CARBON DIOXIDE PRODUCTION RESPONSIBILITY ON THE BASIS OF COMPARING IN SITU AND MEAN CO₂ ATMOSPHERE CONCENTRATION DATA

S. Cht. Mavrodiev¹, L. Pekevski², B. Vachev¹

¹Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria

²Skopje University, Skopje, Macedonia

Abstract

The method is proposed for estimation of regional CO_2 and other greenhouses and pollutants production responsibility. The comparison of CO2 local emissions reduction data with world CO2 atmosphere data will permit easy to judge for overall effect in curbing not only global warming but also chemical polution.

Introduction

One of the recent documents about climate change is the official report "Summary for Policymakers, Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis" of United Nations Intergovernmental Panel for Climate Change (Summary for Policymakers, 2007) and Fourth Assessment Report (FAR) of the IPCC. The main conclusion is that with "90% confidence" humans have been the main drivers of warming since the 1950s. So, the climate anomalies as higher temperature, unusual variances of regional meteorological parameters as temperature, precipitations, increasing of the number and energy of hurricanes and the rising sea levels have anthropogenic origin.

Here is the time and place to remember one of the results of International scientific group "Clean and peaceful Black Sea" studying the development of the Black Sea ecology in the 80-th years of 20 century. Using the "Nuclear winter" lesson we tried to repeat it in the 1980'ties in the scale of the Black Sea region and to prevent the incoming ecological catastrophe by applying the "complex research" of the ecosystem by regularly publishing the "report for ecological conditions with scientific, business and management recommendation" for achievement the harmonic existence (stable development) of the region (Keodjian et al, 1990).

One of the results was that the Black Sea level is increasing with 1.5 +/-0.5 mm per year for the 1956-1990 period as well as the evidence for increasing atmosphere exchange which leads to change of 15-20 Celsius degree themperature for 10- 15 hours. These facts could be interpreted as preliminary evidence of global warming (Mavrodiev, 1996, 1998)

Starting from the initial IPCC reports – First Assessment Report (FAR) (1990), Second Assessment Report (SAR) (1996) and Third Assessment Report (TAR) (2001) started discussions about reasons of climate change on the basis of critical analysis of the paleontology and today climate and weather data, balance and greenhouse gases cycle models, the variance of Sun conditions and Cosmic rays mass and energy spectra and so on. See for example Freitas (2002) and citations there.

¹ Corresponding author: mavrodi@inrne.bas.bg

Published in:

Proc. of "Global Change, Environment, Sustainable Development of the Society and High Mountain Observatories Network", 21 - 25 March 2007, Gyulechitza, Bulgaria, Stamenov J, Vachev B., editors, *Observatoire de montagne de Moussala OM2, INRNE, Sofia, 2007; 12, pp.155-164.*

Bulletin of Phys.Geogr., Dep. of Phys. Geogr., Inst. Of Geogr., Faculty of NSM-Scopje, No3/4,2007, pp.67-76.

The deep physical understanding of the Cosmic ray influence on the Climate via catalyzing the clouds formation was developed in the Climate balance model: Rusov at al. (2005). The important role of cosmic rays in clouds formation was investigated in the papers of Svensmark (2007a), Svensmark, Calder (2007b, 2007c).

In the last years in CERN started the accelerator study of the link between the cosmic rays and clouds – Kirkby (2001, 2007).

The cycles of atmosphere plankton started to be researched (see for example <u>http://www.niwascience.co.nz</u>), but till now there are not models and estimations for its influence on the atmosphere dynamics and climate parameters. The influence of organic aerosols on cloud properties and processes and on air quality and human health is one of the tasks of ACCENT EU project: <u>http://www.accent-network.org/portal/joint-research-programme/aerosols</u>.

Summarizing we could state that there are two possibilities:

the global warming has anthropogenic origin,

• the visible change of today climate can be due to not well understood cosmic ray driven cloud aerosol generation and not well studied ocean stream periods, behavior and possible influence of plankton species distribution in ocean and atmosphere.

The model independent investigation of the hidden (heuristic) dependences and correlations between Space, Sun and Earth ecosystem parameters can help to estimate the reliability of different physical models as well as to give the basis for different time and space scales predictions (Mavrodiev, Ries, 2006).

The aim of this paper is to illustrate a possible methodic for estimation of the regional CO_2 production responsibility using the simple comparison of model independent description of the CO_2 atmosphere annual mean concentration with in situ measurements.

Experimental data

The experimental methods for measuring in situ the CO₂ atmosphere concentration are published in <u>http://cdiac.esd.ornl.gov/ trends/CO2/sio-keel.htm</u>

In the next Figure 1 are presented the GAW and SIO stations for measuring in situ the CO_2 atmosphere concentration.



Figure 1. World map of the GAW and SIO in situ stations for measuring the CO₂ atmosphere concentration. The circles are the coordinates of 139 CO₂ stations. Data source: World Data Centre for Greenhouses Gases: <u>http://gaw.kishou.go.jp/wdcgg/</u> Carbon Dioxide Research Group, SIO

Estimation of regional CO₂ production responsibility

The estimation is based on comparison of the annual mean CO_2 atmosphere concentration and regional in situ measured CO_2 concentration.

The description of annual mean CO_2 atmosphere concentration is present in the next figure. The explicit form of the fit model was discovered under the assumption (Mavrodiev, Ries, 2006) that the CO_2 atmosphere concentration depends on Sun spots number, Sun irradiation and CO_2 nature and anthropogenic production



Figure 2. The CO2 Th _{Atm} (yr) behavior as function of CO2_{AnthroProduction}, Sun_{Spots} and Sun_{Heat}. <u>Data source</u> - Mauna Loa and Global CO₂ data: Atmospheric CO₂ concentrations (ppmv) derived from in situ air samples collected in Mauna Loa Observatory, Hawaii, C.D. Keeling, T.P. Whort, Carbon Dioxide Research Group, SIO; Global CO₂ Emissions from fossil-Fuel Burning, cement Manufacture and Gas *Flaming: 1751 – 2002, March, 10, 2005; Gregg Marland, Tom Boden, Robert J. Andres, Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory*

As one of the applications was mentioned the possibility for estimation of the regional CO_2 production responsibility by the value of linear fit parameter, different for stations.



Figure 3. The possible estimation of regional CO_2 production responsibility for Monte Cimone, Schauinsland and Mauna Loa Stations. The blue curve is the mathematical model (fit) of CO_2 atmosphere concentration $CO2_{Atm}(yr)$, (Mavrodiev, Ries, 2006) Data source: World Data Centre for Greenhouses Gases http://gaw.kishou.go.jp/wdcgg/

The explicit form of possible parametrization was generated and analysed using the Tichonov- Alexandrov inverse problem method and finally was recieved the next parametrization

$CO2_{Local}(yr) = a(i_{local}) exp(b*altitude) CO2_{Atm}(yr),$

where $a(i_{local})$, $i_{local=1}$,...,Number of Station and b $[km^{-1}]$ are the fit parameters. $CO2_{Local}(yr)$, $CO2_{Atm}(yr)$

The value of parameter $b = 6.7e^{-6} [m^{-1}]$ is illustrated in the next figure 4.



Figure 4. The altitude dependences exp(-b*Altitude) of CO₂ [ppm] atmosphere concentration, where b=6.71e⁻⁶[m⁻¹], altitude [m] Data source: World Data Centre for Greenhouses Gases <u>http://gaw.kishou.go.jp/wdcgg/</u>; Carbon Dioxide Research Group, SIO, <u>http://cdiac.esd.ornl.gov/trends/CO2/sio-keel.htm</u>

The estimation of regional CO_2 production responsibility on the basis of in situ data CO_2 atmosphere concentration is presented on figure 5 and Table 1.



Figure 5. Estimation of regional CO₂ production responsibility on the basis of in situ data CO₂ atmosphere concentration. The circles are 139 CO₂ stations. The description of the annual CO2 data station is performing with function: CO2Station (yr) = a(iplaces) +exp(b*Altitude)*CO2Earth(yr), where a(iplaces) and b[1/km] are fit parameters and CO2Earth(yr) is the function describing the CO₂ atmosphere concentration. The bars are differences (a(iplaces) – 0.9700)*1000, which is relative estimation of the CO₂ regional production with mean CO₂ atmosphere concentration. <u>Data source:</u> World Data Centre for Greenhouses Gases http://gaw.kishow.go.jp/wdcgg.html; Carbon Dioxide Research Group, SIO, http://cdiac.esd.ornl.gov/trends/CO2/sio-keel.htm

		CO2 production	Relative errror			Altitude.	
Station	Hi2/NDF	responsibility	estimation	Latitude	Longitude	m	Years
AmstIsl0	9.19E+00	2.46E+01	3.80E+01	-3.78E+01	7.75E+01	65	25
AmstIsl1	3.24E+00	3.07E+01	1.37E+01	-3.80E+01	7.75E+01	150	9
Ascension Island	3.94E+00	2.79E+01	3.89E+01	-7.92E+00	-1.44E+01	54	26
Assekrem	2.74E-01	2.90E+01	5.70E+01	2.32E+01	5.42E+00	2728	10
Cape Point Crozet	6.19E+00	2.08E+01	2.24E+01	-3.44E+01	1.85E+01	230	12
Lizana	3.50E+00	2.21E+01 3.33E+01	2.31E+01 9.08E+01	-4.03E+01	-1.65E+01	2367	10
Tenerife	6 35E-01	2 98F+01	7.05E+01	2.83E+01 2.83E+01	-1.65E+01	2360	19
Mt. Kenva	2.26E-03	1.94E+01	1.56E+01	-5.00E-01	3.73E+01	3897	2
Gobabeb	1.72E+00	2.32E+01	4.42E+00	-2.36E+01	1.52E+01	461	2
Mahe	4.49E+00	2.79E+01	3.72E+01	-4.67E+00	5.52E+01	7	26
Anmyeon-do	1.40E-01	5.20E+01	9.91E+00	3.65E+01	1.26E+02	47	6
Bering Island	3.74E-02	4.26E+01	9.76E+00	5.52E+01	1.66E+02	13	7
Ochi-ishi	5.38E-02	3.46E+01	1.10E+01	4.32E+01	1.46E+02	45	7
Mt. Dodaira	2.66E-01	4.14E+01	3.59E+01	3.60E+01	1.39E+02	840	13
Hateruma	2.66E-01	3.44E+01	1.35E+01	2.45E+01	1.24E+02	10	9
Hamamatsu	8.45E-02	/.43E+01 4.21E+01	4.75E+00 0.47E+01	3.4/E+01	3.//E+01 7.70E+01	35 1640	3
Kaashidhoo	7.24E-25	4.21E+01 3 19E+01	9.47E+01 1.49E+00	4.20E+01	7.70E+01 7.35E+01	1040	23
Kisai	4.60E-02	4 30E+01	6 39E+00	3.68E+01	1.40E+02	13	4
Kotelny Island	4.88E-02	4.50E+01	8.26E+00	7.60E+01	1.38E+02	5	6
Gosan	1.31E-01	3.87E+01	1.89E+01	3.33E+01	1.26E+02	72	12
Kyzylcha	1.04E-22	4.54E+01	1.85E+00	4.09E+01	6.62E+01	340	1
Sary Taukum	4.57E-02	3.48E+01	1.76E+01	4.45E+01	7.56E+01	412	8
Plateau Assy	5.53E-02	2.72E+01	4.36E+01	4.33E+01	7.79E+01	2519	8
Minamitorishima	3.68E-01	3.03E+01	1.98E+01	2.43E+01	1.54E+02	8	13
Ryori	1.75E-01	3.80E+01	3.52E+01	3.93E+01	1.42E+02	260	19
Tae-ahn Peninsula	1.06E-01	3.92E+01	2.29E+01	3.67E+01	1.26E+02	20	15
I sukuba Talvayama	3.86E-02	1.03E+02	1.89E+01	3.65E+01	1.40E+02	26	13
I akayama	1.55E-01	5.75E+01	4.05E+01	3.01E+01	$1.3/E \pm 02$ $1.40E \pm 02$	1420	11
Ulaan	1.51E-01	5.27E+01	2.54E+01	3.39E+01	1.40E+02 1.12E+02	914	9
Waliguan	4 80E-02	3 35E+01	2.11E+01	3.63E+01	1.01E+02	3810	3
Mt. Waliguan	1.60E-01	3.12E+01	9.37E+01	3.63E+01	1.01E+02	3810	13
Yonagunijima	1.98E-01	3.27E+01	1.43E+01	2.45E+01	1.23E+02	30	9
Easter Island	3.00E+00	1.88E+01	1.76E+01	-2.71E+01	-1.09E+02	50	11
Tierra del Fuego	2.06E+00	2.03E+01	9.35E+00	-5.49E+01	-6.85E+01	20	6
Alert	4.20E-02	3.85E+01	1.75E+01	8.25E+01	-6.25E+01	210	11
Alert	1.64E-01	3.44E+01	3.34E+01	8.25E+01	-6.25E+01	210	19
Alert	7.99E-02	3.13E+01	2.55E+01	8.25E+01	-6.25E+01	210	14
St. Croix	3.3/E-01	3.40E+01	1.32E+01	1./8E+01	-6.48E+01	3	10
St. David's Head	3.94E-01	3.1/E+01 2.40E+01	2.59E+01	3.24E+01	-0.4/E+01	30	1/
Barrow	8.21E-01	3.40E+01	4.15E+01	5.23E+01 7.13E+01	-0.49E+01 -1 57E+02	30	30
Barrow	9.23E-02	3.48E+01	4.13E+01 4.73E+01	7.13E+01	-1.57E+02	11	34
Cold Ba	1.25E-01	3.44E+01	3.30E+01	5.52E+01	-1.63E+02	25	23
Cape Meares	1.95E-01	3.73E+01	2.11E+01	4.55E+01	-1.24E+02	30	15
Cape St. James	1.15E-02	4.08E+01	5.97E+00	5.19E+01	-1.31E+02	89	4
Estevan Point	3.21E-02	3.24E+01	1.23E+01	4.94E+01	-1.27E+02	39	8
Grifton	4.91E-02	4.20E+01	1.31E+01	3.54E+01	-7.74E+01	505	6
Key Biscayne	5.56E-01	3.45E+01	4.20E+01	2.57E+01	-8.02E+01	3	30
Park Falls	2.41E-02	3.60E+01	2.84E+01	4.59E+01	-9.03E+01	868	10
Mould Bay	6.64E-02	3.84E+01 2.20E+01	2.30E+01 2.22E+02	7.63E+01	-1.19E+02	2526	10
Olympic Peninsula	4.05E-01	5.50E+01 4.11E+01	2.22E+02 9.96E+00	4.03E+01	-1.00E+02 1.24E+02	5520 188	50
Point Arena	7.01E-02	4.11E+01 3.42E+01	8.03E+00	3 90E+01	-1.24E+02	400	5
Ragged Point	7.56E-01	2.97E+01	2.77E+01	1.32E+01	-5.94E+01	45	18
Sable Island	9.48E-02	3.87E+01	1.45E+01	4.39E+01	-6.02E+01	5	11
Southern Great Plains	3.97E-02	2.82E+01	6.32E+00	3.68E+01	-9.75E+01	314	3
Shemya Island	1.13E-01	3.44E+01	2.87E+01	5.27E+01	1.75E+02	40	19
Trinidad Head	7.67E-03	3.49E+01	5.33E+00	4.13E+01	-1.24E+02	107	3
Wendover	2.55E-01	3.21E+01	4.23E+01	3.99E+01	-1.14E+02	1320	12
Baring Head	3.47E+00	2.64E+01	3.59E+01	-4.14E+01	1.75E+02	85	25
Bukit Koto Tabang	5.95E-03	1.16E+01	5.96E+00	2.00E-01	1.00E+02	865	2
Cape Ferguson	2.89E+00	2.36E+01	2.11E+01	1.93E+01	1.48E+02	2	14
Cape Grim	5.88E+00 3.04E±00	2.38E+01 2.12E+01	5.55E+01 2.30E±01	-4.0/E+01 -4.07E+01	1.45E+02 1.45E+02	94 07	21
Cape Onin	5.04E+00	2.12E+01	2.50E+01	-+.0/E+01	1.451702	54	14

Table 1. Estimation of regional CO_2 production responsibility on the basis of in situ data CO_2 atmosphere concentration

Cape Grim	1.25E-01	2.53E+01	5.48E+00	-4.07E+01	1.45E+02	94	4
Cape Grim	2.10E-02	2.58E+01	4.13E+00	-4.07E+01	1.45E+02	94	3
Christmas Island	8.23E+00	3.15E+01	2.31E+01	1.70E+00	-1.57E+02	3	16
Guam	1.08E+00	3.18E+01	3.83E+01	1.34E+01	1.45E+02	2	27
Cape Kumukahi	3.74E-01	3.16E+01	4.08E+01	1.95E+01	-1.55E+02	3	29
Sand Island	7.39E-01	3.24E+01	2.94E+01	2.82E+01	-1.77E+02	8	20
Mauna Loa	6.38E-01	3.35E+01	1.82E+02	1.95E+01	-1.56E+02	3397	30
Mauna Loa	7.05E-01	3.30E+01	1.84E+02	1.95E+01	-1.56E+02	3397	30
Mauna Loa	5.43E-01	3.04E+01	9.22E+01	1.95E+01	-1.56E+02	3397	14
Macquarie Island	2.96E+00	2.06E+01	2.13E+01	-5.45E+01	1.59E+02	12	14
Tutuila	1.31E+01	2.77E+01	4.07E+01	-1.42E+01	-1.71E+02	42	28
	1.08E+01	2./5E+01	4.62E+01	-1.42E+01	-1./1E+02	42	32
Pattia Saa	4./1E-01	3.14E+01	2.95E+01	5.88E+01	-2./4E+01	40	20
Ballic Sea	5.82E-02	4.0/E+01	1.09E+01	5.54E+01	1.72E+01 1.22E+01	28	25
Plack See	9.90E-02	4.51E+01	0.74E+01	$4.88E \pm 01$	$1.52E \pm 01$ 2.87E \pm 01	2	23
Monte Cimone	9.49E-02	4.89E+01	1.09E+01 1.21E+02	4.42E+01 4.42E+01	2.87E+01 1.07E+01	5 2165	27
Deuselbach	2.18E-01 3.21E-01	5.29E+01	5.01E+02	4.42E+01 4.98E+01	7.50E+00	480	27
Puszcza Borec /Diabla Gora	9.25E-01	3.71E+01	1.09E+01	5.42E+01	2.27E+01	157	6
Fundata	1.66E-01	2 31E+00	7.42F+00	4.55E+01	2.27E+01 2 53E+01	1384	2
Dweira Point	2 99E-02	3 48E+01	444E+00	3.65E+01	1.42E+01	30	3
Hegyhatsal	2.55E-01	6.89E+01	1.54E+01	4 70E+01	1.67E+01	248	8
Hegyhatsal	1.08E-01	5.35E+01	2.11E+01	4.70E+01	1.67E+01	248	11
Hegyhatsal	7.29E-02	4.85E+01	1.91E+01	4.70E+01	1.67E+01	248	10
Hegyhatsal	1.00E-01	4.43E+01	2.10E+01	4.70E+01	1.67E+01	248	11
Hegyhatsal	2.95E-02	4.05E+01	2.65E+01	4.70E+01	1.66E+01	344	13
Heimaey	7.07E-02	2.91E+01	2.16E+01	6.33E+01	-2.03E+01	100	13
Kollumerwaard	6.65E-01	6.40E+01	1.32E+01	5.33E+01	6.28E+00	0	9
K-puszta	2.31E-01	7.54E+01	2.35E+01	4.70E+01	1.96E+01	125	15
Waldhof	2.76E-01	7.38E+01	4.52E+01	5.28E+01	1.08E+01	74	31
Lampedusa	1.40E-01	3.50E+01	2.05E+01	3.55E+01	1.26E+01	45	13
Mace Head	8.84E-02	2.89E+01	2.16E+01	5.33E+01	-9.90E+00	25	14
Mace Head	1.16E-01	2.82E+01	1.85E+01	5.32E+01	-9.90E+00	25	12
Neuglobsow	1.96E-01	7.17E+01	1.78E+01	5.32E+01	1.33E+01	65	11
Pallas-Sammaltunturi	5.59E-02	3.14E+01	1.72E+01	6.80E+01	2.41E+01	565	7
Pallas-Sammaltunturi	6.30E-03	2.91E+01	9.95E+00	6.80E+01	2.41E+01	560	4
Plateau Rosa	1.35E-01	2.96E+01	8.13E+01	4.59E+01	7.70E+00	3480	12
Zugspitze/Schneefernerhaus	5.47E+00	2.15E+01	2.31E+01	4.74E+01	1.10E+01	2656	4
Shetland	8.53E-02	2.84E+01	1.69E+01	6.08E+01	-1.25E+00	30	11
Sonnblick	4.45E-03	2.56E+01	1.27E+01	4.75E+01	1.30E+01	3106	2
Schauinsland	9.83E-02	3.64E+01	1.00E+02	4.79E+01	7.92E+00	1205	33
Schauinsland	3.83E-03	3.01E+01	2.08E+01	4.79E+01	7.92E+00	1205	6
Ocean Station Charlie	6.38E-03	4.2/E+01	5.39E+00	5.28E+01	-3.55E+01	5	4
Ocean Stat C	9.95E-05	2.35E+01	4.82E+00	5.40E+01	-3.30E+01	6	4
Summit	1.52E-01	3.2/E+01	3.39E+01	$0.00E \pm 01$	2.00E+00 2.85E+01	2728	23
Teriberka	8.42E.02	3.05E+01	2 31E+01	6.02E+01	-5.85E+01 3 51E+01	3238 40	15
Sede Boker	1.12E-02	3.37E+01	2.15E+01	3.11E+01	3.49E+01	400	10
Wank Peak	5.60E-02	1.36E+01	4 81F+01	4.75E+01	1.12E+01	1780	13
Westerland	1 46E-01	4 90E+01	3.84E+01	5 49E+01	8 32E+00	12	28
Zeppelinfiellet	2.46E-01	3 30E+01	3.03E+01	7 89E+01	1.19E+01	475	14
Nv-Alesund	6.26E-02	3.12E+01	2.69E+01	7.89E+01	1.19E+01	475	12
Zugspitze	4.76E-02	3.09E+01	4.11E+01	4.74E+01	1.10E+01	2960	7
Zingst	6.38E-02	5.33E+01	7.64E+00	5.44E+01	1.27E+01	1	5
Zugspitze	7.51E-02	1.81E+01	4.65E+01	4.74E+01	1.10E+01	2960	9
Halley Bay	5.44E+00	2.42E+01	3.14E+01	-7.56E+01	-2.65E+01	33	21
Jubany	3.56E+00	2.13E+01	1.69E+01	-6.22E+01	-5.87E+01	15	11
Mawson	3.28E+00	2.14E+01	2.32E+01	-6.76E+01	6.29E+01	32	15
Palmer Station	3.14E+00	2.47E+01	3.72E+01	-6.49E+01	-6.40E+01	10	26
South Pole	3.68E+00	2.68E+01	1.48E+02	-9.00E+01	-2.48E+01	2810	28
South Pole	3.74E+00	2.70E+01	1.53E+02	-9.00E+01	-2.48E+01	2810	29
South Pole	3.84E+00	2.65E+01	1.43E+02	-9.00E+01	-2.48E+01	2810	27
Syowa Station	2.77E-01	3.08E+01	6.81E+00	-6.90E+01	3.96E+01	29	5
Syowa Station	6.53E+00	2.35E+01	2.53E+01	-6.90E+01	3.96E+01	14	17
Alert, NWT	1.58E-01	3.48E+01	3.34E+01	8.25E+01	-6.25E+01	210	19
Baffow	8.53E-02	3.33E+01	4.19E+01	7.13E+01	-1.5/E+02	11	30
La Jolla Pler	2.52E-01	3.2/E+01	3.68E+01	3.22E+01	-1.18E+02	10	26
Ividulla Loa	7.41E-UI	3.11E+01	2.04E+U2 2.54E+01	1.93E+01	-1.30E+02	239/	40
Cape Kuniukani Christmas Island	+.∠JE-UI 1 08E±00	3.24E+01	3.34E±01 3.10E±01	1.93ETU1 2.00E±00	-1.55E+02	3	20
Cane Matatula	1.05E+00	2 83E±01	3.10E+01 3.38E±01	_1 43E±01	-1.57E+02 -1.71E+02	30	23
South Pole	3.82E+00	2.35E+01	1 53E+02	-9 00E+01	-2.48E+01	2810	23 20
~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	5.0 2 L · 00	, vL · v1	1.000 02	2.000.01		-010	2)

Illustration of the methodology and interpretation

In the next figure are presented comparison the CO2 annual mean atmosphere in situ data and the model independent description of annual atmosphere mean concentration.



Figure 6. Comparison of model independent CO2 annual mean atmosphere concentration with in situ data (139 stations)

The linear fit estimation of trend of world data for regioanal CO2 production responcibility is presented in the next figure.



Figure 7. Liner fit of all data for CO2 productiomn responcibility

LinFit= $(0.63 + 0.03) + (1.84 + 0.13) \times 10^{-4}$ Yr

In the next 3 figure (Figure 8,9,10) are presented the temperature behavior and the behavior of function Responsibility for stations Monte Cimone, Schauinsland and Waldhof.





The visible negative and positive correlations between local annual mean temperature and CO2 regional production responsibility



The visible negative correlation between local annual mean temperature and CO2 regional production responsibility



The complicated Waldhop negative and positive correlations between local annual mean temperature and CO2 regional production responsibility

The correlation between temperature and CO_2 regional production responsibility is more complicated for the Monte Cimone and Waldhof because of the influence of Mediterranean and North see. The interpretation have to include;

• the physics of Climate model, where the increasing of the CO₂ atmosphere concentration is mainly concequences of temperature increasing

• the meteorological data for atmosphere exchange as well as the data for energy production and consummation as well as for car traffic.

But for Schauinsland there is seen clear negative correlation between higher temperature and less CO₂ production in the next time period. The estimation of the inertion of such correlation can serve as estimation of the effective planning and management of the regional energy resources and energy consumption.

Conclusion

The described methodical example can serve as initial bases for estimation of regional CO_2 and other greenhouses and pollutants production. The including of the analysis the data for industry, agriculture, transport and cities infrastructure can permit to create not only a model for regional pollution responsibility but also a model for helping the decision makers planning.

The understanding of the connection between parameters of the regional mathematical temperature model and middle weather prediction can permit to plan more accuracy the next year energy resources.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Cht. S Mavrodiev and I. Kalapov, BEO INRNE, BAS for the team work, to Dr. Wolfgang Fricke, Hohenpeissenberg Meteorological Observatory, Germany Meteorological Service and Dr. Ludwig Ries, Germany Environmental Agency for critical discussions of the use of results of inverse problems method and for the Hohenpeissenberg century temperature data, to Dr. Paolo Bonasoni and Dr. P. Cristofanelli, ISAC, CNR, Bologna, Italy for information support. The partly support of this work by UFS Zugspitze and UBA, Germany and the BEOBAL FP6 project (INCO-CT-2005-016663) is highly appreciated.

References

Alexandrov L., Regularized Computational Process of Newton- Kantorovich Type, J. Comp.Math. andMath. Phys., 11, Vol. 1 (1971); Regularized Iterative Processes for the Solution of Nonlinear Operational Equations, Communications JINR, P5-5137, Dubna, 1970; Autoregularized Iteration Processes of Newton- Kantorovich Type, Communications JINR, P5-5515, Dubna, 1970; On the Regularization of Iteration Processes of Newton Type, Communications JINR, P5-7258, Dubna, 1973. 209; Regularized Processes of Newton Type for the Solution of Nonlinear Systems of Equations on Computer, JINR, B1-5-9869, Dubna, 1976; On Numerical Solution on Computer of the Nonlinear Noncorrect Problems, Communications JINR, P5-10366, Dubna, 1977; A Program for the Solution of Nonlinear Systems of Equations by Means of Regularized Iteration Processes of Gauss-Newton Type, Communication, JINR P5-7259, Dubna, 1973

Ferias C.R. Are observed changes in the concentration of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere really dangerous?, Bul. of Canadian petroleum geology, 2002; 50, 2 (June 2002); 297-327.

Keodjian V.P. et al., Applied Ecology of the Sea Regions- Black Sea, Naukova Dumka, Kiev, August 1990, 252pp., in Russian.

Kirkby, J., CLOUD: a particle beam facility to investigate the influence of cosmic rays on clouds, CERN-EP-2002-019 (2002), and Proc. of the Workshop on Ion-Aerosol-Cloud Interactions, ed. J. Kirkby, CERN, Geneva, CERN 2001-007, 175–248 (2001). http://preprints.cern.ch/cernrep/2001/2001-007/2001-007.html

Kirkby Jasper, Cosmic rays and climate, Surveys in Geophysics 28, 333–375, doi: 10.1007/s10712-008-9030-6 (2007); arXiv:0804.1938v1 [physics.ao-ph] 11 Apr 2008 http://arxiv.org/PS_cache/arxiv/pdf/0804/0804.1938v1.pdf

Mavrodiev S. Cht, Ries L., On Some Consequences of Global of Global Warming, GEIA 2006 Open Conf., Nov.,29 – Dec. 01, Paris, 2006, http://geiacenter.org/workshops/2006/reports/Poster Strachimir Ludwig Paris GEIA Nov2006 LastVar.pdf

Mavrodiev S.Cht., Clean and Peaceful Black Sea- Applied Ecology of the See, ECOSEA-

BG, 1996, in Bulgarian.

Mavrodiev S.Cht., Applied Ecology of the Black Sea, Nova Science Publishers, Inc., Commack, New York 11725, USA, 1998, 207pp.

Prinn R., Measuring the Uncertainty of Climate Change, Interview for MIT Technology Review, Feb 14, 2007, (<u>http://www.technologyreview.com/Energy/18184/</u>)

Rusov V.D., A.B.Glushkov, V.N.Vashchenko, Astrophysical Model of Global Climate of the Earth, Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 2005, 213 pp.

Summary for Policymakers, Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis of FAR (Fourth Assessment Report), (SPM), IPCC, Paris, 5th Feb, 2007. (http://www.ipcc.ch/pdf/assessment-report/ar4/wg1/ar4-wg1-spm.pdf)

Svensmark H *et al.* Experimental Evidence for the role of Ions in Particle Nucleation under Atmospheric Conditions, Proc. Roy. Soc. A (*doi:10.1098/rspa.2006.1773 online Nov. 2006*), 2007.

Svensmark H, Nigel C., The Chilling Stars: A New Theory of Climate Change, UK Publication February 2007, ISBN 1-84046-815-7, 2007.

Svensmark H., Cosmoclimatology: a new theory emerges, Astronomy & Geophysics 48 (1), 1.18–1.24. doi:10.1111/j.1468-4004.2007.48118.x, 2007. (http://www.spacecenter.dk/research/sunclimate/Scientific%20work%20and%20publications/)

Tikhonov A. N., Glasko V. B., Application of Regularization Methods to Nonlinear Problems, J. Comp. Math. and Math. Phys., 5, Vol. 5 (1965) 463-473.