# Curve Flows and Solitonic Hierarchies Generated by Einstein Metrics

Sergiu I. Vacaru<sup>∗</sup>

The Fields Institute for Research in Mathematical Science 222 College Street, 2d Floor, Toronto M5T 3J1, Canada and Faculty of Mathematics, University "Al. I. Cuza" Iași, 700506, Iași, Romania

August 18, 2008

#### Abstract

We investigate bi–Hamiltonian structures and mKdV hierarchies of solitonic equations generated by (semi) Riemannian metrics and curve flows of non–stretching curves. There are applied methods of the geometry of nonholonomic manifolds enabled with metric–induced nonlinear connection (N–connection) structure. On spacetime manifolds, we consider a nonholonomic splitting of dimensions and define a new class of liner connections which are 'N–adapted', metric compatible and uniquely defined by the metric structure. We prove that for such a linear connection, one yields couples of generalized sine–Gordon equations when the corresponding geometric curve flows result in solitonic hierarchies described in explicit form by nonholonomic wave map equations and mKdV analogs of the Schrödinger map equation. All geometric constructions can be re–defined for the Levi–Civita connection but with "noholonomic mixing" of solitonic interactions.

Keywords: Curve flow, (semi) Riemannian spaces, nonholonomic manifold, nonlinear connection, bi–Hamiltonian, solitonic equations.

MSC: 37K05, 37K10, 37K25, 35Q53, 53B20, 53B40, 53C21, 53C60

<sup>∗</sup>Sergiu.Vacaru@gmail.com

# 1 Introduction

The 'anholonomic frame method' [\[1,](#page-41-0) [2,](#page-41-1) [3,](#page-41-2) [4,](#page-41-3) [5\]](#page-41-4) was recently developed as a general geometric approach for constructing exact solutions in gravity and Ricci flow theory following the formalism of nonlinear connections and nonholonomic distributions in Riemann–Finsler geometry and applications in modern physics and mechanics [\[6,](#page-41-5) [7,](#page-41-6) [8\]](#page-41-7). In parallel, the differential geometry of plane and space curves received considerable attention in the theory of nonlinear partial differential equations and applications to modern physics [\[9,](#page-42-0) [10\]](#page-42-1). One proved that curve flows on Riemannian spaces of constant curvature are described geometrically by hierarchies defined by wave map equations and mKdV analogs of Schrödinger map equation. The main results on vector generalizations of KdV and mKdV equations and the geometry of their Hamiltonian structures are summarized in Refs. [\[11,](#page-42-2) [12,](#page-42-3) [13\]](#page-42-4), see also a recent work in [\[14,](#page-42-5) [15\]](#page-42-6).

In [\[16,](#page-42-7) [17\]](#page-42-8), the flows of non–stretching curves were analyzed using moving parallel frames and associated frame connection 1–forms in a symmetric spaces  $M = G/SO(n)$  and the structure equations for torsion and curvature encoding  $O(n-1)$  $O(n-1)$  $O(n-1)$ –invariant bi–Hamiltonian operators.<sup>1</sup> It was shown that the bi–Hamiltonian operators produce hierarchies of integrable flows of curves in which the frame components of the principal normal along the curve satisfy  $O(n-1)$ –soliton equations. The crucial condition for performing such constructions is that the frame curvature matrix is constant on the curved manifolds like  $M = G/SO(n)$ .

On a general (pseudo) Riemannian manifold, working only with the Levi– Civita connection, it is not possible to define in explicit form such systems of reference and coordinates when the curvature would be described completely by constant "matrix" coefficients and satisfy the conditions for solitonic encoding. One concluded that only for the (pseudo) Riemannian manifolds of constant curvature the geometric data on curve flows can be transformed into solitonic hierarchies.

Nevertheless, we argue that the geometry of (pseudo) Riemannian manifolds[2](#page-1-1) can be encoded into corresponding bi–Hamilton operators and solitonic hierarchies. This is possible if we work with an auxiliary class of metric compatible linear connections which are completely defined by a fixed met-

 ${}^{1}G$  is a compact semisimple Lie group with an involutive automorphism that leaves fixed a Lie subgroup  $SO(n) \subset G$ , for  $n \geq 2$ 

<span id="page-1-1"></span><span id="page-1-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> and a number of generalized Lagrange–Finsler spaces and their Ricci flows into (pseudo) Riemannian, Eisenhart–Moffat, nonholonomic Fedosov, noncommutative and other structures, see recent results and references in [\[3,](#page-41-2) [4,](#page-41-3) [18,](#page-42-9) [19,](#page-42-10) [5\]](#page-41-4)

ric structure (in a particular case, we can chose an exact solution of the Einstein equations in general relativity).

Let us explain the main idea for constructions with alternative linear connections defined by a fixed (pseudo) Riemannian/Einstein metric: For a metric **g**, there is a unique Levi–Civita connection  $\mathscr{F}\nabla$  satisfying the conditions of metricity,  ${}^{g}\nabla g = 0$ , and vanishing torsion,  ${}_{\nabla}T = 0.^3$  ${}_{\nabla}T = 0.^3$  From the same metric, we can construct an infinite number of metric compatible linear connections  $\{ \,^{\mathbf{g}}\mathbf{D} \}$ , satisfying the conditions

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
{}^{g}Dg = 0, \text{ when } {}^{g}D = {}^{g}\nabla + {}^{g}Z. \tag{1}
$$

The distorsion tensor  $Z$  (for metric compatible linear connections, this tensor is an algebraic combination of the coefficients of torsion  $_{D}^{\mathbf{g}}\mathbf{T})$  is computed in explicit form: it is defined only by the coefficients of g if a well defined geometric principle is introduced into consideration (such a principle has to be different from the condition of zero torsion). For instance, for deformation quantization of the Einstein gravity [\[19\]](#page-42-10), it was important to construct a canonical almost Kähler connection  $\frac{g}{K}\mathbf{D}$  in a form to be compatible with the so-called canonical almost symplectic structure  $\mathcal{L}_{\theta}(\text{constructed})$ from the coefficients of **g**), when  $\frac{g}{K}D$  **g** =  $\frac{g}{K}D$  ( $g\theta$ ) = 0. We worked with a nontrivial torsion structure  $\mathbf{g}^{\mathbf{g}}\mathbf{T} \neq 0$ , which was very important for a generalization of Fedosov quantization. Nevertheless, we emphasize that all geometric constructions could be redefined equivalently for the Levi–Civita connection  $\mathbf{g} \nabla$  because  $\mathbf{g}^{\mathbf{g}}$  is also constructed only from the metric coefficients. Such a torsion field is completely different from that (for instance) in Riemann–Cartan or string gravity, where torsion is considered as a new physical field subjected to additional field equations, see discussion in [\[7\]](#page-41-6).

In this article, we shall work with two metric compatible linear connections  $\mathscr{F}\nabla$  and  $\mathscr{F}\mathbf{D}$ , both constructed from the coefficients of a metric **g**, when the curvature tensor for the second connection can be represented by a constant coefficients matrix (with respect to a well–defined frame structure). Following the geometry of curve flows defined by the connection  ${}^{\mathbf{g}}\mathbf{D}$ , we shall derive the corresponding bi–Hamiltonian structure and related solitonic hierarchies. This way, having encoded the geometrical data for g and  $\,^8\text{D}$  into solitonic equations (and their solutions), we shall be able to re–define them for **g** and  $\mathbf{g}\nabla$ , computing  $\mathbf{g}\mathbf{Z}$  and using the distorsion relation  $\mathbf{g} \nabla = \mathbf{g} \mathbf{D} - \mathbf{g} \mathbf{Z}$  [\(1\)](#page-2-1). Such constructions were formally introduced for certain classes of connections in Finsler geometry but they can be similarly performed for (pseudo) Riemannian spaces and their nonholonomic

<span id="page-2-0"></span> $3$ we shall use left "up" and "low" labels in order to emphasize that certain geometric objects are defined by a fixed metric, connection or other fields

deformations. We shall follow the formalism and conventions established in Ref.  $[2]$ , see also reviews of results in  $[7, 8, 1]$  $[7, 8, 1]$  $[7, 8, 1]$ , on the geometry of nonholonomic manifolds, Finsler–Lagrange methods and applications to modern physics. The approach originates from the geometry of nonlinear connections (N–connections) and Finsler geometry and generalizations formally developed on tangent bundles and manifolds enabled with generalized connections and applications in mechanics, see summaries of results and references from [\[6,](#page-41-5) [20\]](#page-42-11).

The aim of this paper is to prove that respective curve flow solitonic hierarchies are generated by any (semi) Riemannian metric  $\mathbf{g}_{\alpha\beta}$  on a manifold V of dimension  $n+m$ , for  $n \geq 2$  and  $m \geq 1$ , if such a space is enabled with a nonholonomic distribution defining a spacetime splitting. [4](#page-3-0) For such distributions with associated nonlinear connection (N–connection) structure, we can define certain classes of frame and linear connection nonholonomic deformations when the curvature is characterized by constant matrix coefficients. This allows us to derive the corresponding hierarchies of gravitational solitonic equations and conservation laws. We also prove that any solution of the Einstein equations (a vacuum one, or with nontrivial cosmological constant) can be encoded into such solitonic hierarchies.

The paper is organized as follows:

In section 2 we outline the geometry of N–adapted frame transforms on (pseudo) Riemannian spaces enabled with N–connection structure. We emphasize the possibility to work equivalently with different classes of linear connections (the Levi–Civita and various N–adapted ones) completely defined by a metric structure  $\mathbf{g}_{\alpha\beta}$  for a prescribed splitting  $n + m$ . We show how alternative linear connections with constant Riemannian tensor matrix coefficients can be derived from a (pseudo) Riemannian metric. A class of nonholonomic Einstein spaces is analyzed.

In section 3 we consider curve flows on nonholonomic (pseudo) Riemannian spaces. It is constructed a class of nonholonomic Klein spaces for which the bi–Hamiltonian operators are derived for a linear connection adapted to the nonlinear connection structure, for which the distinguished curvature coefficients are constant.

Section 4 is devoted to the formalism of distinguished bi–Hamiltonian operators and vector soliton equations for arbitrary (semi) Riemannian spaces. We define the basic equations for nonholonomic curve flows. Then we consider the properties of cosymplectic and symplectic operators adapted to

<span id="page-3-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> in physical literature, one uses the term "pseudo Riemannian" instead of "semi Riemannian"

the nonlinear connection structure. Finally, there are constructed solitonic hierarchies of bi–Hamiltonian anholonomic curve flows

We conclude the results in section 5. The Appendix contains necessary definitions and formulas from the geometry of nonholonomic manifolds.

# 2 Curvature Tensors with Constant Coefficients

The idea behind an alternative description of general relativity is to provide an equivalent re–formulation of geometric data for a (pseudo) Riemannian metric **g** and Levi–Civita connection  $\nabla$  (in brief, we write such data  $[g, \nabla]$ ), into a nonholonomic structure with  $[g, D]$ , where D is another metric compatible linear connection, also defined by g in a unique form.

In this section, we prove that for any (semi) Riemannian metric g on a nonholonomic manifold  $V$  enabled with a nonlinear connection (in brief, N–connection) structure N, defining a conventional spacetime splitting of dimension  $n + m$ , it is possible to construct a metric compatible linear connection  $\bf{D}$  with constant matrix coefficients of curvature, computed with respect to 'N–adapted' frames. We outline in Appendix the basic definitions and notations from the geometry of N–anholonomic Riemann manifolds, see details in [\[2,](#page-41-1) [7,](#page-41-6) [8\]](#page-41-7).

## 2.1 N–adapted frame transforms and (pseudo) Riemannian metrics

We consider a manifold **V** of necessary smooth class and dimension  $n + m$ , for  $n \geq 2$  and  $m \geq 1$ , enabled with a (semi) Riemannian metric structure, i. e. with a second rank tensor of constant signature  $^5$  $^5$  g, see local formulas  $(A.1)$ . On such a manifold, we can consider any  $n + m$  splitting defined by a prescribed nonlinear connection (N–connection) structure  $N$  with local coefficients  $N_i^a(x, y)$  [\(A.3\)](#page-36-0), for indices of type  $i, j, k, ... = 1, 2, ...n$  and  $a, b, c, ... = n + 1, n + 2, ..., n + m<sup>6</sup>$  $a, b, c, ... = n + 1, n + 2, ..., n + m<sup>6</sup>$  $a, b, c, ... = n + 1, n + 2, ..., n + m<sup>6</sup>$ 

Haven defined a frame and respective co–frame (dual) structures on  $V$ , denoted correspondingly  $e_{\alpha'} = (e_{i'}, e_{\alpha'})$  and  $e^{\beta'} = (e^{i'}, e^{a'})$ , we can consider

<span id="page-4-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>in physical literature, there are used equivalent terms like (pseudo) Riemannian or locally (pseudo )Euclidean/ Minskowski spaces

<span id="page-4-1"></span> $63+1$  and  $2+2$  splitting, with different types of variables, are considered in modern classical and quantum gravity; for instance, see discussion in Ref. [\[21\]](#page-43-0). Such a splitting establishes a local fibered structure (holonomic or nonholonomic) and allows us to introduce Hamilton–momentum like variables, or almost symplectic ones, which is convenient for definition of conservation laws and quantization schemes of certain classes of spacetimes.

(in general, nonholonomic) frame transforms

<span id="page-5-2"></span>
$$
e_{\alpha} = A_{\alpha}^{\alpha'}(x, y)e_{\alpha'} \text{ and } e^{\beta} = A_{\beta'}^{\beta}(x, y)e^{\beta'}.
$$
 (2)

There are two important particular cases: 1) we can work with coordinate bases (following our conventions, with underlined indices),  $e_{\alpha'} \Leftrightarrow e_{\alpha} = \partial_{\alpha} =$  $\partial/\partial u^{\underline{\alpha}}$  and  $e^{\beta'} \Leftrightarrow e^{\underline{\beta}} = du^{\underline{\beta}}$ , and their transform to arbitrary vielbeins  $e_{\alpha}$ and  $e^{\beta}$ ; 2) it is possible to introduce the so-called "N-adapted" bases  $\mathbf{e}_{\alpha}$  $(A.8)$  and cobases  $e^{\beta}$   $(A.9)$  using frame transforms  $(A.6)$  defined linearly by N–connection coefficients  $N_i^a$ .

Under nonholonomic frame transforms  $e_{\alpha'} \to e_{\alpha}$ , the metric coefficients of any metric structure  $g$  on  $V$  are computed following formulas

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
g_{\alpha\beta}(x,y) = A_{\alpha}^{\alpha'}(x,y) A_{\beta}^{\beta'}(x,y) g_{\alpha'\beta'}(x,y). \tag{3}
$$

For a fixed frame structure  $e_{\alpha'}$  on **V**, the formula [\(3\)](#page-5-0) defines 'nonholonomic deformations' of metrics,  $'g \rightarrow g$ . In a particular case, we can parametrize  $A_{\alpha}^{\alpha'} = \omega(x, y) \delta_{\alpha}^{\alpha'}$  and generate conformal transforms of metrics,  $g_{\alpha\beta} =$  $\omega^{2^{\alpha} \prime} g_{\alpha \beta}$ .[7](#page-5-1)

**Definition 2.1** A subclass of frame transforms  $(2)$  [or deformations of metrics [\(3\)](#page-5-0), for fixed "prime" and "target" frame structures] is called N–adapted if such nonholonomic transformations [deformations] preserve the  $n + m$ splitting defined by a N-connection structure  $\mathbf{N} = \{N_i^a\}.$ 

For instance, N–adapted deformations of metrics are parametrized by such  $A_{\alpha}^{\alpha'}$  $\alpha^{\alpha'}$  in [\(3\)](#page-5-0) when  $\mathbf{g}_{\alpha'\beta'} = [g_{i'j'}, g_{a'b'}] \rightarrow \mathbf{g}_{\alpha\beta} = [g_{ij}, g_{ab}]$ . In an alternative way, we can fix a metric structure  $g$  on  $V$  but consider N–adapted frame transforms [\(2\)](#page-5-2) preserving a locally prescribed frame structure.

<span id="page-5-3"></span>Lemma 2.1 For any fixed metric, g, and N–connection, N, structures, there are N–adapted frame transforms

<span id="page-5-4"></span>
$$
\mathbf{g} = g_{ij}(x, y) e^{i} \otimes e^{j} + h_{ab}(x, y) e^{a} \otimes e^{b},
$$
  
=  $g_{i'j'}(x, y) e^{i'} \otimes e^{j'} + h_{a'b'}(x, y) e^{a'} \otimes e^{b'},$  (4)

<span id="page-5-1"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>We shall use also equivalent denotations of type  $'_{\mathbf{g}_{\alpha\beta}}$ , instead of  $\mathbf{g}_{\alpha'\beta'}$ , or  $\mathbf{g}_{\alpha\beta}$ , instead of  $g_{\alpha\beta}$ , with the coefficients of the same metric computed with respect to different 'primed', or 'underlined", systems of reference (i.e. for frame transforms). In another turn, for a fixed frame structure both for the "prime" and "target" geometric configurations,  $g = \{g_{\alpha'\beta'}\}, g = \{g_{\alpha\beta}\}\$ and  $g = \{g_{\alpha\beta}\}\$ mean, in general, different metric structures related via certain nonholonomomic deformations of metrics [\(3\)](#page-5-0).

where  $e^a$  and  $e^{a'}$  are elongated following formulas [\(A.9\)](#page-37-1), respectively by  $N^a_{\;j}$ and

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
N_{\ j^{\prime}}^{a^{\prime}} = A_a^{\ a^{\prime}}(x, y) A^j_{\ j^{\prime}}(x, y) N_{\ j}^a(x, y), \tag{5}
$$

or, inversely,

<span id="page-6-3"></span>
$$
N^{a}_{\ j} = A_{a'}{}^{a}(x, y) A^{j'}_{\ j}(x, y) N^{a'}_{\ j'}(x, y) \tag{6}
$$

with prescribed  $N_{i}^{a'}$ ı′,<br>j′ ·

**Proof.** Any metric  $g(A.1)$  $g(A.1)$  on a (pseudo) Riemannian manifold V can be represented as a d–metric  $\mathbf{g}_{\alpha\beta} = [g_{ij}, g_{ab}]$  [\(A.7\)](#page-37-3) if we prescribe a N– connection structure  $\mathbf{N} = \{N_i^a\}$  [\(A.3\)](#page-36-0). We preserve the  $n + m$  splitting for any frame transform of type [\(2\)](#page-5-2) when

$$
g_{i'j'} = A^i{}_{i'}A^j{}_{j'}g_{ij},\ h_{a'b'} = A^a{}_{a'}A^b{}_{b'}h_{ab},
$$

for  $A_i$ <sup>i'</sup>  $i^{i'}$  constrained to get holonomic  $e^{i'} = A_i^{i'}$  $e^{i}e^{i}$ , i.e.  $[e^{i}e^{i}]=0$  and  ${\bf e}^{a^{\prime}}=dy^{a^{\prime}}+N_{\ \ i}^{a^{\prime}}$  $x'_{j'}dx^{j'}$ , for certain  $x^{i'} = x^{i'}(x^i, y^a)$  and  $y^{a'} = y^{a'}(x^i, y^a)$ , with  $N_{i}^{a'}$  $j'_{j'}$  computed following formulas [\(5\)](#page-6-0). The constructions can be equivalently inverted, when  $g_{\alpha\beta}$  and  $N_i^a$  are computed from  $g_{\alpha'\beta'}$  and  $N_{i'}^{a'}$  $\mathbf{a}'_i$ , if both the metric and N–connection splitting structures are fixed on  $V$ .  $\Box$ 

In this paper, we shall work with a fixed metric structure  $g$  on a (pseudo) Riemannian manifold **V** but consider such  $N = \{N_i^a\}$  and N-adapted frame transforms/ deformations, when certain metric compatible linear connections and their curvatures (also uniquely defined by  $g$ ) will satisfy the conditions necessary for existence of solitonic hierarchies. This results in N– anholonomic deformations of the geometric objects but the constructions can be re–defined equivalently for the Levi–Civita connection.

### 2.2 A d–connection with constant N–adapted coefficients

From the class of metric compatible distinguished connections (d–connections)  $\{5D(1)\}$  $\{5D(1)\}$  $\{5D(1)\}$  being uniquely defined by a metric structure **g**, we chose such a  $n+m$  splitting with nontrivial  $N_i^a(x, y)$  when with respect to a N-adapted frame the canonical d–connection [\(A.13\)](#page-39-0) has constant coefficients.

<span id="page-6-4"></span>**Proposition 2.1** Any (pseudo) Riemannian metric  $\bf{g}$  on  $\bf{V}$  defines a set of metric compatible d–connections of type

<span id="page-6-2"></span>
$$
{}_{0}\widetilde{\Gamma}^{\gamma'}_{\alpha'\beta'} = \left(\widehat{L}^{i'}_{j'k'} = 0, \widehat{L}^{a'}_{b'k'} = \widehat{L}^{a'}_{b'k'} = const, \widehat{C}^{i'}_{j'c'} = 0, \widehat{C}^{a'}_{b'c'} = 0\right)
$$
 (7)

<span id="page-6-1"></span><sup>8</sup> see definitions and main formulas in Appendix [A.2](#page-38-0)

with respect to N–adapted frames [\(A.8\)](#page-37-0) and [\(A.9\)](#page-37-1) for any  $\mathbf{N} = \{N_{i'}^{a'}\}$  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} a' \\ i' \end{array} \right. (x,y) \}$ being a nontrivial solution of the system of equations

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
2 \, \, {}_0\widehat{L}_{b'k'}^{a'} = \frac{\partial N_{k'}^{a'}}{\partial y^{b'}} - \, \, {}_0h^{a'c'} \, \, {}_0h_{d'b'} \frac{\partial N_{k'}^{d'}}{\partial y^{c'}} \tag{8}
$$

for any nondegenerate constant–coefficients symmetric matrix  $_{0}h_{d^{\prime}b^{\prime}}$  and its  $\sum_{i}^{\infty}$   $\int_{0}^{a'c'}$ .

**Proof.** Using Lemma [2.1,](#page-5-3) we express any metric  $g(A.1)$  $g(A.1)$  as a d–metric  $\mathbf{g}_{\alpha'\beta'} = [g_{i'j'}, h_{\alpha'b'}]$  [\(A.7\)](#page-37-3), when certain constant coefficients  $g_{i'j'} = 0$ ,  $g_{i'j'}$  and  $h_{a'b'} = 0$   $h_{a'b'}$  are stated with respect to a N-adapted coframe  $\mathbf{e}^{\alpha'} = [e^{i'}, \mathbf{e}^{\alpha'}]$ (the values  $N_{k'}^{d'}$  $\mathbf{e}^{d'}$  elongating  $\mathbf{e}^{a'}$  are computed similarly to [\(5\)](#page-6-0)). Introducing such constant d–metric coefficients into formulas [\(A.13\)](#page-39-0) (with 'primed' indices), we get the canonical d–connection [\(7\)](#page-6-2) for any prescribed constant values  $_0\hat{L}_{b'}^{a'}$  $\mathbf{a}'_{b'k'}$ . The formula [\(8\)](#page-7-0) follows from the formula for computing the coefficients  $\hat{L}^{a'}_{b'}$  $_{b'k'}^{a'}$  in [\(A.13\)](#page-39-0). We may consider any  $n+m$  splitting with  $N_{k'}^{d'}$  $\tilde{k}'$ being a nontrivial solution of [\(8\)](#page-7-0), which states an explicit class of nonholonomic constraints on prescribed local fibered structures. Such structures, for any nonholonomic transform of type [\(6\)](#page-6-3), are very general ones with coefficients  $N_k^d(x, y)$ . We conclude that for any metric structure **g** there is such a nonholonomic local fibred structure, when the N–adapted coefficients of the canonical d–connection are constant ones. Having prescribed the constant values  $_0g_{i'j'}, \partial_{a'b'}$  and  $_0\widehat{L}_{b'}^{a'}$  $\frac{a'}{b'k'}$ , a unique solution of [\(8\)](#page-7-0) and corresponding N–connection structure are defined by the coefficients of g up to some N–adapted frame and coordinate transforms. □

It should be noted that the coefficients  ${}_{\shortmid} \Gamma^{\gamma'}_{\alpha'\beta'}$  of the corresponding to g Levi–Civita connection  $\mathcal{F}\nabla$  are not constant with respect to N–adapted frames. They are computed following formulas [\(A.15\)](#page-39-1) and [\(A.16\)](#page-39-2).

<span id="page-7-1"></span>**Theorem 2.1** The curvature d-tensor of a d-connection  $\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}^{\gamma^{\prime}}$  [\(7\)](#page-6-2) defined by a metric  $g$  has constant coefficients with respect to N–adapted frames  $\mathbf{e}_{\alpha'} = [\mathbf{e}_{i'}, e_{a'}]$  and  $\mathbf{e}^{\alpha'} = [e^{i'}, \mathbf{e}^{a'}]$  with  $N_{k'}^{d'}$  $\mathbf{R}^{d'}$  subjected to conditions  $(8)$ .

Proof. It follows from Lemma [2.1](#page-5-3) and Proposition [2.1.](#page-6-4) Really, introducing constant coefficients  $_0\widetilde{\Gamma}^{\gamma'}_{\alpha'\beta'}$  [\(7\)](#page-6-2) into formulas [\(A.19\)](#page-40-0), we get

$$
{}_{0}\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}{}_{\beta'\gamma'\delta'}^{\alpha'} = ({}_{0}\widetilde{R}{}_{h'j'k'}^{i'} = 0, {}_{0}\widetilde{R}{}_{b'j'k'}^{a'} = {}_{0}\widehat{L}{}_{b'j'}^{c'} {}_{0}\widehat{L}{}_{c'k'}^{a'} - {}_{0}\widehat{L}{}_{b'k'}^{c'} {}_{0}\widehat{L}{}_{c'j'}^{a'} = \text{const}, {}_{0}\widetilde{P}{}_{h'j'a'}^{i'} = 0, {}_{0}\widetilde{P}{}_{b'j'a'}^{c'} = 0, {}_{0}\widetilde{S}{}_{j'b'c'}^{i'} = 0, {}_{0}\widetilde{S}{}_{b'd'c'}^{a'} = 0).
$$

Of course, in general, with respect to local coordinate (or other N–adapted) frames, the curvature d–tensor  $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^{\alpha}_{\ \beta\gamma\delta}$  does not have constant coefficients. Using deformation relation [\(A.15\)](#page-39-1), we can compute the corresponding Ricci tensor  ${}_{R}R^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta}$  for the Levi–Civita connection  $\mathscr{F}\nabla$ , which is a general one with 'non-constant' coefficients with respect to any local frames.  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-8-3"></span>One holds:

Corollary 2.1 A d-connection  $_0\tilde{\Gamma}^{\gamma'}_{\alpha'\beta'}$  [\(7\)](#page-6-2) has constant scalar curvature.

Proof. It follows from the conditions of Theorem [2.1](#page-7-1) and formulas  $(A.20)$  and  $(A.21)$ , when

$$
\widetilde{\rho} \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{R}} \doteq \sigma \mathbf{g}^{\alpha' \beta'} \sigma \widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_{\alpha' \beta'} = \sigma g^{i'j'} \sigma \widetilde{R}_{i'j'} + \sigma h^{a'b'} \sigma \widetilde{S}_{a'b'} = \widetilde{\rho} \overrightarrow{R} + \widetilde{\rho} \overleftarrow{S} = cons.
$$

Even the condition  $\underset{0}{\circ} \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{R}} = cons$ . holds true for a metric **g**, in general, the scalar curvature  $\nabla R$  of  $\mathcal{F}\nabla$ , for the same metric, is not constant.

## 2.3 Nonholonomic Einstein spaces

Let us consider a subclass of (pseudo) Riemannian metrics defining exact solutions of the Einstein equations for the canonical d–connection  $\widehat{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma}$  [\(A.13\)](#page-39-0),

<span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g}_{\alpha\beta} \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{R}} = \kappa \mathbf{\hat{\Upsilon}}_{\alpha\beta}.
$$
 (9)

For simplicity, we restrict our considerations to four dimensional (4D) vacuum metrics, dim  $V = 4, n = 2$  and  $m = 2$ , or generated by sources  $\Upsilon_{\alpha\beta}$ parametrized in the form

<span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
\Upsilon_{\beta}^{\alpha} = [\Upsilon_{1}^{1} = \Upsilon_{1}(x^{k}, v), \Upsilon_{2}^{2} = \Upsilon_{1}(x^{k}, v), \Upsilon_{3}^{3} = \Upsilon_{3}(x^{k}), \Upsilon_{4}^{4} = \Upsilon_{3}(x^{k})], \quad (10)
$$

where indices and coordinates are labeled:  $i, j, ... = 1, 2$  and  $a, b, ...$  $3,4;x^k=(x^1,x^2)$  and  $y^a=(y^3=v,y^4)$ . There are also nonholonomic gravi-tational configurations when the source [\(10\)](#page-8-0) parametrized  $\Upsilon_1 = \lambda_1 = const$ . and  $\Upsilon_3 = \lambda_3 = \text{cons}$ . Here we note that gravitational interactions parametized by generic off–diagonal metrics model locally anisotropic configurations even if  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_3$ , or both values vanish (for a nonholonomic vacuum case).

We parametrize the N-connection coefficients in the form  $N_i^3 = w_i(x^k, v)$ and  $N_i^4 = n_i(x^k, v)$ ; consider partial derivatives  $a^{\bullet} = \partial a/\partial x^1$ ,  $a' = \partial a/\partial x^2$ ,  $a^* = \partial a/\partial v$  and introduce the 'polarization' function

<span id="page-8-2"></span>
$$
\varsigma\left(x^{i},v\right) = \,^{0}\varsigma - \frac{\epsilon_{3}}{8}\,^{0}h^{2}\int \Upsilon_{1}f^{*}\left[f - \,^{0}f\right]dv,\tag{11}
$$

for an arbitrary nontrivial function  $f = f(x^i, v)$  with  $f^* \neq 0$  and given functions  ${}^0\varsigma = {}^0\varsigma (x^i)$ ,  ${}^0h = {}^0h(x^i)$  and  ${}^0f = {}^0f(x^i)$ , when  $\epsilon_{\alpha} = \pm 1$  will define the spacetime signature. We shall consider also some 'integration' functions  ${}^{1}n_k = {}^{1}n_k (x^i)$  and  ${}^{2}n_k = {}^{2}n_k (x^i)$ .

**Theorem 2.2** Any metric  $g(A.1)$  $g(A.1)$  (equivalently, d-metric  $(A.7)$ ) on a  $4D$ N–anholonomic manifold  $V$  which by N–adapted frame transforms of type  $(4)$ ,  $(5)$  and  $(6)$  can be parametrized by an ansatz

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{g}} = e^{\psi(x^k)} \left[ \epsilon_1 dx^1 \otimes dx^1 + \epsilon_2 dx^2 \otimes dx^2 \right] +
$$
\n
$$
h_3 \left( x^k, v \right) \delta v \otimes \delta v + h_4 \left( x^k, v \right) \delta y^4 \otimes \delta y^4,
$$
\n
$$
\delta v = dv + w_i \left( x^k, v \right) dx^i, \delta y^4 = dy^4 + n_i \left( x^k, v \right) dx^i
$$
\n(12)

defines a class of exact solution the Einstein equations [\(9\)](#page-8-1) with nontrivial sources [\(10\)](#page-8-0) if

<span id="page-9-1"></span>
$$
\epsilon_1 \psi^{\bullet \bullet} + \epsilon_2 \psi'' = \Upsilon_3, h_3 = \epsilon_3 h_0^2 [f^*]^2 |\varsigma|, \ h_4 = \epsilon_4 [f - {}^0f]^2, \quad (13)
$$
  

$$
w_i = -\partial_i \varsigma / \varsigma^*, \ n_k = {}^1n_k + {}^2n_k \int \varsigma [f^*]^2 [f - {}^0f]^{-3} dv,
$$

where  $\varsigma$  is computed for a given  $\Upsilon_1$  following formula [\(11\)](#page-8-2) with  $\varsigma = 1$  in the vacuum case.

**Proof.** Details of the proof (consisting from a straightforward verification that the ansatz [\(12\)](#page-9-0) with coefficients [\(13\)](#page-9-1) really define integral varieties of nonholonomic Einstein equations) can be found in Refs. [\[2,](#page-41-1) [8\]](#page-41-7).  $\Box$ 

It should be emphasized that this class of solutions are generated by an arbitrary nontrivial function  $f(x^i, v)$  (with  $f^* \neq 0$ ), sources  $\Upsilon_1(x^k, v)$ and  $\Upsilon_3(x^i)$  and integration functions and constants. Such values for the corresponding signatures  $\epsilon_{\alpha} = \pm 1$  have to be defined by certain boundary conditions and physical symmetries. We can extract integral varieties of the Einstein equations for the Levi–Civita connection  $\mathcal{F}\nabla$  if we constrain additionally the metric coefficients to satisfy the conditions

<span id="page-9-2"></span>
$$
h_4^* \phi / h_3 h_4 = \Upsilon_1, \tag{14}
$$

$$
w_1' - w_2^{\bullet} + w_2 w_1^* - w_1 w_2^* = 0, \qquad (15)
$$

$$
n_1' - n_2^{\bullet} = 0, \t\t(16)
$$

for  $w_i = \partial_i \phi / \phi^*$ , where  $\phi = \ln |h_4^* / \sqrt{|h_3 h_4|}$ . The conditions [\(14\)](#page-9-2) for  $\Upsilon_1 \to 0$ are satisfied for  $h_4^* \neq 1$  if  $h_4^* \phi \to 0$ .

Following Theorem [2.1](#page-7-1) and Corollary [2.1,](#page-8-3) to any solution of the Einstein equations  $\degree g$  [\(12\)](#page-9-0), constrained/ or not by conditions [\(14\)](#page-9-2)–[\(16\)](#page-9-2), we can associate a d–connection  $0\tilde{\Gamma}^{\gamma'}_{\alpha'\beta'}$  [\(7\)](#page-6-2) with constant coefficients for the Riemannian and Ricci tensors with respect to corresponding N–adapted bases. Different classes of solutions are characterized by different values of such matrix coefficients.

More general classes of such Einstein spaces with Killing symmetries and their parametric and nonholonomic deformations considered in Ref. [\[1,](#page-41-0) [2,](#page-41-1) [8\]](#page-41-7) can be also generated by (depending on certain parameters and integration constants) curvature and Ricci d–tensor matrices and constant scalar curvature of corresponding d–metrics. So, following the above outlined method we can always encode the data for a known solution of the Einstein equations and generalizations into terms of constant coefficients d–connections and curvatures. To invert the problem and construct from certain solitonic hierachies some solutions of Einstein equations with non-Killing symmetries it is also possible, but it is still not clear how to approach this task in general form.

# 3 Nonholonomic Curve Flows

We outline the geometry of curve flows adapted to a N–connection structure on a (pseudo) Riemannian manifold V.

## 3.1 Non–stretching and N–adapted curve flows

A non–stretching curve  $\gamma(\tau, \mathbf{l})$  on V, where  $\tau$  is a real parameter and l is the arclength of the curve on **V**, is defined with such evolution d–vector  $\mathbf{Y} = \gamma_{\tau}$ and tangent d–vector  $\mathbf{X} = \gamma_1$  that  $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}) = 1$ . Such a curve  $\gamma(\tau, \mathbf{l})$  swept out a two–dimensional surface in  $T_{\gamma(\tau,\mathbf{l})}\mathbf{V} \subset T\mathbf{V}$ .

We shall work with N–adapted bases [\(A.8\)](#page-37-0) and [\(A.9\)](#page-37-1) and connection 1–form  $\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\ \beta} = \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\ \beta \gamma} e^{\gamma}$  the canonical d–connection operator **D** [\(A.13\)](#page-39-0) acting in the form

<span id="page-10-0"></span>
$$
\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{e}_{\alpha} = (\mathbf{X}\rfloor\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\alpha}^{\ \gamma})\mathbf{e}_{\gamma} \text{ and } \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{Y}}\mathbf{e}_{\alpha} = (\mathbf{Y}\rfloor\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\alpha}^{\ \gamma})\mathbf{e}_{\gamma}, \tag{17}
$$

where " $\vert$ " denotes the interior product and the indices are lowered and raised respectively by the d–metric  $\mathbf{g}_{\alpha\beta} = [g_{ij}, h_{ab}]$  and its inverse  $\mathbf{g}^{\alpha\beta} = [g^{ij}, h^{ab}]$ . We note that  $D_X = X^{\alpha}D_{\alpha}$  is a covariant derivation operator along curve  $\gamma(\tau, l)$ . It is convenient to fix the N–adapted frame to be parallel to curve

 $\gamma$ (1) adapted in the form

<span id="page-11-1"></span>
$$
e^{1} \doteqdot h\mathbf{X}, \text{ for } i = 1, \text{ and } e^{\hat{i}}, \text{ where } h\mathbf{g}(h\mathbf{X}, e^{\hat{i}}) = 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathbf{e}^{n+1} \doteqdot v\mathbf{X}, \text{ for } a = n+1, \text{ and } \mathbf{e}^{\hat{a}}, \text{ where } v\mathbf{g}(v\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{e}^{\hat{a}}) = 0,
$$
\n(18)

for  $\hat{i} = 2, 3, \dots n$  and  $\hat{a} = n+2, n+3, \dots, n+m$ . For such frames, the covariant derivative of each "normal" d–vectors  $e^{\hat{\alpha}}$  results into the d–vectors adapted to  $\gamma(\tau, \mathbf{l}),$ 

<span id="page-11-0"></span>
$$
\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{X}}e^{\hat{i}} = -\rho^{\hat{i}}(u) \mathbf{X} \text{ and } \mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}}h\mathbf{X} = \rho^{\hat{i}}(u) \mathbf{e}_{\hat{i}},
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{e}^{\hat{a}} = -\rho^{\hat{a}}(u) \mathbf{X} \text{ and } \mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{X}}v\mathbf{X} = \rho^{\hat{a}}(u) e_{\hat{a}},
$$
\n(19)

which holds for certain classes of functions  $\rho^{\hat{i}}(u)$  and  $\rho^{\hat{a}}(u)$ . The formulas [\(17\)](#page-10-0) and [\(19\)](#page-11-0) are distinguished into h– and v–components for  $X = hX + vX$ and  $\mathbf{D} = (h\mathbf{D}, v\mathbf{D})$  for  $\mathbf{D} = {\{\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma}\},h\mathbf{D} = {L_{jk}^{i},L_{bk}^{a}\}}$  and  $v\mathbf{D} = {C_{jc}^{i},C_{bc}^{a}}.$ 

Along  $\gamma$ (1), we can move differential forms in a parallel N–adapted form. For instance,  $\Gamma^{\alpha\beta}_{\mathbf{X}} \doteq \mathbf{X} \rfloor \Gamma^{\alpha\beta}$ . An algebraic characterization of such spaces, can be obtained if we perform a frame transform preserving the decomposi-tion [\(A.4\)](#page-36-1) to an orthonormalized basis  ${\bf e}_{\alpha'}$ , when

<span id="page-11-2"></span>
$$
\mathbf{e}_{\alpha} \to e_{\alpha}^{\ \alpha'}(u) \ \mathbf{e}_{\alpha'},\tag{20}
$$

called an orthonormal d–basis. In this case, the coefficients of the d–metric [\(A.7\)](#page-37-3) transform into the (pseudo) Euclidean one  $\mathbf{g}_{\alpha'\beta'} = \eta_{\alpha'\beta'}$ . In distinguished form, we obtain two skew matrices

$$
\Gamma_{h\mathbf{X}}^{i'j'} \doteq h\mathbf{X} \rfloor \Gamma^{i'j'} = 2 e_{h\mathbf{X}}^{[i'} \rho^{j']} \text{ and } \Gamma_{v\mathbf{X}}^{a'b'} \doteq v\mathbf{X} \rfloor \Gamma^{a'b'} = 2 e_{v\mathbf{X}}^{[a'} \rho^{b']},
$$

where

$$
e_{hX}^{i'} \doteq g(hX, e^{i'}) = [1, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-1}]
$$
 and  $e_{vX}^{a'} \doteq h(vX, e^{a'}) = [1, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{m-1}]$ 

and

$$
\mathbf{\Gamma}_{h\mathbf{X}i'}^{\quad j'}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0&\rho^{j'}\\-\rho_{i'}&\mathbf{0}_{[h]}\end{array}\right] \text{ and } \mathbf{\Gamma}_{v\mathbf{X}a'}^{\quad b'}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0&\rho^{b'}\\-\rho_{a'}&\mathbf{0}_{[v]}\end{array}\right]
$$

with  $\mathbf{0}_{[h]}$  and  $\mathbf{0}_{[v]}$  being respectively  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  and  $(m-1) \times (m-1)$ matrices.

The above presented row–matrices and skew–matrices show that locally an N–anholonomic manifold **V** of dimension  $n + m$ , with respect to distinguished orthonormalized frames are characterized algebraically by couples

of unit vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{R}^m$  preserved respectively by the  $SO(n-1)$  and  $SO(m-1)$  rotation subgroups of the local N–adapted frame structure group  $SO(n)\oplus SO(m)$ . The connection matrices  $\Gamma_{hX,i'}^{\qquad j'}$  $\left\vert _{h\mathbf{X}\,i^{\prime}}\right\vert ^{j^{\prime}}$  and  $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{v\mathbf{X}\,a^{\prime}}{}^{b^{\prime}}$  $v{\bf X}$ <sub>a'</sub>  $^b$  belong to the orthogonal complements of the corresponding Lie subalgebras and algebras,  $\mathfrak{so}(n-1)\subset\mathfrak{so}(n)$  and  $\mathfrak{so}(m-1)\subset\mathfrak{so}(m)$ .

The torsion [\(A.17\)](#page-40-2) and curvature [\(A.18\)](#page-40-3) tensors can be written in orthonormalized component form with respect to [\(18\)](#page-11-1) mapped into a distinguished orthonormalized dual frame [\(20\)](#page-11-2),

<span id="page-12-0"></span>
$$
\mathcal{T}^{\alpha'} \doteqdot \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{Y}}^{\alpha'} - \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\alpha'} + \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{Y}}^{\beta'} \mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{X}\beta'}^{\alpha'} - \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\beta'} \mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{Y}\beta'}^{\alpha'} \tag{21}
$$

and

<span id="page-12-1"></span>
$$
\mathcal{R}_{\beta'}^{\alpha'}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) = \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{X}\beta'}^{\alpha'} - \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{Y}\beta'}^{\alpha'} + \mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{Y}\beta'}^{\gamma'} \mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{X}\gamma'}^{\alpha'} - \mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{X}\beta'}^{\gamma'} \mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{Y}\gamma'}^{\alpha'}, \quad (22)
$$

where  $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{Y}}^{\alpha'} \doteqdot \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{e}^{\alpha'})$  and  $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{Y}\beta'}^{\ \alpha'} \doteqdot \mathbf{Y} \rfloor \mathbf{\Gamma}_{\beta'}^{\ \alpha'} = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{e}^{\alpha'}, \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{e}_{\beta'})$  define respectively the N–adapted orthonormalized frame row–matrix and the canonical d–connection skew–matrix in the flow directs, and  $\mathcal{R}_{\beta'}^{\alpha'}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \doteq \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{e}^{\alpha'}, [\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{X}},$  $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{Y}}\vert \mathbf{e}_{\beta'}$  is the curvature matrix.

## 3.2 N–anholonomic manifolds with constant matrix curvature

For trivial N–connection curvature and torsion but constant matrix curvature, we get a holonomic Riemannian manifold and the equations [\(21\)](#page-12-0) and [\(22\)](#page-12-1) directly encode a bi–Hamiltonian structure [\[12,](#page-42-3) [17\]](#page-42-8). A well known class of Riemannian manifolds for which the frame curvature matrix constant consists of the symmetric spaces  $M = G/H$  for compact semisimple Lie groups  $G \supset H$ . A complete classification and summary of main results on such spaces are given in Refs. [\[24,](#page-43-1) [25\]](#page-43-2).

The Riemannian curvature and the metric tensors for  $M = G/H$  are covariantly constant and G–invariant resulting in constant curvature matrix. In [\[16,](#page-42-7) [17\]](#page-42-8), the bi–Hamiltonian operators were investigated for the symmetric spaces with  $M = G/SO(n)$  with  $H = SO(n) \supset O(n-1)$  and two examples when  $G = SO(n + 1)$ ,  $SU(n)$ . Then it was exploited the existing canonical soldering of Klein and Riemannian symmetric–space geometries [\[26\]](#page-43-3). Such results were proven for the Levi–Civita connection on symmetric spaces. They can be generalized for any (pseudo) Riemannian manifold but for an auxilliary d–connection  $_0\tilde{\Gamma}^{\gamma'}_{\alpha'\beta'}$  [\(7\)](#page-6-2).

## 3.2.1 Symmetric nonholonomic manifolds

N–anholonomic manifolds are characterized by a conventional nonholonomic splitting of dimensions. We suppose that the "horizontal" distribution is a symmetric space  $hV = hG/SO(n)$  with the isotropy subgroup  $hH =$  $SO(n) \supset O(n)$  and the typical fiber space to be a symmetric space  $F =$  $vG/SO(m)$  with the isotropy subgroup  $vH = SO(m) \supset O(m)$ . This means that  $hG = SO(n+1)$  and  $vG = SO(m+1)$  which is enough for a study of real holonomic and nonholonomic manifolds and geometric mechanics models.<sup>[9](#page-13-0)</sup>

Our aim is to solder in a canonic way (like in the N–connection geometry) the horizontal and vertical symmetric Riemannian spaces of dimension  $n$  and m with a (total) symmetric Riemannian space V of dimension  $n + m$ , when  $V = G/SO(n+m)$  with the isotropy group  $H = SO(n+m) \supset O(n+m)$ and  $G = SO(n + m + 1)$ . First, we note that for the just mentioned horizontal, vertical and total symmetric Riemannian spaces one exists natural settings to Klein geometry. For instance, the metric tensor  $hg = \{\hat{g}_{ij}\}\$ on hV is defined by the Cartan–Killing inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_h$  on  $T_x hG \simeq h\mathfrak{g}$ restricted to the Lie algebra quotient spaces  $h\mathfrak{p} = h\mathfrak{g}/h\mathfrak{h}$ , with  $T_xhH \simeq h\mathfrak{h}$ , where  $h\mathfrak{g} = h\mathfrak{h} \oplus h\mathfrak{p}$  is stated such that there is an involutive automorphism of hG under hH is fixed, i.e.  $[h\mathfrak{h},h\mathfrak{p}] \subseteq h\mathfrak{p}$  and  $[h\mathfrak{p},h\mathfrak{p}] \subseteq h\mathfrak{h}$ . In a similar form, we can define the group spaces and related inner products and Lie algebras,

<span id="page-13-1"></span>for 
$$
vg = \{\dot{h}_{ab}\}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_v
$$
,  $T_yvG \simeq v\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $v\mathfrak{p} = v\mathfrak{g}/v\mathfrak{h}$ , with  
\n $T_yvH \simeq v\mathfrak{h}, v\mathfrak{g} = v\mathfrak{h} \oplus v\mathfrak{p}$ , where  $[v\mathfrak{h}, v\mathfrak{p}] \subseteq v\mathfrak{p}$ ,  $[v\mathfrak{p}, v\mathfrak{p}] \subseteq v\mathfrak{h}$ ;  
\nfor  $\mathbf{g} = \{\mathring{g}_{\alpha\beta}\}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbf{g}}, T_{(x,y)}G \simeq \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ , with  
\n $T_{(x,y)}H \simeq \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ , where  $[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{p}] \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ ,  $[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \subseteq \mathfrak{h}$ .

We parametrize the metric structure with constant coefficients on  $V =$  $G/SO(n+m)$  in the form

$$
\mathring{g} = \mathring{g}_{\alpha\beta} du^{\alpha} \otimes du^{\beta},
$$

where  $u^{\alpha}$  are local coordinates and

$$
\mathring{g}_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathring{g}_{ij} + \mathring{N}_i^a N_j^b \mathring{h}_{ab} & \mathring{N}_j^e \mathring{h}_{ae} \\ \mathring{N}_i^e \mathring{h}_{be} & \mathring{h}_{ab} \end{bmatrix} \tag{24}
$$

<span id="page-13-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>it is necessary to consider  $hG = SU(n)$  and  $vG = SU(m)$  for the geometric models with spinor and gauge fields

when trivial, constant, N–connection coefficients are computed  $\mathring{N}_{j}^{e} = \mathring{h}^{eb} \mathring{g}_{jb}$ for any given sets  $\mathring{h}^{eb}$  and  $\mathring{g}_{jb}$ , i.e. from the inverse metrics coefficients defined respectively on  $hG = SO(n + 1)$  and by off-blocks  $(n \times n)$ - and  $(m \times m)$ –terms of the metric  $\mathring{g}_{\alpha\beta}$ . As a result, we define an equivalent d– metric structure of type [\(A.7\)](#page-37-3)

<span id="page-14-0"></span>
$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n\mathbf{\dot{g}} & = & \mathring{g}_{ij} \ e^i \otimes e^j + \mathring{h}_{ab} \ \mathbf{\dot{e}}^a \otimes \mathbf{\dot{e}}^b, \\
e^i & = & dx^i, \ \mathbf{\dot{e}}^a = dy^a + \mathring{N}_i^a dx^i\n\end{array} \tag{25}
$$

defining a trivial  $(n + m)$ -splitting  $\mathbf{\dot{g}} = \mathbf{\dot{g}} \oplus_{\mathbf{\tilde{N}}} \mathbf{\dot{h}}$  because all nonholonomy coefficients  $\mathring{W}_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma}$  and N–connection curvature coefficients  $\mathring{\Omega}_{ij}^{a}$  are zero.

We can consider any covariant coordinate transforms of [\(25\)](#page-14-0) preserving the  $(n + m)$ -splitting resulting in any  $W_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma} = 0$  [\(A.10\)](#page-38-1) and  $\Omega_{ij}^{a} = 0$  [\(A.5\)](#page-37-4). It should be noted that even such trivial parametrizations define algebraic classifications of symmetric Riemannian spaces of dimension  $n+m$  with constant matrix curvature admitting splitting (by certain algebraic constraints) into symmetric Riemannian subspaces of dimension  $n$  and  $m$ , also both with constant matrix curvature and introducing the concept of N–anholonomic Riemannian space of type  $\mathring{\mathbf{V}} = [hG = SO(n+1), vG = SO(m+1), \mathring{N}_i^e].$ There are such trivially N–anholonomic group spaces which possess a Lie d–algebra symmetry  $\mathfrak{so}_{\mathcal{N}}(n + m) \doteq \mathfrak{so}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(m)$ .

The next generalization of constructions is to consider nonhlonomic distributions on  $V = G/SO(n+m)$  defined locally by arbitrary N–connection coefficients  $N_i^a(x, y)$  with nonvanishing  $W_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma}$  and  $\Omega_{ij}^a$  but with constant dmetric coefficients when

<span id="page-14-1"></span>
$$
\mathbf{g} = \dot{g}_{ij} e^i \otimes e^j + \dot{h}_{ab} \mathbf{e}^a \otimes \mathbf{e}^b, \ne^i = dx^i, \mathbf{e}^a = dy^a + N_i^a(x, y) dx^i.
$$
\n(26)

This metric is equivalent to a metric [\(A.1\)](#page-35-0) considered in Proposition [2.1](#page-6-4) when d–metric  $\mathbf{g}_{\alpha'\beta'} = [g_{i'j'}, h_{a'b'}]$  [\(A.7\)](#page-37-3) with constant coefficients  $g_{i'j'} =$  $\partial g_{i'j'} = \dot{g}_{ij}$  and  $h_{a'b'} = \partial h_{a'b'} = \dot{h}_{ab}$ , in this section induced by the corresponding Lie d–algebra structure  $\mathfrak{so}_{\mathring{N}}(n + m)$ . Such spaces transform into N–anholonomic Riemann–Cartan manifolds  $\tilde{\bf V}_{\bf N} = [hG = SO(n + 1),$  $vG = SO(m + 1), N_i^e$  with nontrivial N-connection curvature and induced d–torsion coefficients of the canonical d–connection (see formulas [\(A.17\)](#page-40-2) computed for constant d–metric coefficients and the canonical d– connection coefficients in  $(A.13)$ ). One has zero curvature for the canonical d–connection (in general, such spaces are curved ones with generic off–diagonal metric [\(26\)](#page-14-1) and nonzero curvature tensor for the Levi–Civita connection).[10](#page-15-0) This allows us to classify the N–anholonomic manifolds (and vector bundles) as having the same group and algebraic structures of couples of symmetric Riemannian spaces of dimension  $n$  and  $m$  but nonholonomically soldered to the symmetric Riemannian space of dimension  $n+m$ . With respect to N–adapted orthonormal bases [\(20\)](#page-11-2), with distinguished h– and v– subspaces, we obtain the same inner products and group and Lie algebra spaces as in  $(23)$ .

#### 3.2.2 N–anholonomic Klein spaces

The bi–Hamiltonian and solitonic constructions are based on an extrinsic approach soldering the Riemannian symmetric–space geometry to the Klein geometry [\[26\]](#page-43-3). For the N–anholonomic spaces of dimension  $n + m$ , with a constant d–curvature, similar constructions hold true but we have to adapt them to the N–connection structure.

There are two Hamiltonian variables given by the principal normals  $h_{\nu}$ and  $v_{\nu}$ , respectively, in the horizontal and vertical subspaces, defined by the canonical d–connection  $\mathbf{D} = (h\mathbf{D}, v\mathbf{D})$ , see formulas [\(18\)](#page-11-1) and [\(19\)](#page-11-0),

$$
{}^{h}\nu \doteq \mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}}h\mathbf{X} = \nu^{\hat{i}}\mathbf{e}_{\hat{i}}
$$
 and  ${}^{v}\nu \doteq \mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{X}}v\mathbf{X} = \nu^{\hat{a}}e_{\hat{a}}$ .

This normal d-vector  $\mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} h_{\nu}, & v_{\nu} \end{pmatrix}$ , with components of type  $\nu^{\alpha} = (\nu^{i},$  $\nu^a = (\nu^1, \nu^{\hat{i}}, \nu^{n+1}, \nu^{\hat{a}})$ , is in the tangent direction of curve  $\gamma$ . There is also the principal normal d–vector  $\varpi = (\hbar \varpi, \hbar \varpi)$  with components of type  $\varpi^{\alpha} = (\varpi^{i}, \varpi^{a}) = (\varpi^{1}, \varpi^{\hat{i}}, \varpi^{n+1}, \varpi^{\hat{a}})$  in the flow direction, with

$$
{}^h\varpi\doteqdot {\bf D}_h{\bf y}h{\bf X}=\stackrel{\frown}{\varpi}{\rm i}{\bf e}_{\hat i},\ {}^v\varpi\doteqdot {\bf D}_v{\bf y}v{\bf X}=\varpi^{\widehat a}e_{\hat a},
$$

representing a Hamiltonian d–covector field. We can consider that the normal part of the flow d–vector  $\mathbf{h}_{\perp} \doteqdot \mathbf{Y}_{\perp} = h^{\hat{i}} \mathbf{e}_{\hat{i}} + h^{\hat{a}} e_{\hat{a}}$  represents a Hamiltonian d–vector field. For such configurations, we can consider parallel N–adapted frames  $\mathbf{e}_{\alpha'} = (\mathbf{e}_{i'}, e_{\alpha'})$  when the h–variables  $\nu^{\hat{i'}}, \varpi^{\hat{i'}}, h^{\hat{i'}}$  are respectively encoded in the top row of the horizontal canonical d–connection matrices  $\Gamma_{h\mathbf{X}i'}^{j'}$  $\int_{h\mathbf{X}i'}^{j'}$  and  $\Gamma_{h\mathbf{Y}i'}^{j'}$  $\int_{h\mathbf{Y}i'}^{j'}$  and in the row matrix  $\left(\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{Y}}^{i'}\right)$ Y  $\overline{ }$  $_{\perp}\doteqdot{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{Y}}^{i^{\prime}}-g_{\parallel }\,\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{X}}^{i^{\prime}}$ X where  $g_{\parallel} \doteqdot g(h\mathbf{Y}, h\mathbf{X})$  is the tangential h–part of the flow d–vector.

A similar encoding holds for v–variables  $\nu^{\hat{a'}}, \varpi^{\hat{a'}}, h^{\hat{a'}}$  in the top row of the vertical canonical d–connection matrices  $\Gamma_{v\mathbf{X}a}^{v}$  $\int_{v\mathbf{X}a'}^{v'}b'$  and  $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{v\mathbf{Y}a'}^{v'}$  $v\mathbf{Y}a^{\prime}$ <sup>b'</sup> and in

<span id="page-15-0"></span> $10$ Introducing, constant values for the d–metric coefficients we get zero coefficients for the canonical d–connection which in its turn results in zero values of [\(A.19\)](#page-40-0).

the row matrix  $\left(\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{Y}}^{a'}\right)$ Y  $\overline{ }$  $\psi_{\perp} \doteqdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{Y}}^{a'} - h_{\parallel} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{X}}^{a'}$  where  $h_{\parallel} \doteqdot h(v\mathbf{Y}, v\mathbf{X})$  is the tangential v–part of the flow d–vector. In a compact form of notations, we shall write  $\mathbf{v}^{\alpha'}$  and  $\varpi^{\alpha'}$  where the primed small Greek indices  $\alpha', \beta', \dots$  will denote both N–adapted and then orthonormalized components of geometric objects (like d–vectors, d–covectors, d–tensors, d–groups, d–algebras, d–matrices) admitting further decompositions into h– and v–components defined as nonintegrable distributions of such objects.

With respect to N–adapted orthonormalized frames, the geometry of N– anholonomic manifolds is defined algebraically, on their tangent bundles, by couples of horizontal and vertical Klein geometries considered in [\[26\]](#page-43-3) and for bi–Hamiltonian soliton constructions in [\[16\]](#page-42-7). The N–connection structure induces a N–anholonomic Klein space stated by two left–invariant hg– and vg–valued Maurer–Cartan form on the Lie d–group  $\mathbf{G} = (h\mathbf{G}, v\mathbf{G})$ is identified with the zero–curvature canonical d–connection 1–form  ${}^{G}\Gamma$  = { ${}^{\mathbf{G}}\Gamma_{\beta'}^{\alpha'}$ }, where  ${}^{\mathbf{G}}\Gamma_{\beta'}^{\alpha'} = {}^{\mathbf{G}}\Gamma_{\beta'\gamma'}^{\alpha'}e^{\gamma'} = {}^{h\mathbf{G}}L^{i'}_{j}$  $\int_{j'k'}\mathbf{e}^{k'}+\frac{v\mathbf{G}}{C}\int_{j}^{i'}$  $j'_{k'}e^{k'}$ . For trivial N–connection structure in vector bundles with the base and typical fiber spaces being symmetric Riemannian spaces, we can consider that  ${}^{h}G L^{i'}_{\sigma}$ j ′k ′ and  ${}^{v}\mathbf{G}C^{i'}_{i}$  $j'_{jk'}$  are the coefficients of the Cartan connections  ${}^{h}G_L$  and  ${}^{v}G_C$ , respectively for the  $h\mathbf{G}$  and  $v\mathbf{G}$ , both with vanishing curvatures, i.e. with  $d^{\mathbf{G}}\Gamma+\frac{1}{2}[\mathbf{G}\Gamma,\mathbf{G}\Gamma]=\mathbf{0}$  and h– and v–components,  $d^{\mathbf{h}\mathbf{G}}\mathbf{L}+\frac{1}{2}[\mathbf{h}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{L},\mathbf{h}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{L}]=0$ and  $d \nu^{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{C} + \frac{1}{2} [\nu^{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{C}, \nu^{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{C}] = 0$ , where d denotes the  $\frac{1}{2}$ [ $v$ <sup>G</sup>C,  $v$ <sup>G</sup>C] = 0, where *d* denotes the total derivatives on the d–group manifold  $G = hG \oplus vG$  or their restrictions on hG or vG. We can consider that  ${}^{G}\Gamma$  defines the so–called Cartan d–connection for nonintegrable N–connection structures, see details and noncommutative developments in [\[1,](#page-41-0) [8\]](#page-41-7).

Through the Lie d–algebra decompositions  $\mathfrak{g} = h\mathfrak{g} \oplus v\mathfrak{g}$ , for the horizontal splitting:  $h\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(n) \oplus h\mathfrak{p}$ , when  $[h\mathfrak{p}, h\mathfrak{p}] \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$  and  $[\mathfrak{so}(n), h\mathfrak{p}] \subset h\mathfrak{p}$ ; for the vertical splitting  $v\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(m) \oplus v\mathfrak{p}$ , when  $[v\mathfrak{p}, v\mathfrak{p}] \subset \mathfrak{so}(m)$  and  $[\mathfrak{so}(m),v\mathfrak{p}] \subset v\mathfrak{p}$ , the Cartan d–connection determines an N–anholonomic Riemannian structure on the nonholonomic bundle  $\mathbf{E} = [hG = SO(n + 1)]$ ,  $vG = SO(m+1), N_i^e$ . For  $n = m$ , and canonical d-objects (N-connection, d–metric, d–connection, ...) derived from [\(26\)](#page-14-1), or any N–anholonomic space with constant d–curvatures, the Cartan d–connection transform just in the canonical d–connection [\(A.14\)](#page-39-3). It is possible to consider a quotient space with distinguished structure group  $\mathbf{V_N} = \mathbf{G}/SO(n) \oplus SO(m)$  regarding G as a principal  $(SO(n) \oplus SO(m))$ –bundle over **E**, which is a N–anholonomic bundle. In this case, we can always fix a local section of this bundle and pull–back  ${}^{G}\Gamma$  to give a  $(h\mathfrak{g} \oplus v\mathfrak{g})$ –valued 1–form  ${}^{g}\Gamma$  in a point  $u \in \check{E}$ . Any change of local sections define  $SO(n) \oplus SO(m)$  gauge transforms of the canonical d–connection  $\mathfrak{F}\Gamma$ , all preserving the nonholonomic decomposition  $(A.4).$  $(A.4).$ 

There are involutive automorphisms  $h\sigma = \pm 1$  and  $v\sigma = \pm 1$ , respectively, of hg and vg, defined that  $\mathfrak{so}(n)$  (or  $\mathfrak{so}(m)$ ) is eigenspace  $h\sigma = +1$ (or  $v\sigma = +1$ ) and hp (or vp) is eigenspace  $h\sigma = -1$  (or  $v\sigma = -1$ ). It is possible both a N–adapted decomposition and taking into account the existing eigenspaces, when the symmetric parts  $\Gamma = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\mathfrak{g}}{\Gamma + \sigma} \left( \frac{\mathfrak{g}}{\Gamma} \right) \right)$ , with respective h- and v-splitting  $\mathbf{L} \doteq \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$  (<sup>hg</sup>**L**+h $\sigma$  (<sup>hg</sup>**L**)) and **C**  $\dot{=}$   $\frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}(^{\nu\mathfrak{g}}\mathbf{C}+h\sigma(^{v\mathfrak{g}}\mathbf{C})),$  defines a  $(\mathfrak{so}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(m))$ -valued d-connection 1–form. Under such conditions, the antisymmetric part  $e \frac{\cdot}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$  ( $\mathbf{P} - \sigma$  ( $\mathbf{P}$ T)), with respective h- and v-splitting  $h$ **e**  $\frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$  (<sup>hg</sup>**L**-h $\sigma$  (<sup>hg</sup>**L**)) and  $ve \neq \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}(^{\nu\mathfrak{g}}\mathbf{C} - h\sigma(^{\nu\mathfrak{g}}\mathbf{C})),$  defines a  $(h\mathfrak{p} \oplus v\mathfrak{p})$ valued N–adapted coframe for the Cartan–Killing inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_p$  on  $T_u$ **G**  $\simeq h\mathfrak{g} \oplus v\mathfrak{g}$  restricted to  $T_u$ **V**<sub>N</sub>  $\simeq$  **p**. This inner product, distinguished into h- and v–components, provides a d–metric structure of type  $\mathbf{g} = [g,h]$  $(A.7)$ , where  $g =$   $\langle h \otimes h$   $\langle h \rangle$  and  $h =$   $\langle v \otimes v \rangle$   $\langle v \rangle$  on  $V_N = G/SO(n)$  $SO(m).$ 

We generate a  $\mathbf{G}$  =  $h\mathbf{G} \oplus v\mathbf{G}$ -invariant d-derivative **D** whose restriction to the tangent space  $T\mathbf{V_N}$  for any N–anholonomic curve flow  $\gamma(\tau, \mathbf{l})$  in  $V_N = G/SO(n) \oplus SO(m)$  is defined via

<span id="page-17-0"></span>
$$
\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{e} = [\mathbf{e}, \gamma_1] \mathbf{\Gamma}] \text{ and } \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{Y}}\mathbf{e} = [\mathbf{e}, \gamma_\tau] \mathbf{\Gamma}], \tag{27}
$$

admitting further h- and v–decompositions. The derivatives  $D_X$  and  $D_Y$ are equivalent to those considered in [\(17\)](#page-10-0) and obey the Cartan structure equations [\(21\)](#page-12-0) and [\(22\)](#page-12-1). For the canonical d–connections, a large class of N–anholonomic spaces of dimension  $n = m$ , the d–torsions are zero and the d–curvatures are with constant coefficients.

Let  $e^{\alpha'} = (e^{i'}, e^{a'})$  be a N-adapted orthonormalized coframe being identified with the  $(h\mathfrak{p} \oplus v\mathfrak{p})$ -valued coframe **e** in a fixed orthonormal basis for  $\mathfrak{p} = h\mathfrak{p} \oplus v\mathfrak{p} \subset h\mathfrak{g} \oplus v\mathfrak{g}$ . Considering the kernel/ cokernel of Lie algebra multiplications in the h- and v-subspaces, respectively,  $[e_{hX}, \cdot]_{hg}$  and  $[e_{vX}, \cdot]_{vg}$ , we can decompose the coframes into parallel and perpendicular parts with respect to  $\mathbf{e}_\mathbf{X}$ . We write

$$
\mathbf{e} = (\mathbf{e}_C = h\mathbf{e}_C + v\mathbf{e}_C, \mathbf{e}_{C^{\perp}} = h\mathbf{e}_{C^{\perp}} + v\mathbf{e}_{C^{\perp}}),
$$

for  $\mathfrak{p} = h\mathfrak{p} \oplus v\mathfrak{p}$ -valued mutually orthogonal d–vectors  $\mathbf{e}_C$  and  $\mathbf{e}_{C^{\perp}},$ when there are satisfied the conditions  $[\mathbf{e}_\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{e}_C]_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0$  but  $[\mathbf{e}_\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{e}_{C} \bot]_{\mathfrak{g}} \neq 0;$ such conditions can be stated in h- and v–component form, respectively,  $[he_{\mathbf{X}},he_C]_{h\mathfrak{g}}=0, [he_{\mathbf{X}},he_{C^{\perp}}]_{h\mathfrak{g}}\neq 0 \text{ and } [v\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{X}},ve_C]_{v\mathfrak{g}}=0, [v\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{X}},ve_{C^{\perp}}]_{v\mathfrak{g}}\neq 0$ 0. One holds also the algebraic decompositions

$$
T_u \mathbf{V_N} \simeq \mathfrak{p} = h \mathfrak{p} \oplus v \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{g} = h \mathfrak{g} \oplus v \mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{so}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(m)
$$

and

$$
\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_C \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{C^{\perp}} = (h\mathfrak{p}_C \oplus v\mathfrak{p}_C) \oplus (h\mathfrak{p}_{C^{\perp}} \oplus v\mathfrak{p}_{C^{\perp}}),
$$

with  $\mathfrak{p}_{\parallel} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_C$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_{C^{\perp}} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_{\perp}$ , where  $[\mathfrak{p}_{\parallel}, \mathfrak{p}_C] = 0, \langle \mathfrak{p}_{C^{\perp}}, \mathfrak{p}_C \rangle = 0$ , but  $[\mathfrak{p}_{\parallel}, \mathfrak{p}_{C}^{\perp}] \neq 0$  (i.e.  $\mathfrak{p}_{C}$  is the centralizer of  $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{X}}$  in  $\mathfrak{p} = h\mathfrak{p} \oplus v\mathfrak{p} \subset h\mathfrak{g} \oplus v\mathfrak{g}$ ); in h- and v–components, one have  $h\mathfrak{p}_{\parallel} \subseteq h\mathfrak{p}_C$  and  $h\mathfrak{p}_{C^{\perp}} \subseteq h\mathfrak{p}_{\perp}$ , where  $[h\mathfrak{p}_{\parallel},h\mathfrak{p}_{C}] = 0, \langle h\mathfrak{p}_{C^{\perp}},h\mathfrak{p}_{C} \rangle = 0, \text{ but } [h\mathfrak{p}_{\parallel},h\mathfrak{p}_{C^{\perp}}] \neq 0 \text{ (i.e. } h\mathfrak{p}_{C} \text{ is }$ the centralizer of  $e_{hX}$  in  $h\mathfrak{p} \subset h\mathfrak{g}$  and  $v\mathfrak{p}_{\perp} \subset v\mathfrak{p}_{C}$  and  $v\mathfrak{p}_{C^{\perp}} \subset v\mathfrak{p}_{\perp}$ , where  $[v\mathfrak{p}_{\parallel},v\mathfrak{p}_{C}] = 0, \langle v\mathfrak{p}_{C^{\perp}},v\mathfrak{p}_{C} \rangle = 0, \text{ but } [v\mathfrak{p}_{\parallel},v\mathfrak{p}_{C^{\perp}}] \neq 0 \text{ (i.e. } v\mathfrak{p}_{C} \text{ is the }$ centralizer of  $e_{vX}$  in  $v\mathfrak{p} \subset v\mathfrak{g}$ . Using the canonical d–connection derivative  $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{X}}$  of a d–covector perpendicular (or parallel) to  $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{X}}$ , we get a new d–vector which is parallel (or perpendicular) to  $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{X}}$ , i.e.  $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{e}_{C} \in \mathfrak{p}_{C}$  (or  $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{e}_{C}$   $\in$  $\mathfrak{p}_C$ ); in h- and v–components such formulas are written  $\mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}}h\mathbf{e}_C \in h\mathfrak{p}_{C}$  (or  $\mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}}h\mathbf{e}_{C\perp} \in h\mathfrak{p}_{C}$  and  $\mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{X}}v\mathbf{e}_{C} \in v\mathfrak{p}_{C\perp}$  (or  $\mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{X}}v\mathbf{e}_{C\perp} \in v\mathfrak{p}_{C}$ ). All such dalgebraic relations can be written in N–anholonomic manifolds and canonical d–connection settings, for instance, using certain relations of type

$$
\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{e}^{\alpha'})_{C} = \mathbf{v}^{\alpha'}_{\beta'}(\mathbf{e}^{\beta'})_{C^{\perp}} \text{ and } \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{e}^{\alpha'})_{C^{\perp}} = -\mathbf{v}^{\alpha'}_{\beta'}(\mathbf{e}^{\beta'})_{C},
$$

for some antisymmetric d–tensors  $\mathbf{v}^{\alpha'\beta'} = -\mathbf{v}^{\beta'\alpha'}$ . We get a N–adapted  $(SO(n) \oplus SO(m))$ -parallel frame defining a generalization of the concept of Riemannian parallel frame on N–adapted manifolds whenever  $\mathfrak{p}_C$  is larger than  $\mathfrak{p}_{\parallel}$ . Substituting  $\mathbf{e}^{\alpha'} = (e^{i'}, \mathbf{e}^{\alpha'})$  into the last formulas and considering h- and v–components, we define  $SO(n)$ –parallel and  $SO(m)$ –parallel frames (for simplicity we omit these formulas when the Greek small letter indices are split into Latin small letter h- and v–indices).

The final conclusion of this section is that the Cartan structure equations on hypersurfaces swept out by nonholonomic curve flows on N–anholonomic spaces with constant matrix curvature for the canonical d–connection geometrically encode two  $O(n-1)$ – and  $O(m-1)$ –invariant, respectively, horizontal and vertical bi–Hamiltonian operators. This holds true if the distinguished by N–connection freedom of the d–group action  $SO(n) \oplus SO(m)$ on **e** and  $\Gamma$  is used to fix them to be a N-adapted parallel coframe and its associated canonical d–connection 1–form is related to the canonical covariant derivative on N–anholonomic manifolds.

## 4 Bi–Hamiltonians and N–adapted Vector Solitons

Introducing N–adapted orthonormalized bases, for N–anholonomic manifolds of dimension  $n + m$ , with constant curvatures of the canonical d– connection, we can derive bi–Hamiltonian and vector soliton structures. In symbolic, abstract index form, the constructions for nonholonomic vector bundles are similar to those for the Riemannian symmetric–spaces soldered to Klein geometry. We have to distinguish the horizontal and vertical components of geometric objects and related equations.

#### 4.1 Basic equations for N–anholonomic curve flows

In this section, we shall prove the results for the h–components of certain N–anholonomic manifolds with constant d–curvature and then dub the formulas for the v–components omitting similar details.

There is an isomorphism between the real space  $\mathfrak{so}(n)$  and the Lie algebra of  $n \times n$  skew–symmetric matrices. This allows to establish an isomorphism between  $h\mathfrak{p} \simeq \mathbb{R}^n$  and the tangent spaces  $T_xM = \mathfrak{so}(n+1)/\mathfrak{so}(n)$  of the Riemannian manifold  $M = SO(n+1)/SO(n)$  as described by the following canonical decomposition

$$
h\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(n+1) \supset h\mathfrak{p} \in \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & h\mathbf{p} \\ -h\mathbf{p}^T & h\mathbf{0} \end{array}\right] \text{ for } h\mathbf{0} \in h\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{so}(n)
$$

with  $h\mathbf{p} = \{p^{i'}\}\in\mathbb{R}^n$  being the h-component of the d-vector  $\mathbf{p} = (p^{i'}, p^{a'})$ and  $h\mathbf{p}^T$  mean the transposition of the row  $h\mathbf{p}$ . The Cartan–Killing inner product on hg is stated following the rule

$$
h \mathbf{p} \cdot h \mathbf{p} = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 0 & h\mathbf{p} \\ -h\mathbf{p}^T & h\mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & h\mathbf{p} \\ -h\mathbf{p}^T & h\mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle
$$
  

$$
\doteqdot \frac{1}{2} tr \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & h\mathbf{p} \\ -h\mathbf{p}^T & h\mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} 0 & h\mathbf{p} \\ -h\mathbf{p}^T & h\mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \right\},
$$

where  $tr$  denotes the trace of the corresponding product of matrices. This product identifies canonically  $h\mathfrak{p} \simeq \mathbb{R}^n$  with its dual  $h\mathfrak{p}^* \simeq \mathbb{R}^n$ . In a similar form, we can consider

$$
v\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(m+1) \supset v\mathfrak{p} \in \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & v\mathbf{p} \\ -v\mathbf{p}^T & v\mathbf{0} \end{array}\right] \text{ for } v\mathbf{0} \in v\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{so}(m)
$$

with  $v\mathbf{p} = \{p^{a'}\}\in\mathbb{R}^m$  being the v-component of the d-vector  $\mathbf{p} = (p^{i'}, p^{a'})$ and define the Cartan–Killing inner product  $v\mathbf{p}\cdot v\mathbf{p} \doteqdot \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}tr\{...\}$ . In general, in the tangent bundle of a N–anholonomic manifold, we can consider the Cartan–Killing N–adapted inner product  $\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{p} = h \mathbf{p} \cdot h \mathbf{p} + v \mathbf{p} \cdot v \mathbf{p}$ .

Following the introduced Cartan–Killing parametrizations, we analyze the flow  $\gamma(\tau, l)$  of a non–stretching curve in  $V_N = G/SO(n) \oplus SO(m)$ .

Let us introduce a coframe  $\mathbf{e} \in T^*_{\gamma} \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{N}} \otimes (h \mathfrak{p} \oplus v \mathfrak{p}),$  which is a N-adapted  $(SO(n) \oplus SO(m))$ –parallel basis along  $\gamma$ , and its associated canonical d–connection 1–form  $\Gamma \in T^*_\gamma V_N \otimes (\mathfrak{so}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(m))$ . Such d–objects are respectively parametrized:

$$
\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{e}_{h\mathbf{X}} + \mathbf{e}_{v\mathbf{X}},
$$
  
where  $(\text{for } (1, \vec{0}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \vec{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \text{ and } (1, \vec{0}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m}, \vec{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}, \mathbf{e}_{h\mathbf{X}} = \gamma_{h\mathbf{X}}\text{ and } (1, \vec{0})$ 
$$
(\mathbf{e}_{v\mathbf{X}} = \gamma_{v\mathbf{X}}\text{ and } \mathbf{e}_{v\mathbf{X}} = \gamma_{v\mathbf{X}}\text{ and } \mathbf{e}_{v\mathbf{X}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (1, \vec{0}) \\ - (1, \vec{0})^{T} & v\mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix};
$$

$$
\mathbf{\Gamma} = [\mathbf{\Gamma}_{h\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{\Gamma}_{v\mathbf{X}}],
$$

for

$$
\mathbf{\Gamma}_{h\mathbf{X}} = \gamma_{h\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (0, \overrightarrow{0}) \\ -(0, \overrightarrow{0})^T & \mathbf{L} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{so}(n+1),
$$

where  $L =$  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \overrightarrow{v} \end{bmatrix}$  $-\overrightarrow{v}^T$  h0 1  $\in \mathfrak{so}(n), \overrightarrow{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, h0 \in \mathfrak{so}(n-1), \text{and}$ 

$$
\mathbf{\Gamma}_{v\mathbf{X}} = \gamma_{v\mathbf{X}} \rfloor \mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (0, \overleftarrow{0}) \\ -(0, \overleftarrow{0})^T & \mathbf{C} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{so}(m+1),
$$

where  $\mathbf{C} =$  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \overleftarrow{v} \end{bmatrix}$  $-\overleftarrow{v}^T$  v**0**  $\Big] \in \mathfrak{so}(m),\; \overleftarrow{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}, \; v\mathbf{0} \in \mathfrak{so}(m-1).$ 

The above parametrizations are fixed in order to preserve the  $SO(n)$  and  $SO(m)$  rotation gauge freedoms on the N–adapted coframe and canonical d–connection 1–form, distinguished in h- and v–components.

There are defined decompositions of horizontal  $SO(n+1)/SO(n)$  matrices like

$$
h\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \begin{bmatrix} 0 & h\mathfrak{p} \\ -h\mathfrak{p}^T & h\mathfrak{0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (h\mathfrak{p}_{\parallel}, \overrightarrow{0}) \\ -\left(h\mathfrak{p}_{\parallel}, \overrightarrow{0}\right)^T & h\mathfrak{0} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (0, h\overrightarrow{\mathfrak{p}}_{\perp}) \\ -\left(0, h\overrightarrow{\mathfrak{p}}_{\perp}\right)^T & h\mathfrak{0} \end{bmatrix},
$$

into tangential and normal parts relative to  $e_{hX}$  via corresponding decompositions of h–vectors  $h\mathbf{p} = (h\mathbf{p}_{\parallel}, h\vec{p}_{\perp}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$  relative to  $(1, \vec{0})$ , when  $h\mathbf{p}_{\parallel}$ is identified with  $h\mathfrak{p}_C$  and  $h\overrightarrow{\mathbf{p}}_\perp$  is identified with  $h\mathfrak{p}_\perp = h\mathfrak{p}_{C\perp}$ . In a similar form, it is possible to decompose vertical  $SO(m+1)/SO(m)$  matrices,

$$
v\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \begin{bmatrix} 0 & v\mathfrak{p} \\ -v\mathfrak{p}^T & v\mathfrak{0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (v\mathfrak{p}_{\parallel}, \overleftarrow{0}) \\ -\left(v\mathfrak{p}_{\parallel}, \overleftarrow{0}\right)^T & v\mathfrak{0} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (0, v\overleftarrow{\mathfrak{p}}_{\perp}) \\ -(0, v\overleftarrow{\mathfrak{p}}_{\perp})^T & v\mathfrak{0} \end{bmatrix},
$$

into tangential and normal parts relative to  $\mathbf{e}_{v}$  via corresponding decompositions of h–vectors  $v\mathbf{p} = (v\mathbf{p}_{\parallel}, v\overleftarrow{\mathbf{p}}_{\perp}) \in \mathbb{R}^m$  relative to  $(1, 0)$ , when  $v\mathbf{p}_{\parallel}$ is identified with  $v\mathfrak{p}_C$  and  $v\overleftarrow{\mathbf{p}}_\perp$  is identified with  $v\mathfrak{p}_\perp = v\mathfrak{p}_{C^\perp}$ .

The canonical d–connection induces matrices decomposed with respect to the flow direction. In the h–direction, we parametrize

$$
\mathbf{e}_h \mathbf{y} = \gamma_\tau \rfloor h \mathbf{e} = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 0 & (h \mathbf{e}_{\parallel}, h \overrightarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp}) \\ - (h \mathbf{e}_{\parallel}, h \overrightarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp})^T & h \mathbf{0} \end{array} \right],
$$

when  $\mathbf{e}_h \mathbf{y} \in h\mathfrak{p}, (h\mathbf{e}_{\parallel}, h\overrightarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $h\overrightarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ , and

<span id="page-21-0"></span>
$$
\mathbf{\Gamma}_h \mathbf{Y} = \gamma_h \mathbf{Y} \rfloor \mathbf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (0, \overrightarrow{0}) \\ -(0, \overrightarrow{0})^T & h \overrightarrow{\omega}_\tau \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{so}(n+1), \tag{28}
$$

where  $h\varpi_{\tau}=$  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \vec{\varpi} \end{bmatrix}$  $-\vec{\varpi}^T$  hΘ 1  $\in \mathfrak{so}(n), \; \overrightarrow{\omega} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \; h\mathbf{\Theta} \in \mathfrak{so}(n-1).$ 

In the v–direction, we parametrize

$$
\mathbf{e}_v \mathbf{y} = \gamma_\tau \rfloor v \mathbf{e} = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 0 & (v \mathbf{e}_{\parallel}, v \overleftarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp}) \\ - (v \mathbf{e}_{\parallel}, v \overleftarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp})^T & v \mathbf{0} \end{array} \right],
$$

when  $\mathbf{e}_v \mathbf{y} \in v\mathfrak{p}, (v\mathbf{e}_{\parallel}, v\overleftarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp}) \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $v\overleftarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp} \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$ , and

$$
\mathbf{\Gamma}_{v\mathbf{Y}} = \gamma_{v\mathbf{Y}} \rfloor \mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (0, \overleftarrow{0}) \\ -(0, \overleftarrow{0})^T & v\varpi_{\tau} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{so}(m+1),
$$

where  $v\varpi_{\tau}$ =  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \overleftarrow{\overline{w}} \end{bmatrix}$  $-\overleftarrow{\varpi}^T$  v $\Theta$  $\Big] \in \mathfrak{so}(m),\ \overleftarrow{\varpi} \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1},\ v\mathbf{\Theta} \in \mathfrak{so}(m-1).$ 

The components  $h\mathbf{e}_{\parallel}$  and  $h\vec{e}_{\perp}$  correspond to the decomposition

$$
\mathbf{e}_h \mathbf{y} = h\mathbf{g}(\gamma_\tau, \gamma_1)\mathbf{e}_h \mathbf{x} + (\gamma_\tau)_{\perp} \rfloor h\mathbf{e}_{\perp}
$$

into tangential and normal parts relative to  $e_{hX}$ . In a similar form, one considers  $v\mathbf{e}_{\parallel}$  and  $v\overleftarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp}$  corresponding to the decomposition

$$
\mathbf{e}_v \mathbf{y} = v \mathbf{g}(\gamma_\tau, \gamma_1) \mathbf{e}_{v \mathbf{X}} + (\gamma_\tau) \mathbf{e} \, v \mathbf{e} \mathbf{e}.
$$

Using the above stated matrix parametrizations, we get

<span id="page-22-0"></span>
$$
[\mathbf{e}_{h\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{e}_{h\mathbf{Y}}] = -\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & h\mathbf{e}_{\perp} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{so}(n+1),
$$
(29)  
for  $h\mathbf{e}_{\perp} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & h\vec{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp} \\ -(h\vec{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp})^T & h\mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{so}(n);$   

$$
[\mathbf{\Gamma}_{h\mathbf{Y}}, \mathbf{e}_{h\mathbf{Y}}] = -\begin{bmatrix} 0 & (0, \overrightarrow{\varpi}) \\ -(0, \overrightarrow{\varpi})^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in h\mathfrak{p}_{\perp};
$$
  

$$
[\mathbf{\Gamma}_{h\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{e}_{h\mathbf{Y}}] = -\begin{bmatrix} 0 & (-\overrightarrow{v} \cdot h\vec{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp}, h\mathbf{e}_{\parallel} \overrightarrow{v}) \\ -(-\overrightarrow{v} \cdot h\vec{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp}, h\mathbf{e}_{\parallel} \overrightarrow{v})^T & h\mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \in h\mathfrak{p};
$$

and

<span id="page-22-1"></span>
$$
[\mathbf{e}_{v}\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{e}_{v}\mathbf{y}] = -\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & v\mathbf{e}_{\perp} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{so}(m+1),
$$
(30)  
for  $v\mathbf{e}_{\perp} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & v\vec{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp} \\ -(v\vec{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp})^T & v\mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{so}(m);$   

$$
[\mathbf{\Gamma}_{v}\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{e}_{v}\mathbf{y}] = -\begin{bmatrix} 0 & (0, \overleftarrow{\omega}) \\ -(0, \overleftarrow{\omega})^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in v\mathfrak{p}_{\perp};
$$
  

$$
[\mathbf{\Gamma}_{v}\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{e}_{v}\mathbf{y}] = -\begin{bmatrix} 0 & (-\overleftarrow{v} \cdot v\overleftarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp}, v\mathbf{e}_{\parallel} \overleftarrow{v}) \\ -(-\overleftarrow{v} \cdot v\overleftarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp}, v\mathbf{e}_{\parallel} \overleftarrow{v})^T & v\mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \in v\mathfrak{p}.
$$

We can use formulas  $(29)$  and  $(30)$  in order to write the structure equations [\(21\)](#page-12-0) and [\(22\)](#page-12-1) in terms of N–adapted curve flow operators soldered to the geometry Klein N–anholonomic spaces using the relations [\(27\)](#page-17-0). One obtains respectively the G–invariant N–adapted torsion and curvature generated by the canonical d–connection,

<span id="page-22-2"></span>
$$
\mathbf{T}(\gamma_{\tau}, \gamma_{l}) = (\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{X}}\gamma_{\tau} - \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{Y}}\gamma_{l})\big|\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{Y}} - \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{Y}}\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{X}} + [\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{Y}}] - [\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{Y}}, \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{X}}] \tag{31}
$$

and

<span id="page-22-3"></span>
$$
\mathbf{R}(\gamma_{\tau}, \gamma_{l})\mathbf{e} = [\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{Y}}] \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{Y}} - \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{Y}}\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{X}} + [\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{Y}}] \tag{32}
$$

where  $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{X}} \doteq \gamma_1 | \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{Y}} \doteq \gamma_\tau | \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{X}} \doteq \gamma_1 | \mathbf{\Gamma}$  and  $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{Y}} \doteq \gamma_\tau | \mathbf{\Gamma}$ . The formulas [\(31\)](#page-22-2) and [\(32\)](#page-22-3) are equivalent, respectively, to [\(A.17\)](#page-40-2) and [\(A.19\)](#page-40-0). In general,

 $\mathbf{T}(\gamma_{\tau},\gamma_{\mathbf{l}}) \neq 0$  and  $\mathbf{R}(\gamma_{\tau},\gamma_{\mathbf{l}})$ e can not be defined to have constant matrix coefficients with respect to a N–adapted basis. For N–anholonomic spaces with dimensions  $n = m$ , we have a more special d–connections also with possible constant, or vanishing, d–curvature and d–torsion coefficients (see discussions related to formulas [\(A.14\)](#page-39-3)). For such cases, we can consider the h– and v–components of [\(31\)](#page-22-2) and [\(32\)](#page-22-3) in a similar manner as for symmetric Riemannian spaces but for a d–connection D instead of the Levi–Civita one ∇. We obtain

<span id="page-23-0"></span>
$$
0 = (\mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}}\gamma_{\tau} - \mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{Y}}\gamma_{l})\]he
$$
(33)  
\n
$$
= \mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{e}_{h\mathbf{Y}} - \mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{Y}}\mathbf{e}_{h\mathbf{X}} + [\mathbf{L}_{h\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{e}_{h\mathbf{Y}}] - [\mathbf{L}_{h\mathbf{Y}}, \mathbf{e}_{h\mathbf{X}}];
$$
  
\n
$$
0 = (\mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{X}}\gamma_{\tau} - \mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{Y}}\gamma_{l})\]ve
$$
  
\n
$$
= \mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{e}_{v\mathbf{Y}} - \mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{Y}}\mathbf{e}_{v\mathbf{X}} + [\mathbf{C}_{v\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{e}_{v\mathbf{Y}}] - [\mathbf{C}_{v\mathbf{Y}}, \mathbf{e}_{v\mathbf{X}}],
$$
  
\n
$$
h\mathbf{R}(\gamma_{\tau}, \gamma_{l})he = [\mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{Y}}]he = \mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{L}_{h\mathbf{Y}} - \mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{Y}}\mathbf{L}_{h\mathbf{X}} + [\mathbf{L}_{h\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{L}_{h\mathbf{Y}}]
$$
  
\n
$$
v\mathbf{R}(\gamma_{\tau}, \gamma_{l})ve = [\mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{Y}}]ve = \mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{C}_{v\mathbf{Y}} - \mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{Y}}\mathbf{C}_{v\mathbf{X}} + [\mathbf{C}_{v\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{C}_{v\mathbf{Y}}].
$$

Following N–adapted curve flow parametrizations [\(29\)](#page-22-0) and [\(30\)](#page-22-1), the equations [\(33\)](#page-23-0) are written

<span id="page-23-1"></span>
$$
0 = \mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}} h\mathbf{e}_{\parallel} + \overrightarrow{v} \cdot h \overrightarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp}, \ 0 = \mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{X}} v\mathbf{e}_{\parallel} + \overleftarrow{v} \cdot v \overleftarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp}; \tag{34}
$$
  
\n
$$
0 = \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\varpi}} - h\mathbf{e}_{\parallel} \overrightarrow{v} + \mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}} h \overrightarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp}, \ 0 = \overleftarrow{\boldsymbol{\varpi}} - v\mathbf{e}_{\parallel} \overleftarrow{v} + \mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{X}} v \overleftarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp};
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}} \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\varpi}} - \mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{Y}} \overrightarrow{v} + \overrightarrow{v} \rfloor h \mathbf{\Theta} = h \overrightarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp}, \ \mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{X}} \overleftarrow{\boldsymbol{\varpi}} - \mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{Y}} \overleftarrow{v} + \overleftarrow{v} \rfloor v \mathbf{\Theta} = v \overleftarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp};
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}} h \mathbf{\Theta} - \overrightarrow{v} \otimes \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\varpi}} + \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\varpi}} \otimes \overrightarrow{v} = 0, \ \mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{X}} v \mathbf{\Theta} - \overleftarrow{v} \otimes \overleftarrow{\boldsymbol{\varpi}} + \overleftarrow{\boldsymbol{\varpi}} \otimes \overleftarrow{v} = 0.
$$

The tensor and interior products, for instance, for the h–components, are defined in the form:  $\otimes$  denotes the outer product of pairs of vectors  $(1 \times n)$ row matrices), producing  $n \times n$  matrices  $\vec{A} \otimes \vec{B} = \vec{A}^T \vec{B}$ , and  $\vec{A}$  denotes multiplication of  $n \times n$  matrices on vectors  $(1 \times n$  row matrices); one holds the properties  $\vec{A} \mid (\vec{B} \otimes \vec{C}) = (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{B}) \vec{C}$  which is the transpose of the standard matrix product on column vectors, and  $(\vec{B} \otimes \vec{C}) \vec{A} = (\vec{C} \cdot \vec{A}) \vec{B}$ . Here we note that similar formulas hold for the v–components but, for instance, we have to change, correspondingly,  $n \to m$  and  $\overrightarrow{A} \to \overleftarrow{A}$ .

The variables  $\mathbf{e}_{\parallel}$  and  $\mathbf{\Theta}$ , written in h– and v–components, can be expressed correspondingly in terms of variables  $\vec{v}, \vec{\varpi}, h\vec{e}_{\perp}$  and  $\vec{v}, \vec{\varpi}, v\vec{e}_{\perp}$ (see equations [\(34\)](#page-23-1)),

$$
h\mathbf{e}_{\parallel}=-\mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}}^{-1}(\overrightarrow{v}\cdot h\overrightarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp}),\,\,v\mathbf{e}_{\parallel}=-\mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{X}}^{-1}(\overleftarrow{v}\cdot v\overleftarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp}),
$$

and  $h\mathbf{\Theta} = \mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}}^{-1}(\overrightarrow{v} \otimes \overrightarrow{\omega} - \overrightarrow{\omega} \otimes \overrightarrow{v})$ ,  $v\mathbf{\Theta} = \mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{X}}^{-1}(\overleftarrow{v} \otimes \overleftarrow{\omega} - \overleftarrow{\omega} \otimes \overleftarrow{v})$ . Substituting these values, respectively, in equations in [\(34\)](#page-23-1), we express

$$
\overrightarrow{\omega} = -\mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}}h\overrightarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp} - \mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}}^{-1}(\overrightarrow{v} \cdot h\overrightarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp})\overrightarrow{v}, \ \overleftarrow{\omega} = -\mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{X}}v\overleftarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp} - \mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{X}}^{-1}(\overleftarrow{v} \cdot v\overleftarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp})\overleftarrow{v},
$$

contained in the h– and v–flow equations respectively on  $\vec{v}$  and  $\vec{v}$ , considered as scalar components when  $\mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{Y}}\overrightarrow{v}=\overrightarrow{v}_{\tau}$  and  $\mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{Y}}\overleftarrow{v}=\overleftarrow{v}_{\tau}$ ,

<span id="page-24-2"></span>
$$
\overrightarrow{v}_{\tau} = \mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}} \overrightarrow{\omega} - \overrightarrow{v} \rfloor \mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}}^{-1} (\overrightarrow{v} \otimes \overrightarrow{\omega} - \overrightarrow{\omega} \otimes \overrightarrow{v}) - \overrightarrow{R} h \overrightarrow{e}_{\perp},
$$
(35)  

$$
\overleftarrow{v}_{\tau} = \mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{X}} \overleftarrow{\omega} - \overleftarrow{v} \rfloor \mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{X}}^{-1} (\overleftarrow{v} \otimes \overleftarrow{\omega} - \overleftarrow{\omega} \otimes \overleftarrow{v}) - \overleftarrow{S} v \overleftarrow{e}_{\perp},
$$

where the scalar curvatures of chosen d–connection,  $\vec{R}$  and  $\vec{S}$  are defined by formulas [\(A.21\)](#page-41-8) in Appendix. For symmetric Riemannian spaces like  $SO(n+1)/SO(n) \simeq S^n$ , the value  $\overrightarrow{R}$  is just the scalar curvature  $\chi = 1$ , see [\[17\]](#page-42-8). On N–anholonomic (pseudo) Riemannian manifolds, it is possible to define such d–connections that  $\overline{R}$  and  $\overline{S}$  are certain zero or nonzero constants, see Corollary [2.1.](#page-8-3)

The above presented considerations consist the proof of

Lemma 4.1 On N–anholonomic (pseudo) Riemannian manifolds with constant curvature matrix coefficients for a d–connection, there are N–adapted Hamiltonian symplectic operators,

<span id="page-24-0"></span>
$$
h\mathcal{J} = \mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}} + \mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}}^{-1}(\overrightarrow{v}\cdot)\overrightarrow{v} \quad and \quad v\mathcal{J} = \mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{X}} + \mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{X}}^{-1}(\overleftarrow{v}\cdot)\overleftarrow{v}, \tag{36}
$$

and cosymplectic operators

<span id="page-24-1"></span>
$$
h\mathcal{H} \doteqdot \mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}} + \overrightarrow{v} \rfloor \mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}}^{-1} (\overrightarrow{v}\wedge) \quad \text{and} \quad v\mathcal{H} \doteqdot \mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{X}} + \overleftarrow{v} \rfloor \mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{X}}^{-1} (\overleftarrow{v}\wedge), \qquad (37)
$$

where, for instance,  $\overrightarrow{A} \wedge \overrightarrow{B} = \overrightarrow{A} \otimes \overrightarrow{B} - \overrightarrow{B} \otimes \overrightarrow{A}$ .

The properties of operators [\(36\)](#page-24-0) and [\(37\)](#page-24-1) are defined by

**Theorem 4.1** The d-operators  $\mathcal{J} = (h\mathcal{J}, v\mathcal{J})$  and  $\mathcal{H} = (h\mathcal{H}, v\mathcal{H})$  are respectively  $(O(n-1), O(m-1))$ –invariant Hamiltonian symplectic and cosymplectic d–operators with respect to the Hamiltonian d–variables  $(\vec{v}, \vec{v})$ . Such d–operators defines the Hamiltonian form for the curve flow equations on N–anholonomic (pseudo) Riemannian manifolds with constant d– connection curvature: the h–flows are given by

$$
\overrightarrow{v}_{\tau} = h\mathcal{H}(\overrightarrow{\varpi}) - \overrightarrow{R} h \overrightarrow{e}_{\perp} = h\mathfrak{R} (h \overrightarrow{e}_{\perp}) - \overrightarrow{R} h \overrightarrow{e}_{\perp}, \n\overrightarrow{\varpi} = h\mathcal{J} (h \overrightarrow{e}_{\perp});
$$
\n(38)

the v–flows are given by

$$
\overleftarrow{v}_{\tau} = v \mathcal{H}(\overleftarrow{\varpi}) - \overleftarrow{S} v \overleftarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp} = v \mathfrak{R} (v \overleftarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp}) - \overleftarrow{S} v \overleftarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp}, \n\overleftarrow{\varpi} = v \mathcal{J} (v \overleftarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp}),
$$
\n(39)

where the so-called heriditary recursion d-operator has the respective  $h$ - and v–components

<span id="page-25-0"></span>
$$
h\mathfrak{R} = h\mathcal{H} \circ h\mathcal{J} \quad and \quad v\mathfrak{R} = v\mathcal{H} \circ v\mathcal{J}.
$$
 (40)

Proof. One follows from the Lemma and [\(35\)](#page-24-2). In a detailed form, for holonomic structures, it is given in Ref. [\[12\]](#page-42-3) and discussed in [\[17\]](#page-42-8). The above considerations, in this section, provides a soldering of certain classes of N–anholonomic (pseudo) Riemannian manifolds with  $(O(n-1), O(m-1))$ – gauge symmetry to the geometry of Klein N–anholonomic spaces.

### 4.2 Bi–Hamiltonian curve flows and solitonic hierarchies

Following a usual solitonic techniques, see details in Ref. [\[16,](#page-42-7) [17\]](#page-42-8), the recursion h–operator from [\(40\)](#page-25-0),

<span id="page-25-1"></span>
$$
h\mathfrak{R} = \mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}} \left( \mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}} + \mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}}^{-1} (\overrightarrow{v} \cdot) \overrightarrow{v} \right) + \overrightarrow{v} \cdot \mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}}^{-1} (\overrightarrow{v} \wedge \mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}}) \qquad (41)
$$
  
=  $\mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}}^2 + |\mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}}|^2 + \mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}}^{-1} (\overrightarrow{v} \cdot) \overrightarrow{v}_1 - \overrightarrow{v} \cdot |\mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}}^{-1} (\overrightarrow{v}_1 \wedge),$ 

generates a horizontal hierarchy of commuting Hamiltonian vector fields  $h\overrightarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp}^{(k)}$  $\mathcal{L}_{\perp}^{(k)}$  starting from  $h\vec{e}_{\perp}^{(0)} = \vec{v}_1$  given by the infinitesimal generator of l–translations in terms of arclength l along the curve (we use a boldface l in order to emphasized that the curve is on a N–anholonomic manifold). A vertical hierarchy of commuting vector fields  $v\overleftarrow{e}^{(k)}$  $\mathbf{u}^{(k)}$  starting from  $v \mathbf{e}^{(0)}$ ⊥  $= \overleftarrow{v}_1$  is generated by the recursion v–operator

<span id="page-25-2"></span>
$$
v\mathfrak{R} = \mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{X}} \left( \mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{X}} + \mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{X}}^{-1} (\overleftarrow{v} \cdot) \overleftarrow{v} \right) + \overleftarrow{v} \left] \mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{X}}^{-1} (\overleftarrow{v} \wedge \mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{X}}) \right. \right. \tag{42}
$$
\n
$$
= \mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{X}}^2 + |\mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{X}}|^2 + \mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{X}}^{-1} (\overleftarrow{v} \cdot) \overleftarrow{v}_1 - \overleftarrow{v} \left] \mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{X}}^{-1} (\overleftarrow{v}_1 \wedge).
$$

There are related hierarchies, generated by adjoint operators  $\mathfrak{R}^* = (h\mathfrak{R}^*,$  $v\mathfrak{R}^*$ ), of involuntive Hamiltonian h–covector fields  $\vec{\varpi}^{(k)} = \delta \left(hH^{(k)}\right)/\delta \vec{v}$  in terms of Hamiltonians  $hH = hH^{(k)}(\vec{v}, \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, ...)$  starting from  $\dot{\vec{v}}^{(0)} =$  $\overrightarrow{v}$ ,  $hH^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}|\vec{v}|^2$  and of involutive Hamiltonian v–covector fields  $\varpi^{(k)}$  =  $\delta\left(vH^{(k)}\right)/\delta\dot{\overline{v}}$  in terms of Hamiltonians  $vH = vH^{(k)}(\overleftarrow{v}, \overleftarrow{v}_1, \overleftarrow{v}_{2l}, ...)$  starting from  $\overleftarrow{\varpi}^{(0)} = \overleftarrow{v}$ ,  $vH^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}|\overleftarrow{v}|^2$ . The relations between hierarchies are established correspondingly by formulas

$$
\begin{array}{rcl} h\overrightarrow{\mathbf{e}}^{(k)}_{\perp} &=& h\mathcal{H}\left(\overrightarrow{\varpi}^{(k)},\overrightarrow{\varpi}^{(k+1)}\right)=h\mathcal{J}\left(h\overrightarrow{\mathbf{e}}^{(k)}_{\perp}\right),\\ v\overleftarrow{\mathbf{e}}^{(k)}_{\perp} &=& v\mathcal{H}\left(\overleftarrow{\varpi}^{(k)},\overleftarrow{\varpi}^{(k+1)}\right)=v\mathcal{J}\left(v\overleftarrow{\mathbf{e}}^{(k)}_{\perp}\right), \end{array}
$$

where  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  All hierarchies (horizontal, vertical and their adjoint ones) have a typical mKdV scaling symmetry, for instance,  $l \to \lambda l$  and  $\overrightarrow{v} \to$  $\lambda^{-1} \overrightarrow{v}$  under which the values  $h \overrightarrow{e}^{(k)}$  $\mathcal{L}^{(k)}$  and  $hH^{(k)}$  have scaling weight  $2+2k$ , while  $\vec{\varpi}^{(k)}$  has scaling weight  $1+\overline{2}k$ .

<span id="page-26-1"></span>The above presented considerations prove

Corollary 4.1 There are N–adapted hierarchies of distinguished horizontal and vertical commuting bi–Hamiltonian flows, correspondingly, on  $\overrightarrow{v}$  and  $\overleftarrow{v}$  associated to the recursion d–operator [\(40\)](#page-25-0) given by  $O(n-1) \oplus O(m-1)$ –invariant d–vector evolution equations,

$$
\overrightarrow{v}_{\tau} = h \overrightarrow{e}_{\perp}^{(k+1)} - \overrightarrow{R} h \overrightarrow{e}_{\perp}^{(k)} = h \mathcal{H} \left( \delta \left( h H^{(k, \overrightarrow{R})} \right) / \delta \overrightarrow{v} \right)
$$

$$
= (h \mathcal{J})^{-1} \left( \delta \left( h H^{(k+1, \overrightarrow{R})} \right) / \delta \overrightarrow{v} \right)
$$

with horizontal Hamiltonians  $hH^{(k+1,\overrightarrow{R})} = hH^{(k+1,\overrightarrow{R})} - \overrightarrow{R} hH^{(k,\overrightarrow{R})}$  and

$$
\overleftarrow{v}_{\tau} = v \overleftarrow{e}_{\perp}^{(k+1)} - \overleftarrow{S} v \overleftarrow{e}_{\perp}^{(k)} = v \mathcal{H} \left( \delta \left( v H^{(k, \overleftarrow{S})} \right) / \delta \overleftarrow{v} \right) \right)
$$

$$
= (v \mathcal{J})^{-1} \left( \delta \left( v H^{(k+1, \overleftarrow{S})} \right) / \delta \overleftarrow{v} \right)
$$

with vertical Hamiltonians  $vH^{(k+1,\overline{S})} = vH^{(k+1,\overline{S})} - \overline{S} \ vH^{(k,\overline{S})}$ , for  $k =$  $0, 1, 2, \ldots$ . The d-operators  $\mathcal H$  and  $\mathcal J$  are N-adapted and mutually compatible from which one can be constructed an alternative (explicit) Hamilton d– operator  ${}^a\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H} \circ \mathcal{J} \circ \mathcal{H} = \mathfrak{R} \circ \mathcal{H}.$ 

### 4.2.1 Formulation of the main theorem

The main goal of this paper is to prove that the geometric data for any (pseudo) Riemannian metric naturally define a N–adapted bi–Hamiltonian flow hierarchy inducing anholonomic solitonic configurations.

<span id="page-26-0"></span>**Theorem 4.2** For any N–anholonomic (pseudo) Riemannian manifold with prescribed d–metric structure, there is a hierarchy of bi-Hamiltonian N– adapted flows of curves  $\gamma(\tau, l) = h\gamma(\tau, l) + v\gamma(\tau, l)$  described by geometric nonholonomic map equations. The 0 flows are defined as convective (travelling wave) maps

<span id="page-27-2"></span>
$$
\gamma_{\tau} = \gamma_1, \text{ distinguished } (h\gamma)_{\tau} = (h\gamma)_{h\mathbf{X}} \text{ and } (v\gamma)_{\tau} = (v\gamma)_{v\mathbf{X}}.
$$
 (43)

There are  $+1$  flows defined as non-stretching mKdV maps

<span id="page-27-1"></span>
$$
-(h\gamma)_{\tau} = \mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}}^2 (h\gamma)_{h\mathbf{X}} + \frac{3}{2} |\mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}} (h\gamma)_{h\mathbf{X}}|_{h\mathbf{g}}^2 (h\gamma)_{h\mathbf{X}}, \qquad (44)
$$

$$
-(v\gamma)_{\tau} = \mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{X}}^2 (v\gamma)_{v\mathbf{X}} + \frac{3}{2} |\mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{X}} (v\gamma)_{v\mathbf{X}}|_{v\mathbf{g}}^2 (v\gamma)_{v\mathbf{X}},
$$

and the  $+2,...$  flows as higher order analogs. Finally, the  $-1$  flows are defined by the kernels of recursion operators  $(41)$  and  $(42)$  inducing non-stretching maps

<span id="page-27-3"></span>
$$
\mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{Y}}\left(h\gamma\right)_{h\mathbf{X}} = 0 \ \ and \ \ \mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{Y}}\left(v\gamma\right)_{v\mathbf{X}} = 0. \tag{45}
$$

**Proof.** It is given in the next section [4.2.2.](#page-27-0)

For similar constructions in gravity models with nontrivial torsion and nonholonomic structure and related geometry of noncommutative spaces and anholonomic spinors, it is important [\[1,](#page-41-0) [8\]](#page-41-7).

## <span id="page-27-0"></span>4.2.2 Proof of the main theorem

We provide a proof of Theorem [4.2](#page-26-0) for the horizontal flows. The approach is based on the method provided in Section 3 of Ref. [\[16\]](#page-42-7) but in this work the Levi– Civita connection on symmetric Riemannian spaces is substituted by the horizontal components of a d–connection with constant d–curvature coefficients. The vertical constructions are similar but with respective changing of h– variables / objects into v- variables/ objects.

One obtains a vector mKdV equation up to a convective term (can be absorbed by redefinition of coordinates) defining the +1 flow for  $h \vec{e}_{\perp} = \vec{v}_1$ ,

$$
\overrightarrow{v}_{\tau} = \overrightarrow{v}_{31} + \frac{3}{2} |\overrightarrow{v}|^2 - \overrightarrow{R} \overrightarrow{v}_1,
$$

when the  $+(k+1)$  flow gives a vector mKdV equation of higher order  $3+2k$ on  $\vec{v}$  and there is a 0 h–flow  $\vec{v}$   $_{\tau} = \vec{v}_1$  arising from  $h \vec{e}_{\perp} = 0$  and  $h \vec{e}_{\parallel} = 1$ belonging outside the hierarchy generated by  $h\mathcal{R}$ . Such flows correspond to N–adapted horizontal motions of the curve  $\gamma(\tau, \mathbf{l}) = h\gamma(\tau, \mathbf{l}) + v\gamma(\tau, \mathbf{l})$ , given by

$$
(h\gamma)_{\tau} = f\left((h\gamma)_{h\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}}(h\gamma)_{h\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}}^{2}(h\gamma)_{h\mathbf{X}}, ...\right)
$$

subject to the non–stretching condition  $|(h\gamma)_{hX}|_{hg} = 1$ , when the equation of motion is to be derived from the identifications

$$
(h\gamma)_{\tau} \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{e}_{h\mathbf{Y}}, \mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}} (h\gamma)_{h\mathbf{X}} \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{h\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{e}_{h\mathbf{X}} = [\mathbf{L}_{h\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{e}_{h\mathbf{X}}]
$$

and so on, which maps the constructions from the tangent space of the curve to the space  $h$ **p**. For such identifications, we have

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n[\mathbf{L}_{h\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{e}_{h\mathbf{X}}] & = & -\left[ \begin{array}{cc} 0 & (0, \overrightarrow{v}) \\ -(0, \overrightarrow{v})^T & h\mathbf{0} \end{array} \right] \in h\mathfrak{p}, \\
[\mathbf{L}_{h\mathbf{X}}, [\mathbf{L}_{h\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{e}_{h\mathbf{X}}]] & = & -\left[ \begin{array}{cc} 0 & \left( |\overrightarrow{v}|^2, \overrightarrow{0} \right) \\ -\left( |\overrightarrow{v}|^2, \overrightarrow{0} \right)^T & h\mathbf{0} \end{array} \right]\n\end{array}
$$

and so on, see similar calculus in  $(29)$ . At the next step, stating for the  $+1$ h–flow

$$
h \vec{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp} = \vec{v}_1
$$
 and  $h \vec{\mathbf{e}}_{\parallel} = -\mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}}^{-1} (\vec{v} \cdot \vec{v}_1) = -\frac{1}{2} |\vec{v}|^2$ ,

we compute

$$
\mathbf{e}_{h\mathbf{Y}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (h\mathbf{e}_{\parallel}, h\overrightarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp}) \\ - (h\mathbf{e}_{\parallel}, h\overrightarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp})^{T} & h\mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}
$$
  
\n
$$
= -\frac{1}{2}|\overrightarrow{v}|^{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (1, \overrightarrow{\mathbf{0}}) \\ - (0, \overrightarrow{\mathbf{0}})^{T} & h\mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (0, \overrightarrow{v}_{h\mathbf{X}})^{T} & h\mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}} [\mathbf{L}_{h\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{e}_{h\mathbf{X}}] + \frac{1}{2} [\mathbf{L}_{h\mathbf{X}}, [\mathbf{L}_{h\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{e}_{h\mathbf{X}}]]
$$
  
\n
$$
= -\mathcal{D}_{h\mathbf{X}} [\mathbf{L}_{h\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{e}_{h\mathbf{X}}] - \frac{3}{2}|\overrightarrow{v}|^{2} \mathbf{e}_{h\mathbf{X}}.
$$

Following above presented identifications related to the first and second terms, when

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n|\vec{v}|^2 &=< [\mathbf{L}_{h\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{e}_{h\mathbf{X}}], [\mathbf{L}_{h\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{e}_{h\mathbf{X}}] >_{h\mathfrak{p}} \longleftrightarrow h\mathbf{g} \left(\mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}} \left(h\gamma\right)_{h\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}} \left(h\gamma\right)_{h\mathbf{X}}\right) \\
&=& |\mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}} \left(h\gamma\right)_{h\mathbf{X}}|_{h\mathbf{g}}^2,\n\end{array}
$$

we can identify  $\mathcal{D}_{h\mathbf{X}}\left[\mathbf{L}_{h\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{e}_{h\mathbf{X}}\right]$  to  $\mathbf{D}^2_{h\mathbf{X}}\left(h\gamma\right)_{h\mathbf{X}}$  and write

$$
-\mathbf{e}_{h\mathbf{Y}} \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}}^{2} (h\gamma)_{h\mathbf{X}} + \frac{3}{2} |\mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}} (h\gamma)_{h\mathbf{X}}|_{h\mathbf{g}}^{2} (h\gamma)_{h\mathbf{X}}
$$

which is just the first equation [\(44\)](#page-27-1) in the Theorem [4.2](#page-26-0) defining a non– stretching mKdV map h–equation induced by the h–part of the canonical d–connection.

Using the adjoint representation  $ad(\cdot)$  acting in the Lie algebra  $h\mathfrak{g} =$  $h\mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{so}(n)$ , with

$$
ad([\mathbf{L}_{h\mathbf{X}},\mathbf{e}_{h\mathbf{X}}])\mathbf{e}_{h\mathbf{X}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0&\left(0,\overrightarrow{\mathbf{0}}\right)\\\left(-\left(0,\overrightarrow{\mathbf{0}}\right)^{T}&\overrightarrow{\mathbf{v}}\end{array}\right]\in \mathfrak{so}(n+1),
$$

where  $\vec{v} = -\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \vec{v} \\ -\vec{v}T & \vec{v} \end{bmatrix}$  $-\overline{v}T$   $h0 \in \mathfrak{so}(n)$ 1 , and (applying  $ad([\mathbf{L}_{h\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{e}_{h\mathbf{X}}])$  again)

$$
ad([\mathbf{L}_{h\mathbf{X}},\mathbf{e}_{h\mathbf{X}}])^{2}\mathbf{e}_{h\mathbf{X}}=-|\overrightarrow{v}|^{2}\left[\begin{array}{cc}0&\left(1,\overrightarrow{0}\right)\\-\left(1,\overrightarrow{0}\right)^{T}&\mathbf{0}\end{array}\right]=-|\overrightarrow{v}|^{2}\mathbf{e}_{h\mathbf{X}},
$$

the equation [\(44\)](#page-27-1) can be represented in alternative form

$$
-(h\gamma)_{\tau} = \mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}}^2 (h\gamma)_{h\mathbf{X}} - \frac{3}{2} \overrightarrow{R}^{-1} ad \left(\mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}} (h\gamma)_{h\mathbf{X}}\right)^2 (h\gamma)_{h\mathbf{X}},
$$

which is more convenient for analysis of higher order flows on  $\vec{v}$  subjected to higher–order geometric partial differential equations. Here we note that the 0 flow one  $\vec{v}$  corresponds to just a convective (linear travelling h–wave but subjected to certain nonholonomic constraints ) map equation [\(43\)](#page-27-2).

Now we consider a -1 flow contained in the h–hierarchy derived from the property that  $h \vec{e}_{\perp}$  is annihilated by the h–operator  $h \mathcal{J}$  and mapped into  $h\Re(h\vec{e}_{\perp}) = 0$ . This mean that  $h\mathcal{J}(h\vec{e}_{\perp}) = \vec{\omega} = 0$ . Such properties together with [\(28\)](#page-21-0) and equations [\(35\)](#page-24-2) imply  $L<sub>\tau</sub> = 0$  and hence  $h \mathcal{D}_{\tau} e_{hX} =$  $[\mathbf{L}_{\tau}, \mathbf{e}_{h\mathbf{X}}] = 0$  for  $h\mathcal{D}_{\tau} = h\mathbf{D}_{\tau} + [\mathbf{L}_{\tau}, \cdot]$ . We obtain the equation of motion for the h–component of curve,  $h\gamma(\tau, \mathbf{l})$ , following the correspondences  $\mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{Y}} \longleftrightarrow$  $h\mathcal{D}_{\tau}$  and  $h\gamma_1 \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{e}_{h\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{Y}}(h\gamma(\tau, \mathbf{l})) = 0$ , which is just the first equation in [\(45\)](#page-27-3).

Finally, we note that the formulas for the v–components, stated by Theorem [4.2](#page-26-0) can be derived in a similar form by respective substitution in the the above proof of the h–operators and h–variables into v–ones, for  $\text{instance}, \; h\gamma \; \rightarrow \; v\gamma, \; h\overrightarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp} \; \rightarrow \; v\overleftarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp}, \; \overrightarrow{v} \; \rightarrow \; \overleftarrow{v}, \overrightarrow{\varpi} \; \rightarrow \; \overleftarrow{\varpi}, \mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}} \; \rightarrow \; \mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{X}},$  $\mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{Y}} \to \mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{Y}}, \mathbf{L} \to \mathbf{C}, \overrightarrow{R} \to \overleftarrow{S}, h\mathcal{D} \to v\mathcal{D}, h\mathfrak{R} \to v\mathfrak{R}, h\mathcal{J} \to v\mathcal{J}, ...$ 

## 4.3 Nonholonomic mKdV and SG hierarchies

We consider explicit constructions when solitonic hierarchies are derived following the conditions of Theorem [4.2.](#page-26-0)

The h–flow and v–flow equations resulting from  $(45)$  are

<span id="page-30-0"></span>
$$
\overrightarrow{v}_{\tau} = -\overrightarrow{R}h\overrightarrow{e}_{\perp} \text{ and } \overleftarrow{v}_{\tau} = -\overleftarrow{S}v\overleftarrow{e}_{\perp}, \qquad (46)
$$

when, respectively,

$$
0 = \overrightarrow{\omega} = -\mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}}h\overrightarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp} + h\mathbf{e}_{\parallel}\overrightarrow{v}, \ \mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}}h\mathbf{e}_{\parallel} = h\overrightarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp}\cdot\overrightarrow{v}
$$

and

for  $(h\mathbf{e}_{\parallel})$ 

$$
0 = \overleftarrow{\varpi} = -\mathbf{D}_v \mathbf{x} v \overleftarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp} + v \mathbf{e}_{\parallel} \overleftarrow{v}, \ \mathbf{D}_v \mathbf{x} v \mathbf{e}_{\parallel} = v \overleftarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp} \cdot \overleftarrow{v}.
$$

The d–flow equations possess horizontal and vertical conservation laws

$$
\mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}}\left((h\mathbf{e}_{\parallel})^{2} + |h\overrightarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp}|^{2}\right) = 0,
$$
  

$$
^{2} + |h\overrightarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp}|^{2} = < h\mathbf{e}_{\tau}, h\mathbf{e}_{\tau} >_{h\mathbf{p}} = |(h\gamma)_{\tau}|_{h\mathbf{g}}^{2}, \text{ and}
$$

$$
\mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{Y}}\left((v\mathbf{e}_{\parallel})^{2}+|v\overleftarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp}|^{2}\right)=0,
$$

for  $(v\mathbf{e}_{\parallel})^2 + |v\overleftarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp}|^2 = \langle v\mathbf{e}_{\tau},v\mathbf{e}_{\tau}\rangle_{v\mathbf{p}} = |(v\gamma)_{\tau}|_{v\mathbf{g}}^2$ . This corresponds to

$$
\mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}}\vert (h\gamma)_{\tau}\vert_{h\mathbf{g}}^{2}=0 \text{ and } \mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{X}}\vert (v\gamma)_{\tau}\vert_{v\mathbf{g}}^{2}=0.
$$

It is possible to rescale conformally the variable  $\tau$  in order to get  $|(h\gamma)_{\tau}|_{h\mathbf{g}}^{2}$ = 1 and (it could be for other rescaling)  $|(v\gamma)_\tau|_{v\mathbf{g}}^2 = 1$ , i.e. to have

$$
(h\mathbf{e}_{\parallel})^2 + |h\vec{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp}|^2 = 1
$$
 and  $(v\mathbf{e}_{\parallel})^2 + |v\vec{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp}|^2 = 1$ .

In this case, we can express  $h\mathbf{e}_{\parallel}$  and  $h\overrightarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp}$  in terms of  $\overrightarrow{v}$  and its derivatives and, similarly, we can express  $v\mathbf{e}_{\parallel}$  and  $v\overleftarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp}$  in terms of  $\overleftarrow{v}$  and its derivatives, which follows from [\(46\)](#page-30-0). The N–adapted wave map equations describing the -1 flows reduce to a system of two independent nonlocal evolution equations for the h– and v–components,

$$
\overrightarrow{v}_{\tau} = -\mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}}^{-1} \left( \sqrt{\overrightarrow{R}^{2} - |\overrightarrow{v}_{\tau}|^{2}} \overrightarrow{v} \right) \text{ and } \overleftarrow{v}_{\tau} = -\mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{X}}^{-1} \left( \sqrt{\overleftarrow{S}^{2} - |\overleftarrow{v}_{\tau}|^{2}} \overleftarrow{v} \right) .
$$

For d–connections with constant scalar d–curvatures, we can rescale the equations on  $\tau$  to the case when the terms  $\vec{R}^2$ ,  $\vec{S}^2 = 1$ , and the evolution equations transform into a system of hyperbolic d–vector equations,

$$
\mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}}(\overrightarrow{v}_{\tau}) = -\sqrt{1 - |\overrightarrow{v}_{\tau}|^2} \overrightarrow{v} \text{ and } \mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{X}}(\overleftarrow{v}_{\tau}) = -\sqrt{1 - |\overleftarrow{v}_{\tau}|^2} \overleftarrow{v}, \quad (47)
$$

where  $\mathbf{D}_{h\mathbf{X}} = \partial_{h\mathbf{l}}$  and  $\mathbf{D}_{v\mathbf{X}} = \partial_{v\mathbf{l}}$  are usual partial derivatives on direction l =hl+vl with  $\vec{v}$ <sub>r</sub> and  $\vec{v}$ <sub>r</sub> considered as scalar functions for the covariant derivatives  $D_{hX}$  and  $D_{vX}$  defined by the canonical d–connection. It also follows that  $h \vec{e}_{\perp}$  and  $v \vec{e}_{\perp}$  obey corresponding vector sine–Gordon (SG) equations

<span id="page-31-0"></span>
$$
\left(\sqrt{(1-|h\vec{e}_\perp|^2)^{-1}}\,\partial_{h}l(h\vec{e}_\perp)\right)_\tau = -h\vec{e}_\perp\tag{48}
$$

and

<span id="page-31-1"></span>
$$
\left(\sqrt{(1-|v\,\mathbf{\overline{e}}_{\perp}|^2)^{-1}}\,\partial_{v1}(v\,\mathbf{\overline{e}}_{\perp})\right)_{\tau} = -v\,\mathbf{\overline{e}}_{\perp}.\tag{49}
$$

The above presented formulas and Corollary [4.1](#page-26-1) imply

**Conclusion 4.1** The recursion d–operator  $\mathfrak{R} = (h\mathfrak{R},h\mathfrak{R})$  [\(40\)](#page-25-0), see [\(41\)](#page-25-1) and  $(42)$ , generates two hierarchies of vector mKdV symmetries: the first one is horizontal,

<span id="page-31-2"></span>
$$
\overrightarrow{v}_{\tau}^{(0)} = \overrightarrow{v}_{h1}, \overrightarrow{v}_{\tau}^{(1)} = h\mathfrak{R}(\overrightarrow{v}_{h1}) = \overrightarrow{v}_{3h1} + \frac{3}{2}|\overrightarrow{v}|^2 \overrightarrow{v}_{h1},
$$
\n
$$
\overrightarrow{v}_{\tau}^{(2)} = h\mathfrak{R}^2(\overrightarrow{v}_{h1}) = \overrightarrow{v}_{5h1} + \frac{5}{2}(|\overrightarrow{v}|^2 \overrightarrow{v}_{2h1})_{h1}
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{5}{2} ((|\overrightarrow{v}|^2)_{h1 h1} + |\overrightarrow{v}_{h1}|^2 + \frac{3}{4}|\overrightarrow{v}|^4) \overrightarrow{v}_{h1} - \frac{1}{2}|\overrightarrow{v}_{h1}|^2 \overrightarrow{v},
$$
\n
$$
\dots,
$$
\n(50)

with all such terms commuting with the -1 flow

<span id="page-31-3"></span>
$$
(\overrightarrow{v}_{\tau})^{-1} = h \overrightarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp} \tag{51}
$$

associated to the vector  $SG$  equation  $(48)$ ; the second one is vertical,

<span id="page-31-4"></span>
$$
\overleftarrow{v}_{\tau}^{(0)} = \overleftarrow{v}_{v1}, \overleftarrow{v}_{\tau}^{(1)} = v \mathfrak{R}(\overleftarrow{v}_{v1}) = \overleftarrow{v}_{3v1} + \frac{3}{2} |\overleftarrow{v}|^2 \overleftarrow{v}_{v1},
$$
\n
$$
\overleftarrow{v}_{\tau}^{(2)} = v \mathfrak{R}^2(\overleftarrow{v}_{v1}) = \overleftarrow{v}_{5v1} + \frac{5}{2} (|\overleftarrow{v}|^2 \overleftarrow{v}_{2v1})_{v1}
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{5}{2} ((|\overleftarrow{v}|^2)_{v1 \ v1} + |\overleftarrow{v}_{v1}|^2 + \frac{3}{4} |\overleftarrow{v}|^4) \overleftarrow{v}_{v1} - \frac{1}{2} |\overleftarrow{v}_{v1}|^2 \overleftarrow{v},
$$
\n
$$
\dots,
$$
\n(52)

with all such terms commuting with the -1 flow

<span id="page-31-5"></span>
$$
(\overleftarrow{v}_{\tau})^{-1} = v \overleftarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp} \tag{53}
$$

associated to the vector  $SG$  equation  $(49)$ .

In its turn, using the above Conclusion, we derive that the adjoint d– operator  $\mathfrak{R}^* = \mathcal{J} \circ \mathcal{H}$  generates a horizontal hierarchy of Hamiltonians,

<span id="page-32-0"></span>
$$
hH^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} |\vec{v}|^2, \ hH^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{2} |\vec{v}|_h |\vec{v}|^2 + \frac{1}{8} |\vec{v}|^4,
$$
  
\n
$$
hH^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} |\vec{v}|_h |\vec{v}|^2 - \frac{1}{4} |\vec{v}|^2 |\vec{v}|_h |\vec{v}|^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\vec{v} \cdot \vec{v}|_h |\vec{v}| + \frac{1}{16} |\vec{v}|^6, ...,
$$
\n(54)

and vertical hierarchy of Hamiltonians

<span id="page-32-1"></span>
$$
vH^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} |\overleftarrow{v}|^2, \ vH^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{2} |\overleftarrow{v}_{v1}|^2 + \frac{1}{8} |\overleftarrow{v}|^4,
$$
  
\n
$$
vH^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} |\overleftarrow{v}_{2v1}|^2 - \frac{3}{4} |\overleftarrow{v}|^2 |\overleftarrow{v}_{v1}|^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\overleftarrow{v} \cdot \overleftarrow{v}_{v1}) + \frac{1}{16} |\overleftarrow{v}|^6, ...,
$$
\n(55)

all of which are conserved densities for respective horizontal and vertical -1 flows and determining higher conservation laws for the corresponding hyperbolic equations [\(48\)](#page-31-0) and [\(49\)](#page-31-1).

The above presented horizontal equations [\(48\)](#page-31-0), [\(50\)](#page-31-2), [\(51\)](#page-31-3) and [\(54\)](#page-32-0) and of vertical equations [\(49\)](#page-31-1),  $(52)$ ,  $(53)$  and  $(55)$  have similar mKdV scaling symmetries but on different parameters  $\lambda_h$  and  $\lambda_v$  because, in general, there are two independent values of scalar curvatures  $\vec{R}$  and  $\vec{S}$ , see [\(A.21\)](#page-41-8). The horizontal scaling symmetries are  $h \to \lambda_h h \to (\lambda_h)^{-1} \overrightarrow{v}$ and  $\tau \to (\lambda_h)^{1+2k}$ , for  $k = -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots$  For the vertical scaling symmetries, one has  $v\mathbf{l} \to \lambda_v v\mathbf{l}, \overleftarrow{v} \to (\lambda_v)^{-1} \overleftarrow{v}$  and  $\tau \to (\lambda_v)^{1+2k}$ , for  $k = -1, 0, 1, 2, ...$ 

Example 4.1 The simplest way to generate a solitonic hierachy, for instance, defining a solution of vacuum Einstein equations is to take the value  $h_4$  (equivalently, f) in [\(13\)](#page-9-1) to be a solution of a three dimensional solionic equation. For instance, for  $h_4$  being a solution of

$$
h_4^{\bullet \bullet} + \epsilon (h_4' + 6h_4 \ h_4^* + h_4^{***})^* = 0, \ \epsilon = \pm 1,
$$
 (56)

a class of solitonic generic off-diagonal metrics [\(12\)](#page-9-0) is obtained for  $\varsigma = 1$ and  $^{2}n_{k} = 0$  and any  $w_{i}$  and  $^{1}n_{k}$  solving the constraints [\(15\)](#page-9-2) and [\(16\)](#page-9-2).

In Refs. [\[1,](#page-41-0) [2,](#page-41-1) [4,](#page-41-3) [7,](#page-41-6) [8\]](#page-41-7), there were provided and reviewed a number of exact solutions in Einstein, string, gauge, extra dimension, metric–affine, generalized Finsler–Lagrange and other gravity and/or Ricci flow theories with nonholonomic commutative and noncommutative variables generated by nonlinear superpositions of two and/or three dimensional gravitational solitonic waves on nontrivial (black hole, Taub NUT, pp–wave, wormhole, black ellipsoid etc) backgrounds. Those classes of solutions where derived

using the N–connection and canonical d–connection and constraints to the Levi–Civita connection. Such constructions can be equivalently reformulated in terms of a metric compatible d–connection  $_0\tilde{\Gamma}^{\gamma'}_{\alpha'\beta'}$  [\(7\)](#page-6-2) and considered as explicit examples of solitonic hierachies constructed in general form following Theorem [4.2.](#page-26-0)

# 5 Conclusion

In this paper we have developed a method of converting geometric data <sup>[11](#page-33-0)</sup> for a (pseudo) Riemannian metric into alternative nonholonomic structures and metric compatible linear connections completely defined by the 'original' metric tensor. We proved that for any (semi) Riemannian metric on a nonholonomic manifold **V**, dim **V** =  $n + m$ ,  $n \ge 2$  and  $m \ge 1$ , and corresponding classes of nonholonomic frame deformations, there is a choice for a linear connection (and corresponding Riemannian and Ricci tensors) with constant coefficients with respect to a class of nonholonomic frames with associated nonlinear connection (N–connection) structure.

So, the general conclusion is that a (pseudo) Riemannian geometry can be described not only in terms of the Levi–Civita connection but also using any metric compatible linear connection if such an alternative connection is completely defined the same metric structure. We outline in Table [1](#page-34-0) the basic formulas for decomposition of the fundamental geometric objects under such nonholonomic deformations (in the simplest case) determined by a N–connection structure.

The local algebraic structure of modelled nonholonomic spaces is defined by a conventional splitting of dimensions with certain holonomic and nonholonomic variables (defining a distribution of horizontal and vertical subspaces). Such subspaces are modelled locally as Riemannian symmetric manifolds and their properties are exhausted by the geometry of distinguished Lie groups  $\mathbf{G} = GO(n) \oplus GO(m)$  and  $\mathbf{G} = SU(n) \oplus SU(m)$  and the geometry of N–connections on a conventional vector bundle with base manifold M, dim  $M = n$ , and typical fiber F, dim  $F = n$ . This can be formulated equivalently in terms of geometric objects on couples of Klein spaces. The bi–Hamiltonian and related solitonic (of type mKdV and SG) hierarchies are generated naturally by wave map equations and recursion operators associated to the horizontal and vertical flows of curves on such spaces.

<span id="page-33-0"></span> $11$ and physical data, for instance, in Einstein gravity

Geometric	Levi-Civita	canonical d-connection	constant coefficients
objects for:	connection		d-connection
$Co$ -frames	$e^{\beta} = A^{\beta}_{\beta}(u)du^{\beta}$	$\mathbf{e}^{\alpha} = [e^i = dx^i,$	$e^{\alpha'} = [e^{i'} = dx^{i'}],$
		$\mathbf{e}^a = dy^a - N_i^a dx^j$	${\bf e}^{a'} = dy^{a'} - N_{i'}^{a'} dx^{j'}$
Metric decomp.	$g_{\alpha\beta} = A_{\alpha}^{\ \alpha} A_{\beta}^{\ \beta} g_{\alpha\beta}$	$\mathbf{g}_{\alpha\beta}=[g_{ij},h_{ab}],$	${}_{0}\mathbf{g}_{\alpha'\beta'} = [{}_{0}g_{i'j'}, {}_{0}h_{a'b'}],$
		$\mathbf{g} = g_{ij} e^i \otimes e^j$	$\mathbf{g} = 0.9i'j' e^{i'} \otimes e^{j'}$
		$+h_{ab}$ $e^a \otimes e^b$	+ $_0h_{a'b'}$ $e^{a'}\otimes e^{b'}$
			$g_{i'j'} = A^i_{i'} A^j_{j'} g_{ij},$
			$h_{a'b'} = A^a_{a'} A^b_{b'} h_{ab}$
Connections	$\Gamma^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta}$	${}_{\shortmid}\Gamma^{\gamma}_{\;\;\alpha\beta}=\widehat{\Gamma}^{\gamma}_{\;\;\alpha\beta}+{}_{\shortmid}\,Z^{\gamma}_{\;\;\alpha\beta}$	$\boxed{ \begin{aligned} &\ _{0}\widetilde{\Gamma}^{\gamma^{\prime}}_{\alpha^{\prime}\beta^{\prime}}=(\widehat{L}^{i^{\prime}}_{j^{\prime}k^{\prime}}=0,\\ &\widehat{L}^{a^{\prime}}_{b^{\prime}k^{\prime}}=-_{0}\widehat{L}^{a^{\prime}}_{b^{\prime}k^{\prime}}=const. , \end{aligned}}$
and distorsions			
			$\hat{C}^{i'}_{i'c'} = 0, \hat{C}^{a'}_{b'c'} = 0$
Riemannian	${}_{\shortmid}R^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta}$	$\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^{\alpha}_{~\beta\gamma\delta}$	$\overline{\mathbf{R}}^{\alpha'}_{\ \beta'\gamma'\delta'} = (0, \overline{\mathbf{R}}^{a'}_{\ b' i' k'})$
(d-)tensors			$= const., 0, 0, 0, 0)$
$Ricci(d-)tensors$		$\widehat{R}_{ij} = {}^h \lambda g_{ij},$	constraints
Einstein eqs.	$R_{\beta\gamma} = \lambda q_{\beta\gamma}$	$\widehat{R}_{ab} = \ ^{v}\lambda \ h_{ab},$	on distorsion
		$\widehat{R}_{ib}=0, \ \widehat{R}_{bi}=0$	d-tensors

<span id="page-34-0"></span>Table 1: Metric connections & geometric structures generated by  $\mathbf{g} = \{g_{\alpha\beta}\}\$ 

One should be emphasized that N–connections can be considered both in (pseudo) Riemannian and Finsler–Lagrange geometries, see discussions in Refs. [\[2,](#page-41-1) [7,](#page-41-6) [8,](#page-41-7) [6\]](#page-41-5), but in the first case to prescribe a N–connection is to fix a conventional (in general, nonholonomic) splitting on the manifold under consideration. The point is to consider such a splitting and relevant nonholonomic distribution which are convenient for further solitonic constructions This allowed us to elaborate a "solitonic" approach when the geometry of (semi) Riemannian / Einstein manifolds is encoded into nonholonomic hierarchies of bi–Hamiltonian structures and related solitonic equations derived for curve flows on spaces with conventional splitting of dimensions.

The main result of this work is the proof that any metric structure on a (pseudo) Riemannian manifold can be decomposed into solitonic data with corresponding hierarchies of nonlinear waves. Such constructions hold true for more general classes of commutative and noncommutative metric–affine, Finsler–Lagrange–Hamilton, their generalizations to nonsymmetric metrics and/or nonholonomic Fedosov manifolds and their Ricci flows [\[1,](#page-41-0) [8,](#page-41-7) [3,](#page-41-2) [4,](#page-41-3) [5,](#page-41-4) [18,](#page-42-9) [19,](#page-42-10) [21\]](#page-43-0). Nevertheless, the solution of the "inverse" problem to state the conditions when it is possible to extract certain general (non) commutative / (non) holonomic / (non) symmetric geometries etc from a given solitonic hierarchy it is a purpose for future work.

Finally we note that there are many physically interesting models when solitonic hierarchies were constructed in modern theories of gravity and Ricci flows of physically valuable solutions in gravity  $[1, 2, 4, 7, 8]$  $[1, 2, 4, 7, 8]$  $[1, 2, 4, 7, 8]$  $[1, 2, 4, 7, 8]$  $[1, 2, 4, 7, 8]$  $[1, 2, 4, 7, 8]$ . They positively can be imbedded as particular cases of bi–Hamiltonian structures and related solitonic (of type mKdV and SG) hierarchies constructed in this work. There are various ideas how to consider anholonomic and parametric deformations (like in Refs. [\[2,](#page-41-1) [4\]](#page-41-3)) of such a hierarchy into another nonlinear solitonic supperpositon in order to generate a new class of classical or quantum solutions of the Einstein equations. This way, by nonholonomic parametric distributions, we naturally model on (pseudo) Riemannian manifolds various classes of generalized geometries encoded into (non) commutative / classical and/or quantum generalizations of soltionic equations. We are continuing to work in such directions.

Acknowledgement: The work was performed during a visit at Fields Institute.

# A N–anholonomic Riemann Manifolds

In this section we briefly recall some basic definitions and facts concerning the geometry of (pseudo) Riemannian nonholonomic manfolds.

A pair  $(V, \mathcal{N})$ , where V is a manifold and  $\mathcal{N}$  is a nonintegrable distribution on  $V$ , is a nonholonomic manifold (in this work, we consider real manifolds of necessary smooth class).

The concept of nonholonomic manifold was introduced independently by G. Vrănceanu [\[27,](#page-43-4) [28\]](#page-43-5) and Z. Horak [\[29\]](#page-43-6) for geometric interpretations of nonholonomic mechanical systems and considered new classes of linear connections, which were different from the Levi–Civita connection (see modern approaches and historical remarks in Refs. [\[22,](#page-43-7) [8,](#page-41-7) [7,](#page-41-6) [2\]](#page-41-1)).

## A.1 Nonholonomic distributions and N–connections

Let us consider a real smooth (pseudo) Riemann  $(n+m)$ –dimensional manifold **V**, with  $n \geq 2$  and  $m \geq 1$ . The local coordinates on **V** are denoted  $u = (x, y)$ , or  $u^{\alpha} = (x^{i}, y^{a})$ , where the "horizontal" (h) indices run the values  $i, j, k, ... = 1, 2, ..., n$  and the "vertical" (v) indices run the values  $a, b, c, \ldots = n+1, n+2, \ldots, n+m$ . With respect to a local coordinate base, we parameterize a metric structure on  $V$  in the form

<span id="page-35-0"></span>
$$
\mathbf{g} = \underline{g}_{\alpha\beta}(u) du^{\alpha} \otimes du^{\beta} \tag{A.1}
$$

with coefficients

<span id="page-36-2"></span>
$$
\underline{g}_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} g_{ij}(u) + \underline{N}_i^a(u) \,\underline{N}_j^b(u) \, h_{ab}(u) & \underline{N}_j^e(u) \, h_{ae}(u) \\ \underline{N}_i^e(u) \, h_{be}(u) & h_{ab}(u) \end{bmatrix} . \tag{A.2}
$$

We consider a map  $\pi : \mathbf{V} \to V$ , dim  $V = n$ , and denote by  $\pi^{\top} : T\mathbf{V} \to V$ TV the differential of  $\pi$  defined by fiber preserving morphisms of the tangent bundles TV and TV. The kernel of  $\pi^{\top}$  is just the vertical subspace  $v$ V with a related inclusion mapping  $i : vV \to TV$ .

**Definition A.1** A nonlinear connection (N–connection)  $N$  on a manifold V is defined by the splitting on the left of an exact sequence

$$
0 \to v\mathbf{V} \stackrel{i}{\to} T\mathbf{V} \to T\mathbf{V}/v\mathbf{V} \to 0,
$$

i. e. by a morphism of submanifolds  $N: TV \rightarrow vV$  such that  $N \circ i$  is the unity in  $vV$ .

Locally, a N–connection is defined by its coefficients  $N_i^a(u)$ ,

<span id="page-36-0"></span>
$$
\mathbf{N} = N_i^a(u)dx^i \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a}.
$$
 (A.3)

Globalizing the local splitting, one prove that any N–connection is defined by a Whitney sum of conventional horizontal (h) subspace,  $(hV)$ , and vertical  $(v)$  subspace,  $(vV)$ ,

<span id="page-36-1"></span>
$$
T\mathbf{V} = h\mathbf{V} \oplus v\mathbf{V}.\tag{A.4}
$$

The sum  $(A.4)$  states on TV a nonholonomic distribution of horizontal and vertical subspaces. The linear connections those which are linear on  $y^a$ , i.e.  $N_i^a(u) = \Gamma_{bj}^a(x)y^b$ .

For simplicity, we shall work with a particular class of nonholonomic manifolds:

**Definition A.2** A manifold **V** is N–anholonomic if its tangent space TV is enabled with a N–connection structure  $(A.4)$ .

On a (pseudo) Riemannian manifold, we can define a N–connection structure induced by a formal  $(n + m)$ –splitting, when the N–connection coefficients  $(A.3)$  are determined by certain of f-diagonal terms in  $(A.2)$  for  $N_i^a = \underline{N_i^a}$ . Such a N-anholonomic manifold is provided with a local fibered structure which is fixed following certain symmetry conditions and/or constraints imposed on the dynamics of gravitational fields.

A N–anholonomic manifold is characterized by its curvature:

Definition A.3 The N-connection curvature is defined as the Neijenhuis tensor,

$$
\Omega(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \doteq [v\mathbf{X}, v\mathbf{Y}] + v[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}] - v[v\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}] - v[\mathbf{X}, v\mathbf{Y}].
$$

In local form, we have for  $\mathbf{\Omega} = \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{ij}^a d^i \wedge d^j \otimes \partial_a$  the coefficients

<span id="page-37-4"></span>
$$
\Omega_{ij}^a = \frac{\partial N_i^a}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial N_j^a}{\partial x^i} + N_i^b \frac{\partial N_j^a}{\partial y^b} - N_j^b \frac{\partial N_i^a}{\partial y^b}.
$$
 (A.5)

Performing a frame (vielbein) transform  $\mathbf{e}_{\alpha} = \mathbf{A}_{\alpha}^{\ \alpha} \partial_{\alpha}$  and  $\mathbf{e}^{\beta} = \mathbf{A}^{\beta}$  $^{\beta}_{\beta} du^{\beta}_{\gamma}$ where we underline the local coordinate indices, when  $\partial_{\underline{\alpha}} = \partial/\partial u^{\underline{\alpha}} = \overline{(\partial_{\underline{i}})}$  $\partial/\partial x^{\underline{i}}, \partial/\partial y^{\underline{a}})$ , with coefficients

<span id="page-37-2"></span>
$$
\mathbf{A}_{\alpha}^{\ \alpha}(u) = \begin{bmatrix} e_i^{\ i}(u) & N_i^b(u)e_b^{\ \alpha}(u) \\ 0 & e_a^{\ \alpha}(u) \end{bmatrix}, \ \mathbf{A}_{\ \underline{\beta}}^{\beta}(u) = \begin{bmatrix} e^i_{\ i}(u) & -N_k^b(u)e^k_{\ i}(u) \\ 0 & e^a_{\ \underline{\alpha}}(u) \end{bmatrix}, \tag{A.6}
$$

we transform the metric [\(A.4\)](#page-36-1) into a distinguished metric (d–metric)

<span id="page-37-3"></span>
$$
\mathbf{g} = {}^{h}g + {}^{v}h = g_{ij}(x, y) e^{i} \otimes e^{j} + h_{ab}(x, y) e^{a} \otimes e^{b}, \qquad (A.7)
$$

for an associated, to a N–connection, frame (vielbein) structure  $\mathbf{e}_{\nu} = (\mathbf{e}_i, e_a)$ , where

<span id="page-37-0"></span>
$$
\mathbf{e}_{i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}} - N_{i}^{a}(u) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{a}} \text{ and } e_{a} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{a}},
$$
(A.8)

and the dual frame (coframe) structure  $\mathbf{e}^{\mu} = (e^i, \mathbf{e}^a)$ , where

<span id="page-37-1"></span>
$$
e^{i} = dx^{i}
$$
 and  $\mathbf{e}^{a} = dy^{a} + N_{i}^{a}(u)dx^{i}$ . (A.9)

The geometric objects on **V** can be defined in a form adapted to the  $N$ connection structure following certain decompositions which are invariant under parallel transports preserving the splitting [\(A.4\)](#page-36-1). In this case, we call them to be distinguished (by the N–connection structure), i.e. d–objects. For instance, a vector field  $X \in TV$  is expressed

$$
\mathbf{X} = (hX, vX), \text{ or } \mathbf{X} = X^{\alpha} \mathbf{e}_{\alpha} = X^{i} \mathbf{e}_{i} + X^{a} e_{a},
$$

where  $hX = X^{i}$ **e**<sub>i</sub> and  $vX = X^{a}$ **e**<sub>a</sub> state, respectively, the adapted to the N–connection structure horizontal (h) and vertical (v) components of the vector. In brief,  $X$  is called a distinguished vectors, in brief, d–vector). In a similar fashion, the geometric objects on  $V$  like tensors, spinors, connections,

... are called respectively d–tensors, d–spinors, d–connections if they are adapted to the N–connection splitting [\(A.4\)](#page-36-1).

The vielbeins [\(A.8\)](#page-37-0) and [\(A.9\)](#page-37-1) are called respectively N–adapted frames and coframes. In order to preserve a relation with some previous our nota-tions [\[1,](#page-41-0) [8\]](#page-41-7), we emphasize that  $\mathbf{e}_{\nu} = (\mathbf{e}_i, e_a)$  and  $\mathbf{e}^{\mu} = (e^i, \mathbf{e}^a)$  are correspondingly the former "N–elongated" partial derivatives  $\delta_{\nu} = \delta/\partial u^{\nu} = (\delta_i, \partial_a)$  and "N-elongated" differentials  $\delta^{\mu} = \delta u^{\mu} = (d^{i}, \delta^{a}).$ 

The vielbeins [\(A.9\)](#page-37-1) satisfy the nonholonomy relations

<span id="page-38-1"></span>
$$
[\mathbf{e}_{\alpha}, \mathbf{e}_{\beta}] = \mathbf{e}_{\alpha} \mathbf{e}_{\beta} - \mathbf{e}_{\beta} \mathbf{e}_{\alpha} = W_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma} \mathbf{e}_{\gamma}
$$
 (A.10)

with anholonomy coefficients  $W_{ia}^b = \partial_a N_i^b$  and  $W_{ji}^a = \Omega_{ij}^a$ .

## <span id="page-38-0"></span>A.2 D–Connections

We perform all geometric constructions on N–anholonomic manifolds.

**Definition A.4** A distinguished connection (in brief, d–connection)  $D =$  $(h\mathbf{D}, v\mathbf{D})$  is a linear connection preserving under parallel transports the nonholonomic decomposition  $(A.4)$ .

The N–adapted components  $\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\ \beta\gamma}$  of a d–connection  $D_{\alpha} = (e_{\alpha})D$  are defined by equations

$$
\mathbf{D}_{\alpha}\mathbf{e}_{\beta} = \mathbf{\Gamma}^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta}\mathbf{e}_{\gamma}, \text{ or } \mathbf{\Gamma}^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta}(u) = (\mathbf{D}_{\alpha}\mathbf{e}_{\beta})\rfloor\mathbf{e}^{\gamma}.
$$
 (A.11)

The N–adapted splitting into h– and v–covariant derivatives is stated by

$$
h\mathbf{D} = {\mathbf{D}_k = (L_{jk}^i, L_{bk}^a)}, \text{ and } v\mathbf{D} = {\mathbf{D}_c = (C_{jk}^i, C_{bc}^a)},
$$

where, by definition,  $L_{jk}^{i} = (\mathbf{D}_{k} \mathbf{e}_{j})\rfloor e^{i}$ ,  $L_{bk}^{a} = (\mathbf{D}_{k} e_{b})\rfloor \mathbf{e}^{a}$ ,  $C_{jc}^{i} = (\mathbf{D}_{c} \mathbf{e}_{j})\rfloor e^{i}$ ,  $C_{bc}^a = (\mathbf{D}_c e_b) \cdot e^a$ . The components  $\Gamma^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta} = \left( L^i_{jk}, L^a_{bk}, C^i_{jc}, C^a_{bc} \right)$  completely define a d–connection D on E.

From the class of arbitrary d–connections  $\bf{D}$  on  $\bf{V}$ , one distinguishes those which are metric compatible (metrical) satisfying the condition

$$
\mathbf{Dg} = \mathbf{0};\tag{A.12}
$$

i.e. for h- and v-projections  $D_j g_{kl} = 0$ ,  $D_a g_{kl} = 0$ ,  $D_j h_{ab} = 0$ ,  $D_a h_{bc} = 0$ .

On a N–anholonomic (semi) Riemannian manifold  $V$ , there are two types of preferred linear connections uniquely determined by a generic off–diagonal metric structure with  $n + m$  splitting, see  $\mathbf{g} = g \oplus_N h$  [\(A.7\)](#page-37-3):

- 1. The Levi Civita connection  $\nabla = {\{\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma}\}}$  is by definition torsionless,  $\mathcal{F} = 0$ , and satisfies the metric compatibility condition,  $\nabla$ **g** = 0.
- 2. The canonical d-connection  $\widehat{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma} = (\widehat{L}_{jk}^{i}, \widehat{L}_{bk}^{a}, \widehat{C}_{jc}^{i}, \widehat{C}_{bc}^{a})$  is also metric compatible, i. e.  $\widehat{\mathbf{D}}\mathbf{g} = 0$ , but the torsion vanishes only on h– and v–subspaces, i.e.  $\hat{T}_{jk}^i = 0$  and  $\hat{T}_{bc}^a = 0$ , for certain nontrivial values of  $\widehat{T}_{ja}^i, \widehat{T}_{bi}^a, \widehat{T}_{ji}^a.$

For simplicity, we omit hats on symbols and write  $L^i_{jk}$  instead of  $\widehat{L}^i_{jk}$ ,  $T^i_{ja}$  instead of  $\widehat{T}^i_{ja}$  and so on, for a d–connection  $\Gamma^\gamma_{\ \alpha\beta}$ .

By a straightforward calculus with respect to N–adapted frames [\(A.8\)](#page-37-0) and [\(A.9\)](#page-37-1), one can verify that the requested properties for  $\widehat{\mathbf{D}}$  on **V** are satisfied if

<span id="page-39-0"></span>
$$
L_{jk}^{i} = \frac{1}{2}g^{ir} (e_{k}g_{jr} + e_{j}g_{kr} - e_{r}g_{jk}),
$$
\n
$$
L_{bk}^{a} = e_{b}(N_{k}^{a}) + \frac{1}{2}h^{ac} (e_{k}h_{bc} - h_{dc}e_{b}N_{k}^{d} - h_{db}e_{c}N_{k}^{d}),
$$
\n
$$
C_{jc}^{i} = \frac{1}{2}g^{ik}e_{c}g_{jk}, \ C_{bc}^{a} = \frac{1}{2}h^{ad} (e_{c}h_{bd} + e_{c}h_{cd} - e_{d}h_{bc}).
$$
\n(A.13)

For dimensions  $n = m$ , we can consider the so–called normal d–connection<sup>[12](#page-39-4)</sup>  $\tilde{\mathbf{D}} = (h\tilde{D}, v\tilde{D})$  with the coefficients  $\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\ \beta\gamma} = (L^{i}_{\ jk}, L^{a}_{bc}),$ 

<span id="page-39-3"></span>
$$
L^{i}_{jk} = \frac{1}{2}g^{ih}(\mathbf{e}_{k}g_{jh} + \mathbf{e}_{j}g_{kh} - \mathbf{e}_{h}g_{jk}),
$$
  
\n
$$
C^{a}_{bc} = \frac{1}{2}h^{ae}(e_{c}h_{be} + e_{b}h_{ce} - e_{e}h_{bc}).
$$
\n(A.14)

A straightforward calculus shows that the coefficients of the Levi–Civita connection can be expressed in the form

pΓ

<span id="page-39-1"></span>
$$
\Gamma^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta} = \widehat{\Gamma}^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta} + {}_{\cdot}Z^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta},\tag{A.15}
$$

where

<span id="page-39-2"></span>
$$
Z_{jk}^{i} = 0, \quad Z_{jk}^{a} = -C_{jb}^{i}g_{ik}h^{ab} - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{jk}^{a}, \quad Z_{bk}^{i} = \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{jk}^{c}h_{cb}g^{ji} - \Xi_{jk}^{ih}C_{hb}^{j},
$$
  
\n
$$
Z_{bk}^{a} = +\Xi_{cd}^{ab}[L_{bk}^{c} - e_{b}(N_{k}^{c})], \quad Z_{kb}^{i} = \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{jk}^{a}h_{cb}g^{ji} + \Xi_{jk}^{ih}C_{hb}^{j}, \quad (A.16)
$$
  
\n
$$
Z_{jb}^{a} = -\Xi_{cb}^{ad} {}^{\circ}L_{dj}^{c}, \quad Z_{bc}^{a} = 0, \quad Z_{ab}^{i} = -\frac{g^{ij}}{2} \left[ {}^{\circ}L_{aj}^{c}h_{cb} + {}^{\circ}L_{bj}^{c}h_{ca} \right],
$$
  
\n
$$
\Xi_{jk}^{ih} = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{j}^{i}\delta_{k}^{h} - g_{jk}g^{ih}), \quad \pm \Xi_{cd}^{ab} = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{c}^{a}\delta_{d}^{b} \pm h_{cd}h^{ab}),
$$

<span id="page-39-4"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>i.e. it has the same coefficients as the Levi–Civita connection with respect to N– elongated bases [\(A.8\)](#page-37-0) and [\(A.9\)](#page-37-1)

for  $\Omega_{jk}^a$  computed as in formula [\(A.5\)](#page-37-4),  $\Omega_{aj}^c = L_{aj}^c - e_a(N_j^c)$  and

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} & = & \left( \begin{array}{ccc} L^i_{jk}, & L^a_{jk}, & L^i_{bk}, & L^a_{bk}, & C^i_{jb}, & C^a_{jb}, & C^i_{bc}, & C^a_{bc} \end{array} \right), \\
\nabla_{\mathbf{e}_k}(\mathbf{e}_j) & = & L^i_{jk}\mathbf{e}_i + L^a_{jk}e_a, \quad \nabla_{\mathbf{e}_k}(e_b) = L^i_{bk}\mathbf{e}_i + L^a_{bk}e_a, \\
\nabla_{e_b}(\mathbf{e}_j) & = & C^i_{jb}\mathbf{e}_i + C^a_{jb}e_a, \quad \nabla_{e_c}(e_b) = L^i_{bc}\mathbf{e}_i + C^a_{bc}e_a.\n\end{array}
$$

It should be emphasized that all components of  ${}_{\shortmid}\Gamma^{\gamma}{}_{\alpha\beta}$ ,  $\widehat{\Gamma}^{\gamma}{}_{\alpha\beta}$  and  ${}_{\shortmid}Z^{\gamma}{}_{\alpha\beta}$  are defined by the coefficients of d–metric  $g(A.7)$  $g(A.7)$  and N–connection  $N(A.3)$  $N(A.3)$ , or equivalently by the coefficients of the corresponding generic off–diagonal metric [\(A.2\)](#page-36-2).

The simplest way to perform computations with d–connections is to use N-adapted differential forms like  $\Gamma^{\alpha}_{ \beta} = \Gamma^{\alpha}_{ \beta \gamma} e^{\gamma}$  with the coefficients defined with respect to [\(A.9\)](#page-37-1) and [\(A.8\)](#page-37-0). Torsion of a d–connection can be computed

$$
T^{\alpha} \doteqdot {\bf D} {\bf e}^{\alpha} = d {\bf e}^{\alpha} + \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\ \beta} \wedge {\bf e}^{\beta}.
$$

Locally it is characterized by (N–adapted) d–torsion coefficients

<span id="page-40-2"></span>
$$
T^i_{\;jk} = L^i_{\;jk} - L^i_{\;kj}, \ T^i_{\;ja} = -T^i_{\;aj} = C^i_{\;ja}, \ T^a_{\;ji} = \Omega^a_{\;ji}, \quad \text{(A.17)}
$$
\n
$$
T^a_{\;bi} = -T^a_{\;ib} = \frac{\partial N^a_i}{\partial y^b} - L^a_{\;bi}, \ T^a_{\;bc} = C^a_{\;bc} - C^a_{\;cb}.
$$

The curvature of a d–connection D,

<span id="page-40-3"></span>
$$
\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}_{\ \beta} \doteqdot \mathbf{D} \mathbf{\Gamma}^{\alpha}_{\ \beta} = d \mathbf{\Gamma}^{\alpha}_{\ \beta} - \mathbf{\Gamma}^{\gamma}_{\ \beta} \wedge \mathbf{\Gamma}^{\alpha}_{\ \gamma},\tag{A.18}
$$

splits into six types of N–adapted components with respect to [\(A.8\)](#page-37-0) and  $(A.9),$  $(A.9),$ 

$$
\mathbf{R}^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta} = \left(R^i_{\;hjk}, R^a_{\;bjk}, P^i_{\;hja}, P^c_{\;bja}, S^i_{\;jbc}, S^a_{\;bdc}\right),
$$

<span id="page-40-0"></span>
$$
R^{i}_{hjk} = \mathbf{e}_{k} L^{i}_{hj} - \mathbf{e}_{j} L^{i}_{hk} + L^{m}_{hj} L^{i}_{mk} - L^{m}_{hk} L^{i}_{mj} - C^{i}_{ha} \Omega^{a}_{kj},
$$
\n
$$
R^{a}_{bjk} = \mathbf{e}_{k} L^{a}_{bj} - \mathbf{e}_{j} L^{a}_{bk} + L^{c}_{bj} L^{a}_{ck} - L^{c}_{bk} L^{a}_{cj} - C^{a}_{bc} \Omega^{c}_{kj},
$$
\n
$$
P^{i}_{jka} = e_{a} L^{i}_{jk} - D_{k} C^{i}_{ja} + C^{i}_{jb} T^{b}_{ka}, \quad P^{c}_{bka} = e_{a} L^{c}_{bk} - D_{k} C^{c}_{ba} + C^{c}_{bd} T^{c}_{ka},
$$
\n
$$
S^{i}_{jbc} = e_{c} C^{i}_{jb} - e_{b} C^{i}_{jc} + C^{h}_{jb} C^{i}_{hc} - C^{h}_{jc} C^{i}_{hb},
$$
\n
$$
S^{a}_{bcd} = e_{d} C^{a}_{bc} - e_{c} C^{a}_{bd} + C^{e}_{bc} C^{a}_{ed} - C^{e}_{bd} C^{a}_{ec}.
$$
\n(4.19)

Contracting respectively the components,  $\mathbf{R}_{\alpha\beta} \doteqdot \mathbf{R}^{\tau}_{\alpha\beta\tau}$ , one computes the h- v–components of the Ricci d–tensor

<span id="page-40-1"></span>
$$
R_{ij} \doteqdot R^k_{ijk}, \quad R_{ia} \doteqdot P^k_{ika}, \quad R_{ai} \doteqdot P^b_{aib}, \quad S_{ab} \doteqdot S^c_{abc}.\tag{A.20}
$$

The scalar curvature is defined by contracting the Ricci d–tensor with the inverse metric  $\mathbf{g}^{\alpha\beta}$ ,

<span id="page-41-8"></span>
$$
\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{R}} \doteq \mathbf{g}^{\alpha\beta} \mathbf{R}_{\alpha\beta} = g^{ij} R_{ij} + h^{ab} S_{ab} = \overrightarrow{R} + \overleftarrow{S}.
$$
 (A.21)

For any  $\Gamma(g)$ , there is a nontrivial torsion  $\Gamma(g)$  with coefficients [\(A.17\)](#page-40-2). This torsion is induced nonholonomically as an effective one (by anholonomy coefficients, see  $(A.10)$  and  $(A.5)$  and constructed only from the coefficients of metric g. Being defined by certain off–diagonal metric coefficients, such a torsion is completely deferent from that in string, or Einstein–Cartan, theory when the torsion tensor is an additional (to metric) field defined by an antisymmetric  $H$ –field, or spinning matter, discussing in Ref. [\[1,](#page-41-0) [7,](#page-41-6) [8\]](#page-41-7).

# <span id="page-41-0"></span>References

- <span id="page-41-1"></span>[1] Vacaru S., Exact solutions with noncommutative symmetries in Einstein and gauge Gravity, J. Math. Phys. 46 (2005) 042503
- [2] Vacaru S., Parametric nonholonomic frame transforms and exact solutions in gravity, Int. J. Geom. Methods in Mod. Phys. [IJGMMP] 4 (2007) 1285–1334
- <span id="page-41-2"></span>[3] Vacaru S., Nonholonomic Ricci Flows: II. Evolution Equations and Dynamics, J. Math. Phys. 49 (2008) 043504
- <span id="page-41-3"></span>[4] Vacaru S., Nonholonomic Ricci Flows: III. Curve Flows and Solitonic Hierarchies, arXiv: 0704.2062 [math.DG]
- <span id="page-41-5"></span><span id="page-41-4"></span>[5] Vacaru S., Nonholonomic Ricci Flows, Exact Solutions in Gravity, and Symmetric and Nonsymmetric Metrics, arXiv: 0806.3812 [gr-qc]
- [6] Miron R. and Anastasiei M., The Geometry of Lagrange Spaces: Theory and Applications, FTPH no. 59 (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 1994)
- <span id="page-41-6"></span>[7] Vacaru S., Finsler and Lagrange Geometries in Einstein and String Gravity, Int. J. Geom. Methods. Mod. Phys. [IJGMMP] 5 (2008) 473– 511
- <span id="page-41-7"></span>[8] Vacaru S., P. Stavrinos, E. Gaburov and D. Gonta, *Clifford and* Riemann- Finsler Structures in Geometric Mechanics and Gravity, Selected Works, Differential Geometry – Dynamical Systems, Monograph

7 (Geometry Balkan Press, 2006); www.mathem.pub.ro/dgds/mono/va-t.pdf and [gr-qc/0508023](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0508023)

- <span id="page-42-1"></span><span id="page-42-0"></span>[9] Chou K. -S. and Qu C., Integrable equations arising from motions of plane curves, Phys. D, 162 (2002) 9–33
- [10] Mari Beffa G., Sanders J., Wang J. -P., Integrable systems in three– dimensional Riemannian geometry, J. Nonlinear. Sci., 12 (2002) 143– 167
- <span id="page-42-3"></span><span id="page-42-2"></span>[11] Athorne C., Local Hamiltonian structures of multicomponent KdV equations, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 21 (1988) 4549–4556
- [12] Sanders J. and Wang J. -P., Integrable systems in n dimensional Riemannian geometry, Mosc. Math. J. 3 (2003) 1369–1393
- <span id="page-42-4"></span>[13] Sergyeyev A., Why nonlocal recursion operators produce local symmetries: new results and applications, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 38 (2005) 3397–3407
- <span id="page-42-5"></span>[14] Foursov M. V., Classification of certain integrable coupled potential KdV and modified KdV–type equations, J. Math. Phys., 41 (2000) 6173–6185
- <span id="page-42-6"></span>[15] Wang J. -P., Generalized Hasimoto transformation and vector sine– Gorodon equation, in SPT 2002: Symmetry and Perturbation Theory (Cala Gonone), Editors S. Abenda, G. Gaeta and S. Walcher, River Edge (World Scientific, 2002), pp. 276–283
- <span id="page-42-7"></span>[16] Anco S. C., Hamiltonian flows of curves in  $G/SO(n)$  and vector soliton equations of mKdV and sine–Gordon Type, Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications, 2 (2006) 044
- <span id="page-42-8"></span>[17] Anco S. C., Bi–Hamiltonian operators, integrable flows of curves using moving frames, and geometric map equations, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 39 (2006) 2043–2072
- <span id="page-42-10"></span><span id="page-42-9"></span>[18] Vacaru S., Spectral Functionals, Nonholonomic Dirac Operators, and Noncommutative Ricci Flows, arXiv: 0806.3814 [math-ph]
- <span id="page-42-11"></span>[19] Vacaru S., Deformation Quantization of Nonholonomic Almost Kähler Models and Einstein Gravity, Phys. Lett. A 372 (2008) 2949-2955
- [20] Bejancu A., Finsler Geometry and Applications (Ellis Horwood, Chichester, England, 1990)
- <span id="page-43-0"></span>[21] Vacaru S., Loop Quantum Gravity in Ashtekar and Lagrange-Finsler Variables and Fedosov Quantization of General Relativity, arXiv: 0801.4942 [gr-qc]
- <span id="page-43-7"></span>[22] Bejancu A. and Farran H. R., Foliations and Geometric Structures (Springer, 2005)
- <span id="page-43-1"></span>[23] Yano K. and Ishihara S., Tangent and Cotangent Bundles (M. Dekker, Inc. New York, 1978)
- <span id="page-43-2"></span>[24] Helagson S., Differential geometry, Lie groups, and Symmetric Spaces (Providence, Amer. Math. Soc., 2001)
- [25] Kobayashi S. and Nomizu K., Foundations of Differential Geometry, Vols. I and II (Wiley, 1969)
- <span id="page-43-3"></span>[26] Sharpe R. W., Differential Geometry (New York, Springer–Verlag, 1997)
- <span id="page-43-5"></span><span id="page-43-4"></span>[27] Vranceanu G., Sur les espaces non holonomes. C. R. Acad. Paris 103 (1926) 852–854
- [28] Vranceanu G., Lecons de Geometrie Differentielle, Vol II (Edition de l'Academie de la Republique Populaire de Roumanie, 1957)
- <span id="page-43-6"></span>[29] Horak Z., Sur les systèmes non holonomes, Bull. Internat. Acad. Sci. Bohème, (1927) 1–18
- [30] Bao D., Chern S. -S., and Shen Z., An Introduction to Riemann–Finsler Geometry. Graduate Texts in Math., 200 (Springer–Verlag, 2000)