

# First-order action and Euclidean quantum gravity

**Tomáš Liko\***

*Institute for Gravitation and the Cosmos  
Pennsylvania State University  
University Park, Pennsylvania 16802, U.S.A.*

*And*

*Department of Physics and Physical Oceanography  
Memorial University of Newfoundland  
St. John's, Newfoundland, Canada, A1B 3X7*

**David Sloan†**

*Institute for Gravitation and the Cosmos  
Pennsylvania State University  
University Park, Pennsylvania 16802, U.S.A.*

March 10, 2019

## Abstract

We show that the path integral for asymptotically flat Euclidean spacetimes is well-defined in the first-order formulation of general relativity, without the need of infinite counter-terms and without the need to place the gravitational objects in a finite cavity. As an illustrative example of our approach, we evaluate the first-order action for the four-dimensional Euclidean Schwarzschild metric to derive the corresponding on-shell partition function, and show that the correct thermodynamic quantities for the solution are reproduced.

**PACS:** 04.70.Bw; 04.20.Cv

## 1 Introduction

To study the thermodynamics of any system from a quantum-mechanical point of view, the quantity of interest is the partition function. In the operator representa-

---

\*Electronic mail: liko at gravity.psu.edu

†Electronic mail: sloan at gravity.psu.edu

tion, this is [1]

$$\mathcal{Z} = \text{Tr} \left( e^{-\beta \hat{H}[\phi]} \right), \quad (1)$$

for a system of fields  $\phi$  at finite temperature  $T = 1/\beta$  with Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}[\phi]$ . In the path integral representation (1) is equivalent to the expression [2]

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}[\phi] e^{-\tilde{I}[\phi]}, \quad (2)$$

where  $\tilde{I}[\phi]$  is the classical action.

Evaluation of  $\mathcal{Z}$ , however, is quite difficult in practice: the integration and the measure  $\mathcal{D}[\phi]$  are difficult to construct. The problem is that the integration is over all fields  $[\phi]$ , not just the ‘‘auxiliary fields’’  $\phi_0$  that satisfy the equations of motion  $\delta \tilde{I}[\phi_0] = 0$ . For this reason it is not known how to evaluate such integrals exactly, but for physical applications it is reasonable to expect that the dominant contributions to the partition function will come from fields that are close to the auxiliary fields (i.e. the stationary-phase approximation). So for a field  $\phi = \phi_0 + \delta\phi$  the action can be expanded in a Taylor series such that

$$\tilde{I}[\phi_0 + \delta\phi] = \tilde{I}[\phi_0] + \delta \tilde{I}[\phi_0, \delta\phi] + \delta^2 \tilde{I}[\phi_0, \delta\phi] + \dots \quad (3)$$

For generic field  $\phi$  the first term  $\tilde{I}[\phi_0]$  is assumed to be finite, the linear term  $\delta \tilde{I}$  is assumed to vanish, while the quadratic term  $\delta^2 \tilde{I}$  is assumed to be positive-definite [3]. If these three properties hold, then the partition function may be approximated to the expression

$$\mathcal{Z} = \exp(-\tilde{I}[\phi_0]) \int \mathcal{D}[\phi] \exp(-\delta^2 \tilde{I}[\phi_0, \delta\phi]). \quad (4)$$

This form of the partition function is known as the stationary-phase approximation to the path integral (2). The standard quantities of thermodynamics can then be calculated. In particular, the average energy  $\langle E \rangle$  and entropy  $S$  are given by

$$\langle E \rangle = -\frac{\partial \ln \mathcal{Z}}{\partial \beta} \quad \text{and} \quad S = \beta \langle E \rangle + \ln \mathcal{Z}. \quad (5)$$

For gravitational objects that satisfy the Einstein equations, the partition function of interest is evaluated for the Einstein-Hilbert action on a  $D$ -dimensional manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  in a bounded region [2, 4, 5]:

$$I[g] = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int_{\mathcal{M}} R d^D V + \frac{1}{\kappa} \int_{\partial \mathcal{M}} K d^{D-1} V, \quad (6)$$

where  $\kappa = 8\pi$  (with  $G_D = 1$ ),  $\partial \mathcal{M}$  is the boundary of  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $R$  is the Ricci scalar of the spacetime metric  $g$  and  $K$  is the trace of the extrinsic curvature of the boundary  $\partial \mathcal{M}$ ,  $d^D V$  is the volume element determined by  $g$ , and  $d^{D-1} V$  is the volume element determined by the induced metric  $h$  on  $\partial \mathcal{M}$ . The surface term in

(6) can be understood to arise in the action principle for general relativity because the Lagrangian density depends on the second derivatives of the metric. As a result the first derivatives of  $g$  in addition to  $g$  itself must be held fixed on  $\partial\mathcal{M}$ . This is in contrast to the usual form of variational principles for which only the field itself is held fixed. If  $\mathcal{M}$  is spatially compact then a well-defined variational principle for the action (6) exists. In this case (and in this case only), the partition function is well-defined, and the stationary-phase approximation gives

$$\mathcal{Z} = \exp(-\tilde{I}[g_0]) \int \mathcal{D}[g] \exp(-\delta^2 \tilde{I}[g_0, \delta g]) . \quad (7)$$

From here one can then calculate the average energy and entropy of the spacetime with metric  $g_0$ .

The above prescription for finding the thermodynamic properties of gravitational objects works well if  $\mathcal{M}$  is spatially compact, but contains the seeds of many problems if  $\mathcal{M}$  is asymptotically flat: in the latter case the action (6) is infinite, even in the flat limit. This would imply that the partition function (4) evaluated on asymptotically flat Euclidean spacetimes is ill-defined. The solution (for Lorentzian spacetimes) is to isometrically embed the boundary manifold  $(\partial\mathcal{M}, h)$  in Minkowski spacetime, calculate the extrinsic curvature  $K_0$  of  $\partial\mathcal{M}$  defined by the Minkowski metric, and subtract the resulting quantity from the boundary integral in (6). Thus the action [5]

$$I[g] = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int_{\mathcal{M}} R d^D V + \frac{1}{\kappa} \int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} (K - K_0) d^{D-1} V \quad (8)$$

gives a well-defined action principle for asymptotically flat spacetimes. One may then proceed to find the partition function for asymptotically flat spacetimes such as the Schwarzschild or Kerr solutions, and hence the thermodynamic quantities of interest. The problem with the infinite subtraction in the action (8) is that the embedding scheme does not work for generic spacetimes in dimensions  $D \geq 4$  [2, 6–8]. Since the original work of Gibbons and Hawking [5] many proposals for infinite counter-terms have been proposed. See e.g. [3, 6, 9–13]. Unfortunately, the counterterm subtraction method depends crucially on the topology of the system under consideration, as well as on the matter content of the stress-energy (e.g. dilatons fields, etc). Ideally, one should employ a framework that generically produces finite quantities *without the need of adding infinite counter-terms*. As was recently shown [7, 8], the first-order formulation of general relativity based on orthonormal co-frames and Lorentz connections as independent fields does provide such a framework for asymptotically flat spacetimes in  $D \geq 4$  dimensions.

The purpose of this Letter is to suggest that the first-order formalism is better suited for the Euclidean path integral than the second-order action with counter-terms. Specifically, we will argue that the partition function

$$\mathcal{Z} = \exp(-\tilde{I}[e_0, A_0]) \int \mathcal{D}[e] \mathcal{D}[A] \exp(-\delta^2 \tilde{I}[e_0, A_0, \delta e, \delta A]) \quad (9)$$

is more natural than (7). As will be discussed, not only is the first-order action finite for asymptotically flat Euclidean manifolds without any counter-terms, the calculations are also considerably simpler as well.

## 2 Finiteness of the first-order action

We consider a  $D$ -dimensional manifold bounded by two spacelike Cauchy surfaces,  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , which are asymptotically related by a time translation. In the first-order formulation of general relativity the action is given by (see e.g. [8])

$$\tilde{I}[e, A] = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \Sigma_{IJ} \wedge \Omega^{IJ} + \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} \Sigma_{IJ} \wedge A^{IJ}. \quad (10)$$

This action depends on the co-frame  $e^I$  and the  $SO(D)$  connection  $A^I{}_J$ . The co-frame determines the metric  $g_{ab} = \delta_{IJ} e_a^I \otimes e_b^J$ ,  $(D-2)$ -form  $\Sigma_{IJ} = [1/(D-2)!] \epsilon_{IJK_1 \dots K_{D-2}} e^{K_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e^{K_{D-2}}$  and spacetime volume form  $\epsilon = e^0 \wedge \dots \wedge e^{D-1}$ , where  $\epsilon_{I_1 \dots I_D}$  is the totally antisymmetric Levi-Civita tensor. The connection determines the curvature two-form

$$\Omega^I{}_J = dA^I{}_J + A^I{}_K \wedge A^K{}_J = \frac{1}{2} R^I{}_{JKL} e^K \wedge e^L, \quad (11)$$

with  $R^I{}_{JKL}$  as the Riemann tensor. Internal indices  $I, J, \dots \in \{0, \dots, D-1\}$  are raised and lowered using the flat metric  $\delta_{IJ} = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1)$ . The gauge covariant derivative  $\mathcal{D}$  acts on generic fields  $\Psi_{IJ}$  such that

$$\mathcal{D}\Psi^I{}_J = d\Psi^I{}_J + A^I{}_K \wedge \Psi^K{}_J - A^K{}_J \wedge \Psi^I{}_K. \quad (12)$$

We proceed with an analysis parallel to that in [8]. The Cartesian coordinates  $x^a$  of  $g_{ab}^o$  and the associated radial coordinates  $(r, \Phi^i)$  will be used in asymptotic expansions. Detailed analysis shows that to define the angular momentum one needs  $e_a^I$  to admit an expansion to order  $D-2$ . Therefore, we will assume that  $e_a^I$  can be expanded as:

$$e = {}^o e(\Phi) + \frac{{}^n e(\Phi)}{r^n} + \frac{{}^{n+1} e(\Phi)}{r^{n+1}} + \mathcal{O}(r^{n+2}), \quad (13)$$

with a reflection symmetric  ${}^n e(\Phi)$ , and  $n = D-3$ . Here and in what follows

$$r_a = \partial_a r \quad \text{and} \quad r^I = \eta^{IJ} {}^o e^a{}_J r_a. \quad (14)$$

To appropriate leading orders,  $A_a^{IJ}$  can be required to be compatible with  $e_a^I$  on the boundary  $\partial\mathcal{M}$  of  $\mathcal{M}$ . This leads us to require that  $A_a^{IJ}$  is asymptotically of order  $D-1$ ,

$$A = {}^o A(\Phi) + \frac{{}^1 A(\Phi)}{r} + \dots + \frac{{}^{D-1} A(\Phi)}{r^{D-1}} + \mathcal{O}(r^{D-1}). \quad (15)$$

Compatibility of  $A$  with  $e$  and flatness of  ${}^o e$  enables us to set  ${}^o A = \dots = {}^n A = 0$  and express  ${}^{n+1}A$  as

$${}^{n+1}A_a{}^{IJ}(\Phi) = 2r^{n+1} \partial^{[J} \left( r^{-n} {}^1 e_a{}^{I]} \right). \quad (16)$$

In a derivation closely following that in [7] and [8] it can be shown that “power counting” arguments are sufficient to show that  $\tilde{I}$  is finite, that  $\delta\tilde{I} = 0$  on shell, and that  $\delta^2\tilde{I}$  is finite with no boundary contribution. Thus the problems of the second-order formalism expressed in [3] are resolved as a consequence of the natural boundary conditions for asymptotic flatness in the first order formalism. Therefore the on-shell partition function is

$$\mathcal{Z} = \exp(-\tilde{I}[e_0, A_0]). \quad (17)$$

This is precisely the zero-loop contribution to the path integral (4) when the linear term is assumed to vanish and the quadratic term is assumed to be finite. By contrast, we have shown that the corresponding properties are borne out in the first-order formalism for asymptotically flat Euclidean spacetimes *without having to assume them*.

### 3 Partition function and thermodynamics

As an illustrative example of our formalism, let us derive the partition function (17) and hence the thermodynamic quantities in (5) for a specific solution to the Einstein equations. For concreteness, we may evaluate the first-order action (10) for the Euclidean Schwarzschild spacetime in four dimensions.

The metric for four-dimensional Schwarzschild spacetime with Euclidean time  $\tau$  has line element

$$ds^2 = f(r)d\tau^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f(r)} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2), \quad (18)$$

with  $f(r) = 1 - 2M/r$  and  $M$  the mass of the source. A suitable tetrad of co-frames  $e^I = e_a{}^I dx^a$  for this spacetime is given by

$$e^0 = \sqrt{f}d\tau, \quad e^1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{f}}dr, \quad e^2 = r d\theta, \quad e^3 = r \sin\theta d\phi. \quad (19)$$

For this tetrad the non-zero connection coefficients  $A^{IJ} = A_a{}^{IJ} dx^a$  are

$$A^{01} = \frac{f'}{2}d\tau, \quad A^{12} = -\sqrt{f}d\theta, \quad A^{13} = -\sqrt{f}\sin\theta d\phi, \quad A^{23} = -\cos\theta d\phi; \quad (20)$$

the non-zero curvature components  $\Omega^{IJ} = \Omega_{ab}{}^{IJ} dx^a \wedge dx^b$  are then

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^{01} &= -f''d\tau \wedge dr, & \Omega^{02} &= -f'\sqrt{f}d\tau \wedge d\theta, \\ \Omega^{03} &= -f'\sqrt{f}\sin\theta d\tau \wedge d\phi, & \Omega^{12} &= -\frac{f'}{\sqrt{f}}dr \wedge d\theta, \\ \Omega^{13} &= -\frac{f'}{\sqrt{f}}\sin\theta dr \wedge d\phi, & \Omega^{23} &= 2(1-f)\sin\theta d\theta \wedge d\phi. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

With these differential forms given, the first-order action (10) can now be evaluated.

The bulk term is simply

$$\tilde{I}_{\mathcal{M}} = 0. \quad (22)$$

The surface term is evaluated for a spacetime that is bounded inside a ring, topology  $S^1 \times S^2$ , with outer radius  $\mathcal{R}$  and inner radius  $R$  [5]. Once the boundary integral is evaluated, we take the limits as  $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \infty$  and  $R \rightarrow 2M$ . Because the metric (18) is singular at the surface  $r \equiv r_+ = 2M$ , the tetrad we have obtained does not describe a suitable patch on which the boundary integral  $\int_{\Sigma_R}$  can be evaluated. This will be dealt with shortly. First let us evaluate the surface term on  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{R}}$ . We find that

$$\tilde{I}_{\partial\mathcal{M}} = \frac{4\pi\beta M}{\kappa} n_1 + \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{R}}} \Sigma_{IJ} \wedge A^{IJ}, \quad (23)$$

with  $n_1 = 1/\sqrt{1 - 2M/\mathcal{R}} \partial_1 r$  the unit normal to  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{R}}$ . Taking the limit as  $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \infty$  gives  $n_1 \rightarrow \partial_1 r = 1$  and we get

$$\tilde{I}_{\partial\mathcal{M}} = \frac{4\pi\beta M}{\kappa} + \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int_{\Sigma_R} \Sigma_{IJ} \wedge A^{IJ}. \quad (24)$$

To evaluate the integral for  $\Sigma_R$  we need to first eliminate the coordinate singularity at  $r_+$ . This is accomplished by defining a new radial coordinate  $x = 4M\sqrt{1 - 2M/r}$  so that (18) becomes

$$ds^2 = x^2 \left( \frac{d\tau}{4M} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{r}{2M} \right)^4 dx^2 + r^2 (d\theta + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2), \quad (25)$$

and  $r$  is now understood to be a function  $r = r(x)$ . If  $\tau$  is regarded as an angular coordinate with period  $\beta$ , then this metric will be regular at  $x = 0$ ,  $r = r_+$  in the  $\tau$ - $x$  plane with  $\beta = 2\pi \times 4M = 8\pi M$  (the inverse of the Hawking temperature of the black hole).

Since the metric (25) is well-behaved at the  $r_+$  hypersurface, the action can be evaluated directly from the dyad of co-frames induced on  $\Sigma_{R=r_+}$  by the tetrad for (25). We find that this part of the action vanishes and hence the full boundary action is

$$\tilde{I}_{\partial\mathcal{M}} = \frac{4\pi\beta M}{\kappa} = \frac{\beta^2}{16\pi}. \quad (26)$$

Substituting this in (17) then gives the partition function

$$\mathcal{Z} = \exp\left(-\frac{\beta^2}{16\pi}\right). \quad (27)$$

The thermodynamic quantities can now be calculated. From (5) we have that

$$\langle E \rangle = M \quad \text{and} \quad S = 4\pi M^2; \quad (28)$$

replacing  $M = r_+/2$  and noting that the surface area  $A$  of the horizon is  $A = 4\pi r_+^2$  we get the entropy  $S = A/4$  as should have been expected.

## 4 Discussion

Since the pioneering work of Gibbons and Hawking [5] on the Euclidean path integral methods of black-hole thermodynamics, calculations of partition functions have been almost exclusively done in the second-order formalism. In this formalism, however, the semiclassical approximation of considering small perturbations around a classical asymptotically flat solution faces three obstacles: (1) the action is not finite, even on-shell; (2) the linear term in the perturbation need not vanish; and (3) the quadratic term is not finite. The first two problems can be solved by adding infinite counter-terms to the action [3, 6, 9–13], but these are model-specific *post hoc* additions. The resolution of the third problem is to place the black hole in a cavity [3, 14], but this also requires a large-scale modification of the theory.

In contrast, the first-order action is finite on shell under the natural boundary conditions arising from asymptotic flatness [7, 8]. We have argued here that the Euclidean path integral in the first-order formalism yields a well-defined partition function without the need of any infinite subtractions. Remarkably, the partition functions for the Euclidean Schwarzschild solution evaluated in both formalisms are equivalent. The simple manner by which this was achieved in the first-order formalism suggests that this provides a more solid basis for quantum theory.

## Acknowledgements

We wish to thank Abhay Ashtekar and Andrew Randono for discussions, and especially Abhay Ashtekar for suggesting the problem and for commenting on an earlier version of the manuscript. T.L. was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. D.S. was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under grant PHY-0456913 and by the Eberly research funds of Penn State University.

## References

- [1] Pathria R K 1996 *Statistical Mechanics, Second Edition* (Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann)
- [2] Hawking S W 1979 The path-integral approach to quantum gravity *Quantum Gravity. An Einstein Centenary Survey* ed S W Hawking and W Israel (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [3] Grumiller D and McNees R 2007 Thermodynamics of black holes in two (and higher) dimensions *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** 074
- [4] York Jr J W 1972 Role of conformal three geometry in the dynamics of gravitation *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **28** 1082

- [5] Gibbons G W and Hawking S W 1977 Action integrals and partition functions in quantum gravity *Phys. Rev. D* **15** 2752
- [6] Mann R B and Marolf D 2006 Holographic renormalization of asymptotically flat spacetimes *Class. Quantum Grav.* **23** 29277
- [7] Ashtekar A, Engle J and Sloan D 2008 Asymptotics and Hamiltonians in a first-order formalism *Class. Quantum Grav.* **25** 095020
- [8] Ashtekar A and Sloan D 2008 Action and Hamiltonians in higher dimensional general relativity: First order framework *Preprint arXiv:0808.2069 [gr-qc]*
- [9] Lau S R 1999 Light-cone reference for total gravitational energy *Phys. Rev. D* **60** 104034
- [10] Mann R B 1999 Misner string entropy *Phys. Rev. D* **60** 104047
- [11] Kraus P, Larsen F and Siebelink R 1999 The gravitational action in asymptotically ADS and flat spacetimes *Nucl. Phys. B* **563** 259
- [12] Mann R B, Marolf D and Virmani A 2006 Covariant counter-terms and conserved charges in asymptotically flat spacetimes *Class. Quantum Grav.* **23** 6357
- [13] Astefanesei D, Mann R B and Stelea C 2007 Note on counterterms in asymptotically flat spacetimes *Phys. Rev. D* **75** 024007
- [14] York Jr J W 1986 Black hole thermodynamics and the Euclidean Einstein action *Phys. Rev. D* **33** 2092