

Linear Monotone Subspaces of Locally Convex Spaces

M.D. Voisei and C. Zălinescu

Abstract

The main focus of this paper is to study multi-valued linear monotone operators in the contexts of locally convex spaces via the use of their Fitzpatrick and Penot functions. Notions such as maximal monotonicity, uniqueness, negative-infimum, and (dual-) representability are studied and criteria are provided.

1 Preliminaries

Motivated by the facts that, besides the subdifferentials, single-valued linear maximal monotone operators enjoy a set of stronger monotonicity properties and belong to most of the special classes of maximal monotone operators introduced in the non-reflexive Banach space settings the linear monotone subspaces of a non-reflexive Banach space made the object of extensive studies in [1, 2, 18, 3].

It is also hoped that a linear maximal monotone would be a part of a counter-example to the celebrated Rockafellar Conjecture (see e.g. [5]), that is why, a thorough study of linear monotone operators could help accomplish that goal.

The vast majority of the results concerning monotone operators are stated in the context of Banach spaces with a few exceptions (see e.g. [6, 8]). In the context of non-reflexive Banach spaces it is natural to use topologies compatible with the simple duality $(X \times X^*, X^* \times X)$ instead of the strong topologies. This leads to the context of locally convex spaces under which, fortunately, many of the results stated in Banach spaces for monotone operators still hold.

Our goal in this paper is twofold: - to extend and add to the known results concerning maximal monotone multi-valued operators in the context of locally convex spaces and - to provide general criteria for various classes of linear monotone operators while performing a comparison of the main types of linear maximal monotone operators through examples and counter-examples.

It is interesting to mention that in a locally convex space context, currently, there are two characterizations of maximal monotonicity of a general operator:

- (Fitzpatrick [8, Theorem 3.8]) Let X be a locally convex space and $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be monotone. Then T is maximal monotone iff $\varphi_T(x, x^*) = \sup\{x^*(y) + y^*(x - y) \mid (y, y^*) \in \text{gph } T\} > x^*(x)$, for every $(x, x^*) \in X \times X^* \setminus \text{gph } T$.
- (Voisei & Zălinescu [31, Theorem 1]) Let X be a locally convex space and $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$. Then T is maximal monotone iff T is representable and T is NI in $X \times X^*$.

Here, we provide another characterization for the maximality of a monotone operator in terms of uniqueness, namely

- Let X be a locally convex space and $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be monotone. Then T is maximal monotone iff T is unique and dual-representable (see Theorem 6 below).

The plan of the paper is as follows. In the second section the main notions and notation together with the most representative results concerning these notions are presented. Section 3 studies general monotone operator properties such as uniqueness, NI type, graph-convexity, (dual-)representability, and maximal monotonicity. Section 4 deals with skew linear monotone operators. In Section 5 the main types of linear monotone subsets are studied and criteria are provided.

2 Main notions and notations

For a locally convex space (E, μ) and $A \subset E$, we denote by “conv A ” the *convex hull* of A , “aff A ” the *affine hull* of A , “lin A ” the *linear hull* of A , “cl $_{\mu}$ A ” the μ -*closure* of A , “ A^i ” the *algebraic interior* of A , “ ${}^i A$ ” the *relative algebraic interior* of A with respect to aff A , while ${}^{ic} A := {}^i A$ if aff A is μ -closed and ${}^{ic} A := \emptyset$ otherwise, is the relative algebraic interior of A with respect to cl $_{\mu}$ (aff A). In the sequel when the topology is implicitly understood we avoid the use of the μ -notation. A subset A is a *cone* if $\mathbb{R}_+ A = A$ while A is a *double-cone* if $\mathbb{R} A = A$.

For $f, g : E \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ we set $[f \leq g] := \{x \in E \mid f(x) \leq g(x)\}$; the sets $[f = g]$, $[f < g]$ and $[f > g]$ are defined similarly.

Throughout this paper, if not otherwise explicitly mentioned, (X, τ) is a non trivial (that is, $X \neq \{0\}$) separated locally convex space, X^* is its topological dual endowed with the weak-star topology w^* , the topological dual of (X^*, w^*) is identified with X , and the weak topology on X is denoted by w . The *duality product* of $X \times X^*$ is denoted by $\langle x, x^* \rangle := x^*(x) =: c(x, x^*)$ for $x \in X, x^* \in X^*$.

To an operator (or multifunction) $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ we associate its *graph*: $\text{gph } T = \{(x, x^*) \in X \times X^* \mid x^* \in T(x)\}$, *inverse*: $T^{-1} : X^* \rightrightarrows X$, $\text{gph } T^{-1} = \{(x^*, x) \mid (x, x^*) \in \text{gph } T\}$, *domain*: $\text{dom } T := \{x \in X \mid T(x) \neq \emptyset\} = \text{Pr}_X(T)$, and *range*: $\text{Im } T := \{x^* \in X^* \mid x^* \in T(x) \text{ for some } x \in X\} = \text{Pr}_{X^*}(T)$. Here Pr_X and Pr_{X^*} are the projections of $X \times X^*$ onto X and X^* , respectively. When no confusion can occur, T will be identified with $\text{gph } T$.

On X , we consider the following classes of functions and operators:

$\Lambda(X)$ the class formed by proper convex functions $f : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. Recall that f is *proper* if $\text{dom } f := \{x \in X \mid f(x) < \infty\}$ is nonempty and f does not take the value $-\infty$,

$\Gamma_{\tau}(X)$ the class of functions $f \in \Lambda(X)$ that are τ -lower semicontinuous (τ -lsc for short); when the topology is implicitly understood we use the notation $\Gamma(X)$,

$\mathcal{M}(X)$ the class of monotone operators $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$. Recall that $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ is *monotone* if $\langle x_1 - x_2, x_1^* - x_2^* \rangle \geq 0$, for all $x_1^* \in T x_1, x_2^* \in T x_2$.

$\mathfrak{M}(X)$ the class of maximal monotone operators $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$. The maximality is understood in the sense of graph inclusion as subsets of $X \times X^*$.

Notions associated to a proper function $f : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$:

$\text{epi } f := \{(x, t) \in X \times \mathbb{R} \mid f(x) \leq t\}$ is the *epigraph* of f ,

$\text{conv } f : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, the *convex hull* of f , is the greatest convex function majorized by f , $(\text{conv } f)(x) := \inf\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid (x, t) \in \text{conv}(\text{epi } f)\}$ for $x \in X$,

$\text{cl}_\tau \text{conv } f : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, the τ -*lsc convex hull* of f , is the greatest τ -lsc convex function majorized by f , $(\text{cl}_\tau \text{conv } f)(x) := \inf\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid (x, t) \in \text{cl}_\tau(\text{conv epi } f)\}$ for $x \in X$,

$f^* : X^* \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is the *convex conjugate* of $f : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ with respect to the dual system (X, X^*) , $f^*(x^*) := \sup\{\langle x, x^* \rangle - f(x) \mid x \in X\}$ for $x^* \in X^*$.

$\partial f(x)$ is the *subdifferential* of f at $x \in X$; $\partial f(x) := \{x^* \in X^* \mid \langle x' - x, x^* \rangle + f(x) \leq f(x'), \forall x' \in X\}$ for $x \in X$ (it is clear that $\partial f(x) := \emptyset$ for $x \notin \text{dom } f$). Recall that $N_C = \partial \iota_C$ is the *normal cone* of C , where ι_C is the *indicator function* of $C \subset X$ defined by $\iota_C(x) := 0$ for $x \in C$ and $\iota_C(x) := \infty$ for $x \in X \setminus C$.

Let $Z := X \times X^*$. It is known that $(Z, \tau \times w^*)^* = Z$ via the coupling

$$z \cdot z' := \langle x, x'^* \rangle + \langle x', x^* \rangle, \quad \text{for } z = (x, x^*), \quad z' = (x', x'^*) \in Z.$$

For a proper function $f : Z \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ all the above notions are defined similarly. In addition, with respect to the natural dual system (Z, Z) induced by the previous coupling, the conjugate of f is given by

$$f^\square : Z \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}, \quad f^\square(z) = \sup\{z \cdot z' - f(z') \mid z' \in Z\},$$

and by the biconjugate formula, $f^{\square\square} = \text{cl}_{\tau \times w^*} \text{conv } f$ whenever f^\square (or $\text{cl}_{\tau \times w^*} \text{conv } f$) is proper.

To a multifunction $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ we associate the following functions: $c_T : Z \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, $c_T := c + \iota_T$, $\psi_T : Z \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, $\psi_T := \text{cl}_{\tau \times w^*} \text{conv } c_T$ is the *Penot function* of T , $\varphi_T : Z \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, $\varphi_T := c_T^\square = \psi_T^\square$ is the *Fitzpatrick function* of T .

From the definition of φ_T one has (as observed in [28, Proposition 2])

$$T \subset (\text{dom } T \times X^*) \cup (X \times \text{Im } T) \subset [\varphi_T \geq c]. \quad (1)$$

Moreover, as observed in several places (see e.g. [14, 15, 26, 28, 29, 31]),

$$T \in \mathcal{M}(X) \iff \text{conv } c_T \geq c \iff \psi_T \geq c \iff T \subset [\varphi_T = c] \iff T \subset [\varphi_T \leq c], \quad (2)$$

$$T \in \mathcal{M}(X) \implies T \subset [\psi_T = c] \subset [\varphi_T = c], \quad (3)$$

and

$$T \in \mathfrak{M}(X) \iff T = [\varphi_T \leq c] \iff [\varphi_T \geq c \text{ and } T = [\varphi_T = c]]. \quad (4)$$

To the equivalences in (4) we add the following

$$\overline{T} \in \mathfrak{M}(X) \iff T \in \mathcal{M}(X), \quad [\varphi_T \leq c] \subset T. \quad (5)$$

While, from the last equivalence in (4), the direct implication is obvious, for the converse implication we have, by (1), that $[\varphi_T \leq c] \subset T \subset [\varphi_T \geq c]$; whence $\varphi_T \geq c$ and $[\varphi_T = c] \subset T$. Since T is monotone, by (3), we get $T = [\varphi_T = c]$ and so, from (4), $T \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$.

Note that condition $T \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ is not superfluous in (5). Take for example the non-monotone $T = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid xy \geq 0\}$ for which $[\varphi_T \leq c] = \{(0, 0)\} \subset T$.

The preceding relations suggest the introduction of the following classes of functions:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F} &:= \mathcal{F}(Z) := \{f \in \Lambda(Z) \mid f \geq c\}, \\ \mathcal{R} &:= \mathcal{R}(Z) := \Gamma_{\tau \times w^*}(Z) \cap \mathcal{F}(Z), \\ \mathcal{D} &:= \mathcal{D}(Z) := \{f \in \mathcal{R}(Z) \mid f^\square \geq c\}.\end{aligned}$$

It is known that $[f = c] \in \mathcal{M}(X)$, for every $f \in \mathcal{F}(Z)$ (see e.g. [15]).

We consider the following classes of multifunctions $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$:

- T is *representable* in Z if $T = [f = c]$, for some $f \in \mathcal{R}$; in this case f is called a *representative* of T . We denote by \mathcal{R}_T the class of representatives of T .
- T is *dual-representable* if $T = [f = c]$, for some $f \in \mathcal{D}$; in this case f is called a *d-representative* of T . We denote by \mathcal{D}_T the class of d-representatives of T .
- T is of *negative infimum type in Z* (NI for short) if $\varphi_T \geq c$ in Z . Note that in the case X is a Banach space, this notion is a weaker form relative to $X^* \times X^{**}$ of the original version introduced by Simons [22] in the sense that T is NI in the sense of Simons means that T is maximal monotone in $X \times X^*$ and T^{-1} is NI in $X^* \times X^{**}$ in the present sense. However, if T^{-1} is NI in $X^* \times X^{**}$ in the present sense, then T is NI in $X \times X^*$. In this form this notion was first considered in [29, Remark 3.5].
- T is *unique* in Z if T is monotone and admits a unique maximal monotone extension in Z . In the context of Banach spaces in [14] the preceding notion was considered under the name of pre-maximal monotone operator. Previously, the uniqueness notion was used in [10, 21, 1]; T is unique in their sense of iff T^{-1} (as a subset of $X^* \times X^{**}$) is unique in $X^* \times X^{**}$ in the present sense.

Fitzpatrick proved in [8, Theorem 2.4] that

$$f \in \mathcal{F} \implies [f = c] \subset [f^\square = c], \quad (6)$$

from which, it follows that

$$[f = c] = [f^\square = c] \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{D}. \quad (7)$$

As observed in [29, Remark 3.6] (see also [31]), if $f \in \mathcal{R}_T$, that is, T is representable with f a representative of T , then we have

$$\varphi_T \leq f \leq \psi_T, \quad \varphi_T \leq f^\square \leq \psi_T. \quad (8)$$

Hence, if $T \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ and $f \in \mathcal{R}_T$ then $f \in \mathcal{D}_T$. Moreover,

$$(f \in \mathcal{R}, T \subset [f = c]) \implies (f \leq \psi_T, [\psi_T = c] \subset [f = c]). \quad (9)$$

Indeed, $T \subset [f = c]$ imply $f \leq c_T$, whence, because $f \in \mathcal{R}$, $f \leq \psi_T$; therefore $[\psi_T = c] \subset [f = c]$.

In particular, (9) shows that $[\psi_T = c]$ is the smallest representable extension of $T \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ in the sense of graph inclusion and so $[\psi_T = c] = \bigcap \{M \mid T \subset M, M \text{ representable}\}$.

Remark 1 For a Banach space X with topological dual X^* , both endowed with their strong topology denoted by “ s ”, there have been considered three different notions of representability of a monotone operator $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$:

- the one above (first introduced in [26]) that will be used throughout this article,
- Martinez-Legaz–Svaiter (MLS) representability. In [14] one says that T is representable if there exists an $s \times s$ -lsc convex function $h : X \times X^* \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $h \geq c$ and $T = [h = c]$. Note that these two types of representability coincide if X is reflexive but they are different in the non-reflexive case. Indeed, consider E a non-reflexive Banach space, $X := E^*$ and $S := \{0\} \times E \subset X \times X^*$ (here E is identified with its image by the canonical injection of E into $E^{**} = X^*$). Clearly S is a skew strongly closed linear space in $X \times X^*$, and $S = [\iota_S = c]$ which makes S MLS-representable. However S is not representable in our sense because its Penot function in $X \times X^*$, namely, $\psi_S = \text{cl}_{s \times w^*} \iota_S = \iota_{\{0\} \times X^*} \neq \text{cl}_{s \times s} \iota_S = \iota_{\{0\} \times E}$ and so $[\psi_S = c] = \{0\} \times X^* = \text{cl}_{s \times w^*} S \not\subseteq S$.
- Borwein representability involves no topology (see [5, Section 2.2]) or the strong topology on $X \times X^*$ (see [4, page 3918]) and the equality $T = [h = c]$ in the MLS-representability is replaced by the inclusion $T \subset [h = c]$ for an $s \times s$ -lsc convex function $h : X \times X^* \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ with $h \geq c$. While it is clear that Borwein representability of a monotone operator is different from the other two, in the case of a maximal monotone operator Borwein's representability coincides with the MLS-representability.

3 Types of monotone operators

The next characterizations of representability and maximality were stated in the context of Banach spaces but their arguments work in a locally convex settings (see also [31, Theorem 1]).

Theorem 1 ([26, Ths. 2.2, 2.3])

(i) T is representable iff $T \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ and $T = [\psi_T = c]$, that is, ψ_T is a representative of T (or $\psi_T \in \mathcal{R}_T$),

(ii) T is maximal monotone iff T is representable and T is of negative infimum type, that is, φ_T is a (d -)representative of T (or $\varphi_T \in \mathcal{R}_T$, or $\varphi_T \in \mathcal{D}_T$).

It is important to notice that, in the context of non-reflexive Banach spaces, the previous characterization of maximality fails if our representability is replaced by the MLS-representability. Indeed, let X be a non-reflexive Banach space and $S = \{0\} \times X \subsetneq \{0\} \times X^{**} \subset Z^*$. As previously seen in Remark 1, S is MLS-representable since ι_S is strongly lsc convex, $\iota_S \geq c$, and $S = [\iota_S = c]$, while S is NI in Z^* because $\varphi_S(x^*, x^{**}) = \infty$ for $x^{**} \neq 0$ and $\varphi_S(x^*, 0) = 0$ for $x^* \in X^*$, and clearly S is not maximal monotone in Z^* .

As in [14] (for X a Banach space and with a different notation), for a subset A of Z we set

$$A^+ := [\varphi_A \leq c] = \{z \in Z \mid c(z - w) \geq 0, \forall w \in A\},$$

the set of all $z \in Z$ that are monotonically related to (m.r.t. for short) A and $A^{++} := (A^+)^+$. Note that $\emptyset^+ = Z$, $Z^+ = \emptyset$, $A \subset B \subset Z$ implies $B^+ \subset A^+$ and $(\cup_{i \in I} A_i)^+ = \cap_{i \in I} A_i^+$ for any family $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ of subsets of Z . Moreover, for $A \subset Z$ one has:

$$A \in \mathcal{M}(X) \Leftrightarrow A \subset A^+, \quad A \in \mathfrak{M}(X) \Leftrightarrow A = A^+. \quad (10)$$

For $T \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ we have

$$T^+ = \bigcup \{M \mid M \in \mathfrak{M}(X), T \subset M\}, \quad (11)$$

and so

$$T^{++} = \bigcap \{M \mid M \in \mathfrak{M}(X), T \subset M\}. \quad (12)$$

In particular, for $T \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ we have that $T^{++} \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ and $T \subset T^{++} \subset T^+$. Moreover, from (11) it is easily noticed that $T \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ is unique iff T^+ is maximal monotone.

Note that the above mentioned properties of T^+ can also be found in [14].

Lemma 2 (i) *For every $A \subset Z$ we have $\psi_A \geq \varphi_{A^+}$ in Z .*

(ii) *If $T \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ then T^+ is NI, that is $\varphi_{T^+} \geq c$ in Z .*

Proof. (i) Notice that $A \subset A^{++} = [\varphi_{A^+} \leq c]$ for every $A \subset Z$. Hence $\varphi_{A^+} \leq c_A$ and so $\varphi_{A^+} \leq \psi_A$ in Z since φ_{A^+} is convex and $w \times w^*$ -lsc.

(ii) Let $M \subset Z$ be a maximal monotone extension of T . Then $M \subset T^+$, and so $\varphi_{T^+} \geq \varphi_M \geq c$ in Z . ■

For uniqueness one has the following characterizations (see also Proposition 33 below for double-cones); these characterizations can also be found in [14, Proposition 36]), [21, Theorem 19], and [1, Fact 2.6].

Proposition 3 *Let $T \in \mathcal{M}(X)$. TFAE:*

- (i) *T is unique,*
- (ii) *T^+ is monotone,*
- (iii) *T^+ is maximal monotone,*
- (iv) *$T^+ = T^{++}$,*
- (v) *T^{++} is maximal monotone.*

In this case the unique maximal monotone extension of T is $T^+ = T^{++}$.

Proof. Note that (i) \Rightarrow (iii) is true because of (11), (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) is obvious, while (iii) \Leftrightarrow (iv) and (iv) \Rightarrow (v) follow from the second part of (10). The implication (ii) \Rightarrow (iv) holds since whenever T^+ is monotone we have, by the first part in (10), that $T^+ \subset T^{++}$; the reversed inclusion being true because T is monotone. To complete the proof it suffices to show (v) \Rightarrow (i). To this end we see from (12) that if T^{++} is maximal monotone then T^{++} is the unique maximal monotone extension of T . ■

As it would be expected from Theorem 1, the following characterization theorem shows that the NI condition for $T \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ is actually equivalent to the maximality of $[\psi_T = c]$ which is the minimal representable operator that contains T .

Proposition 4 *Let $T \in \mathcal{M}(X)$. Then*

- (i) *$\varphi_T = \varphi_{[\psi_T = c]}$ and $\psi_T = \psi_{[\psi_T = c]}$; in particular $T^+ = [\psi_T = c]^+$.*
- (ii) *T is NI iff $[\psi_T = c]$ is NI iff $[\psi_T = c]$ is maximal monotone iff T has a unique representable extension.*
- (iii) *If T is NI then T is unique and*

$$T^+ = [\psi_T = c] = [\varphi_T = c] = [\varphi_T \leq c]$$

is the unique representable extension and the unique maximal monotone extension of T .

Proof. Set $R := [\psi_T = c]$ the smallest representable extension of $T \in \mathcal{M}(X)$.

(i) From (3), we see that $T \subset R$, and so $\varphi_T \leq \varphi_R$. Conversely, by the Fenchel inequality, for all $z, w \in Z$

$$\varphi_T(z) \geq z \cdot w - \psi_T(w).$$

Pass to supremum over $w \in R$ to find $\varphi_T(z) \geq \varphi_R(z)$ for every $z \in Z$. The other relation is obtained by conjugation.

(ii) According to (i) and from Theorem 1 (ii) applied for R we get that R is maximal monotone iff R is NI iff T is NI.

Assume now that R is maximal monotone and $h \in \mathcal{R}$ is such that $T \subset [h = c]$. By (9) we have $R \subset [h = c]$. Because R is maximal monotone and $[h = c]$ is monotone we obtain that $R = [h = c]$, and so R is the unique representable extension of T . Conversely, assume that T has a unique representable extension. Since any maximal monotone extension of T is also representable it follows that R is maximal monotone and the unique representable extension of T .

(iii) Assume that T is NI. Hence $T^+ = [\varphi_T \leq c] = [\varphi_T = c]$. By (ii) R is the unique representable extension of T ; in particular R is the unique maximal monotone extension of T , and so, according to Proposition 3, $R = T^+$. ■

Recently in [3, Theorem 4.2] it is proved, in the context of a Banach space, that a maximal monotone operator with a convex graph is in fact affine. This result holds in locally convex spaces as a consequence of the broader fact that the affine hull of a monotone convex multifunction remains monotone.

Proposition 5 *Let $T \subset X \times X^*$. TFAE:*

- (i) c_T is convex,
- (ii) T is monotone and convex.

In this case $\text{aff } T$ is monotone and $T \subset \text{aff } T \subset T^+$. In particular, if T is maximal monotone and convex then T is affine.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Since c_T is convex so is $\text{dom } c_T = T$, while $c_T \geq c$ and $T = [c_T = c]$ show that T is monotone.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) For all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and $z, z' \in T = \text{dom } c_T$ we have $\lambda z + (1 - \lambda)z' \in T$ since T is convex and

$$c_T(\lambda z + (1 - \lambda)z') - \lambda c_T(z) - (1 - \lambda)c_T(z') = -\lambda(1 - \lambda)c(z - z') \leq 0,$$

because T is monotone. Hence c_T is convex.

Assume that T is nonempty, convex and monotone. Because $(T - z)^+ = T^+ - z$ for every $z \in Z$, we may (and do) assume that $0 \in T$. In this case $\text{aff } T = \mathbb{R}_+T - \mathbb{R}_+T$ since $\mathbb{R}_+T - \mathbb{R}_+T$ is linear.

Let $z, z' \in T$, $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathbb{R}_+$. For $\alpha + \alpha' \neq 0$ we have $\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \alpha'}z, \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha + \alpha'}z' \in T$ because T is convex and $0 \in T$. Since T is monotone we get

$$c(\alpha z - \alpha' z') = (\alpha + \alpha')^2 c\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \alpha'}z - \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha + \alpha'}z'\right) \geq 0,$$

and this implies that $\text{aff } T$ is monotone.

To prove that $\text{aff } T \subset T^+$ first note that $[1, \infty)T^+ \subset T^+$. Indeed for $z \in T^+$, $t \geq 1$, and an arbitrary $u \in T$ we have $t^{-1}u \in T$ since T is convex and $0 \in T$. Consequently, the monotonicity of T provides us with

$$c(tz - u) = t^2c(z - t^{-1}u) \geq 0,$$

that is, $tz \in T^+$ for all $z \in T^+$ and $t \geq 1$.

Recall that (see (10)) T monotone is equivalent to $T \subset T^+$, from which $[1, \infty)T \subset [1, \infty)T^+ \subset T^+$. Since by the convexity of T , $[0, 1]T = T$, we obtain $\mathbb{R}_+T \subset T^+$.

It is time to show that $\text{aff } T = \mathbb{R}_+T - \mathbb{R}_+T \subset T^+$. Indeed, let $\alpha, \alpha' \geq 0$ and $z, z' \in T$. Again, for arbitrary $u \in T$ we have

$$c(\alpha z - \alpha' z' - u) = (1 + \alpha')^2 c\left(\frac{\alpha'}{1 + \alpha'} z' + \frac{1}{1 + \alpha'} u - \frac{\alpha}{1 + \alpha'} z\right) \geq 0,$$

because $\frac{\alpha'}{1 + \alpha'} z' + \frac{1}{1 + \alpha'} u \in T$ and $\frac{\alpha}{1 + \alpha'} z \in \mathbb{R}_+T \subset T^+$, hence $\alpha z - \alpha' z' \in T^+$.

If, in addition, T is maximal monotone then either from $\text{aff } T$ monotone or from $T = T^+ = \text{aff } T$ we get that T is affine. ■

Remark 2 For T monotone and convex the sets $\text{aff } T$ and T^+ can be very different. For example, take $T := \{(0, 0)\} \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. Then $\text{aff } T = \{(0, 0)\}$ while T^+ covers quadrants I and III.

Also, we cannot expect T^+ to be convex or a cone. Take $T := \{(x, 0) \mid 0 \leq x \leq 1\} \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. Then $T^+ = \{(x, y) \mid x \leq 0, y \leq 0\} \cup T \cup \{(x, y) \mid x \geq 1, y \geq 0\}$ is neither a cone nor convex since for example $(1, 1), (0, 0) \in T^+$ and $(1/2, 1/2) \notin T^+$.

However it is easily checked that T^+ is a (double-)cone whenever T is a (double-)cone.

It is clear that every maximal monotone operator is dual-representable with its Fitzpatrick or Penot functions as (d-)representatives. The converse of the previous fact is currently an open problem. In reflexive spaces dual-representable operators are maximal monotone (see e.g. [7, Th. 3.1]). Under the uniqueness property dual-representable operators become maximal even in locally convex spaces.

Theorem 6 *The operator $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ is maximal monotone iff T is dual-representable and unique.*

Proof. While the direct implication is clear (see e.g. Theorem 1 (ii)), for the converse let T be unique and dual-representable with a d-representative h . From (8) we have that $\varphi_T \leq h$. For the maximality of T it suffices to prove that T is NI or $T^+ = [\varphi_T \leq c] \subset [\varphi_T = c]$.

Assume by contradiction that there exists $z_0 \in T^+ \setminus [\varphi_T = c] = [\varphi_T < c]$. Then

$$\text{dom } h \subset \{z_0\}^+ = \{z \in Z \mid c(z - z_0) \geq 0\}. \quad (13)$$

Indeed, if there is a $z \in \text{dom } h (\subset \text{dom } \varphi_T)$ such that $c(z - z_0) < 0$ then $z \notin T^+$ since T^+ is monotone and $z_0 \in T^+$. This implies that $z \in [\varphi_T > c] \cap \text{dom } \varphi_T$. From the continuity of $\varphi_T - c$ on the segment $[z, z_0] := \{tz + (1 - t)z_0 \mid 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ there exists $t \in (0, 1)$ such that $w := tz + (1 - t)z_0 \in [\varphi_T = c] \subset T^+$. It follows that $c(w - z_0) = t^2c(z - z_0) < 0$. This contradicts the monotonicity of T^+ . Therefore (13) holds.

The inclusion in (13) yields that

$$h(z) \geq c(z) - c(z - z_0) = z \cdot z_0 - c(z_0) \quad \forall z \in Z, \quad (14)$$

or $c(z_0) \geq z \cdot z_0 - h(z)$, for every $z \in Z$. After passing to supremum over $z \in Z$ we find that $z_0 \in [h^\square = c] = T \subset [\varphi_T = c]$. This is in contradiction with the choice of z_0 . Hence T is NI and consequently maximal monotone. ■

Corollary 7 *The monotone operator $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ admits a unique dual-representable extension iff T admits a unique maximal monotone extension.*

Proof. The direct implication is plain since every maximal monotone operator is dual-representable. For the converse implication let $h \in \mathcal{D}$ be such that $T \subset [h = c] =: D$. Since T is unique, so is D , hence, according to Theorem 6, D is maximal monotone. Therefore D is the unique maximal monotone and dual-representable extension of T . ■

Remark 3 Note that a unique and non-maximal monotone operator is not dual-representable while a representable and non-maximal monotone operator is not of NI type. Therefore a unique representable non-maximal monotone operator is neither NI nor dual-representable.

In view of Theorem 6 a path to a proof of the Rockafellar Conjecture is provided by the following result.

Corollary 8 *Let X, Y be Banach spaces, $A : X \rightarrow Y$ a continuous linear operator, $M \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ and $N \in \mathfrak{M}(Y)$ with $0 \in {}^{ic}(\text{conv}(\text{dom } N - A(\text{dom } M)))$. Then $M + A^\top N A$ is maximal monotone iff $M + A^\top N A$ is unique. Here $A^\top : Y^* \rightarrow X^*$ stands for the adjoint of A .*

Proof. As seen in [31, Remark 1] the mentioned qualification constraint ensures the dual representability of $M + A^\top N A$, a d-representative for it being given by

$$h^\square(x, x^*) = \min \{ f^\square(x, x^* - A^\top y^*) + g^\square(Ax, y^*) \mid y^* \in Y^* \}, \quad \forall (x, x^*) \in X \times X^*,$$

where $f \in \mathcal{R}_M, g \in \mathcal{R}_N$. The stated equivalence follows directly from Theorem 6. ■

Proposition 9 *Let T be a monotone operator. Then T is unique and not of NI type iff $\text{dom } \varphi_T$ is monotone and $[\varphi_T = c] \subsetneq \text{dom } \varphi_T$. In this case $[\psi_T = c]$ is unique representable and neither NI nor dual representable and $\text{dom } \varphi_T$ is affine and the unique maximal monotone extension of both T and $[\psi_T = c]$.*

Proof. For the direct implication, since T is not of NI type the set $[\varphi_T < c]$ is non-empty; hence $[\varphi_T = c] \neq \text{dom } \varphi_T$. Let $z_0 \in [\varphi_T < c], z \in \text{dom } \varphi_T$ and $z_t = (1-t)z_0 + tz$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$. The function $[0, 1] \ni t \rightarrow (\varphi_T - c)(z_t)$ is continuous and $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} (\varphi_T - c)(z_t) = (\varphi_T - c)(z_0) < 0$. This shows that for every $z \in \text{dom } \varphi_T$ there is $\delta \in (0, 1]$ such that $(1-t)z_0 + tz \in [\varphi_T \leq c]$ for every $t \in [0, \delta]$.

Therefore, for $z_1, z_2 \in \text{dom } \varphi_T$ there is $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ such that $(1-\lambda)z_0 + \lambda z_1, (1-\lambda)z_0 + \lambda z_2 \in [\varphi_T \leq c]$. This yields that $c(z_1 - z_2) \geq 0$, since T is unique or equivalently $T^+ = [\varphi_T \leq c]$ is (maximal) monotone. We proved that $\text{dom } \varphi_T$ is monotone. But $T^+ \subset \text{dom } \varphi_T$ and T^+ is maximal monotone. Hence $T^+ = \text{dom } \varphi_T$ is maximal monotone with a convex graph thus affine (see e.g. [3, Theorem 4.2] or Proposition 5).

Conversely, whenever $\text{dom } \varphi_T$ is monotone we get, as above, that $T^+ = \text{dom } \varphi_T$ is the unique maximal monotone extension of T and $\varphi_T(z) \leq c(z)$ for every $z \in \text{dom } \varphi_T$. Together with $[\varphi_T = c] \subsetneq \text{dom } \varphi_T$ this shows that $[\varphi_T < c] \neq \emptyset$, i.e., T is not NI.

The remaining conclusions follow from Remark 3. ■

Corollary 10 *Let $T \subset Z$ be monotone. Then $\text{dom } \varphi_T$ is monotone iff $\text{dom } \varphi_T$ is maximal monotone. In this case T is unique and $\text{dom } \varphi_T$ is the unique maximal monotone extension of T .*

Remark 4 Since every unique non-NI operator has its unique maximal monotone extension an affine operator it is natural to search for such an object in the class of linear monotone operators (see Example 19 below).

A monotone operator T is not of NI type iff T is not unique or T is unique but not NI. This allows us to characterize the NI operator class.

Proposition 11 *Let $T \subset Z$ be monotone. Then T is NI iff either $[\varphi_T \leq c]$ is monotone and $\text{dom } \varphi_T$ is non-monotone or $[\varphi_T = c] = \text{dom } \varphi_T$.*

Proof. If T is NI then T is unique, i.e., $T^+ = [\varphi_T \leq c]$ is monotone. If $\text{dom } \varphi_T$ is non-monotone then we are done while if $\text{dom } \varphi_T$ is monotone then as previously seen $[\varphi_T \leq c] = [\varphi_T = c] = \text{dom } \varphi_T$.

For the converse implication, notice that in both cases T is unique. The conclusion follows immediately using Proposition 9. ■

Remark 5 For a monotone operator $T \subset Z$, the condition $[\varphi_T = c] = \text{dom } \varphi_T$ is equivalent to $\varphi_T = c_M$, for some affine maximal monotone set $M \subset Z$. In this case $M = [\varphi_T = c] = \text{dom } \varphi_T$ is the unique maximal monotone extension of T .

In terms of its Fitzpatrick and Penot functions a monotone operator T is maximal monotone iff $T = [\psi_T = c]$ and either $[\varphi_T \leq c]$ is monotone and $\text{dom } \varphi_T$ is non-monotone or $[\varphi_T = c] = \text{dom } \varphi_T$.

Sections 4, 5 below deal with strengthening these results in the (skew-) linear cases.

4 Skew double-cones and skew linear subspaces

As in the previous section, in this section X denotes a separated locally convex space if not otherwise explicitly specified. A subset A of $Z = X \times X^*$ is called *non-negative* if $c(z) \geq 0$, for every $z \in A$ and *skew* if $c(z) = 0$, for every $z \in A$. Our main goal in this section is to study skew linear subspaces and skew double-cones of Z via their Fitzpatrick and Penot functions.

An important example of monotone operator (multifunction) is provided by non-negative linear subspaces $L \subset Z = X \times X^*$, that is, L is a linear space with $c(z) \geq 0$ for every $z \in L$ (one obtains immediately that L is monotone) and its particular case formed by skew linear subspaces of Z .

For $A \subset Z$ we set

$$\begin{aligned} -A &:= \{(x, x^*) \in Z \mid (x, -x^*) \in A\}, \\ A^\perp &:= \{z' \in Z \mid \langle z, z' \rangle = 0, \forall z \in A\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$A_0 := \{z \in A^\perp \mid c(z) = 0\} = A^\perp \cap [c = 0]. \quad (15)$$

It is obvious that $A^\perp = (\text{cl}_{w \times w^*}(\text{lin } A))^\perp$, $A^{\perp\perp} = \text{cl}_{w \times w^*}(\text{lin } A)$, A^\perp is a $w \times w^*$ -closed linear subspace of Z , A_0 is a double-cone, and $\text{lin } A = \text{aff } A = \text{conv } A$ whenever A is a double-cone. Recall that w denotes the weak topology on X and w^* denotes the weak-star topology on X^* . Also, note that $A \subset A^\perp$ implies that A is skew.

Proposition 12 *Let $D \subset Z$ be a skew double-cone. Then*

- (i) $\varphi_D = \iota_{D^\perp}$ and $\psi_D = \iota_{\text{cl}_{w \times w^*}(\text{lin } D)}$;
- (ii) z is m.r.t. D iff $z \in D^\perp$ and $c(z) \geq 0$, or equivalently

$$D^+ = [\varphi_D \leq c] = \{z \in D^\perp \mid c(z) \geq 0\}; \quad (16)$$

- (iii) $[\psi_D = c] = \{z \in \text{cl}_{w \times w^*}(\text{lin } D) \mid c(z) = 0\} = (D^\perp)_0$;

Proof. (i) Since D is a skew double-cone we have

$$\varphi_D(z) = \sup\{z \cdot z' - c(z') \mid z' \in D\} = \sup\{z \cdot z' \mid z' \in D\} = \iota_{D^\perp}.$$

Assertions (ii) and (iii) follow from the expressions of φ_D and ψ_D in (i) and (15). ■

In the next result we provide a characterization of skew monotone double-cones.

Proposition 13 *Let $D \subset Z$ be a double-cone. TFAE: (a) D is skew and monotone, (b) $c(z + z') = 0$ for all $z, z' \in D$, (c) $D \subset D^\perp$, (d) $\text{lin } D$ is a skew linear space, (e) $\text{cl}_{w \times w^*}(\text{lin } D)$ is a skew linear space.*

In particular a linear subspace $S \subset Z$ is skew iff $S \subset S^\perp$; moreover $\text{cl}_{w \times w^} S$ is skew, for every skew linear subspace $S \subset Z$.*

Proof. Set $L := \text{cl}_{w \times w^*}(\text{lin } D)$; of course, L is a linear subspace. The implications (e) \Rightarrow (d) \Rightarrow (b) \Rightarrow (a) are obvious.

(a) \Rightarrow (b) Because D is skew and monotone, by Proposition 12 we have $\iota_L = \psi_D \geq c$; hence $c(z) \leq 0$ for every $z \in L$. Take $z, z' \in D \subset L$; it follows that $z + z' \in L$, and so $c(z + z') = c(z) + z \cdot z' + c(z') = z \cdot z' \leq 0$. Since $-z \in D$ we get $z \cdot z' = 0$, and so $c(z + z') = 0$.

(b) \Rightarrow (c) In the proof of (a) \Rightarrow (b) we obtained that $z \cdot z' = 0$ for all $z, z' \in D$, and so $D \subset D^\perp$.

(c) \Rightarrow (b) We have that $z \cdot z' = 0$ for all $z, z' \in D$. Taking $z' = z$ we get $c(z) = 0$ for $z \in D$. Then $c(z + z') = c(z) + z \cdot z' + c(z') = z \cdot z' = 0$ for all $z, z' \in D$.

(b) \Rightarrow (e). Since D is a double-cone, from (b) \Rightarrow (a) we have that D and $-D$ are skew and monotone. As in the proof of (a) \Rightarrow (b) we obtain that $c(z) \leq 0$ for all $z \in L$ and $c(z') \leq 0$ for all $z' \in -L$; therefore, $c(z) = 0$ for every $z \in L$, that is, L is skew. The proof is complete. ■

Corollary 14 *Let $D \subset Z$ be a skew monotone double-cone. Then $L := \text{cl}_{w \times w^*}(\text{lin } D)$ is a skew $w \times w^*$ -closed linear space, $\psi_D = \psi_L = \iota_L$, $\varphi_D = \varphi_L = \iota_{L^\perp}$ and $[\psi_D = c] = \text{dom } \psi_D = L$. In particular, D is representable iff $D = L$, that is, D is linear and $w \times w^*$ -closed.*

Proof. Because $D^\perp = L^\perp$, using Proposition 12 (i) we obtain that $\psi_D = \psi_L = \iota_L$ and $\varphi_D = \varphi_L = \iota_{L^\perp}$. Moreover, by Proposition 13 we have that L is a skew linear space. It follows that $L \subset [\psi_L = c] = [\psi_D = c] \subset \text{dom } \psi_D = \text{dom } \psi_L = L$. Hence $L = [\psi_L = c]$, and so L is representable. If D is representable then $D = [\psi_D = c] = L$. The conclusion follows. ■

An immediate consequence of the previous result is that the affine hull of a skew monotone double-cone remains skew (and implicitly monotone). In section 5 we will see that there exists a monotone double-cone whose affine hull is not monotone. Moreover, Proposition 13 and Corollary 14 show that when dealing with skew monotone double-cones, we may assume without loss of generality that they are linear spaces, even $w \times w^*$ -closed linear spaces. For this reason in the sequel, in this section, we work only with skew linear spaces.

Proposition 15 *Let $S \subset Z$ be a skew linear space. TFAE: (a) S is NI, (b) $\text{cl}_{w \times w^*} S$ is NI, (c) $\text{cl}_{w \times w^*} S$ is maximal monotone, (d) S has a unique representable extension, (e) $-S^\perp$ is monotone.*

In this case

$$\text{cl}_{w \times w^*} S = [\psi_S = c] = [\varphi_S \leq c] = [\varphi_S = c] = \{z \in S^\perp \mid c(z) = 0\} = S_0, \quad (17)$$

S , $-S$, $-\text{cl}_{w \times w^} S$ are unique in Z , $\text{cl}_{w \times w^*} S$ is the unique maximal monotone extension and the unique representable extension of S in Z , and $-S^\perp$ is the unique maximal monotone extension, as well as the unique dual-representable extension of $-S$ and of $-\text{cl}_{w \times w^*} S$ in Z .*

Proof. By Corollary 14 we have that $L := \text{cl}_{w \times w^*} S = [\psi_S = c]$. The equivalence of conditions (a), (b) and (d) follows from Proposition 4 (ii), while the equivalence of (b) and (e) follows immediately from the relation $\varphi_S = \varphi_L = \iota_{L^\perp} = \iota_{S^\perp}$ mentioned in Corollary 14. In this case, from Proposition 4 (iii) and Corollary 14, we find (17).

Assume now that S is NI. By Proposition 4 (iii) S is unique with L its unique maximal monotone extension and unique representable extension.

Since S is NI we have that $-S^\perp = -L^\perp$ is monotone. Because S is skew, so is $-S$, and so $(-S)^\perp = [\varphi_{-S} \leq c] = [\varphi_{-L} \leq c] = [\iota_{-L^\perp} \leq c] = -L^\perp$ is monotone. According to Proposition 3 and Corollary 7, $-L^\perp$ is the unique maximal monotone extension and the unique dual representable extension of $-S$ and $-L$ in Z . ■

Direct consequences of the previous result follow.

Corollary 16 *Let $S \subset Z$ be a skew linear space such that S^\perp is skew. Then S is NI, $\text{cl}_{w \times w^*} S = S^\perp$ and S^\perp is the unique maximal monotone extension of S in Z .*

Proposition 17 *Let $S \subset Z$ be a skew linear space and $S_0 := [\varphi_S = c]$. TFAE: (i) S is unique, (ii) S_0 is monotone, (iii) S^\perp is monotone or $-S^\perp$ is monotone, (iv) S_0 is linear, (v) S_0 is convex, (vi) $\text{cl}_{w \times w^*} S$ is unique.*

In this case $S_0 = \text{cl}_{w \times w^} S$.*

Proof. By Corollary 14 we have that $L := \text{cl}_{w \times w^*} S$ is skew and $\varphi_S = \varphi_L$; hence (i) \Leftrightarrow (vi). Moreover, the implication (iv) \Rightarrow (v) is obvious.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) According to Proposition 3 it is clear that S unique implies that $S_0 \subset [\varphi_S \leq c]$ is monotone.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) For this assume that S^\perp and $-S^\perp$ are not monotone. Then there exist $z, z' \in S^\perp$ such that $c(z') < 0 < c(z)$. For $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\eta(t) := c(z + tz') = c(z) + t\langle z, z' \rangle + t^2 c(z')$ we have that $\eta(0) > 0$ and $\lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \eta(t) = -\infty$. Therefore there exist distinct $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\eta(t_1) = \eta(t_2) = 0$, and so $z_1 := z + t_1 z'$, $z_2 := z + t_2 z' \in S_0$. Since $c(z_1 - z_2) = (t_1 - t_2)^2 c(z') < 0$ we see that S_0 is not monotone.

The implication (iii) \Rightarrow (i) is straightforward from the second part of Proposition 15 applied for S or $-S$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv) If $-S^\perp$ is monotone, using by Proposition 15 we obtain that S is NI and $S_0 = L$ (see (17)). Similarly, if S^\perp is monotone then $-S_0 = (-S)_0 = -L$. Hence in both cases $S_0 = L$, and so S_0 is linear.

(v) \Rightarrow (ii) If S_0 is convex then ι_{S_0} is convex, $\iota_{S_0} \geq c$, and $S_0 = [\iota_{S_0} = c]$; hence S_0 is monotone. The proof is complete. \blacksquare

Proposition 18 *Let $S \subset Z$ be a skew linear space which is not unique. Then $S_0 = [\varphi_S = c]$ is an NI double cone which is neither monotone nor convex, S^\perp and $-S^\perp$ are not monotone, $\text{cl}_{w \times w^*} S$ is not unique, $(S_0)^\perp$ is skew, $\text{cl}_{w \times w^*} \text{conv } S_0 = S^\perp$, and*

$$S^{++} = (\text{cl}_{w \times w^*} S)^{++} = (S_0)^\perp = \text{cl}_{w \times w^*} S \subsetneq S_0 \subsetneq S^+. \quad (18)$$

Proof. Because $\varphi_S = \iota_{S^\perp}$ and $S_0 = [\varphi_S = c]$, it is clear that S_0 is a skew double-cone. Because $S \subset Z$ is a skew linear space which is not unique, by the preceding proposition, we know that $L := \text{cl}_{w \times w^*} S$ is not unique, S_0 is not monotone or convex, and S^\perp , $-S^\perp$ are not monotone. Because $S \subset [\psi_S = c] \subset [\varphi_S = c] = S_0 \subset S^\perp$ we get $L = S^{\perp\perp} \subset (S_0)^\perp \subset S^\perp$. Since S_0 is a skew double-cone we have $\varphi_{S_0} = \iota_{(S_0)^\perp}$.

Assume, by contradiction, that S_0 is not NI. Then, there is $z \in [\varphi_{S_0} < c]$, that is, $z \in (S_0)^\perp \subset S^\perp$ with $c(z) > 0$. Clearly z is m.r.t. S_0 . Because S^\perp is not monotone there is $z' \in S^\perp$ such that $c(z') < 0$. Let $\eta(t) := c(z + tz')$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that $\eta(0) = c(z) > 0$ and $\lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \eta(t) = -\infty$. Therefore there is $t_0 \neq 0$ such that $\eta(t_0) = 0$, i.e., $z_0 := z + t_0 z' \in S_0$. Since z is m.r.t. S_0 , we get the contradiction $c(z_0 - z) = t_0^2 c(z') \geq 0$. Therefore S_0 is NI and this translates into $\varphi_{S_0} \geq c$ or $-(S_0)^\perp$ is monotone.

From the equivalence of (i) and (iii) in Proposition 17 we have that $-S$ is not unique, and so, by the above argument, $-((-S)_0)^\perp = (S_0)^\perp$ is monotone. Hence $(S_0)^\perp$ is skew.

From Corollary 14 and (3) we have

$$S \subset L = [\psi_S = c] \subset [\varphi_S = c] = S_0 \subset S^+.$$

This leads to (see Lemma 2 (i))

$$\iota_L = \psi_S \geq \varphi_{S^+} \geq \varphi_{S_0} = \iota_{(S_0)^\perp}, \quad (19)$$

whence $L \subset (S_0)^\perp \subset S^\perp$.

Assume that $z \in (S_0)^\perp \setminus L$. By a separation theorem, there is $w \in S^\perp$ such that $z \cdot w \neq 0$. Since $z \in (S_0)^\perp \subset S^\perp$ we know that $w \notin S_0$, whence $c(w) \neq 0$. Notice that, since $(S_0)^\perp$ is skew, $c(z) = 0$ and so

$$c(z + \lambda_0 w) = \lambda_0 z \cdot w + \lambda_0^2 c(w) = 0, \text{ for } \lambda_0 := -\frac{1}{c(w)} z \cdot w \neq 0,$$

that is $z + \lambda_0 w \in S_0$. From $z \in (S_0)^\perp$ we get the contradiction $0 = z \cdot (z + \lambda_0 w) = \lambda_0 z \cdot w \neq 0$. Therefore $L = (S_0)^\perp$, and by (19), this yields $\psi_S = \varphi_{S^+} = \iota_L$. Since L is skew and S^+ is NI by Lemma 2 (ii), we deduce $S^{++} = [\varphi_{S^+} \leq c] = [\varphi_{S^+} = c] = L$. By Proposition 4 (i) we have $S^+ = [\psi_S = c]^+ = L^+$, and so $S^{++} = L^{++}$.

The strict inclusion $L \subsetneq S_0$ comes from the fact that L is a skew subspace while S_0 is not monotone, while the strict inclusion $S_0 \subsetneq S^+$ comes from the fact that $-S^\perp$ is not

monotone. The other conclusions follow from Proposition 17 while from $(S_0)^\perp = \text{cl}_{w \times w^*} S$ we find $\text{cl}_{w \times w^*} \text{conv } S_0 = (S_0)^{\perp\perp} = S^\perp$. ■

We comprise some of the information on a skew linear space (or skew monotone double-cone) $S \subset Z$ in the following chart:

1. S is representable iff S is linear and $w \times w^*$ -closed
2. S is NI iff $-S^\perp$ is monotone
3. S is unique iff S^\perp is monotone or $-S^\perp$ is monotone
4. S is unique and not NI iff S^\perp is monotone and non-skew
5. S is maximal monotone iff S is linear and $w \times w^*$ -closed, and $-S^\perp$ is monotone

These results on skew linear subspaces prefigure the results we will obtain in section 5 for linear multifunctions.

In the preceding results the topology $w \times w^*$ on Z can be replaced by any locally convex topology σ compatible with the natural duality (Z, Z) , that is, for which $(Z, \sigma)^* = Z$, for example the topology $\tau \times w^*$, where τ is the initial topology of X .

Example 19 In [10, page 89] Gossez considered the linear operator $T : \ell_1 \rightarrow \ell_\infty$ defined by $T((x_n)_{n \geq 1}) := (y_n)_{n \geq 1}$ with $y_n := \sum_{k \geq 1} x_k + x_n - 2 \sum_{k=1}^n x_k$. The operator T is skew, that is, $\langle x, Tx \rangle = 0$ for every $x \in \ell_1$, or equivalently, $\langle x, Ty \rangle = -\langle y, Tx \rangle$ for all $x, y \in \ell_1$ (in the duality of $\ell_1 \times \ell_\infty$). In fact for $x \in \ell_1$ we have that $Tx \in \mathfrak{c}$, the subspace of convergent sequences of ℓ_∞ . Indeed, $\lim Tx = -\sum_{k \geq 1} x_k = -\langle x, e \rangle$, where $e_n := 1$ for $n \geq 1$. Consequently, $T_1x := Tx + \langle x, e \rangle e \in \mathfrak{c}_0$ for every $x \in \ell_1$. From Proposition 23 it follows that both $\{(T_1x, x) \mid x \in \ell_1\} \subset \mathfrak{c}_0 \times \ell_1$ and $\{(x, T_1x) \mid x \in \ell_1\} \subset \ell_1 \times \ell_\infty$ are maximal monotone in the corresponding spaces.

Consider

$$S := \{(Tx, x) \mid x \in \ell_1, \langle x, e \rangle = 0\} \subset \mathfrak{c}_0 \times \ell_1, \quad (20)$$

$$R := -S = \{(-Tx, x) \mid x \in \ell_1, \langle x, e \rangle = 0\}. \quad (21)$$

Let us first determine S^\perp (in $\mathfrak{c}_0 \times \ell_1$). Consider $(u, v) \in S^\perp$. Then $\langle u, x \rangle_{\mathfrak{c}_0 \times \ell_1} + \langle Tx, v \rangle_{\mathfrak{c}_0 \times \ell_1} = 0$ for every $x \in \ell_1$ with $\langle x, e \rangle = 0$. Since $\langle u, x \rangle_{\mathfrak{c}_0 \times \ell_1} = \langle x, u \rangle$ and $\langle Tx, v \rangle_{\mathfrak{c}_0 \times \ell_1} = \langle v, Tx \rangle = -\langle x, Tv \rangle$, we obtain that

$$[x \in \ell_1, \langle x, e \rangle = 0] \Rightarrow \langle x, u - Tv \rangle = 0,$$

and so necessarily $u - Tv = \gamma e$, that is, $u = Tv + \gamma e$, for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $u \in \mathfrak{c}_0$, it follows that $\gamma = \langle v, e \rangle$. Hence $S^\perp \subset \{(Tv + \langle v, e \rangle e, v) \mid v \in \ell_1\}$. Conversely, if $v, x \in \ell_1$ and $\langle x, e \rangle = 0$ then

$$\langle Tx, v \rangle_{\mathfrak{c}_0 \times \ell_1} + \langle Tv + \langle v, e \rangle e, x \rangle_{\mathfrak{c}_0 \times \ell_1} = \langle v, Tx \rangle + \langle x, Tv \rangle + \langle v, e \rangle \langle x, e \rangle = 0,$$

which proves that

$$S^\perp = \{(Tv + \langle v, e \rangle e, v) \mid v \in \ell_1\}.$$

Moreover, $S = S^{\perp\perp}$. Indeed, because $S \subset S^{\perp\perp}$, let us prove the converse inclusion. Consider $(y, x) \in S^{\perp\perp}$. Then

$$0 = \langle y, v \rangle_{\mathfrak{c}_0 \times \ell_1} + \langle Tv + \langle v, e \rangle e, x \rangle_{\mathfrak{c}_0 \times \ell_1} = \langle v, y \rangle + \langle x, Tv + \langle v, e \rangle e \rangle = \langle y - Tx + \langle x, e \rangle e, v \rangle$$

for every $v \in \ell_1$, and so $y - Tx + \langle x, e \rangle e = 0$. Taking the limit we get $2\langle x, e \rangle = 0$, and so $y = Tx$. Therefore, $(y, x) \in S$, and so $S = \text{cl}_{w \times w^*} S = S^{\perp\perp}$. Since $R = -S$, we obtain that $R = \text{cl}_{w \times w^*} R = R^{\perp\perp}$, too.

We know that $\varphi_S = \iota_{S^\perp}$ and $\varphi_R = \iota_{R^\perp} = \iota_{-S^\perp}$. Because $\langle Tv + \langle v, e \rangle e, v \rangle = \langle v, e \rangle^2$ and $\langle v, e \rangle^2 > 0$ for certain v , we get that S^\perp is monotone and non-skew. Therefore, according to Propositions 15 and 17, S ($= \text{cl}_{w \times w^*} S$) is representable, unique and not NI with S^+ ($= S^\perp = \text{dom } \varphi_S$) its unique maximal monotone extension; moreover, S is not dual-representable and $S = [\varphi_S = c] = [\iota_{S^\perp} = c]$.

On the other hand, because $-R^\perp = S^\perp$ is monotone, by Proposition 15 we have that R ($= \text{cl}_{w \times w^*} R$) is maximal monotone.

Example 20 Let us consider the sets S, R defined in (20) and (21) as subsets of $\ell_\infty \times \ell_1$, ℓ_∞ being endowed with the w^* -topology and ℓ_1 with the weak topology. Then S and R are skew linear subspaces. The calculus above shows that $S^\perp = \{(Tv + \gamma e, v) \mid v \in \ell_1, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Then one obtains immediately that $S^{\perp\perp} = S$. Hence $S = \text{cl}_{w \times w^*} S = S^{\perp\perp}$ and $R = \text{cl}_{w \times w^*} R = R^{\perp\perp}$. It follows that S and R are representable (because $S = \text{cl}_{w \times w^*} S$ and $R = \text{cl}_{w \times w^*} R$), not unique (because S^\perp and $-S^\perp$ are not monotone), and consequently, not NI.

Remark 6 Because R is maximal monotone in $\mathfrak{c}_0 \times \ell_1$ but it is not NI in $\ell_\infty \times \ell_1$, it furnishes an example of maximal monotone operator which is not NI in the sense of Simons.

5 Monotone double-cones and monotone linear subspaces

In the beginning of this section we investigate monotone operators that admit affine maximal monotone extensions.

Lemma 21 *Every affine monotone subset of $X \times X^*$ admits an affine maximal monotone extension.*

Proof. Let L_0 be affine monotone in Z . Without loss of generality we assume that $0 \in L_0$. Consider

$$\mathcal{L} = \{L \subset Z \mid L_0 \subset L, L \text{ is a linear monotone subspace}\}$$

ordered by inclusion. Every chain $\{L_i\}_i$ in \mathcal{L} admits $\cup_i L_i$ as an upper bound in \mathcal{L} . By the Zorn Lemma there exists a maximal element in \mathcal{L} denoted by L .

Let $z \in L^+$ and $L' = L + \mathbb{R}z$. Clearly, L' is linear and $L' \supset L_0$. Moreover, for every $u \in L$ and every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ we have that $c(u + \alpha z) = c(u) \geq 0$ if $\alpha = 0$ and $c(u + \alpha z) = \alpha^2 c(z - \alpha^{-1}(-u)) \geq 0$ if $\alpha \neq 0$ since $z \in L^+$ and $\alpha^{-1}(-u) \in L$. Therefore $L' \in \mathcal{L}$, and so $L' = L$, whence $z \in L$. This proves that L is maximal monotone. ■

Theorem 22 *Every convex monotone subset of $X \times X^*$ admits an affine maximal monotone extension.*

Proof. Let T be convex monotone in $X \times X^*$. According to Proposition 5, $\text{aff } T$ is (affine and) monotone thus, by the previous lemma, it admits an affine maximal monotone extension that is also an extension for T . ■

The possibility of finding closed forms for the Fitzpatrick and Penot functions allowed us in the previous section to provide characterizations of the main classes of skew operators. Similar ideas are used in this section for the multi-valued linear monotone case; the goal being to offer criteria for a linear monotone operator to belong to a certain class in terms of its Penot and Fitzpatrick functions or through the monotonicity of sets directly associated to the operator via decomposition and orthogonality.

An important example of linear monotone subspace is that of non-negative single-valued linear operator, that is, $A : \text{dom } A = X \rightarrow X^*$ with $\langle x, Ax \rangle \geq 0$ for every $x \in X$; if $\langle x, Ax \rangle = 0$ for every $x \in X$ then A is skew. Of course, the linear operator $A : X \rightarrow X^*$ is skew iff A and $-A$ are non-negative. The next two results refer to such situations.

Proposition 23 (i) *If $A : X \rightarrow X^*$ is a non-negative linear operator then A is maximal monotone.*

(ii) *If $A' : X^* \rightarrow X$ is a non-negative linear operator, that is $\langle A'x^*, x^* \rangle \geq 0$ for every $x^* \in X^*$, then A' is maximal monotone.*

(iii) *Assume that X is a Banach space and let $A : X \rightarrow X^*$ and $A' : X^* \rightarrow X$ be non-negative operators. Then A and A' are continuous (for X and X^* endowed with the norm topologies).*

Proof. (i) Assume that $A : X \rightarrow X^*$ is a non-negative linear operator and let $(u, u^*) \in X \times X^*$ be m.r.t. A . Then $\langle u - x, u^* - Ax \rangle \geq 0$ for $x \in X$. Taking $x = u - ty$ with $t > 0$, $y \in X$ we get $\langle y, u^* - Au + tAy \rangle \geq 0$. Letting $t \rightarrow 0$, then replacing y by $-y$ we obtain that $\langle y, u^* - Au \rangle = 0$ for every $y \in X$. Hence $u^* = Au$, which shows that A is maximal monotone.

(ii) Apply (i) for A replaced by A' (or use a similar argument).

(iii) Assume now that X is a Banach space. Since A is maximal monotone, then $\text{gph } A$ is strongly closed and so A is continuous. Similarly for A' . ■

Note that the graph of a non-negative linear operator $A : X \rightarrow X^*$ is a non-negative linear subspace of Z and the graph of a skew linear operator $A : X \rightarrow X^*$ is a skew subspace of Z .

Proposition 24 *Let $S \subset Z$ be a skew linear subspace. Then $\text{Pr}_X(S) = X$ iff S is the graph of a skew linear operator $A : X \rightarrow X^*$. Hence if $\text{Pr}_X(S) = X$ then $S = S^\perp$ (in particular S is $w \times w^*$ -closed) and S is maximal monotone. Similarly, $\text{Pr}_{X^*}(S) = X^*$ iff $S^{-1} := \{(x^*, x) \mid (x, x^*) \in S\}$ is the graph of a skew linear operator $A' : X^* \rightarrow X$. Hence if $\text{Pr}_{X^*}(S) = X^*$ then $S = S^\perp$ and S is maximal monotone.*

Proof. Assume that $\text{Pr}_X(S) = X$. Let $u^* \in X^*$ be such that $(0, u^*) \in S$. For every $x \in X$ there exists $x^* \in X^*$ with $(x, x^*) \in S$. Because S is a linear subspace, $(x, x^* + u^*) \in S$. Hence $0 = \langle x, x^* + u^* \rangle = \langle x, x^* \rangle + \langle x, u^* \rangle = \langle x, u^* \rangle$. Since $x \in X$ is arbitrary, we get $u^* = 0$. It follows that, for every $x \in X$, $S(x) = \{Ax\}$ is a singleton. The operator A obtained in this way is a skew linear operator. From Proposition 23 we obtain that A is maximal monotone, and so S is maximal monotone. Similarly since $\text{Pr}_X(-S) = X$, we know that $-S$ is maximal monotone, therefore $-S$ is (NI). From Proposition 15 we have that $-(-S)^\perp = S^\perp$ is (the unique) maximal monotone extension of S , and so $S = S^\perp$.

Applying the previous result for S^{-1} in the case $\text{Pr}_{X^*}(S) = X^*$ or repeating the above argument we get the last assertion. ■

To a subset $A \subset Z$ we associate its

- *skew part* $S_A := \text{Skew}(A) := \{z \in A \mid c(z) = 0\} = A \cap [c = 0]$,
- *positive part* $P_A := \text{Pos}(A) := \{z \in A \mid c(z) > 0\} = A \cap [c > 0]$,
- *negative part* $N_A := \text{Neg}(A) := \{z \in A \mid c(z) < 0\} = A \cap [c < 0]$,
- *unitary part* $U_A := \text{Unit}(A) := \{z \in A \mid c(z) = 1\} = A \cap [c = 1]$,
- *crown* $C_A := \text{Crown}(A) = \text{cl}_{w \times w^*}(\text{conv } U_A)$;

clearly $A = S_A \cup P_A \cup N_A$.

We also use the notation $\mathbb{U} = [c = 1]$, $\mathbb{P} = [c > 0]$, $\mathbb{S} = [c = 0]$, and introduce the map

$$\zeta : \mathbb{P} \rightarrow Z, \quad \zeta(z) = z/\sqrt{c(z)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{P}). \quad (22)$$

Note that $c(\zeta(z)) = 1$ for every $z \in \mathbb{P}$, whence $\zeta(\mathbb{P}) = \mathbb{U}$, $\zeta(z) = z$ for every $z \in \mathbb{U}$, and $\zeta(tz) = \zeta(z)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^*$ and $z \in \mathbb{P}$, where $\mathbb{R}^* := \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

Whenever D is a double-cone, $\mathbb{R}S_D = S_D$, $\mathbb{R}^*P_D = P_D = (0, \infty)U_D = \mathbb{R}^*U_D$, $\mathbb{R}^*N_D = N_D$. Our analysis is based on the study of the Fitzpatrick and Penot functions for double-cones. Note that it is readily seen that whenever D is a double-cone with a nonempty negative part $N_D = D \cap [c < 0]$ its Fitzpatrick function is identically equal to $+\infty$ while its Penot function is improper; more precisely $\psi_D(z) = -\infty$ for $z \in \text{cl}_{w \times w^*}(\text{conv } D)$ and $\psi_D(z) = +\infty$ for $z \in Z \setminus \text{cl}_{w \times w^*}(\text{conv } D)$. Indeed, taking $z_0 \in N_D$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have that

$$\varphi_D(z) = \sup\{z \cdot z' - c(z') \mid z' \in D\} \geq \sup\{tz \cdot z_0 + t^2(-c(z_0)) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\} = \infty,$$

for all $z \in Z$. Hence $\varphi_L = \infty$ and $\varphi_L^\square = -\infty$.

That is why, from this point of view, it is natural to study double-cones D that are non-negative (i.e., with an empty negative part $D \cap [c < 0]$ or equivalently $c(z) \geq 0$, for every $z \in D$); in particular our main interests lie in studying monotone double-cones or linear operators. It is clear that two non-negative double-cones coincide if they have the same skew and unitary parts.

Recall that the *support function* to $A \subset Z$ is given by $\sigma_A(z) = \sup_{u \in A} z \cdot u$ for $z \in Z$, while $\text{bar } A := \text{dom } \sigma_A$ denotes the *barrier cone* of A , and $\text{bar } A = \text{bar}(\text{cl}_{w \times w^*}(\text{conv } A))$. By convention $\sup \emptyset := -\infty$ and $\inf \emptyset := +\infty$; hence $\sigma_\emptyset = -\infty$. Moreover, the *Minkowski functional* associated to $A \subset Z$ is given by $p_A(z) = \inf\{t > 0 \mid z \in tA\}$. It is well-known that, when A is a convex set containing 0, p_A is a sublinear function whose domain is \mathbb{R}_+A ; moreover, if A is symmetric then p_A is even, and so p_A is a semi-norm when restricted to its domain which is a linear space. When A is a symmetric closed convex set then $tA = [p_A \leq t]$ for every $t > 0$ and $[p_A = 0] = A_\infty$; in particular p_A is lsc. Recall that the *asymptotic cone* of the nonempty closed convex set $C \subset Z$ is $C_\infty = \bigcap_{t>0} t(C - c)$ for some (every) $c \in C$ and the *polar* of C is $C^\circ := [\sigma_C \leq 1]$. Of course, C_∞ is a closed convex cone; C_∞ is a linear space when C is symmetric. Recall also that $D_0 := D^\perp \cap [c = 0] = \text{Skew}(D^\perp)$. We also use the conventions $0 \cdot (-\infty) := 0$ and $0 \cdot (+\infty) := +\infty$; therefore $0f = \iota_{\text{dom } f}$.

Proposition 25 *Let $D \subset Z$ be a non-negative double-cone with skew part S , non-empty positive part P , unitary part U , and crown C . Then*

- (i) $\varphi_D = \frac{1}{4}\sigma_C^2 + \iota_{S^\perp}$, or in extended form:

$$\varphi_D(z) = \sup_{w \in P} \frac{|z \cdot w|^2}{4c(w)} \text{ if } z \in S^\perp, \quad \varphi_D(z) = \infty \text{ otherwise.}$$

- (ii) $\text{dom } \varphi_D = S^\perp \cap \text{bar } C$, and so $\text{dom } \varphi_D$ is a linear subspace of Z .
- (iii) $\varphi_D(tz) = t^2 \varphi_D(z)$, for all $z \in Z$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (iv) $\psi_D = \text{cl}_{w \times w^*} [p_C^2 \square \iota_{S^\perp}^*]$.
- (v) $\psi_D(tz) = t^2 \psi_D(z)$, for all $z \in Z$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\text{dom } \psi_D$ is a linear space, and $\text{lin } D \subset \text{dom } \psi_D \subset \text{cl}_{w \times w^*}(\text{lin } D)$.
- (vi) $[\varphi_D = 0] = D^\perp$, $[\sigma_C = 0] = C^\perp = U^\perp = P^\perp$, $D^\perp = S^\perp \cap C^\perp$.
- (vii) $D^+ = D_0 \cup (S^\perp \cap \zeta^{-1}(2C^\circ))$ with $\text{Skew}(D^+) = D_0 := \text{Skew}(D^\perp)$, $\text{Unit}(D^+) = (2C^\circ) \cap S^\perp \cap \mathbb{U}$ and $\text{Pos}(D^+) = S^\perp \cap \zeta^{-1}(2C^\circ)$.

Proof. (i) Let $z \in Z$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_D(z) &= \sup \{z \cdot z' - c(z') \mid z' \in S \cup \mathbb{R}U\} \\
&= \max \left(\sup \{z \cdot z' \mid z' \in S\}, \sup \{\lambda z \cdot z' - \lambda^2 \mid z' \in U, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\} \right) \\
&= \max \left(\iota_{S^\perp}(z), \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{4}(z \cdot z')^2 \mid z' \in U \right\} \right) = \max \left(\iota_{S^\perp}(z), \frac{1}{4}\sigma_U^2(z) \right) \\
&= \iota_{S^\perp}(z) + \frac{1}{4}\sigma_U^2(z) = \iota_{S^\perp}(z) + \frac{1}{4}\sigma_C^2(z).
\end{aligned}$$

The first part in (ii) is obvious, while the linearity of $\text{dom } \varphi_D$ and (iii) follow from the fact that σ_U is an extended seminorm (since $U = -U$).

(iv) Let us determine ψ_D . Because $\varphi_D = \frac{1}{4}\sigma_C^2 + \iota_{S^\perp}$, and $\sigma_C^2, \iota_{S^\perp}$ are proper ($w \times w^*$ -) lsc convex functions with $0 \in \text{dom } \sigma_C^2 \cap \text{dom } \iota_{S^\perp}$, we have that $\psi_D = \varphi_D^\square = \text{cl}_{w \times w^*} [(\frac{1}{4}\sigma_C^2)^* \square \iota_{S^\perp}^*]$. Clearly, $\iota_{S^\perp}^* = \iota_{\text{cl}_{w \times w^*}(\text{aff } S)}$.

The crown C is a symmetric set because so is U . Note that $\sigma_U^* = \sigma_C^* = \iota_C$ (see [32, Th. 2.4.14]). Let us determine $(\frac{1}{4}\sigma_C^2)^*$. To do this we apply [32, Th. 2.8.10 (iii)] for X replaced by $X \times X^*$, $Y = \mathbb{R}$, $Q = \mathbb{R}_+$, $f := 0$, $g(t) := 0$ for $t < 0$, $g(t) := \frac{1}{4}t^2$ for $t \geq 0$ and $H := \sigma_C$; clearly g is Q -increasing, convex and continuous, hence continuous at any $x_0 \in \text{dom } f \cap H^{-1}(\text{dom } g) = \text{dom } \sigma_C$. Moreover, $g^*(s) = \infty$ for $s < 0$ and $g^*(s) = s^2$ for $s \geq 0$. Applying [32, Th. 2.8.10 (iii)] we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\tfrac{1}{4}\sigma_C^2)^*(z) &= \min \{(s\sigma_C)^*(z) + g^*(s) \mid s \geq 0\} \\
&= \min \{(0\sigma_C)^*(z), \inf \{s(\sigma_C)^*(s^{-1}z) + s^2 \mid s > 0\}\} \\
&= \min \{(\iota_{\text{dom } \sigma_C})^*(z), \inf \{s\iota_C(s^{-1}z) + s^2 \mid s > 0\}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

But

$$\inf \{s\iota_C(s^{-1}z) + s^2 \mid s > 0\} = \inf \{s^2 \mid s > 0, (z) \in sC\} = (p_C(z))^2,$$

where p_C is the Minkowski functional associated to C ; p_C is a seminorm on the linear space $\text{dom } p_C = \mathbb{R}_+C$. Because for $f \in \Gamma(E)$ one has $f_\infty = \sigma_{\text{dom } f^*}$, we have that $\text{cl } \text{dom } f^* = \partial f_\infty(0)$ (see e.g. [32, Exer. 2.23]). Taking $f = \iota_C$, we obtain that $\text{cl}(\text{dom } \sigma_C) = \partial \iota_{C_\infty}(0) = (C_\infty)^0$. Hence $(\iota_{\text{dom } \sigma_C})^* = \iota_{(\text{dom } \sigma_C)^0} = \iota_{C_\infty}$. Since $0 \in C$ we have that $C = C + C_\infty \supset C_\infty$, and so $(p_C(z))^2 = 0 = (\iota_{\text{dom } \sigma_C})^*(z)$ for $(z) \in C_\infty$. Therefore,

$$(\tfrac{1}{4}\sigma_C^2)^*(z) = (p_C(z))^2 \quad \forall z \in Z.$$

It follows that $\psi_D = (\text{cl}_{w \times w^*} \mu)^2$, where $\mu := p_C \square \iota_L$ with $L := \text{cl}_{w \times w^*}(\text{lin } S)$.

(v) Because p_C and ι_L are symmetric sublinear functionals, so is μ ; hence $\text{cl}_{w \times w^*} \mu$ is a symmetric sublinear functional, too. It follows that $\text{dom } \psi_D = \text{dom } \mu$ is a linear space. The mentioned inclusions follow from the fact that $\psi_D = \text{cl}_{w \times w^*}(\text{conv } c_D) \leq c_D$, and so

$$D \subset [\psi_D = c] \subset \text{dom } \psi_D = \text{dom}(\text{cl}_{w \times w^*} c_D) \subset \text{cl}_{w \times w^*}(\text{conv}(\text{dom } c_D)) = \text{cl}_{w \times w^*}(\text{conv } D).$$

(vi) Since D is non-negative and $0 \in D$, clearly $D^\perp \subset [\varphi_D = 0]$. Let $z \in [\varphi_D = 0]$; then $z \cdot z' \leq c(z')$ for every $z' \in D$, whence $tz \cdot z' = z \cdot (tz') \leq c(tz') = t^2 c(z')$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z' \in D$. Dividing by $t > 0$, then by $t < 0$, and letting $t \rightarrow 0$ we get $z \cdot z' = 0$ for $z' \in D$, and so $z \in D^\perp$. Hence $D^\perp = [\varphi_D = 0]$. A simpler argument (using the symmetry of C) gives $[\sigma_C = 0] = C^\perp$. It is obvious that $P^\perp = U^\perp = C^\perp$. Thus, from $D = S \cup P$ we get $D^\perp = S^\perp \cap P^\perp = S^\perp \cap C^\perp$.

(vii) We know that $D^+ = [\varphi_D \leq c] = S^\perp \cap [\sigma_C^2 \leq 4c]$ is a non-negative double-cone. Hence $\text{Skew}([\sigma_C^2 \leq 4c]) = [\sigma_C = 0] \cap [c = 0] = C^\perp \cap [c = 0]$; therefore $\text{Skew}(D^+) = S^\perp \cap P^\perp \cap [c = 0] = \text{Skew}(D^\perp) =: D_0$ while $\text{Pos}([\sigma_C^2 \leq 4c]) = \zeta^{-1}(2C^\circ)$. The proof is complete. ■

Let us call a double-cone D *positive* if D is non-negative and $\text{Skew}(D) = \{0\}$. In this case $\varphi_D = \frac{1}{4}\sigma_C^2$, $\psi_D = p_C^2$, where $C = \text{Crown}(D)$, and $\text{Unit}(D^+) = (2C^\circ) \cap \mathbb{U}$, $D^+ = D_0 \cup \zeta^{-1}(2C^\circ)$. Therefore a positive double-cone D is monotone iff $D \subset D^+$ iff $C \subset 2C^\circ$.

Proposition 26 *Let $D \subset Z$ be a non-negative double-cone with skew part S , non-empty positive part P , unitary part U , and crown C . TFAE:*

- (a) D is monotone,
- (b) $\langle z, z' \rangle^2 \leq 4c(z) \cdot c(z')$ for all $z, z' \in D$,
- (c) $S \subset D_0$ and $U \subset S^\perp \cap (2C^\circ)$,
- (d) $C \subset S^\perp \cap (2C^\circ)$ and $S \subset S^\perp$.

In this case S is a skew monotone double-cone, $D \subset S^\perp$, and $\text{cl}_{w \times w^}(\text{conv } S) \subset D^\perp$.*

Proof. (a) \Leftrightarrow (b) Assume that D is monotone and fix $z, z' \in D$. Then $tz \in D$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and so

$$c(tz - z') = t^2 c(z) - t \langle z, z' \rangle + c(z') \geq 0 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R},$$

which is equivalent to $c(z), c(z') \geq 0$ and $\langle z, z' \rangle^2 \leq 4c(z) \cdot c(z')$.

(a) \Leftrightarrow (c) Both D and D^+ are non-negative double-cones with $\text{Skew}(D^+) = D_0$ and $\text{Pos}(D^+) = \zeta^{-1}(2C^\circ) \cap S^\perp$. Clearly, D is monotone iff $D \subset D^+$ iff $S \subset \text{Skew}(D^+)$ and $U \subset \text{Pos}(D^+)$. Note that $U \subset \zeta^{-1}(2C^\circ) \cap S^\perp$ iff $U \subset S^\perp \cap 2C^\circ$.

(c) \Leftrightarrow (d) The direct implication is obvious. For the converse we have to prove that $S \subset D_0$, or equivalently $S \subset D^\perp (= S^\perp \cap P^\perp)$. First observe that $P^\perp = U^\perp = C^\perp$. Since $C \subset S^\perp$, we have that $S \subset S^{\perp\perp} \subset C^\perp = P^\perp$. Since by our hypothesis we have $S \subset S^\perp$, we get $S \subset D^\perp$.

The inclusion $D \subset S^\perp$ ($\Leftrightarrow S \subset D^\perp$) follows from (b), while from $S \subset D^\perp$ we get $\text{cl}_{w \times w^*}(\text{conv } S) \subset D^\perp$. ■

Remark 7 It is interesting to observe that if $D \subset Z$ is a monotone double-cone, $\text{aff } L$ could be non-monotone. For this claim consider $X := \mathbb{R}^2$ identified with its dual, $z_1 := ((0, 1), (1, 1))$, $z_2 := ((1, 1), (1, 0))$, $z_3 := ((1, 0), (1, 0))$ and $d_i := \mathbb{R}z_i$. We have that $c(z_1) = c(z_2) = c(z_3) = 1 \geq 0$; moreover $\langle z_1, z_2 \rangle = \langle z_2, z_3 \rangle = 2$, $\langle z_1, z_3 \rangle = 1$, and so $\langle z_1, z_2 \rangle^2 \leq 4c(z_1) \cdot c(z_2)$, $\langle z_2, z_3 \rangle^2 \leq 4c(z_2) \cdot c(z_3)$, $\langle z_1, z_3 \rangle^2 \leq 4c(z_1) \cdot c(z_3)$. It follows that $D := \cup_{i=1}^3 \mathbb{R}z_i$ is a monotone double-cone. However, $z := z_1 - 2z_2 + z_3 = ((-1, -1), (0, 1)) \in \text{aff } D$ and $c(z) = -1 < 0$. Hence $\text{aff } L$ is not monotone.

It is clear that a linear subspace L is monotone iff L is non-negative iff $L = S_L \cup P_L$.

Theorem 27 *Let $L \subset Z$ be linear monotone subspace with skew part S , nonempty positive part P , unitary part U , and crown C . Then*

- (i) S is a skew linear subspace of Z .
- (ii) $S + U = U$, $S + P = P$ and $S \subset \text{conv} U \subset C$; in particular $S \subset C_\infty$, and so $\text{bar} U = \text{bar} C \subset S^\perp$.
- (iii) $\text{conv} U = \{z \in L \mid c(z) \leq 1\} = [c_L \leq 1]$.
- (iv) $\varphi_L = \frac{1}{4}\sigma_C^2$, $\text{dom} \varphi_L = \text{bar} C \subset S^\perp$ is a linear subspace of Z and $\varphi_L(tz) = t^2\varphi_L(z)$, for all $z \in Z$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (v) $\psi_L = p_C^2$, $C = [\psi_L \leq 1]$, $C_\infty = [\psi_L = 0]$, $\psi_L(tz) = t^2\psi_L(z)$ for all $z \in Z$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\text{dom} \psi_L = \mathbb{R}C$ is a linear space, and $L \subset \text{dom} \psi_L \subset \text{cl}_{w \times w^*} L$.
- (vi) $-C_\infty$ is a $w \times w^*$ -closed monotone linear subspace.
- (vii) If, moreover, L is $w \times w^*$ -closed then $C_\infty = S$; in particular C_∞ is skew and S is $w \times w^*$ -closed.

Proof. (i) From Proposition 26 we have that $L \subset S^\perp$. Consider $z, z' \in S$; then $z + z' \in L$ and $c(z + z') = c(z) + z \cdot z' + c(z') = 0$, and so $z + z' \in S$. Since S is a double-cone, it follows that S is linear.

(ii) Because $0 \in S$, clearly $U \subset S + U$. Let $z_0 \in S$ and $z \in U$. Since $z \in L \subset S^\perp$, we have that $c(z_0 + z) = c(z_0) + z_0 \cdot z + c(z) = c(z) = 1$; hence $z_0 + z \in U$. It follows that $S + U \subset U$, whence $S + U = U$. Similarly, $S + P = P$.

Fix $z_1 \in U$; hence $-z_1 \in U$. Then for $z_0 \in S$ we have that $z_0 + z_1, z_0 - z_1 \in U$, and so $z_0 = \frac{1}{2}(z_0 + z_1) + \frac{1}{2}(z_0 - z_1) \in \text{conv} U$. Hence $S \subset \text{conv} U \subset C$. Since C is a closed convex set containing 0 we obtain that $S \subset C_\infty$.

Because for $f \in \Gamma(E)$ one has $f_\infty = \sigma_{\text{dom} f^*}$, we have that $\text{cl}(\text{dom} f^*) = \partial f_\infty(0)$ (see e.g. [32, Exer. 2.23]). Taking $f = \iota_C$, we obtain that $\text{cl}(\text{bar} C) = \text{cl}_{w \times w^*}(\text{dom} \sigma_C) = \partial \iota_{C_\infty}(0) = (C_\infty)^\perp$. Hence $\text{bar} U = \text{bar} C \subset (C_\infty)^\perp \subset S^\perp$.

(iii) Let $z \in L$ be such that $t := c(z) \leq 1$. If $t = 0$ then $z \in S \subset \text{conv} U$. If $t > 0$ then $z' := t^{-1/2}z \in U \subset \text{conv} U$, and so $z = (1 - t^{1/2})0 + t^{1/2}z' \in \text{conv} U$. Hence $[c_L \leq 1] \subset \text{conv} U$. Since c_L is convex and $U \subset [c_L \leq 1]$ we also have $\text{conv} U \subset [c_L \leq 1]$.

(iv) & (v) From (ii) we see that $\text{dom} \sigma_C \subset S^\perp$; hence Proposition 25 gives $\varphi_L = \frac{1}{4}\sigma_C^2 + \iota_{S^\perp} = \frac{1}{4}\sigma_C^2$, and so $\psi_L = p_C^2$, $[\psi_L \leq 1] = [p_C \leq 1] = C$, $[\psi_L = 0] = [p_C = 0] = C_\infty$. The remaining properties follow from Proposition 25, too.

(vi) The set C_∞ is linear since C is symmetric. Also, $C_\infty = [\psi_L = 0]$ is dissipative, that is, $C_\infty \subset [c \leq 0]$ since $\psi_L \geq c$; hence $-C_\infty$ is also monotone.

(vii) Assume that L is $w \times w^*$ -closed. Then $C_\infty \subset C \subset L$, and so C_∞ is monotone. Using (vi) we obtain that C_∞ is skew, which implies $C_\infty \subset S$. From (ii) we get $C_\infty = S$. ■

We complete Theorem 27 with the following result.

Proposition 28 *Let $L \subset Z$ be a linear monotone subspace with skew part S , nonempty positive part P , unitary part U , and crown C . Then*

- (i) $[\psi_L = c]$ is linear and monotone, C is not a linear space and $C^\perp = L^\perp$; moreover,

$$[\psi_L = c] = \{z \mid c(z) \geq 0, z \in \sqrt{c(z)} \cdot C\},$$

where $0 \cdot C := C_\infty$, and

$$[\varphi_L = c] = \text{Skew}(L^\perp) \cup \zeta^{-1}([\sigma_C = 2]) = \text{Skew}(C^\perp) \cup \zeta^{-1}([\sigma_C = 2]).$$

- (ii) $\text{Skew}([\psi_L = c]) = \text{Skew}(C_\infty)$ and $\text{Skew}([\psi_L = c])$ is a $w \times w^*$ -closed (skew) and linear subspace, $\text{Pos}([\psi_L = c]) = \zeta^{-1}(C)$, $\text{Unit}([\psi_L = c]) = \text{Unit}(C)$, and $\text{Crown}([\psi_L = c]) = C$;

- (iii) $[\psi_L = c] \subset \text{dom} \psi_L = \mathbb{R}C \subset \text{cl}_{w \times w^*} L \subset S_{[\psi_L = c]}^\perp$, $\varphi_{[\psi_L = c]} = \frac{1}{4}\sigma_C^2$, $\psi_{[\psi_L = c]} = p_C^2$.

Proof. (i) To prove that $[\psi_L = c]$ is linear it suffices to show that $z + z' \in [\psi_L = c]$, for every $z, z' \in [\psi_L = c]$. To this end fix $z' \in L$. Then the function $\eta_{z'} : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ defined by

$$\eta_{z'}(z) = \psi_L(z + z') - z \cdot z' - c(z') \quad (z \in Z),$$

is proper convex and lsc. For $z \in L$ we have $z + z' \in L$, and so $\psi_L(z + z') = c(z + z') = c(z) + z \cdot z' + c(z')$. It follows that $\eta_{z'} \leq c_L$. This yields

$$\psi_L(z + z') \leq \psi_L(z) + z \cdot z' + c(z') \quad \forall z \in Z, \forall z' \in L.$$

Hence if $z \in [\psi_L = c]$ then $z + z' \in [\psi_L \leq c] = [\psi_L = c]$. We proved $z + z' \in [\psi_L = c]$ for all $z \in [\psi_L = c]$ and $z' \in L$. Now consider the function $\eta_{z'}$ from above with $z' \in [\psi_L = c]$ and repeat the argument to conclude that $[\psi_L = c]$ is linear.

The formula for $[\psi_L = c]$ follows from $\psi_L = p_C^2$ and Theorem 27 (v). Since $\varphi_L = \frac{1}{4}\sigma_C^2 \geq 0$ (and using Proposition 25 (vi)), we have that

$$[\varphi_L = c] = ([\sigma_C = 0] \cap [c = 0]) \cup ([\sigma_C^2 = 4c] \cap [c > 0]) = \text{Skew}(C^\perp) \cup \zeta^{-1}([\sigma_C = 2]).$$

By Theorem 27 (ii) we have $S \subset C$, whence $C^\perp \subset S^\perp$; using Proposition 25 (vi) we get $L^\perp = C^\perp \cap S^\perp = C^\perp$.

Assume that C is linear. Then $C = C_\infty = [\psi_L = 0]$. It follows that $c(z) \leq \psi_L(z) = 0$ for every $z \in C$, and so we get the contradiction $1 = c(z) \leq 0$ for every $z \in U$ ($\subset C$).

(ii) From Theorem 27 (v) we get

$$\text{Skew}([\psi_L = c]) = [\psi_L = c] \cap [c = 0] = [\psi_L = 0] \cap [c = 0] = C_\infty \cap [c = 0] = \text{Skew}(C_\infty).$$

One obtains similarly the other equalities. Because $-C_\infty$ is a $w \times w^*$ -closed monotone linear subspace, using Theorem 27 (vii) we obtain that $\text{Skew}(C_\infty) = -\text{Skew}(-C_\infty)$ is $w \times w^*$ -closed and linear.

(iii) All the facts are consequences of Theorem 27, Proposition 26, (i), and Proposition 4 (i). ■

In the sequel we discuss the representability of a linear subspace. Note that the smallest representable operator that contains a monotone double-cone D , namely $[\psi_D = c]$, is a (monotone) double-cone. In this case it makes sense to talk about the skew, positive, and unitary parts as well as the crown of $[\psi_D = c]$.

Proposition 29 *If $L \subset Z$ is linear and representable then S_L is a $w \times w^*$ -closed skew linear subspace.*

Proof. We know that S_L is a skew linear subspace from Theorem 27. Hence, by Proposition 13, $\text{cl}_{w \times w^*} S_L$ is skew and $\iota_{\text{cl}_{w \times w^*} S_L} = \psi_{S_L} \geq \psi_L \geq c$ because L is monotone. This yields $\text{cl}_{w \times w^*} S_L \subset [\psi_L = c] \cap [c = 0] = L \cap [c = 0] = S_L$. ■

Let us define the $w \times w^*$ -natural convergence (ν for short) of nets in Z as

$$z_i \rightarrow^\nu z \iff [c(z_i) \leq c(z) \quad \forall i \in I, \text{ and } z_i \rightarrow z, w \times w^* \text{ in } Z],$$

and the $w \times w^*$ -natural closure of a subset $A \subset Z$, denoted by $\text{cl}_\nu A := \{z \in Z \mid \exists (z_i)_i \subset A : z_i \rightarrow^\nu z\}$. A set $A \subset Z$ is called ν -closed if $A = \text{cl}_\nu A$. Similarly, a function $f : Z \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is ν -lsc if $f(z) \leq \lim_i f(z_i)$ whenever $z_i \rightarrow^\nu z$ ($z_i, z \in Z$).

Observe that the $w \times w^*$ -natural convergence introduced above is different of the convergence induced by the natural topology defined by Penot in [16].

Note that for every $T \in \mathcal{M}(X)$, $[\psi_T = c]$ is ν -closed; in particular every representable operator is ν -closed. Indeed, let $(z_i)_{i \in I} \subset [\psi_T = c]$ such that $z_i \rightarrow^\nu z \in Z$. Then

$$\psi_T(z) \leq \liminf_{i \in I} \psi_T(z_i) \leq \limsup_{i \in I} \psi_T(z_i) = \limsup_{i \in I} c_T(z_i) \leq c(z),$$

which proves that $z \in [\psi_T = c]$. Moreover $\psi_T(z) = \lim_i \psi_T(z_i)$ and similarly $f(z) = \lim_i f(z_i)$ for every $f \in \mathcal{R}_T$ and $(z_i)_{i \in I} \subset [f = c]$ with $z_i \rightarrow^\nu z$. The following theorem shows that a monotone linear subspace L of Z is representable iff L is ν -closed.

Theorem 30 *Let $L \subset Z$ be a linear monotone subspace with nonempty positive part. TFAE:*

- (i) L is representable,
- (ii) $\text{Unit}([\psi_L = c]) \subset L$,
- (iii) c_L is ν -lsc,
- (iv) L is ν -closed.

Proof. The implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is straightforward.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Let $z \in [\psi_L = c]$. If $c(z) > 0$ then $\frac{1}{\sqrt{c(z)}}z \in U_{[\psi_L = c]} \subset L$ and so $z \in L$. Since $[\psi_L = c]$ is linear (by Proposition 28 (i)) with nonempty positive part, if $c(z) = 0$, by Theorem 27 (ii), $z \in \text{Skew}([\psi_L = c]) \subset \text{conv}(\text{Unit}([\psi_L = c])) \subset L$. Hence $L = [\psi_L = c]$.

(i) \Rightarrow (iii) Assume that L is representable. To prove (iii) it is sufficient to consider the net $(z_i)_{i \in I} \subset L$ with $z_i \rightarrow^\nu z$, that is, $z_i \rightarrow z$ for the topology $w \times w^*$ and $c(z_i) \leq c(z)$ for every $i \in I$. Note that

$$c(z) \leq \psi_L(z) \leq \liminf_{i \in I} \psi_L(z_i) = \liminf_{i \in I} c(z_i) \leq c(z),$$

which yields that $z \in [\psi_L = c] = L$ and so c_L is ν -lsc.

The implication (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) is plain.

(iv) \Rightarrow (ii) Let $z \in \text{Unit}([\psi_L = c])$, that is, $\psi_L(z) = c(z) = 1$. Using Proposition 28 (ii) and Theorem 27 (iii) we get $z \in C = \text{cl}_{w \times w^*}[c_L \leq 1]$, and so there exists $(z_i)_{i \in I} \subset [c_L \leq 1]$ ($\subset L$) with $z_i \rightarrow z$ for the topology $w \times w^*$ in Z . Since $c(z) = 1$ and L is ν -closed we get $z \in L$. ■

Remark 8 Note that whenever S is a skew linear subspace of Z , $\text{cl}_\nu S = \text{cl}_{w \times w^*} S$ since $\text{cl}_\nu S \subset \text{cl}_{w \times w^*} S$ and $\text{cl}_{w \times w^*} S$ is skew. In this case S is representable iff S is $w \times w^*$ -closed, that is, we recover part of Corollary 14.

Corollary 31 *If $L \subset Z$ is a linear monotone and $w \times w^*$ -closed subspace then L is representable.*

Corollary 32 *If $L \subset Z$ is a linear representable subspace and $(z_i)_{i \in I} \subset L$ is such that $z_i \rightarrow^\nu z$, then $c(z) = \lim_i c(z_i)$, and $f(z) = \lim_i f(z_i)$ for every $f \in \mathcal{R}_L$.*

In the next result we characterize the uniqueness of monotone double-cones.

Proposition 33 *Let $D \subset Z$ be a monotone double-cone. Then D is unique iff $[\varphi_D = c]$ is monotone.*

Proof. According to Proposition 3 it is clear that D unique implies that $D_1 := [\varphi_D = c]$ is monotone. For the converse it suffices to prove that D non-unique implies that D_1 is non-monotone. Since D is not unique we can find $z_1, z_2 \in [\varphi_D \leq c]$ such that $c(z_1 - z_2) < 0$. Let $d := \{tz_1 + (1-t)z_2 \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be the line through z_1, z_2 ; because $z_1, z_2 \in \text{dom } \varphi_D$ and $\text{dom } \varphi_D$ is a linear space (see Proposition 25), we have that $d \subset \text{dom } \varphi_D$. Because $c(z_1 - z_2) < 0$ and φ_D is convex, the function $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto \eta(t) := (\varphi_D - c)(tz_1 + (1-t)z_2)$ is finite-valued, continuous and coercive, i.e., $\lim_{|t| \rightarrow +\infty} \eta(t) = +\infty$. Since $\eta(0) \leq 0$ and $\eta(1) \leq 0$, there exist $t_0 \leq 0$ and $t_1 \geq 1$ such that $\eta(t_0) = \eta(t_1) = 0$. Then $z'_1 := t_0 z_1 + (1-t_0)z_2 \in D_1$ and $z'_2 := t_1 z_1 + (1-t_1)z_2 \in D_1$. Because $c(z'_1 - z'_2) = (t_1 - t_0)^2 c(z_2 - z_1) < 0$, we have that D_1 is not monotone. ■

Corollary 34 *Let $L \subset Z$ be linear monotone with non-empty crown C . TFAE: (a) L is unique, (b) $[\varphi_L = c]$ is monotone; (c) $\zeta^{-1}(2C^\circ) \cup \text{Skew}(L^\perp)$ is monotone; (d) $\zeta^{-1}([\sigma_C = 2]) \cup \text{Skew}(L^\perp)$ is monotone.*

From Propositions 11 and 33 we get immediately the next result.

Corollary 35 *Let $D \subset Z$ be a monotone double-cone. Then D is NI iff either $[\varphi_D = c]$ is monotone and $\text{dom } \varphi_D$ is not monotone or $\text{dom } \varphi_D = [\varphi_D = c]$.*

This result together with Proposition 28 (i) and Remark 5 yield the next result.

Corollary 36 *Let $L \subset Z$ be linear monotone with non-empty crown C and set $M := [\varphi_L = c] = \zeta^{-1}([\sigma_C = 2]) \cup \text{Skew}(L^\perp)$. Then L is NI iff either M is monotone and $\text{bar } C$ is not monotone or $\varphi_L = c_M$.*

Remark 9 When S is a skew linear subspace of Z Corollary 35 spells S is NI iff either $S_0 := S^\perp \cap [c = 0]$ is monotone and S^\perp is not monotone or $S_0 = S^\perp$ (that is S^\perp is skew). According to Corollary 16 and Proposition 17, this comes to S is NI iff $-S^\perp$ is monotone, and we recover part of Proposition 15.

The following chart comprises the information on a linear non-skew monotone subspace L with crown C .

1. L is representable iff L is ν -closed
2. L is unique and not NI iff $\text{bar } C$ is monotone and $\zeta^{-1}([\sigma_C = 2]) \cup \text{Skew}(L^\perp) \subsetneq \text{bar } C$
3. L is unique iff $\zeta^{-1}([\sigma_C = 2]) \cup \text{Skew}(L^\perp)$ is monotone
4. L is NI iff either $\zeta^{-1}([\sigma_C = 2]) \cup \text{Skew}(L^\perp)$ is monotone and $\text{bar } C$ is not monotone
or $\varphi_L = c_{[\varphi_L = c]}$
5. L is maximal monotone iff L is ν -closed and NI

References

- [1] H.H. Bauschke, J.M. Borwein, Maximal monotonicity of dense type, local maximal monotonicity, and monotonicity of the conjugate are all the same for continuous linear operators. *Pacific J. Math.* 189 (1999), no. 1, 1–20.
- [2] H.H. Bauschke, S. Simons, Stronger maximal monotonicity properties of linear operators, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 60 (1999), no. 1, 163–174.
- [3] H.H. Bauschke, X. Wang, L. Yao, Monotone linear relations: Maximality and Fitzpatrick functions, arXiv:0805.4256v1 (2008).
- [4] J. M. Borwein, Maximality of sums of two maximal monotone operators in general Banach space. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 135, No. 12, 3917–3924 (2007).
- [5] J. M. Borwein, Maximal monotonicity via convex analysis. *J. Convex Anal.* 13, No. 3-4, 561–586 (2006).
- [6] F.E. Browder, The fixed point theory of multi-valued mappings in topological vector spaces. *Math. Ann.* 177, 283–301 (1968).
- [7] R. Burachik, B.F. Svaiter, Maximal monotonicity, conjugation and the duality product, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 131 (2003), 2379–2383.
- [8] S. Fitzpatrick, Representing monotone operators by convex functions, Workshop/Miniconference on Functional Analysis and Optimization (Canberra, 1988), in: *Proc. Centre Math. Anal. Austral. Nat. Univ.* 20, Austral. Nat. Univ., Canberra, 1988, pp. 59–65.
- [9] S. Fitzpatrick, R.R. Phelps, Some properties of maximal monotone operators on nonreflexive Banach spaces. *Set-Valued Anal.* 3 (1995), no. 1, 51–69.
- [10] J.-P. Gossez, On the range of a coercive maximal monotone operator in a nonreflexive Banach space. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 35 (1972), 67–70.
- [11] J.-P. Gossez, On the extensions to the bidual of a maximal monotone operator. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 62 (1977), 88–92.
- [12] R. Holmes, *Geometric functional analysis and its applications*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 24. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1975.
- [13] M. Marques Alves, B. F. Svaiter, Brønsted–Rockafellar Property and Maximality of Monotone Operators Representable by Convex Functions in Non-Reflexive Banach Spaces, *Journal of Convex Analysis*, Volume 15 (2008), No. 3, arXiv:0802.1895v1 (2008).
- [14] J. E. Martínez-Legaz, B.F. Svaiter, Monotone operators representable by l.s.c. convex functions, *Set-Valued Anal.* 13 (2005), 21–46.
- [15] J.-P. Penot, The relevance of convex analysis for the study of monotonicity, *Nonlinear Anal.* 58 (2004), 855–871.
- [16] J.-P. Penot, Natural closures, natural compositions and natural sums of monotone operators, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 89 (2008), 523–537.

- [17] J.-P. Penot, C. Zălinescu, Some problems about the representation of monotone operators by convex functions, ANZIAM J. 47 (2005), 1–20.
- [18] R. R. Phelps, S. Simons, Unbounded linear monotone operators on nonreflexive Banach spaces, J. Convex Anal. 5 (1998), no. 2, 303–328.
- [19] R. T. Rockafellar, Local boundedness of nonlinear, monotone operators. Michigan Math. J. 16 (1969), 397–407.
- [20] R. T. Rockafellar, Convex Analysis, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1970.
- [21] S. Simons, The range of a monotone operator, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 199 (1996), 176–201.
- [22] S. Simons, Minimax and monotonicity. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1693, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [23] S. Simons, Dualized and scaled Fitzpatrick functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 134 (2006), 2983–2987.
- [24] S. Simons, From Hahn–Banach to Monotonicity. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1693 (2nd Ed.), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
- [25] S. Simons, C. Zălinescu, A new proof for Rockafellar’s characterization of maximal monotone operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 132 (2004), 2969–2972.
- [26] M.D. Voisei, A maximality theorem for the sum of maximal monotone operators in non-reflexive Banach spaces, Math. Sci. Res. J., 10 (2) (2006), 36–41.
- [27] M.D. Voisei, The sum theorem for linear maximal monotone operators, Math. Sci. Res. J., 10 (4) (2006), 83–85.
- [28] M.D. Voisei, Calculus rules for maximal monotone operators in general Banach space, J. Convex Anal. 15 (2008), No. 1, 73–85.
- [29] M.D. Voisei, The sum and chain rules for maximal monotone operators, Set-Valued Anal. (online, Feb. 2007), DOI 10.1007/s11228-007-0042-z.
- [30] M.D. Voisei, C. Zălinescu, Strongly-representable operators, arXiv:0802.3640v1 (2008).
- [31] M.D. Voisei, C. Zălinescu, Maximal monotonicity criteria for the composition and the sum under minimal interiority conditions, preprint 2008.
- [32] C. Zălinescu, Convex Analysis in General Vector Spaces, World Scientific, Singapore (2002).