preserves the set \mathcal{S}_1 of all $(\sigma_i, \lambda^{(i)})$ with $[s_i] = [s_1]$. Consider one τ -orbit $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{S}_1$, say τ permutes

$$(s_1 u_1, \lambda^{(1)}), (s_1 u_2, \lambda^{(1)}), \dots, (s_1 u_b, \lambda^{(1)})$$

cyclically (after a suitable relabeling of the $(\sigma_i, \lambda^{(i)})$), with $b = \ell^c$ for some $0 \leq c \leq e$. Then τ^b preserves $(s_1 u_1, \lambda^{(1)})$. By Lemma 5.8, $|\tau^b| = \ell^{e-c}$

divides $\gcd(k_1, q-1)_\ell$. Defining $\gamma_{\mathcal{O}} := (bk_1(\lambda^{(1)})')'$, we see that $\ell^e = |\tau|$ divides $(\gamma_{\mathcal{O}})'$. Notice that, in the definition of \mathfrak{s}^* , $(\delta^{(1)})'$ is just the sum of all the partitions $(\gamma_{\mathcal{O}})'$, when \mathcal{O} runs over all orbits inside \mathcal{S}_1 . Hence $\ell^e | (\delta^{(1)})'$. Similarly $\ell^e | (\delta^{(j)})'$ for all j , and of course ℓ^e divides n and $q-1$. So ℓ^e divides $\kappa_\ell(\mathfrak{s}^*)$.

(ii) is clear if $\ell \nmid (q-1)$, so let $\ell | (q-1)$. We apply Theorem 5.5 to $V_{\mathbb{C}}$. Note that each orbit \mathcal{O} as above has size 1, for, if $[\sigma_i \tau] = [\sigma_j]$, we saw that $\deg(\sigma_i) = \deg(\sigma_j)$, and $[s_i] = [s_j]$, whence $i = j$ by (JM1). So the multiplication by τ preserves each $([\sigma_i], \lambda^{(i)})$. Moreover, if $[s_i] = [s_j]$ for some $i \neq j$, then (JM1) implies that $k_i d_i \neq k_j d_j$, and so $k_i \neq k_j$ as $d_i = d_j$. So, if

$$\mathcal{S}_1 = \{(\sigma_{j_1}, \lambda^{(j_1)}), \dots, (\sigma_{j_b}, \lambda^{(j_b)})\},$$

then we may assume that $k_{j_1} < k_{j_2} < \dots < k_{j_b}$. On the other hand, by Lemma 5.6(ii), we have $\ell \nmid (\lambda^{(i)})'$ for all i . As the k_i are ℓ -powers, the exact ℓ -power dividing $(\delta^{(1)})' = \sum_{i=1}^b k_{j_i} (\lambda^{(j_i)})'$ is $\gcd(k_{j_1}, \dots, k_{j_b})$. A similar result for all $\delta^{(j)}$ yields

$$\kappa_\ell(\mathfrak{s}^*) = \gcd(q-1, k_1, \dots, k_a)_\ell. \quad (5.2)$$

Let $J_i := \{\sigma \in O_\ell(\mathbb{F}_q^\times) \mid [\sigma \sigma_i] = [\sigma_i]\}$. As noted above, $\tau \in J_i$ for each i , so $I = \cap_{i=1}^a J_i$. Assume $\ell \neq 2$ if $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. By Lemma 5.8, J_i is cyclic of order $\gcd(q-1, k_i)_\ell$, so $|I| = \gcd(q-1, k_1, \dots, k_a)_\ell$, as required. Finally, let $\ell = 2$ and $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Then $|I| \leq \kappa_\ell(\mathfrak{s}^*) \leq 2$. So $|I| \neq \kappa_\ell(\mathfrak{s}^*)$ if and only if $|I| = 1$ and $\kappa_\ell(\mathfrak{s}^*) = 2$. By (5.2), $\kappa_\ell(\mathfrak{s}^*) = 2$ if and only if all $k_i \geq 2$. On the other hand, since $I = \cap_{i=1}^a J_i$, and each J_i is a subgroup of the cyclic group $O_2(\mathbb{F}_q^\times)$, we have $|I| = 1$ exactly when $|J_j| = 1$ for some j . As $2 | k_j$, Lemma 5.8 implies that $|J_j| = 1$ precisely when $k_j = 2$, d_j is odd, and $|u_j| \geq 8$. We have shown that $|I| \neq \kappa_\ell(\mathfrak{s}^*)$ precisely when \mathfrak{s} is critical. \square

Proposition 5.10. *Let $V_{\mathbb{C}} = L_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{s})$. Then $\kappa_{\ell'}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ divides $\kappa_{\ell'}(\mathfrak{s}^*)$.*

Proof. Let $J := \{\nu \in O_{\ell'}(\mathbb{F}_q^\times) \mid \nu \cdot \mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}\} = \langle \rho \rangle$. We adopt the notation of §1.2. By Lemma 5.7(ii), if $[\sigma_i \rho] = [\sigma_j]$, then $[s_i \rho] = [s_j]$ and $k_i = k_j$. So the multiplication by ρ sends the set $\mathcal{S}_1 = \{(\sigma_i, \lambda^{(i)}) \mid [s_i] = [s_1]\}$ to some $\mathcal{S}_j = \{(\sigma_i, \lambda^{(i)}) \mid [s_i] = [s_j]\}$, where $[s_j] = [s_1 \rho]$. If

$$\mathcal{S}_1 = \{(\sigma_{i_1}, \lambda^{(i_1)}), \dots, (\sigma_{i_b}, \lambda^{(i_b)})\},$$

then

$$\mathcal{S}_j = \{(\rho \sigma_{i_1}, \lambda^{(i_1)}), \dots, (\rho \sigma_{i_b}, \lambda^{(i_b)})\},$$

and

$$(\delta^{(1)})' = \sum_{v=1}^b k_{i_v} (\lambda^{(i_v)})', \quad (\delta^{(j)})' = \sum_{v=1}^b k_{i_v} (\lambda^{(i_v)})'.$$

So ρ sends the component $(s_1, \delta^{(1)})$ of \mathfrak{s}^* to the component $(s_j, \delta^{(j)})$ of \mathfrak{s}^* . Thus J stabilizes \mathfrak{s}^* , and so $\kappa_{\ell'}(V_{\mathbb{C}}) = |J|$ divides $\kappa_{\ell'}(\mathfrak{s}^*)$. \square

5.4. Proof of Theorem 1.3. Set $G = GL_n$, $S = SL_n$, and $V_{\mathbb{C}} \downarrow_S = \sum_{i=1}^t W_{\mathbb{C}}^i$, a sum of $t = \kappa_{\ell'}(V_{\mathbb{C}}) \cdot \kappa_\ell(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ irreducibles. By Theorem 5.4, $V := L(\mathfrak{s}^*)$ is a composition factor of $V_{\mathbb{C}} \pmod{\ell}$. By Theorem 1.1, $V \downarrow_S$ is a sum of $t' := \kappa_{\ell'}(\mathfrak{s}^*) \cdot \kappa_\ell(\mathfrak{s}^*)$ irreducibles. By Propositions 5.10, 5.9(i), $t | t'$.

If $W_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{mod } \ell)$ is irreducible then $W_{\mathbb{C}}^i(\text{mod } \ell)$ are irreducible for all i . So $(V_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{mod } \ell))_{\downarrow S}$ has exactly t composition factors, and $t \geq t'$. As $t|t'$, we have $t = t'$ and $V_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{mod } \ell) = V$ is irreducible. Now $t = t'$ implies $\kappa_{\ell'}(V_{\mathbb{C}}) = \kappa_{\ell'}(\mathfrak{s}^*)$ and $\kappa_{\ell}(V_{\mathbb{C}}) = \kappa_{\ell}(\mathfrak{s}^*)$, whence \mathfrak{s} is not critical by Proposition 5.9(ii).

Conversely, if $V_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{mod } \ell)$ is irreducible, $\kappa_{\ell'}(\mathfrak{s}) = \kappa_{\ell'}(\mathfrak{s}^*)$, and \mathfrak{s} is not critical, then $\kappa_{\ell}(\mathfrak{s}) = \kappa_{\ell}(\mathfrak{s}^*)$ by Proposition 5.9(ii). So $V_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{mod } \ell) = V$, and $t = t'$. Hence $W_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{mod } \ell)$ is irreducible.

Example 5.11. (i) Let $V_{\mathbb{C}} = L_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{s})$, $W_{\mathbb{C}}$ be an irreducible constituent of $V_{\mathbb{C}} \downarrow_{SL_n}$, and all σ_i be ℓ' -elements. Then $V_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{mod } \ell)$ is irreducible over GL_n if and only if $W_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{mod } \ell)$ is irreducible over SL_n . Indeed, in this case $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}^*$ and that \mathfrak{s} is not critical. So the claim follows from Theorem 1.3.

(ii) The conditions (ii), (iii) of Theorem 1.3 cannot be relaxed. In the examples below $W_{\mathbb{C}} = V_{\mathbb{C}} \downarrow_{SL_n}$ is irreducible, but $W_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{mod } \ell)$ is reducible.

(a) Let $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $\ell = 2$, $2|n$, and d be an odd divisor of $n/2$. Pick $s \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q^{\times}$ to be of order $(q^d - 1)/2$. Then $\deg(s) = d$. Also, choose $u \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2d}}^{\times}$ of order ≥ 8 . Set $\mathfrak{s} = [[su], (n/2d)]$. Then $L_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{s})(\text{mod } \ell)$ is irreducible, $\kappa_{\ell'}(\mathfrak{s}) = \kappa_{\ell'}(\mathfrak{s}^*) = 1$ (as can be seen by direct computation), but \mathfrak{s} is critical.

(b) Let $r < \ell$ be primes with $\ell r | (q - 1)$. Pick an element $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$ of order r , and r distinct ℓ -elements $1 = t_1, t_2, \dots, t_r \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$. Define

$$\mathfrak{s} = [[[\varepsilon t_1], (n/r)], [[\varepsilon^2 t_2], (n/r)], \dots, [[\varepsilon^r t_r], (n/r)]].$$

Then $L_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{s})(\text{mod } \ell)$ is irreducible, \mathfrak{s} is not critical, but $\kappa_{\ell'}(\mathfrak{s}) = 1$ (by Lemma 5.7) and $\kappa_{\ell'}(\mathfrak{s}^*) = r$.

6. A UNITRIANGULAR DECOMPOSITION SUBMATRIX

We will need the following partial converse to Lemma 5.8:

Lemma 6.1. *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\ell^a | (q - 1)$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then there exists an ℓ -element $u = u(a, d) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q^{\times}$, depending only on a, d , such that, for any ℓ' -element $s \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q^{\times}$ of degree d , we have $\deg(su) = \ell^a d$ and the order of $I := \{t \in O_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_q^{\times}) \mid [su] = [sut]\}$ is ℓ^a .*

Proof. Taking $u = 1$ in the case $a = 0$, we may assume that $a \geq 1$. Let $P := O_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_{q^{d\ell^a}}^{\times})$ and $Q := O_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_{q^d}^{\times})$. Note that $Q < P$ via $\mathbb{F}_{q^d} \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^{d\ell^a}}$, P is cyclic, and ℓ^a divides $|P/Q|$. We will distinguish two cases.

Case 1: Either $\ell \neq 2$, or $\ell = 2$ but $q^d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Then $|P/Q| = \ell^a$ by Lemma 4.4, and we choose u to be a generator of P .

Case 2: $\ell = 2$ and $q^d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Then $Q = \langle -1 \rangle \simeq C_2$, $\ell^a = 2$, and we choose $u \in P$ such that $u^2 = -1$.

In either case, we have $u \in P$ with $u^{\ell^a} \in Q$ but $u^{\ell^{a-1}} \notin Q$. In particular,

$$u^{(q^d-1)\ell^a} = 1, \text{ but } u^{(q^d-1)\ell^{a-1}} \neq 1. \quad (6.1)$$

We show that $\deg(su) = d\ell^a$ for any s as in the assumption. Note that $e := \deg(su)$ is divisible by $d = \deg(s)$, as s is the ℓ' -part of su . Write $e = kd$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $k|\ell^a$ since $s, u \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{d\ell^a}}$. If $k < \ell^a$ then $k|\ell^{a-1}$, so

$$1 = (su)^{q^{d\ell^{a-1}} - 1} = u^{q^{d\ell^{a-1}} - 1}. \quad (6.2)$$

In Case 1, the ℓ -part of $\frac{q^{d\ell^a-1}-1}{q^d-1}$ is ℓ^{a-1} by Lemma 4.4. As u is an ℓ -element, (6.2) implies $u^{(q^d-1)\ell^{a-1}} = 1$, contrary to (6.1). In Case 2, we have $a = 1$, so (6.2) yields $1 = u^{q^d-1}$, again contradicting (6.1). Thus $k = \ell^a$ as needed.

Set $t := (su)^{q^d-1} = u^{q^d-1}$. Then $sut = (su)^{q^d}$ and so $[sut] = [su]$. By (6.1), $t^{\ell^a} = u^{(q^d-1)\ell^a} = 1$. But $\ell^a | (q-1)$, whence $t \in O_\ell(\mathbb{F}_q^\times)$ and so $t \in I$. Moreover, $t^{\ell^{a-1}} = u^{(q^d-1)\ell^{a-1}} \neq 1$ by (6.1). Thus $|t| = \ell^a$. On the other hand, $|I|$ divides $\gcd(\ell^a, q-1)_\ell = \ell^a$ by Lemma 5.8. So $|I| = \ell^a$. \square

Let $\mathfrak{L}/O_{\ell'}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ be the set of $O_{\ell'}(\mathbb{F}_q^\times)$ -orbits on \mathfrak{L} or a set of the orbit representatives depending on the context. We define a relation \supseteq on $\mathfrak{L}/O_{\ell'}(\mathbb{F}_q)$. First, for $\mathfrak{s} = [(\sigma_1, \lambda^{(1)}), \dots, (\sigma_a, \lambda^{(a)})]$ and $\mathfrak{t} = [(\tau_1, \mu^{(1)}), \dots, (\tau_b, \mu^{(b)})]$ in \mathfrak{L} , we set $\mathfrak{s} \supseteq \mathfrak{t}$ if $a = b$, and, after a suitable relabeling of the $(\sigma_i, \lambda^{(i)})$, there is $\nu \in O_{\ell'}(\mathbb{F}_q^\times)$ such that $[\sigma_i \nu] = [\tau_i]$ and $\lambda^{(i)} \supseteq \mu^{(i)}$ for all i . This relation factors through the action of $O_{\ell'}(\mathbb{F}_q^\times)$ to give a relation \supseteq on $\mathfrak{L}/O_{\ell'}(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Next, we define a relation \supseteq on $\text{IBr}(SL_n)$ as follows. By Corollary 1.2, $\text{IBr}(SL_n) = \{L(\mathfrak{s})_j \mid \mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{L}/O_{\ell'}(\mathbb{F}_q), 1 \leq j \leq \kappa_{\ell'}(\mathfrak{s})\kappa_\ell(\mathfrak{s})\}$. For each $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{L}/O_{\ell'}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ fix an arbitrary linear order \supseteq on the set

$$\{L(\mathfrak{s})_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq \kappa_{\ell'}(\mathfrak{s})\kappa_\ell(\mathfrak{s})\}.$$

If $\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{t} \in \mathfrak{L}/O_{\ell'}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, $\mathfrak{s} \neq \mathfrak{t}$, set $L(\mathfrak{s})_i \supseteq L(\mathfrak{t})_j$ if and only if $\mathfrak{s} \supseteq \mathfrak{t}$.

Lemma 6.2. \supseteq is a partial order on $\mathfrak{L}/O_{\ell'}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and on $\text{IBr}(SL_n)$.

Proof. First, consider \supseteq on $\mathfrak{L}/O_{\ell'}(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Clearly, it is reflexive and transitive. Assume in the above notation that $\mathfrak{s} \supseteq \mathfrak{t}$ and $\mathfrak{t} \supseteq \mathfrak{s}$. Then $a = b$, and, after a suitable relabeling, $[\sigma_i \nu] = [\tau_i]$ and $\lambda^{(i)} \supseteq \mu^{(i)}$ for all i and for some $\nu \in O_{\ell'}(\mathbb{F}_q^\times)$. Also, there is $\pi \in S_a$ such that $\mu^{(\pi(i))} \supseteq \lambda^{(i)}$ for all i . Thus

$$\lambda^{(1)} + \dots + \lambda^{(a)} \supseteq \mu^{(1)} + \dots + \mu^{(a)} = \mu^{(\pi(1))} + \dots + \mu^{(\pi(a))} \supseteq \lambda^{(1)} + \dots + \lambda^{(a)}.$$

By Lemma 5.1(ii), $\lambda^{(i)} = \mu^{(i)}$ for all i , i.e. \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{t} belong to the same $O_{\ell'}(\mathbb{F}_q^\times)$ -orbit. Thus \supseteq is anti-symmetric. Now, \supseteq on $\text{IBr}(SL_n)$ is clearly reflexive and transitive. If $L(\mathfrak{s})_i \supseteq L(\mathfrak{t})_j$ and $L(\mathfrak{t})_j \supseteq L(\mathfrak{s})_i$, we may assume $\mathfrak{s} \neq \mathfrak{t}$. Then $\mathfrak{s} \supseteq \mathfrak{t}$ and $\mathfrak{t} \supseteq \mathfrak{s}$, and so $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{t}$, a contradiction. \square

Proposition 6.3. Let $G = GL_n$, $S = SL_n$. For any $V \in \text{IBr}(G)$ there is $V_{\mathbb{C}} \in \text{Irr}(G)$ such that:

- (i) V is a composition factor of $V_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{mod } \ell)$;
- (ii) $\kappa_S^G(V) = \kappa_S^G(V_{\mathbb{C}})$;
- (iii) If W is an irreducible constituent of $V \downarrow_S$ then there is a unique irreducible constituent $W_{\mathbb{C}}$ of $(V_{\mathbb{C}}) \downarrow_S$ such that W is a multiplicity one composition factor of $W_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{mod } \ell)$ and all other composition factors U of $W_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{mod } \ell)$ satisfy $U \supseteq W$.

Proof. Write $V = L(\mathfrak{s})$, $\kappa_\ell(\mathfrak{s}) = \ell^c$ for $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and $\kappa_{\ell'}(\mathfrak{s}) = |J|$, where $J := \{\nu \in O_{\ell'}(\mathbb{F}_q^\times) \mid \nu \cdot \mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}\} = \langle \rho \rangle$. As ℓ^c divides each $(\lambda^{(i)})'$, we may choose $\mu^{(i)}$ with $(\lambda^{(i)})' = \ell^c(\mu^{(i)})'$. Next, consider a ρ -orbit

$$([\sigma_{j_1}], \lambda^{(j_1)}) \mapsto ([\sigma_{j_2}], \lambda^{(j_2)}) \mapsto \dots \mapsto ([\sigma_{j_b}], \lambda^{(j_b)}) \mapsto ([\sigma_{j_1}], \lambda^{(j_1)}). \quad (6.3)$$

By Lemma 5.7, the σ_{j_i} all have the same degree, say d . Also, because of (6.3) we may assume that $\sigma_{j_i} = \sigma_{j_1} \rho^{i-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq b$. Let $u := u(c, d)$ be

as in Lemma 6.1. Set $\tau_{j_m} = \sigma_{j_m} u(c, d)$ for $1 \leq m \leq b$. Do the same for all ρ -orbits on the set of components of \mathfrak{s} , and set $V_{\mathbb{C}} := L_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{t})$, where

$$\mathfrak{t} := [([\tau_1], \mu^{(1)}), ([\tau_2], \mu^{(2)}), \dots, ([\tau_a], \mu^{(a)})].$$

As $(\tau_i)_{\ell'} = \sigma_i$ and $\deg(\tau_i) = \ell^c \deg(\sigma_i)$ for all i , (i) holds by Theorem 5.4.

Next we show that $\kappa_{\ell'}(V_{\mathbb{C}}) = \kappa_{\ell'}(\mathfrak{s})$. If $I := \{\nu \in O_{\ell'}(\mathbb{F}_q^{\times}) \mid \nu \cdot \mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{t}\}$ then $\kappa_{\ell'}(V_{\mathbb{C}}) = |I|$. If $\nu \in I$ sends $([\tau_i], \mu^{(i)})$ to $([\tau_j], \mu^{(j)})$ then $\mu^{(i)} = \mu^{(j)}$ and $[\nu\sigma_i] = [\sigma_j]$ by Lemma 5.7(ii). Hence $\lambda^{(i)} = \lambda^{(j)}$ ν sends $([\sigma_i], \lambda^{(i)})$ to $([\sigma_j], \lambda^{(j)})$, i.e. $\nu \in J$. Thus $I \subset J$. To get the opposite inclusion we show that $\rho \in I$. This is obvious if $\ell \nmid (q-1)$ as then $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{s}$. So assume $\ell \mid (q-1)$ and consider a ρ -orbit as in (6.3). Then $\lambda^{(j_1)} = \dots = \lambda^{(j_b)}$, and so $\mu^{(j_1)} = \dots = \mu^{(j_b)}$. Next, it is clear that ρ sends τ_{j_i} to $\tau_{j_{i+1}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq b-1$. It remains to show $[\sigma_{j_1} \rho^b u(c, d)] = [\sigma_{j_1} u(c, d)]$. Denote $\sigma := \sigma_{j_1}$, $\beta := \rho^b$, $u := u(c, d)$, $k := |u|$. Then $[\sigma\beta] = [\sigma]$ by (6.3), whence $\sigma\beta = \sigma^{q^e}$ and so $\beta = \sigma^{q^e-1}$ for some $e \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Therefore

$$\beta^k = \beta^{1+q^e+\dots+q^{(k-1)e}} = \sigma^{q^{ke}-1} = (\sigma u)^{q^{ke}-1}.$$

The last equality holds because $\ell \mid (q-1)$ and so k divides $q^{ke}-1$ by Lemma 4.4. Thus $[\sigma u] = [(\sigma u)^{q^{ke}}] = [\sigma u \beta^k]$, i.e. β^k preserves $[\sigma u]$. But β is an ℓ' -element and k is an ℓ -power, hence β also preserves $[\sigma u]$, as required.

Now we show that $\kappa_{\ell}(V_{\mathbb{C}}) = \kappa_{\ell}(\mathfrak{s})$. Recall that $[(\tau_i)_{\ell'}] = [\sigma_i] \neq [\sigma_j] = [(\tau_j)_{\ell'}]$ whenever $i \neq j$. So by Lemma 5.7(i), $\gamma \in O_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_q^{\times})$ preserves \mathfrak{t} precisely when γ preserves each $[\tau_i]$, which by Lemma 6.1 happens exactly when $|\gamma|$ divides ℓ^c , whence the claim. In particular, we have established (ii).

By [10], in the Grothendieck group of $\mathbb{F}G$ -modules, we have

$$\overline{L_{\mathbb{C}}(\tau_i, \mu^{(i)})} = L(\tau_i, \mu^{(i)}) + \sum_{\nu^{(i)} \supseteq \mu^{(i)}, \nu^{(i)} \neq \mu^{(i)}} x_{\nu^{(i)}}^i L(\tau_i, \nu^{(i)})$$

for $x_{\nu^{(i)}}^i \in \mathbb{Z}$. As $[(\tau_i)_{\ell'}] = [\sigma_i]$ and $\deg(\tau_i) = \ell^c \deg(\sigma_i)$, by [9] we have

$$\overline{L_{\mathbb{C}}(\tau_i, \mu^{(i)})} = L(\sigma_i, \lambda^{(i)}) + \sum_{\nu^{(i)} \supseteq \mu^{(i)}, \nu^{(i)} \neq \mu^{(i)}} x_{\nu^{(i)}}^i L(\sigma_i, \eta^{(i)}),$$

where $(\eta^{(i)})' = \ell^c (\nu^{(i)})'$. As $\nu^{(i)} \supseteq \mu^{(i)}$, we have $(\lambda^{(i)})' = \ell^c (\mu^{(i)})' \supseteq \ell^c (\nu^{(i)})' = (\eta^{(i)})'$, i.e. $\eta^{(i)} \supseteq \lambda^{(i)}$. As everything is true for every $1 \leq i \leq a$, we have

$$\bar{V}_{\mathbb{C}} = V + \sum_{\eta^{(1)}, \dots, \eta^{(a)}} y_{\eta^{(1)}, \dots, \eta^{(a)}} L(\sigma_1, \eta^{(1)}) \circ \dots \circ L(\sigma_a, \eta^{(a)}), \quad (6.4)$$

for some $y_{\eta^{(1)}, \dots, \eta^{(a)}} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, $\eta^{(i)} \supseteq \lambda^{(i)}$ for all i and $\eta^{(j)} \neq \lambda^{(j)}$ for at least one j . Furthermore, each $V' := L(\sigma_1, \eta^{(1)}) \circ \dots \circ L(\sigma_a, \eta^{(a)}) \in \text{IBr}(G)$. By definition of \supseteq , for any irreducible constituent W' of $V' \downarrow_S$ and for any irreducible constituent W of $V \downarrow_S$, we have $W' \supseteq W$ and $W' \not\cong W$.

Also, by (ii), we may write $(V_{\mathbb{C}}) \downarrow_S = \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} W_{\mathbb{C}}^i$ and $V \downarrow_S = \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} W^i$, where $W_{\mathbb{C}}^i \in \text{Irr}(S)$, $W^i \in \text{IBr}(S)$, and $\kappa = \kappa_S^G(V)$. We may assume that W^1 is a composition factor of $W_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \pmod{\ell}$. Since $W_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ and W^1 have the same inertia group in G , we may relabel the $W_{\mathbb{C}}^i$ so that $W_{\mathbb{C}}^i = {}^{g_i} W_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ whenever $W^i = {}^{g_i} W^1$. Hence W^i is a composition factor of $W_{\mathbb{C}}^i \pmod{\ell}$ for all i . Now (iii) follows from (6.4) and the following remarks. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let W be an irreducible $\mathbb{F}SL_n$ -module. Then W is a constituent of $V \downarrow_{SL_n}$ for some $V \in \text{IBr}(GL_n)$. Now we apply Proposition 6.3 to W and define $\Theta(W) = W_{\mathbb{C}}$. \square

Taking intersection with any ℓ -block B of SL_n , we also get a block version of Theorem 1.4: *The decomposition submatrix of B , with respect to $\Theta(B \cap \text{Irr}(SL_n))$ and the order \succeq on $B \cap \text{IBr}(SL_n)$, is lower unitriangular.* In particular, $\Theta(B \cap \text{Irr}(SL_n))$ yields an ordinary basic set for B .

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aschbacher, On the maximal subgroups of the finite classical groups, *Invent. Math.* **76** (1984), 469–514.
- [2] D. Benson, Spin modules for symmetric groups, *J. London Math. Soc.* **38** (1988), 250 – 262.
- [3] C. Bonnafé, *Sur les caractères des groupes réductifs finis à centre non connexe: applications aux groupes spéciaux linéaires et unitaires*, Astérisque No. 306 (2006), vi+165 pp.
- [4] J. Brundan, R. Dipper, and A. S. Kleshchev, Quantum linear groups and representations of $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* **149** (2001), No. 706.
- [5] C.W. Curtis and I. Reiner, ‘*The Representation Theory of Finite Groups and Associative Algebras*’, Interscience, New York, 1962.
- [6] C.W. Curtis and I. Reiner, ‘*Methods of Representation Theory, I*’, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1981.
- [7] R. Dipper, On the decomposition numbers of the finite general linear groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **290** (1985), 315–344.
- [8] R. Dipper, On the decomposition numbers of the finite general linear groups. II, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **292** (1985), 123–133.
- [9] R. Dipper and G. James, Identification of the irreducible modular representations of $GL_n(q)$, *J. Algebra* **104** (1986), 266–288.
- [10] R. Dipper and G. James, The q -Schur algebra, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **59** (1989), 23–50.
- [11] L. Dornhoff, ‘*Group Representation Theory*’, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1972.
- [12] M. Fayers, On the irreducible representations of the alternating group which remain irreducible in characteristic p , *preprint*, 2006.
- [13] W. Feit, ‘*The Representation Theory of Finite Groups*’, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982.
- [14] B. Ford and A. S. Kleshchev, A proof of the Mullineux conjecture, *Math. Z.* **226** (1997), 267 – 308.
- [15] J. Gruber, The irreducible Brauer characters of the finite special linear groups in non-describing characteristics, *J. Algebra* **215** (1999), 73–113.
- [16] R. M. Guralnick and Pham Huu Tiep, Low-dimensional representations of special linear groups in cross characteristic, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **78** (1999), 116–138.
- [17] G. James, The irreducible representations of the finite general linear groups, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **52** (1986), 236–268.
- [18] G. James, The decomposition matrices of $GL_n(q)$ for $n \leq 10$, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **60** (1990), 225–265.
- [19] G. James and A. Mathas, A q -analogue of the Jantzen-Schaper theorem, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **74** (1997), 241–274.
- [20] A. Kleshchev, Branching rules for modular representations of symmetric groups III: some corollaries and a problem of Mullineux, *J. London Math. Soc.* **54** (1996), 25–38.
- [21] A. Kleshchev and Pham Huu Tiep, Representations of the general linear groups which are irreducible over subgroups, *preprint*, University of Florida, 2007.
- [22] G. I. Lehrer, The characters of the finite special linear groups, *J. Algebra* **26** (1973), 564–583.
- [23] L.L. Scott, Representations in characteristic p , *The Santa Cruz Conference on Finite Groups (Univ. California, Santa Cruz, Calif., 1979)*, pp. 319–331, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 37, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1980.

- [24] T. Springer, Characters of special groups, in: '*Seminar on Algebraic Groups and Related Finite Groups*', Springer Verlag, 1970, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 131, pp. 121–166.
- [25] R. Steinberg, *Lectures on Chevalley Groups*, Yale University, 1967.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON, EUGENE, OR 97403, USA
E-mail address: `klesh@uoregon.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA, GAINESVILLE, FL 32611,
USA

Since August 2008: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA, TUC-
SON, AZ 85721, USA
E-mail address: `tiep@math.arizona.edu`