

# On the nonexistence of Einstein metric on 4-manifolds

Chanyoung Sung\*

National Institute for Mathematical Sciences  
385-16 Doryong-dong Yuseong-gu Daejeon Korea

## Abstract

By using the gluing formula of the Seiberg-Witten invariant, we show the nonexistence of Einstein metric on manifolds obtained from a 4-manifold with nontrivial Seiberg-Witten invariant by performing sufficiently many connected sums or appropriate surgeries along circles or homologically trivial 2-spheres with closed oriented 4-manifolds with negative definite intersection form.

## 1 Introduction

A smooth Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  is called Einstein if it satisfies

$$Ric_g = cg,$$

where  $Ric_g$  denotes the Ricci curvature of  $g$ , and  $c$  is a constant. When the dimension of  $M$  is less than 4, any Einstein manifold is a space form whose classification is well-known. In higher dimensions, it is in general difficult to decide whether a manifold admits an Einstein metric. Unlike the dimension greater than 4 where no topological obstruction is known, any closed orientable 4 manifold  $M$  admitting an Einstein metric must satisfy the Hitchin-Thorpe inequality [3, 6, 13]

$$2\chi(M) + 3|\tau(M)| \geq 0$$

---

\*email address: cysung@nims.re.kr Key Words: Einstein metric, Seiberg-Witten theory MS Classification(2000): 53C25, 57R57, 57M50

with equality held only by a quotient of  $K3$  surface or 4-torus, where  $\chi(M)$  and  $\tau(M)$  respectively denote the Euler characteristic and the signature of  $M$ . This well-known inequality is the consequence of the 4-dimensional Chern-Gauss-Bonnet formula.

Since the 4-dimensional geometry is complicated by the possible existence of many smooth structures, the condition for the existence of Einstein metric on 4-manifolds inevitably involve the underlying smooth structure. It was the Seiberg-Witten theory that has brought a remarkable improvement of the Hitchin-Thorpe condition. LeBrun exploited the curvature estimate coming from the Seiberg-Witten theory to derive that any closed Einstein 4-manifold  $M$  with a monopole class satisfies

$$\chi(M) \geq 3\tau(M)$$

with equality held only by a compact complex hyperbolic 2-space or a flat 4-manifold ([7]), and

**Theorem 1.1 (LeBrun [9])** *Let  $M$  be a smooth closed oriented 4-manifold with a nontrivial Seiberg-Witten invariant. Then  $M \# k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2} \# l(S^1 \times S^3)$  does not admit Einstein metric if  $k + 4l > 0$  and  $k + 4l \geq \frac{1}{3}(2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M))$ .*

In this article, we generalize this theorem to :

**Theorem 1.2** *Let  $M$  be a smooth closed oriented 4-manifold with a nontrivial Seiberg-Witten invariant and  $N$  be a smooth closed oriented 4-manifold with  $b_2^+(N) = 0$ . Then  $M \# N$  does not admit Einstein metric if*

$$b_2(N) + 4b_1(N) > 0$$

and

$$b_2(N) + 4b_1(N) \geq \frac{1}{3}(2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M)).$$

**Definition 1** *Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be smooth  $n$ -manifolds and suppose that  $k$ -spheres  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are embedded into  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  respectively with trivial normal bundle. A surgery of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  along  $c_i$ 's are defined as the result of deleting tubular neighborhood of each  $c_i$  and gluing the remainders by identifying two boundaries  $S^k \times S^{n-k-1}$  using a diffeomorphism of  $S^k$  and the reflection map of  $S^{n-k-1}$ .*

Note that the surgery on  $M$  with  $(S^1 \times S^3) \# N$  along a null-homotopic circle in  $M$  and a circle representing  $[S^1] \times \{\text{pt}\} \in H_1(S^1 \times S^3, \mathbb{Z})$  gives  $M \# N$ . More generally, we will prove :

**Theorem 1.3** *Let  $M$  be a smooth closed oriented 4-manifold with a nontrivial Seiberg-Witten invariant and  $N_i$  be a smooth closed oriented 4-manifold with  $b_2^+(N_i) = 0$  and  $b_1(N_i) \geq 1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Suppose that  $c_i \subset N_i$  is an embedded circle nontrivial in  $H_1(N_i, \mathbb{R})$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , and  $\tilde{M}$  is a manifold obtained from  $M$  by performing a surgery with  $\cup_{i=1}^m N_i$  along  $\cup_{i=1}^m c_i$ . Then  $\tilde{M}$  does not admit Einstein metric if*

$$\sum_{i=1}^m (b_2(N_i) + 4(b_1(N_i) - 1)) > 0$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^m (b_2(N_i) + 4(b_1(N_i) - 1)) \geq \frac{1}{3}(2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M)).$$

Most generally, we can also allow surgeries along homologically trivial 2-spheres to give :

**Theorem 1.4** *Let  $M$  be a smooth closed oriented 4-manifold with a nontrivial Seiberg-Witten invariant, and  $N_i, \bar{N}_j$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$  and  $j = 1, \dots, n$  be smooth closed oriented 4-manifolds such that  $b_2^+(N_i) = b_2^+(\bar{N}_j) = 0$  and  $b_1(N_i) \geq 1$ . Suppose that  $c_i \subset N_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$  is an embedded circle nontrivial in  $H_1(N_i, \mathbb{R})$ , and  $F_j \subset M$  and  $\bar{F}_j \subset \bar{N}_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$  are embedded 2-spheres trivial in  $H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$  and  $H_2(\bar{N}_j, \mathbb{R})$  respectively.*

*If  $\tilde{M}$  is a manifold obtained from  $M$  by performing a surgery with  $\cup_{i=1}^m N_i$  along  $\cup_{i=1}^m c_i$ , and with  $\cup_{j=1}^n \bar{N}_j$  along  $\cup_{j=1}^n F_j$  and  $\cup_{j=1}^n \bar{F}_j$ , then  $\tilde{M}$  does not admit Einstein metric if*

$$\sum_{i=1}^m (b_2(N_i) + 4(b_1(N_i) - 1)) + \sum_{j=1}^n (b_2(\bar{N}_j) + 4(b_1(\bar{N}_j) + 1)) \geq \frac{1}{3}(2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M)).$$

## 2 Computation of Seiberg-Witten invariant

We will give a brief definition of the Seiberg-Witten invariant. Let  $M$  be a smooth oriented Riemannian 4-manifold and  $\mathfrak{s}$  be a  $\text{Spin}^c$  structure on it.

We assume that  $M$  is closed or noncompact with a cylindrical-end metric. Let  $\mathbb{A}(M)$  be the graded algebra over  $\mathbb{Z}$  defined by

$$\mathbb{Z}[H_0(M; \mathbb{Z})] \otimes \wedge^* H_1(M; \mathbb{Z})$$

with  $H_0(M; \mathbb{Z})$  grading two and  $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z})$  grading one. An element in  $\mathbb{A}(M)$  canonically gives a cocycle of the Seiberg-Witten moduli space, i.e. the solution space modulo gauge transformations of the Seiberg-Witten equations of  $(M, \mathfrak{s})$ . Thus the evaluation on the fundamental cycle of the moduli space is the *Seiberg-Witten invariant* as a function

$$SW_{M, \mathfrak{s}} : \mathbb{A}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$

When  $b_2^+(M) > 1$ , this is independent of a Riemannian metric and a perturbation term, thus giving a topological invariant. (If  $b_2^+(M) = 1$ , it may depend on the chamber.) The first Chern class of a  $\text{Spin}^c$  structure on  $M$  whose Seiberg-Witten invariant is nontrivial is called a *basic class* of  $M$ . For more details on the Seiberg-Witten invariant, the readers are referred to [10, 11, 12].

We will need the following gluing formulae of the Seiberg-Witten invariant.

**Lemma 2.1** *Let  $N$  be a closed oriented smooth 4-manifold with negative-definite intersection form  $Q$ . Then there exists a  $\text{Spin}^c$  structure  $\mathfrak{s}'$  on  $N$  satisfying  $c_1^2(\mathfrak{s}') = -b_2(N)$ .*

**Proof.** By the Donaldson's theorem,  $Q$  is diagonalizable. (The original Donaldson's theorem [4] is stated for the simply-connected case, but a simple application of the Mayer-Vietoris argument gives this generalization.) Let  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{b_2(N)}\}$  be a basis of  $H^2(N, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  diagonalizing  $Q$ .

We have to show that there exists an element  $x \in H^2(N, \mathbb{Z})$  such that  $Q(x, x) = -b_2(N)$ , and  $x$  is characteristic, i.e.  $Q(x, \alpha) \equiv Q(\alpha, \alpha) \pmod{2}$  for any  $\alpha \in H^2(N, \mathbb{Z})$ . This is done by taking  $x = \sum_{i=1}^{b_2(N)} \pm \alpha_i$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Theorem 2.2** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be smooth closed oriented 4-manifolds such that  $b_2^+(M) > 0$ ,  $b_2^+(N) = 0$ , and  $b_1(N) \geq 1$ . Let  $c \subset N$  be an embedded circle nontrivial in  $H_1(N, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\tilde{M}$  be the manifold obtained by performing a surgery on  $M$  with  $N$  along  $c$ .*

If  $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}$  is the  $\text{Spin}^c$  structure on  $\tilde{M}$  obtained by gluing a  $\text{Spin}^c$  structure  $\mathfrak{s}$  on  $M$  and a  $\text{Spin}^c$  structure  $\mathfrak{s}'$  on  $N$  satisfying  $c_1^2(\mathfrak{s}') = -b_2(N)$ , then

$$SW_{\tilde{M}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}}(a \cdot [d_1] \cdots [d_{b_1(N)-1}]) = \pm SW_{M, \mathfrak{s}}(a)$$

for  $a \in \mathbb{A}(M)$ , where  $[d_1], \dots, [d_{b_1(N)-1}]$  along with  $r[c]$  for some  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$  form a basis for the non-torsion part of  $H_1(N, \mathbb{Z})$ .

**Proof.** See [12]. ■

**Theorem 2.3** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be smooth closed oriented 4-manifolds such that  $b_2^+(M) > 0$ , and  $b_2^+(N) = 0$ . Suppose that  $F \subset M$  and  $\bar{F} \subset N$  are embedded 2-spheres trivial in  $H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$  and  $H_2(N, \mathbb{R})$  respectively, and  $\tilde{M}$  is the manifold obtained by performing a surgery on  $M$  with  $N$  along  $F$  and  $\bar{F}$ .*

*If  $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}$  is the  $\text{Spin}^c$  structure on  $\tilde{M}$  obtained by gluing a  $\text{Spin}^c$  structure  $\mathfrak{s}$  on  $M$  and a  $\text{Spin}^c$  structure  $\mathfrak{s}'$  on  $N$  satisfying  $c_1^2(\mathfrak{s}') = -b_2(N)$ , then*

$$SW_{\tilde{M}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}}(a \cdot [\gamma] \cdot [d_1] \cdots [d_{b_1(N)}]) = \pm SW_{M, \mathfrak{s}}(a)$$

for  $a \in \mathbb{A}(M)$ , where  $\gamma$  is a circle  $\{\text{pt}\} \times D^2$  in a small tubular neighborhood  $F \times D^2$  of  $F$ , and  $[d_1], \dots, [d_{b_1(N)}]$  form a basis for the non-torsion part of  $H_1(N, \mathbb{Z})$ .

**Proof.** Perform a surgery on  $M$  with  $S^4$  along  $F$  to obtain  $M'$ . In the same way, we get  $N'$ . The surgery on  $M'$  with  $N'$  along the circle  $\gamma$  gives  $\tilde{M}$ .

**Lemma 2.4** *Let  $\hat{M}$  be the manifold obtained from  $M$  by deleting a small tubular neighborhood of  $F$ . Then*

$$H_1(M', \mathbb{R}) \simeq H_1(\hat{M}, \mathbb{R}) \simeq H_1(M, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R},$$

and

$$H_2(M', \mathbb{R}) \simeq H_2(\hat{M}, \mathbb{R}) \simeq H_2(M, \mathbb{R}),$$

where the additional  $\mathbb{R}$ -factor is generated by  $[\gamma]$ , and the isomorphisms are induced by the obvious inclusions. Likewise for  $N'$ .

**Proof.** Obviously  $H_1(M', \mathbb{R}) \simeq H_1(\hat{M}, \mathbb{R})$ , because  $\pi_1(M') \simeq \pi_1(\hat{M})$  by the Seifert-Van Kampen theorem. To see  $H_1(\hat{M}, \mathbb{R}) \simeq H_1(M, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}$ , it is enough to show that  $i_*$  in the following commutative diagram of exact sequences is injective.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_2(\hat{M}, \partial\hat{M}) & \xrightarrow{\partial_*} & H_1(\partial\hat{M}) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & H_1(\hat{M}) \\ PD \downarrow & & \downarrow PD & & \downarrow PD \\ H^2(\hat{M}) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & H^2(\partial\hat{M}) & \xrightarrow{\partial^*} & H^3(\hat{M}, \partial\hat{M}). \end{array}$$

Suppose not. Then  $i^*$  in the above diagram is surjective. This means that there exists a nonzero element in  $H^2(M)$ , which is dual to  $[F]$ , yielding a contradiction. This also means that  $[F]$  is zero in  $H_2(\hat{M}, \mathbb{R})$ , which will be used just below.

The fact  $H_2(\hat{M}, \mathbb{R}) \simeq H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$  follows from the exact sequence

$$H_2(\partial\hat{M}) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_2(\hat{M}) \oplus H_2(S^2 \times D^2) \xrightarrow{\varphi} H_2(M) \rightarrow 0,$$

and similarly the fact  $H_2(\hat{M}, \mathbb{R}) \simeq H_2(M', \mathbb{R})$  follows from the exact sequence

$$H_2(\partial\hat{M}) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_2(\hat{M}) \oplus H_2(D^3 \times S^1) \xrightarrow{\varphi} H_2(M') \rightarrow 0,$$

where the sequences end with 0, because  $i_* : H_1(\partial\hat{M}) \rightarrow H_1(\hat{M})$  is injective. ■

Note that  $\mathfrak{s}$  and  $\mathfrak{s}'$  restrict to be trivial on  $F$  and  $\bar{F}$  respectively. Thus we abuse the notation to let  $\mathfrak{s}$  and  $\mathfrak{s}'$  be the induced  $\text{Spin}^c$  structures on  $M'$  and  $N'$  respectively. By Ozsváth and Szabó [11],

$$SW_{M', \mathfrak{s}}(a \cdot [\gamma]) = \pm SW_{M, \mathfrak{s}}(a)$$

for  $a \in \mathbb{A}(M)$ . Applying the previous theorem 2.2,

$$SW_{M', \mathfrak{s}}(a \cdot [\gamma]) = \pm SW_{\tilde{M}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}}(a \cdot [\gamma] \cdot [d_1] \cdots [d_{b_1(N)}])$$

for  $a \in \mathbb{A}(M)$ . ■

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.3

We need to have a basic class on  $\tilde{M}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{s}$  be the  $\text{Spin}^c$  structure on  $M$  with a nontrivial Seiberg-Witten invariant. Applying theorem 2.2 successively,  $\tilde{M}$  has nontrivial Seiberg-Witten invariant for  $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}$ . Write  $c_1(\tilde{\mathfrak{s}})$  as  $c_1(\mathfrak{s}) + E$  where  $E = c_1(\mathfrak{s}')$  coming from  $\cup_{i=1}^m N_i$ .

Then the proof proceeds in a similar way to [9]. First,

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(\tilde{M}) &= \chi(M) + \sum_{i=1}^m \chi(N_i) \\ &= \chi(M) + \sum_{i=1}^m (2 - 2b_1(N_i) + b_2(N_i)),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$H_2(\tilde{M}, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H_2(M, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus (\oplus_{i=1}^m H_2(N_i, \mathbb{Z}))$$

by a simple Mayer-Vietoris argument. (Here, we use the fact that  $c_i$ 's are all non-torsion.) Thus

$$2\chi(\tilde{M}) + 3\tau(\tilde{M}) = 2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M) - \sum_{i=1}^m (b_2(N_i) + 4(b_1(N_i) - 1)). \quad (1)$$

**Lemma 3.1** *Any Riemannian metric  $g$  on  $\tilde{M}$  satisfies*

$$\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\tilde{M}} \left( \frac{s_g^2}{24} + 2|W_+|_g^2 \right) d\mu_g \geq \frac{2}{3} (2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M)).$$

**Proof.** Since  $c_1(\mathfrak{s}) + E$  and  $c_1(\mathfrak{s}) - E$  are basic classes of  $\tilde{M}$ , LeBrun's estimate [9] gives

$$\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\tilde{M}} \left( \frac{s_g^2}{24} + 2|W_+|_g^2 \right) d\mu_g \geq \frac{2}{3} ((c_1(\mathfrak{s}) \pm E)^+)^2, \quad (2)$$

where  $(\cdot)^+$  denotes the self-dual harmonic part. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}((c_1(\mathfrak{s}) \pm E)^+)^2 &= (c_1(\mathfrak{s})^+ \pm E^+)^2 \\ &= (c_1(\mathfrak{s})^+)^2 \pm 2c_1(\mathfrak{s})^+ \cdot E^+ + (E^+)^2 \\ &\geq (c_1(\mathfrak{s})^+)^2 \pm 2c_1(\mathfrak{s})^+ \cdot E^+.\end{aligned}$$

Thus at least one of  $((c_1(\mathfrak{s}) + E)^+)^2$  and  $((c_1(\mathfrak{s}) - E)^+)^2$  should be greater than or equal to  $(c_1(\mathfrak{s})^+)^2$ . Say  $((c_1(\mathfrak{s}) + E)^+)^2 \geq (c_1(\mathfrak{s})^+)^2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} ((c_1(\mathfrak{s}) + E)^+)^2 &\geq c_1^2(\mathfrak{s}) \\ &\geq 2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that  $d(\mathfrak{s}) := \frac{1}{4}(c_1^2(\mathfrak{s}) - (2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M)))$ , the dimension of the Seiberg-Witten moduli space of  $(M, \mathfrak{s})$  is nonnegative.  $\blacksquare$

Now suppose that  $g$  is an Einstein metric on  $\tilde{M}$ . Then the Chern-Gauss-Bonnet formula gives :

$$\begin{aligned} 2\chi(\tilde{M}) + 3\tau(\tilde{M}) &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\tilde{M}} \left( \frac{s_g^2}{24} + 2|W_+|_g^2 - \frac{|\mathring{r}|_g^2}{2} \right) d\mu_g \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\tilde{M}} \left( \frac{s_g^2}{24} + 2|W_+|_g^2 \right) d\mu_g \\ &\geq \frac{2}{3}(2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M)). \end{aligned}$$

Combined with (1), it follows that

$$\frac{1}{3}(2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M)) \geq \sum_{i=1}^m (b_2(N_i) + 4(b_1(N_i) - 1)). \quad (3)$$

It remains to deal with the equality case in the above inequality. Suppose the equality holds. Then from the above we have

$$((c_1(\mathfrak{s}) + E)^+)^2 = c_1^2(\mathfrak{s}) = 2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M). \quad (4)$$

Suppose  $\sum_{i=1}^m (b_2(N_i) + 4(b_1(N_i) - 1)) > 0$ , which implies

$$((c_1(\mathfrak{s}) + E)^+)^2 > 0$$

by (3) and (4).

From the the equality in (2), LeBrun's result [9] says that  $(\tilde{M}, g)$  must be almost-Kähler with almost-Kähler form a multiple of  $(c_1(\mathfrak{s}) + E)^+$  such that the basic class  $c_1(\mathfrak{s}) + E$  being the anti-canonical class of the associated almost-complex structure, and the almost-Kähler form is an eigenvector of  $W_+$  everywhere.



Applying Armstrong's result [2] that any closed almost-Kähler Einstein 4-manifold whose almost-Kähler form is an eigenvector of  $W_+$  everywhere is Kähler, or Apostolov-Armstrong-Drăghici's result [1] that any closed almost-Kähler 4-manifold which saturates (2) and whose Ricci tensor is invariant under the almost-complex structure is Kähler, we conclude that  $(\tilde{M}, g)$  is Kähler.

Since  $(\tilde{M}, g)$  is Kähler-Einstein, we can apply the Enriques-Kodaira classification of compact complex surfaces. Since  $\tilde{M}$  has a nontrivial Seiberg-Witten invariant, its Kodaira dimension is nonnegative. Then it is minimal, because it admits a Kähler-Einstein metric.

Now the anti-canonical class is non-torsion, because  $c_1^2(\mathfrak{s}) > 0$  from (4). Then the basic classes of such a minimal Kähler surface are numerically equivalent to  $rc_1(K)$ , where  $|r| \leq 1$  is a rational number, and  $K$  is the canonical line bundle. (See [10].) But  $\pm(c_1(\mathfrak{s}) \pm E)$  are basic classes of  $\tilde{M}$ . This means that  $E = 0$ , implying that

$$b_2(N_i) = 0 \quad \forall i.$$

Finally using Wu's formula [14, 5] for a closed almost-complex 4-manifold, and (4),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (c_1(\mathfrak{s}) + E)^2 - (2\chi(\tilde{M}) + 3\tau(\tilde{M})) \\ &= c_1(\mathfrak{s})^2 - \sum_{i=1}^m b_2(N_i) - (2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M) - \sum_{i=1}^m (b_2(N_i) + 4(b_1(N_i) - 1))) \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^m 4(b_1(N_i) - 1), \end{aligned}$$

implying that

$$b_1(N_i) = 1 \quad \forall i.$$

Thus  $\sum_{i=1}^m (b_2(N_i) + 4(b_1(N_i) - 1)) = 0$ , yielding a contradiction.

## 4 Proof of Theorem 1.4

By successively applying theorem 2.2 and 2.3, the Seiberg-Witten invariant of  $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}})$  is nontrivial, where  $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}$  is the  $\text{Spin}^c$  structure gotten by gluing  $\mathfrak{s}$  on  $M$  which has nontrivial Seiberg-Witten invariant and  $\mathfrak{s}'$  on  $(\cup_{i=1}^m N_i) \cup (\cup_{j=1}^m \bar{N}_j)$  such that  $c_1^2(\mathfrak{s}'|_{N_i}) = -b_2(N_i)$  and  $c_1^2(\mathfrak{s}'|_{\bar{N}_j}) = -b_2(\bar{N}_j)$  for all  $i, j$ .

As before, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(\tilde{M}) &= \chi(M) + \sum_{i=1}^m \chi(N_i) + \sum_{j=1}^n (\chi(\bar{N}_j) - 4) \\ &= \chi(M) + \sum_{i=1}^m (2 - 2b_1(N_i) + b_2(N_i)) + \sum_{j=1}^n (-2 - 2b_1(\bar{N}_j) + b_2(\bar{N}_j)),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$H_2(\tilde{M}, \mathbb{R}) \simeq H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) \oplus (\oplus_{i=1}^m H_2(N_i, \mathbb{R})) \oplus (\oplus_{j=1}^n H_2(\bar{N}_j, \mathbb{R}))$$

by a simple Mayer-Vietoris argument. (Here, we use the fact that  $c_i$ 's are non-torsion, and  $F_j$ 's and  $\bar{F}_j$ 's are all torsion.) Thus

$$\begin{aligned}2\chi(\tilde{M}) + 3\tau(\tilde{M}) &= 2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M) - \sum_{i=1}^m (b_2(N_i) + 4(b_1(N_i) - 1)) \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^n (b_2(\bar{N}_j) + 4(b_1(\bar{N}_j) + 1)).\end{aligned}$$

Now proceeding in the same way as theorem 1.3, the existence of an Einstein metric on  $\tilde{M}$  dictates that

$$\frac{1}{3}(2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M)) \geq \sum_{i=1}^m (b_2(N_i) + 4(b_1(N_i) - 1)) + \sum_{j=1}^n (b_2(\bar{N}_j) + 4(b_1(\bar{N}_j) + 1)),$$

and if the equality holds, then the left hand side of the above inequality is positive, and the same argument as theorem 1.3 gives that

$$b_2(N_i) = b_2(\bar{N}_j) = 1 \quad \forall i, j,$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^m 4(b_1(N_i) - 1) + \sum_{j=1}^n 4(b_1(\bar{N}_j) + 1) = 0$$

which is a contradiction.

## References

- [1] V. Apostolov, J. Armstrong, and T. Drăghici, *Local rigidity of certain classes of almost Kähler 4-manifolds*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. **21** (2002) 151–176.
- [2] J. Armstrong, *An ansatz for almost-Kähler, Einstein 4-manifolds*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **542** (2002) 53–84.
- [3] A. Besse, *Einstein Manifolds*, Springer-Verlag (1987).
- [4] S. Donaldson, *An application of gauge theory to four dimensional topology*, J. Diff. Geom. **18** (1983), 279–315.
- [5] F. Hirzebruch and H. Hopf, *Felder von Flächenelementen in 4-dimensionalen Mannigfaltigkeiten*, Math. Ann. **136** (1958), 156–172.
- [6] N. Hitchin, *On compact four-dimensional Einstein manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom. **9** (1974), 435–441.
- [7] C. LeBrun, *Einstein metrics and Mostow rigidity*, Math. Res. Lett. **2** (1995), 1–8.
- [8] C. LeBrun, *Four manifolds without Einstein metrics*, Math. Res. Lett. **3** (1996), 133–147.
- [9] C. LeBrun, *Ricci curvature, minimal volumes, and Seiberg-Witten theory*, Invent. Math. **145** (2001), 279–316.
- [10] J. Morgan, *The Seiberg-Witten Equations and applications to the topology of smooth four-manifolds*, Princeton University Press, 1996.
- [11] P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó, *Higher type adjunction inequalities in Seiberg-Witten theory*, J. Diff. Geom. **55** (2000), 385–440.
- [12] C. Sung, *Surgery, Yamabe invariant, and Seiberg-Witten theory*, to appear, arXiv:0710.2375.
- [13] J. Thorpe, *Some remarks on the Gauss-Bonnet integral*, J. Math. Mech. **18** (1969), 779–786.
- [14] W.-T. Wu, *Sur le classes caractéristique des structures fibrées sphériques*, Actualités Sci. Ind. **1183** (1952), 1–89.