

ON THE NONEXISTENCE OF EINSTEIN METRIC ON 4-MANIFOLDS

CHANYOUNG SUNG

ABSTRACT. By using the gluing formulae of the Seiberg-Witten invariant, we show the nonexistence of Einstein metric on manifolds obtained from a 4-manifold with nontrivial Seiberg-Witten invariant by performing sufficiently many connected sums or appropriate surgeries along circles or homologically trivial 2-spheres with closed oriented 4-manifolds with negative definite intersection form.

1. INTRODUCTION

A smooth Riemannian manifold (M, g) is called Einstein if it satisfies

$$Ric_g = cg,$$

where Ric_g denotes the Ricci curvature of g , and c is a constant. When the dimension of M is less than 4, any Einstein manifold is a space form whose classification is well-known. In higher dimensions, it is in general difficult to decide whether a manifold admits an Einstein metric. Unlike the dimension greater than 4 where no topological obstruction is known, any closed orientable 4-manifold M admitting an Einstein metric must satisfy the Hitchin-Thorpe inequality [5, 8, 17]

$$2\chi(M) \geq 3|\tau(M)|$$

with equality held only by a quotient of $K3$ surface or 4-torus, where $\chi(M)$ and $\tau(M)$ respectively denote the Euler characteristic and the signature of M . This well-known inequality is the consequence of the 4-dimensional Chern-Gauss-Bonnet formula.

Since the 4-dimensional geometry is complicated by the possible existence of many smooth structures, the condition for the existence of Einstein metric on 4-manifolds inevitably involve the underlying smooth structure. It was the Seiberg-Witten theory that has brought a remarkable improvement of the

Date: November 2, 2018.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 53C25, 57R57, 57M50.

Key words and phrases. Einstein metric, Seiberg-Witten theory.

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation(KRF) grant funded by the Korea government(MEST). (No. 2009-0074404).

Hitchin-Thorpe condition. LeBrun exploited the curvature estimate coming from the Seiberg-Witten theory to derive that any closed oriented Einstein 4-manifold M with a monopole class satisfies

$$\chi(M) \geq 3\tau(M)$$

with equality held only by a compact complex hyperbolic 2-space or a flat 4-manifold ([10]), and

Theorem 1.1 (LeBrun [12]). *Let M be a smooth closed oriented 4-manifold with a nontrivial Seiberg-Witten invariant. Then $M \#_k \overline{\mathbb{C}P^2} \#_l (S^1 \times S^3)$ does not admit Einstein metric if $k + 4l > 0$ and $k + 4l \geq \frac{1}{3}(2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M))$.*

In this article, we generalize this theorem to :

Theorem 1.2. *Let M be a smooth closed oriented 4-manifold with a nontrivial Seiberg-Witten invariant and N be a smooth closed oriented 4-manifold with $b_2^+(N) = 0$. Then $M \# N$ does not admit Einstein metric if*

$$b_2(N) + 4b_1(N) > 0$$

and

$$b_2(N) + 4b_1(N) \geq \frac{1}{3}(2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M)).$$

Definition 1. *Let M_1 and M_2 be smooth n -manifolds and suppose that k -spheres c_1 and c_2 are embedded into M_1 and M_2 respectively with trivial normal bundle. A surgery of M_1 and M_2 along c_i 's are defined as the result of deleting tubular neighborhood of each c_i and gluing the remainders by identifying two boundaries $S^k \times S^{n-k-1}$ using a diffeomorphism of S^k and the reflection map of S^{n-k-1} .*

Note that the surgery on M with $(S^1 \times S^3) \# N$ along a null-homotopic circle in M and a circle representing $[S^1] \times \{\text{pt}\} \in H_1(S^1 \times S^3, \mathbb{Z})$ gives $M \# N$. More generally, we will prove :

Theorem 1.3. *Let M be a smooth closed oriented 4-manifold with a nontrivial Seiberg-Witten invariant and N_i be a smooth closed oriented 4-manifold with $b_2^+(N_i) = 0$ and $b_1(N_i) \geq 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Suppose that $c_i \subset N_i$ is an embedded circle nontrivial in $H_1(N_i, \mathbb{R})$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, and \tilde{M} is a manifold obtained from M by performing a surgery with $\cup_{i=1}^m N_i$ along $\cup_{i=1}^m c_i$.*

Then \tilde{M} does not admit Einstein metric if

$$\sum_{i=1}^m (b_2(N_i) + 4(b_1(N_i) - 1)) > 0$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^m (b_2(N_i) + 4(b_1(N_i) - 1)) \geq \frac{1}{3}(2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M)).$$

Most generally, we can also allow surgeries along homologically trivial 2-spheres to give :

Theorem 1.4. *Let M be a smooth closed oriented 4-manifold with a nontrivial Seiberg-Witten invariant, and N_i, \bar{N}_j for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$ be smooth closed oriented 4-manifolds such that $b_2^+(N_i) = b_2^+(\bar{N}_j) = 0$ and $b_1(N_i) \geq 1$. Suppose that $c_i \subset N_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ is an embedded circle nontrivial in $H_1(N_i, \mathbb{R})$, and $F_j \subset M$ and $\bar{F}_j \subset \bar{N}_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$ are embedded 2-spheres trivial in $H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ and $H_2(\bar{N}_j, \mathbb{R})$ respectively.*

If \tilde{M} is a manifold obtained from M by performing a surgery with $\cup_{i=1}^m N_i$ along $\cup_{i=1}^m c_i$, and with $\cup_{j=1}^n \bar{N}_j$ along $\cup_{j=1}^n F_j$ and $\cup_{j=1}^n \bar{F}_j$, then \tilde{M} does not admit Einstein metric if

$$\sum_{i=1}^m (b_2(N_i) + 4(b_1(N_i) - 1)) + \sum_{j=1}^n (b_2(\bar{N}_j) + 4(b_1(\bar{N}_j) + 1)) \geq \frac{1}{3}(2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M)).$$

The same conclusion also holds when $m = 0$, i.e. $\cup_{i=1}^m N_i = \emptyset$.

2. COMPUTATION OF SEIBERG-WITTEN INVARIANT

We will give a brief definition of the Seiberg-Witten invariant. Let M be a smooth oriented Riemannian 4-manifold and \mathfrak{s} be a Spin^c structure on it. We assume that M is closed or noncompact with a cylindrical-end metric. Let $\mathbb{A}(M)$ be the graded algebra over \mathbb{Z} defined by

$$\mathbb{Z}[H_0(M; \mathbb{Z})] \otimes \wedge^* H_1(M; \mathbb{Z})$$

with $H_0(M; \mathbb{Z})$ grading two and $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ grading one. An element in $\mathbb{A}(M)$ canonically gives a cocycle of the Seiberg-Witten moduli space, i.e. the solution space modulo gauge transformations of the Seiberg-Witten equations of (M, \mathfrak{s}) . Thus the evaluation on the fundamental cycle of the moduli space is the *Seiberg-Witten invariant* as a function

$$SW_{M, \mathfrak{s}} : \mathbb{A}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$

When $b_2^+(M) > 1$, this is independent of a Riemannian metric and a perturbation term, thus giving a topological invariant. (If $b_2^+(M) = 1$, it may depend on the chamber.) The first Chern class of a Spin^c structure on M whose Seiberg-Witten invariant is nontrivial is called a *basic class* of M . For more details on the Seiberg-Witten invariant, the readers are referred to [13, 14, 16].

We will need the following gluing formulae of the Seiberg-Witten invariant.

Lemma 2.1. *Let N be a closed oriented smooth 4-manifold with negative-definite intersection form Q . Then there exists a Spin^c structure \mathfrak{s}' on N satisfying $c_1^2(\mathfrak{s}') = -b_2(N)$.*

Proof. By the Donaldson's theorem, Q is diagonalizable. (The original Donaldson's theorem [6] is stated for the simply-connected case, but a simple application of the Mayer-Vietoris argument gives this generalization.) Let $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{b_2(N)}\}$ be a basis of $H^2(N, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ diagonalizing Q .

We have to show that there exists an element $x \in H^2(N, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $Q(x, x) = -b_2(N)$, and x is characteristic, i.e. $Q(x, \alpha) \equiv Q(\alpha, \alpha) \pmod{2}$ for any $\alpha \in H^2(N, \mathbb{Z})$. This is done by taking $x = \sum_{i=1}^{b_2(N)} \pm \alpha_i$. \square

Theorem 2.2. *Let M and N be smooth closed oriented 4-manifolds such that $b_2^+(M) > 0$, $b_2^+(N) = 0$, and $b_1(N) \geq 1$. Let $c \subset N$ be an embedded circle nontrivial in $H_1(N, \mathbb{R})$ and \tilde{M} be the manifold obtained by performing a surgery on M with N along c .*

If $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}$ is the $Spin^c$ structure on \tilde{M} obtained by gluing a $Spin^c$ structure \mathfrak{s} on M and a $Spin^c$ structure \mathfrak{s}' on N satisfying $c_1^2(\mathfrak{s}') = -b_2(N)$, then

$$SW_{\tilde{M}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}}(a \cdot [d_1] \cdots [d_{b_1(N)-1}]) = \pm SW_{M, \mathfrak{s}}(a)$$

for $a \in \mathbb{A}(M)$, where $[d_1], \dots, [d_{b_1(N)-1}]$ along with $r[c]$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ form a basis for the non-torsion part of $H_1(N, \mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. See [16]. \square

Theorem 2.3 (Ozsváth and Szabó [14]). *Let M be a smooth closed oriented 4-manifold with $b_2^+(M) > 0$. Suppose that $F \subset M$ is an embedded 2-sphere trivial in $H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$, and \tilde{M} is the manifold obtained by performing a surgery on M with S^4 along F .*

Then for each $Spin^c$ structure \mathfrak{s} on M , the induced $Spin^c$ structure $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}$ on \tilde{M} satisfies

$$SW_{\tilde{M}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}}(a \cdot [\gamma]) = \pm SW_{M, \mathfrak{s}}(a)$$

for $a \in \mathbb{A}(M)$, where γ is the core of surgery, i.e. a circle $\{pt\} \times D^2$ in a small tubular neighborhood $F \times D^2$ of F .

Generalizing this, we prove :

Theorem 2.4. *Let M and N be smooth closed oriented 4-manifolds such that $b_2^+(M) > 0$, and $b_2^+(N) = 0$. Suppose that $F \subset M$ and $\bar{F} \subset N$ are embedded 2-spheres trivial in $H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ and $H_2(N, \mathbb{R})$ respectively, and \tilde{M} is the manifold obtained by performing a surgery on M with N along F and \bar{F} .*

If $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}$ is the $Spin^c$ structure on \tilde{M} obtained by gluing a $Spin^c$ structure \mathfrak{s} on M and a $Spin^c$ structure \mathfrak{s}' on N satisfying $c_1^2(\mathfrak{s}') = -b_2(N)$, then

$$SW_{\tilde{M}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}}(a \cdot [\gamma] \cdot [d_1] \cdots [d_{b_1(N)}]) = \pm SW_{M, \mathfrak{s}}(a)$$

for $a \in \mathbb{A}(M)$, where γ is a circle $\{pt\} \times D^2$ in a small tubular neighborhood $F \times D^2$ of F , and $[d_1], \dots, [d_{b_1(N)}]$ form a basis for the non-torsion part of $H_1(N, \mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. Perform a surgery on M with S^4 along F to obtain M' . In the same way, we get N' . The surgery on M' with N' along the circle γ gives \tilde{M} .

Lemma 2.5. *Let \hat{M} be the manifold obtained from M by deleting a small tubular neighborhood of F . Then*

$$H_1(M', \mathbb{R}) \simeq H_1(\hat{M}, \mathbb{R}) \simeq H_1(M, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R},$$

and

$$H_2(M', \mathbb{R}) \simeq H_2(\hat{M}, \mathbb{R}) \simeq H_2(M, \mathbb{R}),$$

where the additional \mathbb{R} -factor is generated by $[\gamma]$, and the isomorphisms are induced by the obvious inclusions. Likewise for N' .

Proof. Obviously $H_1(M', \mathbb{R}) \simeq H_1(\hat{M}, \mathbb{R})$, because $\pi_1(M') \simeq \pi_1(\hat{M})$ by the Seifert-Van Kampen theorem. To see $H_1(\hat{M}, \mathbb{R}) \simeq H_1(M, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}$, it is enough to show that i_* in the following commutative diagram of exact sequences is injective.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_2(\hat{M}, \partial\hat{M}) & \xrightarrow{\partial_*} & H_1(\partial\hat{M}) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & H_1(\hat{M}) \\ PD \downarrow & & \downarrow PD & & \downarrow PD \\ H^2(\hat{M}) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & H^2(\partial\hat{M}) & \xrightarrow{\partial^*} & H^3(\hat{M}, \partial\hat{M}). \end{array}$$

Suppose not. Then i^* in the above diagram is surjective. This means that there exists a nonzero element in $H^2(M)$, which is dual to $[F]$, yielding a contradiction. This also means that $[F]$ is zero in $H_2(\hat{M}, \mathbb{R})$, which will be used just below.

The fact $H_2(\hat{M}, \mathbb{R}) \simeq H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ follows from the exact sequence

$$H_2(\partial\hat{M}) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_2(\hat{M}) \oplus H_2(S^2 \times D^2) \xrightarrow{\varphi} H_2(M) \rightarrow 0,$$

and similarly the fact $H_2(\hat{M}, \mathbb{R}) \simeq H_2(M', \mathbb{R})$ follows from the exact sequence

$$H_2(\partial\hat{M}) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_2(\hat{M}) \oplus H_2(D^3 \times S^1) \xrightarrow{\varphi} H_2(M') \rightarrow 0,$$

where the sequences end with 0, because $i_* : H_1(\partial\hat{M}) \rightarrow H_1(\hat{M})$ is injective. \square

Note that \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s}' restrict to be trivial on F and \bar{F} respectively. Thus we abuse the notation to let \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s}' be the induced Spin^c structures on M' and N' respectively. By theorem 2.3,

$$SW_{M', \mathfrak{s}}(a \cdot [\gamma]) = \pm SW_{M, \mathfrak{s}}(a)$$

for $a \in \mathbb{A}(M)$. Applying theorem 2.2,

$$SW_{M', \mathfrak{s}}(a \cdot [\gamma]) = \pm SW_{\tilde{M}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}}(a \cdot [\gamma] \cdot [d_1] \cdots [d_{b_1(N)}])$$

for $a \in \mathbb{A}(M)$. □

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

We need to have a basic class on \tilde{M} . Let \mathfrak{s} be the Spin^c structure on M with a nontrivial Seiberg-Witten invariant. Applying theorem 2.2 successively, \tilde{M} has nontrivial Seiberg-Witten invariant for $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}$. Write $c_1(\tilde{\mathfrak{s}})$ as $c_1(\mathfrak{s}) + E$ where $E = c_1(\mathfrak{s}')$ coming from $\cup_{i=1}^m N_i$.

Then the proof proceeds in a similar way to [12]. First,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\tilde{M}) &= \chi(M) + \sum_{i=1}^m \chi(N_i) \\ &= \chi(M) + \sum_{i=1}^m (2 - 2b_1(N_i) + b_2(N_i)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$H_2(\tilde{M}, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H_2(M, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus (\oplus_{i=1}^m H_2(N_i, \mathbb{Z}))$$

by a simple Mayer-Vietoris argument. (Here, we use the fact that c_i 's are all non-torsion.) Thus

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} 2\chi(\tilde{M}) + 3\tau(\tilde{M}) &= 2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^m (b_2(N_i) + 4(b_1(N_i) - 1)). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.1. *Any Riemannian metric g on \tilde{M} satisfies*

$$\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\tilde{M}} \left(\frac{s_g^2}{24} + 2|W_+|_g^2 \right) d\mu_g \geq \frac{2}{3} (2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M)).$$

Proof. Since $c_1(\mathfrak{s}) + E$ and $c_1(\mathfrak{s}) - E$ are basic classes of \tilde{M} , LeBrun's estimate [12] gives

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\tilde{M}} \left(\frac{s_g^2}{24} + 2|W_+|_g^2 \right) d\mu_g \geq \frac{2}{3} ((c_1(\mathfrak{s}) \pm E)^+)^2,$$

where $(\cdot)^+$ denotes the self-dual harmonic part. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} ((c_1(\mathfrak{s}) \pm E)^+)^2 &= (c_1(\mathfrak{s})^+ \pm E^+)^2 \\ &= (c_1(\mathfrak{s})^+)^2 \pm 2c_1(\mathfrak{s})^+ \cdot E^+ + (E^+)^2 \\ &\geq (c_1(\mathfrak{s})^+)^2 \pm 2c_1(\mathfrak{s})^+ \cdot E^+. \end{aligned}$$

Thus at least one of $((c_1(\mathfrak{s}) + E)^+)^2$ and $((c_1(\mathfrak{s}) - E)^+)^2$ should be greater than or equal to $(c_1(\mathfrak{s})^+)^2$. Say $((c_1(\mathfrak{s}) + E)^+)^2 \geq (c_1(\mathfrak{s})^+)^2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} ((c_1(\mathfrak{s}) + E)^+)^2 &\geq c_1^2(\mathfrak{s}) \\ &\geq 2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $d(\mathfrak{s}) := \frac{1}{4}(c_1^2(\mathfrak{s}) - (2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M)))$, the dimension of the Seiberg-Witten moduli space of (M, \mathfrak{s}) is nonnegative. \square

Now suppose that g is an Einstein metric on \tilde{M} . Then the Chern-Gauss-Bonnet formula gives :

$$\begin{aligned} 2\chi(\tilde{M}) + 3\tau(\tilde{M}) &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\tilde{M}} \left(\frac{s_g^2}{24} + 2|W_+|_g^2 - \frac{|r^\circ|_g^2}{2} \right) d\mu_g \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\tilde{M}} \left(\frac{s_g^2}{24} + 2|W_+|_g^2 \right) d\mu_g \\ &\geq \frac{2}{3}(2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M)). \end{aligned}$$

Combined with (3.1), it follows that

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{1}{3}(2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M)) \geq \sum_{i=1}^m (b_2(N_i) + 4(b_1(N_i) - 1)).$$

It remains to deal with the equality case in the above inequality. Suppose the equality holds. Then from the above we have

$$(3.4) \quad ((c_1(\mathfrak{s}) + E)^+)^2 = c_1^2(\mathfrak{s}) = 2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M).$$

Suppose $\sum_{i=1}^m (b_2(N_i) + 4(b_1(N_i) - 1)) > 0$, which implies

$$((c_1(\mathfrak{s}) + E)^+)^2 > 0$$

by (3.3) and (3.4).

From the the equality in (3.2), LeBrun's result [12] says that (\tilde{M}, g) must be almost-Kähler with almost-Kähler form a multiple of $(c_1(\mathfrak{s}) + E)^+$ such that the basic class $c_1(\mathfrak{s}) + E$ being the (anti)canonical class of the associated almost-complex structure, and the almost-Kähler form is an eigenvector of W_+ everywhere.

Applying Armstrong's result [2] that any closed almost-Kähler Einstein 4-manifold whose almost-Kähler form is an eigenvector of W_+ everywhere is Kähler, or Apostolov-Armstrong-Drăghici's result [1] that any closed almost-Kähler 4-manifold which saturates (3.2) and whose Ricci tensor is invariant under the almost-complex structure is Kähler, we conclude that (\tilde{M}, g) is Kähler.

Since (\tilde{M}, g) is Kähler-Einstein, we can apply the Enriques-Kodaira classification of compact complex surfaces. Since \tilde{M} has a nontrivial Seiberg-Witten invariant, its Kodaira dimension is nonnegative. Then it is minimal, because it admits a Kähler-Einstein metric.

Now the anti-canonical class is non-torsion, because $c_1^2(\mathfrak{s}) > 0$ from (3.4). Then the basic classes of such a minimal Kähler surface are numerically equivalent to $rc_1(K)$, where $|r| \leq 1$ is a rational number, and K is the canonical line bundle. (See [13].) But $\pm(c_1(\mathfrak{s}) \pm E)$ are basic classes of \tilde{M} . This means that $E = 0$, implying that

$$b_2(N_i) = 0 \quad \forall i.$$

Finally using Wu's formula [18, 7] for a closed almost-complex 4-manifold, and (3.4),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (c_1(\mathfrak{s}) + E)^2 - (2\chi(\tilde{M}) + 3\tau(\tilde{M})) \\ &= c_1(\mathfrak{s})^2 - \sum_{i=1}^m b_2(N_i) - (2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M) - \sum_{i=1}^m (b_2(N_i) + 4(b_1(N_i) - 1))) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m 4(b_1(N_i) - 1), \end{aligned}$$

implying that

$$b_1(N_i) = 1 \quad \forall i.$$

Thus $\sum_{i=1}^m (b_2(N_i) + 4(b_1(N_i) - 1)) = 0$, yielding a contradiction.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

By successively applying theorem 2.2 and 2.4, the Seiberg-Witten invariant of $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}})$ is nontrivial, where $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}$ is the Spin^c structure gotten by gluing \mathfrak{s} on M which has nontrivial Seiberg-Witten invariant and \mathfrak{s}' on $(\cup_{i=1}^m N_i) \cup (\cup_{j=1}^n \bar{N}_j)$ such that $c_1^2(\mathfrak{s}'|_{N_i}) = -b_2(N_i)$ and $c_1^2(\mathfrak{s}'|_{\bar{N}_j}) = -b_2(\bar{N}_j)$ for all i, j .

As before, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\tilde{M}) &= \chi(M) + \sum_{i=1}^m \chi(N_i) + \sum_{j=1}^n (\chi(\bar{N}_j) - 4) \\ &= \chi(M) + \sum_{i=1}^m (2 - 2b_1(N_i) + b_2(N_i)) + \sum_{j=1}^n (-2 - 2b_1(\bar{N}_j) + b_2(\bar{N}_j)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$H_2(\tilde{M}, \mathbb{R}) \simeq H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) \oplus (\oplus_{i=1}^m H_2(N_i, \mathbb{R})) \oplus (\oplus_{j=1}^n H_2(\bar{N}_j, \mathbb{R}))$$

by a simple Mayer-Vietoris argument. (Here, we use the fact that c_i 's are non-torsion, and F_j 's and \bar{F}_j 's are all torsion.) Thus

$$\begin{aligned} 2\chi(\tilde{M}) + 3\tau(\tilde{M}) &= 2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M) - \sum_{i=1}^m (b_2(N_i) + 4(b_1(N_i) - 1)) \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^n (b_2(\bar{N}_j) + 4(b_1(\bar{N}_j) + 1)). \end{aligned}$$

Now proceeding in the same way as theorem 1.3, the existence of an Einstein metric on \tilde{M} dictates that

$$\frac{1}{3}(2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M)) \geq \sum_{i=1}^m (b_2(N_i) + 4(b_1(N_i) - 1)) + \sum_{j=1}^n (b_2(\bar{N}_j) + 4(b_1(\bar{N}_j) + 1)),$$

and if the equality holds, then the left hand side of the above inequality is positive, and the same argument as theorem 1.3 gives that

$$b_2(N_i) = b_2(\bar{N}_j) = 0 \quad \forall i, j,$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^m 4(b_1(N_i) - 1) + \sum_{j=1}^n 4(b_1(\bar{N}_j) + 1) = 0$$

which is a contradiction.

5. FINAL REMARKS

Unlike the surgery with N with $b_2^+(N) = 0$, in case of a surgery with 4-manifolds with $b_2^+ > 0$ it is difficult to decide the existence of Einstein metric, because those surgered manifolds have no basic classes and it is very difficult to show the existence of Seiberg-Witten equations for a general metric.

Ishida and LeBrun used the Bauer-Furuta invariant [3, 4] whose nonvanishing also guarantees the existence of Seiberg-Witten equations for any metric to show the nonexistence of Einstein metric on some connected sums of Kähler surfaces. As in [9], let X_j for $j = 1, \dots, 4$ be smooth closed almost-complex 4-manifolds satisfying

$$b_1(X_j) = 0, \quad b_2^+(X_j) \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^4 b_2^+(X_j) \equiv 4 \pmod{8},$$

and N be any smooth closed oriented 4-manifold with $b_2^+(N) = 0$. Suppose that all X_i 's have nonzero mod-2 Seiberg-Witten invariants. Then, for each $m = 2, 3, 4$,

$$(\#_{j=1}^m X_j) \# N$$

does not admit Einstein metric if

$$4m - (2\chi(N) + 3\tau(N)) \geq \frac{1}{3} \sum_{j=1}^m c_1^2(X_j).$$

Finally one can use the G -monopole invariant [15] which is roughly the “count” of G -invariant solutions of the Seiberg-Witten equations modulo gauge transformation to show the nonexistence of G -invariant Einstein metric on some 4-manifolds with a G -action for a compact Lie group G .

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Apostolov, J. Armstrong, and T. Drăghici, *Local rigidity of certain classes of almost Kähler 4-manifolds*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. **21** (2002) 151–176.
- [2] J. Armstrong, *An ansatz for almost-Kähler, Einstein 4-manifolds*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **542** (2002) 53–84.
- [3] S. Bauer and M. Furuta, *A stable cohomotopy refinement of Seiberg-Witten invariants: I*, Invent. Math. **155** (2004), 1–19.
- [4] S. Bauer, *A stable cohomotopy refinement of Seiberg-Witten invariants: II*, Invent. Math. **155** (2004), 21–40.
- [5] A. Besse, *Einstein Manifolds*, Springer-Verlag (1987).
- [6] S. Donaldson, *An application of gauge theory to four dimensional topology*, J. Diff. Geom. **18** (1983), 279–315.
- [7] F. Hirzebruch and H. Hopf, *Felder von Flächenelementen in 4-dimensionalen Mannigfaltigkeiten*, Math. Ann. **136** (1958), 156–172.
- [8] N. Hitchin, *On compact four-dimensional Einstein manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom. **9** (1974), 435–441.
- [9] M. Ishida and C. LeBrun, *Curvature, Connected Sums, and Seiberg-Witten Theory*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **11** (2003) 809–836.
- [10] C. LeBrun, *Einstein metrics and Mostow rigidity*, Math. Res. Lett. **2** (1995), 1–8.
- [11] C. LeBrun, *Four manifolds without Einstein metrics*, Math. Res. Lett. **3** (1996), 133–147.
- [12] C. LeBrun, *Ricci curvature, minimal volumes, and Seiberg-Witten theory*, Invent. Math. **145** (2001), 279–316.
- [13] J. Morgan, *The Seiberg-Witten Equations and applications to the topology of smooth four-manifolds*, Princeton University Press, 1996.
- [14] P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó, *Higher type adjunction inequalities in Seiberg-Witten theory*, J. Diff. Geom. **55** (2000), 385–440.
- [15] Y. Ruan, *Virtual neighborhoods and the monopole equations*, Topics in symplectic 4-manifolds, 101–116, First Int. Press Lect. Ser. I, Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1998.
- [16] C. Sung, *Surgery, Yamabe invariant, and Seiberg-Witten theory*, J. Geom. Phys. **59** (2009), 246–255.
- [17] J. Thorpe, *Some remarks on the Gauss-Bonnet integral*, J. Math. Mech. **18** (1969), 779–786.
- [18] W.-T. Wu, *Sur le classes caractéristique des structures fibrées sphériques*, Actualités Sci. Ind. **1183** (1952), 1–89.

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS AND INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KONKUK
UNIVERSITY, 1 HWAYANG-DONG, GWANGJIN-GU, SEOUL, KOREA
E-mail address: `cysung@kias.re.kr`