

Exact solution of two classes of prudent polygons

Uwe Schwerdtfeger

Fakultät für Mathematik
 Universität Bielefeld
 Postfach 10 01 31, 33501 Bielefeld, Germany

September 3, 2022

Abstract

Prudent walks are self-avoiding walks on the square lattice which never step into the direction of an already occupied vertex. We study the closed version of these walks, called prudent polygons, where the last vertex is adjacent to the first one. More precisely, we give the half-perimeter generating functions of two subclasses of prudent polygons, which turn out to be algebraic and non-D-finite, respectively.

1 Introduction

The enumeration self-avoiding walks (SAW) and polygons (SAP) [6] is a long standing combinatorial problem. Exact enumeration has lead to estimates for the exponential growth rate and subexponential corrections but an exact solution of either problem seems out of reach. Instead one tries to find an solve subclasses with large exponential growth rates. One such class are so-called *prudent walks* (PW), which are SAWs starting at the origin $(0,0)$ and never stepping towards an already occupied vertex. The full problem of PW is also unsolved, but recently M. Bousquet-Mélou in [2] enumerated a large subclass. We adopt the terminology of that paper. Every walk on the square lattice has a minimal bounding rectangle that contains it, referred to as the *box* of the walk. It is easy to see that each step of a prudent walk ends on the boundary of its current box (this is *not* a characterisation of PWs). This property allows the definition of three subclasses. Call a PW *one-sided*, if every step ends on the *top* side, *two-sided*, if every step ends on *top* or on the *right* side and *three-sided* if every step ends on the *left*, *top* or the *right* side of the box. These three classes are solved. The first class consists of partially directed walks and has a rational generating function. The second class was shown to have an algebraic generating function by E. Duchi [4] and recently in [2] the third class was solved and the generating function was found to be non-D-finite. A.J. Guttmann [3, 5] proposed to study




Figure 1: degenerate (left) and generic 2-sided PPs ending on the top of the box

the polygon version of the problem, meaning walks, whose last vertex is adjacent to the starting vertex. Note that the property of being prudent demands a starting vertex. Note further that a prudent polygon (PP) whose corresponding walk ends, say, on the right of the origin may never step right of the line $x = 1$, and furthermore if it hits that line it has to head directly to the vertex next to the origin. So prudent polygons are *directed* in the sense that they contain a corner of their box. Moreover, a k -sided PP can be interpreted as a $(k - 1)$ -sided PW confined in a half-plane. In this paper we deal with the polygon versions of the two- and three-sided walks, referred to as two- and three-sided PPs. Enumeration of one-sided PPs is trivial, since these are simply rows of unit cells. Andrew Rechnitzer [8] has shown that the anisotropic generating function of SAP cannot be D-finite. In this paper we give an explicit expression for the half-perimeter generating function of three-sided PPs and show that it is non-D-finite.

Outline: In Section 2 we give functional equations for the generating functions which are based on decompositions of the classes in question, in Section 3 we solve those and in Section 4 we study the analytic behaviour of the generating function for three-sided prudent polygons.

2 Functional equations

In the following we will count prudent polygons by half-perimeter and other auxiliary parameters. A two sided prudent polygon either ends at the vertex above the origin or at the vertex to the right of it. This partitions the two-sided PPs into two subsets, which can be transferred into each other by the reflection in the first diagonal. So it suffices to enumerate the prudent polygons ending on the top of their box. Here two cases occur, namely the “degenerate” case when the first steps of the walk are left. The resulting PP is simply a row of unit cells pointing to the left. These have a generating function $t^2/(1 - t)$. In the “generic case” such a PP is a bar graph turned upside down, i.e. a column convex polyomino containing the top side of its bounding box, c.f. Figure (2). Denote by $B(t, u, w)$ the generating function of bar graphs counted by half-perimeter, width and height of the rightmost column, marked by t , u and w respectively. Here w is the catalytic variable making the functional equation work. The width parameter will be important in the study of three-sided PPs. We follow the lines of [1].

Lemma 2.1. *The generating function $B(t, u, w)$ of bar graphs satisfies the functional equa-*




Figure 2: Functional equation for two-sided PPs

tion

$$B(t, u, w) = u \left(\frac{t^2 w}{1 - wt} + \frac{wt(B(t, u, 1) - B(t, u, w))}{1 - w} + \frac{B(t, u, w)t^2 w}{1 - wt} \right). \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. A bar graph is either a single column, or it is obtained by attaching a new column to the right side of a bar graph. The columns contribute $ut^2w/(1 - wt)$. The polygons obtained by adding a column which is shorter than or equal to the old rightmost column contribute the second summand. This is seen as follows. A polygon of half-perimeter n , width k and rightmost column height l contributing $t^n u^k w^l$ to $B(t, u, w)$ gives rise to l polygons whose rightmost column is shorter or equal. Their contribution sums up to

$$tu \sum_{j=1}^l t^n u^k w^j = tuw \frac{t^n u^k (1 - t^n u^k w^l)}{1 - w}. \quad (2.2)$$

Summing this over all polygons gives the second summand. The third summand corresponds to adding a larger column. To this end duplicate the rightmost column and attach a non-empty column below the so obtained new rightmost column. This finishes the proof. \square

Three-sided PPs can end at the vertex above the origin, which leads to two sorts of bar graphs either rooted on their left or on the right side. So only those three-sided PPs are of interest, which end on the left or the right side. Both classes are transformed into each other by a reflection. We study those ending on the right. Again there are the “degenerate” polygons, whose walk takes a number of down steps followed by one right step. Those are just single columns pointing downwards with generating function $t^2/(1 - t)$. In the generic case, a (possibly empty) sequence of initial down steps is followed by a left step. So denote by $R(t, u, w)$ the generating function of the generic three-sided PPs ending to the right of the origin counted half-perimeter, the length of the top row and the distance of the top left corner of the top row and the top left corner of the box, marked by t , u , and w , respectively. Here both u and w are catalytic variables.

Lemma 2.2. *The generating function $R(t, u, w)$ of generic three-sided PPs satisfies the*




Figure 3: Degenerate and generic three-sided PWs, catalytic variables

functional equation

$$\begin{aligned}
 R(t, u, w) &= ut(B(t, u) + t) + \frac{ut(R(t, w, w) - R(t, u, w))}{w - u} \\
 &+ \frac{t^2u(R(t, u, w) - R(t, u, ut))}{w - ut} + R(t, u, ut)ut(B(t, u) + t),
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where $B(t, u) := B(t, u, 1)$ is the generating function of bar graphs counted by half-perimeter and width.

Proof. The polygons in question contain the top right corner of their box. This corner is some point $(1, y)$. If $y = 1$, then the PP is either the unit square containing $(0, 0)$ and $(1, 1)$ or a bar graph as above with that unit square glued to the right. This yields the first summand. A PP with $y > 1$ is obtained in one of the following three ways from a PP with top right corner $(1, y - 1)$. The first is to add a new row on top, which is shorter than or equal to the original top row. A similar computation as in (2.2) (with some additional book keeping on w) yields the second summand. The second way to obtain a larger PP from a smaller one is adding a new row on top, which is longer than the original top row, but does not inflate the box to the left. Again a treatment similar to the computation in (2.2) yields the third summand. The third way to extend a PP is to add a row on top of length equal to the width of the box plus one and possibly an arbitrary bar graph. This finally yields the fourth summand and the functional equation is complete. \square

3 Solution by the kernel method

The following result has already been obtained in [7] as the solution of an algebraic equation which comes from a different decomposition of the class. We derive it as an application of the “classic” kernel method as applied in [1]. We recall it here for completeness.

Theorem 3.1. *The generating function $B(t, u) := B(t, u, 1)$ of bar graphs counted by half-perimeter and width is equal to*

$$B(t, u) = \frac{1 - t - u(1 + t)t - \sqrt{t^2(1 - t)^2u^2 - 2t(1 - t^2)u + (1 - t)^2}}{2tu}. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. The functional equation 2.1 is equivalent to

$$0 = (t^2uw(1-w) - uwt(1-wt) - 1) B(t, u, w) + tuw(1-wt)B(t, u, 1) + t^2uw(1-w) \quad (3.2)$$

The kernel equation

$$0 = (t^2uw(1-w) - uwt(1-wt) - 1)$$

is a quadratic equation in the catalytic variable w and has the following unique *power series* solution $q(t, u)$

$$q(t, u) = \frac{1 + (1-u)t + ut^2 - \sqrt{t^2(1-t)^2u^2 - 2t(1-t^2)u + (1-t)^2}}{2t}. \quad (3.3)$$

Upon substituting $w = q(t, u)$ into Eq. (3.2), the terms with $B(t, u, w)$ are cancelled and we can solve for $B(t, u, 1)$, which leads to (3.1). \square

Remark. In principle, $B(t, u, w)$ can also be computed, by substituting the result for $B(t, u, 1)$ into Eq. (3.2).

By setting $u = w = 1$ in bar graph generating function, adding the contribution of the degenerate two-sided PPs and multiplication with 2, we obtain the following result so far only conjectured based on series data extrapolation from exact enumeration [3].

Corollary 3.1. *The generating function of two-sided prudent polygons is equal to*

$$PP_2(t) = \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{1 - 3t + t^2 + 3t^3}{1-t} - \sqrt{1 - 4t + 2t^2 + t^4} \right). \quad (3.4)$$

Now we turn to the three-sided case. Note that the sum of the catalytic counting parameters, namely the length of the top row and the distance of its top left corner to the top left corner of the box, is equal to the width of the polygon. We have the following result for the generic three-sided PPs ending on the right. It is derived in a similar way as the corresponding result on PWs in [2].

Theorem 3.2. *The generating function $R(t, w, w)$ of generic three-sided prudent polygons ending on the right counted by half-perimeter and width is equal to*

$$R(t, w, w) = \sum_{k \geq 0} L \left((tq^2)^k w \right) \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} K \left((tq^2)^j w \right). \quad (3.5)$$

Here

$$q := q(t, 1) = \frac{t^2 + 1 - \sqrt{1 - 4t + 2t^2 + t^4}}{2t}, \quad (3.6)$$

with $q(t, u)$ as in (3.3) in the proof of Theorem 3.1. K and L are given by

$$K(w) = \frac{(1-t)q - 1 - ((1-t+t^2)q - 1)(B(t, qw) + t)w}{1 - t(1+t)q - (t(1-t-t^3)q + t^2)(B(t, qw) + t)w} \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$L(w) = \frac{(1 + t^2 - (1 - 2t + 2t^2 + t^4)q)(B(t, qw) + t)w}{1 - t(1 + t)q - (t(1 - t - t^3)q + t^2)(B(t, qw) + t)w}, \quad (3.8)$$

where $B(t, u)$ is the generating function of bar graphs as in (3.1).

Proof. The functional equation (2.3) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & (t^2(w - u) - ut(w - ut) - (w - u)(w - ut)) R(t, u, w) \\ & + (ut(B(t, u) + t)(w - u)(w - ut) - t^2u(w - u)) R(t, u, ut) \\ & + ut(w - ut)R(t, w, w) \\ & + ut(w - u)(w - ut)(B(t, u) + t). \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Solve the kernel equation

$$(t^2(w - u) - ut(w - ut) - (w - u)(w - ut)) = 0$$

for u and w . The power series solutions are $U(t, w) = q(t)w$ resp. $W(t, u) = q(t)tu$, with $q(t)$ as in (3.6). We substitute $w = W(t, u)$ in Eq. (3.9) and obtain an expression for $R(t, u, ut)$ in terms of $R(t, qtu, qtu)$, namely

$$R(t, u, ut) = \frac{(1 - q)R(t, qtu, qtu) + (1 - t)tq(B(t, u) + t)u}{1 - qt - (1 - t)tq(B(t, u) + t)u}. \quad (3.10)$$

Substitute this into Eq. (3.9) and set $u = U(t, w)$. This relates $R(t, w, w)$ and $R(t, wtq^2, wtq^2)$ as follows:

$$R(t, w, w) = K(w) \cdot R(t, wtq^2, wtq^2) + L(w), \quad (3.11)$$

with $K(w)$ and $L(w)$ as in (3.7) and (3.8). $K(w)$ and $L(w)$ are a formal power series in t , which is seen as follows: $B(t, qw)$ is well-defined as a formal power series in t as $[t^N]B(t, u)$ is a polynomial in u of degree at most $N - 1$. Furthermore from the combinatorial meaning of B we see $B(t, u) = t^2u + O(t^3)$. The denominator is now easily seen to be $1 + O(t)$, so both $K(w)$ and $L(w)$ are well-defined as formal power series in t . Inspecting the first few coefficients we see $(1 - t)q - 1 = O(t^3)$ and $1 - (1 - t + t^2)q = O(t^2)$, so the numerator of $K(w)$ is $O(t^3)$. In a similar way the numerator of $L(w)$ is seen to be $w \cdot O(t^2)$. Moreover we have $tq^2 = t + O(t^2)$. So we can iterate Eq. (3.11) and obtain formula (3.5). In principle one could now also compute $R(t, u, w)$. \square

Remark. In the course of the computations we occasionally expressed higher powers of q in terms of q :

$$\begin{aligned} q^2 &= (t(t^2 + 1)q - t) / t^2, \\ q^3 &= (t(t^4 + 2t^2 - t + 1)q - t^3 - t) / t^3, \\ q^4 &= (tq(t^6 + 3t^4 - 2t^3 + 3t^2 - 2t + 1) - t + t^2 - 2t^3 - t^5) / t^4. \end{aligned}$$

To obtain the generating function of all three-sided PPs we sum up the contributions of the degenerate PPs and those ending on top and multiply by two:

$$PP_3(t) = 2 \left(\frac{t^2}{1 - t} + B(t, 1) + R(t, 1, 1) \right).$$

4 Analytic properties of the generating functions

So far we have considered the generating functions in question as *formal* power series. A crude estimate on the number of SAPs of half-perimeter n is 4^{2n} which is the number of all walks on the square lattice of length $2n$, self-avoiding or not. So the series $PP_2(t)$ and $PP_3(t)$ converge at least in the disc $\{|t| < 1/16\}$ and represent analytic functions there. This section deals with the analytic structure of these functions. We first discuss the analytic structure of the generating function of two-sided PPs.

Proposition 4.1. *The generating function $PP_2(t)$ is algebraic of degree 2, with its dominant singularity a square root singularity at $t = \rho$, where*

$$\rho = \frac{\left(\sqrt[3]{26 + 6\sqrt{33}}\right)^2 - \sqrt[3]{26 + 6\sqrt{33}} - 8}{3\sqrt[3]{26 + 6\sqrt{33}}} = 0.29\dots \quad (4.1)$$

is the unique real root of the equation

$$0 = 1 - 3t - t^2 - t^3 = \frac{1 - 4t + 2t^2 + t^4}{1 - t}. \quad (4.2)$$

The analytic structure of $PP_3(t)$ is far more complicated which is due to the analytic structure of $R(t, 1, 1)$. For its study we first take a look at the analytic behaviour of $B\left(t, q(q^2t)^N\right)$ and $B(t, qw)$.

Lemma 4.2. *For $N \geq 0$ the dominant singularity of $B\left(t, q(q^2t)^N\right)$ is σ_N , which is the solution of the equation*

$$u(t) - q(q^2t)^N = \frac{1}{t} \cdot \frac{1 - \sqrt{t}}{1 + \sqrt{t}} - q(q^2t)^N = 0$$

The sequence $\{\sigma_N, N \geq 0\}$ is monotonically increasing and converges to ρ . Furthermore $B(t, qw)$ is analytic in the polydisc $\{|t| < \rho\} \times \{|t| < \sqrt{\rho}\}$.

Proof. $B(t, u)$ is singular when

$$t^2(1-t)^2u^2 - 2t(1-t^2)u + (1-t)^2 = 0$$

The relevant solution $u(t)$ with $u(\rho) = 1$ is

$$u(t) = \frac{1}{t} \cdot \frac{1 - \sqrt{t}}{1 + \sqrt{t}}. \quad (4.3)$$

$B\left(t, q(q^2t)^N\right)$ is singular when $q(q^2t)^N = u(t)$. This equation has a solution σ_N in the interval $(0, \rho)$, as $u(t) \rightarrow 1$ and $q(q^2t)^N \rightarrow (\rho^2 + 1)/2\rho = 1/\sqrt{\rho} > 1$, when $t \rightarrow \rho$. Here

u is strictly decreasing and $q(q^2t)^N$ strictly increasing. We further see that σ_N converges to ρ , as for arbitrary fixed t with $0 < t < \rho$ we can choose N large enough, such that $u(t) > q(q^2t)^N$, see Lemma 4.3. So $\sigma_N \geq t$, which shows the convergence. Monotonicity follows, as $q(q^2t)^{N+1} < q(q^2t)^N$ for $t \in (0, \rho)$. All these singularities are square root singularities, as the expressions under the root are analytic in $|t| < \rho$. $B(t, qw)$ is singular, if $w = u(t)/q$ and hence

$$|w| = \frac{|u(t)|}{|q|} \geq \sqrt{\rho}u(\rho) = \sqrt{\rho},$$

with equality if and only if $t = \rho$. So there is no singularity inside the polydisc. \square

Theorem 4.1. *The function $R(t, 1, 1)$ is analytic in the disc $\{|t| < \sigma := \sigma_0\}$ with its unique dominant singularity a square root singularity at σ . Moreover it is meromorphic in the slit disc*

$$D_{\sigma, \rho} = \{|t| < \rho\} \setminus [\sigma, \rho),$$

and it has infinitely many singularities in the set $\{\sigma_N, N = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. In particular, $R(t, 1, 1)$ is not D -finite.

We will make frequent use of the following facts about q :

Lemma 4.3. *The series q , $(1-t)q - 1$, q^2t , $t(1+t)q$ and $t(1-t-t^3)q + t^2$ have non-negative integer coefficients. We have the estimates*

$$|q| \leq \frac{|t|^2 + 1}{2|t|}, \quad |q^2t| \leq 1, \quad |(1-t)q - 1| \leq \rho, \quad |1 - t(1+t)q| \geq \rho \quad (4.4)$$

for $|t| \leq \rho$. Equality holds if and only if $t = \rho$. Furthermore

$$q(\rho) = \frac{\rho^2 + 1}{2\rho} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}} \quad (4.5)$$

Among the possible singularities of $R(t, 1, 1)$ in $D_{\sigma, \rho}$ are zeroes of the denominators of $K(w)$ and $L(w)$, places, where the representation (3.5) diverges, and square root singularities of $B\left(t, q(q^2t)^N\right)$.

Now we can investigate the analytic properties of the single summands in the representation (3.5).

Lemma 4.4. 1. $K\left((q^2t)^N\right)$ and $L\left(t, (q^2t)^N\right)$ are analytic in $\{|t| < \sigma_N\}$.

2. $K\left((q^2t)^N w\right)$ and $L\left(t, (q^2t)^N w\right)$ are analytic in $\{|t| < \rho\} \times \{|w| < \sqrt{\rho}\}$.

Proof. With the above definition of $u(t)$ and a short computation we obtain the estimate

$$\left|B\left(t, q(q^2t)^N\right)\right| < B(|t|, u(|t|)) = \sqrt{|t|}. \quad (4.6)$$

The denominator of $K(w)$ and $L(w)$ is

$$1 - T(t, w) = 1 - t(1+t)q - (t(1-t-t^3)q + t^2)(B(t, qw) + t)w.$$

$T(t, w)$ is a series in t and w with non-negative coefficients and $T(0, w) = 0$. Hence we have the estimate

$$T\left(t, (q^2t)^N\right) \leq T\left(\sigma_N, (q(\sigma_N)^2\sigma_N)^N\right) \leq T\left(\sigma_N, \frac{u(\sigma_N)}{q(\sigma_N)}\right).$$

A computation shows that the function $1 - T(t, u(t)/q(t))$

$$1 - T\left(t, \frac{u(t)}{q(t)}\right) = 1 - t(1+t)q - (t(1-t-t^3)q + t^2)(\sqrt{t} + t)\frac{u(t)}{q(t)}$$

has no zeroes in $[\sigma, \rho]$. This finishes the proof of the first assertion, as $K\left((q^2t)^N\right)$ and $L\left((q^2t)^N\right)$ do not have poles inside $\{|t| < \sigma_N\}$. Furthermore, the denominator $1 - T\left(t, (q^2t)^N w\right)$ is analytic in the polydisc $\{|t| < \rho\} \times \{|w| < \sqrt{\rho}\}$, with the only singular point $(t, w) = (\rho, \sqrt{\rho})$ on its boundary. As above we see

$$\left|T\left(t, (q^2t)^N w\right)\right| \leq T(|t|, |w|) \leq T\left(\rho, \frac{u(\rho)}{q(\rho)}\right) = T(\rho, \sqrt{\rho}),$$

and hence the denominator is non-zero in the domain in question and $K\left((q^2t)^N w\right)$ and $L\left((q^2t)^N w\right)$ are both analytic in the polydisc. \square

Lemma 4.5. 1. *The representation (3.5) of $R(t, 1, 1)$ as a series of algebraic functions converges compactly in the slit disc $\{|t| < \rho\} \setminus [\sigma, \rho]$ to a meromorphic function.*

2. *Furthermore the respective representation of $R(t, w, w)$ converges compactly in the polydisc $\{|t| < \rho\} \times \{|w| < \sqrt{\rho}\}$ to an analytic function.*

3. *The Taylor expansion of $R(t, w, w)$ about $(t, w) = (0, 0)$ converges absolutely in $\{|t| < \rho\} \times \{|w| < \sqrt{\rho}\}$.*

Proof. For the first assertion choose $0 < r < \rho$. We look at the disc $\{|t| \leq r\}$. The term independent of w in the numerator of $K(w)$ is strictly less than ρ for $|t| \leq r$ and the corresponding term in the denominator is strictly larger than ρ , see Lemma 4.3. So we can chose N large such that $\sigma_N > r$ and $\left|K\left((q^2t)^N\right)\right| < 1$ for $|t| \leq r$. Split the series at N . The summands for $k = 0, \dots, N-1$ sum up to a function which is meromorphic in the slit disc $\{|t| \leq r\} \setminus [\sigma, r]$. In the rest of the series take out the common factors to obtain

$$\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} K\left((tq^2)^j\right) \sum_{k \geq 0} L\left((tq^2)^{N+k}\right) \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} K\left((tq^2)^{N+j}\right). \quad (4.7)$$

The first product is a meromorphic function in the slit disc. $L\left((tq^2)^{N+k}\right)$ is easily seen to converge uniformly to 0 in $|t| \leq r$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. In $|t| \leq r$ all summands are holomorphic (see the above discussion) and the sum can be estimated by a geometric series and hence converges uniformly in the compact disc $\{|t| \leq r\}$. By Montel's theorem the limit of the sum is again analytic. This finishes the proof for the first assertion. The second assertion is shown along the same lines. By the multivariate version of Montel's theorem [9] the limit function is also analytic in the domain in question and thus the third assertion follows. \square

Lemma 4.6. *$R(t, 1, 1)$ is singular at infinitely many of the σ_N , i.e. at most finitely many singular terms cancel. Furthermore, $R(t, 1, 1)$ is singular at σ .*

Proof. Terms singular at σ_N only show up in the summands for $k \geq N$. The sum of these (4.7) is equal to

$$\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} K\left((tq^2)^j\right) \left[L\left((tq^2)^N\right) + K\left((tq^2)^N\right) R\left(t, (tq^2)^{N+1}, (tq^2)^{N+1}\right) \right]. \quad (4.8)$$

In order to show that the singularity σ_N does not cancel, the product is of no interest. We first multiply the numerators and denominators of $K(w)$ and $L(w)$ with the “conjugate” of the denominator, i.e. the “ $-$ ” in front of the square root expression ϕ is replaced by “ $+$ ”, where

$$\phi = \sqrt{t^2(1-t)^2(qw)^2 - 2t(1-t^2)qw + (1-t)^2}.$$

The denominator is now analytic in the disc $\{|t| < \rho\}$. When collecting terms with ϕ in the numerators of $K(w)$ and $L(w)$, the prefactors of ϕ turn out to be $S_K(t)/2q$ and $-S_L(t)/2q$, respectively, where

$$\begin{aligned} S_K(t) &= 1 - 2t + 2t^2 + t^4 - (1 - 3t + 3t^2 - 3t^3 + 3t^4 + t^6)q, \\ S_L(t) &= t(1 + t - 2t^2 - t^3 - t^4) - t^2(2 + t^2 - 3t^3 - t^4 - t^5)q. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

Notice, that these do not depend on w . To prove that there are infinitely many singularities, we show that

$$\frac{S_L(\sigma_N)}{S_K(\sigma_N)} - R\left(\sigma_N, (\sigma_N q (\sigma_N)^2)^{N+1}, (\sigma_N q (\sigma_N)^2)^{N+1}\right) = 0 \quad (4.10)$$

holds for at most finitely many N . A computation shows, that S_L/S_K is *decreasing* in the interval (σ, ρ) , and that $S_L(t)/S_K(t) \rightarrow \sqrt{\rho} > 0.54$, when $t \rightarrow \rho$. On the other hand we show, that the sequence $R\left(\sigma_N, (\sigma_N q (\sigma_N)^2)^{N+1}, (\sigma_N q (\sigma_N)^2)^{N+1}\right)$ is ultimately *strictly increasing* and hence equation (4.10) can hold for at most finitely many N . The Taylor expansion of $R(t, w, w)$ about $(0, 0)$ has non-negative coefficients and converges in the polydisc $\{|t| < \rho\} \times \{|w| < \sqrt{\rho}\}$. By the definition of σ_N and $u(t)$ we have

$$(\sigma_N q (\sigma_N)^2)^{N+1} = u(\sigma_N) q (\sigma_N) \sigma_N$$

The rhs is ultimately strictly increasing for large N and converges to $\sqrt{\rho}$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. The sequence σ_N is also strictly increasing by Lemma 4.2. So for large enough N the sequence $R\left(\sigma_N, (\sigma_N q (\sigma_N)^2)^{N+1}, (\sigma_N q (\sigma_N)^2)^{N+1}\right)$ is strictly increasing. □

Acknowledgements

Part of this work was done during a stay of the author at the University of Melbourne. He would like to thank the members of the Statistical Mechanics and Combinatorics group for their kind hospitality. In particular he would like to thank Tony Guttmann for introducing the problem to him. He thanks Christoph Richard for helpful comments on the manuscript. He would also like to acknowledge financial support by the German Research Council (DFG) within CRC 701.

References

- [1] M. Bousquet-Mélou, A method for the enumeration of various classes of column-convex polygons, *Discrete Math.* **154** (1996), 1-25.
- [2] M. Bousquet-Mélou, Families of prudent self-avoiding walks (2008), arxiv: math.CO 0804.4843.
- [3] J. Dethridge, A.J. Guttmann and I. Jensen. Prudent self-avoiding walks and polygons. In *Random Polymers*, EURANDOM, Eindhoven, The Netherlands, June 2007. (www.eurandom.tue.nl/workshops/2007/Random%20Polymers/guttmann.pdf)
- [4] E. Duchi, On some classes of prudent walks, in *FPSAC'05*, Taormina, Italy, 2005.
- [5] A.J. Guttmann, Some solvable, and as yet unsolvable, polygon and walk models, *IOP, J. Phys.:* Conference Series **42** (2006).
- [6] N. Madras, G. Slade, *The self-avoiding walk*, Probability and Its Applications. Boston, MA: Birkhuser. xiv, 425 p. (1993)
- [7] T. Prellberg and R. Brak, Critical exponents from nonlinear functional equations for partially directed cluster models, *J. Stat. Phys.* **78**, No. 3-4, 701-730 (1995).
- [8] Haruspicy 2: The anisotropic generating function of self-avoiding polygons is not D-finite, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A* **113**, Issue 3, 520-546 (2006)
- [9] V. Scheidemann, *Introduction to complex analysis in several variables*, Birkhäuser, 2005.