

PERFECT DERIVED CATEGORIES OF POSITIVELY GRADED DG ALGEBRAS

OLAF M. SCHNÜRER

ABSTRACT. We investigate the perfect derived category $\mathrm{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$ of a positively graded differential graded (dg) algebra \mathcal{A} whose degree zero part is a dg subalgebra and semisimple as a ring. We introduce an equivalent subcategory of $\mathrm{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$ whose objects are easy to describe, define a t-structure on $\mathrm{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$ and study its heart. We show that $\mathrm{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$ is a Krull-Remak-Schmidt category. Then we consider the heart in the case that \mathcal{A} is a Koszul ring with differential zero satisfying some finiteness conditions.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	4
3. Differential Graded Modules	5
4. Filtered DG Modules	6
5. t-Structure	10
6. Heart	12
7. Homotopically Minimal DG Modules	16
8. Indecomposables	17
9. Koszul-Duality	19
References	23

1. INTRODUCTION

Let k be a commutative ring and $\mathcal{A} = (A = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} A^i, d)$ a differential graded k -algebra (= dg algebra). Let $\mathrm{dgDer}(\mathcal{A})$ be the derived category of dg (right) modules over \mathcal{A} (= \mathcal{A} -modules), and $\mathrm{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$ the perfect derived category, i. e. the smallest strict full triangulated subcategory of $\mathrm{dgDer}(\mathcal{A})$ containing \mathcal{A} and closed under forming direct summands; the objects of $\mathrm{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$ are precisely the compact objects of $\mathrm{dgDer}(\mathcal{A})$ (see [Kel94, Kel98]).

The aim of this article is to provide some description of $\mathrm{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$ and to define a t-structure on this category if $\mathcal{A} = (A, d)$ is a dg algebra satisfying the following conditions:

(P1) A is positively graded, i. e. $A^i = 0$ for $i < 0$;

Date: October 2008, revised January 2010.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 18E30, 16D90.

Key words and phrases. Differential Graded Module, DG Module, t-Structure, Heart, Koszul Duality.

Supported by a grant of the state of Baden-Württemberg.

- (P2) A^0 is a semisimple ring;
(P3) the differential of \mathcal{A} vanishes on A^0 , i. e. $d(A^0) = 0$.

At the end of this introduction we explain our main motivation for studying such perfect derived categories. The only related and in fact motivating description (with a definition of a t-structure) we know of can be found in [BL94, 11]; the dg algebra $(\mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n], d = 0)$ considered there is a polynomial algebra with generators in strictly positive even degrees.

We give an account of the results of this article, always assuming that \mathcal{A} is a dg algebra satisfying the conditions (P1)-(P3).

Alternative descriptions of the perfect derived category. The semisimple ring A^0 has only a finite number of non-isomorphic simple (right) modules $(L_x)_{x \in W}$. We view A^0 as a dg subalgebra \mathcal{A}^0 of \mathcal{A} and the L_x as \mathcal{A}^0 -modules concentrated in degree zero. Let $\text{dgPrae}(\mathcal{A})$ be the smallest strict full triangulated subcategory of $\text{dgDer}(\mathcal{A})$ that contains all \mathcal{A} -modules $\widehat{L}_x := L_x \otimes_{\mathcal{A}^0} \mathcal{A}$. (The name dgPrae was chosen because this category seemed to be a precursor of the perfect derived category: It is not required to be closed under taking direct summands. But indeed it is closed under this operation, cf. Theorem 1.) Define $\text{dgFilt}(\mathcal{A})$ to be the full subcategory of $\text{dgDer}(\mathcal{A})$ whose objects are \mathcal{A} -modules M admitting a finite filtration $0 = F_0(M) \subset F_1(M) \subset \dots \subset F_n(M) = M$ by dg submodules with subquotients $F_i(M)/F_{i-1}(M) \cong \{l_i\} \widehat{L}_{x_i}$ for suitable $l_1 \geq l_2 \geq \dots \geq l_n$ and $x_i \in W$; here $\{1\}$ denotes the shift functor. We have inclusions $\text{dgFilt}(\mathcal{A}) \subset \text{dgPrae}(\mathcal{A}) \subset \text{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$.

Theorem 1 (cf. Theorems 13 and 16).

- (1) $\text{dgPrae}(\mathcal{A})$ is closed under taking direct summands, i. e.

$$\text{dgPrae}(\mathcal{A}) = \text{dgPer}(\mathcal{A}).$$

- (2) The inclusion $\text{dgFilt}(\mathcal{A}) \subset \text{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$ is an equivalence of categories.

The proof of this theorem relies on the existence of a bounded t-structure (as described below) on $\text{dgPrae}(\mathcal{A})$.

The objects of $\text{dgFilt}(\mathcal{A})$ can be characterized as the homotopically projective objects in $\text{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$ that are homotopically minimal, cf. Proposition 31. The equivalence $\text{dgFilt}(\mathcal{A}) \subset \text{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$ enables us to prove that $\text{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$ is a Krull-Remak-Schmidt category, cf. Proposition 37.

t-structure. Let $\text{dgPer}^{\leq 0}$ (and $\text{dgPer}^{\geq 0}$) be the full subcategories of $\text{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$ consisting of objects \mathcal{M} such that $H^i(\mathcal{M} \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}^0)$ vanishes for $i > 0$ (for $i < 0$, respectively); here $(? \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}^0)$ is the left derived functor of the extension of scalars functor $(? \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}^0)$. Let $\text{dgMod}(\mathcal{A})$ be the abelian category of \mathcal{A} -modules and $\text{dgFlag}(\mathcal{A})$ the full subcategory consisting of objects that have an \widehat{L}_x -flag, i. e. a finite filtration with subquotients isomorphic to objects of $\{\widehat{L}_x\}_{x \in W}$ (without shifts).

Theorem 2 (cf. Theorem 16 and Propositions 20, 22).

- (1) $(\text{dgPer}^{\leq 0}, \text{dgPer}^{\geq 0})$ defines a bounded (hence non-degenerate) t-structure on $\text{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$.

- (2) The heart \heartsuit of this t-structure is equivalent to $\mathrm{dgFlag}(\mathcal{A})$. More precisely, $\mathrm{dgFlag}(\mathcal{A})$ is a full abelian subcategory of $\mathrm{dgMod}(\mathcal{A})$ and the obvious functor $\mathrm{dgMod}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{dgDer}(\mathcal{A})$ induces an equivalence $\mathrm{dgFlag}(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \heartsuit$.
- (3) Any object in \heartsuit has finite length, and the simple objects in \heartsuit are (up to isomorphism) the $\{\widehat{L}_x\}_{x \in W}$.

The truncation functors of this t-structure have a very simple description on $\mathrm{dgFilt}(\mathcal{A})$.

Koszul case. Assume now that the differential of \mathcal{A} vanishes and that the underlying graded ring A is a Koszul ring (cf. [BGS96]). Let $E(A) := \mathrm{Ext}_A^\bullet(A^0, A^0)$ be the graded ring of self-extensions of the right A -module $A^0 = A/A^+$ (in the category of (non-graded) A -modules).

Theorem 3 (cf. Theorem 39). *Assume that A is a Koszul ring with a Koszul resolution of finite length with finitely generated components. Let $\mathcal{A} = (A, d = 0)$. Then the heart \heartsuit of $\mathrm{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$ is equivalent to the opposite category of the category of finitely generated left $E(A)$ -modules.*

Motivation. We became interested in perfect derived categories when we studied the Borel-equivariant derived category of sheaves on the flag variety of a complex reductive group. We show in [Sch07] (or [Sch]) that this category with its perverse t-structure is t-equivalent to the perfect derived category $\mathrm{dgPer}(\mathcal{E})$ for some dg algebra \mathcal{E} (that meets the conditions (P1)-(P3)). More precisely, \mathcal{E} is the graded algebra of self-extensions of the direct sum of the simple equivariant perverse sheaves and has differential $d = 0$. For the proof of this equivalence we need the t-structures $(\mathrm{dgPer}^{\leq 0}, \mathrm{dgPer}^{\geq 0})$ introduced above on several categories of the form $\mathrm{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$.

There are similar equivalences between equivariant derived categories of sheaves and categories of the form $\mathrm{dgPrae}(\mathcal{E}) = \mathrm{dgPer}(\mathcal{E})$, see [BL94, Lun95, Gui05]. The strategy to obtain these equivalences is quite general (see [Lun95, 0.3]), the tricky point however is to establish the formality of some dg algebra \mathcal{B} whose perfect derived category is equivalent to the considered category of sheaves. Formality means that \mathcal{B} and its cohomology $H(\mathcal{B})$ (a dg algebra with differential zero) can be connected by a sequence of quasi-isomorphisms of dg algebras. Since each quasi-isomorphism of dg algebras induces an equivalence between their (perfect) derived categories, formality enables us to consider the more accessible dg algebra $H(\mathcal{B})$ instead of \mathcal{B} . Moreover, usually $H(\mathcal{B})$ identifies with the extension algebra of some nice object from the geometric side.

This general strategy shows that categories of the form $\mathrm{dgPrae}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathrm{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$ are natural candidates for describing certain triangulated categories.

Overview. This article is organized as follows. In Chapters 2 and 3 we introduce our notation, prove some basic results on graded modules and recall some results on dg modules. We show the equivalence $\mathrm{dgFilt}(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathrm{dgPrae}(\mathcal{A})$ in Chapter 4. In the following two chapters we define the t-structure on $\mathrm{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$, show that $\mathrm{dgPrae}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathrm{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$ and describe the heart. We give alternative characterizations of the objects of $\mathrm{dgFilt}(\mathcal{A})$ in Chapter 7. Chapter 8 contains some results on indecomposables, a Fitting lemma for objects of $\mathrm{dgFilt}(\mathcal{A})$ and the proof that $\mathrm{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$ is a Krull-Remak-Schmidt category. The last chapter (which is independent of Chapter 8) concerns the case that A is a Koszul ring.

Acknowledgments. Most of the results of this article (apart from some improvements and Chapters 7 and 8) can be found in the algebraic part of my thesis [Sch07]. I am grateful to my advisor Wolfgang Soergel who introduced me to the dg world. I would like to thank Peter Fiebig, Bernhard Keller, Henning Krause, Catharina Stroppel and Geordie Williamson for helpful discussions and interest. I wish to thank the referee for carefully reading the manuscript and for questions and comments.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We introduce our notation and prove some easy and probably well-known statements that are crucial for the rest of this article. We fix some commutative ring k . All rings and algebras (= k -algebras) are assumed to be associative and unital.

If A is a ring, we denote the category of right A -modules by $\text{Mod}(A)$ and the full subcategory of finitely generated modules by $\text{mod}(A)$. If A is graded (= \mathbb{Z} -graded) we write $\text{gMod}(A)$ for the category of graded modules and $\text{gmod}(A)$ for the full subcategory of finitely generated graded modules.

Let $A = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A^i$ be a positively graded k -algebra. Let $A^+ = \bigoplus_{i > 0} A^i$. The projection $A \rightarrow A/A^+ = A^0$ gives rise to the extension of scalars functor

$$\text{gMod}(A) \rightarrow \text{gMod}(A^0), \quad M \mapsto \overline{M} := M/MA^+ = M \otimes_A A^0.$$

Lemma 4. *Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a morphism in $\text{gmod}(A)$. If $\overline{f} : \overline{M} \rightarrow \overline{N}$ is an isomorphism and N is flat as an A -module, then f is an isomorphism.*

Proof. We use the following trivial observation: If a graded A -module X with $X^i = 0$ for $i \ll 0$ satisfies $\overline{X} = X/XA^+ = 0$, then $X = 0$.

Since $(? \otimes_A A^0)$ is right exact we obtain $\text{cok } \overline{f} = \text{cok } \overline{f} = 0$, and our observation implies $\text{cok } f = 0$. Applying $(? \otimes_A A^0)$ to the short exact sequence $(\ker f, M, N)$ and using the flatness of N , we see that $0 = \overline{\ker f}$. Our observation shows that $\ker f = 0$. So f is an isomorphism. \square

We also have the extension of scalars functor coming from the inclusion $A^0 \subset A$,

$$(1) \quad \text{prod}_{A^0}^A : \text{gMod}(A^0) \rightarrow \text{gMod}(A), \quad M \mapsto \widehat{M} := M \otimes_{A^0} A.$$

We often view A^0 -modules as graded A^0 -modules concentrated in degree zero.

Assume now that A^0 is a semisimple ring. Then A^0 has only a finite number of non-isomorphic simple (right) modules $(L_x)_{x \in W}$. In particular we obtain projective graded A -modules $\widehat{L}_x = L_x \otimes_{A^0} A$.

Lemma 5. *Let $x, y \in W$. If $f : \widehat{L}_x \rightarrow \widehat{L}_y$ is a non-zero morphism in $\text{gMod}(A)$, it is an isomorphism and $x = y$.*

Proof. Since \widehat{L}_x and \widehat{L}_y are generated in degree zero (= generated as an A -module by their degree zero components), $\overline{f} : L_x \rightarrow L_y$ is non-zero, hence an isomorphism and $x = y$. Now use Lemma 4. \square

Let $\text{gproj}(A)$ be the full subcategory of projective objects in $\text{gmod}(A)$. It is clear that any finite direct sum of shifted objects \widehat{L}_x is in $\text{gproj}(A)$. The converse is also true. We include the proof for completeness.

Lemma 6. *Each object of $\text{gproj}(A)$ is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of shifted \widehat{L}_x , for $x \in W$.*

Proof. Let P be in $\text{gproj}(A)$. We view the canonical morphism $P \rightarrow \overline{P}$ as a morphism of A^0 -modules. Since A^0 is semisimple, there is a splitting $\sigma : \overline{P} \rightarrow P$. Since $\text{prod}_{A^0}^A$ is left adjoint to the restriction functor $\text{gMod}(A) \rightarrow \text{gMod}(A^0)$ coming from the inclusion $A^0 \subset A$, we get a morphism $\widehat{\sigma} : \overline{P} \otimes_{A^0} A \rightarrow P$ in $\text{gmod}(A)$. Since P is A -flat, we deduce from Lemma 4 that $\widehat{\sigma}$ is an isomorphism. But $\overline{P} \otimes_{A^0} A$ has the required form. \square

3. DIFFERENTIAL GRADED MODULES

We review the language of differential graded (dg) modules over a dg algebra (see [Kel94, Kel98, BL94]).

Let $\mathcal{A} = (A = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} A^i, d)$ be a differential graded k -algebra (= dg algebra). A dg (right) module over \mathcal{A} will also be called an \mathcal{A} -module or a dg module if there is no doubt about the dg algebra. We often write M for a dg module (M, d_M) . We consider the category $\text{dgMod}(\mathcal{A})$ of dg modules, the homotopy category $\text{dgHot}(\mathcal{A})$ and the derived category $\text{dgDer}(\mathcal{A})$ of dg modules. (In [Kel94], these categories are denoted by $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$, $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$ respectively.) We often omit \mathcal{A} from the notation. We denote the shift functor on all these categories (and on $\text{gMod}(A)$ and $\text{gmod}(A)$) by $M \mapsto \{1\}M$, e. g. $(\{1\}M)^i = M^{i+1}$, $d_{\{1\}M} = -d_M$. We define $\{n\} = \{1\}^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The homotopy category $\text{dgHot}(\mathcal{A})$ with the shift functor $\{1\}$ and the distinguished triangles isomorphic to standard triangles (= mapping cones of morphisms) is a triangulated category. Any short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ of \mathcal{A} -modules that is A -split (= it splits in $\text{gMod}(A)$) can be completed to a distinguished triangle $K \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow \{1\}K$ in $\text{dgHot}(\mathcal{A})$. The category $\text{dgDer}(\mathcal{A})$ inherits the triangulation from $\text{dgHot}(\mathcal{A})$. Since $\text{dgDer}(\mathcal{A})$ has infinite direct sums, every idempotent in $\text{dgDer}(\mathcal{A})$ splits (see [BN93, Prop. 3.2]).

A dg module P is called **homotopically projective** ([Kel98]), if it satisfies one of the following equivalent conditions ([BL94, 10.12.2.2]):

- (a) $\text{Hom}_{\text{dgHot}}(P, ?) = \text{Hom}_{\text{dgDer}}(P, ?)$, i. e. for all dg modules M , the canonical map $\text{Hom}_{\text{dgHot}}(P, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{dgDer}}(P, M)$ is an isomorphism.
- (b) For each acyclic dg module M , we have $\text{Hom}_{\text{dgHot}}(P, M) = 0$.

In [Kel94, 3.1] such a module is said to have *property (P)*, in [BL94, 10.12.2] the term *\mathcal{K} -projective* is used. Since evaluation at $1 \in A^0$ is an isomorphism

$$(2) \quad \text{Hom}_{\text{dgHot}}(\mathcal{A}, M) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(M),$$

\mathcal{A} and each direct summand of \mathcal{A} is homotopically projective.

Let $\text{dgHotp}(\mathcal{A})$ be the full subcategory of $\text{dgHot}(\mathcal{A})$ consisting of homotopically projective dg modules (this is the category \mathcal{H}_p in [Kel94, 3.1]). It is a triangulated subcategory of $\text{dgHot}(\mathcal{A})$ and closed under taking direct summands. The quotient functor $\text{dgHot}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{dgDer}(\mathcal{A})$ induces a triangulated equivalence ([Kel94, 3.1, 4.1])

$$(3) \quad \text{dgHotp}(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{dgDer}(\mathcal{A}).$$

The category $\text{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$ ($\text{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$ respectively) is defined to be the smallest full triangulated subcategory of $\text{dgHot}(\mathcal{A})$ (of $\text{dgDer}(\mathcal{A})$ respectively) that contains \mathcal{A} and is closed under taking direct summands. The category $\text{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$ of perfect dg modules is a subcategory of $\text{dgHotp}(\mathcal{A})$. The category $\text{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$ is called the perfect

derived category of \mathcal{A} . Equivalence (3) restricts to a triangulated equivalence

$$\mathrm{dgPer}(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{dgPer}(\mathcal{A}).$$

In the following we prefer to work in the homotopy category $\mathrm{dgHot}(\mathcal{A})$ and leave it to the reader to transfer our results from $\mathrm{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$ to $\mathrm{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$. Note that the objects of $\mathrm{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$ are precisely the homotopically projective objects of $\mathrm{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$.

Remark 7. Let \mathcal{B} be a dg algebra concentrated in degree zero, i. e. a dg algebra whose underlying graded algebra is concentrated in degree zero. Then \mathcal{B} is necessarily of the form $\mathcal{B} = (B = B^0, d = 0)$ for some algebra B .

In this case $\mathrm{dgMod}(\mathcal{B})$ is the category of complexes in $\mathrm{Mod}(B)$, $\mathrm{dgHot}(\mathcal{B})$ is the corresponding homotopy category and $\mathrm{dgDer}(\mathcal{B})$ is the derived category of the abelian category $\mathrm{Mod}(B)$. The objects of the category $\mathrm{dgPer}(\mathcal{B})$ are the objects of $\mathrm{dgHotp}(\mathcal{B})$ that are isomorphic to bounded complexes of finitely generated projective B -modules (see for example [BN93, Prop. 3.4]). \diamond

4. FILTERED DG MODULES

In this chapter we introduce a certain category dgFilt of filtered dg modules (for a suitable dg algebra). Later on we will see that this category is equivalent to dgPer .

Let $\mathcal{A} = (A = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} A^i, d)$ be a dg algebra. In the rest of this article we always assume that \mathcal{A} satisfies the following conditions:

- (P1) A is positively graded, i. e. $A^i = 0$ for $i < 0$;
- (P2) A^0 is a semisimple ring;
- (P3) the differential of \mathcal{A} vanishes on A^0 , i. e. $d(A^0) = 0$.

Then A^0 has only a finite number of non-isomorphic simple (right) modules $(L_x)_{x \in W}$, and A^0 is a dg subalgebra \mathcal{A}^0 of \mathcal{A} .

As in the graded setting, the inclusion $\mathcal{A}^0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and the projection $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}^+ = \mathcal{A}^0$ give rise to extension of scalars functors

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{dgMod}(\mathcal{A}) &\rightarrow \mathrm{dgMod}(\mathcal{A}^0), & M &\mapsto \overline{M} := M/M\mathcal{A}^+ = M \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}^0, \\ \mathrm{dgMod}(\mathcal{A}^0) &\rightarrow \mathrm{dgMod}(\mathcal{A}), & M &\mapsto \widehat{M} := M \otimes_{\mathcal{A}^0} \mathcal{A}. \end{aligned}$$

We often view A^0 -modules as dg \mathcal{A}^0 -modules concentrated in degree zero. In this manner, we obtain \mathcal{A} -modules $\widehat{L}_x = L_x \otimes_{\mathcal{A}^0} \mathcal{A}$. Since each L_x is a direct summand of the A^0 -module A^0 , each \widehat{L}_x is a direct summand of the dg module $A^0 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}^0} \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}$.

Examples 8. Let R be a semisimple ring (and a k -algebra). Then the dg algebra $(R = R^0, d = 0)$ satisfies the conditions (P1)-(P3). Any dg algebra that is concentrated in degree zero and satisfies condition (P2) is of this form.

Let $A = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A^i$ be a positively graded algebra with A^0 a semisimple ring. Then the dg algebra $(A, d = 0)$ satisfies the conditions (P1)-(P3). Any dg algebra with vanishing differential that satisfies conditions (P1)-(P2) is of this form. For example A could be a polynomial algebra $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ over a field k with homogeneous generators X_i of strictly positive degrees. In this case there is only one simple k -module $L = k$, and $\widehat{L} = k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. A more general example for such an A would be a quiver algebra (over a field k) of a quiver with finitely many vertices and arrows of strictly positive degrees, or a quotient of such an algebra by a homogeneous ideal (which is assumed to be zero in degree zero). Each vertex x of the quiver gives rise to an idempotent e_x in A and to a dg module $\widehat{L}_x = e_x A$.

Examples of dg algebras satisfying conditions (P1)-(P3) with non-vanishing differential arise for example in rational homotopy theory (see [DGMS75] or [BT82, §19]). \diamond

We consider the following full subcategories of $\text{dgHot}(\mathcal{A})$:

- $\text{dgFilt}(\mathcal{A})$: Its objects are \mathcal{A} -modules M admitting a finite filtration $0 = F_0(M) \subset F_1(M) \subset \dots \subset F_n(M) = M$ by dg submodules with subquotients

$$F_i(M)/F_{i-1}(M) \cong \{l_i\}\widehat{L}_{x_i} \quad \text{in } \text{dgMod}(\mathcal{A})$$

for suitable $l_1 \geq l_2 \geq \dots \geq l_n$ and $x_i \in W$. We call such a filtration an \widehat{L} -filtration.

- dgPrae : This is the smallest strict (= closed under isomorphisms) full triangulated subcategory of $\text{dgHot}(\mathcal{A})$ that contains all objects $(\widehat{L}_x)_{x \in W}$.

These two subcategories correspond under the equivalence (3) to the categories $\text{dgFilt}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\text{dgPrae}(\mathcal{A})$ of the introduction.

Remark 9. The condition $l_1 \geq l_2 \geq \dots \geq l_n$ is essential for the definition of objects of $\text{dgFilt}(\mathcal{A})$. It means that $F_1(M) \cong \{l_1\}\widehat{L}_{x_1}$ is generated in degree $-l_1$ (as a graded A -module), then $F_2(M)$ is an extension of $\{l_2\}\widehat{L}_{x_2}$ (which is generated in degree $-l_2 \geq -l_1$) by $F_1(M)$ and so on. Since $\{l_2\}\widehat{L}_{x_2}$ is projective as a graded A -module, we obtain $F_2(M) \cong \{l_1\}\widehat{L}_{x_1} \oplus \{l_2\}\widehat{L}_{x_2}$ in $\text{gMod}(A)$. The same reasoning yields by induction

$$(4) \quad F_i(M) \cong \{l_1\}\widehat{L}_{x_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \{l_i\}\widehat{L}_{x_i} \quad \text{in } \text{gMod}(A);$$

note that the differential of $F_i(M)$ does not necessarily respect such a direct sum decomposition.

Let us draw some modules in $\text{dgFilt}(\mathcal{A})$. We picture a module $\widehat{L}_x\{l\}$ (with $x \in W, l \in \mathbb{Z}$) as follows:

$$(5) \quad \begin{array}{cccccccc} \text{degree:} & -l-2 & -l-1 & -l & -l+1 & -l+2 & -l+3 & \dots \\ \widehat{L}_x\{l\} : & & & \bullet & & \circ \longrightarrow & \circ \longrightarrow & \circ \longrightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

A white (\circ) or black (\bullet) bead in a column labeled i represents $(L_x\{l\})^i$. If there is no bead in column i , we have $(L_x\{l\})^i = 0$. Since $L_x\{l\}$ is generated by its component in degree $-l$ (the black bead), there are only beads in degrees $\geq -l$. (The action of A is not explicitly drawn in this picture: Elements of A^j map elements of a bead to the bead that is j steps to the right.) There is an arrow between two beads if the differential between the corresponding components is possibly $\neq 0$. Since the differential has degree 1, all arrows go from a column to its right neighbour. Note that there is no arrow starting at the black bead since \widehat{L}_x is a direct summand of \mathcal{A} and $d(A^0) = 0$.

Now we can draw pictures of more general objects. For example, let M be an object of $\text{dgFilt}(\mathcal{A})$ that admits an \widehat{L} -filtration with four steps $0 = F_0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset F_3 \subset F_4 = M$ and subquotients

$$F_1 \cong \{2\}\widehat{L}_{x_1}, \quad F_2/F_1 \cong \{1\}\widehat{L}_{x_2}, \quad F_3/F_2 \cong \{1\}\widehat{L}_{x_3}, \quad F_4/F_3 \cong \{-2\}\widehat{L}_{x_4}$$

for some $x_i \in W$. As in (4) we identify $M = F_4$ as a graded A -module with $\{2\}\widehat{L}_{x_1} \oplus \{1\}\widehat{L}_{x_2} \oplus \{1\}\widehat{L}_{x_3} \oplus \{-2\}\widehat{L}_{x_4}$ such that F_i gets identified with the first i

summands. Here is our picture of M :

$$(6) \quad \begin{array}{cccccccccccc} \text{degree:} & -3 & -2 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & \cdots \\ \{-2\}\widehat{L}_{x_4}: & & & & & & \bullet & \circ \rightarrow \circ \rightarrow \circ \rightarrow \cdots \\ \{1\}\widehat{L}_{x_3}: & & & \bullet & \circ \rightarrow \circ \rightarrow \circ \rightarrow \cdots & & & & & & \\ \{1\}\widehat{L}_{x_2}: & & & \bullet & \circ \rightarrow \circ \rightarrow \circ \rightarrow \cdots & & & & & & \\ \{2\}\widehat{L}_{x_1}: & & \bullet & \circ \rightarrow \circ \rightarrow \circ \rightarrow \cdots & & & & & & & \end{array}$$

The direct sum of the first i rows from below (without the arrows) represents the module F_i as a graded A -module. The arrows represent the differential of M : Elements of a bead b are mapped to the direct sum of those beads that are endpoints of arrows starting at b .

Since M is generated by the black beads as an A -module, the dg submodule $M\mathcal{A}^+$ is represented by the white beads, and the quotient module $\overline{M} = M/M\mathcal{A}^+$ is represented by the black beads (without arrows): The differential on \overline{M} vanishes. \diamond

Remark 10. Let $\mathcal{R} = (R = R^0, d = 0)$ be a dg algebra concentrated in degree zero. Recall from Remark 7 that each object of $\text{dgPer}(\mathcal{R})$ is isomorphic to a bounded complex of finitely generated projective R -modules. Let $\mathcal{M} = (M = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} M^j, d)$ be such a complex. Assume that $M^j = 0$ for $|j| \geq N$. Define subcomplexes $G_i(M)$ of M by

$$G_i(M)^j = \begin{cases} M^j & \text{if } j \geq N - i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This defines a finite filtration $0 = G_0(M) \subset G_1(M) \subset \cdots \subset G_{2N}(M) = M$ of M with subquotients $G_i(M)/G_{i-1}(M) \cong \{p_i\}M^{N-i}$ with $p_i = i - N$ (here we consider M^{N-i} as an R -module sitting in degree zero). Note that $p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \cdots \leq p_{2N}$.

Now assume that R is a semisimple ring with simple modules $(L_x)_{x \in W}$; then we obtain from the above filtration $(G_i(M))_i$ a finite increasing filtration $(G'_i(M))_{i=0}^n$ with subquotients $G'_i(M)/G'_{i-1}(M) \cong \{q_i\}L_{x_i}$ with $q_1 \leq q_2 \leq \cdots \leq q_n$ and $x_i \in W$.

So we have opposite inequalities compared to the definition of the category $\text{dgFilt}(\mathcal{R})$. The objects of $\text{dgFilt}(\mathcal{R})$ are precisely the bounded complexes of finitely generated (projective) R -modules with differential zero (cf. Picture (6))

Nevertheless the inclusion $\text{dgFilt}(\mathcal{R}) \subset \text{dgPer}(\mathcal{R})$ is an equivalence of categories: This is well known since R is a semisimple ring by assumption. We will prove this for arbitrary \mathcal{A} satisfying (P1)-(P3) in Theorems 13 and 16. \diamond

Lemma 11. *We have inclusions*

$$\text{dgFilt} \subset \text{dgPrae} \subset \text{dgPer} \subset \text{dgHotp} \subset \text{dgHot}.$$

If (M, d) is in dgFilt , the underlying graded module M is in $\text{gproj}(A)$.

Remark 12. We prove later on that the inclusion $\text{dgFilt} \subset \text{dgPrae}$ is an equivalence (cf. Theorem 13). Moreover, dgPrae is in fact closed under forming direct summands, which implies $\text{dgPrae} = \text{dgPer}$ (cf. Theorem 16). \diamond

Proof. As a right module over itself, A^0 is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of simple modules L_x . Hence \mathcal{A} is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of modules \widehat{L}_x . In particular, each \widehat{L}_x is in dgPer and homotopically projective (see (2)). This shows $\text{dgPrae} \subset \text{dgPer}$.

As a graded A -module, each \widehat{L}_x is projective. So any \widehat{L} -filtration of a dg module (M, d) yields several A -split short exact sequences in dgMod ; in particular the graded module M is in $\text{gproj}(A)$ (as already seen in Remark 9). Moreover these A -split exact sequences become distinguished triangles in dgHot and show that every object of dgFilt lies in dgPrae .

The remaining inclusions are obvious from the definitions. \square

Let N be a module over a ring. If N has a composition series (of finite length) we denote its length by $\lambda(N)$; otherwise we define $\lambda(N) = \infty$. If (M, d) is in dgFilt , \overline{M} is a finitely generated module over the semisimple ring A^0 , and its length $\lambda(\overline{M})$ obviously coincides with the length of any \widehat{L} -filtration of (M, d) .

If M is a graded A -module, we define

$$M_{\leq i} := \sum_{j \leq i} M^j A$$

to be the graded submodule of M that is generated by the degree $\leq i$ parts. This defines an increasing filtration $\dots \subset M_{\leq i} \subset M_{\leq i+1} \subset \dots$ of M . If M is the underlying graded module of a dg module in dgFilt , the different entries in this filtration define a filtration that is coarser than any \widehat{L} -filtration. Define $M_{< i} := M_{\leq i-1}$.

Theorem 13. *Assume that \mathcal{A} is a dg algebra satisfying (P1)-(P3). Then every object of dgPrae is isomorphic to an object of dgFilt . In other words: The inclusion $\text{dgFilt} \subset \text{dgPrae}$ is an equivalence of categories.*

Proof. All generators \widehat{L}_x of dgPrae are in dgFilt , and dgFilt is closed under the shift $\{1\}$. So it is sufficient to prove:

Claim: Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism in dgMod of objects X, Y of dgFilt . Then the cone $C(f)$ is isomorphic in dgHot to an object of dgFilt .

We will prove this by induction on the length $\lambda(\overline{X})$ of \overline{X} . We may assume that $\lambda(\overline{X}) > 0$.

Recall that the cone $C(f)$ is given by the graded (right) A -module $Y \oplus \{1\}X$ with differential $d_{C(f)} = \begin{bmatrix} d_Y & f \\ 0 & -d_X \end{bmatrix}$.

The case $\lambda(\overline{X}) = 1$: Using the shift $\{1\}$ we may assume that $X = \widehat{L}_x$ for some $x \in W$. Choose an \widehat{L} -filtration $(F_i(Y))_{i=0}^n$ of Y and abbreviate $F_i = F_i(Y)$. Assume that $F_i/F_{i-1} \cong \{l_i\}\widehat{L}_{x_i}$, for $l_1 \geq l_2 \geq \dots \geq l_n$ and $x_i \in W$.

If the image of f is contained in $Y_{< 0}$, let $s \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ with $F_s = Y_{< 0}$. Then

$$G_i = \begin{cases} F_i \oplus 0 & \text{if } i \leq s, \\ F_{i-1} \oplus \{1\}\widehat{L}_x & \text{if } i > s. \end{cases}$$

defines an \widehat{L} -filtration $(G_i)_{i=0}^{n+1}$ of the cone $C(f) = Y \oplus \{1\}\widehat{L}_x$. Thus $C(f)$ is in dgFilt .

Now assume $\text{im } f \not\subset Y_{< 0}$. Let $t \in \{0, n\}$ be minimal with $\text{im } f \subset F_t$. Then $t \geq 1$ and $l_t = 0$, since X is generated by its degree zero part. The composition

$$X = \widehat{L}_x \xrightarrow{f} F_t \rightarrow F_t/F_{t-1} \cong \widehat{L}_{x_t}$$

is non-zero and an isomorphism by Lemma 5. Hence f induces an isomorphism $f : \widehat{L}_x \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{im } f$, and its mapping cone $V = (\text{im } f) \oplus \{1\} \widehat{L}_x$ is an acyclic dg submodule of $C(f)$.

The inverse of the isomorphism $\text{im } f \xrightarrow{\sim} F_t/F_{t-1}$ splits the short exact sequence $(F_{t-1}, F_t, F_t/F_{t-1})$. This implies that, for $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$(7) \quad F_i \cap (F_{i-1} + \text{im } f) = \begin{cases} F_{i-1} & \text{if } i \neq t, \\ F_i & \text{if } i = t. \end{cases}$$

The filtration $(F_i + V)_i$ of $C(f)$ induces a filtration of $C(f)/V$ with successive subquotients

$$\frac{F_i + V}{F_{i-1} + V} \xleftarrow{\sim} \frac{F_i}{F_i \cap (F_{i-1} + V)} \xleftarrow{\sim} \frac{F_i}{F_i \cap (F_{i-1} + \text{im } f)}$$

Using (7), we see that this filtration is an \widehat{L} -filtration of $C(f)/V$, hence $C(f)/V$ is in dgFilt .

The short exact sequence $(V, C(f), C(f)/V)$ of \mathcal{A} -modules and the acyclicity of V show that $C(f) \rightarrow C(f)/V$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Since both $C(f)$ and $C(f)/V$ are homotopically projective, it is an isomorphism in dgHot . Hence $C(f)$ is isomorphic to an object of dgFilt .

Reduction to the case $\lambda(\overline{X}) = 1$: This follows from [BBD82, 1.3.10] but let me include the proof for convenience.

Let $U := F_1(X)$ be the first step of an \widehat{L} -filtration of X , $u : U \rightarrow X$ the inclusion and $p : X \rightarrow X/U$ the projection. Then the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow U \xrightarrow{u} X \xrightarrow{p} X/U \rightarrow 0$ is \mathcal{A} -split and defines a distinguished triangle $(U, X, X/U)$ in dgHot . We apply the octahedral axiom to the maps u and f and get the dotted arrows in the following commutative diagram.

The four paths with the bended arrows are distinguished triangles.

By the length 1 case we may replace $C(f \circ u)$ by an isomorphic object $\widetilde{C}(f \circ u)$ of dgFilt . So $C(f)$ is isomorphic to the cone of a morphism $X/U \rightarrow \widetilde{C}(f \circ u)$ and hence, by induction, isomorphic to an object of dgFilt . \square

5. T-STRUCTURE

Using the equivalence $\text{dgFilt} \subset \text{dgPrae}$, we define a bounded t-structure (see [BBD82]) on dgPrae . As a corollary we obtain that dgPrae coincides with dgPer . Let \mathcal{A} as before satisfy (P1)-(P3).

If M is a graded A^0 -module, we define its support $\text{supp } M$ by

$$\text{supp } M := \{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid M^i \neq 0\}$$

If $I \subset \mathbb{Z}$ is a subset we define the full subcategory

$$(8) \quad \mathrm{dgPer}^I := \{M \in \mathrm{dgPer} \mid \mathrm{supp} H(\overline{M}) \subset I\}.$$

By replacing dgPer by dgPrae or dgFilt , we define dgPrae^I and dgFilt^I . We write $\mathrm{dgPer}^{\leq n}$, $\mathrm{dgPer}^{\geq n}$, dgPer^n instead of $\mathrm{dgPer}^{(-\infty, n]}$, $\mathrm{dgPer}^{[n, \infty)}$, $\mathrm{dgPer}^{[n, n]}$ respectively, and similarly for dgPrae and dgFilt .

Remark 14. In (8) we could also write $M \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}^0$ instead of $\overline{M} = M \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}^0$, since each object of dgPer is homotopically projective, hence homotopically flat (here $(? \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}^0) : \mathrm{dgDer}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{dgDer}(\mathcal{A}^0)$ is the derived functor of the extension of scalars functor). In fact this is the correct definition if one works in dgDer instead of dgHotp (as we do in the introduction). \diamond

Remark 15. It is instructive to consider an object M of dgFilt (and to have a picture in mind as picture (6) in Remark 9). Note that the differential of \overline{M} vanishes: This is explained at the end of Remark 9 in an example, but the argument generalizes immediately to an arbitrary object of dgFilt . This implies that \overline{M} and $H(\overline{M})$ coincide and in particular have the same support. So M lies in $\mathrm{dgPrae}^{\leq n}$ (in $\mathrm{dgPrae}^{\geq n}$) if and only if it is generated in degrees $\leq n$ (in degrees $\geq n$) as a graded A -module. \diamond

Theorem 16 (t-structure). *Let \mathcal{A} be a dg algebra satisfying (P1)-(P3). Then $\mathrm{dgPrae} = \mathrm{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$ and $(\mathrm{dgPer}^{\leq 0}, \mathrm{dgPer}^{\geq 0})$ defines a bounded (in particular non-degenerate) t-structure on $\mathrm{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$.*

Remark 17. In the special case that \mathcal{A} is a polynomial ring $(\mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n], d = 0)$ with generators in strictly positive even degrees and differential zero, this t-structure coincides with the t-structure defined in [BL94, 11.4]. \diamond

Proof. We first prove that $(\mathrm{dgPrae}^{\leq 0}, \mathrm{dgPrae}^{\geq 0})$ defines a bounded t-structure on dgPrae and have to check the three defining properties ([BBD82, 1.3.1]).

- (a) $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{dgHot}}(X, Y) = 0$ for $X \in \mathrm{dgPrae}^{\leq 0}$ and $Y \in \mathrm{dgPrae}^{\geq 1}$: By Theorem 13 we may assume that X, Y are in dgFilt . But then even any morphism of the underlying graded A -modules is zero.
- (b) $\mathrm{dgPrae}^{\leq 0} \subset \mathrm{dgPrae}^{\leq 1}$ and $\mathrm{dgPrae}^{\geq 1} \subset \mathrm{dgPrae}^{\geq 0}$: Obvious.
- (c) If X is in dgPrae there is a distinguished triangle (M, X, N) with M in $\mathrm{dgPrae}^{\leq 0}$ and N in $\mathrm{dgPrae}^{\geq 1}$: We may assume that X is in dgFilt . The graded A -submodule $X_{\leq 0}$ of X is an \mathcal{A} -submodule, since it appears in any \widehat{L} -filtration of X . The short exact sequence $X_{\leq 0} \rightarrow X \rightarrow X/X_{\leq 0}$ is A -split and hence defines a distinguished triangle $(X_{\leq 0}, X, X/X_{\leq 0})$ in dgHot . All terms of this triangle are in dgFilt , $X_{\leq 0}$ is in $\mathrm{dgPrae}^{\leq 0}$ and $X/X_{\leq 0}$ in $\mathrm{dgPrae}^{\geq 1}$.

It is obvious that any object of dgFilt is contained in $\mathrm{dgFilt}^{[a, b]}$, for some integers $a \leq b$. Hence our t-structure is bounded.

We claim that $\mathrm{dgPrae} \subset \mathrm{dgHot}(\mathcal{A})$ is closed under taking direct summands. This will imply that $\mathrm{dgPrae} = \mathrm{dgPer}$. But our claim is an application of the main result of [LC07]: A triangulated category with a bounded t-structure is Karoubian (= idempotent-split = idempotent complete) (cf. [BS01]). \square

The t-structure $(\mathrm{dgPer}^{\leq 0}, \mathrm{dgPer}^{\geq 0})$ on dgPer yields truncation functors $\tau_{\leq n}$ and $\tau_{\geq n}$ ([BBD82, 1.3.3]). For objects M in the equivalent subcategory dgFilt , we can assume that these truncation functors are given by $M \mapsto M_{\leq n}$ and $M \mapsto M/M_{< n}$ (and similarly for morphisms). Note that these objects are again in dgFilt . Hence the truncation functors are very explicit on dgFilt .

6. HEART

We show that the heart of our t-structure on $\mathrm{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$ is naturally equivalent to a full abelian subcategory of $\mathrm{dgMod}(\mathcal{A})$. We keep the assumption that \mathcal{A} satisfies (P1)-(P3).

Let $\heartsuit = \mathrm{dgPer}^0$ be the heart of our t-structure on dgPer . Recall that dgFilt^0 is the full subcategory of dgFilt consisting of objects M with $\mathrm{supp} \overline{M} \subset \{0\}$ (cf. Remark 15). The underlying graded A -module of such an object is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of some \widehat{L}_x (without shifts).

Remark 18. The objects of dgFilt^0 share some similarity with the so-called “linear complexes” (see e. g. [MOS09, Sect. 3] and references therein). Let me explain the relation informally: A linear complex is a certain complex of graded modules. If one takes some kind of total complex, one obtains an object that looks like an object of dgFilt^0 . The differential of a general object of dgFilt^0 however can be more complicated. For example, the complex P and the dg module $\mathcal{K}(A)$ in Chapter 9 are examples of a linear complex and the associated object of dgFilt^0 . It might be interesting to consider common generalizations of both notions. \diamond

From the above discussion it is clear that dgFilt^0 is contained in \heartsuit and that each object of \heartsuit is isomorphic to an object of dgFilt^0 . This shows

Proposition 19. *The inclusion $\mathrm{dgFilt}^0 \subset \heartsuit$ is an equivalence of categories. In particular, dgFilt^0 is an abelian category.*

Let dgFlag be the full subcategory of dgMod with the same objects as dgFilt^0 . We will show in Propositions 20 and 22 that dgFlag is a full abelian subcategory of dgMod and that the obvious functor $\mathrm{dgFlag} \rightarrow \mathrm{dgFilt}^0$ is an equivalence. Hence the abelian structure on dgFilt^0 is the most obvious one.

Proposition 20. *The category dgFlag is abelian and the inclusion of dgFlag in dgMod is exact. In short, dgFlag is a full abelian subcategory of dgMod .*

We need the following remark in the proof.

Remark 21. We view the extension of scalars functor $\mathrm{prod}_{A^0}^A$ from (1) as a functor $\mathrm{prod}_{A^0}^A : \mathrm{Mod}(A^0) \rightarrow \mathrm{gMod}(A)$. Since $\mathrm{prod}_{A^0}^A$ is exact and fully faithful, its essential image \mathcal{B} is closed under taking kernels, cokernels, images and pull-backs. In particular, if U and V are graded submodules of M with U, V and M in \mathcal{B} , then $U \cap V$ and $U + V$ are in \mathcal{B} . \diamond

Proof of Proposition 20. Let $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be a morphism in dgFlag . Let $\mathcal{K} = (K, d)$ and \mathcal{Q} be the kernel and cokernel of f in the abelian category dgMod . It is sufficient to prove that \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{Q} belong to dgFlag .

Since the underlying graded A -module of an object of dgFlag is in the essential image \mathcal{B} of $\mathrm{prod}_{A^0}^A$, the kernel K lies in \mathcal{B} by Remark 21.

Let $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{N}$ be the image of f in dgMod . Since $\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{N}/\mathcal{I}$, it is sufficient to prove:

Claim: If $\mathcal{U} = (U, d_U) \subset \mathcal{M}$ is an \mathcal{A} -submodule of $\mathcal{M} \in \text{dgFlag}$ with U in \mathcal{B} , then \mathcal{U} and the quotient \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{U} in dgMod are objects of dgFlag .

Proof of the claim: Let $(F_i(\mathcal{M}))$ be an \widehat{L} -filtration of \mathcal{M} with subquotients $F_i(\mathcal{M})/F_{i-1}(\mathcal{M}) \cong \widehat{L}_{x_i}$, and $(F_i(\mathcal{U}))$ and $(F_i(\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{U}))$ the induced filtrations of \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{U} . The underlying graded modules of all steps of all these filtrations are in \mathcal{B} : For $F_i(\mathcal{M})$ this is obvious, and for $F_i(\mathcal{U})$ and $F_i(\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{U})$ this is a consequence of Remark 21. Consider the sequence of finitely filtered objects

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathcal{U}, F_i(\mathcal{U})) \xrightarrow{v} (\mathcal{M}, F_i(\mathcal{M})) \xrightarrow{\pi} (\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{U}, F_i(\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{U})) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\text{Gr}_i(\mathcal{M}) = F_i(\mathcal{M})/F_{i-1}(\mathcal{M})$ be the i -th component of the associated graded object of the filtered object $(\mathcal{M}, (F_i(\mathcal{M})))$, and similarly for other filtered objects and morphisms. Since \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{U} are equipped with the induced filtrations, we obtain short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Gr}_i(\mathcal{U}) \xrightarrow{\text{Gr}_i(v)} \text{Gr}_i(\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\text{Gr}_i(\pi)} \text{Gr}_i(\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow 0$$

for all i (see [Del71, 1.1.11]; this follows easily from the nine lemma). All underlying graded modules are in \mathcal{B} (Remark 21). Since $\text{Gr}_i(\mathcal{M}) \cong \widehat{L}_{x_i}$ is simple in \mathcal{B} , either $\text{Gr}_i(v)$ is an isomorphism and $\text{Gr}_i(\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{U}) = 0$, or $\text{Gr}_i(\mathcal{U}) = 0$ and $\text{Gr}_i(\pi)$ is an isomorphism. We deduce that \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{U} are in dgFlag . \square

Proposition 22. *The obvious functor $\text{dgFlag} \rightarrow \heartsuit$ is an equivalence of categories. Any object in \heartsuit has finite length, and the simple objects in \heartsuit are (up to isomorphism) the $\{\widehat{L}_x\}_{x \in W}$.*

Proof. Let M, N be in dgFlag . Since both M and N are generated in degree zero, any homotopy $M \rightarrow \{-1\}N$ is zero. So the canonical map

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{dgMod}}(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{dgHot}}(M, N)$$

is an isomorphism, and the obvious functor $\text{dgFlag} \rightarrow \text{dgFilt}^0$ is an equivalence. In combination with Proposition 19, this shows the first statement.

We prove the remaining statements for dgFlag . If $M \neq 0$ is in dgFlag , the first step of any \widehat{L} -filtration yields a monomorphism $\widehat{L}_y \hookrightarrow M$. If M is simple, this must be an isomorphism. Any non-zero subobject of \widehat{L}_x has a subobject isomorphic to some \widehat{L}_y . So Lemma 5 (or Remark 21) shows that the $\{\widehat{L}_x\}_{x \in W}$ are (up to isomorphism) the simple objects of dgFlag and pairwise non-isomorphic. Each object of dgFlag has finite length, since it has an \widehat{L} -filtration. \square

Remark 23. We could establish the existence of our t-structure on dgPrae and hence (cf. the proof of Theorem 16) on dgPer also by starting from its potential heart, using [BBD82, 1.3.13], as follows:

- (a) Define \mathcal{C} to be the full subcategory of dgHot whose objects are those isomorphic to an object of dgFilt^0 .
- (b) Show that \mathcal{C} is an admissible abelian subcategory of dgHot and closed under extensions. (Proposition 20 equips dgFilt^0 and hence \mathcal{C} with the structure of an abelian category. Short exact sequences in dgFilt^0 are \mathcal{A} -split and can be completed into distinguished triangles. Hence dgFilt^0 and \mathcal{C} are abelian admissible (using the octahedral axiom one can also conclude directly from the proof of Proposition 20 that any morphism in \mathcal{C} is \mathcal{C} -admissible). It is

easy to see that any extension between objects of dgFilt^0 is isomorphic to an object of dgFilt^0 . Hence \mathcal{C} is stable by extensions.)

- (c) The smallest strict full triangulated subcategory of dgHot containing all the $\{n\}\mathcal{C}$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, is dgPrae (easy).

Then one can deduce Theorem 13. \diamond

Proposition 24. *An object M in the heart \heartsuit is injective if and only if $H^1(M) = 0$.*

Proof. M is injective if and only if $\mathrm{Ext}_{\heartsuit}^1(N, M)$ vanishes for all $N \in \heartsuit$. By Proposition 22 it is enough to check this for $N \in \{\widehat{L}_x\}_{x \in W}$. Since \mathcal{A} is isomorphic to a direct sum of the \widehat{L}_x with positive multiplicities, M is injective if and only if

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\heartsuit}^1(\mathcal{A}, M) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{dgHot}}(\mathcal{A}, \{1\}M) = H^1(M)$$

vanishes (here the first equality follows from [BBD82, 3.1.17.(ii)], the second one from (2)). \square

Example 25. Assume that $H^1(\mathcal{A}) = 0$ (since $d(A^0) = 0$ this is equivalent to $Z^1(\mathcal{A}) = 0$). Then \mathcal{A} is an injective object of \heartsuit , and any simple object \widehat{L}_x is injective (and projective). Hence any object of \heartsuit is a direct sum of simple objects. \diamond

If R is an arbitrary ring, we denote by $R\text{-mod}$ the category of finitely generated left R -modules.

Proposition 26. *Let I be an object of the \heartsuit . Assume that*

- (a) $H^1(I) = 0$ (equivalently: I is injective in \heartsuit),
- (b) any simple object of the heart \heartsuit is contained in I , i. e. for all $x \in W$, there is a monomorphism $\widehat{L}_x \rightarrow I$ in \heartsuit . (This is true, for example, if there is an inclusion $\mathcal{A} \subset I$ of dg modules.)

Then the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{\heartsuit}(\?, I) : \heartsuit \rightarrow (\mathrm{End}_{\heartsuit}(I)\text{-Mod})^{\mathrm{op}}$ induces an equivalence

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\heartsuit}(\?, I) : \heartsuit \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathrm{End}_{\heartsuit}(I)\text{-mod})^{\mathrm{op}}.$$

between the heart \heartsuit and the opposite category of the category of finitely generated left $\mathrm{End}_{\heartsuit}(I)$ -modules.

Proof. Every simple object of the artinian category \heartsuit is contained in the injective object I . Hence I is a projective generator of the opposite category \heartsuit^{op} , and a standard result yields an equivalence

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\heartsuit^{\mathrm{op}}}(I, \?) : \heartsuit^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{mod}(\mathrm{End}_{\heartsuit^{\mathrm{op}}}(I)) = \mathrm{End}_{\heartsuit}(I)\text{-mod}.$$

Now pass to the opposite categories. \square

Example 27. (Example 25 continued.) Assume that $H^1(\mathcal{A}) = 0$. We always have $\mathrm{End}_{\heartsuit}(\mathcal{A}) = H^0(\mathcal{A}) = A^0$ as rings (cf. (2)). Hence we obtain an equivalence

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\heartsuit}(\?, \mathcal{A}) : \heartsuit \xrightarrow{\sim} (A^0\text{-mod})^{\mathrm{op}},$$

i. e. the semisimple ring A^0 governs \heartsuit . This example shows that in general the bounded derived category of the heart and $\mathrm{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$ are not equivalent. \diamond

Example 28. Assume that k is a field and let $A = k[x]/x^{n+1}$ with x of degree 1 and $n \geq 2$. Let $\mathcal{A} = (A, d = 0)$ and $M = A \oplus A$ as a graded A -module. Viewing elements of M as column vectors, we define a differential on M by $d_M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. This turns M into a dg \mathcal{A} -module. (Note that, given any dg algebra $\mathcal{B} = (B, d = 0)$ with vanishing differential, the differential of any (right) dg \mathcal{B} -module is a B -linear

If we view elements of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{gMod}(\mathcal{A})}(M, N)$ as matrices, any element of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\heartsuit}(M, N)$ is upper triangular since it respects the socle filtration. \diamond

7. HOMOTOPICALLY MINIMAL DG MODULES

We prove that the objects of $\mathrm{dgFilt}(\mathcal{A})$ are precisely the so called homotopically minimal objects in $\mathrm{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$ and give alternative characterizations of these objects. We continue to assume that \mathcal{A} satisfies (P1)-(P3).

We say that a dg module M is **homotopically minimal** (cf. [AFH08], and [AM02] or [Kra05, App. B] for complexes) if any endomorphism $f : M \rightarrow M$ in $\mathrm{dgMod}(\mathcal{A})$ that is a homotopy equivalence (i. e. it becomes an isomorphism in $\mathrm{dgHot}(\mathcal{A})$) is an isomorphism.

Remark 30. In [BL94, 11.4] (where \mathcal{A} is a polynomial ring with generators in positive even degrees) the term “minimal \mathcal{K} -projective” is defined and it is proved that the minimal \mathcal{K} -projective modules are homotopically minimal in our sense. The equivalent statements (in particular (g)) of the next proposition show that the homotopically minimal dg modules in dgPer are “minimal \mathcal{K} -projective”. \diamond

Proposition 31. *Let $\mathcal{M} = (M, d)$ be in $\mathrm{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (a) \mathcal{M} is in dgFilt ;
- (b) \mathcal{M} is homotopically minimal;
- (c) for all i , $M_{\leq i}$ is an \mathcal{A} -submodule of \mathcal{M} , that is $d(M_{\leq i}) \subset M_{\leq i}$;
- (d) $d(M) \subset MA^+$;
- (e) $\overline{\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}^0 = \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{M}A^+$ has differential $d = 0$;
- (f) $\lambda(\overline{\mathcal{M}}) = \lambda(H(\overline{\mathcal{M}}))$;
- (g) $\lambda(\overline{\mathcal{M}})$ is finite and minimal in the isomorphism class of \mathcal{M} in dgHot .

Proof. • (a) \Rightarrow (b): Let \mathcal{M} be in dgFilt and $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ a morphism in $\mathrm{dgMod}(\mathcal{A})$ that becomes an isomorphism in $\mathrm{dgHot}(\mathcal{A})$. Then $\overline{f} : \overline{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ in $\mathrm{dgMod}(\mathcal{A}^0)$ becomes an isomorphism in $\mathrm{dgHot}(\mathcal{A}^0)$. Since the differential of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ vanishes, \overline{f} is already an isomorphism. Since M is in $\mathrm{gproj}(\mathcal{A})$ (Lemma 11) and in particular A -flat, Lemma 4 shows that f is an isomorphism.

- (b) \Rightarrow (a): Let \mathcal{M} be homotopically minimal. By Theorems 13 and 16 there is an object \mathcal{F} in dgFilt isomorphic to \mathcal{M} in dgHot . We know already that \mathcal{F} is homotopically minimal, hence \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{F} are already isomorphic in dgMod .
- (a) \Rightarrow (c): Each $M_{\leq i}$ appears in any \widehat{L} -filtration.
- (c) \Rightarrow (d): Let m be in M^i . Then $d(m) \in M_{\leq i}$ by assumption. Having degree $i + 1$ it must lie in $M_{\leq i}A^+$.
- (d) \Rightarrow (c): Since $d(M^j A^l) \subset d(M^j)A^l + M^j A^{l+1}$ by the Leibniz rule, it is sufficient to prove that $d(M^j) \subset M_{\leq j}$. But $d(M^j) \subset M^{j+1} \cap MA^+ = M^j A^1 + M^{j-1} A^2 + \dots \subset M_{\leq j}$.
- (d) \Leftrightarrow (e) \Rightarrow (f): Obvious.
- (f) \Rightarrow (e): It is obvious that $\lambda(H(\overline{\mathcal{N}}))$ is finite for any \mathcal{N} in $\mathrm{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$ since this is true for \mathcal{A} itself. Let $Z \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ be the kernel of the differential of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ and B its image. Then $H(\overline{\mathcal{M}}) = Z/B$ and

$$\lambda(H(\overline{\mathcal{M}})) \leq \lambda(Z) \leq \lambda(\overline{\mathcal{M}})$$

with equalities if and only if $B = 0$ and $Z = \overline{\mathcal{M}}$.

- (f) \Rightarrow (g): As already remarked, $\lambda(H(\overline{\mathcal{N}}))$ is finite for any \mathcal{N} in $\text{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$. Now let \mathcal{N} be isomorphic to \mathcal{M} in dgHot . Then

$$\lambda(\overline{\mathcal{M}}) = \lambda(H(\overline{\mathcal{M}})) = \lambda(H(\overline{\mathcal{N}})) \leq \lambda(\overline{\mathcal{N}}).$$

- (g) \Rightarrow (a): By Theorems 13 and 16 there is an object $\mathcal{F} = (F, d)$ in dgFilt and a morphism $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ in $\text{dgMod}(\mathcal{A})$ that becomes an isomorphism in $\text{dgHot}(\mathcal{A})$. Then $\overline{f} : \overline{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{F}}$ in $\text{dgMod}(\mathcal{A}^0)$ becomes an isomorphism in $\text{dgHot}(\mathcal{A}^0)$. We have already proved (a) \Rightarrow (f), hence

$$\lambda(\overline{\mathcal{F}}) = \lambda(H(\overline{\mathcal{F}})) = \lambda(H(\overline{\mathcal{M}})) \leq \lambda(\overline{\mathcal{M}}).$$

Since $\lambda(\overline{\mathcal{M}})$ is minimal in the isomorphism class of \mathcal{M} we have equality. Hence the differential of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ vanishes thanks to (e) \Leftrightarrow (f). The same is true for $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$. Thus \overline{f} is an isomorphism in $\text{dgMod}(\mathcal{A}^0)$. Since the underlying graded module of \mathcal{F} is in $\text{gproj}(\mathcal{A})$ (Lemma 11) and in particular \mathcal{A} -flat, Lemma 4 shows that f is an isomorphism. □

Corollary 32. *The category dgFilt is closed under forming direct summands in $\text{dgMod}(\mathcal{A})$.*

Proof. Let F be in dgFilt and $F \cong X \oplus Y$ a decomposition in $\text{dgMod}(\mathcal{A})$. Then X and Y are in $\text{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$, and in dgFilt by (a) \Leftrightarrow (c). □

8. INDECOMPOSABLES

We prove that $\text{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$ is a Krull-Remak-Schmidt category, i. e. it is an additive category and every object decomposes into a finite direct sum of objects having local endomorphism rings. This definition is taken from [CYZ08, App. A] (cf. [Aus74, Rin84, Kra08]); it is shown there that such a decomposition is essentially unique. The dg algebra \mathcal{A} is as before.

Proposition 33. *The inclusion $\text{dgFilt} \subset \text{dgPer}$ induces a bijection between*

- (a) *objects of dgFilt up to isomorphism in dgMod and*
- (b) *objects of dgPer up to isomorphism in dgHot .*

Proof. The map from the set in (a) to the set in (b) is surjective since $\text{dgFilt} \subset \text{dgPer}$ is an equivalence (see Theorems 13 and 16). If M and N are in dgFilt and isomorphic in dgHot then they are already isomorphic in dgMod , since M and N are homotopically minimal (Proposition 31). This proves injectivity. □

Corollary 34. *An object M of dgFilt is indecomposable in dgMod if and only if it is indecomposable in dgHot (in dgFilt , in dgPer , respectively).*

Proof. Assume that M is indecomposable in dgMod and let $M \cong A \oplus B$ be a direct sum decomposition in dgHot (in dgFilt , in dgPer). Then $A, B \in \text{dgPer}$, and Proposition 33 shows that we can assume that A, B are in dgFilt ; but then M and $A \oplus B$ are objects of dgFilt that become isomorphic in dgHot . Hence they are already isomorphic in dgMod . This implies that $A = 0$ or $B = 0$, so M is indecomposable in dgHot (in dgFilt , in dgPer).

Now assume that M is indecomposable in dgHot (in dgFilt , in dgPer). Let $M \cong A \oplus B$ be a direct sum decomposition in dgMod . Corollary 32 shows that A and B are in dgFilt . Since M is indecomposable in dgHot (in dgFilt , dgPer) we

must have $A \cong 0$ or $B \cong 0$ in dgHot , and by Proposition 33 we obtain $A = 0$ or $B = 0$ in dgMod . So M is indecomposable in dgMod . \square

We need the following easy lemma in order to obtain a Fitting decomposition for objects of dgFilt . The idea is to get a decomposition of the middle term of a short exact sequence from decompositions of the border terms.

Lemma 35. *Let $X : 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence in an abelian category and $f = (a, b, c)$ an endomorphism of X . Assume that $\text{im } a = \text{im } a^2$ and $\ker c = \ker c^2$. Then the sequences*

$$(9) \quad 0 \rightarrow \ker a^2 \rightarrow \ker b^2 \rightarrow \ker c^2 \rightarrow 0$$

$$(10) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{im } a^2 \rightarrow \text{im } b^2 \rightarrow \text{im } c^2 \rightarrow 0$$

are exact. If in addition

$$\begin{aligned} \ker a &= \ker a^2, & A &= \ker a \oplus \text{im } a, \\ \text{im } c &= \text{im } c^2, & C &= \ker c \oplus \text{im } c, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\ker b^2 = \ker b^3, \quad \text{im } b^2 = \text{im } b^3, \quad B = \ker b^2 \oplus \text{im } b^2.$$

Proof. We view X as a complex concentrated in degrees 0, 1, 2, with A sitting in degree 0. Consider the short exact sequences $\Sigma_1 : 0 \rightarrow \ker f \rightarrow X \rightarrow \text{im } f \rightarrow 0$ and $\Sigma_2 : 0 \rightarrow \ker f^2 \rightarrow X \rightarrow \text{im } f^2 \rightarrow 0$ of complexes and note that there is a morphism $\sigma : (f, f, i) : \Sigma_2 \rightarrow \Sigma_1$, where i is the inclusion $\text{im } f^2 \rightarrow \text{im } f$.

The long exact cohomology sequence obtained from Σ_2 shows the exactness of (9) and (10) at all positions except at $\ker c^2$ and $\text{im } b^2$. The non-trivial part of the morphism between the long exact sequences induced by σ is the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(\text{im } f^2) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H^2(\ker f^2) \\ \downarrow H^1(i) & & \downarrow H^2(f) \\ H^1(\text{im } f) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H^2(\ker f). \end{array}$$

Our assumption $\ker c = \ker c^2$ shows that the morphism $H^2(f)$ is zero. From $\text{im } a = \text{im } a^2$ we see that $H^1(i)$ is a monomorphism. This implies that the upper corners in our diagram are zero. Hence (9) and (10) are also exact at $\ker c^2$ and $\text{im } b^2$.

Assume that the additional assumptions hold. Then the five-lemma shows that the obvious morphism from the direct sum of the two exact sequences (9) and (10) to the exact sequence X is an isomorphism. Thus $B = \ker b^2 \oplus \text{im } b^2$.

Since $\text{im } a^2 = \text{im } a^4$ and $\ker c^2 = \ker c^4$ we obtain from the first part an exact sequence of the form (9) with squares replaced by fourth powers, and a morphism from (9) to this sequence. The five lemma again shows that $\ker b^2 = \ker b^4$, hence $\ker b^2 = \ker b^3$. Similarly one proves $\text{im } b^2 = \text{im } b^3$. \square

Proposition 36 (Fitting decomposition). *Let M be in $\text{dgFilt}(\mathcal{A})$ and $f : M \rightarrow M$ an endomorphism in dgMod . Then $\ker f^n = \ker f^{n+1}$, $\text{im } f^n = \text{im } f^{n+1}$ and $M = \ker f^n \oplus \text{im } f^n$ for $n \gg 0$.*

Proof. Each object M of dgFilt lies in dgFilt^I for some bounded interval $I = [a, b]$ where $a \leq b$ are integers. We prove the statement by induction over $|I| := b - a$. For $|I| = 0$ we can use the classical Fitting lemma since the heart of our t-structure is artinian.

Assume that $|I| > 0$. Choose $i \in I$ such that $0 \subsetneq M_{\leq i} \subsetneq M$. Then we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M_{\leq i} \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/M_{\leq i} \rightarrow 0$$

in dgMod , and f induces an endomorphism of this sequence. By induction we have Fitting decompositions for $M_{\leq i}$ and $M/M_{\leq i}$, and Lemma 35 shows that these decompositions yield a Fitting decomposition of M . \square

- Proposition 37.** (a) *Let M be an indecomposable object of dgFilt (cf. Corollary 34). Then any element of $\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{dgMod}}(M)$ is either an isomorphism or nilpotent, and the nilpotent endomorphisms form an ideal in $\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{dgMod}}(M)$. The same statement holds for $\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{dgHot}}(N)$ if N is an indecomposable object of dgPer . In particular both endomorphism rings are local rings.*
- (b) *Each object of dgFilt decomposes into a finite direct sum of indecomposables of dgFilt . Same for dgPer .*
- (c) *dgFilt and dgPer are Krull-Remak-Schmidt categories.*

Proof. The first statement of (a) is a standard consequence of the Fitting decomposition. The second statement then follows from Proposition 33 and Corollary 34.

Let us prove (b). If X is an object of dgFilt with a direct sum decomposition $X \cong Y \oplus Z$ in dgMod , then Y and Z are in dgFilt by Corollary 32, and $\lambda(\overline{X}) = \lambda(\overline{Y}) + \lambda(\overline{Z})$. Moreover $\lambda(\overline{X})$ is finite, and $\lambda(\overline{X}) = 0$ if and only if $X = 0$.

This shows that any object X of dgFilt has a finite direct sum decomposition $X \cong M_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_n$ in dgMod where all M_i are in dgFilt and indecomposable in dgMod . All M_i are also indecomposable in dgFilt (Corollary 34).

The analog statement for dgPer is true thanks to the equivalence $\mathrm{dgFilt} \subset \mathrm{dgPer}$ (Theorems 13 and 16).

Part (c) is a consequence of (a) and (b). \square

9. KOSZUL-DUALITY

We study the case that the dg algebra \mathcal{A} is a Koszul ring with differential zero. Under some finiteness conditions we show that the heart of the t-structure on $\mathrm{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$ is governed by the dual Koszul ring. This is a shadow of the usual Koszul equivalence (see Remark 42 below). We assume that $k = \mathbb{Z}$ in this chapter.

Let A be a Koszul ring (see [BGS96]). It gives rise to a dg algebra $\mathcal{A} = (A, d = 0)$ with differential zero. This dg algebra satisfies the conditions (P1)-(P3). Since A is Koszul there is a resolution $P \rightarrow A^0$,

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_{-2} \xrightarrow{d_{-2}} P_{-1} \xrightarrow{d_{-1}} P_0 \rightarrow A^0,$$

of the graded (right) A -module $A^0 = A/A^+$ such that each P_{-i} is a projective object in $\mathrm{gMod}(A)$ and generated in degree i , $P_{-i} = P_{-i}^i A$. Such a resolution is unique up to unique isomorphism. Note that multiplication defines an isomorphism

$$(11) \quad P_{-i}^i \otimes_{A^0} A \xrightarrow{\sim} P_{-i}$$

(it is surjective, splits by the projectivity of P_{-i} , and any splitting is surjective, since it is an isomorphism in degree i).

Since the differential of \mathcal{A} vanishes, we can view each P_{-i} as a dg \mathcal{A} -module with differential zero. The maps d_{-i} are then morphisms of dg modules.

On the graded A -module

$$K := K(A) := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{n\}P_{-n} = P_0 \oplus \{1\}P_{-1} \oplus \dots$$

we define a differential d_K by

$$(12) \quad d_K(p_0, p_{-1}, p_{-2}, \dots) \\ := ((-1)^{-1}d_{-1}(p_{-1}), (-1)^{-2}d_{-2}(p_{-2}), (-1)^{-3}d_{-3}(p_{-3}), \dots).$$

This defines an object $\mathcal{K}(A) = (K, d_K)$ of $\text{dgMod}(\mathcal{A})$. It can be seen as an iterated mapping cone of the morphisms $(-1)^{-i}d_{-i}$ of dg modules (if there are only finitely many summands). (We chose the signs from the proof of Theorem 39. If we omit all the signs $(-1)^{-i}$ in (12), we obtain an isomorphic dg module.)

We say that P is of finite length if $P_{-j} = 0$ for $j \gg 0$, and that P has finitely generated components if each P_{-i} is a finitely generated A -module. These two conditions mean precisely that K is a finitely generated A -module.

Proposition 38. *Let A be a Koszul ring, $\mathcal{A} = (A, d = 0)$, and $P \rightarrow A^0$ a resolution as above. Assume that P is of finite length with finitely generated components. Then $\mathcal{K}(A)$ is in dgFilt^0 and an injective object of the heart \heartsuit .*

Proof. Since P has finite length, the filtration $0 \subset P_0 \subset P_0 \oplus \{1\}P_{-1} \subset \dots \subset K$ by \mathcal{A} -submodules stabilizes after finitely many steps. Since all subquotients $\{i\}P_{-i}$ have differential zero and are finitely generated by their degree zero part, they have an \hat{L} -filtration (use (11)). Hence $\mathcal{K}(A)$ is in $\text{dgFilt}^0 \subset \heartsuit$.

Since $P \rightarrow A^0$ is a resolution, the cohomology $H(\mathcal{K}(A))$ is A^0 , sitting in degree zero. Hence $\mathcal{K}(A)$ is an injective object of \heartsuit by Proposition 24. \square

For a positively graded ring A form the graded ring $E(A) := \text{Ext}_{\text{Mod}(A)}^{\bullet}(A^0, A^0)$ of self-extensions of the right A -module $A^0 = A/A^+$ (we consider self-extensions in $\text{Mod}(A)$, not in $\text{gMod}(A)$). This ring is called the Koszul dual ring of A (this definition is slightly different from the definition in [BGS96]: they consider self-extensions of the left A -module A^0).

Theorem 39. *Let A be a Koszul ring, $\mathcal{A} = (A, d = 0)$, and $P \rightarrow A^0$ a resolution as above. Assume that P is of finite length with finitely generated components. Then:*

- (a) *The functor $\mathbb{K} := \text{Hom}_{\heartsuit}(\?, \mathcal{K}(A)) : \heartsuit \rightarrow (\text{End}_{\heartsuit}(\mathcal{K}(A))\text{-Mod})^{\text{op}}$ induces an equivalence*

$$\mathbb{K} : \heartsuit \xrightarrow{\sim} (\text{End}_{\heartsuit}(\mathcal{K}(A))\text{-mod})^{\text{op}}$$

between \heartsuit and the opposite category of the category of finitely generated (left) $\text{End}_{\heartsuit}(\mathcal{K}(A))$ -modules.

- (b) *$\text{End}_{\heartsuit}(\mathcal{K}(A))$ is isomorphic to $E(A) = \text{Ext}_{\text{Mod}(A)}^{\bullet}(A^0, A^0)$.*

Example 40. Let $A = \mathbb{C}[X]$ be a polynomial ring with X homogeneous of degree one. This is a Koszul ring with Koszul dual ring the ring of dual numbers $B := E(A) = \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)$. The resolution $P \rightarrow A^0$ is given by $\dots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \{-1\}A \xrightarrow{X} A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, and $\mathcal{K}(A)$ is $A \oplus A$ as a graded A -module with differential $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -X \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Theorem 39

says that $\text{End}_{\heartsuit}(\mathcal{K}(A))$ is isomorphic to B (which is of course easy to check, cf. Example 28), and that there is an equivalence $\heartsuit \xrightarrow{\sim} (B\text{-mod})^{\text{op}}$.

More generally, we could take $A = SV$ the symmetric algebra of some finite dimensional vector space V (with all elements of V in degree one). Then $E(A) = \bigwedge V^*$ is the exterior algebra on the dual space of V , and we obtain an equivalence $\heartsuit \xrightarrow{\sim} (\bigwedge V^*\text{-mod})^{\text{op}}$. \diamond

Proof. Part (a) follows from Proposition 38, the fact that $\mathcal{A} = P_0$ is an \mathcal{A} -submodule of $\mathcal{K}(A)$ and Proposition 26.

For the proof of (b) we need the following general construction. Let $S = (S, d^S) = (\dots \rightarrow S_i \xrightarrow{d_i} S_{i+1} \rightarrow \dots)$ and $T = (T, d^T)$ be complexes in some abelian category. Then we define a complex of abelian groups $\mathcal{H}\text{om}(S, T)$ as follows: Its i -th component is

$$\mathcal{H}\text{om}^i(S, T) = \prod_{s+t=i} \text{Hom}(S_{-s}, T_t),$$

and its differential is given by

$$df = d^T \circ f - (-1)^i f \circ d^S$$

for f homogeneous of degree i . Note that $\mathcal{E}\text{nd}(S) := \mathcal{H}\text{om}(S, S)$ with the obvious composition becomes a dg algebra.

We now prove part (b): Let $v : \text{gMod}(A) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(A)$ be the “forgetting the grading” functor. We denote the induced functor from the category of complexes in $\text{gMod}(A)$ to that of complexes in $\text{Mod}(A)$ by the same letter.

If M and N are in $\text{gMod}(A)$, the map

$$(13) \quad \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\text{gMod}(A)}(M, \{n\}N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{Mod}(A)}(v(M), v(N))$$

$$f = (f_n) \mapsto \sum f_n$$

is always injective. It is bijective if M is finitely generated as an A -module.

Since $v(P) \rightarrow v(A_0)$ is a projective resolution in $\text{Mod}(A)$, the cohomology of $\mathcal{R} := \mathcal{E}\text{nd}(v(P))$ is $E(A)$. The i -th component of \mathcal{R} is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}^i &= \prod_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\text{Mod}(A)}(v(P_{-s}), v(P_{-s+i})) \\ &= \bigoplus_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\text{Mod}(A)}(v(P_{-s}), v(P_{-s+i})) && v(P) \text{ has finite length,} \\ &\xleftarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{s, n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\text{gMod}(A)}(P_{-s}, \{n\}P_{-s+i}) && P_{-s} \text{ finitely gen., (13),} \\ &= \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \prod_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\text{gMod}(A)}(P_{-s}, \{n\}P_{-s+i}) && P \text{ has finite length,} \\ &= \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}\text{om}_{\text{gMod}(A)}^i(P, \{n\}P). \end{aligned}$$

So if we define $\mathcal{R}_n = \mathcal{H}\text{om}_{\text{gMod}(A)}(P, \{n\}P)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we get an isomorphism of complexes

$$\mathcal{R} \xleftarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{R}_n.$$

Note that $H^i(\mathcal{R}_n) = \text{Ext}_{\text{gMod}(A)}^i(A_0, \{n\}A_0)$ vanishes if $i \neq -n$ (Proof (cf. [BGS96, Prop. 2.1.3]): Even the complex $\mathcal{H}\text{om}_{\text{gMod}(A)}(P, \{n\}A_0)$ vanishes in all degrees $\neq -n$). Hence we obtain

$$E^i(A) = H^i(\mathcal{R}) \xleftarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H^i(\mathcal{R}_n) = H^i(\mathcal{R}_{-i}).$$

In order to compute $H^i(\mathcal{R}_{-i})$, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{-i}^j &= \prod_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\text{gMod}(A)}(P_{-s}, \{-i\}P_{-s+j}) \\ &= \bigoplus_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\text{gMod}(A)}(P_{-s}, \{-i\}P_{-s+j}) \end{aligned}$$

vanishes if $j < i$, since $P_{-s} = P_{-s}^s A$ is generated in degree s and $(\{-i\}P_{-s+j})^s = P_{-s+j}^{s-i}$ vanishes for $s - i < s - j$. This implies

$$E^i(A) = H^i(\mathcal{R}_{-i}) = \ker(\mathcal{R}_{-i}^i \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{-i}^{i+1}),$$

so $E(A) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} E^i(A)$ is a subset of $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{R}_{-i}^i$. On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{End}_{\heartsuit}(\mathcal{K}(A)) &= \text{End}_{\text{dgMod}(A)}(\mathcal{K}(A)) \\ &\subset \text{End}_{\text{gMod}(A)}(K(A)) \\ &= \bigoplus_{i, s \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\text{gMod}(A)}(\{s\}P_{-s}, \{s-i\}P_{-s+i}) \\ &= \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{R}_{-i}^i. \end{aligned}$$

In order to identify these two subsets of $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{R}_{-i}^i$, let $f = (f^i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} = (f_s^i)_{i, s \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be an element of $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{R}_{-i}^i$ with

$$f_s^i \in \text{Hom}_{\text{gMod}(A)}(\{s\}P_{-s}, \{s-i\}P_{-s+i}).$$

Then it is easy to check that $f \in E(A)$ if and only if

$$d_{-s+i} \circ f_s^i - (-1)^i f_{s-1}^i \circ d_{-s} = 0 \quad \text{for all } i, s \in \mathbb{Z},$$

if and only if $f \in \text{End}_{\heartsuit}(\mathcal{K}(A))$. \square

Remark 41. Since the graded A -module $K(A)$ is a (finite) direct sum, its endomorphism ring $\text{End}_{\text{gMod}(A)}(K(A))$ consists of matrices and can be equipped with a “diagonal” \mathbb{Z} -grading such that the piece

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{gMod}(A)}(\{s\}P_{-s}, \{t\}P_{-t})$$

has degree $s - t$. These matrices are not upper triangular in general. The particular form of $\mathcal{K}(A)$ implies that $\text{End}_{\heartsuit}(\mathcal{K}(A)) \subset \text{End}_{\text{gMod}(A)}(K(A))$ is a graded subalgebra. In fact it consists of upper triangular matrices, i. e. it is positively (= non-negatively) graded: This can be directly deduced from the proof of Theorem 39, since the extension algebra $E(A)$ is positively graded. A more general argument rests upon Remark 29: The explicit description of the socle filtration of an object of dgFlag there and the particular form of $\mathcal{K}(A)$ show that

$$\text{soc}_{i+1} \mathcal{K}(A) = P_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus \{i\}P_{-i}.$$

Now endomorphisms of $\mathcal{K}(A)$ in \heartsuit respect the socle filtration and are therefore upper triangular. \diamond

Remark 42. A. Beilinson, V. Ginzburg, and W. Soergel prove an equivalence of triangulated categories

$$K : D^b(\text{gmod}(B)) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b(\text{gmod}(A))$$

for B a Koszul ring satisfying some finiteness conditions and $A = E(B)$ its Koszul dual ring (see [BGS96, Thm. 1.2.6]; we have adapted their statement to our setting, in particular we use our definition of the Koszul dual ring using right modules). The finiteness conditions are: B is a finitely generated B^0 -module from the right and from the left, $A = E(B)$ is right Noetherian, and (this condition seems to be missing in [BGS96]) the right B -module ${}^*B := \text{Hom}_{\text{Mod}(B^0)}(B, B^0)$ is finitely generated; here $D^b(\text{gmod}(B))$ is the bounded derived category of the category of finitely generated graded left B -modules, and similarly for $D^b(\text{gmod}(A))$.

The standard t-structure on $D^b(\text{gmod}(B))$ with heart $\text{gmod}(B)$ corresponds (under this equivalence) to some non-standard t-structure on $D^b(\text{gmod}(A))$. Hence $\text{gmod}(B)$ is equivalent to the heart of this non-standard t-structure.

Now assume that the Koszul ring $A = E(B)$ satisfies the finiteness conditions of Theorem 39 and consider $\mathcal{A} = (A, d = 0)$. (For example, one could take the exterior algebra $B = \bigwedge V$ of a finite dimensional vector space V , and $A = SV^*$ the symmetric algebra on the dual space of V .) There is a natural forgetful functor $D^b(\text{gmod}(B)) \rightarrow D^b(\text{mod}(B))$ (induced by $\text{gmod}(B) \rightarrow \text{mod}(B)$), and there is a functor $D^b(\text{gmod}(A)) \rightarrow \text{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$. From the above equivalence between the hearts it is reasonable to expect (as a shadow of Koszul duality) an equivalence $\text{mod}(B) \cong \heartsuit$, where \heartsuit is the heart of our t-structure on $\text{dgPer}(\mathcal{A})$. Given our assumptions on B and A , this is in fact true: Theorem 39 yields an equivalence $\heartsuit \xrightarrow{\sim} (B\text{-mod})^{\text{op}}$ (note that $E(A) = E(E(B)) = B$), and the functor $\text{Hom}_{\text{mod}(B)}(?, {}^*B) : \text{mod}(B) \rightarrow (B\text{-mod})^{\text{op}}$ is an equivalence, since *B is an injective generator of $\text{mod}(B)$ and $\text{End}_{\text{mod}(B)}({}^*B) = B$ (use $K({}^*B) = A^0$ and some arguments from the proof of Theorem 39). \diamond

REFERENCES

- [AFH08] Luchezar L. Avramov, Hans-Bjørn Foxby, and Stephen Halperin. Resolutions for dg modules. *in preparation*, 2008.
- [AM02] Luchezar L. Avramov and Alex Martsinkovsky. Absolute, relative, and Tate cohomology of modules of finite Gorenstein dimension. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 85(2):393–440, 2002.
- [Aus74] Maurice Auslander. Representation theory of Artin algebras. I, II. *Comm. Algebra*, 1:177–268; *ibid.* 1 (1974), 269–310, 1974.
- [BBD82] A. A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, and P. Deligne. Faisceaux pervers. In *Analysis and topology on singular spaces, I (Luminy, 1981)*, volume 100 of *Astérisque*, pages 5–171. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1982.
- [BGS96] Alexander Beilinson, Victor Ginzburg, and Wolfgang Soergel. Koszul duality patterns in representation theory. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 9(2):473–527, 1996.
- [BL94] Joseph Bernstein and Valery Lunts. *Equivariant sheaves and functors*, volume 1578 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [BN93] Marcel Bökstedt and Amnon Neeman. Homotopy limits in triangulated categories. *Compositio Math.*, 86(2):209–234, 1993.
- [BS01] Paul Balmer and Marco Schlichting. Idempotent completion of triangulated categories. *J. Algebra*, 236(2):819–834, 2001.

- [BT82] Raoul Bott and Loring W. Tu. *Differential forms in algebraic topology*, volume 82 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.
- [CYZ08] Xiao-Wu Chen, Yu Ye, and Pu Zhang. Algebras of derived dimension zero. *Comm. Algebra*, 36(1):1–10, 2008.
- [Del71] Pierre Deligne. Théorie de Hodge. II. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (40):5–57, 1971.
- [DGMS75] Pierre Deligne, Phillip Griffiths, John Morgan, and Dennis Sullivan. Real homotopy theory of Kähler manifolds. *Invent. Math.*, 29(3):245–274, 1975.
- [Gui05] Stéphane Guillermou. Equivariant derived category of a complete symmetric variety. *Represent. Theory*, 9:526–577 (electronic), 2005.
- [Kel94] Bernhard Keller. Deriving DG categories. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 27(1):63–102, 1994.
- [Kel98] Bernhard Keller. On the construction of triangle equivalences. In *Derived equivalences for group rings*, volume 1685 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 155–176. Springer, Berlin, 1998.
- [Kra05] Henning Krause. The stable derived category of a Noetherian scheme. *Compos. Math.*, 141(5):1128–1162, 2005.
- [Kra08] Henning Krause. Krull-Remak-Schmidt categories and projective covers. *Note*, pp. 1–9, 2008. http://www2.math.uni-paderborn.de/fileadmin/Mathematik/AG-Krause/publications_krause/krs.pdf.
- [LC07] Jue Le and Xiao-Wu Chen. Karoubianness of a triangulated category. *J. Algebra*, 310(1):452–457, 2007.
- [Lun95] Valery Lunts. Equivariant sheaves on toric varieties. *Compositio Math.*, 96(1):63–83, 1995.
- [MOS09] Volodymyr Mazorchuk, Serge Ovsienko, and Catharina Stroppel. Quadratic duals, Koszul dual functors, and applications. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 361(3):1129–1172, 2009.
- [Rin84] Claus Michael Ringel. *Tame algebras and integral quadratic forms*, volume 1099 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- [Sch] Olaf M. Schnürer. Equivariant Sheaves on Flag Varieties. *Math. Z.*, accepted, DOI 10.1007/s00209-009-0609-5, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00209-009-0609-5>.
- [Sch07] Olaf M. Schnürer. Equivariant Sheaves on Flag Varieties, DG Modules and Formality. *Doktorarbeit, Universität Freiburg*, 2007. <http://www.freidok.uni-freiburg.de/volltexte/4662/>.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, UNIVERSITÄT BONN, BERINGSTRASSE 1, D-53115 BONN, GERMANY
E-mail address: `olaf.schnuerer@math.uni-bonn.de`