

A joint model for the emission and absorption properties of damped Ly α absorption systems

Luke A. Barnes^{*} and Martin G. Haehnelt

Institute of Astronomy, Madingley Road, Cambridge, CB3 0HA

not yet submitted

ABSTRACT

The recently discovered population of ultra-faint extended line emitters, with fluxes of a few times 10^{-18} erg s⁻¹ cm⁻² at $z \sim 3$, can account for the majority of the incidence rate of Damped Ly α systems (DLAs) at this redshift if the line emission is interpreted as Ly α . We show here that a model similar to that proposed by Haehnelt, Steinmetz, & Rauch (2000), which explains the incidence rate and kinematics of DLAs in the context of Λ CDM models for structure formation, also reproduces the size distribution of the new population of faint Ly α emitters for plausible parameters. This lends further support to the interpretation of the emission as Ly α , as well as the identification of the emitters with the hitherto elusive population of DLA host galaxies. The observed incidence rate of DLAs together with the observed space density and size distribution of the emitters suggest a duty cycle of $\sim 0.2 - 0.4$ for the Ly α emission from DLA host galaxies. We further show that Ly α cooling is expected to contribute little to the Ly α emission for the majority of emitters. This leaves centrally concentrated star formation at a rate of a few tenths $M_{\odot}\text{yr}^{-1}$, surrounded by extended Ly α halos with radii up to 30-50 kpc, as the most plausible explanation for the origin of the emission. Both the luminosity function of Ly α emission and the velocity width distribution of low ionization absorption require that galaxies inside Dark Matter (DM) halos with virial velocities $\lesssim 50 - 70$ km s⁻¹ contribute little to the incidence rate of DLAs at $z \sim 3$, suggesting that energy and momentum input due to star formation efficiently removes gas from these halos. Galaxies with DM halos with virial velocities of 100 – 150 km s⁻¹ appear to account for the majority of DLA host galaxies. DLA host galaxies at $z \sim 3$ should thus become the building blocks of typical present-day galaxies like our Milky Way.

Key words: quasars: absorption lines — galaxies: formation

1 INTRODUCTION

Quasar absorption spectra provide excellent probes of the distribution of baryons in the high-redshift Universe. Damped Lyman Alpha systems (DLAs, historically defined as having a neutral hydrogen column density $N_{\text{HI}} > 2 \times 10^{20}$ cm⁻²) are particularly useful as they are likely to play an important role as a reservoir of gas for the formation of stars and galaxies at high redshift. They dominate the neutral gas content of the Universe between $z \sim 0 - 5$, and at $z \sim 3.0 - 4.5$ their neutral gas content is comparable to visible stellar mass in present-day galaxies (Wolfe 1986; Storrie-Lombardi, McMahon, & Irwin 1996; Storrie-Lombardi & Wolfe 2000). DLAs thus form an impor-

tant link between primordial plasma and the stellar structures that form from it.

In spite of observations of over 1000 DLAs, Wolfe, Gawiser, & Prochaska (2005) still conclude that the question “what is a damped Ly α system?” has not yet been answered conclusively. One of the reasons for this is that absorption spectra provide only indirect information in velocity space, and only probe the gas along one line-of-sight through the galaxy. The Ly α absorption feature itself provides no information about the velocity structure of the DLA, because of the large optical depth even in the damping wings. This has led observers to look at the associated low ionization metal absorption features. Low ionization species like SiII, CII and FeI are believed to be good tracers of the neutral gas in DLAs. Prochaska & Wolfe (1997) developed the velocity width distribution of metal absorption features into an important diagnostic tool for DLAs. The much lower absorption optical depth allows us

^{*} E-mail: lab@ast.cam.ac.uk (LAB); haehnelt@ast.cam.ac.uk (MGH)

to extract detailed kinematical information for the gas in DLAs. The absorption profiles are normally clumpy and asymmetric, with the strongest absorption feature often occurring at one edge of the profile. Velocity widths range from 30 km s^{-1} up to several hundred km s^{-1} . Note, however, that there is a distinctive lack of very narrow absorption profiles.

Kinematical models aiming to reproduce the velocity width data fall into two categories. Wolfe (1986) suggested a close connection between DLAs and disks of present-day spiral galaxies. Prochaska & Wolfe (1997) modelled DLAs as thick rotating disks with a rotation speed ($\sim 200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) typical of present-day galaxies. Haehnelt, Steinmetz, & Rauch (1998) challenged this interpretation and demonstrated that it is not unique. The merging of proto-galactic clumps expected in Cold Dark Matter (CDM)-like models for structure formation can explain the shape of the profiles equally well. Galactic winds are also likely to play an important role (e.g. Fabian 1999). They further showed that for the same virial velocity width, merging clumps produce significantly larger velocity width and argued that the latter interpretation is favoured by the observed velocity width distribution in the context of the CDM paradigm for structure formation (Haehnelt, Steinmetz, & Rauch 2000).

The level of enrichment with metals provides another important clue as to the nature of DLAs — see Pettini (2004, 2006); Wolfe, Gawiser, & Prochaska (2005) for reviews. Significant metal absorption is found in all DLAs, though as a population they are metal poor. DLAs are also relatively dust free (Ellison et al. 2001; Wild, Hewett, & Pettini 2006). Initially, the low metallicity was used to argue that DLA host galaxies are the chemically unevolved but otherwise very similar counter-parts of typical present-day spiral galaxies (Wolfe 1986). In the model of Haehnelt, Steinmetz, & Rauch (1998), DLAs instead preferentially probe the outer parts of much less massive galaxies, many of which end up as building blocks of typical present-day galaxies that form by hierarchical merging in CDM-like models for structure formation. Recently, Pontzen et al. (2008) demonstrated that such a model fits the observed metallicity of DLAs at $z \sim 3$ very well.

Models of DLAs invariably predict more than quasar absorption features can in principle test. As already mentioned, absorption is measured along a single line of sight and thus is a one-dimensional probe of the properties of the DLA. To explore the spatial extent and structure of the DLA, we need to observe DLAs in emission. Attempts to do this have focussed on both line and continuum emission. Ly α emission holds great potential in this respect. Star-formation, cooling radiation and fluorescent re-emission of the meta-galactic UV background are all expected to contribute at different levels. In addition, stellar continuum emission from the newly formed stars should also be bright enough to be detectable.

Many observers have attempted to find the galaxy counterparts of DLAs at high redshift in emission by searching adjacent to quasar sightlines with known absorption systems (Fynbo, Møller, & Warren 1999; Bunker et al. 1999; Kulkarni et al. 2000, 2001; Warren et al. 2001; Christensen et al. 2007). This is a difficult task, as the light of the extremely bright quasar must be accurately sub-

tracted to study the light of the galaxy, which is very faint in comparison. Kulkarni et al. (2000) and others caution of the possibility that a given emission feature is a Point Source Function (PSF) artefact rather than a real source. Christensen et al. (2007) report that, for $z > 2$, six DLA galaxies have been confirmed through spectroscopic observation of Ly α emission, with other techniques producing a few additional candidates. Christensen et al. added another six Ly α emission candidates to this group. A quantitative statistical interpretation of the many (largely unsuccessful) searches is difficult if not impossible, but the rather low success rate appears to be consistent with their interpretation as galaxies of rather low mass and star formation rate.

Rauch et al. (2008) reported the results of a long-slit search for low surface brightness Ly α emitters at redshift $z \sim 3$, which reached flux levels that are about a 10 times lower than previous Ly α surveys at this redshift. They found 27 faint line emitters, many of which are extended in wavelength and real space. They argue that the majority of the emitters are likely to be Ly α (rather than low- z interlopers), powered by a central region of star formation and processed by radiative transfer through surrounding neutral hydrogen. Rauch et al. (2008) note that the incidence rate inferred from the space density and the size distribution of the emitters is similar to that of DLAs, and suggest that they are the host population of DLAs and high column density Lyman Limit Systems (LLS). If this is indeed the case then the observations of Rauch et al. (2008) give us — for the first time — the size distribution and space density of DLA host galaxies (Figure 19 of Rauch et al. 2008).

Models predicting the velocity width and size distribution of DLAs have been constructed based on the observed luminosity function of galaxies (Fynbo, Møller, & Warren 1999; Fynbo et al. 2008) and on the Press-Schechter formalism (Press & Schechter 1974) in conjunction with numerical simulations (Gardner et al. 1997a,b; Haehnelt, Steinmetz, & Rauch 1998, 2000; Nagamine, Springel, & Hernquist 2004; Nagamine et al. 2007). More recently numerical simulations have attempted to model the entire DLA population self-consistently (Razoumov et al. 2006, 2007; Pontzen et al. 2008).

In this paper, we revisit models for the absorption properties of DLAs in the light of the size distribution data of Rauch et al. (2008), improved data on the velocity width distribution from metal absorption lines (which has presented a challenge to purely numerical simulations — see Razoumov et al. (2007)) and numerical simulations with increased resolution, box size and sophistication incorporating the additional physics of radiative transfer, gas chemistry and star formation.

Throughout this work we use cosmological parameters of the 5 year WMAP data (Hinshaw et al. 2008): $(h, \Omega_M, \Omega_b, \Omega_\Lambda, \sigma_8, n) = (0.701, 0.279, 0.046, 0.721, 0.817, 0.96)$.

2 A JOINT MODEL FOR THE KINEMATICAL PROPERTIES OF DLAS AND THE CUMULATIVE SIZE DISTRIBUTION OF THE FAINT Ly α EMITTERS

2.1 The Haehnelt et al. model

Rauch et al. (2008) argued that their population of faint Ly α emitters is the same or has at least a large overlap with that of DLA/LLs host galaxies. They further pointed out that the space density and sizes should agree well with those predicted by the DLA model of Haehnelt, Steinmetz, & Rauch (1998), which models DLAs in the context of CDM models of structure formation.

We here revisit and update this model to investigate whether it can explain the properties of DLAs and the new population of faint emitters, assuming that these are the same objects. We start with summarizing the salient properties of the model. As discussed in the introduction, *ab initio* numerical simulation of the gas at the centre of galaxies, where complex non-linear gas physics including star formation and the associated feedback are important, is still very challenging. The Haehnelt et al. model therefore takes a hybrid approach, using a combination of Press-Schechter formalism and results from numerical simulations to model the kinematic properties of DLAs (see Johansson & Efstathiou (2006) for a semi-analytical model of DLAs that explicitly models feedback).

The model uses the space density of DM halos as a proxy for the space density of DLA host galaxies. With the refinement to the Press-Schechter formalism introduced by Sheth & Tormen (2002), the number of dark matter halos per unit comoving volume at redshift z with mass (baryonic + CDM) in the interval $(M, M + dM)$ can be estimated as,

$$n_M(M, z) dM = A \left(1 + \frac{1}{\nu'^{2q}}\right) \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{\rho_0}{M} \frac{d\nu'}{dM} \exp\left(-\frac{\nu'^2}{2}\right) dM, \quad (1)$$

where σ_M is the rms fluctuation amplitude of the cosmic density field in spheres containing mass M , ρ_0 is the present cosmic matter (baryonic + CDM) density, $\nu' = \sqrt{a}\nu$, $\nu = \delta_c/[D(z)\sigma_M]$. $D(z)$ is the growth factor at redshift z (Carroll, Press, & Turner 1992), $\delta_c = 1.686$, $a = 0.707$, $A \approx 0.322$ and $q = 0.3$. We have used the fitting formula in Eisenstein & Hu (1999) to calculate the matter power spectrum.

We will be interested in the kinematic properties of DLAs, for which the virial velocity is a more convenient quantity to characterize the DM halo than the mass. The two are related as follows (e.g. Maller & Bullock 2004),

$$v_c = 106 \text{ km s}^{-1} \left(\frac{\Delta_v}{174}\right)^{\frac{1}{6}} \left(\frac{\Omega_M h^2}{0.137}\right)^{\frac{1}{6}} \times \left(\frac{1+z}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{M}{10^{11} M_\odot}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad (2)$$

where Δ_v is the overdensity of the halo (see Bryan & Norman 1998).

We use a simple power-law relation between virial velocity of DM halo and absorption cross section

$$\sigma(v_c) = \pi r_0^2 \left(\frac{v_c}{200 \text{ km s}^{-1}}\right)^\beta \quad (3)$$

where β and r_0 are parameters. The value of β has been the source of some controversy, due to numerical simulations still finding it challenging to reliably model the spatial distribution of the gas in the high-density region probed by DLAs and LLs. Gardner et al. (1997a) originally favoured a value of $\beta = 2.94$ (at $z = 3$, for a Λ CDM cosmology), but their later work revised this to $\beta = 1.569$. Prochaska & Wolfe (2001) pointed out that this low value of β is incompatible with the observed DLA velocity widths. Haehnelt, Steinmetz, & Rauch (2000) found that the observed velocity width distribution of metal-lines is reproduced well with a value of $\beta \sim 2.5$, which we also use here.

Haehnelt et al. further found that they needed to introduce a lower cut-off in virial velocity in order to fit the velocity width distribution of low-ionization absorption systems. Otherwise their model predicted too many very narrow metal absorption systems, which are not observed. They therefore assumed that DM halos with virial velocities smaller than a minimum velocity v_{min} do not host DLAs.

Here we will slightly relax this assumption and model the suppression of the cross-section of DLAs in halos with small circular velocities as a gentler exponential decline,

$$\sigma(v_c) = \pi r_0^2 \left(\frac{v_c}{200 \text{ km s}^{-1}}\right)^\beta \exp\left(-\left(\frac{v_{c,0}}{v_c}\right)^\alpha\right). \quad (4)$$

We consider a range of values for the parameters $v_{c,0}$ and α . Note that a sharp cut-off corresponds to $\alpha = \infty$.

It remains to choose the parameter r_0 . We will follow Haehnelt, Steinmetz, & Rauch (2000) by fixing r_0 so that the overall rate of incidence of absorbers per unit redshift $d\mathcal{N}/dz$ agrees with the observational value,

$$\frac{d\mathcal{N}}{dz} = \frac{dl_p}{dz} (1+z)^3 \int_0^\infty \sigma(M, z) n_M(M, z) dM. \quad (5)$$

We take the value of $d\mathcal{N}/dz = 0.24$ at $z = 3$, which is consistent with $d\mathcal{N}/dX = 0.067$ (Prochaska, Herbert-Fort, & Wolfe 2005; Péroux et al. 2005). The ratio of proper distance interval to redshift interval is given by,

$$\frac{dl_p}{dz} = \frac{c}{H(z)(1+z)}, \quad (6)$$

and the so-called absorption distance, X , is defined by,

$$dX \equiv \frac{H_0}{H(z)} (1+z)^2 dz. \quad (7)$$

The resulting values of r_0 are given in the caption of Figure 1.

Further, it is useful to define the line density distribution (N), such that the number of intersections ($d^2\mathcal{N}$) of a random line of sight with systems that have mass in the interval $(M, M + dM)$, located in the redshift interval $(z, z + dz)$, is,

$$d^2\mathcal{N} \equiv N(M, z) dM dz \quad (8)$$

$$= (1+z)^3 n_M(M, z) \sigma(M, z) \frac{dl_p}{dz} dM dz. \quad (9)$$

2.2 The velocity width distribution of low-ionization absorption

To calculate the velocity width distribution, Haehnelt et al. used a conditional probability distribution: $p(v_w|v_c) dv_w$ is




Figure 1. *Top Panels:* The velocity width distribution $l(v_w, X)$ of the associated low-ionization metal absorption of DLAs. The black crosses show the observational data compiled in Wolfe, Gawiser, & Prochaska (2005). *Bottom Panels:* The cumulative velocity width distribution $l(>v_w, X)$ of the associated low-ionization metal absorption of DLAs. The thick solid black curve shows the older observational data of Prochaska & Wolfe (1997). *Left Panels:* The velocity width distribution of our model for an exponential suppression at small virial velocities of the cross section for damped Ly α absorption as given by Equation (4) with $\alpha = 3$ and $v_{c,0} = 30, 50, 70$ km s $^{-1}$, respectively. The cross section normalization for these models as given by Equation (5) is characterised by $r_0 = 18.5, 23.5, 28.4$ kpc. *Right Panels:* The velocity width distribution for $v_{c,0} = 50$ km s $^{-1}$ and a range of values of $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, \infty$. For these models, $r_0 = 21.9, 23.6, 23.9, 23.5$ kpc.

the probability that a DLA in a halo with circular velocity v_c has a velocity width in the interval $(v_w, v_w + dv_w)$. On the basis of their numerical simulations, they assumed it to be a function of the ratio v_w/v_c . The number of systems along a random line of sight in the interval $(X, X + dX)$ with velocity width greater than v_w is given by

$$l(>v_w, X) = \int_{v_w}^{\infty} \left[\int_0^{\infty} p(v_w|v_c(M)) \frac{d^2\mathcal{N}}{dX dM} dM \right] dv_w. \quad (10)$$

We will use here the conditional probability distribution as given in Haehnelt, Steinmetz, & Rauch (2000, Figure 1). The distribution peaks at $v_w/v_c \approx 0.6$, dropping to zero below $v_w/v_c \approx 0.1$ and above $v_w/v_c \approx 2$. The numerical simulations on which the distribution is based did not contain star formation feedback. Simulations have become more sophisticated since then. We have therefore compared the $p(v_w|v_c)$ distribution used here with that from the simula-

tions of Pontzen et al. (2008, and private communication), which incorporate the effects of star formation and supernovae on the kinematics and spatial distribution of the gas in a simple manner. The differences in $p(v_w|v_c)$ are small. The resulting difference in $l(>v_w, X)$ is also small, at most 10%. Unless there is a fortuitous cancellation of different effects, this suggests that star formation in the simulations has a small effect on $p(v_w|v_c)$. This is somewhat surprising, given the significant differences in resolution, cosmological volume and additional physics, albeit reassuring for our modelling. Note, however, that the simulations still fail to produce realistic galactic winds, probably due to the rather simplistic fashion in which stellar feedback is incorporated.

Figure 1 compares the predicted velocity width distribution with the observational data of Wolfe, Gawiser, & Prochaska (2005). The data of Prochaska & Wolfe (1997) is also shown in the bottom panels and is reasonably well fit with




Figure 2. The cumulative incidence rate inferred from the size distribution of the population of faint Ly α emitters. The solid black curves are the observational data of Rauch et al. (2008). *Left:* Cumulative incidence rate in our model for $\alpha = 3$ and a range of values of $v_{c,0} = 30, 50, 70$ km s $^{-1}$. *Right:* The cumulative incidence rate in our model for $v_{c,0} = 50$ km s $^{-1}$ and a range of values of the duty cycle $f_d = 1, 0.5, 0.2$.

$v_{c,0} = 50$ km s $^{-1}$. This is very similar to what was found by Haehnelt, Steinmetz, & Rauch (2000). The new compilation of velocity width data by Wolfe, Gawiser, & Prochaska (2005) extends to significantly larger velocities and appears to require a somewhat larger value of $v_{c,0} = 70$ km s $^{-1}$. The apparent lack of neutral gas in small dark matter halos can be plausibly attributed to the feedback effects of star formation and/or photo-heating due to the meta-galactic UV background. In most numerical simulations and models of galaxy formation, these feedback mainly affects halos with somewhat smaller virial velocities than this. So either halos with small virial velocities have larger velocity widths than we have assumed here (i.e. $p(v_w|v_c)$ is different), or else stellar feedback in halos with virial velocities of $v_{c,0} = 50$ km s $^{-1}$ is more efficient than generally assumed. The cumulative velocity width distribution is not very sensitive to the shape of the cut-off for $\alpha \geq 2$.

The high velocity tail of the velocity distribution as compiled by Wolfe, Gawiser, & Prochaska (2005) has proven difficult to reproduce with numerical simulations, which attempt to model the spatial distribution of the neutral gas in DLAs self-consistently rather than assume a scaling of the absorption cross section with the virial velocity of DM halos. Generally, the simulations fail to produce a sufficient number of absorption systems with velocity widths as wide as observed. This is normally attributed by the authors of these studies to the fact that momentum and energy input into the gas due to star formation may not have been modelled with sufficient sophistication (Nagamine, Springel, & Hernquist 2004; Nagamine et al. 2007; Razoumov et al. 2006, 2007; Pontzen et al. 2008).

2.3 The cumulative size distribution

We now ask whether our model, which successfully fits the velocity width distribution of the associated low-ionization metal absorption of DLAs, can also reproduce the cumulative size distribution of the emission regions of the new population of faint Ly α emitters, as shown by black solid curve

in Figure 2 (Rauch et al. 2008, Figure 19, HI corrected). It asymptotes to $dN/dz = 0.23$, which is very similar to the observed incidence rate of DLAs at the same redshift. To calculate the cumulative incidence rate for the DLA host galaxies in our model, we must relate the size of the emission region to the mass of the corresponding DM halo. We do this simply by assuming that the absorption cross-section is related to radius as if it was a sphere. The cumulative incidence rate is then given by

$$\frac{dN}{dz}(> r, z) = \int_{M(r)}^{\infty} N(M', z) dM'. \quad (11)$$

The result is shown¹ in the left panel of Figure 2. The predicted sizes are consistently smaller than the observed sizes by a factor of between 1.5 to 3, suggesting that the emission regions of the Ly α emitters are larger than the cross section for damped absorption. Even though the integrated inferred incidence rate is similar to that of DLAs, the emission regions of the Ly α emitters can thus not be identical to the regions responsible for damped Ly α absorption. Nevertheless, the two can be closely related.

To demonstrate this we now explore a simple model where only a fraction f_d of the halos are emitting Ly α radiation above the detection threshold at any one time. To keep the total inferred incidence rate fixed, we allow the cross section of the individual Ly α emission regions to be larger than those for damped Ly α absorption by a corresponding factor f_d^{-1} . The Ly α emitters show typical signs of radiative transfer effects, like large velocity widths and asymmetric line profiles (Rauch et al. 2008, Section 6.3), and should thus be optically thick to Ly α radiation. However, we have no good handle here on the actual column density required to scatter emitted Ly α at large radii effectively. It may well be lower than that required for damped absorption, in which case it is very plausible that the region for Ly α emission extends beyond that for damped Ly α absorption. In the right

¹ We do not show the impact of altering α here. The effect is small, especially beyond a radius of 10kpc.




Figure 3. The cumulative luminosity function of the Ly α emitters. The black solid curve in both panels is the data taken from Fig. 9 of Rauch et al. (2008). The grey, shaded region is an estimate of the 1- σ confidence interval. *Left:* The blue solid curve and the dashed red curves are for optimistic models for the Ly α emission due to Ly α cooling radiation as described in the text. *Right:* A simple model for the Ly α emission due to star formation as described in the text. The relevant parameters for $f_d = (1, 0.5, 0.2)$ are $(L_0^s, v_{\min}[\text{km s}^{-1}]) = (4 \times 10^{41}, 75), (8 \times 10^{41}, 60), (1.8 \times 10^{42}, 45)$ respectively.

panel of Figure 2, the cumulative incidence rate is shown for three values of the duty cycle $f_d = (1, 0.5, 0.2)$ with $v_{c,0} = 50 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and $\alpha = 3$. Our simple assumption of a duty cycle for the Ly α emission reconciles the cumulative incidence rate predicted by our model with the observed distribution for sizes below 35 kpc for $f_d = 0.2$ ($f_d = 0.4$ for $v_{c,0} = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). The sudden drop in the observed distribution at $r \sim 35 \text{ kpc}$ is likely attributable to the following two effects. The first is the surface brightness limit of the instrument. Light from sources with large radii may be too diffuse to be detected. The second is the effect of searching a small survey volume. Large systems are rarer, so there is a limit to the size of sources that can be expected to be found within the rather small survey volume.

3 MODELLING THE LUMINOSITY FUNCTION OF THE Ly α EMITTERS

3.1 The contribution of Ly α cooling radiation

Rauch et al. (2008) considered a number of astrophysical origins for the Ly α emission that they observe. They conclude that the most likely mechanism for producing Ly α photons is star formation. We here consider in more detail the argument that cooling radiation is unlikely to be the dominant source of Ly α for the observed emitters.

Dijkstra, Haiman, & Spaans (2006) derive the following formula for the Ly α luminosity ($L_{\text{Ly}\alpha}^c$) of a collapsing protogalaxy due to cooling radiation, assuming that the gravitational binding energy is radiated as Ly α on a dynamical time scale,

$$L_{\text{Ly}\alpha}^c = 5.8 \times 10^{41} \left(\frac{M_{\text{tot}}}{10^{11}} \right)^{5/3} \left(\frac{v_{\text{amp}}}{v_c} \right) \left(\frac{1+z_{\text{vir}}}{4} \right)^{5/2} \times \left(\frac{2-\alpha_d}{2.5} \right)^{1.2} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \quad (12)$$

where M_{tot} is the total (dark matter + baryons) mass of

the halo, z_{vir} is the redshift at which the system virialises, and the bulk velocity of the infalling material, $v_{\text{bulk}}(r)$, is parameterised by v_{amp} and α_d as a power law, $v_{\text{bulk}}(r) = v_{\text{amp}}(r/r_{\text{vir}})^{\alpha_d}$, where r_{vir} is the virial radius.² We will set $z_{\text{vir}} = 3$, $v_{\text{amp}} = v_c$ and consider the lower limit of the range of α_d discussed in Dijkstra, Haiman, & Spaans (2006), namely $\alpha_d = -0.5$, so that we have an upper limit on $L_{\text{Ly}\alpha}^c$.

Equation (12) gives a relation between the mass of a halo and the luminosity due to Ly α cooling, which we can combine with the Press-Schechter formalism of Section 2 to predict the number of DLAs per unit comoving volume with Ly α luminosity greater than some $L_{\text{Ly}\alpha}^c$,

$$n(> L_{\text{Ly}\alpha}^c, z) = \int_{M(L_{\text{Ly}\alpha}^c)}^{\infty} n_M(M', z) dM' \quad (13)$$

There is, however, an inconsistency here. As already mentioned, Equation (12) assumes that the cooling radiation will be emitted over the dynamical time t_{dyn} of the halo,

$$t_{\text{dyn}} \approx \frac{r_v}{v_c} = \left(\frac{1}{\frac{4}{3}\pi G \Delta_v \bar{\rho}_m(z)} \right)^{1/2} \approx 353 \text{ Myrs.} \quad (14)$$

The proper time corresponding to the redshift interval [3.75, 2.67] of the Ly α survey is $t_z = 789 \text{ Myrs}$. Thus, all the halos cannot radiate at the luminosity $L_{\text{Ly}\alpha}^c$ given by Equation (12) for the entire redshift interval over which observations were taken. There are two ways to make the model consistent. The first is to impose a duty cycle, so that at any one time, only a fraction $f_d = t_{\text{dyn}}/t_z \approx 0.45$ of the halos will be radiating. The second is to reduce the luminosity of each emitter³, so that the gravitational energy of the halo is radiated over 789 Myrs.

The left panel of Figure 3 compares our prediction of $n(> L_{\text{Ly}\alpha}^c, z)$ with the observed luminosity function as given

² See Equation (10) of Dijkstra, Haiman, & Spaans (2006) for a correction to this formula when $\alpha_d < 0$ and r is small.

³ It could, of course, be a combination of these two effects.




Figure 4. The contribution of different mass ranges and virial velocity ranges to the incidence rate of DLAs ($d^2N/dX/d\log_{10} M$). The black squares in both panels show the results from the numerical model of Razoumov et al. (2007) for DLAs found within DM halos, excluding intergalactic DLAs. The grey symbols in both panels show the results of the simulations of Pontzen et al. (2008). *Left:* The curves are for our model with $\alpha = 3$ and a range of values of $v_{c,0} = 30, 50, 70 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. *Right:* The curves are for our model with $v_{c,0} = 50 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and a range of values of $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, \infty$.

in Figure 9 of Rauch et al. (2008), shown in black. The red dot-dashed curve shows the model assuming a duty cycle. The green dashed curve is for the reduced luminosity.

So far we have assumed (following Dijkstra, Haiman, & Spaans 2006) that all gravitational binding energy is converted into Ly α radiation. In reality, hot accretion flows could result in substantial amounts of bremsstrahlung and He $^+$ line emission (Kereš et al. 2005), at the expense of $L_{\text{Ly}\alpha}^c$. Furthermore, the observed luminosities have not been corrected for slit losses which can be as large as a factor of a few. We therefore conclude that cooling radiation is indeed unlikely to contribute significantly to the majority of the faint Ly α emitters. This further supports the suggestion by Rauch et al. (2008) that the emitters are predominantly powered by centrally concentrated star formation surrounded by extended Ly α halos. By stacking the spectra of a subset of the emitters, Rauch et al. (2008) showed that the emission from these halos appears to extend to radii even larger than those for the individually detected emission plotted in Fig. 2 by a factor of at least two.

3.2 A simple model for the Ly α luminosity function

We will demonstrate now that a simple model where the Ly α luminosity due to stars $L_{\text{Ly}\alpha}^s$ is proportional to the total mass ($M \propto v_c^3$) of the halos with virial velocity above a threshold v_{min} ,

$$L_{\text{Ly}\alpha}^s = \begin{cases} L_0^s \left(\frac{v_c}{100 \text{ km s}^{-1}}\right)^3 \text{ erg s}^{-1} & \text{if } v_c > v_{\text{min}} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

fits the observed luminosity function remarkably well. Note that detailed numerical simulations of much brighter Ly α break galaxies and Ly α emitters appear to be consistent with this simple scaling of the Ly α emission with the properties of the DM host halo (Nagamine et al. 2008). In the

right panel of Figure 3, we compare the observed luminosity function of the faint Ly α emitters with a luminosity function modelled in this way for a range of values of f_d . The observed luminosity function is fit well for the following parameter combinations ($f_d, L_0^s, v_{\text{min}}[\text{ km s}^{-1}]$) = $(1, 4 \times 10^{41}, 75)$, $(0.5, 8 \times 10^{41}, 60)$, $(0.2, 1.8 \times 10^{42}, 45)$. The upper and lower boundary of the grey shaded region can be fit with values of L_0^s and v_{min} that differ from the quoted values by $\sim 25\%$ and $\sim 5\%$ respectively.

Our assumed scaling $L_{\text{Ly}\alpha}^s \propto M$ is shallower than would be inferred from the star formation in most models of galaxy formation. The simulations of Pontzen et al. (2008) would e.g. predict $L_{\text{Ly}\alpha}^s \propto M^{1.6}$. These models are, however, generally tuned to produce a rather shallow faint end of the luminosity function. As discussed by Rauch et al. (2008), their observations imply that the luminosity function of Ly α emitters steepens considerably at very faint luminosities (cf. Le Delliou et al. 2006).

Intriguingly, the values for the velocity cut-off and duty cycle in our model are very similar to those required to fit the velocity width distribution of the associated low-ionization metal absorption of DLAs and the size distribution inferred from the Ly α emitters. We caution, however, that the significance of the apparent turn-over at $\sim 1.25 \times 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ at the faint end of the observed luminosity function is uncertain. At faint flux levels the luminosity function will be affected strongly by the sensitivity limit of the observations.

4 THE MASSES AND VIRIAL VELOCITIES OF DLA/LL HOST GALAXIES

If the population of faint Ly α emitters detected by Rauch et al. (2008) can be identified with DLA/LL host galaxies, then this constitutes the first measurement of the space density and average size of DLA/LL host galaxies. The last section supported the suggestion by Rauch et al. that the Ly α emission is powered by star formation. In this case, with standard assumptions for the conversion of Ly α

emission to star formation rate, the Ly α luminosities correspond to star formation rates of 0.07 - 1.5 $M_{\odot}\text{yr}^{-1}$, similar to that inferred by Wolfe, Prochaska, & Gawiser (2003) from the CII* $\lambda 1335.7$ absorption in DLAs. No continuum is detected, so there is no information about stellar or total masses of the object. Our modelling is thus currently the only handle we have on the masses (and virial velocities) of what should be a statistically representative sample of DLA host galaxies.

In Figure 4, we show the contribution of DM halos of different masses and virial velocities to the incidence rate of DLAs in our model, for the range of parameters used to model the suppression of the cross-section for damped Ly α absorption in low-mass DM halos. We also show the results from two recently published numerical simulations of DLAs (Razoumov et al. 2007; Pontzen et al. 2008). The differential line density for DLAs is calculated similarly to N , except that we consider intervals of dX and $d\log_{10} M$,

$$\frac{d^2\mathcal{N}}{dX d\log_{10} M} = \frac{c}{H_0} \ln 10 M n_M(M, X) \sigma(M, X). \quad (16)$$

Note that larger values of α result in a sharper turnover at low masses, at the expense of increasing the abundance of DLAs with high masses (the area under the plot is normalised).

The majority of the DLAs in our model have virial velocities in the range 50 to 200 km s^{-1} , corresponding to total masses of 10^{10} to $10^{12} M_{\odot}$. As discussed in the previous sections, the turn-over at small virial velocities is most constrained by the velocity width distribution of the associated low-ionization metal absorption and is most likely attributable to feedback effects due to star formation. The decline at large virial velocities and masses is due to the decline of the space density of DM halos.

The incidence rate in the numerical simulations of Razoumov et al. (2007) shows a similar peak, albeit shifted to somewhat smaller masses/virial velocities than our model requires to fit the kinematical data of the DLAs. This is perhaps not surprising — Razoumov et al. (2007) find that the velocity widths in their simulations fall somewhat short of those observed. Their simulation also takes into account DLAs that are not contained within any halo i.e. intergalactic DLAs.

The numerical simulations of Pontzen et al. (2008) show a sharper peak centered on virial velocities of 30-80 km s^{-1} . Such a sharp peak at rather low virial velocities appears, however, at odds with the observed velocity widths of the associated low-ionization metal absorption. In the simulations of Pontzen et al., the decline of the contribution to the incidence rate with increasing mass is much faster than the decline of the space density of massive halos. This fast decline is due to a flattening of the absorption cross section with increasing mass in massive halos (Pontzen et al., Figure 4).

5 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We have considered an updated version of the Haehnelt et al. model for the kinematics of DLAs, in light of the discovery of a new population of extended low surface brightness Ly α emitters with a total inferred incidence rate similar

to that of DLAs. The main differences with the modelling of Haehnelt, Steinmetz, & Rauch (2000) are the use of the Sheth-Tormen modification to the Press-Schechter formalism, an update of cosmological parameters, and the use of an exponential suppression of the cross section for damped absorption for low virial velocities instead of a sharp cut-off.

Our main results are the following.

- The observed velocity width distribution of the associated metal absorption can be fit with a model where the cross section for damped absorption scales with the virial velocity of the halo as $\sigma \propto v_c^{2.5}$, the absorption cross section is suppressed in halos with $v_c \leq 70 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, and the conditional velocity width is given by that in the simulations of Haehnelt et al. (or the very similar distribution of the simulations of Pontzen et al. 2008).

- The same model can fit the cumulative incidence rate for absorption inferred from the size distribution of the Ly α emitters if the Ly α emission has a duty cycle of $f_d = 0.2 - 0.4$, and the emission extends over an area which is larger than the cross section for damped Ly α absorption by a factor $f_d^{-1} = 2.5 - 5$.

- The maximum expected Ly α cooling luminosity due to collapsing gas in DM halos falls short of the observed Ly α luminosities by a factor of three to five, even for optimistic assumptions regarding the expected emission due to Ly α cooling. Furthermore, the expected dependence of the Ly α cooling luminosity on the virial velocity of DM halos thereby maps into a luminosity function with a slope shallower than observed. Ly α cooling radiation should thus not contribute significantly to the Ly α emission for the majority of the objects, especially at the faint end of the Ly α luminosity function.

- The Ly α luminosity function is well fit by a simple model where the Ly α luminosity scales linearly with the mass of the DM halo and the emission is suppressed for low mass DM halos. The Ly α luminosity function can be fit for a wide range of duty cycles including the duty cycle required to simultaneously explain the kinematic properties of DLAs and the cumulative incidence rate inferred from the observed size distribution of the Ly α emitters.

- Our model predicts that the bulk of the contribution to the incidence rate of DLAs comes from absorption systems hosted in DM halos with virial velocities in the range from 50-200 km s^{-1} and masses in the range 10^{10} to $10^{12} M_{\odot}$. The cut-off for damped absorption occurs at somewhat higher virial velocities than suggested by numerical simulations, which attempt to simulate the gas distribution and kinematics of DLAs self-consistently. These simulations, however, fall short of reproducing the observed velocity widths of the associated low-ionization metal absorption of DLAs. If the suppression of the cross section for damped Ly α absorption in halos with virial velocities up to 70 km s^{-1} is indeed real, then feedback due to star formation at high redshift has to be more efficient in removing gas — even from rather deep potential wells — than is assumed in most models of galaxies formation and numerical simulations. Alternatively the simulation (and our model) may underestimate the effect of stellar feedback on the velocity width of absorbers hosted by DM halos with small virial velocities. This may

not be implausible given the fact that the simulations still fail to reproduce realistic galactic winds.

Our modelling here adds to the mounting evidence that DLAs and LLs predominantly probe the outer regions of dwarfish⁴ galaxies. This picture had been suggested for a while by the kinematic and metallicity data. With the discovery of a faint population of Ly α emitters, most plausibly identified with the population of DLA/LL host galaxies, we finally have a handle on their space density, sizes and (in conjunction with models like the one presented here) masses and virial velocities. In the picture that emerges, DLAs are hosted by the galaxies that, in the context of the now well established Λ CDM paradigm for structure formation, are expected to become the building blocks of typical galaxies like our Milky Way.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

LAB is supported by an Overseas Research Scholarship and the Cambridge Commonwealth Trust. We thank Andrew Pontzen providing $p(v_w|v_c) dv_w$ from his simulations and giving useful comments on a draft of this paper. Max Pettini, George Becker and Michael Rauch also deserve thanks for comments and useful discussion.

REFERENCES

- Bryan G. L., Norman M. L., 1998, ApJ, 495, 80
 Bunker A. J., Warren S. J., Clements D. L., Williger G. M., Hewett P. C., 1999, MNRAS, 309, 875
 Carroll S. M., Press W. H., Turner E. L., 1992, ARA&A, 30, 499
 Christensen L., Wisotzki L., Roth M. M., Sánchez S. F., Kelz A., Jahnke K., 2007, A&A, 468, 587
 Le Delliou M., Lacey C. G., Baugh C. M., Morris S. L., 2006, MNRAS, 365, 712
 Dijkstra M., Haiman Z., Spaans M., 2006, ApJ, 649, 14
 Eisenstein D. J., Hu W., 1999, ApJ, 511, 5
 Ellison S. L., Yan L., Hook I. M., Pettini M., Wall J. V., Shaver P., 2001, A&A, 379, 393
 Fabian, A. C, 1999, MNRAS, 308, L39
 Fynbo J. U., Møller P., Warren S. J., 1999, MNRAS, 305, 849
 Fynbo J. P. U., Prochaska J. X., Sommer-Larsen J., Dessauges-Zavadsky M., Møller P., 2008, ApJ, 683, 321
 Gardner J. P., Katz N., Hernquist L., Weinberg D. H., 1997a, ApJ, 484, 31
 Gardner J. P., Katz N., Weinberg D. H., Hernquist L., 1997b, ApJ, 486, 42
 Gardner J. P., Katz N., Hernquist L., Weinberg D. H., 2001, ApJ, 559, 131
 Haehnelt M. G., Steinmetz M., Rauch M., 1998, ApJ, 495, 647
 Haehnelt M. G., Steinmetz M., Rauch M., 2000, ApJ, 534, 594
 Haiman Z., Spaans M., 1999, ApJ, 518, 138
 Hinshaw G., et al., 2008, arXiv, 803, arXiv:0803.0732
 Johansson P. H., Efstathiou G., 2006, MNRAS, 371, 1519
 Kereš D., Katz N., Weinberg D. H., Davé R., 2005, MNRAS, 363, 2
 Kulkarni V. P., Hill J. M., Schneider G., Weymann R. J., Storrie-Lombardi L. J., Rieke M. J., Thompson R. I., Januzzi B. T., 2000, ApJ, 536, 36
 Kulkarni V. P., Hill J. M., Schneider G., Weymann R. J., Storrie-Lombardi L. J., Rieke M. J., Thompson R. I., Januzzi B. T., 2001, ApJ, 551, 37
 Maller A. H., Bullock J. S., 2004, MNRAS, 355, 694
 Nagamine K., Wolfe A. M., Hernquist L., Springel V., 2007, ApJ, 660, 945
 Nagamine K., Springel V., Hernquist L., 2004, MNRAS, 348, 421
 Nagamine K., 2007, MPLA, 22, 2413
 Nagamine K., Ouchi M., Springel V., Hernquist L., 2008, arXiv, 802, arXiv:0802.0228
 Partridge R. B., Peebles P. J. E., 1967, ApJ, 147, 868
 Pettini M., 2004, cmpe.conf, 257
 Pettini M., 2006, fdg.conf, 319
 Pontzen A., et al., 2008, arXiv, 804, arXiv:0804.4474
 Press W. H., Schechter P., 1974, ApJ, 187, 425
 Prochaska J. X., Wolfe A. M., 1997, ApJ, 487, 73
 Prochaska J. X., Wolfe A. M., 2001, ApJ, 560, L33
 Prochaska J. X., Herbert-Fort S., Wolfe A. M., 2005, ApJ, 635, 123
 Péroux C., Dessauges-Zavadsky M., D’Odorico S., Sun Kim T., McMahon R. G., 2005, MNRAS, 363, 479
 Rauch M., et al., 2008, ApJ, 681, 856
 Razoumov A. O., Norman M. L., Prochaska J. X., Wolfe A. M., 2006, ApJ, 645, 55
 Razoumov A. O., Norman M. L., Prochaska J. X., Sommer-Larsen J., Wolfe A. M., Yang Y.-J., 2007, arXiv, 710, arXiv:0710.4137
 Sheth R. K., Tormen G., 2002, MNRAS, 329, 61
 Storrie-Lombardi L. J., McMahon R. G., Irwin M. J., 1996, MNRAS, 283, L79
 Storrie-Lombardi L. J., Wolfe A. M., 2000, ApJ, 543, 552
 Tolkien J. R. R., 1966, *The Hobbit* (3rd Edition, Preface)
 Warren S. J., Møller P., Fall S. M., Jakobsen P., 2001, MNRAS, 326, 759
 Wild V., Hewett P. C., Pettini M., 2006, MNRAS, 367, 211
 Wolfe A. M., 1986, RSPTA, 320, 503
 Wolfe A. M., Prochaska J. X., Gawiser E., 2003, ApJ, 593, 215
 Wolfe A. M., Gawiser E., Prochaska J. X., 2005, ARA&A, 43, 861

This paper has been typeset from a \TeX / \LaTeX file prepared by the author.

⁴ “In English the only correct plural of dwarf is dwarfs, and the adjective is dwarfish . . . dwarves and dwarvish are used . . . only when speaking of the ancient people to whom Thorin Oakenshield and his companions belonged.” Tolkien (1966).