THE ROOT CLOSURE OF A RING OF MIXED CHARACTERISTIC

PAUL C. ROBERTS

ABSTRACT. We define a closure operation for rings of mixed characteristic and verify that the closure is a ring. We then show that this closure produces a ring with good properties with respect to its Fontaine ring and give an example to show that rings that are not closed in this sense do not satisfy these properties.

1. INTRODUCTION

The results in this paper are part of a program to understand rings of mixed characteristic by studying their associated Fontaine rings. We will define Fontaine rings and outline the main properties that we use in Section 3; we mention here that they give a ring of positive characteristic from which, under certain conditions, the original ring can be reconstructed up to p-adic completion. The difficulty comes from the "certain conditions" that have to apply; essentially what is necessary is that there are enough pth roots in the ring. For absolutely integrally closed rings, for example, this works well, but for Noetherian rings it does not work at all, and adjoining pth roots of all elements produces a huge extension that is difficult to deal with. In this paper we describe a much smaller extension, which we call the root closure, that makes the reconstruction of the p-adic completion from the Fontaine ring work correctly.

In section 2 we give the basic definitions and elementary properties of the root closure. In section 3 we desribe the connection with Fontaine rings, and in section 4 we give an example to show how this works in practice.

2. Basic Definitions

Let p be a prime number, and let R be a commutative ring (with 1) of mixed characteristic p. The only assumption we make is that p is not a zero divisor in R; in most cases of interest R is a quasi-local domain and p is a nonzero element of its maximal ideal. However, we do not even exclude the case where p is a unit, although the ring does not actually have mixed characteristic and the construction is not interesting in that case. We do not assume that R is Noetherian.

Let R_p denote the localization of R obtained by inverting p; our assumption implies that $R \subseteq R_p$.

Definition 1. The root closure of R, denoted C(R), is the set of all $x \in R_p$ such that x^{p^n} is in R for some integer $n \ge 0$.

We note that if $x^{p^n} \in R$, then $x^{p^m} \in R$ for all $m \ge n$, and in fact we also have that $x^{kp^n} \in R$ for all positive integers k.

The author was supported by NSF grant 0500588.

Proposition 1. If R is a ring such that p is not a zero divisor on R, then C(R) is a subring of R_p .

Proof. We note first that if we have a finite number of elements s_i in C(R) we can assume that there a common n such that $s_i^{p^n}$ is in R for all i. Since C(R) is by definition contained in R_p , we can also assume that there is a common integer k such that $p^k s_i \in R$ for all i.

It is clear that $R \subseteq C(R)$ (so in particular $1 \in C(R)$), and that if s and t are in C(R), with s^{p^n} and t^{p^n} in R, then $(st)^{p^n} = s^{p^n}t^{p^n} \in R$, so $st \in C(R)$. It is also clear that if $s \in C(R)$, then $-s \in C(R)$.

We must now show that if s and t are in C(R), then $(s+t)^{p^N} \in R$ for some integer N. Let n be a positive integer such that s^{p^n} and t^{p^n} are in R and let k be a positive integer such that $p^k s$ and $p^k t$ are in R.

We first prove an elementary lemma on binomial coefficients. We use the notation $p^r \parallel m$ to mean that p^r is the highest power of p that divides m.

Lemma 1. Let m be a positive integer, and let i be an integer with $1 \le i \le p^m$. If $p^r \parallel i$, then $p^{m-r} \parallel {p^m \choose i}$.

Proof. We use induction on *i*. For i = 1, $\binom{p^m}{i} = p^m$, r = 0, and $p^{m-r} = p^m \parallel p^m$, so the result is correct.

We now assume that $2 \le i \le p^m$ and assume the result is true for i-1. Since

$$\binom{p^m}{i} = \binom{p^m}{i-1} \left(\frac{p^m - i + 1}{i}\right)$$

the only time the power of p that divides i or $\binom{p^m}{i}$ will change is if p|i or $p|(p^m-i+1)$, which means that p|i-1.

If p|i, then $p \not| i - 1$, so the induction hypothesis implies that $p^m \parallel {p^m \choose i-1}$. Then if $p^r \parallel i$, to obtain ${p^m \choose i}$ from ${p^m \choose i-1}$ we multiply by a number prime to p and divide by i, so we conclude that $p^{m-r} \parallel p$.

If p|i-1, and if $p^r \parallel i-1$, then by induction $p^{m-r} \parallel {\binom{p^m}{i-1}}$, and a similar computation shows that $p^m \parallel {\binom{p^m}{i}}$; since $p \not|i$ in this case, this proves the result. \Box

We now return to the proof of the theorem. As above, let s^{p^n} , t^{p^n} , $p^k s$, and $p^k t$ be in R. We claim that if $N > 2kp^n + n$, then $(s+t)^{p^N} \in R$.

We have

$$(s+t)^{p^{N}} = \sum_{i=0}^{p^{N}} {p^{N} \choose i} s^{i} t^{p^{N}-i}.$$

We claim that every term in the sum on the left hand side is in R. First, if p^n divides i, then p^n divides $p^N - i$, and both s^i and t^{p^N-i} are in R. On the other hand, if p^n does not divide i, then the above lemma implies that p^{N-n} divides $\binom{p^N}{i}$. By our choice of N, this implies that p^{2kp^n} divides $\binom{p^N}{i}$.

Write $i = ap^n + u$ and $p^N - i = bp^n + v$, with u and v integers such that $1 \leq u, v < p^n$. We have $s^i = s^{ap^n+u} = s^{ap^n}s^u$. As noted above, $s^{ap^n} \in R$. Since $p^k s \in R$, we have $p^{ku}s^u \in R$, so, since $u < p^n$, $p^{kp^n}s^u \in R$. Thus $p^{kp^n}s^i \in R$. Similarly, $p^{kp^n}t^{p^N-i} \in R$. Thus $p^{2kp^n}s^it^{p^N-i} \in R$, so, since p^{2kp^n} divides $\binom{p^N}{i}$. $\binom{p^N}{i}s^it^{p^N-i} \in R$. Thus we have shown that every term in the sum is in R, so $(s+t)^{p^N} \in R$ and $s+t \in C(R)$. Thus C(R) is a ring.

If R = C(R), we say that R is root closed. We note that this is a weaker statement than saying that it is closed under taking pth roots; we also note that if S is an arbitrary extension of R, it is not true that the set of elements x of S such that x^{p^n} is in R for some n forms a ring.

3. The root closure and Fontaine rings.

Let R be a ring of mixed characteristic as above. We define the Fontaine ring of R, which we denote E(R), by

$$E(R) = \lim R_n,$$

where each R_n , defined for integers $n \ge 0$, is R/pR, and the map from R_{n+1} to R_n is the Frobenius map. An element of this ring is thus given by a sequence r_0, r_1, \ldots of elements of R/pR with $r_{n+1}^p = r_n$ for all $n \ge 1$. We denote this sequence (r_n) .

It is rather clear from the definition that if there are not very many elements that have p^n th roots modulo p, the Fontaine ring will be very small. However, the only assumption that we make on R is that it contain a p^n th root of p for each n. In this case a compatible specific choice of p^n th root for each n defines an element (p^{1/p^n}) of E(R); we denote this element P.

Fontaine rings have been studied for valuation rings in connection with Galois representations by Fontaine [3], Wintenberger[5], and others. They have been studied for more general rings by Andreatta [1]. For their basic properties we refer to Gabber and Ramero [4] Section 5.2; our notation is taken essentially from that source. We note that there are alternative definitions for this ring and that there are other "Fontaine rings" rings defined from this one.

We recall (see [4]) that E(R) is a perfect ring of characteristic p; in fact, the pth root of (r_n) is simply (s_n) , where $s_n = r_{n+1}$ for each n.

One of the most useful properties of Fontaine rings is that the *p*-adic completion of *R* can be reconstructed from E(R) and, for certain rings, this can be done in a simple way. More precisely, there is a map from the ring of Witt vectors on E(R) to the *p*-adic completion of *R*. We recall that the *p*-adic completion of *R* is $\lim_{\leftarrow} R/p^n R$, which we denote \hat{R} . We will show that to determine the kernel of this map it suffices that *R* be root closed.

Let W(E(R)) denote the ring of Witt vectors on E(R) and let \overline{R} denote the *p*-adic completion of R. We refer to Bourbaki [2] for general properties of Witt vectors.

We have a map τ_R from E(R) to W(E(R)); it sends a to (a, 0, 0, ...). The map τ_R preserves multiplication but not addition. There is a map u_R from W(E(R)) to \hat{R} such that for an element (r_n) of E(R) we have

$$u_R(\tau_R(r_n)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} r_n^{p^n}.$$

Finally, u_R induces a ring homomorphism \overline{u}_R from E(R)/PE(R) to R/pR = R/pR that coincides with the map defined by sending (r_n) to r_0 .

Lemma 2. Let F be the Frobenius map on R/pR, where R is as above. If R is root closed, then the kernel of F^n is generated by p^{1/p^n} for all n.

Suppose that $a \in R$ and that a^{p^n} is a multiple of p, so $a^{p^n} = pb$ for some $b \in R$. Now we have $(a/p^{1/p^n})^{p^n} = pb/p = b \in R$, so $a/p^{1/p^n}$ is in C(R). Since R is root closed, $a/p^{1/p^n}$ is in R, so $a \in p^{1/p^n} R$, as was to be shown.

We next show that if R is root closed, we can determine the kernel of the map \overline{u}_R from E(R) to R/pR.

Proposition 2. Suppose that R is root closed. Then $\overline{u}_R : E(R)/PE(R) \to \hat{R}/p\hat{R} = R/pR$ is injective.

Proof. Let $R = (r_n)$ be an element of E(R) that goes to zero in R/pR, which means that $r_0 = 0$ in R/pR. Since $r_n^{p^n} = r_0$, the above lemma implies that $r_n \in p^{1/p^n}R$ for all n. Let $r_n = p^{1/p^n}s_n$ for each n.

It is clear that letting $S = (s_n)$ would give R = PS, but we do not know that S is an element of E(R); that is, that $s_{n+1}^p = s_n$ in R/pR for all $n \ge 1$. We lift the s_n to elements of R, also denoted s_n . In our notation we will henceforth use congruence modulo pR to denote equality in R/pR.

Although we do not know that $s_{n+1}^p \equiv s_n \mod pR$, we do know that $(p^{1/p^{n+1}}s_{n+1})^p \equiv r_{n+1}^p \equiv r_n \equiv p^{1/p^n}s_n \mod p$, which implies that $s_{n+1}^p \equiv s_n \mod p^{1-1/p^n}R$ (since p is not a zero-divisor on R). We claim that if we let $t_n = (s_{n+1})^p$ for each n, then we will have $t_n^p \equiv t_{n-1} \mod p$ for each $n \ge 1$ and $(r_n) = P(t_n)$.

Since $s_{n+1}^p \equiv s_n$ modulo $p^{1-1/p^n}R$, there is an element v_n of R with

$$s_{n+1}^p = s_n + p^{1-1/p^n} v_n$$

If we raise this equation to the pth power we obtain

$$s_{n+1}^{p^2} \equiv s_n^p + (p^{1-1/p^n} v_n)^p \equiv s_n^p$$

modulo pR. This says that $t_n^p \equiv t_{n-1}$ modulo pR, as was to be shown. It remains to show that $r_n \equiv n^{1/p^n} t_n$ modulo nR for each n. In fact, we have

emains to show that
$$r_n = p^{r_i p} t_n$$
 modulo pR for each n . In fact, we have

$$r_n \equiv r_{n+1}^p \equiv (s_{n+1}p^{1/p^{n+1}})^p \equiv t_n p^{1/p^n} \mod pR.$$

Thus $(r_n) \in PE(R)$.

We now come to the main point, which is to describe the kernel of the map u_R from W(E(R)) to \hat{R} .

Theorem 1. Suppose R is root closed. Then the kernel of u_R is generated by P-p.

Proof. We have a diagram

The map from W(E(R)) to E(R) is reduction modulo p.

Let x be an element in the kernel of u_R . Mapping x down to E(R) we get an element of the kernel of \overline{u}_R , so by Lemma 2 we obtain a multiple of P, which we write eP. Lift e to an element of W(E(R)), say w_1 ; we then have that x - Pw goes to zero in E(R), so $x - Pw_1 \in pW(E(R))$. Thus $x - (P - p)w_1$ is in pW(R), so we can write

$$x = (P - p)w_1 + pv_1$$
(*)

for some $v_1 \in W(E(R))$.

To complete the proof we use induction on k.

Suppose we have $k \ge 1$ and w_k and v_k in W(E(R)) with

$$x = (P - p)w_k + p^k v_k.$$

Equation (*) gives the case k = 1.

Since x and P - p are in the kernel of u_R , we have

$$p^{k}u_{R}(v_{k}) = u_{R}(p^{k}v_{k}) = u_{R}(x - (P - p)w_{k}) = 0.$$

Thus, since p is a nonzerodivisor in R, v_k is in the kernel of u_R . Using the same argument that we used above for x, we can write

$$v_k = (P - p)y + pv_{k+1}$$

for some y and v_{k+1} in W(E(R)). We then have

$$x = (P - p)w_k + p^k v_k = (P - p)w_k + p^k((P - p)y + pv_{k+1})$$
$$= (P - p)(w_k + p^k y) + p^{k+1}v_{k+1}.$$

Letting $w_{k+1} = w_k + p^k y$ we have

$$x = (P - p)w_{k+1} + p^{k+1}v_{k+1}$$

with $w_{k+1} \equiv w_k$ modulo p^k . Since W(E(R)) is complete in the *p*-adic topology, if we let *w* be the limit of the w_k , we have x = (P - p)w. Thus the kernel of u_R is generated by P - p.

4. An Example

We give a simple example to illustrate the constructions described above. Let V_0 be the ring of *p*-adic integers for some prime p > 3, and let $R_0 = V_0[[x, y]]/(p^3 + x^3 + y^3)$. Let *R* be the ring obtained by adjoining p^n th roots of *p*, *x*, and *y*; specifically, we adjoin elements π_n, x_n , and y_n with $\pi_0 = p, x_0 = x$, and $y_0 = y$ and such that $\pi_{n+1}^p = \pi_n$ and similarly for the x_n and y_n for each $n \ge 1$.

To describe the ring R, we first define a ring S similarly, letting S_0 be the power series ring $V_0[[x', y']]$ and adjoining p^n th roots π'_n, x'_n, y'_n following the same procedure as for R. We let S be the union of the $S_n = S_0[\pi'_n, x'_n, y'_n]$; in this case each S_n is a regular local ring. There is a map from S to R that sends π'_n to π_n , x'_n to x_n , and y'_n to y_n .

We claim that the kernel of this map is generated by $p^3 + x'^3 + y'^3$. To show this it suffices to show that $p^3 + x'^3 + y'^3$ is prime in S_n for each n. In S_n this polynomial can be written as a polynomial in y'_n as $y'^{3p^n} + (\pi'^{3p^n}_n + x'^{3p^n}_n)$, which is prime since $\pi'^{3p^n}_n + x'^{3p^n}_n$ is a product of distinct prime elements of S_n (using Eisenstein's criterion, for example).

Let P, X, and Y be the elements $(\pi_n), (x_n)$, and (y_n) of E(R). Consider the element $\eta = (r_n) = P^3 + X^3 + Y^3$. Its zeroth component r_0 is $p^3 + x^3 + y^3 = 0$, so η is in the kernel of u_R . We claim that η is not in PE(R). If it were, its r_1 component, $p^{3/p} + x^{3/p} + y^{3/p}$ would have to be in the ideal generated by $p^{1/p}$, which means that the corresponding power series $p^{3/p} + x'^{3/p} + y'^{3/p}$ would be in the ideal generated by $p^{1/p}$ and $p^3 + x'^3 + y'^3$. This is clearly not the case, so $\eta \notin PE(R)$.

On the other hand, it is easy to see that the elements $(p^{3/p^n} + x^{3p^n} + y^{3p^n})/p^{1/p^n}$ are in C(R) and that $\eta \in PE(C(R))$.

PAUL C. ROBERTS

We remark that it can be shown that E(R) in this example is a completion of a power series ring over a field in three variables, so that an attempt to recover \hat{R} by taking W(E(R))/(P-p) would give a ring of dimension 3 rather than 2, the dimension of R.

References

- [1] F. Andreatta, Generalized ring of norms and generalized (ϕ, Γ) -modules Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **39** no. 4 (2006), 599–647.
- [2] N. Bourbaki, Algèbre Commutative, Chapitres 8–9, Éléments de Mathématiques, Masson (1983).
- [3] J.-M. Fontaine, Représentations p-adiques des corps locaux, in: Cartier P., Illusie L., Katz N.M., Laumon G., Manin Y., Ribet K.A. (Eds.), The Grothendieck Festschrift, vol. II, in: Progress in Math., vol. 87, Birkhäuser, Basel, (1991), 249–309.
- [4] O. Gabber and L. Ramero, Foundations of p-adic Hodge theory, arxiv:math/0409584.
- J.-P. Wintenberger, Le corps des normes de certaines extensions infinies de corps locaux, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 16 no. 1 (1983), 59-89.
 E-mail address: roberts@math.utah.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Utah, 155 S 1400 E, Salt Lake city, Utah 84112-0090