## Small anisotropy, weak thermal fluctuations, and high field superconductivity in Co-doped iron pnictide Ba(Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>)<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>

A. Yamamoto, J. Jaroszynski, C. Tarantini, L. Balicas, J. Jiang, A. Gurevich, and D.C. Larbalestier

National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32310, USA

R. Jin, A.S. Sefat, M.A. McGuire, B.C. Sales, D.K. Christen, and D. Mandrus *Materials Science & Technology Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA* 

We performed high-field magnetotransport and magnetization measurements on a single crystal of the 122-phase iron pnictide Ba(Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>)<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>. Unlike the high-temperature superconductor cuprates and 1111-phase oxypnictides, Ba(Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>)<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> showed practically no broadening of the resistive transitions under magnetic fields up to 45 T. We report the temperature dependencies of the upper critical field  $H_{c2}$  both parallel and perpendicular to the c-axis, the irreversibility field  $H_{irr}^{c}(T)$  and a rather unusual symmetric volume pinning force curve  $F_p(H)$  suggestive of a strong pinning nanostructure. The anisotropy parameter  $\gamma = H_{c2}^{ab}/H_{c2}^{c}$  deduced from the slopes of  $dH_{c2}^{ab}/dT = 4.9$ T/K and  $dH_{c2}^{c}/dT = 2.5$ T/K decreases from ~2 near  $T_c$ , to ~1.5 at lower temperatures, much smaller than  $\gamma$  for 1111 pnictides and high- $T_c$  cuprates.

The discovery of superconductivity in iron oxypnictides<sup>1</sup> has attracted strong interest due to an unusual interplay of superconductivity and magnetism and extremely high upper critical fields  $H_{c2}$ .<sup>2,3</sup> Like the high temperature superconductor (HTS) cuprates, iron pnictides are layered with alternating basal Fe-As layers sandwiched between doped charge reservoir layers. Superconductivity appears upon doping a parent antiferromagnetic state with electrons<sup>1,4</sup> or holes,<sup>5</sup> resulting in high transition temperatures  $T_c$  up to 55 K for the 1111 type single layer oxypnictides REFeAsO (RE = rare earth). The recently discovered 122 type AEFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> compounds (AE = alkali or alkali earth) become superconducting with  $T_c$  up to 38 K by hole doping.<sup>6</sup> Electron doping induced by substituting Co<sup>7</sup> or Ni<sup>8</sup> for Fe can also induce superconductivity, but, unlike the cuprates, iron-pnictides can tolerate magnetic impurities in the superconducting layers.

Our previous high-field measurements on the La, Nd and Sm 1111 oxypnictides showed very high upper critical fields  $H_{\rm c2}$  of ~65 T for LaFeAsO<sub>1-x</sub>F<sub>x</sub> polycrystals<sup>2</sup> and even greater than 100 T for Nd and Sm pnictides.<sup>3</sup> However, the Nd and Sm 1111 compounds exhibit field-induced, thermally-activated broadening of the resistive transitions reminiscent of that in the cuprates. Concurrently, quasi-reversible magnetization was observed in LaFeAsO<sub>1-x</sub>F<sub>x</sub> polycrystals, indicative of weak pinning of a nearly equilibrium vortex lattice.<sup>9</sup> It was also suggested that the higher- $T_{\rm c}$  oxypnictides, like the cuprates, may have a grain-boundary weak-link problem.<sup>9-11</sup> On the other hand, the 122 pnictides have lower  $T_{\rm c}$  but also much lower anisotropy than the 1111 oxypnictides.<sup>12-14</sup> Here, we report on  $H_{\rm c2}(T)$  and of the irreversibility field  $H_{\rm irr}(T)$  in Ba(Fe,Co)<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> single crystals. Surprisingly, we found conventional low-temperature-superconductor-like (LTS) displacements of the resistive transition in fields up to 45 T, indications for strong vortex pinning, and a very high  $H_{\rm irr}$  close to the onset of the superconducting transition at  $H_{\rm c2}$ . Both  $H_{\rm c2}$  and  $H_{\rm irr}$  exceed the BCS paramagnetic limit at low T.

Cobalt-doped BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> single crystals were synthesized by the self-flux method. The present Ba(Fe<sub>0.9</sub>Co<sub>0.1</sub>)<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> crystal has dimensions of 1.28×0.58×0.030 mm<sup>3</sup>. High-field magneto-transport measurements were performed using the National High Magnetic Field Laboratory DC 45 T hybrid magnet and a 16 T Quantum Design physical property measurement system. The full critical state magnetization was measured in an Oxford 14 T vibrating sample magnetometer.

Our crystal has  $T_c$  of 22 K inferred from the susceptibility measurements. Figure 1 shows magnetization hysteresis loops which exhibit a small "fish-tail" hump at 5-10 T, similar to that of (Ba,K)Fe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> (Ref. 15) and YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7- $\delta$ </sub> crystals.<sup>16</sup> The critical current density  $J_c$  calculated from the width of the hysteresis loops using the Bean model is shown in Fig. 2.  $J_c$  exhibits a rapid decrease at low-fields followed by broad maxima and a relatively weak field dependence at high-fields. The self-field  $J_c = 4 \times 10^5$  A/cm<sup>2</sup> at 4.2 K is indeed high for a single crystal.

To assess mechanisms, which control the vortex pinning force  $F_p = \mu_0 H J_c$ , we plot in Fig. 3 the normalized pinning force  $F_p/F_p^{\rm max}$  as a function of the reduced field  $h = H/H_{\rm irr}$ . Here we define the irreversibility field  $H_{\rm irr}$  at which  $J_c(H)$  extrapolates to zero from the field closure of hysteretic magnetization loops. The normalized curves of  $F_p(h,T)$  for T > 15 K and (0.05-0.1) < h < 1 collapse into a single curve described by the scaling function  $h^p(1-h)^q$  with p = 1.67, q = 2 (Ref. 17). Moreover, the lower T, partial  $F_p(h)$  curves taken at 4.2-12.5 K also exhibit the same field dependence, allowing  $H_{\rm irr}$  to be estimated down to ~10 K. The observed  $F_p$  scaling, which is independent of temperature, suggests one dominant vortex pinning mechanism, while the symmetric  $F_p(h)$  curves with a peak at h < 0.45 imply a dense vortex pinning nano-structure, perhaps due to an inhomogeneous distribution of cobalt ions, which produces a locally varying order parameter. This

scenario is consistent with a spatial variation of K in (Ba,K)Fe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> single crystals <sup>18</sup> and similar to the field-induced pinning by oxygen deficient regions in YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7-&</sub> <sup>16</sup>

The results of magneto-transport measurements in fields parallel to the c-axis up to 45 T are shown in Fig. 4. It is clear that the R(T) curves are displaced to lower temperatures upon increasing fields, but also that they do not noticeably broaden. The transition widths  $\Delta T$  defined by the 90% and 10% points on R(T) do not exceed 2-3 K. The transitions with fields parallel to the ab-plane were similarly sharp up to 45 T. This lack of broadening of the resistive transitions under field is in strong contrast to some of the 1111 oxypnictides<sup>2,3,19</sup> and rather similar to a conventional LTS like Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn.<sup>20</sup>

The combined high field magneto transport and magnetization analyses enable us to obtain the magnetic phase diagram. The temperature-dependent resistive  $H_{c2}(T)$  defined by 90% of  $R_n$  is shown in Fig. 5. Both  $H_{c2}{}^{ab}$  and  $H_{c2}{}^c$  exhibit almost linear temperature dependence near  $T_c$  with slopes of  $dH_{c2}{}^{ab}/dT = 4.9$  T/K and  $dH_{c2}{}^c/dT = 2.5$  T/K. The anisotropy  $\gamma = H_{c2}{}^{ab}/H_{c2}{}^c$  varies from ~ 1.5 to 2 as T increases (see inset of Fig. 5). This temperature-dependent  $\gamma$  is consistent with multi-band superconductivity, however  $\gamma = 1.5$ -2 is significantly lower than  $\gamma = 5$ -10 measured on the 1111 oxypnictides. The low temperature  $H_{c2}$  extrapolates to > 60 T, much larger than the Werthamer-Helfand-Hohenberg extrapolation  $H_{c2}{}^c(0) \sim 0.69T_c|dH_{c2}/dT|_{Tc} \sim 38$  T, indicating unconventional  $H_{c2}(T)$  behavior. Moreover, even at  $T = T_c/2$ , the observed  $H_{c2}{}^{ab}$  already exceeds the BCS paramagnetic limit  $H_p = 1.84T_c \sim 40.5$  T. Extrapolations of the  $H_{c2}(T)$  data in Fig. 5 suggest  $H_{c2}{}^{ab}(0) \sim 70$  T and  $H_{c2}{}^c(0) \sim 50$ T, comparable to estimates of ~70 T for (Ba,K)Fe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> $^{12-14}$  and ~65 T for the optimally doped LaFeAsO<sub>0.89</sub>F<sub>0.11</sub>, though much smaller than  $H_{c2}(0) > 100$  T for Nd and Sm oxypnictides.

Now we discuss the relationship of  $H_{c2}$  and  $H_{irr}$  defined conventionally as the field at which  $J_c(H)$  extrapolates to zero, which gives  $H_{irr}(T)$  close to the onset of the flux flow resistance at  $R(T) = 0.1R_n$ . The lack of the field-induced broadening of R(T) and the fact that  $H_{c2}$  and  $H_{irr}$  are not very different indicate the LTS-like behavior, unlike HTS cuprates in which  $H_{irr}$  is well below  $H_{c2}$  due to strong thermal fluctuations of vortices. This LTS-like behavior is also consistent with weak thermal fluctuations, as follows from the estimation of the Ginzburg number<sup>23</sup>  $Gi = (2\pi k_B T_c \mu_0 \lambda_0^2 / \Phi_0^2 \xi_c)^2 / 2 \sim 6.8 \times 10^{-5}$ , much lower than for the least anisotropic cuprate YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7-δ</sub> (~10<sup>-2</sup>) and polycrystalline NdFeAs(O,F) and SmFeAsO<sub>1- $\delta$ </sub> (~10<sup>-2</sup>) and LaFeAs(O,F) (~3.4×10<sup>-4</sup>),<sup>3</sup> and even for clean MgB<sub>2</sub> (~2.0×10<sup>-4</sup>). Here the London penetration depths  $\lambda_{ab} = 160$  nm and  $\lambda_c \sim \gamma \lambda_{ab} = 320$ nm were estimated from the lower critical field  $H_{c1}^{ab} \sim \Phi_0(\ln \kappa + 0.5)/4\pi \lambda_{ab}\lambda_c$  measured from the deviation of the diamagnetic magnetization using a SQUID magnetometer, where  $\kappa \sim 65$  is the Ginzburg-Landau parameter.  $H_{c1}(T)$  shows the usual linear temperature dependence with  $dH_{c1}^{ab}/dT = 0.79$  mT/K near  $T_c$ , extrapolating to  $H_{c1}^{ab}(0)$ ~15 mT. The coherence lengths  $\xi_{ab} = 2.44$  nm and  $\xi_c \sim \gamma^{-1} \xi_{ab} = 1.22$  nm were evaluated from the  $H_{\rm c2}(0)$  extrapolations.

Given the weakness of vortex thermal fluctuations, the finite width of R(T) in our single crystal likely results from random  $T_{\rm c}$  inhomogeneities due to local compositional variations, proximity effect near defects, etc, which cause local fluctuations of  $H_{\rm c2}$  and the flux flow resistivity so that the curve R(T) reflects the percolative transition in a weakly inhomogeneous superconductor. In this case the onset of the superconducting transition at  $H_{\rm c2}$  represents the maximum  $H_{\rm c2}$ . In turn, the onset of the global magnetic irreversibility at  $H_{\rm irr}$  may be interpreted as the field percolation threshold at which the lower  $H_{\rm c2}$  superconducting regions form an infinite percolation cluster.

In summary, our magnetization and transport measurements show very high values of  $H_{c2}$  exceeding the BCS paramagnetic limit, and lack of field-induced broadening of the

resistive transitions up to 45 T. The irreversibility field  $H_{\rm irr}$  is close to  $H_{\rm c2}$ , indicating weak thermal fluctuations and/or strong vortex pinning. Thus, Co-doped 122 pnictide is a quasi-LTS high field superconductor with  $H_{\rm c2} > 50$  T and weak anisotropy with  $\gamma < 2$ .

We are grateful to V. Griffin, N. Craig, F. Hunte, E. E. Hellstrom and M. Putti for discussions. Work at the NHMFL was supported by the NSF Cooperative Agreement DMR-0084173, by the State of Florida, by the DOE and by AFOSR under Grant No. FA9550-06-1-0474. Work at ORNL was supported by the Division of Materials Science and Engineering, Office of Basic Energy Sciences. AY is supported by a fellowship of the JSPS.

## References

- <sup>1</sup>Y. Kamihara, T. Watanabe, M. Hirano, and H. Hosono, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **130**, 3296 (2008).
- <sup>2</sup>F. Hunte, J. Jaroszynski, A. Gurevich, D. Larbalestier, R. Jin, A. Sefat, M. McGuire, B. Sales, D. Christen, and D. Mandrus, Nature **453**, 903 (2008).
- <sup>3</sup>J. Jaroszynski *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 064511 (2008); **78**, 174523 (2008).
- <sup>4</sup>A. S. Sefat, M. A. McGuire, B. C. Sales, R. Jin, J. Y. Howe, and D. Mandrus, Phys. Rev. B **77**, 174503 (2008).
- <sup>5</sup>H. H. Wen, G. Mu, L. Fang, H. Yang, and X. Zhu, Europhys. Lett. **82**, 17009 (2008).
- <sup>6</sup>M. Rotter, M. Tegel, and D. Johrendt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 107006 (2008).
- <sup>7</sup>A. S. Sefat, R. Jin, M. A. McGuire, B. C. Sales, D. J. Singh, and D. Mandrus, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 117004 (2008).
- <sup>8</sup>L. J. Li, Q. B. Wang, Y. K. Luo, H. Chen, Q. Tao, Y. K. Li, X. Lin, M. He, Z. W. Zhu, G. H. Cao, and Z. A. Xu, Cond-mat, arXiv:0809.2009v1.
- <sup>9</sup>A. Yamamoto, J. Jiang, C. Tarantini, N. Craig, A. Polyanskii, F. Kametani, F. Hunte, J. Jaroszynski, E. Hellstrom, D. Larbalestier, R. Jin, A. Sefat, M. McGuire, B. Sales, D. Christen, and D. Mandrus, Appl. Phys. Lett. **92**, 252501 (2008).
- <sup>10</sup>R. Prozorov, M. E. Tillman, E. D. Mun, and P. C. Canfield, Cond-mat arXiv:0805.2783v1 (2008).
- <sup>11</sup>A. Yamamoto, A. Polyanskii, J. Jiang, F. Kametani, C. Tarantini, F. Hunte, J. Jaroszynski, E. Hellstrom, P. Lee, A. Gurevich, D. Larbalestier, Z. Ren, J. Yang, X. Dong, W. Lu, and Z. Zhao, Supercond. Sci. Technol. **21**, 095008 (2008).
- <sup>12</sup>H. Q. Yuan, J. Singleton, F. F. Balakirev, G. F. Chen, J. L. Luo, and N. L. Wang, Nature **457**, 565 (2009).
- <sup>13</sup>M. Altarawneh, K. Collar, C. Mielke, N. Ni, S. Bud'ko, and P.Canfield, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 220505 (2008).
- <sup>14</sup>Z. S. Wang, H. Q. Luo, C. Ren, and H. H. Wen, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 140501(R) (2008).
- <sup>15</sup>H. Yang, H. Luo, Z. Wang, and H. H. Wen, Appl. Phys. Lett. **93**, 142506 (2008).
- <sup>16</sup>M. Daeumling, J. Seuntjens, and D. Larbalestier, Nature **346**, 332 (1990).
- <sup>17</sup>E. J. Kramer, J. Appl. Phys. **44**, 1360 (1973).
- <sup>18</sup>N. Ni, S. Nandi, A. Kreyssig, A. I. Goldman, E. D. Mun, S. L. Bud'ko, and P. C. Canfield, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 014523 (2008).
- <sup>19</sup>Y. Kohama, Y. Kamihara, S. A. Baily, L. Civale, S. C. Riggs, F. F. Balakirev, T. Atake, M. Jaime, M. Hirano, and H. Hosono, Cond-mat, arXiv:0809.1133v1.
- <sup>20</sup>A. Godeke, M. Jewell, C. Fischer, A. Squitieri, P. Lee, and D. Larbalestier, J. Appl. Phys. **97**, 093909 (2005).
- <sup>21</sup>U. Welp, R. Xie, A. E. Koshelev, W. K. Kwok, P. Cheng, L. Fang, and H. H. Wen, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 140510(R) (2008).
- <sup>22</sup>L. Balicas, A. Gurevich, Y. J. Jo, J. Jaroszynski, D. C. Larbalestier, R. H. Liu, H. Chen, X. H. Chen, N. D. Zhigadlo, S. Katrych, Z. Bukowski, and J. Karpinski, Cond-mat, arXiv:0809.4223v1.
- <sup>23</sup>G. Blatter, M. V. Feigel'man, V. B. Geshkenbein, A. I. Larkin, and V. M. Vinokur, Rev. Mod. Phys. **66**, 1125 (1994).

## Figure captions

- **Fig. 1.** (Color online) Magnetization hysteresis loops at 4.2, 7.5, 10, 12.5, 15, 17.5 and 20 K. Magnetic field was applied parallel to c-axis.
- **Fig. 2.** (Color online) Magnetic field dependence of the in-plane critical current density  $J_c$ . Triangles indicate broad maximum positions.
- **Fig. 3.** (Color online) Normalized flux pinning force  $F_p/F_p^{\text{max}}$  as a function of reduced field  $h = H/H_{\text{irr}}$ . Dashed line represents the fitting curve  $h^{1.67}(1-h)^2$ . Inset shows  $F_p/F_p^{\text{max}}$  as a function of field H.
- **Fig. 4.** (Color online) In-plane resistivity  $\rho_{ab}$  as a function of temperatures under magnetic field of  $\mu_0 H = 0$ , 1, 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, 20, 25, 30 and 35 T. High field measurements above 15 T was performed using 45 T hybrid magnet at FSU.
- **Fig. 5.** (Color online) Magnetic phase diagram of the Ba(Fe<sub>0.9</sub>Co<sub>0.1</sub>)<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> single crystal. Filled and open symbols represent 90% and 10% of R(T,H) of  $R_n$ , respectively. Circles and squares represent resistive  $H_{c2}$  parallel to the ab plane and c axis, respectively. Upward-pointing triangles represent the irreversibility field  $H_{irr}^{\ c}$  extrapolated from the pining force curves shown in Fig. 3. For  $H_{irr} > 14$  T,  $H_{irr}$  was estimated from the scaling of  $F_p$  curves as described in text. Downward-pointing triangles mark the field of fish-tail peaks on magnetic hysteresis loops. The temperature dependencies of  $H_{irr}$  and the field of the fish-tail magnetization peak can be fitted with  $H^* = H^*(0) \times (1-T/T_c)^{5/4}$ . Inset shows the temperature dependence of  $H_{c2}$  anisotropy parameter  $\gamma = H_{c2}^{ab}/H_{c2}^{c}$ .

Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3




Figure 4




Figure 5

