

On brane solutions related to non-singular Kac-Moody algebras

V. D. Ivashchuk¹ and V. N. Melnikov²,

Center for Gravitation and Fundamental Metrology, VNIIMS, 46 Ozyornaya ul., Moscow 119361, Russia

Institute of Gravitation and Cosmology, Peoples' Friendship University of Russia, 6 Miklukho-Maklaya ul., Moscow 117198, Russia

Abstract

A multidimensional gravitational model containing scalar fields and antisymmetric forms is considered. The manifold is chosen in the form $M = M_0 \times M_1 \times \dots \times M_n$, where M_i are Einstein spaces ($i \geq 1$). The sigma-model approach and exact solutions with intersecting composite branes (e.g. solutions with harmonic functions, S -brane and black brane ones) with intersection rules related to non-singular Kac-Moody (KM) algebras (e.g. hyperbolic ones) are reviewed. Some examples of solutions (e.g. corresponding to hyperbolic KM algebras $H_2(q, q)$, AE_3) are presented. It is shown that the generalized Majumdar-Papapetrou solution corresponding to any hyperbolic KM algebra with real simple roots does not describe a collection of extremal charged black branes (in equilibrium) as it takes place for certain examples of finite-dimensional Lie algebras.

arXiv:0810.0196v1 [hep-th] 1 Oct 2008

¹e-mail: ivashchuk@mail.ru

²e-mail: melnikov@phys.msu.ru

1 Introduction

Kac-Moody (KM) Lie algebras [1, 2, 3] play a rather important role in different areas of mathematical physics (see [3, 4, 5, 6] and references therein).

We recall the reader that KM Lie algebra is a Lie algebra generated by the relations [3]

$$[h_i, h_j] = 0, \quad [e_i, f_j] = \delta_{ij} h_j, \quad (1.1)$$

$$[h_i, e_j] = A_{ij} e_j, \quad [h_i, f_j] = -A_{ij} f_j, \quad (1.2)$$

$$(\text{ad} e_i)^{1-A_{ij}}(e_j) = 0 \quad (i \neq j), \quad (1.3)$$

$$(\text{ad} f_i)^{1-A_{ij}}(f_j) = 0 \quad (i \neq j). \quad (1.4)$$

Here $A = (A_{ij})$ is a generalized Cartan matrix, $i, j = 1, \dots, r$, and r is the rank of the KM algebra. It means that all $A_{ii} = 2$; A_{ij} for $i \neq j$ are non-positive integers and $A_{ij} = 0$ implies $A_{ji} = 0$.

In what follows the matrix A is restricted to be non-degenerate one (i.e. $\det A \neq 0$) and symmetrizable one (i.e. $A = BS$, where S is a symmetric matrix and B is a diagonal matrix with positive B_{ii}). Thus, here we do not consider singular KM algebras with $\det A = 0$, e.g. affine ones. We remind that affine KM algebras are of much interest for conformal field theory, superstring theory etc [4, 7].

In case when A is positive definite (Euclidean case) we get ordinary finite dimensional Lie algebras [3, 4]. For non-Euclidean signatures of A all KM algebras are infinite-dimensional ones. Among them Lorentzian KM algebras with pseudo-Euclidean signatures $(-, +, \dots, +)$ of the Cartan matrix A are of current interest, since they contain a subclass of the so-called hyperbolic KM algebras widely used in modern mathematical physics. Hyperbolic KM algebras are by definition Lorentzian Kac-Moody algebras with the property that removing any node from their Dynkin diagram leaves one with a Dynkin diagram of the affine or finite type. The hyperbolic KM algebras can be completely classified [8, 9] and have the rank $2 \leq r \leq 10$. For $r \geq 3$ there is a finite number of hyperbolic algebras. In rank 10, there are four algebras, known as E_{10} , BE_{10} , CE_{10} and DE_{10} . Hyperbolic KM algebras appeared in ordinary gravity [10] ($\mathcal{F}_3 = AE_3 = H_3$), supergravity: [11, 14] (E_{10}), [12] (\mathcal{F}_3), strings [13] etc.

The main growth of interest to hyperbolic algebras appeared in 2001 after the publication of Damour and Henneaux [15] devoted to a description of chaotic (BKL-type [17]) behaviour near the singularity in string inspired low energy models (e.g. supergravitational ones) [24] (see also [16]). It should be noted that these results were based on a billiard approach in multidimensional cosmology with different matter sources (for $D = 4$ suggested by Chitre [18]) elaborated in our papers [19, 20, 21, 23] (for a review see also [25, 67]).

In this paper we briefly overview another possibility for utilization of non-singular (e.g. hyperbolic) KM algebras suggested in 1998 in three our papers (with co-authors) [43, 44, 45]. This possibility (implicitly assumed in [43]-[64]) is related to certain classes of exact solutions describing intersecting composite branes in a multidimensional gravitational model containing scalar fields and antisymmetric forms defined on (warped) product manifolds $M = M_0 \times M_1 \times \dots \times M_n$, where M_i are Ricci-flat spaces ($i \geq 1$). From a pure mathematical point of view these solutions may be obtained from sigma-models or Toda chains corresponding to certain non-singular KM algebras. The information about (hidden) KM algebra is encoded in intersection rules which relates dimensions of brane intersections with non-diagonal components of the generalized Cartan matrix A [35] (see Section 3 below).

We deal with a generalized Cartan matrices of the form

$$A_{ss'} \equiv \frac{2(U^s, U^{s'})}{(U^{s'}, U^{s'})},$$

$s, s' \in S$, with $(U^s, U^s) \neq 0$, for all $s \in S$ (S is finite set). Here U^s are the so-called brane (co-)vectors. They are linear functions on \mathbb{R}^N , where $N = n + l$ and l is the number of scalar fields. The (indefinite) scalar product (\cdot, \cdot) is defined on $(\mathbb{R}^N)^*$ and has the signature $(-1, +1, \dots, +1)$ when all scalar fields have positive kinetic terms (i.e. there are no phantoms). The matrix A is symmetrizable when all $(U^s, U^s) > 0$. U^s -vectors may be identified with simple roots (α_s) of the generalized KM algebra after a suitable normalizing. (For supergravity models all $(U^s, U^s) = 2$ [35].) The ‘‘root’’ U^s is called real if $(U^s, U^s) > 0$. It was shown in our papers [21, 22, 23] that the inequality $(U^s, U^s) > 0$ is a necessary condition for the formation of the billiard wall (in approaching to the singularity) by the s -th matter source (e.g. fluid component or brane).

2 The model

2.1 The action

We consider the model governed by action

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int_M d^D z \sqrt{|g|} \{R[g] - 2\Lambda - h_{\alpha\beta} g^{MN} \partial_M \varphi^\alpha \partial_N \varphi^\beta - \sum_{a \in \Delta} \frac{\theta_a}{n_a!} \exp[2\lambda_a(\varphi)] (F^a)_g^2\} + S_{GH}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $g = g_{MN} dz^M \otimes dz^N$ is the metric on the manifold M , $\dim M = D$, $\varphi = (\varphi^\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^l$ is a vector from dilatonic scalar fields, $(h_{\alpha\beta})$ is a non-degenerate symmetric $l \times l$ matrix ($l \in \mathbb{N}$), $\theta_a \neq 0$,

$$F^a = dA^a = \frac{1}{n_a!} F_{M_1 \dots M_{n_a}}^a dz^{M_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dz^{M_{n_a}}$$

is a n_a -form ($n_a \geq 2$) on a D -dimensional manifold M , Λ is a cosmological constant and λ_a is a 1-form on \mathbb{R}^l : $\lambda_a(\varphi) = \lambda_{a\alpha} \varphi^\alpha$, $a \in \Delta$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, l$. In (2.1) we denote $|g| = |\det(g_{MN})|$, $(F^a)_g^2 = F_{M_1 \dots M_{n_a}}^a F_{N_1 \dots N_{n_a}}^a g^{M_1 N_1} \dots g^{M_{n_a} N_{n_a}}$, $a \in \Delta$, where Δ is some finite set, and S_{GH} is the standard Gibbons-Hawking boundary term [26]. In the models with one time all $\theta_a = 1$ when the signature of the metric is $(-1, +1, \dots, +1)$. κ^2 is multidimensional gravitational constant.

2.2 Ansatz for composite branes

Let us consider the manifold

$$M = M_0 \times M_1 \times \dots \times M_n, \quad (2.2)$$

with the metric

$$g = e^{2\gamma(x)} \hat{g}^0 + \sum_{i=1}^n e^{2\phi^i(x)} \hat{g}^i, \quad (2.3)$$

where $\hat{g}^0 = g_{\mu\nu}^0(x) dx^\mu \otimes dx^\nu$ is an arbitrary metric with any signature on the manifold M_0 and $\hat{g}^i = g_{m_i n_i}^i(y_i) dy_i^{m_i} \otimes dy_i^{n_i}$ is a metric on M_i satisfying the equation

$$R_{m_i n_i}[\hat{g}^i] = \xi_i \hat{g}_{m_i n_i}^i, \quad (2.4)$$

$m_i, n_i = 1, \dots, d_i$; $\xi_i = \text{const}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Here $\hat{g}^i = p_i^* \hat{g}^i$ is the pullback of the metric \hat{g}^i to the manifold M by the canonical projection: $p_i : M \rightarrow M_i$, $i = 0, \dots, n$. Thus, (M_i, \hat{g}^i) are Einstein spaces, $i = 1, \dots, n$. The functions $\gamma, \phi^i : M_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are smooth. We denote $d_\nu = \dim M_\nu$; $\nu = 0, \dots, n$; $D = \sum_{\nu=0}^n d_\nu$. We put any manifold M_ν , $\nu = 0, \dots, n$, to be oriented and connected. Then the volume d_i -form

$$\tau_i \equiv \sqrt{|g^i(y_i)|} dy_i^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dy_i^{d_i}, \quad (2.5)$$

and signature parameter

$$\varepsilon(i) \equiv \text{sign}(\det(\hat{g}_{m_i n_i}^i)) = \pm 1 \quad (2.6)$$

are correctly defined for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Let $\Omega = \Omega(n)$ be a set of all non-empty subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$. The number of elements in Ω is $|\Omega| = 2^n - 1$. For any $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \in \Omega$, $i_1 < \dots < i_k$, we denote

$$\tau(I) \equiv \hat{\tau}_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{\tau}_{i_k}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\varepsilon(I) \equiv \varepsilon(i_1) \dots \varepsilon(i_k), \quad (2.8)$$

$$M_I \equiv M_{i_1} \times \dots \times M_{i_k}, \quad (2.9)$$

$$d(I) \equiv \sum_{i \in I} d_i. \quad (2.10)$$

Here $\hat{\tau}_i = p_i^* \tau_i$ is the pullback of the form τ_i to the manifold M by the canonical projection: $p_i : M \rightarrow M_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. We also put $\tau(\emptyset) = \varepsilon(\emptyset) = 1$ and $d(\emptyset) = 0$.

For fields of forms we consider the following composite electromagnetic ansatz

$$F^a = \sum_{I \in \Omega_{a,e}} \mathcal{F}^{(a,e,I)} + \sum_{J \in \Omega_{a,m}} \mathcal{F}^{(a,m,J)} \quad (2.11)$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}^{(a,e,I)} = d\Phi^{(a,e,I)} \wedge \tau(I), \quad (2.12)$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{(a,m,J)} = e^{-2\lambda_a(\varphi)} * (d\Phi^{(a,m,J)} \wedge \tau(J)) \quad (2.13)$$

are elementary forms of electric and magnetic types respectively, $a \in \Delta$, $I \in \Omega_{a,e}$, $J \in \Omega_{a,m}$ and $\Omega_{a,v} \subset \Omega$, $v = e, m$. In (2.13) $*$ = $*[g]$ is the Hodge operator on (M, g) .

For scalar functions we put

$$\varphi^\alpha = \varphi^\alpha(x), \quad \Phi^s = \Phi^s(x), \quad (2.14)$$

$s \in S$. Thus φ^α and Φ^s are functions on M_0 .

Here and below

$$S = S_e \sqcup S_m, \quad S_v = \sqcup_{a \in \Delta} \{a\} \times \{v\} \times \Omega_{a,v}, \quad (2.15)$$

$v = e, m$. Here and in what follows \sqcup means the union of non-intersecting sets. The set S consists of elements $s = (a_s, v_s, I_s)$, where $a_s \in \Delta$ is colour index, $v_s = e, m$ is electro-magnetic index and set $I_s \in \Omega_{a_s, v_s}$ describes the location of brane.

Due to (2.12) and (2.13)

$$d(I) = n_a - 1, \quad d(J) = D - n_a - 1, \quad (2.16)$$

for $I \in \Omega_{a,e}$ and $J \in \Omega_{a,m}$ (i.e. in electric and magnetic case, respectively).

2.3 The sigma model

Let $d_0 \neq 2$ and

$$\gamma = \gamma_0(\phi) \equiv \frac{1}{2 - d_0} \sum_{j=1}^n d_j \phi^j, \quad (2.17)$$

i.e. the generalized harmonic gauge (frame) is used.

Here we put two restrictions on sets of branes that guarantee the block-diagonal form of the energy-momentum tensor and the existence of the sigma-model representation (without additional constraints):

$$\mathbf{(R1)} \quad d(I \cap J) \leq d(I) - 2, \quad (2.18)$$

for any $I, J \in \Omega_{a,v}$, $a \in \Delta$, $v = e, m$ (here $d(I) = d(J)$).

and

$$\mathbf{(R2)} \quad d(I \cap J) \neq 0 \text{ for } d_0 = 1, \quad d(I \cap J) \neq 1 \text{ for } d_0 = 3. \quad (2.19)$$

It was proved in [34] that equations of motion for the model (2.1) and the Bianchi identities:

$$d\mathcal{F}^s = 0, \quad (2.20)$$

$s \in S_m$, for fields from (2.3), (2.11)–(2.14), when Restrictions (2.18) and (2.19) are imposed, are equivalent to equations of motion for the σ -model governed by the action

$$S_{\sigma 0} = \frac{1}{2\kappa_0^2} \int d^{d_0}x \sqrt{|g^0|} \left\{ R[g^0] - \hat{G}_{AB} g^{0\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \sigma^A \partial_\nu \sigma^B - \sum_{s \in S} \varepsilon_s \exp(-2U_A^s \sigma^A) g^{0\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \Phi^s \partial_\nu \Phi^s - 2V \right\}, \quad (2.21)$$

where $(\sigma^A) = (\phi^i, \varphi^\alpha)$, $k_0 \neq 0$, the index set S is defined in (2.15),

$$V = V(\phi) = \Lambda e^{2\gamma_0(\phi)} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i d_i e^{-2\phi^i + 2\gamma_0(\phi)} \quad (2.22)$$

is the potential,

$$(\hat{G}_{AB}) = \begin{pmatrix} G_{ij} & 0 \\ 0 & h_{\alpha\beta} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.23)$$

is the target space metric with

$$G_{ij} = d_i \delta_{ij} + \frac{d_i d_j}{d_0 - 2}, \quad (2.24)$$

and co-vectors

$$U_A^s = U_A^s \sigma^A = \sum_{i \in I_s} d_i \phi^i - \chi_s \lambda_{a_s}(\varphi), \quad (U_A^s) = (d_i \delta_{iI_s}, -\chi_s \lambda_{a_s \alpha}), \quad (2.25)$$

$s = (a_s, v_s, I_s)$. Here $\chi_e = +1$ and $\chi_m = -1$;

$$\delta_{iI} = \sum_{j \in I} \delta_{ij} \quad (2.26)$$

is an indicator of i belonging to I : $\delta_{iI} = 1$ for $i \in I$ and $\delta_{iI} = 0$ otherwise; and

$$\varepsilon_s = (-\varepsilon[g])^{(1-\chi_s)/2} \varepsilon(I_s) \theta_{a_s}, \quad (2.27)$$

$s \in S$, $\varepsilon[g] \equiv \text{sign det}(g_{MN})$. More explicitly (2.27) reads

$$\varepsilon_s = \varepsilon(I_s) \theta_{a_s} \text{ for } v_s = e; \quad \varepsilon_s = -\varepsilon[g] \varepsilon(I_s) \theta_{a_s}, \text{ for } v_s = m. \quad (2.28)$$

For finite internal space volumes V_i (e.g. compact M_i) and electric p -branes (i.e. all $\Omega_{a,m} = \emptyset$) the action (2.21) coincides with the action (2.1) when $\kappa^2 = \kappa_0^2 \prod_{i=1}^n V_i$.

3 Solutions governed by harmonic functions

3.1 Solutions with block-orthogonal set of U^s and Ricci-flat factor-spaces

Here we consider a special class of solutions to equations of motion governed by several harmonic functions when all factor spaces are Ricci-flat and cosmological constant is zero, i.e. $\xi_i = \Lambda = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. In certain situations these solutions describe extremal black branes charged by fields of forms.

The solutions crucially depend upon scalar products of U^s -vectors ($U^s, U^{s'}$); $s, s' \in S$, where

$$(U, U') = \hat{G}^{AB} U_A U'_B, \quad (3.1)$$

for $U = (U_A), U' = (U'_A) \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $N = n + l$ and

$$(\hat{G}^{AB}) = \begin{pmatrix} G^{ij} & 0 \\ 0 & h^{\alpha\beta} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.2)$$

is matrix inverse to the matrix (2.23). Here (as in [59])

$$G^{ij} = \frac{\delta^{ij}}{d_i} + \frac{1}{2-D}, \quad (3.3)$$

$i, j = 1, \dots, n$.

The scalar products (3.1) for vectors U^s were calculated in [34] (for electric case see also [32, 33])

$$(U^s, U^{s'}) = d(I_s \cap I_{s'}) + \frac{d(I_s)d(I_{s'})}{2-D} + \chi_s \chi_{s'} \lambda_{a_s \alpha} \lambda_{a_{s'} \beta} h^{\alpha\beta}, \quad (3.4)$$

where $(h^{\alpha\beta}) = (h_{\alpha\beta})^{-1}$; and $s = (a_s, v_s, I_s)$, $s' = (a_{s'}, v_{s'}, I_{s'})$ belong to S . This relation is a very important one since it encodes brane data (e.g. intersections) in scalar products of U -vectors.

Let

$$S = S_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup S_k, \quad (3.5)$$

$S_i \neq \emptyset$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, and

$$(U^s, U^{s'}) = 0 \quad (3.6)$$

for all $s \in S_i$, $s' \in S_j$, $i \neq j$; $i, j = 1, \dots, k$. Relation (3.5) means that the set S is a union of k non-intersecting (non-empty) subsets S_1, \dots, S_k . According to (3.6) the set of vectors $(U^s, s \in S)$ has a block-orthogonal structure with respect to the scalar product (3.1), i.e. it splits into k mutually orthogonal blocks $(U^s, s \in S_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Here we consider exact solutions in the model (2.1), when vectors $(U^s, s \in S)$ obey the block-orthogonal decomposition (3.5), (3.6) with scalar products defined in (3.4) [43]. These solutions were obtained from the corresponding solutions to the σ -model equations of motion [43].

Proposition 1. *Let (M_0, g^0) be Ricci-flat: $R_{\mu\nu}[g^0] = 0$. Then the field configuration*

$$g^0, \quad \sigma^A = \sum_{s \in S} \varepsilon_s U^{sA} \nu_s^2 \ln H_s, \quad \Phi^s = \frac{\nu_s}{H_s}, \quad (3.7)$$

$s \in S$, satisfies to field equations corresponding to the action (2.21) with $V = 0$ if (real) numbers ν_s obey the relations

$$\sum_{s' \in S} (U^s, U^{s'}) \varepsilon_{s'} \nu_{s'}^2 = -1 \quad (3.8)$$

$s \in S$, functions $H_s > 0$ are harmonic, i.e. $\Delta[g^0]H_s = 0$, $s \in S$ and H_s are coinciding inside blocks: $H_s = H_{s'}$ for $s, s' \in S_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Using the sigma-model solution from Proposition 1 and relations for contravariant components [34]:

$$U^{si} = \delta_{iI_s} - \frac{d(I_s)}{D-2}, \quad U^{s\alpha} = -\chi_s \lambda_{a_s}^\alpha, \quad (3.9)$$

$s = (a_s, v_s, I_s)$, we get [43]:

$$g = \left(\prod_{s \in S} H_s^{2d(I_s) \varepsilon_s \nu_s^2} \right)^{1/(2-D)} \left\{ \hat{g}^0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\prod_{s \in S} H_s^{2\varepsilon_s \nu_s^2 \delta_{iI_s}} \right) \hat{g}^i \right\}, \quad (3.10)$$

$$\varphi^\alpha = - \sum_{s \in S} \lambda_{a_s}^\alpha \chi_s \varepsilon_s \nu_s^2 \ln H_s, \quad (3.11)$$

$$F^a = \sum_{s \in S} \mathcal{F}^s \delta_{a_s}^a, \quad (3.12)$$

where $i = 1, \dots, n$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, l$, $a \in \Delta$ and

$$\mathcal{F}^s = \nu_s dH_s^{-1} \wedge \tau(I_s), \quad \text{for } v_s = e, \quad (3.13)$$

$$\mathcal{F}^s = \nu_s (*_0 dH_s) \wedge \tau(\bar{I}_s), \quad \text{for } v_s = m, \quad (3.14)$$

H_s are harmonic functions on (M_0, g^0) coinciding inside blocks (i.e. $H_s = H_{s'}$ for $s, s' \in S_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k$) and relations (3.8) on parameters ν_s are imposed. Here the matrix $((U^s, U^{s'}))$ and parameters ε_s , $s \in S$, are defined in (3.4) and (2.27), respectively; $\lambda_a^\alpha = h^{\alpha\beta} \lambda_{a\beta}$, $*_0 = *[g^0]$ is the Hodge operator on (M_0, g^0) and

$$\bar{I} = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus I \quad (3.15)$$

is dual set. (In (3.14) we redefined the sign of ν_s -parameter.)

3.1.1 Solutions related to non-singular KM algebras

Now we study the solutions (3.10)-(3.14) in more detail and show that some of them may be related to non-singular KM algebras. We put

$$K_s \equiv (U^s, U^s) \neq 0, \quad (3.16)$$

for all $s \in S$ and introduce the quasi-Cartan matrix $A = (A_{ss'})$:

$$A_{ss'} \equiv \frac{2(U^s, U^{s'})}{(U^{s'}, U^{s'})}, \quad (3.17)$$

$s, s' \in S$. Here some ordering in S is assumed.

Using this definition and (3.4) we obtain the intersection rules [35]

$$d(I_s \cap I_{s'}) = \Delta(s, s') + \frac{1}{2} K_{s'} A_{ss'}, \quad (3.18)$$

$s \neq s'$, where

$$\Delta(s, s') = \frac{d(I_s)d(I_{s'})}{D-2} - \chi_s \chi_{s'} \lambda_{a_s \alpha} \lambda_{a_{s'} \beta} h^{\alpha\beta} \quad (3.19)$$

defines the so-called ‘‘orthogonal’’ intersection rules [34] (see also [36, 37] for $d_i = 1$).

For $\det A \neq 0$ relation (3.8) may be rewritten in the equivalent form

$$-\varepsilon_s \nu_s^2 (U^s, U^s) = 2 \sum_{s' \in S} A^{ss'} \equiv b_s, \quad (3.20)$$

$s \in S$, where $(A^{ss'}) = A^{-1}$. Thus, eq. (3.8) may be resolved in terms of ν_s for certain $\varepsilon_s = \pm 1$, $s \in S$. We note that due to (3.6) the matrix A has a block-diagonal structure and, hence, for any i -th block the set of parameters $(\nu_s, s \in S_i)$ depends upon the matrix inverse to the matrix $(A_{ss'}; s, s' \in S_i)$.

Now we consider one-block case when the brane intersections are related to some non-singular KM algebras.

Finite-dimensional Lie algebras [44]

Let A be a Cartan matrix of a simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra. In this case $A_{ss'} \in \{0, -1, -2, -3\}$, $s \neq s'$. The elements of inverse matrix A^{-1} are positive (see Ch. 7 in [4]) and hence we get from (3.20) the same signature relation as in the orthogonal case [34]:

$$\varepsilon_s (U^s, U^s) < 0, \quad (3.21)$$

$s \in S$. When all $(U^s, U^s) > 0$ we get $\varepsilon_s > 0$, $s \in S$.

Moreover, all b_s are natural numbers:

$$b_s = n_s \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (3.22)$$

$s \in S$.

Integers n_s coincide with the components of twice dual Weyl vector in the basis of simple coroots (see Ch. 3.1.7 in [4]).

Hyperbolic KM algebras

Let A be a generalized Cartan matrix corresponding to a simple hyperbolic KM algebra. For the hyperbolic algebras the following relations are satisfied

$$\varepsilon_s(U^s, U^s) > 0, \quad (3.23)$$

$s \in S$, since all b_s are negative in the hyperbolic case:

$$b_s < 0, \quad (3.24)$$

$s \in S$. These inequalities are valid, since $A^{ss'} \leq 0$, $s, s' \in S$, for any hyperbolic KM algebra [53]. For $(U^s, U^s) > 0$ we get $\varepsilon_s > 0$, $s \in S$. If $\theta_{a_s} > 0$ for all $s \in S$, then

$$\varepsilon(I_s) = 1 \text{ for } v_s = e; \quad \varepsilon(I_s) = -\varepsilon[g] \text{ for } v_s = m. \quad (3.25)$$

For pseudo-Euclidean metric g all $\varepsilon(I_s) = 1$ and, hence, all branes are Euclidean or should contain even number of time directions: $2, 4, \dots$. For $\varepsilon[g] = 1$ only magnetic branes may be pseudo-Euclidean.

Example 1. E_{10} -algebra. Let A be a Cartan matrix corresponding to E_{10} hyperbolic KM algebra with the Dynkin diagram pictured on Fig. 1.




Fig. 1. Dynkin diagram for E_{10} hyperbolic KM algebra

In this case we get from (3.20) [44]

$$\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_s(U^s, U^s)\nu_s^2 = 30, 61, 93, 126, 160, 195, 231, 153, 76, 115 \quad (3.26)$$

for $s = 1, 2, \dots, 10$, respectively.

Example 2. $\mathcal{F}_3 = AE_3$ algebra [45]. Now we consider an example of the solution corresponding to the hyperbolic KM algebra \mathcal{F}_3 with the Cartan matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 & 0 \\ -2 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.27)$$

\mathcal{F}_3 contains $\mathbf{A}_1^{(1)}$ (affine) subalgebra (it corresponds to the Geroch group) and \mathbf{A}_2 subalgebra. There exists an example of the solution with the A -matrix (3.27) for 11-dimensional model governed by the action

$$S = \int d^{11}z \sqrt{|g|} \left\{ R[g] - \frac{1}{4!}(F^4)^2 - \frac{1}{4!}(F^{4*})^2 \right\}, \quad (3.28)$$

where $\text{rank} F^4 = \text{rank} F^{4*} = 4$. Here $\Delta = \{4, 4*\}$. We consider a configuration with two magnetic 5-branes corresponding to the form F^4 and one electric 2-brane corresponding to the form F^{4*} . We denote $S = \{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$, $a_{s_1} = a_{s_3} = 4$, $a_{s_2} = 4*$ and $v_{s_1} = v_{s_3} = m$, $v_{s_2} = e$, where $d(I_{s_1}) = d(I_{s_3}) = 6$ and $d(I_{s_2}) = 3$. The intersection rules (3.18) read

$$d(I_{s_1} \cap I_{s_2}) = 0, \quad d(I_{s_2} \cap I_{s_3}) = 1, \quad d(I_{s_1} \cap I_{s_3}) = 4. \quad (3.29)$$

For the manifold (2.2) we put $n = 5$ and $d_1 = 2$, $d_2 = 4$, $d_3 = d_4 = 1$, $d_5 = 2$. The corresponding sets for p -branes are the following: $I_{s_1} = \{1, 2\}$, $I_{s_2} = \{4, 5\}$, $I_{s_3} = \{2, 3, 4\}$.

The corresponding solution reads

$$g = H^{-12} \{-dt \otimes dt + H^9 \hat{g}^1 + H^{13} \hat{g}^2 + H^4 \hat{g}^3 + H^{14} \hat{g}^4 + H^{10} \hat{g}^5\}, \quad (3.30)$$

$$F^4 = \frac{dH}{dt} \{\nu_{s_1} \hat{\tau}_3 \wedge \tau_4 \wedge \hat{\tau}_5 + \nu_{s_3} \hat{\tau}_1 \wedge \hat{\tau}_5\}, \quad (3.31)$$

$$F^{4*} = \frac{dH}{dt} \frac{\nu_{s_2}}{H^2} dt \wedge \hat{\tau}_4 \wedge \hat{\tau}_5, \quad (3.32)$$

where $\nu_{s_1}^2 = \frac{9}{2}$, $\nu_{s_2}^2 = 5$ and $\nu_{s_3}^2 = 2$ (see (3.20)).

All metrics g^i are Ricci-flat ($i = 1, \dots, 5$) with the Euclidean signature (this agrees with relations (3.23) and (2.27)), and $H = ht + h_0 > 0$, where h, h_0 are constants. The metric (3.30) may be also rewritten using the synchronous time variable t_s

$$g = -dt_s \otimes dt_s + f^{3/5} \hat{g}^1 + f^{-1/5} \hat{g}^2 + f^{8/5} \hat{g}^3 + f^{-2/5} \hat{g}^4 + f^{2/5} \hat{g}^5, \quad (3.33)$$

where $f = 5ht_s = H^{-5} > 0$, $h > 0$ and $t_s > 0$. The metric describes the power-law "inflation" in $D = 11$. It is singular for $t_s \rightarrow +0$. The powers in scale-factors $f^{2\alpha_i}$ do not satisfy Kasner-like relations: $\sum_{i=1}^5 d_i \alpha_i = \sum_{i=1}^5 d_i (\alpha_i)^2 = 1$.

In the next example we consider a chain of the so-called B_D -models ($D \geq 11$) suggested in [35]. For $D = 11$ the B_D -model coincides with the truncated (i.e. without Chern-Simons term) bosonic sector of $D = 11$ supergravity [27] (related to M -theory). For $D = 12$ it coincides with truncated 12-dimensional model from [31] (probably, related to F -theory [30]).

B_D -models. The B_D -model has the action [35]

$$S_D = \int d^D z \sqrt{|g|} \left\{ R[g] + g^{MN} \partial_M \vec{\varphi} \partial_N \vec{\varphi} - \sum_{a=4}^{D-7} \frac{1}{a!} \exp[2\vec{\lambda}_a \vec{\varphi}] (F^a)^2 \right\}, \quad (3.34)$$

where $\vec{\varphi} = (\varphi^1, \dots, \varphi^l) \in \mathbb{R}^l$, $\vec{\lambda}_a = (\lambda_{a1}, \dots, \lambda_{al}) \in \mathbb{R}^l$, $l = D - 11$, $\text{rank} F^a = a$, $a = 4, \dots, D - 7$. Here vectors $\vec{\lambda}_a$ satisfy the relations

$$\vec{\lambda}_a \vec{\lambda}_b = N(a, b) - \frac{(a-1)(b-1)}{D-2}, \quad (3.35)$$

$$N(a, b) = \min(a, b) - 3, \quad (3.36)$$

$a, b = 4, \dots, D - 7$ and $\vec{\lambda}_{D-7} = -2\vec{\lambda}_4$. For $D > 11$ vectors $\vec{\lambda}_4, \dots, \vec{\lambda}_{D-8}$ are linearly independent.

The model (3.34) contains l scalar fields with a negative kinetic term (i.e. $h_{\alpha\beta} = -\delta_{\alpha\beta}$ in (2.1)) coupled to $(l+1)$ forms.

For p -brane worldvolumes we have the following dimensions (see (2.16))

$$d(I) = 3, \dots, D - 8, \quad I \in \Omega_{a,e}, \quad (3.37)$$

$$d(I) = D - 5, \dots, 6, \quad I \in \Omega_{a,m}. \quad (3.38)$$

Thus, there are $(l+1)$ electric and $(l+1)$ magnetic p -branes, $p = d(I) - 1$. In B_D -model all $K_s = 2$.

Example 3: $H_2(q_1, q_2)$ algebra. Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -q_1 \\ -q_2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad q_1 q_2 > 4, \quad (3.39)$$

$q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{N}$. This is the Cartan matrix for the hyperbolic KM algebra $H_2(q_1, q_2)$ [3]. From (3.20) we get

$$\varepsilon_s \nu_s^2 (U^s, U^s) (q_1 q_2 - 4) = 2q_s + 4, \quad (3.40)$$

$s \in \{1, 2\} = S$. An example of the $H_2(q, q)$ -solution for B_D -model with two electric p -branes ($p = d_1, d_2$), corresponding to F^a and F^b fields and intersecting on time manifold, is the following [43]:

$$g = H^{-2/(q-2)} \hat{g}^0 - H^{2/(q-2)} dt \otimes dt + \hat{g}^1 + \hat{g}^2, \quad (3.41)$$

$$F^a = \nu_1 dH^{-1} \wedge dt \wedge \hat{\tau}_1, \quad (3.42)$$

$$F^b = \nu_2 dH^{-1} \wedge dt \wedge \hat{\tau}_2, \quad (3.43)$$

$$\vec{\varphi} = -(\vec{\lambda}_a + \vec{\lambda}_b)(q-2)^{-1} \ln H \quad (3.44)$$

where $d_0 = 3$, $d_1 = a - 2$, $a = q + 4$, $a < b$, $d_2 = b - 2$, $d_0 = 3$, $D = a + b$. The signature restrictions are: $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = -1$. Thus, the space-time (M, g) should contain at least three time directions. The minimal D is 15. For $D = 15$ we get $a = 7$, $b = 8$, $d_1 = 5$, $d_2 = 6$, $q = 3$.

Remark: affine Lie algebras. We note that affine KM algebras (with $\det A = 0$) do not appear in the solutions (3.10)–(3.14). Indeed, any affine Cartan matrix satisfy the relations

$$\sum_{s' \in S} a_{s'} A_{s's} = 0, \quad (3.45)$$

with $a_s > 0$ called Coxeter labels [4], $s \in S$. This relation make impossible the existence of the solution to eq. (3.8), since the latter is incompatible with eqs. (3.7), (3.17).

Generalized Majumdar-Papapetrou solutions

Now we return to a “multi-block” solution (3.10)–(3.14). Let $M_0 = \mathbb{R}^{d_0}$, $d_0 > 2$, $g^0 = \delta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu \otimes dx^\nu$, $d_1 = 1$ and $g^1 = -dt \otimes dt$. For

$$H_s = 1 + \sum_{b \in X_s} \frac{q_{sb}}{|x-b|^{d_0-2}}, \quad (3.46)$$

where X_s is finite non-empty subset $X_s \subset M_0$, $s \in S$, all $q_{sb} > 0$, and $X_s = X_{s'}$, $q_{sb} = q_{s'b}$ for $b \in X_s = X_{s'}$, $s, s' \in S_j$, $j = 1, \dots, k$. The harmonic functions (3.46) are defined in domain $M_0 \setminus X$, $X = \bigcup_{s \in S} X_s$, and generate the solutions (3.10)–(3.14).

Denote $S(b) \equiv \{s \in S \mid b \in X_s\}$, $b \in X$. (In one-block case, when $k = 1$, all $X_s = X$ and $S(b) = S$.) We have a horizon at point b w.r.t. time t , when $x \rightarrow b \in X$, if and only if

$$\xi_1(b) \equiv \sum_{s \in S(b)} (-\varepsilon_s) \nu_s^2 \delta_{1I_s} - \frac{1}{d_0 - 2} \geq 0. \quad (3.47)$$

This relation follows just from the requirement of infinite time propagation of light to $b \in X$.

Majumdar-Papapetrou solution. We remind that the well-known 4-dimensional Majumdar-Papapetrou (MP) solution [49] corresponding to the Lie algebra \mathbf{A}_1 in our notations reads

$$g = H^2 \hat{g}^0 - H^{-2} dt \otimes dt, \quad (3.48)$$

$$F = \nu dH^{-1} \wedge dt, \quad (3.49)$$

where $\nu^2 = 2$, $g^0 = \sum_{i=1}^3 dx^i \otimes dx^i$ and H is a harmonic function. We have one electric 0-brane (point) “attached” to the time manifold; $d(I_s) = 1$, $\varepsilon_s = -1$ and $(U^s, U^s) = 1/2$. In this case (e.g. for the extremal Reissner-Nordström black hole) we get $\xi_1(b) = 1$, $b \in X$. Points b are the points of (regular) horizon.

For certain examples of finite-dimensional semisimple Lie algebras (e.g. for $\mathbf{A}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{A}_1$, \mathbf{A}_2 etc), the poles b in H_s correspond to (regular) horizons and the solution under consideration describes a collection of k blocks of extremal charged black branes (in equilibrium) [43].

Hyperbolic KM algebras. Let us consider a generalized one-block ($k = 1$) MP solution corresponding to a hyperbolic KM algebra with real roots, i.e. when $(U^s, U^s) > 0$ for all $s \in S$. In this case

all $\varepsilon_s > 0$, $s \in S$, and hence $\xi_1(b) < 0$. Thus, any point $b \in X$ is not a point of the horizon. (It may be checked using the analysis carried out in [43] that any non-exceptional point b is a singular one). As a consequence, the generalized MP solution corresponding to any hyperbolic KM algebra with real roots does not describe a collection of extremal charged black branes (in equilibrium).

3.1.2 Toda-like Lagrangian

Action (2.21) may be also written in the form

$$S_{\sigma 0} = \frac{1}{2\kappa_0^2} \int d^{d_0} x \sqrt{|g^0|} \{ R[g^0] - \mathcal{G}_{\hat{A}\hat{B}}(X) g^{0\mu\nu} \partial_\mu X^{\hat{A}} \partial_\nu X^{\hat{B}} - 2V \} \quad (3.50)$$

where $X = (X^{\hat{A}}) = (\phi^i, \varphi^\alpha, \Phi^s) \in \mathbf{R}^N$, and minisupermetric $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_{\hat{A}\hat{B}}(X) dX^{\hat{A}} \otimes dX^{\hat{B}}$ on minisuperspace $\mathcal{M} = \mathbf{R}^N$, $N = n + l + |S|$ ($|S|$ is the number of elements in S) is defined by the relation

$$(\mathcal{G}_{\hat{A}\hat{B}}(X)) = \begin{pmatrix} G_{ij} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & h_{\alpha\beta} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon_s \exp(-2U^s(\sigma)) \delta_{ss'} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.51)$$

Here we consider exact solutions to field equations corresponding to the action (3.50)

$$R_{\mu\nu}[g^0] = \mathcal{G}_{\hat{A}\hat{B}}(X) \partial_\mu X^{\hat{A}} \partial_\nu X^{\hat{B}} + \frac{2V}{d_0 - 2} g_{\mu\nu}^0, \quad (3.52)$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{|g^0|}} \partial_\mu [\sqrt{|g^0|} \mathcal{G}_{\hat{C}\hat{B}}(X) g^{0\mu\nu} \partial_\nu X^{\hat{B}}] - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G}_{\hat{A}\hat{B},\hat{C}}(X) g^{0,\mu\nu} \partial_\mu X^{\hat{A}} \partial_\nu X^{\hat{B}} = V_{,\hat{C}}, \quad (3.53)$$

$s \in S$. Here $V_{,\hat{C}} = \partial V / \partial X^{\hat{C}}$.

We put

$$X^{\hat{A}}(x) = F^{\hat{A}}(H(x)), \quad (3.54)$$

where $F : (u_-, u_+) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ is a smooth function, $H : M_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a harmonic function on M_0 (i.e. $\Delta[g^0]H = 0$), satisfying $u_- < H(x) < u_+$ for all $x \in M_0$. Let all factor spaces are Ricci-flat and cosmological constant is zero, i.e. relation $\xi_i = \Lambda = 0$ is satisfied. In this case the potential is zero : $V = 0$. It may be verified that the field equations (3.52) and (3.53) are satisfied identically if $F = F(u)$ obey the Lagrange equations for the Lagrangian

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G}_{\hat{A}\hat{B}}(F) \dot{F}^{\hat{A}} \dot{F}^{\hat{B}} \quad (3.55)$$

with the zero-energy constraint

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G}_{\hat{A}\hat{B}}(F) \dot{F}^{\hat{A}} \dot{F}^{\hat{B}} = 0. \quad (3.56)$$

This means that $F : (u_-, u_+) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ is a null-geodesic map for the minisupermetric \mathcal{G} . Thus, we are led to the Lagrange system (3.55) with the minisupermetric \mathcal{G} defined in (3.51).

The problem of integrability will be simplified if we integrate the Lagrange equations corresponding to Φ^s (i.e. the Maxwell equations for $s \in S_e$ and Bianchi identities for $s \in S_m$):

$$\frac{d}{du} \left(\exp(-2U^s(\sigma)) \dot{\Phi}^s \right) = 0 \iff \dot{\Phi}^s = Q_s \exp(2U^s(\sigma)), \quad (3.57)$$

where Q_s are constants, $s \in S$. Here $(F^{\hat{A}}) = (\sigma^A, \Phi^s)$. We put $Q_s \neq 0$ for all $s \in S$.

For fixed $Q = (Q_s, s \in S)$ the Lagrange equations for the Lagrangian (3.55) corresponding to $(\sigma^A) = (\phi^i, \varphi^\alpha)$, when equations (3.57) are substituted, are equivalent to the Lagrange equations for the Lagrangian

$$L_Q = \frac{1}{2} \hat{G}_{AB} \dot{\sigma}^A \dot{\sigma}^B - V_Q, \quad (3.58)$$

where

$$V_Q = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \in S} \varepsilon_s Q_s^2 \exp[2U^s(\sigma)], \quad (3.59)$$

the matrix (\hat{G}_{AB}) is defined in (2.23). The zero-energy constraint (3.56) reads

$$E_Q = \frac{1}{2} \hat{G}_{AB} \dot{\sigma}^A \dot{\sigma}^B + V_Q = 0. \quad (3.60)$$

3.1.3 Toda-type solutions

Here we are interested in exact solutions for a special case when the vectors U^s have non-zero length, i.e. $K_s = (U^s, U^s) \neq 0$, for all $s \in S$, and the quasi-Cartan matrix (3.17) is a non-degenerate one. Here some ordering in S is assumed. It follows from the non-degeneracy of the matrix (3.17) that vectors U^s , $s \in S$, are linearly independent. Hence, the number of vectors U^s should not exceed the dimension of \mathbb{R}^{n+l} , i.e. $|S| \leq n + l$.

The exact solutions were obtained in [46]

$$g = \left(\prod_{s \in S} f_s^{2d(I_s)h_s/(D-2)} \right) \left\{ \exp(2c^0 H + 2\bar{c}^0) \hat{g}^0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\prod_{s \in S} f_s^{-2h_s \delta_i I_s} \right) \exp(2c^i H + 2\bar{c}^i) \hat{g}^i \right\}, \quad (3.61)$$

$$\exp(\varphi^\alpha) = \left(\prod_{s \in S} f_s^{h_s \chi_s \lambda_{a_s}^\alpha} \right) \exp(c^\alpha H + \bar{c}^\alpha), \quad (3.62)$$

$\alpha = 1, \dots, l$ and $F^a = \sum_{s \in S} \mathcal{F}^s \delta_{a_s}^\alpha$ with

$$\mathcal{F}^s = Q_s \left(\prod_{s' \in S} f_{s'}^{-A_{ss'}} \right) dH \wedge \tau(I_s), \quad s \in S_e, \quad (3.63)$$

$$\mathcal{F}^s = Q_s (*_0 dH) \wedge \tau(\bar{I}_s), \quad s \in S_m, \quad (3.64)$$

where $*_0 = *[g^0]$ is the Hodge operator on (M_0, g^0) . Here

$$f_s = f_s(H) = \exp(-q^s(H)), \quad (3.65)$$

where $q^s(u)$ is a solution to Toda-like equations

$$\ddot{q}^s = -B_s \exp\left(\sum_{s' \in S} A_{ss'} q^{s'}\right), \quad (3.66)$$

with $B_s = K_s \varepsilon_s Q_s^2$, $s \in S$, and $H = H(x)$ ($x \in M_0$) is a harmonic function on (M_0, g^0) . Vectors $c = (c^A)$ and $\bar{c} = (\bar{c}^A)$ satisfy the linear constraints

$$U^s(c) = \sum_{i \in I_s} d_i c^i - \chi_s \lambda_{a_s} c^\alpha = 0, \quad U^s(\bar{c}) = 0, \quad (3.67)$$

$s \in S$, and

$$c^0 = \frac{1}{2-d_0} \sum_{j=1}^n d_j c^j, \quad \bar{c}^0 = \frac{1}{2-d_0} \sum_{j=1}^n d_j \bar{c}^j. \quad (3.68)$$

The zero-energy constraint reads

$$2E_{TL} + h_{\alpha\beta} c^\alpha c^\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n d_i (c^i)^2 + \frac{1}{d_0 - 2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n d_i c^i \right)^2 = 0, \quad (3.69)$$

where

$$E_{TL} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{s,s' \in S} h_s A_{ss'} \dot{q}^s \dot{q}^{s'} + \sum_{s \in S} A_s \exp\left(\sum_{s' \in S} A_{ss'} q^{s'}\right), \quad (3.70)$$

is an integration constant (energy) for the solutions from (3.66) and $A_s = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_s Q_s^2$.

We note that equations (3.66) correspond to the Lagrangian

$$L_{TL} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{s,s' \in S} h_s A_{ss'} \dot{q}^s \dot{q}^{s'} - \sum_{s \in S} A_s \exp\left(\sum_{s' \in S} A_{ss'} q^{s'}\right), \quad (3.71)$$

where $h_s = K_s^{-1}$.

Thus the solution is presented by relations (3.61)-(3.65) with the functions q^s defined in (3.66) and the relations on the parameters of solutions c^A, \bar{c}^A ($A = i, \alpha, 0$), imposed in (3.67), (3.68), (3.69).

4 Classical cosmological-type solutions

Now we consider the case $d_0 = 1$, $M_0 = \mathbb{R}$, i.e. we are interesting in applications to the sector with one-variable dependence. We consider the manifold

$$M = (u_-, u_+) \times M_1 \times \dots \times M_n \quad (4.1)$$

with the metric

$$g = w e^{2\gamma(u)} du \otimes du + \sum_{i=1}^n e^{2\phi^i(u)} \hat{g}^i, \quad (4.2)$$

where $w = \pm 1$, u is a distinguished coordinate which, by convention, will be called ‘‘time’’; (M_i, g^i) are oriented and connected Einstein spaces (see (2.4)), $i = 1, \dots, n$. The functions $\gamma, \phi^i: (u_-, u_+) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are smooth.

Here we adopt the brane ansatz from Sect. 2. putting $g^0 = w du \otimes du$.

4.1 Lagrange dynamics

It follows from Subsect. 2.3 that equations of motion and the Bianchi identities for the field configuration under consideration (with the restrictions from Sect. 2.3 imposed) are equivalent to equations of motion for 1-dimensional σ -model with the action

$$S_\sigma = \frac{\mu}{2} \int du \mathcal{N} \left\{ G_{ij} \dot{\phi}^i \dot{\phi}^j + h_{\alpha\beta} \dot{\varphi}^\alpha \dot{\varphi}^\beta + \sum_{s \in S} \varepsilon_s \exp[-2U^s(\phi, \varphi)] (\dot{\Phi}^s)^2 - 2\mathcal{N}^{-2} V_w(\phi) \right\}, \quad (4.3)$$

where $\dot{x} \equiv dx/du$,

$$V_w = -wV = -w\Lambda e^{2\gamma_0(\phi)} + \frac{w}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i d_i e^{-2\phi^i + 2\gamma_0(\phi)} \quad (4.4)$$

is the potential with $\gamma_0(\phi) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n d_i \phi^i$, and $\mathcal{N} = \exp(\gamma_0 - \gamma) > 0$ is the lapse function, $U^s = U^s(\phi, \varphi)$ are defined in (2.25), ε_s are defined in (2.27) for $s = (a_s, v_s, I_s) \in S$, and $G_{ij} = d_i \delta_{ij} - d_i d_j$ are components of ‘‘pure cosmological’’ minisupermetric, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ [59].

In the electric case ($\mathcal{F}^{(a,m,I)} = 0$) for finite internal space volumes V_i the action (4.3) coincides with the action (2.1) if $\mu = -w/\kappa_0^2$, $\kappa^2 = \kappa_0^2 V_1 \dots V_n$.

Action (4.3) may be also written in the form

$$S_\sigma = \frac{\mu}{2} \int du \mathcal{N} \left\{ \mathcal{G}_{\hat{A}\hat{B}}(X) \dot{X}^{\hat{A}} \dot{X}^{\hat{B}} - 2\mathcal{N}^{-2} V_w \right\}, \quad (4.5)$$

where $X = (X^{\hat{A}}) = (\phi^i, \varphi^\alpha, \Phi^s) \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $N = n + l + |S|$, and minisupermetric \mathcal{G} is defined in (3.51).

Scalar products. The minisuperspace metric (3.51) may be also written in the form $\mathcal{G} = \hat{G} + \sum_{s \in S} \varepsilon_s e^{-2U^s(\sigma)} d\Phi^s \otimes d\Phi^s$, where $\sigma = (\sigma^A) = (\phi^i, \varphi^\alpha)$,

$$\hat{G} = \hat{G}_{AB} d\sigma^A \otimes d\sigma^B = G_{ij} d\phi^i \otimes d\phi^j + h_{\alpha\beta} d\varphi^\alpha \otimes d\varphi^\beta, \quad (4.6)$$

is the truncated minisupermetric and $U^s(\sigma) = U_A^s \sigma^A$ is defined in (2.25). The potential (4.4) reads

$$V_w = (-w\Lambda) e^{2U^\Lambda(\sigma)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{w}{2} \xi_j d_j e^{2U^j(\sigma)}, \quad (4.7)$$

where

$$U^j(\sigma) = U_A^j \sigma^A = -\phi^j + \gamma_0(\phi), \quad (U_A^j) = (-\delta_i^j + d_i, 0), \quad (4.8)$$

$$U^\Lambda(\sigma) = U_A^\Lambda \sigma^A = \gamma_0(\phi), \quad (U_A^\Lambda) = (d_i, 0). \quad (4.9)$$

The integrability of the Lagrange system (4.5) crucially depends upon the scalar products of co-vectors U^Λ, U^j, U^s (see (3.1)). These products are defined by (3.4) and the following relations [34]

$$(U^i, U^j) = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{d_j} - 1, \quad (4.10)$$

$$(U^i, U^\Lambda) = -1, \quad (U^\Lambda, U^\Lambda) = -\frac{D-1}{D-2}, \quad (4.11)$$

$$(U^s, U^i) = -\delta_{iI_s}, \quad (U^s, U^\Lambda) = \frac{d(I_s)}{2-D}, \quad (4.12)$$

where $s = (a_s, v_s, I_s) \in S$; $i, j = 1, \dots, n$.

Toda-like representation. We put $\gamma = \gamma_0(\phi)$, i.e. the harmonic time gauge is considered. Integrating the Lagrange equations corresponding to Φ^s (see (3.57)) we are led to the Lagrangian from (3.58) and the zero-energy constraint (3.60) with the modified potential

$$V_Q = V_w + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \in S} \varepsilon_s Q_s^2 \exp[2U^s(\sigma)], \quad (4.13)$$

where V_w is defined in (4.4).

4.2 Classical solutions with $\Lambda = 0$

Here we consider classical solutions with $\Lambda = 0$.

4.2.1 Solutions with Ricci-flat factor-spaces

Let all spaces be Ricci-flat, i.e. $\xi_1 = \dots = \xi_n = 0$.

Since $H(u) = u$ is a harmonic function on (M_0, g^0) with $g^0 = wdu \otimes du$ we get for the metric and scalar fields from (3.61), (3.62) [46]

$$g = \left(\prod_{s \in S} f_s^{2d(I_s)h_s/(D-2)} \right) \left\{ \exp(2c^0 u + 2\bar{c}^0) wdu \otimes du \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\prod_{s \in S} f_s^{-2h_s \delta_{iI_s}} \right) \exp(2c^i u + 2\bar{c}^i) \hat{g}^i \right\}, \quad (4.14)$$

$$\exp(\varphi^\alpha) = \left(\prod_{s \in S} f_s^{h_s \chi_s \lambda_{\alpha s}^\alpha} \right) \exp(c^\alpha u + \bar{c}^\alpha), \quad (4.15)$$

$\alpha = 1, \dots, l$, where $f_s = f_s(u) = \exp(-q^s(u))$ and $q^s(u)$ obey Toda-like equations (3.66).

Relations (3.68) and (3.69) take the form

$$c^0 = \sum_{j=1}^n d_j c^j, \quad \bar{c}^0 = \sum_{j=1}^n d_j \bar{c}^j, \quad (4.16)$$

$$2E_{TL} + h_{\alpha\beta} c^\alpha c^\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n d_i (c^i)^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n d_i c^i \right)^2 = 0, \quad (4.17)$$

with E_{TL} from (3.70) and all other relations (e.g. constraints (3.67) and relations for forms (3.63) and (3.64) with $H = u$) are unchanged.

4.2.2 Solutions with one curved factor-space

The cosmological solution with Ricci-flat spaces may be also modified to the following case: $\xi_1 \neq 0$, $\xi_2 = \dots = \xi_n = 0$, i.e. one space is curved and others are Ricci-flat and $1 \notin I_s$, $s \in S$, i.e. all ‘‘brane’’ submanifolds do not contain M_1 .

The potential (3.59) is modified for $\xi_1 \neq 0$ as follows (see (4.13))

$$V_Q = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \in S} \varepsilon_s Q_s^2 \exp[2U^s(\sigma)] + \frac{1}{2} w \xi_1 d_1 \exp[2U^1(\sigma)], \quad (4.18)$$

where $U^1(\sigma)$ is defined in (4.8) ($d_1 > 1$).

For the scalar products we get from (4.10) and (4.12)

$$(U^1, U^1) = \frac{1}{d_1} - 1 < 0, \quad (U^1, U^s) = 0 \quad (4.19)$$

for all $s \in S$.

The solution in the case under consideration may be obtained by a little modification of the solution from the previous section (using (4.19), relations $U^{1i} = -\delta_1^i/d_1$, $U^{1\alpha} = 0$ [46])

$$g = \left(\prod_{s \in S} [f_s(u)]^{2d(I_s)h_s/(D-2)} \right) \left\{ [f_1(u)]^{2d_1/(1-d_1)} \exp(2c^1 u + 2\bar{c}^1) \right. \\ \left. \times [wdu \otimes du + f_1^2(u)\hat{g}^1] + \sum_{i=2}^n \left(\prod_{s \in S} [f_s(u)]^{-2h_s \delta_i I_s} \right) \exp(2c^i u + 2\bar{c}^i) \hat{g}^i \right\}. \quad (4.20)$$

$$\exp(\varphi^\alpha) = \left(\prod_{s \in S} f_s^{h_s \chi_s \lambda_{a_s}^\alpha} \right) \exp(c^\alpha u + \bar{c}^\alpha), \quad (4.21)$$

and

$$F^a = \sum_{s \in S} \delta_{a_s}^a \mathcal{F}^s$$

with forms

$$\mathcal{F}^s = Q_s \left(\prod_{s' \in S} f_{s'}^{-A_{ss'}} \right) du \wedge \tau(I_s), \quad s \in S_e, \quad (4.22)$$

$$\mathcal{F}^s = Q_s \tau(\bar{I}_s), \quad s \in S_m \quad (4.23)$$

$Q_s \neq 0$, $s \in S$.

Here $f_s = f_s(u) = \exp(-q^s(u))$ where $q^s(u)$ obey Toda-like equations (3.66) and

$$f_1(u) = R \sinh(\sqrt{C_1}(u - u_1)), \quad C_1 > 0, \quad \xi_1 w > 0; \quad (4.24)$$

$$R \sin(\sqrt{|C_1|}(u - u_1)), \quad C_1 < 0, \quad \xi_1 w > 0; \quad (4.25)$$

$$R \cosh(\sqrt{C_1}(u - u_1)), \quad C_1 > 0, \quad \xi_1 w < 0; \quad (4.26)$$

$$|\xi_1(d_1 - 1)|^{1/2}, \quad C_1 = 0, \quad \xi_1 w > 0, \quad (4.27)$$

u_1, C_1 are constants and $R = |\xi_1(d_1 - 1)/C_1|^{1/2}$.

Vectors $c = (c^A)$ and $\bar{c} = (\bar{c}^A)$ satisfy the linear constraints

$$U^r(c) = U^r(\bar{c}) = 0, \quad r = s, 1, \quad (4.28)$$

(for $r = s$ see (3.67)) and the zero-energy constraint

$$C_1 \frac{d_1}{d_1 - 1} = 2E_{TL} + h_{\alpha\beta} c^\alpha c^\beta + \sum_{i=2}^n d_i (c^i)^2 + \frac{1}{d_1 - 1} \left(\sum_{i=2}^n d_i c^i \right)^2. \quad (4.29)$$

4.2.3 Special solutions for block-orthogonal set of vectors U^s

Let us consider block-orthogonal case: (3.5), (3.6). In this case 4 we get $f_s = \bar{f}_s^{b_s}$ where $b_s = 2 \sum_{s' \in S} A^{ss'}$, $(A^{ss'}) = (A_{ss'})^{-1}$ and

$$\bar{f}_s(u) = R_s \sinh(\sqrt{C_s}(u - u_s)), \quad C_s > 0, \quad \eta_s \varepsilon_s < 0; \quad (4.30)$$

$$R_s \sin(\sqrt{|C_s|}(u - u_s)), \quad C_s < 0, \quad \eta_s \varepsilon_s < 0; \quad (4.31)$$

$$R_s \cosh(\sqrt{C_s}(u - u_s)), \quad C_s > 0, \quad \eta_s \varepsilon_s > 0; \quad (4.32)$$

$$\frac{|Q_s^s|}{|\nu_s|} (u - u_s), \quad C_s = 0, \quad \eta_s \varepsilon_s < 0, \quad (4.33)$$

where $R_s = |Q_s^s|/(|\nu_s||C_s|^{1/2})$, $\eta_s \nu_s^2 = b_s h_s$, $\eta_s = \pm 1$, C_s, u_s are constants, $s \in S$. The constants C_s, u_s are coinciding inside blocks: $u_s = u_{s'}$, $C_s = C_{s'}$, $s, s' \in S_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k$. The ratios $\varepsilon_s Q_s^2/(b_s h_s)$ are also coinciding inside blocks, or, equivalently,

$$\frac{\varepsilon_s Q_s^2}{b_s h_s} = \frac{\varepsilon_{s'} Q_{s'}^2}{b_{s'} h_{s'}}, \quad (4.34)$$

$s, s' \in S_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k$.

The solution (4.20)-(4.23) with block-orthogonal set of vectors was obtained in [48, 47] (for non-composite case see also [42]). In the special orthogonal case when: $|S_1| = \dots = |S_k| = 1$, the solution was obtained in [35].

Thus, here we presented a vast class of exact solutions for invertible generalized Cartan matrices (e.g. corresponding to hyperbolic KM algebras). These solutions are governed by Toda-type equations. They are integrable in quadratures for finite-dimensional semisimple Lie algebras ([54]-[58]) due to the Adler-van Moerbeke criterion [58]. For Toda-chains related to Lorentzian KM algebras there are no any indications of integrability, moreover, one may expect that such Lagrange systems are not integrable in quadratures (in general case).

5 Black brane solutions

Now we consider the spherically symmetric case of the metric (4.20), i.e. we put $w = 1$, $M_1 = S^{d_1}$, $g^1 = d\Omega_{d_1}^2$, where $d\Omega_{d_1}^2$ is the canonical metric on a unit sphere S^{d_1} , $d_1 \geq 2$. In this case $\xi^1 = d_1 - 1$. We put $M_2 = \mathbb{R}$, $g^2 = -dt \otimes dt$, i.e. M_2 is a time manifold.

Let $C_1 \geq 0$. We consider solutions defined on some interval $[u_0, +\infty)$ with a horizon at $u = +\infty$.

When the matrix $(h_{\alpha\beta})$ is positive definite and

$$2 \in I_s, \quad \forall s \in S, \quad (5.1)$$

i. e. all branes have a common time direction t , the horizon condition singles out the unique solution with $C_1 > 0$ and linear asymptotics at infinity

$$q^s = -\beta^s u + \bar{\beta}^s + o(1), \quad (5.2)$$

$u \rightarrow +\infty$, where $\beta^s, \bar{\beta}^s$ are constants, $s \in S$ [61, 62].

In this case

$$c^A/\bar{\mu} = -\delta_2^A + h_1 U^{1A} + \sum_{s \in S} h_s b_s U^{sA}, \quad (5.3)$$

$$\beta^s/\bar{\mu} = 2 \sum_{s' \in S} A^{ss'} \equiv b_s, \quad (5.4)$$

where $s \in S$, $A = (i, \alpha)$, $\bar{\mu} = \sqrt{C_1}$, the matrix $(A^{ss'})$ is inverse to the quasi-Cartan matrix $(A_{ss'})$ and $h_1 = (U^1, U^1)^{-1} = d_1/(1-d_1)$.

Let us introduce a new radial variable $R = R(u)$ by relations

$$\exp(-2\bar{\mu}u) = 1 - \frac{2\mu}{R^{\bar{d}}}, \quad \mu = \bar{\mu}/\bar{d} > 0, \quad (5.5)$$

where $u > 0$, $R^{\bar{d}} > 2\mu$, $\bar{d} = d_1 - 1$. We put $\bar{c}^A = 0$ and $q^s(0) = 0$, $A = (i, \alpha)$, $s \in S$. These relations guarantee the asymptotical flatness (for $R \rightarrow +\infty$) of the $(2 + d_1)$ -dimensional section of the metric.

Let us denote $H_s = f_s e^{-\beta^s u}$, $s \in S$. Then, solutions (4.20)-(4.23) may be written as follows [60, 61, 62]

$$g = \left(\prod_{s \in S} H_s^{2h_s d(I_s)/(D-2)} \right) \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{2\mu}{R^{\bar{d}}} \right)^{-1} dR \otimes dR + R^2 d\Omega_{d_1}^2 \right. \quad (5.6)$$

$$\left. - \left(\prod_{s \in S} H_s^{-2h_s} \right) \left(1 - \frac{2\mu}{R^{\bar{d}}} \right) dt \otimes dt + \sum_{i=3}^n \left(\prod_{s \in S} H_s^{-2h_s \delta_{iI_s}} \right) \hat{g}^i \right\},$$

$$\exp(\varphi^\alpha) = \prod_{s \in S} H_s^{h_s \chi_s \lambda_{\alpha_s}^\alpha}, \quad (5.7)$$

where $F^a = \sum_{s \in S} \delta_{\alpha_s}^a \mathcal{F}^s$, and

$$\mathcal{F}^s = -\frac{Q_s}{R^{d_1}} \left(\prod_{s' \in S} H_{s'}^{-A_{ss'}} \right) dR \wedge \tau(I_s), \quad (5.8)$$

$s \in S_e$,

$$\mathcal{F}^s = Q_s \tau(\bar{I}_s), \quad (5.9)$$

$s \in S_m$.

Here $Q_s \neq 0$, $h_s = K_s^{-1}$, $s \in S$, and the quasi-Cartan matrix $(A_{ss'})$ is non-degenerate.

Functions $H_s > 0$ obey the equations

$$\frac{d}{dz} \left(\frac{(1-2\mu z)}{H_s} \frac{d}{dz} H_s \right) = \bar{B}_s \prod_{s' \in S} H_{s'}^{-A_{ss'}}, \quad (5.10)$$

$$H_s((2\mu)^{-1} - 0) = H_{s0} \in (0, +\infty), \quad (5.11)$$

$$H_s(+0) = 1, \quad (5.12)$$

$s \in S$, where $H_s(z) > 0$, $\mu > 0$, $z = R^{-\bar{d}} \in (0, (2\mu)^{-1})$ and $\bar{B}_s = \varepsilon_s K_s Q_s^2 / \bar{d}^2 \neq 0$.

There exist solutions to eqs. (5.10)-(5.11) of polynomial type. The simplest example occurs in orthogonal case [40, 35] (for $d_i = 1$ see also [38, 39]): $(U^s, U^{s'}) = 0$, for $s \neq s'$, $s, s' \in S$. In this case $(A_{ss'}) = \text{diag}(2, \dots, 2)$ is a Cartan matrix for semisimple Lie algebra $\mathbf{A}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{A}_1$ and

$$H_s(z) = 1 + P_s z, \quad (5.13)$$

with $P_s \neq 0$, satisfying

$$P_s(P_s + 2\mu) = -\bar{B}_s, \quad (5.14)$$

$s \in S$.

In [42, 47] this solution was generalized to a block-orthogonal case (3.5), (3.6). In this case (5.13) is modified as follows

$$H_s(z) = (1 + P_s z)^{b_s}, \quad (5.15)$$

where b_s are defined in (5.4) and parameters P_s are coinciding inside blocks, i.e. $P_s = P_{s'}$ for $s, s' \in S_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k$. Parameters $P_s \neq 0$ satisfy the relations

$$P_s(P_s + 2\mu) = -\bar{B}_s/b_s, \quad (5.16)$$

$s \in S$, and parameters \bar{B}_s/b_s are also coinciding inside blocks, i.e. $\bar{B}_s/b_s = \bar{B}_{s'}/b_{s'}$ for $s, s' \in S_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Finite-dimensional Lie algebras.

Let $(A_{ss'})$ be a Cartan matrix for a finite-dimensional semisimple Lie algebra \mathcal{G} . In this case all powers in (5.4) are natural numbers coinciding with the components of twice the dual Weyl vector in the basis of simple coroots [4] and hence, all functions H_s are polynomials, $s \in S$.

Conjecture 1. *Let $(A_{ss'})$ be a Cartan matrix for a semisimple finite-dimensional Lie algebra \mathcal{G} . Then the solutions to eqs. (5.10)-(5.12) (if exist) have a polynomial structure:*

$$H_s(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n_s} P_s^{(k)} z^k, \quad (5.17)$$

where $P_s^{(k)}$ are constants, $k = 1, \dots, n_s$; $n_s = b_s = 2 \sum_{s' \in S} A^{ss'} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $P_s^{(n_s)} \neq 0$, $s \in S$.

In extremal case ($\mu = +0$) an analogue of this conjecture was suggested previously in [41]. Conjecture 1 was verified for \mathbf{A}_m and \mathbf{C}_{m+1} series of Lie algebras in [61, 62]. Explicit expressions for polynomials corresponding to Lie algebras C_2 and A_3 were obtained in [65] and [66], respectively.

Hyperbolic KM algebras. Let $(A_{ss'})$ be a Cartan matrix for an infinite-dimensional hyperbolic KM algebra \mathcal{G} . In this case all powers in (5.4) are negative numbers and hence we have no chance to get a polynomial structure of H_s . Here we are led to an open problem of seeking the solutions to the set of “master” equations (5.10)-(5.11). These solutions define special solutions to Toda-chain equations corresponding to hyperbolic KM algebra \mathcal{G} .

6 Conclusions

Here we reviewed several families of exact solutions in multidimensional gravity with a set of scalar fields and fields of forms related to non-singular (e.g. hyperbolic) KM algebras.

The solutions describe composite electromagnetic branes defined on (warped) products of Ricci-flat (or sometimes Einstein) spaces of arbitrary dimensions and signatures. The metrics are block-diagonal and all scale factors, scalar fields and fields of forms depend on points of some (mainly Ricci-flat) manifold M_0 . The solutions include those depending upon harmonic functions, S-brane and spherically-symmetric solutions (e.g. black-brane ones). Our approach is based on the *sigma-model representation* obtained in [34] under the rather general assumption on intersections of composite branes (when stress-energy tensor has a diagonal structure).

We were dealing with rather general intersection rules [35] corresponding to the *quasi-Cartan* matrix that may coincide with invertible generalized Cartan matrix for a certain KM Lie algebra \mathcal{G} . For $\mathcal{G} = \mathbf{A}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{A}_1$ (r terms) we get well-known (supersymmetry preserving) intersection rules [36, 37, 34].

We have also considered a class of special “block-orthogonal” solutions governed by harmonic functions. Certain examples of solutions (e.g. corresponding to KM algebras $H_2(q, q)$, AE_3) were considered. We have shown that the generalized (one-block) MP solution corresponding to any hyperbolic KM algebra with real simple roots does not describe a collection of extremal charged black branes (in equilibrium) as it takes place for certain examples of finite-dimensional Lie algebras [43].

In one-block case a generalization of the solutions to those governed by several functions of one harmonic function H and obeying Toda-type equations was presented. For finite-dimensional (semisimple) Lie algebras we are led to integrable Lagrange systems while the Toda chains corresponding to infinite-dimensional (non-singular) KM algebras seem to be non-integrable ones.

We have also considered general classes of cosmological-type (e.g. S -brane and spherically symmetric) solutions governed by Toda-type equations, containing black brane configurations as a special case. The “master” equations for moduli functions have polynomial solutions in the finite-dimensional case (according to our conjecture [60, 61, 62]), while in infinite-dimensional case we have only a special family of the so-called block-orthogonal solutions corresponding to semisimple (non-singular) KM algebras. We note that an analogous problem arises for the the so-called fluxbrane solutions corresponding to (non-singular) KM algebras [63] that have also a polynomial structure of moduli functions in the finite-dimensional case (see also [68]).

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research grant Nr. 07 – 02 – 13624 – *ofits*.

References

- [1] V.G. Kac, Simple irreducible graded Lie algebras of finite growth, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Mat.*, **32**, 1323-1367 (1968).
- [2] R.V. Moody, A new class of Lie algebras, *J. Algebra* **10**, 211-230 (1968).
- [3] V.G. Kac, *Infinite-dimensional Lie Algebras* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990).
- [4] J. Fuchs and C. Schweigert, *Symmetries, Lie algebras and Representations. A graduate course for physicists* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997).
- [5] V.V. Nikulin, On classification of hyperbolic systems of roots of rank 3, *Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics*, **230** (3), 1241 (2000) (in Russian).
- [6] M. Henneaux, D. Persson and P. Spindel, Spacelike Singularities and Hidden Symmetries of Gravity, *Living Rev. Relativity*, **11**, 1-228, (2008).
- [7] M.B. Green, J.H. Schwarz and E. Witten, *Superstring Theory* (Cambridge University Press., Cambridge, 1987).
- [8] C. Saclioglu, Dynkin diagram for hyperbolic Kac-Moody algebras, *J. Phys. A* **22** (18), 3753-3769 (1989).
- [9] S. de Buyl and C. Schomblond, Hyperbolic Kac Moody algebras and Einstein biliards, *J. Math. Phys.*, **45** (12) 4464-4492 (2004).
- [10] A. Feingold and I.B. Frenkel, *Math. Ann.* **263**, 87 (1983).
- [11] B. Julia, in *Lectures in Applied Mathematics*, **21**, 355 (1985).
- [12] H. Nicolai, *Phys. Lett. B* **276**, 333 (1992).
- [13] G. Moore, String duality, automorphic forms, and generalized Kac-Moody algebras, *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **67**, 56-67 (1998); hep-th/9710198.
- [14] S. Mizoguchi, E_{10} Symmetry in One Dimensional Supergravity, *Nucl. Phys. B* **528**, 238-264 (1998); hep-th/9703160.
- [15] T. Damour and M. Henneaux, E_{10} , BE_{10} and Arithmetical Chaos in Superstring Cosmology, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 4749-4752 (2001); hep-th/0012172.
- [16] T. Damour, M. Henneaux, B. Julia and H. Nicolai, Hyperbolic Kac-Moody Algebras and Chaos in Kaluza-Klein Models, *Phys. Lett. B* **509**, 323-330 (2001); hep-th/0103094.
- [17] V.A. Belinskii, E.M. Lifshitz and I.M. Khalatnikov, *Usp. Fiz. Nauk* **102**, 463 (1970) (in Russian); *Adv. Phys.* **31**, 639 (1982).
- [18] D.M. Chitre, Ph. D. Thesis (University of Maryland) 1972.
- [19] V.D. Ivashchuk, A.A. Kirillov and V.N. Melnikov, On Stochastic Properties of Multidimensional Cosmological Models near the Singular Point, *Izv. Vuzov (Fizika)* **11**, 107 (1994) (in Russian) [Russian Physics Journal **37**, 1102 (1994)].
- [20] V.D. Ivashchuk, A.A. Kirillov and V.N. Melnikov, On Stochastic Behaviour of Multidimensional Cosmological Models near the Singularity, *Pis'ma ZhETF* **60**, No 4, 225 (1994) (in Russian) [JETP Lett. **60**, 235 (1994)].
- [21] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Billiard representation for multidimensional cosmology with multicomponent perfect fluid near the singularity, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **12**, 809 (1995); gr-qc/9407028.

- [22] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Billiard Representation for Pseudo-Euclidean Toda-like Systems of Cosmological Origin, *Regular and Chaotic Dynamics* **1**, No 2, 23 - 35 (1996).
- [23] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Billiard representation for multidimensional cosmology with intersecting p-branes near the singularity, *J. Math. Phys.*, **41**, No 8, 6341-6363 (2000); hep-th/9904077.
- [24] T. Damour and M. Henneaux, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 920 (2000); hep-th/0003139.
- [25] T. Damour, M. Henneaux and H. Nicolai, Cosmological billiards, topical review, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **20**, R145-R200 (2003); hep-th/0212256.
- [26] G.W. Gibbons and S.W. Hawking, *Phys. Rev. D* **15**, 2752 (1977).
- [27] E. Cremmer, B. Julia, and J. Scherk, *Phys. Lett.* **B76**, 409 (1978).
- [28] C. Hull and P. Townsend, Unity of superstring dualities, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 438**, 109 (1995); hep-th/9410167.
- [29] E. Witten, String theory dynamics in various dimensions, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 443**, 85 (1995); hep-th/9503124.
- [30] C. Vafa, Evidence for F-theory, hep-th/9602022; *Nucl. Phys.* **B 469**, 403 (1996).
- [31] N. Khviengia, Z. Khviengia, H. Lü, C.N. Pope, Towards a field theory of F-theory, hep-th/9703012; *Class. Quantum Grav.* **15**, 759-773 (1998).
- [32] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Intersecting p-Brane Solutions in Multidimensional Gravity and M-Theory, *Grav. Cosmol.* **2**, No 4, 297-305 (1996); hep-th/9612089.
- [33] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, *Phys. Lett. B* **403**, 23-30 (1997).
- [34] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Sigma-model for the Generalized Composite p-branes, hep-th/9705036; *Class. Quantum Grav.* **14**, 3001-3029 (1997); Corrigenda *ibid.* **15** (12), 3941 (1998).
- [35] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Multidimensional classical and quantum cosmology with intersecting p-branes, hep-th/9708157; *J. Math. Phys.*, **39**, 2866-2889 (1998).
- [36] I.Ya. Aref'eva and O.A. Rytchkov, Incidence Matrix Description of Intersecting p-brane Solutions, hep-th/9612236; *Am. Math. Soc. Transl.* **201**, 19-38 (2000).
- [37] R. Argurio, F. Englert and L. Hourant, Intersection rules for p-branes, hep-th/9701042; *Phys. Lett.* **B 398**, 61 (1997).
- [38] I.Ya. Aref'eva, M.G. Ivanov and I.V. Volovich, Non-extremal intersecting p-branes in various dimensions, hep-th/9702079; *Phys. Lett.* **B 406**, 44-48 (1997).
- [39] N. Ohta, Intersection rules for non-extreme p-branes, hep-th/9702164; *Phys. Lett.* **B 403**, 218-224 (1997).
- [40] K.A. Bronnikov, V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, The Reissner-Nordström Problem for Intersecting Electric and Magnetic p-Branes, gr-qc/9710054; *Grav. Cosmol.* **3**, No 3 (11), 203-212 (1997).
- [41] H. Lü, J. Maharana, S. Mukherji and C.N. Pope, Cosmological Solutions, p-branes and the Wheeler De Witt Equation, hep-th/9707182; *Phys. Rev. D* **57**, 2219-2229 (1997).
- [42] K.A. Bronnikov, Block-orthogonal Brane systems, Black Holes and Wormholes, hep-th/9710207; *Grav. Cosmol.* **4**, No 1 (13), 49 (1998).
- [43] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Majumdar-Papapetrou Type Solutions in Sigma-model and Intersecting p-branes, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **16**, 849 (1999); hep-th/9802121.
- [44] M.A. Grebeniuk and V.D. Ivashchuk, Sigma-model solutions and intersecting p-branes related to Lie algebras, hep-th/9805113; *Phys. Lett.* **B 442**, 125-135 (1998).
- [45] V.D. Ivashchuk, S.-W. Kim and V.N. Melnikov, Hyperbolic Kac-Moody algebra from intersecting p-branes, *J. Math. Phys.* **40**, 4072-4083 (1998); *Erratum ibid.* **42**, No 11 (2001); hep-th/9803006.
- [46] V.D. Ivashchuk and S.-W. Kim, Solutions with intersecting p-branes related to Toda chains, *J. Math. Phys.* **41** (1), 444-460 (2000); hep-th/9907019.
- [47] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Multidimensional cosmological and spherically symmetric solutions with intersecting p-branes. In Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 537, "Mathematical and Quantum Aspects of Relativity and Cosmology Proceedings of the Second Samos Meeting on Cosmology, Geometry and Relativity held at Pythagoreon, Samos, Greece, 1998, eds: S. Cotsakis, G.W. Gibbons., Berlin, Springer, 2000; gr-qc/9901001.
- [48] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Cosmological and Spherically Symmetric Solutions with Intersecting p-branes, *J. Math. Phys.*, 1999, **40** (12), 6558-6576.
- [49] S.D. Majumdar, *Phys. Rev.* **72**, 930 (1947);
A. Papapetrou, *Proc. R. Irish Acad.* **A 51**, 191 (1947).

- [50] G. Neugebauer and D. Kramer, *Ann. der Physik (Leipzig)* **24**, 62 (1969).
- [51] D. Kramer, H. Stephani, M. MacCallum, and E. Herlt, *Exact Solutions of the Einstein Field Equations*, CUP, Cambridge, 1980;
- [52] G. Clément, *Gen. Rel. and Grav.* **18**, 861 (1986); *Phys. Lett. A* **118**, 11 (1986).
- [53] V.V. Nikulin, private communication.
- [54] M. Toda, *Progr. Theor. Phys.* **45**, 174 (1970);
- [55] O.I. Bogoyavlensky, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **51**, 201 (1976).
- [56] B. Kostant, *Adv. in Math.* **34**, 195 (1979).
- [57] M.A. Olshanetsky and A.M. Perelomov, *Invent. Math.*, **54**, 261 (1979).
- [58] M. Adler and P. van Moerbeke, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **83**, 83 (1982).
- [59] V.D. Ivashchuk, V.N. Melnikov and A.I. Zhuk, *Nuovo Cimento B* **104**, 575 (1989).
- [60] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, P-brane black Holes for General Intersections, *Grav. Cosmol.* **5**, No 4 (20), 313-318 (1999); gr-qc/0002085.
- [61] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Black hole p-brane solutions for general intersection rules, *Grav. Cosmol.* **6**, No 1 (21), 27-40 (2000); hep-th/9910041.
- [62] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Toda p-brane black holes and polynomials related to Lie algebras, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **17**, 2073-2092 (2000); math-ph/0002048.
- [63] V.D. Ivashchuk, Composite fluxbranes with general intersections, *Class. Quantum Grav.*, **19**, 3033-3048 (2002); hep-th/0202022.
- [64] V.D. Ivashchuk, Composite S-brane solutions related to Toda-type systems, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **20**, 261-276 (2003); hep-th/0208101.
- [65] M.A. Grebeniuk, V.D. Ivashchuk and S.-W. Kim, Black-brane solutions for C_2 algebra, *J. Math. Phys.* **43**, 6016-6023 (2002); hep-th/0111219.
- [66] M.A. Grebeniuk, V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Black-brane solution for A_3 algebra, *Phys. Lett. , B* **543**, 98-106 (2002); hep-th/0208083.
- [67] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, On billiard approach in multidimensional cosmological models, *Grav. Cosmol.* **14**, No 4 (2008); to be published.
- [68] A.A. Golubtsova and V.D. Ivashchuk, On calculation of fluxbrane polynomials corresponding to classical series of Lie algebras, arxiv: 0804.0757.