

Measurement of the ponium lifetime

M. Zhabitsky on behalf of the DIRAC Collaboration*
 JINR, Dubna, RU141980, Russia

The goal of the DIRAC experiment at CERN (PS212) is to measure the ponium ($\pi^+\pi^-$ atom) lifetime. The ponium lifetime is related to the S -wave $\pi\pi$ scattering lengths difference $|a_0 - a_2|$, which was calculated within the framework of ChPT with the 1.5% precision. Based on data collected in 2001-2003 on Ni targets we report the significant improvement in the measurement of the ponium lifetime in the ground state. Preliminary result of the ponium lifetime has the precision of 11%, which corresponds to the measurement of $|a_0 - a_2|$ with the accuracy of 6%.

1. INTRODUCTION

Ponium ($A_{2\pi}$) is a Coulomb bound state formed by a π^+ and a π^- mesons. Its lifetime of about 3×10^{-15} s is dominated by annihilation due to the strong interaction ($A_{2\pi} \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$) [1]. Another annihilation channel $A_{2\pi} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ amounts on only 0.4% [1, 2]. Ponium annihilates predominantly from nS -states. In ponium the two pions interact at very low relative momentum Q (of the order of $0.5 \text{ MeV}/c$) and in a well defined quantum states, therefore its lifetime in nS -states is directly related to the S -wave $\pi\pi$ scattering lengths a_0 and a_2 , with isospin 0 and 2 respectively [1, 3]:

$$\tau_{nS}^{-1} = \Gamma_{A_{2\pi}(nS) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma} + \Gamma_{A_{2\pi}(nS) \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0}, \quad \Gamma_{A_{2\pi}(nS) \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0} = \frac{2\alpha^3 p^*}{9n^3} |a_0 - a_2|^2 m_{\pi^+}^2 (1 + \delta), \quad (1)$$

where α is the fine-structure constant, p^* is the π^0 momentum in the atomic rest frame. The term δ accounts for QED and QCD corrections and is a known quantity: $\delta = (5.8 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-2}$ [3]. The difference ($a_0 - a_2$) of S -wave $\pi\pi$ scattering lengths was calculated within the framework of Standard Chiral Perturbation Theory with the 1.5% precision [4]: $a_0 - a_2 = (0.265 \pm 0.004) \times m_{\pi^-}^{-1}$. Generalized Chiral Perturbation Theory though allows for larger a -values [5]. Thus the measurement of ponium lifetime by DIRAC provides the test of concepts of low-energy QCD through a constraint on properties of pion-pion interaction.

In DIRAC poniums are originated from collisions of 20 or 24 GeV/ c protons of the CERN PS with a typically 100 μm thick Ni foil. The experiment uses a double-arm magnetic spectrometer [6] (Fig. 1), which is optimized to detect $\pi^+\pi^-$ pairs with a small relative momentum Q in their center-of-mass system. The resolution on the components of pairs relative momentum Q is $\lesssim 0.5 \text{ MeV}/c$, which is about the ponium Bohr momentum.

The method of the ponium lifetime measurement [7] used in the DIRAC experiment is as follows. Poniums are produced in nS -states in proton-Ni collisions. Probability of ponium production depends on the principal quantum number as n^{-3} . While crossing the target ponium can be excited or ionized (form an unbound pair of a π^+ and a π^- mesons) due to Coulomb interaction with target atoms. Pairs of a π^+ and a π^- mesons from atom break-up (“atomic pairs”) can be identified through the analysis of Q -spectra thanks to their distinct low- Q distribution. Ionization of ponium is a process competitive to its annihilation. Therefore the probability of ponium ionization in the target is a unique function of its lifetime, which is calculated with sufficient precision [8, 9].

In proton-Ni collisions, besides poniums, may emerge low- Q $\pi^+\pi^-$ pairs (“Coulomb-correlated pairs”), which also undergo Coulomb interaction in the final state but don’t form a bound state. The number N_A of produced atoms is therefore linked to the number N_{CC} of Coulomb-correlated pairs with small Q , e.g. at $Q \leq 2 \text{ MeV}/c$ $N_A/N_{CC} = k_{\text{theor}} = 0.615$. Coulomb-correlated $\pi^+\pi^-$ pairs exhibit an enhancement at low Q with respect to the phase space [10]. Through the analysis of low- Q spectra collected by the spectrometer we are able to reconstruct

*Electronic address: zhabitsk@nusun.jinr.ru




Figure 1: Schematic top view of the DIRAC spectrometer. Vertex detectors between target and the magnet: 4 planes of microstrip gas chambers (MSGC), 3 planes of scintillating fiber detectors (SFD) and 4 planes of scintillation ionization hodoscope (IH). Downstream the dipole magnet: drift chambers (DC), vertical (VH) and horizontal (HH) scintillation hodoscopes, nitrogen Cherenkov counters (Ch), preshower (PSh) and behind the iron absorber muon hodoscope (Mu).

the number n_A of *ionized* atoms and the number N_{CC} of CC-pairs, therefore the probability of pionium ionization in the target is measured:

$$P = \frac{n_A}{\epsilon k_{\text{theor}} N_{CC}}, \quad (2)$$

where ϵ takes into account relative efficiencies to detect *atomic* and *Coulomb-correlated* pairs obtained by event simulation using Monte Carlo method.

Finally in inclusive $\pi^+\pi^-$ pairs there is a background of *non-Coulomb* (NC) pairs, if either or both of pions emerge from long-lived sources and thus NC-pions do not interact in the final state.

2. THE MEASUREMENT

The details of event reconstruction, data selection and analysis have been published in [11, 12], where the first measurement of the pionium lifetime $\tau_{1S} = (2.91_{-0.62}^{+0.49}) \times 10^{-15}$ s based on statistics of about 6500 ionized atoms collected by DIRAC in 2001 on Ni target was reported.

In 2001–2003 DIRAC has accumulated more than 15×10^3 atomic pairs. The data was collected on two Ni foils, one was $94 \mu\text{m}$ thick and another of $98 \mu\text{m}$ thickness. Momenta of proton beam was either 24 (2001 and a part of 2002) or $20 \text{ GeV}/c$ (another part of 2002 and 2003). Here we report preliminary results of analysis, based on a part of the accumulated statistics: signals from only two of vertex detectors (SFD and IH) were taken into account and only events with low background conditions were used. Fig. 2, *a* shows the measured distribution of inclusive $\pi^+\pi^-$ pairs on $|Q_L|$, which is the magnitude of Q -component along the pairs momentum. While the distribution of NC-pairs on Q_L is uniform, the Coulomb enhancement below $10 \text{ MeV}/c$ reveals the number N_{CC}^{exp} of Coulomb-correlated pairs in the sample. After subtraction of CC and NC pairs one finds the signal of atomic pairs shown in fig. 2, *b*.

Results of the preliminary analysis of experimental data collected in 2001–2003 on Ni targets are summarized in Tab. I. The results were obtained from (Q_L, Q_T) 2D-fit. The data sample was divided into three periods with different target thicknesses and different proton beam momenta, thus these periods are characterized by distinct dependencies $P_i(\tau)$ of the probability of pionium ionization in the target as a function of its lifetime.

The systematic errors are summarized in Tab. II. Thanks to the dedicated measurement of scattering angle in any of scatterers from the target foil towards Drift Chambers [14] the error due to multiple scattering was reduced by a factor of 2 in comparison to the first publication [12]. Through time-of-flight measurement by vertex detectors and




Figure 2: *a)* — Measured distribution of inclusive $\pi^+\pi^-$ pairs: experimental points are shown with the sum of CC and NC distributions (solid line). *b)* — measured distribution after subtraction of the sum of CC and NC distributions, simulated distribution of atomic pairs is shown by the solid line.

Table I: Number of reconstructed atomic pairs (n_A^{exp}), CC-pairs (N_{CC}^{exp}) and the probability of ionization P_i for different sets of experimental data. The cuts on Q -components are $|Q_{x,y}| < 4$ and $Q_L < 15$ MeV/c

Proton momenta, GeV/c	Target thickness, μm	n_A^{exp}	$N_{CC}^{\text{exp}}, 10^3$	P_i
24	94	4438 ± 192	328.4 ± 3.2	0.459 ± 0.022
24	98	5011 ± 274	402.1 ± 4.0	0.421 ± 0.025
20	98	3851 ± 192	291.7 ± 3.1	0.446 ± 0.025
Total		13300 ± 386	1022.1 ± 6.0	

vertical hodoscopes downstream the magnet the amount of $\bar{p}p$ [15] and K^+K^- -pairs [16] were estimated, thus this uncertainty has been almost removed with respect to the previous publication. For the data analysis we assumed point-like production of Coulomb-correlated $\pi^+\pi^-$ pairs, but small part of CC-pairs originates from sufficiently long-lived resonances, therefore the uncertainty due to a finite size of their production region was estimated [17]. In order to reproduce in the Monte Carlo simulation the width of detected $\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^-$ decays, the correction on momenta resolution was introduced [18]. Smaller systematic errors are due to uncertainties in track reconstruction in vertex detectors and trigger inefficiency. More details can be found in an in-depth study [13].

The measurements of pionium ionization probability from Tab. I are correlated due to the systematic uncertainty which is common for all measurements. Let G be the error matrix: $G_{ij} = \sigma_{\text{sys}}^2 + \delta_{ij}\sigma_{\text{stat}_i}^2$, where σ_{sys} is the systematic uncertainty and σ_{stat_i} is the statistical uncertainty in the measurement P_i of the probability of ionization. With relations $P_i(\tau_{1S})$, calculated for experimental conditions of different periods, the estimation of the lifetime

Table II: Summary of systematic uncertainties in the measurement of the probability of pionium ionization in the target

	$\sigma(P_i)$
Multiple scattering	0.006
Admixture of K^+K^- and $\bar{p}p$	0.0005
Finite size effects	+0.000 -0.006
Correction on momenta resolution	0.008
Double track resolution in vertex detectors	0.003
Background hits in vertex detectors	0.0012
Trigger	0.0013
Total	+0.010 -0.012

together with its uncertainties is achieved [19] by the maximum likelihood method, where the likelihood function reads

$$L(\tau_{1S}) = \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} (P_i - P_i(\tau_{1S})) (G^{-1})_{ij} (P_j - P_j(\tau_{1S})) \right]. \quad (3)$$

Table III: Comparison of the precision of the results based on the preliminary analysis of 2001–2003 statistics to the precision of the published result [12]

Period of data-taking	2001	2001-2003
n_A	6530 ± 294	13300 ± 386
statistical uncertainty in τ_{1S} , 10^{-15} s	+0.45 -0.38	+0.25 -0.23
systematic uncertainty in τ_{1S} , 10^{-15} s	+0.19 -0.49	+0.19 -0.19
total uncertainty in τ_{1S} , 10^{-15} s	+0.49 -0.62	+0.31 -0.30
total uncertainty in $ a_0 - a_2 $, $m_{\pi^+}^{-1}$	+0.033 -0.020	+0.016 -0.014

In Table III we compare the precision of the preliminary results based on 2001–2003 statistics to the precision of the published result [12]. The statistical errors are not symmetrical because of a non-linearity of $P(\tau_{1S})$ relation. The analyzed statistics is twice as big as the sample, used in the previous publication. There is a better understanding of major sources of systematic uncertainties. Thanks to the dedicated measurements the systematic error has been reduced. The total uncertainty in the measurement of the ponium lifetime is about 11%, which corresponds to the measurement of $|a_0 - a_2|$ with the accuracy of 6%. Further improvements are expected, when we will use all statistics accumulated in 2001–2003 on nickel targets and all vertex detectors will be incorporated into the track reconstruction.

References

- [1] J. L. Uretsky and T. R. Palfrey, Phys. Rev. 121 (1961) 1798.
- [2] J. Gasser, V. E. Lyubovitskij, A. Rusetsky, Phys. Rept. 456 (2008) 167.
- [3] J. Gasser, V. E. Lyubovitskij, A. Rusetsky and A. Gall, Phys. Rev. D **64** (2001) 016008.
- [4] G. Colangelo, J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Nucl. Phys. B **603** (2001) 125.
- [5] M. Knecht, B. Moussallam, J. Stern and N. H. Fuchs, Nucl. Phys. B **457** (1995) 513.
- [6] B. Adeva, *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 515 (2003) 467; hep-ex/0305022.
- [7] L. Nemenov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **41** (1985) 629.
- [8] C. Santamarina, M. Schumann, L. G. Afanasyev and T. Heim, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. **36**, 4273 (2003).
- [9] M. Zhabitsky, Phys. At. Nucl. **71** (2008) 1040; arXiv:0710.4416[hep-ph].
- [10] A. D. Sakharov, JETP **18** (1948) 631; reproduced in Phys.-Uspekhi **161** (1991) 29.
- [11] B. Adeva *et al.*, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. **30** (2004) 1929.
- [12] B. Adeva *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **619** (2005) 50.
- [13] V. Yazkov, DIRAC note 08-04, 2008.
- [14] A. Dudarev *et al.*, DIRAC note 08-06, 2008.
- [15] O. E. Gortchakov and V. V. Yazkov, DIRAC note 05-01, 2005.
- [16] B. Adeva *et al.*, DIRAC note 06-05, 2006; DIRAC note 07-02, 2007.
- [17] R. Lednický, DIRAC note 04-06, 2004; nucl-th/0501065.
- [18] O. Gortchakov, DIRAC note 07-17, 2007.
- [19] D. Drijard and M. Zhabitsky, DIRAC note 08-07, 2008.