

# SQUARE-FREE RINGS AND THEIR AUTOMORPHISM GROUP

MARTIN MONTGOMERY

ABSTRACT. Finite-dimensional square-free  $K$ -algebras have been completely characterized by Anderson and D'Ambrosia as certain semigroup algebras  $A \cong K_\xi S$  over a square-free semigroup  $S$  twisted by some  $\xi \in Z^2(S, K^*)$ , a two-dimensional cocycle of  $S$  with coefficients in the group of units  $K^*$  of  $K$ . D'Ambrosia extended the definition of square-free to artinian rings with unity and showed every square-free ring has an associated division ring  $D$  and square-free semigroup  $S$ . We show a square-free ring  $R$  can be characterized as a semigroup ring over a square-free semigroup  $S$  twisted by some  $(\alpha, \xi) \in Z^2(S, D^*)$ , a two-dimensional cocycle of  $S$  with coefficients in the nonabelian group of units  $D^*$  of a division ring  $D$ . Also, to each square-free ring  $R \cong D_\xi^\alpha S$  there exists a short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow H_{(\alpha, \xi)}^1(S, D^*) \longrightarrow \text{Out } R \longrightarrow \text{Stab}_{(\alpha, \xi)}(\text{Aut } S) \longrightarrow 1.$$

connecting the outer automorphisms of  $R$  to certain cohomology groups related to  $S$  and  $D$ .

## INTRODUCTION

The study of outer automorphisms of certain algebraic structures, beginning with Stanley [13] and continued by Scharlau [10], Baclawski [4], and Coelho [6], led to a characterization of the outer automorphism group of square-free algebras by Anderson and Dambrosia [2]. There, Clark's [5] cohomology of semigroups, was adapted to prove to every square-free algebra there is an associated short exact sequence relating the first cohomology group with the outer automorphisms of the algebra. Later, their approach was adopted by Sklar [11] to obtain results about the class of binomial algebras, which includes the class of square-free algebras.

Square-free rings were defined by D'Ambrosia [7] as a generalization of square-free algebras. She developed the basic properties of square-free rings and provided examples of square-free rings that are not of the form  $D \otimes_K A$ , where  $D$  is a division ring with center  $K$  and  $A$  is a square-free  $K$ -algebra.

---

*Date:* March 23, 2022.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 16P20.

*Key words and phrases.* Square-Free Rings, Automorphism, Nonabelian Cohomology.

Presently, we will combine the above approaches to relate a square-free ring  $R$  to an associated short exact sequence with middle term the outer automorphisms of  $R$ . Utilizing ideas from non-abelian cohomology (see Dedecker [8] or Mac Lane [9] ) we further extend the cohomology of semigroups from [2] and [11] to a setting related to square-free rings.

In section 1, we review some of the basic properties of a square-free ring  $R$ . These will include the existence of a canonical division ring  $D$  as well as an associated square-free semigroup  $S$  that plays an important role in the structure of  $R$ . Investigating the ring properties of  $R$ , we start to see a connection to non-abelian cohomology. In section 2, we develop cohomological ideas useful to characterize the structure of a square-free ring as related to the *thin 2-cohomology*  $H^2(S, D^*)$  of [8]. By making use of the natural action of the semigroup automorphisms  $\text{Aut } S$  on  $H^2(S, D^*)$ , we completely determine when two square-free rings are isomorphic. Finally, in section 3 we define several more ideas related to non-abelian cohomology and proceed to show for every square-free ring, there is a short exact sequence with middle term the outer automorphism group of  $R$ .

## 1. SQUARE-FREE RINGS

Throughout this paper,  $R$  will denote a basic indecomposable artinian ring with identity and with Jacobson radical  $J = J(R)$ . We will denote by  $E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  a basic set of idempotents for  $R$  and note the modules

$$\overline{Re} \cong Re/Je \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{eR} \cong eR/eJ$$

with  $e \in E$  are irredundant sets of the isomorphism classes of simple left and right modules of  $R$ . Since  $R$  is artinian, each finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$  has a composition series. For  $e_i \in E$ , we denote by

$$c_i(M)$$

the multiplicity of the simple modules  $\overline{Re_i} \cong Re_i/Je_i$  or  $\overline{e_iR} \cong e_iR/e_iJ$  in a composition series for  $M$ .

A finitely generated indecomposable  $R$ -module is *square-free* if

$$c_i(M) \leq 1$$

for all  $e_i \in E$ . A finitely generated module is square-free if each of its indecomposable direct summands is square-free. A ring  $R$  is left square-free if  ${}_R R$  is square-free; similarly,  $R$  is right square-free if  $R_R$  is square-free. We say  $R$  is *square-free* if it both left and right square-free.

By Theorem 1.4 of [7], when  $R$  is square-free,  $e_i R e_i$  is a division ring for all  $e_i \in E$  and for all  $e_i, e_j \in E$ ,

$$\dim_{e_i R e_i}(e_i R e_j) \leq 1.$$

For  $R$  a connected ring, it follows there are ring isomorphisms  $e_i R e_i \cong e_j R e_j$  for all  $e_i, e_j \in E$ . Therefore, we speak of *the* division ring (unique up to isomorphism) for a square-free ring  $R$  and denote it by  $D$ .

Associated to any artinian ring is a digraph called the *left quiver*. The left quiver of  $R$  is a directed graph  $\Gamma$  with vertex  $E$  and  $h_{ij} = c_i(Je_j/J^2e_j)$  arrows

$$e_j \xrightarrow{\alpha_k} e_i \quad k = 1, \dots, h_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n.$$

The *right quiver* of  $R$  is defined analogously. By Lemma 2.1 of [7], for  $R$  square-free, the left and right quivers are digraph duals. For convenience, we will focus on the left quiver  $\Gamma$  of  $R$ . Given any finite directed graph  $\Gamma$ , there is a natural semigroup associated to  $\Gamma$ , called the *path semigroup of  $\Gamma$* . Associated to every square-free ring is an *algebra semigroup* called a *square-free semigroup* (see [11] and [7] for further details)  $S$  with zero element  $\theta$  and a set of pairwise orthogonal idempotents  $E \subseteq S$  such that

- (a)  $S = \bigcup_{e_i, e_j \in E} e_i S e_j$ ; and
- (b)  $|e_i S e_j \setminus \theta| \leq 1$  for each  $e_i, e_j \in E$ .

We may view  $S$  as a subset of  $R$ . To avoid any confusion in the notation, we will denote semigroup multiplication of  $s$  and  $t$  by  $s \cdot t$  and ring multiplication of  $s$  and  $t$  by  $st$ . As a subset of  $R$ ,  $S$  is not actually a semigroup, but is *nearly multiplicatively closed* in the following sense; for all  $s, t \in S$ , there is a unique  $d \in D$  with

$$st = d(s \cdot t).$$

Since above  $d$  is uniquely determined by  $s$  and  $t$  we will designate it by  $\xi(s, t)$  so that

$$st = \xi(s, t)s \cdot t.$$

Let  $R$  be a square-free ring. For convenience, we often will enumerate the idempotents in  $E$  as  $e, f, g, \dots$ . Note by definition of  $\xi$ , if  $s = e \cdot s \cdot f$ , it follows then that  $\xi(s, f) = 1$  and  $\xi(e, s) = 1$ . Let  $s = e \cdot s \cdot f \in R$ . Since  $\dim_{eRe} eRf = 1 = \dim_{fRf} fRf$ , for any  $fRf \in fRf$  there exists a unique  $er'e \in eRe$  such that

$$er'es = sfrf$$

This correspondence defines a function  $\alpha : fRf \rightarrow eRe$ . For a particular  $s$  we denote the map by  $\alpha_s$ .

**Remark 1.1.** Let  $s = esf$  and  $t = ftg$ . Since  $R$  is associative,

$$\begin{aligned} (es)(tgrg) &= (es)(\alpha_t(grg)t) \\ &= \alpha_s(\alpha_t(grg))st = \alpha_s(\alpha_t(grg))\xi(s, t)s \cdot t \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (es)(tgrg) &= (st)grg = (\xi(s, t)s \cdot t)grg \\ &= \xi(s, t)\alpha_{s,t}(grg)s \cdot t. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $s, t$  and  $grg$  are arbitrary,  $\alpha$  has the property that

$$\alpha_s \circ \alpha_t(x) = \xi(s, t)\alpha_{s,t}(x)[\xi(s, t)]^{-1}.$$

Similar to previous treatments of square-free rings (see [7]), for  $d \in D$ , we will denote by  $\rho_d$  the inner automorphism of  $D$  given by

$$\rho_d : x \longrightarrow dx d^{-1}.$$

It follows then that  $\alpha$  has the property

$$\alpha_s \circ \alpha_t = \rho_{\xi(s,t)} \circ \alpha_{s,t}.$$

## 2. SEMIGROUP COHOMOLOGY

In [5], a cohomology with coefficient set in an abelian group was developed for a special class of algebra semigroups. This cohomology was generalized [2] and later extended [11] to all algebra semigroups. We now consider the case when the coefficient set is non-abelian.

Let  $D$  be a non-abelian group and let  $\text{Aut}(D)$  be the automorphism group of  $D$ . Let  $S^{<0>} = E$ , and for each  $n > 0$ , define

$$S^{<n>} = \{(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) \in S^n \mid s_1 \cdot s_2 \cdots s_n \neq \theta\}.$$

For  $n \geq 0$  and  $G$  an arbitrary (not necessarily abelian) group, let  $F^n(S, G)$  denote the set of all functions from  $S^{<n>}$  to  $G$ . These sets are groups under multiplication and are abelian exactly when  $G$  is abelian.

The following set we will designate as *2-cochains*:

$$F^1(S, \text{Aut}(D)) \times F^2(S, D^*)$$

We are especially interested in 2-cochains  $(\alpha, \xi)$  which satisfy a ‘‘cocycle identity’’. For  $s, t, u \in S^{<3>}$ , consider all pairs  $(\alpha, \xi) \in \mathcal{C}^2(S, C)$  satisfying the following properties:

$$\alpha_s(\xi(t, u)) \xi(s, t \cdot u) = \xi(s, t)\xi(s \cdot t, u) \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\alpha_s \circ \alpha_t = \rho_{\xi(s,t)} \circ \alpha_{s,t}. \tag{2.2}$$

We call such pairs *2-cocycles* and denote the set of all 2-cocycles by  $Z^2(S, D^*)$ . Note by Remark 1.1, to any square-free ring  $R$  there is a pair  $(\alpha, \xi)$  satisfying Equation 2.2. Since  $R$  is associative, a straightforward check shows Equation 2.1 is also satisfied.

There is a group action  $*$  of  $F^0(S, \text{Aut}(D)) \times F^1(S, D^*)$  on  $Z^2(S, D^*)$  given by

$$(\mu, \eta) * (\alpha, \xi) = (\beta, \zeta)$$

$$\mu_e \circ \beta_s \circ \mu_f^{-1} = \rho_{\eta(s)} \circ \alpha_s, \quad \mu_e(\zeta(s, t)) = \eta(s)\alpha_s(\eta(t))\xi(s, t)\eta(s \cdot t)^{-1}$$

for  $s = e \cdot s \cdot f, t = f \cdot t \cdot g \in S$ . Altering slightly a definition from [8], we call the orbits under  $*$  the *thin 2-cohomology* and denote these orbits by

$$H^2(S, D^*).$$

**Definition 2.1.** We say that two cocycles  $(\alpha, \xi)$  and  $(\beta, \zeta)$  are *cohomologous* if there is a  $(\mu, \eta) \in F^0(S, \text{Aut}(D)) \times F^1(S, D^*)$  such that for all  $s, t \in S^*$

$$\mu_e \circ \beta_s \circ \mu_f^{-1} = \rho_{\eta(s)} \circ \alpha_s \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\mu_e(\zeta(s, t)) = \eta(s)\alpha_s(\eta(t))\xi(s, t)\eta(s \cdot t)^{-1} \tag{2.4}$$

for  $s = e \cdot s \cdot f, t = f \cdot t \cdot g \in S$ . We will write  $[\alpha, \xi] = [\beta, \zeta]$  for cohomologous cycles.

We say that a cocycle  $(\alpha, \xi) \in Z^2(S, D^*)$  is *normal* if we have  $\alpha_e = 1_D$  and  $\xi(e, e) = 1$  for every  $e \in E$ . If  $(\alpha, \xi)$  is a 2-cocycle, we may define

$$\eta(s) = \begin{cases} \xi(s, s)^{-1} & \text{if } s \in E \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then  $(1_D, \eta) * (\alpha, \xi)$  is a normal cocycle that is cohomologous with  $(\alpha, \xi)$ . Hence, every cohomology class  $[\alpha, \xi] \in H^2(S, D^*)$  has a normal representative.

Adopting the notation of [7], for square-free semigroups  $S$  as above, we write

$$R \cong D_\xi^\alpha S$$

for the left  $D$ -vector space on  $S^*$  with multiplication defined by

$$st = \begin{cases} \xi(s, t)s \cdot t & \text{if } s \cdot t \neq \theta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for all  $s, t \in S^*$ , and

$$sd = \alpha_s(d)$$

for all  $s \in S^*$  and  $d \in D$ . Extending the multiplication by linearity gives a square-free ring  $R$  with associated division ring  $D$  and semigroup  $S$ .

We can use the group  $\text{Aut}(S)$  of semigroup automorphisms to define another action on  $Z^2(S, D^*)$ . Given  $\phi \in \text{Aut}(S)$  and 2-cocycle  $(\alpha, \xi) \in Z^2(S, D^*)$ , we can, as in [1], [7] and [11], define a new 2-cocycle  $(\alpha^\phi, \xi^\phi) \in Z^2(S, D^*)$  by

$$\alpha^\phi(s) = \alpha(\phi(s)) = \alpha_{\phi(s)} \quad \xi^\phi(s, t) = \xi(\phi(s), \phi(t))$$

for all  $s, t \in S$  with  $s \cdot t \neq \theta$ .

If  $(\mu, \eta) \in F^0(S, \text{Aut}(D)) \times F^1(S, D^*)$  with

$$(\mu, \eta) * (\alpha, \xi) = (\beta, \zeta)$$

and we define  $\mu^\phi(s) = \mu_{\phi(e)}$  and  $\eta^\phi(s) = \eta(\phi(s))$  for  $s = e \cdot s$  then

$$(\mu^\phi, \eta^\phi) * (\alpha^\phi, \xi^\phi) = (\beta^\phi, \zeta^\phi)$$

Hence,  $[\alpha, \xi] = [\beta, \zeta]$  if and only if  $[\alpha^\phi, \xi^\phi] = [\beta^\phi, \zeta^\phi]$  and  $\text{Aut}(S)$  acts on  $H^2(S, D^*)$ .

We can then extend a result from [1], [7] and [11]:

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $S$  be a square-free semigroup, and let  $[\alpha, \xi], [\beta, \zeta] \in H^2(S, D^*)$ . If*

$$[\beta, \zeta] = [\alpha^\phi, \xi^\phi]$$

for some  $\phi \in \text{Aut } S$ , then as rings, we have

$$D_\zeta^\beta S \cong D_\xi^\alpha S.$$

It follows then, for each  $(\alpha, \xi) \in Z^2(S, D^*)$  there exists a normal  $(\beta, \zeta) \in Z^2(S, D^*)$  with  $D_\zeta^\beta S \cong D_\xi^\alpha S$ .

*Proof.* Since  $[\beta, \zeta] = [\alpha^\phi, \xi^\phi]$ , there is a pair  $(\mu, \eta) \in F^0(S, \text{Aut}(D)) \times F^1(S, D^*)$  such that

$$\mu_e \circ \beta_s \circ \mu_f^{-1} = \rho_{\eta(s)} \circ \alpha_s^\phi \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$\mu_e(\zeta(s, t)) = \eta(s) \alpha_s^\phi(\eta(t)) \xi^\phi(s, t) \eta(s \cdot t)^{-1} \tag{2.6}$$

are satisfied for  $(s, t) \in S^{<2>}$  with  $s = e \cdot s \cdot f$ . Define a map  $\gamma : D_\zeta^\beta S \rightarrow D_\xi^\alpha S$  by

$$\gamma(ds) = \mu_e(d) \eta(s) \phi(s)$$

and extend linearly. We claim  $\gamma$  is a ring isomorphism. Certainly,  $\gamma$  is one-to-one, onto, and preserves addition. Let  $d_1 s, d_2 t \in D_\zeta^\beta S$  with  $s = e \cdot s \cdot f, t =$

$f \cdot t \cdot g \in S$  and consider  $\gamma(d_1 s d_2 t)$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \gamma(d_1 s d_2 t) &= \gamma(d_1 \beta_s(d_2) s t) = \gamma(d_1 \beta_s(d_2) \zeta(s, t) s \cdot t) \\
 &= \mu_e(d_1 \beta_s(d_2) \zeta(s, t)) \eta(s \cdot t) \phi(s \cdot t) \\
 &= \mu_e(d_1) [\mu_e(\beta_s(d_2))] [\mu_e(\zeta(s, t))] \eta(s \cdot t) \phi(s \cdot t) \\
 &= \mu_e(d_1) [\mu_e \circ \beta_s \circ \mu_f^{-1}(\mu_f(d_2))] [\eta(s) \alpha_s^\phi(\eta(t)) \xi^\phi(s, t) \eta(s \cdot t)^{-1}] \eta(s \cdot t) \phi(s \cdot t) \\
 &= \mu_e(d_1) [\eta(s) \alpha_s^\phi(\mu_f(d_2)) \eta(s)^{-1}] \eta(s) \alpha_s^\phi(\eta(t)) \xi^\phi(s, t) \phi(s \cdot t) \\
 &= \mu_e(d_1) \eta(s) \alpha_{\phi(s)}(\mu_f(d_2)) \alpha_{\phi(s)}(\eta(t)) \xi(\phi(s), \phi(t)) \phi(s \cdot t) \\
 &= \mu_e(d_1) \eta(s) \alpha_{\phi(s)}(\mu_f(d_2)) \alpha_{\phi(s)}(\eta(t)) \phi(s) \phi(t) \\
 &= \mu_e(d_1) \eta(s) \phi(s) \mu_f(d_2) \eta(t) \phi(t) = \gamma(d_1 s) \gamma(d_2 t)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\gamma$  is a ring isomorphism.  $\square$

For  $S$  a square-free semigroup and 2-cocycles  $(\alpha, \xi), (\beta, \zeta) \in Z^2(S, D^*)$ , we say a ring isomorphism  $\gamma : D_\zeta^\beta S \rightarrow D_\xi^\alpha S$  is *normal* if  $\gamma(E) = E$ . Then as in [11], we have the following:

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $S$  be a square-free semigroup, let  $[\alpha, \xi]$  and  $[\beta, \zeta]$  be normal 2-cocycles in  $Z^2(S, D^*)$ , and let  $\gamma$  be a normal ring isomorphism from  $D_\zeta^\beta S$  to  $D_\xi^\alpha S$ . Then there exists an automorphism  $\phi$  of the semigroup  $S$  and  $(\mu, \eta) \in F^0(S, \text{Aut}(D)) \times F^1(S, D^*)$  such that*

$$\gamma(ds) = \mu_e(d) \eta(s) \phi(s)$$

for  $s = e \cdot s \cdot f \in S^*$ .

*Proof.* First, we show for every  $s \in S^*$ , there is a unique element  $\phi(s) \in S^*$  such that  $\gamma(s) \in D\phi(s)$ . Since  $\gamma : D_\zeta^\beta S \rightarrow D_\xi^\alpha S$  is a ring isomorphism, we must have

$$\gamma(s) = \sum_{t \in S^*} d_t t$$

with  $d_t \in D$ . But for some  $e, f \in E$ ,  $s = e \cdot s \cdot f$  and

$$\gamma(s) = \gamma(e) \gamma(s) \gamma(f) = \gamma(e) \left( \sum_{t \in S^*} d_t t \right) \gamma(f).$$

Since  $d_t t = t \alpha_t^{-1}(d_t)$  for all  $d_t$  and  $t$ , it follows that

$$\gamma(s) = \left( \sum_{t \in S^*} d_t \gamma(e) \cdot t \cdot \gamma(f) \right).$$

But since  $S$  is square-free and  $\gamma$  is an isomorphism,  $|\gamma(e) \cdot S^* \cdot \gamma(f)| = 1$ . Denote by  $t_s$  the unique element in  $S^*$  such that  $\gamma(e) \cdot t_s \cdot \gamma(f)$  whenever  $s = e \cdot s \cdot f$  and define  $\phi(s)$  by

$$\phi(s) = t_s$$

and  $\phi(\theta) = \theta$ . Since  $\gamma$  is a ring isomorphism, it follows that  $\phi \in \text{Aut } S$ . Also,  $\gamma(s) = d_s \phi(s)$  for some unique  $d_s \in D$ . Define  $\eta : S^* \rightarrow D^*$  by

$$\eta(s) = d_s.$$

Then  $\eta \in F^1(S, D^*)$ . Now for any  $d \in D$ , we must have

$$\gamma(ds) = \gamma(de \cdot s) = \gamma(de) \gamma(s) = \gamma(de) \eta(s) \phi(s) \in D \phi(s)$$

and it follows that  $\gamma(de) \in D$ . Define  $\mu$  by

$$\mu_e(d) = \gamma(de)$$

for every  $e \in E$  and  $d$  in  $D$ . Again, since  $\gamma$  is an isomorphism, it follows that  $\mu_e \in \text{Aut}(D)$ . Then  $\mu \in F^0(S, \text{Aut}(D))$  and

$$\gamma(ds) = \mu_e(d) \eta(s) \phi(s)$$

as desired. □

Suppose  $e \in E$ . As above,  $\gamma(e) = \gamma(e^2) = \gamma(e) \gamma(e)$  and the map  $\eta \in F^1(S, D^*)$  from Lemma 2.3 has the property

$$\eta(e) \phi(e) = \eta(e) \phi(e) = \eta(e) \phi(e) \Rightarrow \eta(e) = \eta(e) \alpha_e(\eta(e)) = [\eta(e)]^2.$$

Hence,  $\eta(e) = 1$  for all  $e \in E$ .

We now have the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $S$  be a square-free semigroup, and let  $[\alpha, \xi], [\beta, \zeta] \in H^2(S, D^*)$ . Then*

$$D_\zeta^\beta S \cong D_\xi^\alpha S$$

*if and only if there exists an automorphism  $\phi \in \text{Aut}(S)$  such that*

$$[\alpha^\phi, \xi^\phi] = [\beta, \zeta]$$

*Proof.* In Lemma 2.2, we showed that  $[\alpha^\phi, \xi^\phi] = [\beta, \zeta]$  for some  $\phi \in \text{Aut}(S)$  gives  $D_\xi^\alpha S \cong D_\zeta^\beta S$  as rings.

Suppose  $D_\xi^\alpha S \cong D_\zeta^\beta S$  via the ring isomorphism  $\gamma$ , and assume, without loss of generality, that  $\xi$  and  $\zeta$  are normal. Since  $\gamma$  is an isomorphism, we know that  $E$  and  $\gamma(E)$  are both basic sets for  $D_\zeta^\beta S$ ; therefore, there exists an invertible element  $u \in D_\zeta^\beta S$  such that

$$\rho_u \circ \gamma(E) = u \gamma(E) u^{-1} = E$$



(see [3], Exercise 7.2 (2)). Then  $\rho_u \circ \gamma$  is a normal isomorphism from  $D_\xi^\alpha S$  to  $D_\zeta^\beta S$ ; thus we may assume without loss of generality that we begin with  $\gamma$  a normal map. By Lemma 2.3, there exists  $(\mu, \eta) \in F^0(S, \text{Aut}(D)) \times F^1(S, D^*)$  and an automorphism  $\phi \in \text{Aut}(S)$  such that

$$\gamma(ds) = \mu_e(d)\eta(s)\phi(s)$$

for every  $s = e \cdot s \cdot f \in S^*$ . Then for  $s, t \in S$  with  $s \cdot t \neq \theta$  (and  $\phi(s) \cdot \phi(t) \neq \theta$ ), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_e(\zeta(s, t))\eta(s \cdot t)\phi(s \cdot t) &= \gamma(\zeta(s, t)s \cdot t) \\ &= \gamma(st) = \gamma(s)\gamma(t) \\ &= \eta(s)\phi(s)\eta(t)\phi(t) \\ &= \eta(s)\alpha_s^\phi(\eta(t))\xi^\phi(s, t)\phi(s \cdot t) \end{aligned}$$

Equating coefficients in  $D$  gives

$$\mu_e(\zeta(s, t)) = \eta(s)\alpha_s^\phi(\eta(t))\xi^\phi(s, t)\eta(s \cdot t)^{-1}. \quad (2.7)$$

For  $s = e \cdot s \cdot f \in S$  and  $t = f \cdot t \in S$  such that  $s \cdot t \neq \theta$ , consider the arbitrary element of  $D$  denoted by  $\mu_f^{-1}(d)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(s(\mu_f^{-1}(d)f)) &= \gamma(\beta_s(\mu_f^{-1}(d))s) \Rightarrow \gamma(s)\gamma(\mu_f^{-1}(d)f) = \mu_e(\beta_s(\mu_f^{-1}(d)))\eta(s)\phi(s) \\ &\Rightarrow \eta(s)\phi(s)d = \mu_e(\beta_s(\mu_f^{-1}(d)))\eta(s)\phi(s) \\ &\Rightarrow \eta(s)\alpha_s^\phi(d) = \mu_e(\beta_s(\mu_f^{-1}(d)))\eta(s) \end{aligned}$$

It follows then that

$$\mu_e \circ \beta_s \circ \mu_f^{-1} = \rho_{\eta(s)} \circ \alpha_s^\phi \quad (2.8)$$

By Equations 2.7 and 2.8, we have

$$(\mu, \eta) * (\alpha^\phi, \xi^\phi) = (\beta, \zeta).$$

Hence  $[\alpha^\phi, \xi^\phi] = [\beta, \zeta] \in \mathbb{H}^2(S, D^*)$ , as desired.  $\square$

### 3. THE AUTOMORPHISM GROUP OF A SQUARE-FREE RING

Let  $R$  be a binomial ring. By Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 2.4, we may assume  $R \cong D_\xi^\alpha S$ , where  $S$  is a square-free semigroup with idempotent set  $E$ ,  $D$  is the canonical division ring related to  $R$  and  $(\alpha, \xi) \in Z^2(S, D^*)$  is a normal 2-cocycle. We will now consider the outer automorphism group

$$\text{Out}R = \text{Aut } R / \text{Inn } R$$

of  $R$ , where  $\text{Aut } R$  is the group of all ring automorphisms and  $\text{Inn}(R)$  is the normal subgroup of  $\text{Aut } R$  consisting of maps  $\rho_r$  for invertible  $r \in R$ . Finally,  $1_D$  and  $1_S$ , respectively, will each denote the identity automorphism of the ring  $D$  and the semigroup  $S$ . An unlabeled 1 will denote the multiplicative identity of  $D$ .

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $(\alpha, \xi) \in Z^2(S, D^*)$ . A pair  $(\mu, \eta) \in F^0(S, \text{Aut}(D)) \times F^1(S, D^*)$  is called an  $(\alpha, \xi)$  1-cocyle if

$$\mu_e \circ \alpha_s \circ \mu_f = \rho_{\eta(s)} \circ \alpha_s \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\mu_e(\xi(s, t)) = \eta(s)\alpha_s(\eta(t))\xi(s, t)\eta(s \cdot t)^{-1} \quad (3.2)$$

for all  $s = e \cdot s \cdot f \in S$  and  $(s, t) \in S^{<2>}$ . The set of all  $(\alpha, \xi)$  1-cocyles will be denoted by  $Z_{(\alpha, \xi)}^1(S, D^*)$ .

**Remark 3.2.** Note that  $Z_{(\alpha, \xi)}^1(S, D^*)$  is the stabilizer of  $(\alpha, \xi)$  under the action  $*$  of  $F^0(S, \text{Aut}(D)) \times F^1(S, D^*)$  on  $Z^2(S, D^*)$ . Hence  $Z_{(\alpha, \xi)}^1(S, D^*)$  is a subgroup of  $F^0(S, \text{Aut}(D)) \times F^1(S, D^*)$ . It easily follows that  $Z_{(\beta, \zeta)}^1(S, D^*) \cong Z_{(\alpha^\phi, \xi^\phi)}^1(S, D^*)$  whenever  $[\beta, \zeta] = [\alpha^\phi, \xi^\phi]$  in  $H^2(S, D^*)$ .

There is a group action  $\star : F^0(S, D^*) \times Z_{(\alpha, \xi)}^1(S, D^*) \rightarrow Z_{(\alpha, \xi)}^1(S, D^*)$  given by

$$\epsilon \star (\mu, \eta) = (\hat{\mu}, \hat{\eta})$$

$$(\hat{\mu}(s))(d) = (\rho_{\epsilon(e)} \circ \mu_s)(d) \quad \hat{\eta}(s) = \epsilon(e)\eta(s)\alpha_s(\epsilon_f^{-1})$$

for  $d \in D$  and  $s = e \cdot s \cdot f$ .

**Definition 3.3.** We call the orbit of  $(1_D, 1)$  under this action the set of  $(\alpha, \xi)$  1-coboundaries and denote it by  $B_{(\alpha, \xi)}^1(S, D^*)$ . An easy check shows  $B_{(\alpha, \xi)}^1(S, D^*)$  is a normal subgroup of  $Z_{(\alpha, \xi)}^1(S, D^*)$ . We define the  $(\alpha, \xi)$  1-cohomology group of  $S$  with coefficients in  $D^*$  to be the factor group

$$H_{(\alpha, \xi)}^1(S, D^*) = Z_{(\alpha, \xi)}^1(S, D^*) / B_{(\alpha, \xi)}^1(S, D^*).$$

For  $(\mu, \eta) \in Z_{(\alpha, \xi)}^1(S, D^*)$ , let  $\sigma_{\mu\eta} : R \rightarrow R$  be the map defined by

$$\sigma_{\mu\eta}(ds) = \mu_e(d)\eta(s)s$$

for  $d \in D$  and  $s = e \cdot s \in S$ . By extending  $\sigma_{\mu\eta}$  linearly and utilizing the defining properties of  $Z_{(\alpha, \xi)}^1(S, D^*)$ , one can verify that  $\sigma_{\mu\eta}$  is a ring homomorphism. Then we have the following:

**Lemma 3.4.** *There is a homomorphism  $\hat{\Lambda} : Z_{(\alpha, \xi)}^1(S, D^*) \rightarrow \text{Aut } R$ .*

*Proof.* For  $(\mu, \eta) \in Z_{(\alpha, \xi)}^1(S, D^*)$  and  $ds \in R \cong D_\xi^\alpha S$ , we easily see

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Lambda}((\mu, \eta)) \circ \Lambda((\hat{\mu}, \hat{\eta}))(ds) &= \hat{\Lambda}((\mu, \eta))(\hat{\mu}(d)\hat{\eta}(s)(s)) \\ &= \mu(\hat{\mu}(d)\hat{\eta}(s))\eta(s)s \\ &= (\mu \circ \hat{\mu})(d)\mu(\hat{\eta}(s))\eta(s)s = \hat{\Lambda}((\mu, \eta)(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\eta}))(ds) \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 3.5.** *There exists a monomorphism*

$$\Lambda : H_{(\alpha, \xi)}^1(S, D^*) \rightarrow \text{Out } R$$

*defined by*

$$\Lambda : (B^1(S, D^*))(\mu, \eta) \rightarrow (\text{Inn } R)\sigma_{\mu\eta}$$

*for every  $(\mu, \eta) \in Z_{(\alpha, \xi)}^1(S, D^*)$ .*

*Proof.* It is enough to show for  $(\mu, \eta) \in Z_{(\alpha, \xi)}^1(S, D^*)$  that  $(\mu, \eta) \in B_{(\alpha, \xi)}^1(S, D^*)$  if and only if  $\sigma_{\mu\eta} \in \text{Inn } R$ . First suppose  $(\mu, \eta) \in B_{(\alpha, \xi)}^1(S, D^*)$ . Then there exists  $\epsilon \in F^0(S, D^*)$  such that

$$\mu_e(d) = \rho_{\epsilon(e)}(d)$$

$$\eta(s) = \epsilon(e)\alpha_s(\epsilon(f)^{-1})$$

for  $d \in D$  and  $s = e \cdot s \cdot f \in S$ . Let  $r = \sum_{e \in E} \epsilon(e)e \in R$ . Then  $r^{-1} = \sum_{f \in E} \epsilon(f)^{-1}f \in R$  and

$$\sigma_{\mu\eta}(ds) = \mu_e(d)\eta(s)s = \rho_{\epsilon(e)}(d)\epsilon(e)\eta(s)\alpha_s(\epsilon(f)^{-1})s$$

$$\mu_e(d)\eta(s)s = \rho_{\epsilon(e)}(d)\epsilon(e)\eta(s)s\epsilon(f)^{-1}$$

$$= \epsilon(e)ds\epsilon_f^{-1}$$

$$= \left( \sum_{e \in E} \epsilon(e)e \right) ds \left( \sum_{f \in E} \epsilon^{-1}(f)f \right)$$

$$= \rho_r(ds)$$

and it follows that  $\sigma_{\mu\eta} \in \text{Inn } R$ .

Now suppose  $\sigma_{\mu\eta} \in \text{Inn } R$ . Then for all  $d \in D^*$  and  $s = e \cdot s \cdot f \in S$ , there exists  $r \in R$  such that

$$\sigma_{\mu\eta}(ds) = \rho_r(ds) \Rightarrow \mu(d)\eta(s)s = r(ds)r^{-1}.$$

Since  $r \in R$ , we have  $r = \sum_{t \in S} d_t t$  and  $r^{-1} = \sum_{t \in S} \hat{d}_t t$ . But

$$\mu(d)\eta(s)s = r(ds)r^{-1}$$

implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(s)s &= r(s)r^{-1} = \left( \sum_{t \in S} d_t t \right) s \left( \sum_{s \in S} \hat{d}_t t \right) \\ &= d_e s \hat{d}_f = d_e \alpha_s(\hat{d}_f) s. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sigma_{\mu\eta} \in \text{Aut } R$  and  $\sigma_{\mu\eta}(e) = \sigma_{\mu\eta}(e^2)$  implies

$$\eta(e) = \eta(e)\alpha_e(\eta(e)) = \eta(e)\eta(e) \Rightarrow \eta(e) = 1,$$

setting  $s = e$  from above gives

$$1 = d_e \hat{d}_e \Rightarrow \hat{d}_e = d_e^{-1}$$

for all  $e \in E$ . Define  $\epsilon \in F^0(S, D^*)$  by

$$\epsilon(e) = d_e$$

for  $e \in E$ . By the work above,

$$\eta(s) = \epsilon(e)\alpha_s(\epsilon(f)^{-1}) \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\mu(d)\eta(s)s = r(ds)r^{-1} \Rightarrow \mu(d)d_e\alpha_s(d_f^{-1})s = d_e d\alpha_s(d_f^{-1})s$$

from which we have

$$\mu(d) = d_e d d_e^{-1} = \rho_{e(e)}(d). \quad (3.4)$$

Equations 3.3 and 3.4 show that  $(\mu, \eta) \in B^1(S, D^*)$ .  $\square$

For the idempotent set  $E \subset S$ , define  $\text{Aut}_0 R$  by

$$\text{Aut}_0 R = \{\gamma_0 \mid \gamma_0(E) = E\}.$$

For any  $\gamma \in \text{Aut}(R)$ , it follows (by Lemma 2.4 of [2]) there exists a normal automorphism  $\gamma_0$  of  $R$  with  $(\text{Inn } R)\gamma = (\text{Inn } R)\gamma_0$ . If in addition,  $\rho_r \in \text{Inn } R \cap \text{Aut}_0 R$ , we must have  $\rho_r(E) = E$ . If  $R$  is basic, since  $Re \cong R\rho_r(e)$  for all  $e \in E$ , we must have  $\rho_r(e) = e$  for all  $e \in E$ . It follows if  $(\text{Inn } R)\gamma_0 = (\text{Inn } R)\gamma'_0$  with  $\gamma_0, \gamma'_0 \in \text{Aut}_0 R$  then  $\gamma_0(e) = \gamma'_0(e)$  for all  $e \in E$ . By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\gamma_0(e) = \phi(e) = \gamma'_0(e)$$

for some  $\phi \in \text{Aut } E$ . Since  $S$  is square-free,  $\phi$  is also an automorphism of  $S$  and we have a well-defined map

$$\Phi : \text{Out } R \rightarrow \text{Aut } S$$

given by

$$(\text{Inn } R)\gamma_0 \rightarrow \phi,$$

where  $\gamma_0 \in \text{Aut}_0 R$  such that  $\gamma_0(ds) = \mu_e(d)\eta(s)\phi(s)$  for  $d \in D$  and  $s = e \cdot s \in S$ . A straightforward check shows that  $\Phi$  is a group homomorphism.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Im  $\Lambda = \text{Ker } \Phi$*

*Proof.* Let  $(B^1(S, D^*))(\mu, \eta) \in H^1_{(\alpha, \xi)}(S, D^*)$ . Then

$$\Phi\Lambda((B^1(S, D^*))(\mu, \eta)) = \Phi((\text{Inn}(R))\sigma_{\mu\eta}) = 1_S.$$

It follows then that  $\text{Im } \Lambda \subseteq \text{Ker } \Phi$ .

Now let  $\gamma \in \text{Aut}_0 R$  with  $(\text{Inn } R)\gamma \in \text{Ker } \Phi$ . Then by Lemma 2.3, for each  $s \in S$ ;

$$\gamma(s) = \eta(s)s.$$

Because  $\gamma$  is a homomorphism,  $\gamma(st) = \gamma(s)\gamma(t)$  and it follows that

$$\mu_e(\xi(s, t))\eta(s \cdot t) = \eta(s)\alpha_s(\eta(t))\xi(s, t). \quad (3.5)$$

Also,  $\gamma(s(dt)) = \gamma(s)\gamma(dt)$  and from Equation 3.5 we have

$$\mu_e \circ \alpha_s \circ \mu_f^{-1} = \rho_{\eta(s)} \circ \alpha_s. \quad (3.6)$$

From the above equations we see  $(\mu, \eta)$  is a 1-cocycle and we have

$$(\text{Inn } R)\gamma = (\text{Inn } R)\sigma_{\mu\eta} = \Lambda((B^1(S, D^*))(\mu, \eta)) \in \text{Im } \Lambda.$$

$\square$

We now focus on finding the image of  $\Lambda$  in  $\text{Aut } S$ . Recall that  $\text{Aut } S$  acts on  $H^2(S, D^*)$ . For any element  $[\alpha, \xi] \in H^2(S, D^*)$ , the stabilizer of the element is the set

$$\text{Stab}_{[\alpha, \xi]}(\text{Aut } S) = \{\phi \in \text{Aut}(S) \mid [\alpha, \xi] = [\alpha^\phi, \xi^\phi]\}.$$

This means there exists an element  $(\mu, \eta) \in F^0(S, \text{Aut}(D)) \times F^1(S, D^*)$  such that

$$\mu_e \circ \alpha_s \circ \mu_f^{-1} = \rho_{\eta(s)} \circ \alpha_s^\phi \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$\mu_e(\xi(s, t)) = \eta(s)\alpha_s(\eta(t))\xi^\phi(s, t)\eta(s \cdot t)^{-1} \quad (3.8)$$

for all  $(s, t) \in S^{\langle 2 \rangle}$ .

**Lemma 3.7.** *Im  $\Phi = \text{Stab}_{[\alpha, \xi]}(\text{Aut } S)$ .*

*Proof.* First, suppose  $\phi \in \text{Aut } S$  and  $(\mu, \eta) \in F^0(S, \text{Aut}(D)) \times F^1(S, D^*)$  with

$$\mu_e \circ \alpha_s \circ \mu_f^{-1} = \rho_{\eta(s)} \circ \alpha_s^\phi \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$\mu_e(\xi(s, t)) = \eta(s)\alpha_s(\eta(t))\xi^\phi(s, t)\eta(s \cdot t)^{-1} \quad (3.10)$$

for all  $(s, t) \in S^{\langle 2 \rangle}$ . Define a map  $\sigma_{\mu\eta\phi} : R \rightarrow R$  by

$$\sigma_{\mu\eta\phi}(ds) = \mu_e(d)\eta(s)\phi(s)$$

for  $d \in S$  and  $s = e \cdot s \in S$ . Clearly,  $\sigma_{\mu\eta\phi}$  preserves addition. We must show that it also preserves multiplication. Let  $d_1, d_2 \in D$  and  $s = e \cdot s \cdot f$ ,  $t = f \cdot t \in S$  with  $s \cdot t \neq \theta$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\mu\eta\phi}(d_1s)\sigma_{\mu\eta\phi}(d_2t) &= \mu_e(d_1)\eta(s)\phi(s)\mu_f(d_2)\eta(t)\phi(t) \\ &= \mu_e(d_1)\eta(s)\alpha_s^\phi(\mu_f(d_2))\alpha_s^\phi(\eta(t))\phi(s)\phi(t) \\ &= \mu_e(d_1)\rho_{\eta(s)}(\alpha_s^\phi(\mu_f(d_2)))\eta(s)\alpha_s^\phi(\eta(t))\xi^\phi(s, t)\phi(s \cdot t) \\ &= \mu_e(d_1)[\rho_{\eta(s)}(\alpha_s^\phi(\mu_f(d_2)))]\eta(s)\alpha_s^\phi(\eta(t))\xi^\phi(s, t)\eta(s \cdot t)^{-1}\eta(s \cdot t)\phi(s \cdot t) \\ &= \mu_e(d_1)[\mu_e(\alpha_s(d_2))]\mu_e(\xi(s, t))\eta(s \cdot t)\phi(s \cdot t) \\ &= \sigma_{\mu\eta\phi}(d_1\alpha_s(d_2)\xi(s, t)s \cdot t) = \sigma_{\mu\eta\phi}(d_1sd_2t) \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that  $\sigma_{\mu\eta\phi} \in \text{Aut}_0 R$ .

Conversely, now suppose that  $\gamma \in \text{Aut}_0 R$  with  $\phi = \Phi(\text{Inn}(R))\gamma$ . Then by Lemma 2.3,  $\gamma = \sigma_{\mu\eta\phi}$  for some  $\mu \in F^0(S, \text{Aut}(D))$  and  $\eta \in F^1(S, D^*)$ . Since

$\gamma = \sigma_{\mu\eta\phi}$  is a homomorphism,  $\sigma_{\mu\eta\phi}(st) = \sigma_{\mu\eta\phi}(s)\sigma_{\mu\eta\phi}(t)$  for all  $s = e \cdot s, t = f \cdot t \in S$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\mu\eta\phi}(st) &= \sigma_{\mu\eta\phi}(s)\sigma_{\mu\eta\phi}(t) \Rightarrow \sigma_{\mu\eta\phi}(\xi(s, t)s \cdot t) = \eta(s)\phi(s)\eta(t)\phi(t) \\ &\Rightarrow \mu_e(\xi(s, t))\eta(s \cdot t)\phi(s \cdot t) = \eta(s)\alpha_s^\phi(\eta(t))\xi^\phi(s, t)\phi(s \cdot t) \end{aligned}$$

Equating coefficients of  $\phi(s \cdot t)$ , it follows

$$\mu_e(\xi(s, t)) = \eta(s)\alpha_s^\phi(\eta(t))\xi^\phi(s, t)\eta(s \cdot t)^{-1} \quad (3.11)$$

Furthermore,

$$\sigma_{\mu\eta\phi}(s\mu_f^{-1}(d)t) = \sigma_{\mu\eta\phi}(s)\sigma_{\mu\eta\phi}(\mu_f^{-1}(d)t) \Rightarrow \sigma_{\mu\eta\phi}(\alpha_s(\mu_f^{-1}(d)\xi(s, t)s \cdot t) = \eta(s)\phi(s)d\eta(t)\phi(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_e(\alpha_s(\mu_f^{-1}(d)))[\mu_e(\xi(s, t))\eta(s \cdot t)]\phi(s \cdot t) = \eta(s)\alpha_s^\phi(d)\alpha_s^\phi(\eta(t))\xi^\phi(s, t)\phi(s \cdot t)$$

Equating coefficients and using Equation 3.11 above, it follows

$$\mu_e(\alpha_s(\mu_f^{-1}(d))[\eta(s)\alpha_s^\phi(\eta(t))\xi^\phi(s, t)]) = \eta(s)\alpha_s^\phi(d)\alpha_s^\phi(\eta(t))\xi^\phi(s, t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_e(\alpha_s(\mu_f^{-1}(d))) = \eta(s)\alpha_s^\phi(d)\eta(s)^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_e \circ \alpha_s \circ \mu_f^{-1} = \rho_{\eta(s)} \circ \alpha_s^\phi.$$

So  $\phi \in \text{Stab}_{[\alpha, \xi]}(\text{Aut}(S))$  and  $\text{Im } \Phi = \text{Stab}_{[\alpha, \xi]}(\text{Aut}(S))$ . □

Finally, we have the main theorem of this section.

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $R$  be a square-free ring with associated semigroup  $S$ , division ring  $D$  and normal  $(\xi, \alpha) \in Z^2(S, D^*)$  such that  $R \cong D_\xi^\alpha S$ . The following sequence is exact:*

$$1 \longrightarrow H_{(\alpha, \xi)}^1(S, D^*) \xrightarrow{\Lambda} \text{Out } R \xrightarrow{\Phi} \text{Stab}_{(\alpha, \xi)}(\text{Aut } S) \longrightarrow 1.$$

*If  $[\alpha, \xi] = [1_D, 1]$ , then  $\text{Stab}_{(\alpha, \xi)}(\text{Aut } S) = \text{Aut } S$  and the following sequence is exact:*

$$1 \longrightarrow H_{(\alpha, \xi)}^1(S, D^*) \xrightarrow{\Lambda} \text{Out } R \xrightarrow{\Phi} \text{Aut } S \longrightarrow 1.$$

*Moreover, this last sequence splits, and so in this case  $\text{Out } R$  is isomorphic to*

$$H_{(\alpha, \xi)}^1(S, D^*) \times \text{Aut } S.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.2, we may assume  $(\alpha, \xi)$  is normal. The previous lemmas of this section prove the statement regarding the first short exact sequence, so it enough to show the sequence splits in the case that  $[\alpha, \xi] = [1_D, 1]$ . We can

assume that  $(\alpha, \xi) = (1_D, 1)$ . In this case  $s(dt) = ds \cdot t \in R$  for all  $d \in D$  and  $s, t \in S$ . Given  $\phi \in \text{Aut } S$ , define the map  $\sigma_\phi$  by

$$\sigma_\phi(ds) = d\phi(s)$$

for all  $d \in D$  and  $s \in S$  and extend linearly. An easy check shows that  $\sigma_\phi \in \text{Aut}_0 R$ . Now define a map  $\Psi : \text{Aut } S \rightarrow \text{Out } R$  by

$$\Psi : \phi \rightarrow (\text{Inn } R)\sigma_\phi$$

for all  $\phi \in \text{Aut } S$ . A straightforward check shows  $\Psi$  to be a homomorphism of groups with  $\Phi\Psi = 1_S \in \text{Aut } S$ . Then the sequence is split and

$$\text{Out } R \cong H_{(\alpha, \xi)}^1(S, D^*) \rtimes \text{Aut } S.$$

□

As in the algebra case, we have the following immediate corollaries to Theorem 3.8.

**Corollary 3.9.** *Let  $R \cong D_\xi^\alpha S$  be a square-free ring. If  $H_{(\alpha, \xi)}^1(S, D^*) = 1$ , then*

$$\text{Out } R \cong \text{Stab}_{[\alpha, \xi]}(\text{Aut } S).$$


Moreover, if  $[\alpha, \xi] = [1_D, 1]$ , then

$$\text{Out } R \cong \text{Aut } S.$$

**Corollary 3.10.** *Let  $R \cong D_\xi^\alpha S$  be a square-free ring. Then every automorphism of  $R$  is inner if and only if*

$$H_{(\alpha, \xi)}^1(S, D^*) = \text{Stab}_{[\alpha, \xi]}(\text{Aut } S) = 1.$$

**Example 3.11.** Let  $D$  be a division ring that has an automorphism  $\gamma$  that is not inner and let  $S$  be a square-free semigroup with the following Hasse diagram



and multiplication defined by  $s \cdot t \neq \theta$  only if  $s$  or  $t$  in  $E$ . Define  $\alpha \in F^1(S, \text{Aut}(D))$  by

$$\alpha_s = \begin{cases} \gamma & \text{if } s = s_{34} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and take  $\xi = 1$ . For  $s = s_{ij}$  denote the maps  $\alpha_s$  and  $\mu_s$  by  $\alpha_{ij}$  and  $\mu_{ij}$  respectively.



By Corollary 3.5 of [7],  $R = D_\xi^\alpha S$  is a square-free ring not isomorphic to  $D \otimes_K A$ , where  $K$  is the center of  $D$  and  $A$  is a square-free  $K$ -algebra.

Both  $Z_{(\alpha, \xi)}^1(S, D^*)$  and  $B_{(\alpha, \xi)}^1(S, D^*)$  can be determined using the semi-group structure of  $S$  and the defining properties of each group. For  $(\mu, \eta) \in Z_{(\alpha, \xi)}^1(S, D^*)$  we must have  $\eta(e_i) = 1$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$  and no restrictions on  $\eta(s)$  for  $s \notin E$ . For  $\mu$ , the maps are determined by the idempotent  $e$  for any  $s = e \cdot s \cdot f \in S$ . But since  $\alpha_s = 1$  for all but one  $s \in S$ , the identity  $\mu_j = \rho_{\mu_i(\eta(s_{ij}))}$  implies that  $\mu$  is determined by  $\mu_1$  and our previous choices for  $\eta(s)$ . Then

$$Z_{(\alpha, \xi)}^1(S, D^*) = \text{Aut } D \rtimes \Pi_{i=1}^4 D^*.$$

A similar procedure for  $(\mu, \eta) \in B_{(\alpha, \xi)}^1(S, D^*)$  shows that  $\eta$  is determined by  $\epsilon : E \rightarrow D^*$  and this determines  $\mu$  as well since  $\mu_{ij} = \rho_{\epsilon(e_i)}$ . Therefore,

$$B_{(\alpha, \xi)}^1(S, D^*) = \Pi_{i=1}^4 D^*.$$

and

$$H_{(\alpha, \xi)}^1(S, D^*) = \text{Aut } D.$$

A tedious but straightforward check shows that  $\text{Stab}_{[\alpha, \xi]}(\text{Aut } S) = \text{Aut } S \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Hence, to  $R$  we may associate the following short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \text{Aut } D \longrightarrow \text{Out } R \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow 1.$$

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to thank Professor Frank Anderson for his continued guidance and inspiration.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] F. W. ANDERSON, Transitive square-free algebras, *J. Algebra* **62** (1980), 61-85.
- [2] F.W. ANDERSON AND B.K. D'AMBROSIA, Square-free algebras and their automorphism groups, *Comm. Alg.*, **24** (1996) (10), 3163-3191.
- [3] F.W. ANDERSON AND K.R. FULLER, *Rings and Categories of Modules* (2d ed.), Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin-Heidelberg, 1992.
- [4] K. BACLAWSKI, Automorphisms and derivations of incidence algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **36** (1972), 351-356.
- [5] W.E. CLARK, Twisted matrix units semigroup algebras, *Duke Math J.*, Sept. (1967) 417-423.
- [6] S. P. COELHO, The automorphism group of a structural matrix algebra, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **195** (1993), 35-58.
- [7] B.K. D'AMBROSIA, Square-free rings, *Comm. Alg.*, 27(5) (1999), 2045-2071.

- [8] P. DEDECKER, Three dimensional non-abelian cohomology for groups, *1969 Category Theory, Homology Theory and their Applications, II (Battelle Institute Conference, Seattle, Wash., Vol. II)* 32-64 Springer, Berlin 1968.
- [9] S. MAC LANE, *Homology*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin-Heidelberg, 1995.
- [10] W. SCHARLAU, Automorphisms and involutions of incidence algebras, in “Representations of Algebras, Ottawa, 1974”, *Lecture Notes in Math. No. 488*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin-Heidelberg, 1975.
- [11] J. K. SKLAR, Binomial algebras, *Comm. Alg.* , **30**(4) (2002), 1961-1978.
- [12] J. K. SKLAR, Binomial Rings, *Comm. Alg.* , **32**(4) (2004), 1385-1399.
- [13] R.P. STANLEY, Structure of incidence algebras and their automorphism groups, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **76** (1970), 1236-1239.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PIEDMONT COLLEGE, 165 CENTRAL AVE., DEMOR-  
EST, GA 30535

*E-mail address:* mmontgomery@piedmont.edu