

Semilinear response for the heating rate of cold atoms in vibrating traps

Alexander Stotland¹, Doron Cohen¹ and Nir Davidson²

¹*Department of Physics, Ben-Gurion University, Beer-Sheva, 84005, Israel*

²*Department of Physics of Complex Systems, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, 76100 Israel*

The calculation of the heating rate of cold atoms in vibrating traps requires a theory that goes beyond the Kubo linear response formulation. If a “strong quantum chaos” assumption does not hold, the analysis of transitions shows similarities with a percolation problem in energy space. We show how the texture and the sparsity of the perturbation matrix, as determined by the geometry of the system, dictate the result. An improved sparse random matrix model is introduced: it captures the essential ingredients of the problem, and leads to a generalized variable range hopping picture.

The rate of energy absorption by particles that are confined by vibrating walls was of interest in past studies of nuclear friction [1], where it leads to the damping of the wall motion. More recently it has become of interest in the context of cold atoms physics. In a series of experiments [2] with “atom-optics billiards” some surprising predictions [3] based on linear response theory (LRT) have been verified. In the present study we would like to consider the case where the billiard is chaotic [a] but with nearly integrable shape (Fig.1). We argue that in such circumstances the analysis should go beyond LRT, and involve a “resistor network” picture of transitions in energy space, somewhat similar to a percolation problem.

We assume that an experimentalist has control over the position (R) of a wall element that confines the motion of cold atoms in an optical trap. We consider below the effect of low frequency noisy (non periodic) driving. This means that R is not strictly constant in time, either because of “drifts” that cannot be eliminated in realistic circumstances, or else deliberately as a way to probe the dynamics of the atoms inside the trap. We assume that this low frequency driving induces transitions between the energy levels, and hence diffusion in the energy space. If the atomic cloud is characterized by a temperature T , then the diffusion in energy would lead to heating with the rate $\dot{E} = D/T$ and hence to an increase in the temperature of the cloud.

Naively one expects to observe for weak driving an LRT behavior. That means to have $D \propto [\text{RMS}(\dot{R})]^2$, and more specifically to have a linear relation between the diffusion coefficient and the power spectrum of the driving. The postulated linear relation is [b]

$$D \equiv G \times \text{RMS}(\dot{R})^2 = \int_0^\infty \tilde{C}(\omega)\tilde{S}(\omega)d\omega \quad (1)$$

where $\tilde{S}(\omega)$ is the power spectrum of \dot{R} . We argue below that the applicability of LRT in this context is based on a “strong quantum chaos” assumption that does not hold in general. Rather we are going to use semi-linear response theory (SLRT) [4] in order to determine D . The theory is called SLRT because on the one hand the power spectrum $\tilde{S}(\omega) \mapsto \lambda\tilde{S}(\omega)$ implies $D \mapsto \lambda D$, but on the other hand $\tilde{S}(\omega) \mapsto \tilde{S}_1(\omega) + \tilde{S}_2(\omega)$ does not imply

$D \mapsto D_1 + D_2$. Accordingly, in SLRT the spectral function $\tilde{C}(\omega)$, unlike physically *measurable* coefficient G , becomes ill defined.

If we assume small driving amplitude the Hamiltonian matrix can be written as $\mathcal{H} = \{E_n\} + f(t)\{V_{nm}\}$, where $V_{nm} = \langle n|(d\mathcal{H}/dR)|m\rangle$ is the perturbation matrix. More than 50 years ago Wigner had proposed to regard the perturbation matrix of a complex system as a random matrix (RMT) whose elements are taken from a Gaussian distribution. Later Bohigas had conjectured that the same philosophy applies to quantized chaotic systems. For such matrices the validity of LRT can be established on the basis of the Fermi-golden-rule picture, and the expression for G is the Kubo formula:

$$G = \pi \varrho_E \langle\langle |V_{nm}|^2 \rangle\rangle \quad (2)$$

where $\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle$ in the LRT context is the algebraic average $\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle_a = \langle x \rangle$ over the near diagonal matrix elements [c], and ϱ_E is the density of states (DOS). Within the RMT framework x is regarded as a random variable. For sake of later discussion we also define the harmonic average as $\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle_h = [\langle 1/x \rangle]^{-1}$ and the geometric average as $\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle_g = \exp[\langle \ln x \rangle]$.

Our interest is in the circumstances where the strong “quantum chaos” assumption of Wigner fails. This would be the case if the distribution of x is wide in log scale. If x has (say) a log-normal distribution, then it means that the typical value of x is much smaller compared with the algebraic average. This means that the perturbation matrix V_{nm} is effectively “sparse” (a lot of vanishingly small elements). We can characterize the sparsity by the parameter $q = \langle\langle x \rangle\rangle_g / \langle\langle x \rangle\rangle_a$. We are going to argue that for typical experimental conditions we might encounter sparse matrices for which $q \ll 1$. Then the energy spreading process is similar to percolation in energy space. In such circumstances SLRT leads to a look-alike result for G , namely Eq.(2), where $\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle$ is defined via a resistor-network calculation.

Outline – In what follow we present our model system, analyze it within the framework of SLRT, and then introduce an RMT model with log-normal distributed elements, that captures the essential ingredients of the problem. We show that a generalized resistor network

analysis for the transitions in energy space leads to a generalized Variable Range Hopping (VRH) picture.

Modeling – Consider a strictly rectangular billiard whose eigenstates are labeled by (n_x, n_y) . The perturbation due to the movement of the ‘vertical’ wall does not couple states that have different mode index n_y . Due to this selection rule, states that are nearby in energy are not coupled. But if we deform slightly the potential (Fig. 1a), or introduce a bump (Fig. 1b) then states with different mode index are mixed. If this deformation is *smooth* then only nearby modes are coupled, while if the deformation is *diffractive*, then all the modes are mixed simultaneously. Essentially the same considerations apply for the circular cavity of Fig. 1c, which is more suitable for a real experiment (but less convenient for analysis). The distinction between ‘smooth’ and ‘diffractive’ deformation becomes very important if the cavity has a large aspect ratio. In the latter case different modes have different DOS, the low-DOS modes are sparse within the high-DOS modes, and consequently for a smooth deformation we expect the perturbation matrix to become *textured*. This means that there are stripes where the matrix elements are larger, and bottlenecks where they are all small.

The emergence of texture is more obvious if we consider the geometry of Fig. 1d, where we have a divided cavity with a small weakly connected chamber where the driving is applied. If the chamber were disconnected, then only chamber states with energies E_r would be coupled by the driving. But due to the connecting corridor there is mixing of “bulk” states with chamber states within energy strips around E_r . The coupling between two cavity states E_n and E_m is very small outside of the E_r strips. This means that the near diagonal elements of V_{nm} have wide variation, and hence wide $\log(x)$ distribution. But obviously the appropriate term for the characterization of this feature is not ‘sparsity’ but ‘texture’.

The SLRT calculation – As in the standard derivation of the Kubo formula, also within the framework of SLRT, the leading mechanism for absorption is assumed to be Fermi Golden Rule (FGR) transitions. These are proportional to the squared matrix elements $|V_{nm}|^2$. Still, the theory of [4] does not lead to the Kubo formula. This is because the rate of absorption depends crucially on the possibility to make *connected* sequences of transitions. It is implied that both the texture and the sparsity of the $|V_{nm}|^2$ matrix play a major role in the calculation of G . SLRT leads to a formula for G that can be cast into the form of Eq.(2), provided the definition of $\langle\langle \dots \rangle\rangle$ is modified. Namely, following [4] we regard the energy levels as the nodes of a resistor network. We write $\tilde{S}(\omega) \equiv \text{RMS}(\dot{R})^2 \times \tilde{F}(\omega)$ and define

$$\mathbf{g}_{nm} = 2\varrho_{\text{E}}^{-3} \frac{|V_{nm}|^2}{(E_n - E_m)^2} \tilde{F}(E_m - E_n) \quad (3)$$

Then it is argued that $\langle\langle |V_{nm}|^2 \rangle\rangle$ is the inverse re-

sistivity of the network. It is a simple exercise to verify that if all the matrix elements are the same, say $|V_{nm}|^2 = \sigma^2$, then $\langle\langle |V_{nm}|^2 \rangle\rangle = \sigma^2$ too. But if the matrix is sparse or textured then typically $\langle\langle |V_{nm}|^2 \rangle\rangle_{\text{h}} \ll \langle\langle |V_{nm}|^2 \rangle\rangle \ll \langle\langle |V_{nm}|^2 \rangle\rangle_{\text{a}}$. In the case of sparse matrices this is a mathematically strict inequality, and we can use a generalized VRH scheme which we describe below in order to get an estimate for $\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle$. If the *element-size* distribution of $\log(x)$ is not too stretched a reasonable approximation is $\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle \approx \langle\langle x \rangle\rangle_{\text{g}}$. However, if $|V_{nm}|^2$ has either a very stretched element-size distribution, or if it has texture, then typically the geometric average becomes merely an improved *lower bound* for the actual result.

Analysis – We consider a particle in a two dimensional box of length L_x and width L_y , such that $0 < x < L_x$ and $0 < y < L_y$. See Fig. 1b. With the driving the length of the box becomes $R = L_x + f(t)$. The power spectrum of \dot{f} is assumed to be constant within the frequency range $|\omega| < \omega_c$ and zero otherwise. The Hamiltonian is

$$\mathcal{H} = \text{diag}\{E_{\mathbf{n}}\} + u\{U_{\mathbf{n}m}\} + f(t)\{V_{\mathbf{n}m}\} \quad (4)$$

where $\mathbf{n} = (n_x, n_y)$ is a composite index, $E_{\mathbf{n}}$ are the unperturbed energies. $U_{\mathbf{n}m}$ are the matrix elements of the potential that deforms the billiard, which is either an s-scatterer or a smooth Gaussian of width σ_x, σ_y at the central region of the box. The perturbation matrix is

$$V_{\mathbf{n}m} = -\delta_{n_y, m_y} \times \frac{\pi^2}{mL_x^3} n_x m_x \quad (5)$$

After diagonalization of $\{E_{\mathbf{n}}\} + u\{U_{\mathbf{n}m}\}$ the Hamiltonian takes the form $\mathcal{H} = \text{diag}\{E_{\mathbf{n}}\} + f(t)\{V_{\mathbf{n}m}\}$, where n (not bold) is a running index that counts the energies in ascending order. The DOS remains essentially the same as for $u = 0$, namely, $\varrho_{\text{E}} = mL_x L_y / 2\pi$. The perturbation matrix $|V_{\mathbf{n}m}|^2$ is sparse and textured (Fig.2). First we discuss the sparsity, and the effect of the texture will be addressed later on.

One can argue that for small u the large size matrix elements are u -independent and are the same as for $u = 0$. A straightforward calculation leads to $|V_{\mathbf{n}m}|^2 \approx m^2 v_{\text{E}}^4 / L_x^2$. The algebraic average of the near diagonal elements equals this value (of the large size elements) multiplied by their percentage leading to

$$\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle_{\text{a}} \approx \frac{m v_{\text{E}}^3}{2\pi L_x^2 L_y} \quad (6)$$

This result turns out to be the same as for a strongly chaotic cavity [3], as if there is no sparsity issue. On the other hand it is implied by perturbation theory that the small size matrix elements are proportional to u^2 . The geometric average simply equals their typical size, which can be evaluated, leading to

$$\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle_{\text{g}} \approx \frac{4m^2 v_{\text{E}}^4}{L_x^3 L_y \omega_c^2} \exp[-m^2 v_{\text{E}}^2 (\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2)] \times u^2 \quad (7)$$

These analytical estimates are supported by the histograms of Fig.3. Thus we find that for small u the matrix is sparse ($q \ll 1$), while for large values of u all the elements are of the same order of magnitude and q becomes of order unity.

Numerical results – For each choice of the parameters AS, σ, u we calculate the *algebraic*, and *geometric* and *SLRT* averages of $\{|V_{nm}|^2\}$. See Figs.4, 5. In order to figure out whether the result is fully determined by the distribution of the elements or else texture is important we repeat the calculation for *untextured* versions of the *same* matrices. The untextured version of a matrix is obtained by performing a random permutation of its elements along the diagonals. This procedure affects neither the bandprofile nor the $\{|V_{nm}|^2\}$ distribution, but merely removes the texture. Fig. 5 demonstrates that a large aspect ratio implies texture. As the width of the Gaussian potential becomes larger (smoother deformation), the texture becomes more important. Without taking the texture into account one would expect much larger results that would be in agreement with the RMT and VRH calculation which is presented below.

RMT modeling – The $|V_{nm}|^2$ matrix looks like a random matrix with some distribution for the size of the elements. It might also possess some non-trivial texture which we ignore within the RMT framework. The RMT perspective allows to derive a quantitative theory for G using a VRH estimate. In the numerical analysis we compare the actual results for G with those that were obtained from a a log-normal RMT ensembles with the same algebraic and geometric averages as that of the “physical” matrix [d]. We observe that the agreement with the physical result is very good whenever the perturbation matrix is not textured.

Assuming RMT modeling we can get an analytical estimate for G using a generalized VRH procedure. Let us demonstrate the procedure in the case of an homogeneous (neither banded nor textured) random matrix with log-normal distributed elements. The mean μ and the dispersion σ of $\ln(x)$ are trivially related to geometric and the algebraic averages. Namely, $\mu = \ln \langle \langle x \rangle \rangle_g$, and $\sigma^2 = -2 \ln(q)$ where $q = \langle \langle x \rangle \rangle_g / \langle \langle x \rangle \rangle_a$. Given a hopping range $|E_m - E_n| \leq \omega$ we can look for the typical matrix element x_ω for connected sequences of transitions, which we find by solving the equation $\varrho_E \omega F(x_\omega) \sim 1$, where $F(x)$ is the probability to find a matrix element larger than x within the strip. This gives $x_\omega \approx \langle \langle x \rangle \rangle_g \exp [2\sqrt{-\ln q}]$. For $q = 1$ (no sparsity) $x_\omega = \langle \langle x \rangle \rangle_g = \langle \langle x \rangle \rangle_a$. If the distribution is not too wide then roughly $x_\omega \approx \langle \langle x \rangle \rangle_g$. But as the matrix gets more sparse, the deviation of the result from the linear dependence on the geometric average increases.

The generalized VRH estimate is based on optimization of the integral $\int x_\omega \tilde{F}(\omega) d\omega$. For a rectangular $\tilde{F}(\omega)$

this optimization is trivial and gives $\approx x_{\omega_c}$, leading to

$$G_{\text{SLRT}} = q \exp \left[2\sqrt{-\ln q} \right] \times G_{\text{LRT}} \quad (8)$$

We have also tested the “standard” VRH that assumes an exponential $\tilde{F}(\omega)$ (not presented).

Experiment – As in [2] $\sim 10^6$ atoms can be laser cooled to $5-10 \mu K$ and then trapped in an optical billiard whose blue-detuned light walls confine the atoms by repulsive optical dipole potential. The motion of the atoms is limited to the billiard plane by a strong perpendicular optical standing wave. The thickness of the billiard walls ($\sim 10 \mu m$) is much smaller than its size ($\sim 200 \mu m$). One or more of the billiard walls can be vibrated with several kHz frequency and amplitudes $\sim 1 \mu m$ by modulating the laser intensity. The temperature of the trapped atoms can then be measured as a function of time by the time-of-flight method. We estimate an heating rate of few $\mu K/sec$, which can be accurately measured, yielding high sensitivity to the energy diffusion process studied here. By controlling the density of the trapped atoms, or their collisional cross section (e.g. via the Feshbach resonance) the atomic collision rate can be tuned by many orders of magnitude. Their effect on the dynamics can thus be made either negligible (as assumed above) or significant, thereby serving as an alternative (but formally similar) mechanism for *weak breakdown of integrability*.

Conclusions – In this work we have introduced a theory for the calculation of the heating rate of cold atoms in vibrating traps. This theory, that treats the diffusion in energy space as a resistor network problem, is required if the cavity is not strongly chaotic. The SLRT result, unlike the LRT (Kubo) result is extremely sensitive to sparsity and textures. For typical geometries the ratio between them is determined by the sparsity parameter q as in Eq. (8), and hence is roughly proportional to u^2 . If the cavity has a large aspect ratio, and its deformation is smooth, then the emerging textures become important, and the actual SLRT result becomes even smaller.

Acknowledgments – This research was supported by a grant from the USA-Israel Binational Science Foundation (BSF).

-
- [1] J. Blocki et al, Ann. Phys. **113**, 330 (1978). S.E. Koonin, R.L. Hatch and J. Randrup, Nucl. Phys. A **283**, 87 (1977).
 - [2] N. Friedman, A. Kaplan, D. Carasso, and N. Davidson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 1518 (2001). A. Kaplan, N. Friedman, M. F. Andersen, and N. Davidson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 274101 (2001). M. Andersen, A. Kaplan, T. Grunzweig and N. Davidson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 104102 (2006).
 - [3] D. Cohen and E.J. Heller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 2841 (2000); J. Phys. A **34**, 413 (2001). See also D. Cohen, Annals of Physics **283**, 175 (2000).
 - [4] D. Cohen, T. Kottos and H. Schanz, J. Phys. A **39**, 11755 (2006). M. Wilkinson, B. Mehlig, D. Cohen, Europhysics Letters **75**, 709 (2006). S. Bandopadhyay, Y. Etzioni and D. Cohen, Europhysics Letters **76**, 739 (2006). A. Stot-

land, R. Budoyo, T. Peer, T. Kottos and D. Cohen, J. Phys. A 41, 262001 (FTC) (2008).

- [a] Our interest is in systems that are classically chaotic. This means exponential sensitivity to change in initial conditions, without having mixed phase space.
- [b] In the original application of SLRT, R is the magnetic flux through a ring, \dot{R} is the electromotive force, and G is the mesoscopic conductance (up to a factor).
- [c] The average is taken over all the elements within the energy window of interest as determined by the preparation temperature. The weight of $|V_{nm}|^2$ in this average is determined by the spectral function as $\tilde{S}(E_n - E_m)$.
- [d] Since for the log-normal distribution the median equals the geometric average, we used the median in the definition of q for the sake of the numerical stability.




FIG. 1: Model systems: The atoms are held by a potential that may consist of static walls (solid lines), a vibrating wall (shaded lines), and bumps (thick points). The numerics has been done for (b) with a Gaussian bump. We work with two different aspect ratios. For the aspect ratio $AS = 20$ we take $L_x = 200$ and $L_y = 10$. For the aspect ratio $AS = 1$ we take $L_x = 40$ and $L_y = 40$. The position of the Gaussian bump was randomly chosen within the region $[0.4, 0.6]L_x \times [0.4, 0.6]L_y$. The width of the Gaussian is $\sigma_x = \sigma_y = \sigma$. We have assumed noisy driving with $\omega_c = 7\Delta$, where $\Delta = 1/\rho_E$ is the mean level spacing, and the units were such that $m = 1$.




FIG. 2: *Left panel:* Image of the perturbation matrix $|V_{nm}|^2$ due to a wall displacement of a rectangular-like cavity that has an aspect ratio $AS = 20$. The potential floor is “deformed” due to the presence of an s-scatterer with $u = 10^{-4}$ (see text). The matrix is both sparse and textured. The texture becomes more prominent for smooth deformation (not shown). *Right panel:* The sparsity parameter q is plotted versus the strength u of the deformation potential for cavities with aspect ratios $AS = 1$ and $AS = 20$. We shall see that G_{SLRT} is correlated with the sparsity parameter q , but much more sensitive to the aspect ratio due to the emergence of textures whose presence is not reflected by the definition of q .




FIG. 3: Histograms of matrix elements for different values of u for $AS = 1$ (left) and $AS = 20$ (right). Here we assume an s-scatterer. The vertical lines indicate the $\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle$ obtained from the LRT algebraic average (dotted), from the SLRT resistor network calculation (solid), and from the untextured calculation (dashed). The geometric mean approximately coincides with the peaks, and underestimates the SLRT value for the larger AS where the sparsity is much larger.




FIG. 4: *Left panel:* The scaled $\tilde{G} \equiv \langle\langle x \rangle\rangle$ in the LRT and in the SLRT case as a function of u for $AS = 1$ and different smoothness of the deformation. The stars are for the “physical” matrices, while the circles are for their untextured versions. The diamonds are for the LRT case. *Right panel:* The SLRT result $\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle$ versus the geometric average $\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle_g$.




FIG. 5: The same set of plots as in Fig. 4 but for $AS = 20$. In the right panel we clearly see the departure of the “physical” result from the untextured and RMT results, and hence from the analytical VRH estimate.