

STABLE STRING OPERATIONS ARE TRIVIAL

HIROTAKA TAMANOI

ABSTRACT. We show that in closed string topology and in open-closed string topology with one D -brane, higher genus stable string operations are trivial. This is a consequence of Harer's stability theorem and related stability results on the homology of mapping class groups of surfaces with boundaries. In fact, this vanishing result is a special case of a general result which applies to all homological conformal field theories with a property that in the associated topological quantum field theories, the string operations associated to genus one cobordisms with one or two boundaries vanish. In closed string topology, the base manifold can be either finite dimensional, or infinite dimensional with finite dimensional cohomology for its based loop space. The above vanishing result is based on the triviality of string operations associated to homology classes of mapping class groups which are in the image of stabilizing maps.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Homological conformal field theory	4
3. Vanishing theorem for closed string topology (I)	7
4. Vanishing theorem for closed string topology (II)	11
5. Vanishing theorem for open-closed string topology	23
6. Some computations of unstable closed string operations	30
References	32

1. INTRODUCTION

Let M be a closed oriented smooth d dimensional manifold and let LM be the loop space consisting of continuous maps from S^1 into M . In this paper, we use (co)homology with integral coefficients unless otherwise stated, except in section 4. Chas and Sullivan [5] showed that the homology of the loop space with a degree shift $\mathbb{H}_*(LM) = H_{*+d}(LM)$ has the structure of a Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra. Cohen and Godin [7], Godin [14], and Cohen and Schwarz [10] showed that $\mathbb{H}_*(LM)$ even carries the structure of a homological conformal field theory (HCFT).

Namely, let $F_{g,p+q}$ be a connected smooth oriented genus g surface with $p+q$ parametrized boundaries out of which p of them are designated as incoming and the other q as outgoing. The mapping class group $\Gamma_{g,p+q}$ is the group of isotopy classes of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of $F_{g,p+q}$ fixing boundaries pointwise.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 55P35.

Key words and phrases. free loop space; Harer stability theorem; homological conformal field theory; mapping class group; open-closed string operation; open-closed string topology; spectral sequence comparison theorem; topological quantum field theory.

We assume $p \geq 0, q \geq 1$. This condition is called the positive boundary condition. Then to each homology class of the mapping class group, HCFT structure assigns the following string operation acting on $H_*(LM)$ (modulo Künneth theorem):

$$(1.1) \quad \mu_{g,p+q} : H_*(B\Gamma_{g,p+q}) \otimes H_*(LM)^{\otimes p} \longrightarrow H_*(LM)^{\otimes q},$$

lowering degree by $-d \cdot \chi(F_{g,p+q}) = d(2g+p+q-2)$, where $B\Gamma_{g,p+q}$ is the classifying space of the mapping class group $\Gamma_{g,p+q}$. Since we assume $q \geq 1$, $B\Gamma_{g,p+q}$ is homotopy equivalent to the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_{g,p+q}$ of connected Riemann surfaces of genus g with $p+q$ disjoint holomorphically embedded discs. A representation theory of these moduli spaces $\mathfrak{M}_{g,p+q}$ is a conformal field theory. Hence the name homological conformal field theory is a suitable one in our framework.

When the manifold M is infinite dimensional, Cohen-Godin's construction of string operations does not work. However, if M is simply connected and the cohomology of its based loop space ΩM is finite dimensional over a field k , then Chataur and Menichi [6] constructed a homological conformal field theory structure on the cohomology $H^*(LM; k)$ under a different condition $p, q \geq 1$ (noncompact HCFT). String operations in this case, are of the following form:

$$(1.2) \quad \mu_{g,p+q} : H_*(B\Gamma_{g,p+q}; k) \otimes H^*(LM; k)^{\otimes q} \longrightarrow H^*(LM; k)^{\otimes p}.$$

In section 4, we show that the coproduct for $H^*(LM; k)$ is trivial (i) when $H^*(\Omega M; \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion free and k is any field, and (ii) when $H^*(\Omega M; \mathbb{Z})$ is p -torsion free where p is the characteristic of the field k . See Corollary 4.10. The homology $H_*(LM; k)$ has a dual HCFT structure with trivial product under the same condition on ΩM . Their construction applies in particular to classifying spaces BG of finite dimensional Lie groups G .

The main result of this paper is that all ‘‘stable’’ string operations vanish both in closed string topology and in open-closed string topology. To describe this stability condition, we recall Harer's stability theorem [15]. Let T be a torus with two boundaries. By sewing one boundary of T to any boundary of $F_{g,p+q}$, we obtain a surface $F_{g+1,p+q}$ of genus $g+1$. By extending a self-diffeomorphism of $F_{g,p+q}$ to one of $F_{g+1,p+q}$ by letting the extension to be identity on T , we get an inclusion of groups $\varphi : \Gamma_{g,p+q} \rightarrow \Gamma_{g+1,p+q}$. The induced map in homology is an isomorphism in low degrees, increasing with genus. Thus, the homology of mapping class groups stabilizes with increasing genus. More precisely,

Harer's Stability Theorem (Harer [15] and Ivanov [16], [17]). *Assume $p+q \geq 1$. The stabilizing homomorphism*

$$\varphi_* : H_k(B\Gamma_{g,p+q}) \longrightarrow H_k(B\Gamma_{g+1,p+q})$$

is an isomorphism and independent of $p+q$ for $g \geq 2k+1$. It is onto and independent of $p+q$ for $g \geq 2k$.

Thus for sufficiently large g , the homology of mapping class groups is independent of genus and the number of boundaries $p+q \geq 1$. We will show that there are actually $p+q$ possibly different choices of stabilizing maps related by $\Sigma_p \times \Sigma_q$ equivariance, corresponding to different choices of boundary circles of $F_{g,p+q}$ used for sewing with T . The above stability theorem is valid for each of these maps. In fact, it turns out that in stable range, all of these $p+q$ choices give the same stabilizing map. This is a consequence of Harer's stability theorem. See Remark 2.1.

For the statement of Ivanov's reformulation of homology stability in [17], see Theorem 5.3. This reformulation is more convenient for us when we discuss open-closed string topology operations. The above stability range was proved by Ivanov improving over the original Harer's stability range. Note that φ_* is always isomorphism for $k = 0$ because all of the spaces $B\Gamma_{g,p+q}$ are connected.

We say that the homology group $H_k(B\Gamma_{g,p+q})$ is in stable range if the stabilizing map φ_* into $H_k(B\Gamma_{g,p+q})$ is surjective, and all the subsequent φ_* are isomorphisms, as in the following sequence:

$$H_k(B\Gamma_{g-1,p+q}) \xrightarrow[\text{onto}]{\varphi_*} H_k(B\Gamma_{g,p+q}) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\varphi_*} H_k(B\Gamma_{g+1,p+q}) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\varphi_*} \dots$$

By the Ivanov's result, $H_k(B\Gamma_{g,p+q})$ is in stable range when $g \geq 2k+1$ for all $k \geq 0$.

Vanishing Theorem (Closed String Topology Case). (I) *Let M be a finite dimensional closed smooth oriented manifold. Consider the closed string topology for M .*

(i) *String operations (1.1) on $H_*(LM)$ associated to elements in the image $\text{Im } \varphi_* \subset H_k(B\Gamma_{g,p+q})$ of any stabilizing map φ_* are trivial.*

(ii) *String operations associated to any elements in the homology $H_k(B\Gamma_{g,p+q})$ in stable range are all trivial.*

(II) *Let M be a simply connected infinite dimensional space such that $H^*(\Omega M; k)$ is finite dimensional for some field k . Then with respect to (co)homology with coefficients in k , the above statements (i) and (ii) for string operations associated to elements in $H_k(B\Gamma_{g,p+q})$ acting on $H^*(LM; k)$ are valid.*

The second parts (ii) describe the meaning of the title of this paper. Obviously parts (ii) are consequences of parts (i) in view of Harer's Stability Theorem. Parts (i) apply to all stable operations as well as the majority of higher genus unstable operations, and consequently, most higher genus string operations vanish. In the last section of this paper, we compute some genus one unstable string operations and show them to be trivial.

In [14], higher string operations are also constructed in open-closed string topology for a finite dimensional manifold M in which the set of D -branes (a collection of submanifolds of M in which open strings can end) consists of just M . To describe these operations, let S be a connected open-closed cobordism of genus $g(S)$ with p incoming closed strings, q outgoing closed strings, r incoming open strings, s outgoing open strings, and m completely free boundaries. Let $\Gamma(S)$ be the mapping class group of S , where diffeomorphisms are allowed to permute completely free boundaries carrying the same label. Let $\sigma_m \cong \mathbb{Z}$ be the sign representation of the symmetric group Σ_m on m letters. Since there is a canonical surjective map $\Gamma(S) \rightarrow \Sigma_m$, the module σ_m is also a module over $\Gamma(S)$. See section 5 for details. Then the open-closed string operation is of the following form (modulo Künneth theorem):

$$(1.3) \quad \mu : H_*(\Gamma(S); \sigma_m^d) \otimes H_*(LM)^{\otimes p} \otimes H_*(M)^{\otimes r} \longrightarrow H_*(LM)^{\otimes q} \otimes H_*(M)^{\otimes s},$$

where $d = \dim M$ and $\sigma_m^d = (\sigma_m)^{\otimes d}$. We prove in Proposition 5.1 that $(\det \chi_S)^d$ appearing in [14] is the same as σ_m^d as $\Gamma(S)$ -modules. We will formulate and prove a stability property of the homology of the mapping class group $H_*(\Gamma(S); \sigma_m^r)$ with coefficients in σ_m^r for $r \geq 0$. In particular, we show that the group $H_k(\Gamma(S); \sigma_m^d)$ is in stable range when $g(S) \geq 2k+1$. See Remark 5.2 for related works.

Vanishing Theorem (Open-Closed String Topology Case). *Let M be a d -dimensional closed oriented smooth manifold. Consider the open-closed string topology for M with D -brane set consisting only of M .*

(i) *Open-closed string operations (1.3) associated to elements in $H_k(\Gamma(S); \sigma_m^d)$ in the image of any stabilizing map φ_* are trivial.*

(ii) *Open closed string operations associated to any elements in the homology group $H_k(\Gamma(S); \sigma_m^d)$ in stable range are trivial.*

At the end of section 5, we will comment on the general open-closed string topology case with an arbitrary collection of D -brane submanifolds.

Let $\Gamma_{\infty, r} = \lim_{g \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_{g, r}$ be the stable mapping class group for $r \geq 1$. In view of the Harer's stability theorem, the homology of $\Gamma_{\infty, r}$ is independent of $r \geq 1$. The stable homology of the mapping class groups is given by the homology $H_*(B\Gamma_{\infty, r})$ of the stable mapping class group. The homotopy type of $B\Gamma_{\infty} = B\Gamma_{\infty, r}$ has been identified by Madsen and Weiss [19]: they showed that $H_*(\mathbb{Z} \times B\Gamma_{\infty}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_*(\Omega^{\infty} \mathbb{C}P_{-1}^{\infty}; \mathbb{Z})$, and as a consequence, its rational cohomology is given by

$$H^*(B\Gamma_{\infty}; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_n, \dots],$$

solving Mumford conjecture, where κ_n 's of degree $2n$ classes are Miller-Morita-Mumford classes. The mod p homology of $B\Gamma_{\infty}$ was computed by Galatius [12]. In contrast, homology of mapping class groups in unstable range has not been well understood, and only a few groups have been calculated. Although statements in part (ii) of the vanishing theorems are not the same as saying that string operations associated to $H_*(B\Gamma_{\infty})$ are trivial, this would be a concise statement.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In section 2, we recall homological conformal field theory and discuss some of its properties. In section 3, we analyze the meaning of Harer's Stability Theorem in a general homological conformal field theoretic context. From this, the vanishing theorem of string operations for finite dimensional manifolds follows as a special case of a general fact. In section 4, we prove the vanishing theorem for infinite dimensional manifolds M with finite dimensional $H^*(\Omega M; k)$. This is done by showing that the genus 1 TQFT operator is trivial (Theorem 4.4). We also show that the coproduct in the loop cohomology $H^*(LM; k)$ is trivial and the Serre spectral sequence for the fibration $p: LM \rightarrow M$ collapses when $H^*(\Omega M; k)$ is an exterior algebra (Theorem 4.7). In particular, the coproduct in $H^*(LM; k)$ is trivial if $H^*(\Omega M; \mathbb{Z})$ is p torsion free, where p is the characteristic of the coefficient field k (Corollary 4.10). In section 5, we prove the corresponding vanishing theorem in open-closed string topology with a single D -brane consisting of M itself, which follows from a stability result of certain mapping class groups with nontrivial module coefficients obtained by a spectral sequence comparison argument. In the last section, we compute some genus one unstable string operations, and show them to be trivial.

2. HOMOLOGICAL CONFORMAL FIELD THEORY

We briefly recall basics of homological conformal field theory. Let $\mathcal{M}_{g, p+q}$ be the moduli space of (not necessarily connected) Riemann surfaces of genus g with a holomorphic map from a disjoint union of $p+q$ discs onto their disjoint images on the surface. Here the first p discs are designated as incoming and the remaining q discs as outgoing. There is a natural action of the product of symmetric groups $\Sigma_p \times \Sigma_q$ on

$\mathcal{M}_{g,p+q}$ by relabeling incoming and outgoing holomorphic discs. Let \mathcal{C} be a category such that the set of objects is the set of nonnegative integers $Ob(\mathcal{C}) = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and for $p, q \in Ob(\mathcal{C})$ the morphism set from p to q is $\mathcal{C}(p, q) = \coprod_{g \geq 0} \mathcal{M}_{g,p+q}$. Disjoint union and sewing of Riemann surfaces give rise to operations:

$$\begin{aligned} \otimes : \mathcal{C}(p, q) \times \mathcal{C}(p', q') &\longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(p + p', q + q'), \\ \circ : \mathcal{C}(q, r) \times \mathcal{C}(p, q) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(p, r). \end{aligned}$$

With respect to the tensor law on objects given by $p \otimes q = p + q$, the category \mathcal{C} has the structure of a strict symmetric monoidal category. A symmetric monoidal functor from the category \mathcal{C} to the category of complex vector spaces is a conformal field theory [23]. Thus a conformal field theory is a representation theory of moduli spaces of Riemann surfaces.

Let $\mathcal{H}_*\mathcal{C}$ be a strict symmetric monoidal category whose set of objects is $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and whose set of morphisms from p to q for $p, q \in Ob(\mathcal{H}_*\mathcal{C})$ is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_*\mathcal{C}(p, q) = H_*(\mathcal{C}(p, q)) = \bigoplus_{g \geq 0} H_*(\mathcal{M}_{g,p+q}).$$

The composition of morphisms come from the gluing operation of Riemann surfaces

$$\circ : H_*(\mathcal{C}(q, r)) \otimes H_*(\mathcal{C}(p, q)) \longrightarrow H_*(\mathcal{C}(p, r)).$$

A homological conformal field theory (HCFT) is a symmetric monoidal functor \mathcal{F} from the category $\mathcal{H}_*\mathcal{C}$ to the category $\mathcal{G}r_*$ of graded groups. For such a functor \mathcal{F} , the graded group $\mathcal{F}(1) = A_*$ comes equipped with linear maps

$$\mathcal{F} : H_*(\mathcal{C}(p, q)) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(A_*^{\otimes p}, A_*^{\otimes q})$$

for $p, q \geq 0$, which are compatible with gluing of Riemann surfaces so that for homology classes $x \in H_*(\mathcal{C}(q, r))$ and $y \in H_*(\mathcal{C}(p, q))$, their composition $x \circ y \in H_*(\mathcal{C}(p, r))$ satisfies the relation

$$\mathcal{F}(x \circ y) = \mathcal{F}(x) \circ \mathcal{F}(y) : A_*^{\otimes p} \longrightarrow A_*^{\otimes q} \longrightarrow A_*^{\otimes r}.$$

Let C be a Riemann sphere with one incoming and one outgoing disjoint holomorphic discs, and let P be a Riemann sphere with two incoming and one outgoing holomorphic discs (a pair of pants). Their homology classes $c = [C] \in H_0(\mathcal{M}_{0,1+1})$ and $m = [P] \in H_0(\mathcal{M}_{0,2+1})$ are independent of conformal structures on C and on P . The associated morphism $\mathcal{F}(c) = 1 : A_* \rightarrow A_*$ is the identity on A_* , and $\mathcal{F}(m) : A_* \otimes A_* \rightarrow A_*$ gives an associative product structure with unit on A_* .

Let $\mathcal{H}_0\mathcal{C}$ be a strict symmetric monoidal category with the object set $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and with the morphism set from p to q given by $\mathcal{H}_0\mathcal{C}(p, q) = H_0(\mathcal{C}(p, q))$, which depends only on the topological type of surfaces. A functor \mathcal{F}_0 from the category $\mathcal{H}_0\mathcal{C}$ to the category $\mathcal{G}r_*$ of graded groups is a topological quantum field theory (TQFT) [2]. If $B_* = \mathcal{F}_0(1)$ is the graded group associated to the object $1 \in Ob(\mathcal{H}_0\mathcal{C})$, then B_* has the structure of a Frobenius algebra. Note that every homological conformal field theory \mathcal{F} restricts to a topological quantum field theory \mathcal{F}_0 by restricting the morphism set from $H_*(\mathcal{C}(p, q))$ to $H_0(\mathcal{C}(p, q))$.

If the object set of the category $\mathcal{H}_*\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{H}_0\mathcal{C}$ is the set \mathbb{N} of positive integers, then the corresponding homological conformal field theories and topological quantum field theories do not necessarily have units and counits, and these theories are called noncompact HCFT and noncompact TQFT, respectively. In the context of string topology $\mathbb{H}_*(LM)$ for finite dimensional manifold M , we only require q to be

positive. Such a theory is called a theory with positive boundary. In the context of string topology on the cohomology $H^*(LM)$ of simply connected infinite dimensional manifold M whose based loop space ΩM has finite dimensional cohomology over a field k , we require both p and q to be positive. Thus we have a noncompact HCFT in this case.

As mentioned in the introduction, the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_{g,p+q}$ of connected genus g Riemann surfaces with $p+q$ embedded holomorphic discs is homotopy equivalent to the classifying space $B\Gamma_{g,p+q}$ of the mapping class group $\Gamma_{g,p+q}$ when $p+q \geq 1$. In this paper, we will mostly working with mapping class groups rather than moduli spaces of Riemann surfaces. Thus, we briefly describe some structures of the category $\mathcal{H}_*\mathcal{C}$ including compositions of morphisms and actions of symmetric groups, in terms of surface diffeomorphisms and mapping class groups.

For $i = 1, 2$, let S_i be a smooth oriented (not necessarily connected) surface of genus g_i with p_i incoming and q_i outgoing parametrized boundaries. Let $\text{Diff}^+(S_i, \partial)$ be the topological group of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of S_i fixing boundaries pointwise, and let $\Gamma(S_i) = \pi_0(\text{Diff}^+(S_i, \partial))$ be the mapping class group of S_i . Suppose the number q_1 of outgoing boundaries of S_1 is the same as the number p_2 of incoming boundaries of S_2 . Then we can sew two surfaces together to obtain a surface $S_2 \# S_1$. Since self-diffeomorphisms of S_1 and S_2 can be combined together to a self-diffeomorphism of $S_2 \# S_1$, we get a homomorphism $\circ : \Gamma(S_2) \times \Gamma(S_1) \rightarrow \Gamma(S_2 \# S_1)$, which induces a map of their classifying spaces $\circ : B\Gamma(S_2) \times B\Gamma(S_1) \rightarrow B\Gamma(S_2 \# S_1)$. The induced homology homomorphism is the composition of morphisms in the category $\mathcal{H}_*\mathcal{C}$.

Next we explain that $H_*(B\Gamma_{g,n})$ has a natural right Σ_n action. In particular, $H_*(B\Gamma_{g,p+q})$ has a natural $\Sigma_p \times \Sigma_q$ action. To see this, let $F_{g,n}$ be a connected oriented smooth surface of genus g with n boundaries with parametrization given by $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n) : \coprod^n S^1 \xrightarrow{\cong} \partial F_{g,n}$. Let D_∂ be the topological group of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms fixing boundaries pointwise, and let D_{Σ_n} be the topological group of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms f permuting parametrized boundaries in the sense that $f \circ \phi_i = \phi_{\tau(i)}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and for some permutation $\tau \in \Sigma_n$. The group D_∂ is a normal subgroup of D_{Σ_n} with the quotient Σ_n . Let $\tilde{\Gamma}_{g,n} = \pi_0(D_{\Sigma_n})$. Then we have exact sequences of groups:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\longrightarrow D_\partial \longrightarrow D_{\Sigma_n} \longrightarrow \Sigma_n \longrightarrow 1, \\ 1 &\longrightarrow \Gamma_{g,n} \longrightarrow \tilde{\Gamma}_{g,n} \longrightarrow \Sigma_n \longrightarrow 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let $ED_{\Sigma_n} \rightarrow BD_{\Sigma_n}$ be the universal bundle where the group D_{Σ_n} acts freely on ED_{Σ_n} from the right. Since $n \geq 1$, the natural projection $D_\partial \rightarrow \pi_0(D_\partial) = \Gamma_{g,n}$ is a homotopy equivalence [11], and hence we have a homotopy equivalence $BD_\partial = ED_{\Sigma_n}/D_\partial \simeq B\Gamma_{g,n}$. Since Σ_n acts freely on BD_∂ from the right, it acts on its homology $H_*(BD_\partial) \cong H_*(B\Gamma_{g,n})$ from the right.

There is another way to view Σ_n action on the homology $H_*(B\Gamma_{g,n}) = H_*(\Gamma_{g,n})$. This point of view is more relevant for the next section. For each $\tau \in \Sigma_n$, choose a diffeomorphism $f_\tau \in D_{\Sigma_n}$ whose restriction to boundaries gives the permutation τ . Such f_τ is only unique up to D_∂ . The conjugation by f_τ from the right induces an automorphism of D_∂ , hence of its group of connected components $\Gamma_{g,n}$. However, this automorphism of $\Gamma_{g,n}$ can depend on the choice of f_τ . Since inner automorphism of a group $\Gamma_{g,n}$ induces an identity on homology of $\Gamma_{g,n}$ (see for example [4],

page 48), the conjugation action of f_τ on the homology $H_*(\Gamma_{g,n})$ depends only on $\tau \in \Sigma_n$.

These two actions of Σ_n on $H_*(B\Gamma_{g,n})$ are in fact the same. By regarding a $\mathbb{Z}[\tilde{\Gamma}_{g,n}]$ free resolution of \mathbb{Z} as a free resolution of $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{g,n}]$ and considering its geometric realization, we can easily see that this conjugation action of Σ_n on $H_*(\Gamma_{g,n})$ from the right coincides with the one induced by the Σ_n free action above on the classifying space BD_∂ from the right.

Remark 2.1. As it turns out that the Σ_n action on $H_k(\Gamma_{g,n})$ is trivial for $g \geq 2k$ (See Lemma 3.3 in [3]). This is a consequence of Harer-Ivanov stability theorem. Since this fact is relevant in the next section, we explain the reason. For $g \geq 2k$ we have an onto map $H_k(\Gamma_{g,1}) \rightarrow H_k(\Gamma_{g,n})$ using Ivanov's reformulation of the stability theorem stated in Theorem 5.3. For any element $x \in H_k(\Gamma_{g,n})$, let y be a cycle in the bar complex of $\text{Diff}^+(F_{g,1})$ representing x . The surface $F_{g,n}$ can be decomposed as $F_{g,n} = F_{g,1} \# F_{0,n+1}$. For any $\tau \in \Sigma_n$, let f_τ be a diffeomorphism of $F_{0,n+1}$ which induces the permutation on n boundaries not used for sewing with $F_{g,1}$ and which is identity on the boundary used for sewing. Since diffeomorphisms appearing in the expression of the cycle y and f_τ have disjoint support on $F_{g,n}$, conjugation action of f_τ on y is trivial. Hence the action of Σ_n on $H_k(\Gamma_{g,n})$ is trivial. This Σ_n -invariance in the stable range has an interesting consequence in terms of HCFT. Namely, in the stable range $g \geq 2k$, any element $x \in H_k(\Gamma_{g,p+q})$ defines an $\Sigma_p \times \Sigma_q$ -invariant operation $\mathcal{F}(x) : A_*^{\otimes p} \rightarrow A_*^{\otimes q}$. Thus we have an operation between symmetric powers of A_* :

$$\mathcal{F}(x) : S^p(A_*) \rightarrow S^q(A_*),$$

where the first $S^p(A_*)$ is the symmetric quotient of $A^{\otimes p}$, and the second $S^q(A_*)$ is the Σ_q -invariants in $A^{\otimes q}$.

Our final remark in this section is to point out that $\Sigma_p \times \Sigma_q$ -equivariance of the closed string topology operation (1.1) and (1.2) essentially comes from the following strictly commutative diagram, where $(\sigma, \tau) \in \Sigma_p \times \Sigma_q$, and F is a surface with $p+q$ parametrized boundaries. Here, the left and the middle horizontal maps are induced by restriction to incoming or outgoing boundaries of F .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} BD_\partial \times (LM)^p & \longleftarrow & ED_{\Sigma_n} \times_{D_\partial} \text{Map}(F, M) & \longrightarrow & BD_\partial \times (LM)^q & \longrightarrow & (LM)^q \\ & & \cong \downarrow (\sigma, \tau) \times \sigma & & \cong \downarrow (\sigma, \tau) \times \tau & & \cong \downarrow \tau \\ BD_\partial \times (LM)^p & \longleftarrow & ED_{\Sigma_n} \times_{D_\partial} \text{Map}(F, M) & \longrightarrow & BD_\partial \times (LM)^q & \longrightarrow & (LM)^q \end{array}$$

Note that BD_∂ as well as the second space from the left admit free actions of the entire symmetric group Σ_{p+q} .

3. VANISHING THEOREM FOR CLOSED STRING TOPOLOGY (I)

We carefully examine Harer's Stability Theorem from HCFT point of view. For this purpose, we fix a connected smooth oriented surface $F_{g,p+q}$ for each $g \geq 0$ and for each p, q with $p+q \geq 1$. Let $T = F_{1,1+1}$ be a torus with one incoming and one outgoing parametrized boundaries. The surface resulting from sewing T to the i -th incoming boundary of $F_{g,p+q}$ is denoted by $F_{g,p+q} \#_i T$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$. Similarly, when we sew T to the j -th outgoing boundary of $F_{g,p+q}$, the resulting surface is

denoted by $T\#_jF_{g,p+q}$ for $1 \leq j \leq q$. These are genus $g+1$ surfaces with $p+q$ boundaries, and there exist orientation preserving diffeomorphisms h_i and h_j from these surfaces to $F_{g+1,p+q}$:

$$F_{g,p+q}\#_iT \xrightarrow[\cong]{h_i} F_{g+1,p+q} \xleftarrow[\cong]{h_j} T\#_jF_{g,p+q}.$$

Both h_i and h_j are determined up to post-compositions with elements in $D_{g+1,\partial} = \text{Diff}^+(F_{g+1,p+q}, \partial)$. Since both diffeomorphisms $f \in \text{Diff}^+(F_{g,p+q}, \partial)$ and $g \in \text{Diff}^+(T, \partial)$ fix boundaries, they can be glued along a boundary to obtain a diffeomorphism $f\#g \in \text{Diff}^+(F_{g+1,p+q}, \partial)$. By taking their isotopy classes, we obtain a homomorphism of groups and an associated homomorphism in homology:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_i : \Gamma_{g,p+q} \times \Gamma_{1,1+1} &\longrightarrow \Gamma_{g+1,p+q}, \\ (\Phi_i)_* : H_*(\Gamma_{g,p+q}) \otimes H_*(\Gamma_{1,1+1}) &\longrightarrow H_*(\Gamma_{g+1,p+q}), \end{aligned}$$

given by $\Phi_i([f], [g]) = [h_i \circ (f\#g) \circ h_i^{-1}]$. Since different choices of h_i differ by elements of $D_{g+1,\partial}$, and since every inner automorphism induces identity on homology, the homology homomorphism $(\Phi_i)_*$ depends only on i . Similarly, if we glue the torus T to j -th outgoing boundary of $F_{g,p+q}$, we obtain homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_j : \Gamma_{1,1+1} \times \Gamma_{g,p+q} &\longrightarrow \Gamma_{g+1,p+q}, \\ (\Psi_j)_* : H_*(\Gamma_{1,1+1}) \otimes H_*(\Gamma_{g,p+q}) &\longrightarrow H_*(\Gamma_{g+1,p+q}). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\varphi_i : \Gamma_{g,p+q} \rightarrow \Gamma_{g+1,p+q}$ be given by $\varphi_i(z) = \Phi_i(z, 1)$ for $z \in \Gamma_{g,p+q}$, where $1 \in \Gamma_{1,1+1}$ is the unit. Similarly we let $\psi_j : \Gamma_{g,p+q} \rightarrow \Gamma_{g+1,p+q}$ be defined by $\psi_j(z) = \Psi_j(1, z)$. The induced homology maps $(\varphi_i)_*$ and $(\psi_j)_*$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$ and $1 \leq j \leq q$ are Harer's stabilizing maps. These stabilizing maps depend on i, j , but only up to Σ_{p+q} -equivariance, as we show next.

First, note that the mapping class group $\Gamma_{g,p+q}$ does not distinguish between incoming and outgoing boundaries. Thus any statement on homology of mapping class groups before applying HCFT functor must be independent of the distinction between incoming and outgoing boundaries. So for convenience, for $1 \leq j \leq q$ let $\varphi_{p+j} = \psi_j$, and we write $T\#_jF_{g,p+q}$ as $F_{g,p+q}\#_jT$ for uniformity of notation.

Proposition 3.1. *For $1 \leq i, j \leq p+q$, the homomorphisms $(\varphi_i)_*$ and $(\varphi_j)_*$ are related by the right action of the transposition $\tau_{ij} \in \Sigma_{p+q}$ as in the following diagram:*

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H_k(B\Gamma_{g,p+q}) & \xrightarrow{(\varphi_i)_*} & H_k(B\Gamma_{g+1,p+q}) \\ \cong \downarrow \cdot \tau_{ij} & & \cong \downarrow \cdot \tau_{ij} \\ H_k(B\Gamma_{g,p+q}) & \xrightarrow{(\varphi_j)_*} & H_k(B\Gamma_{g+1,p+q}). \end{array}$$

In the stable range of $g \geq 2k$, the action of Σ_{p+q} is trivial and all stabilizing homomorphisms are the same: $(\varphi_i)_ = (\varphi_j)_*$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq p+q$.*

Proof. As before, we choose diffeomorphisms h_i and h_j to the surface $F_{g+1,p+q}$ as in the following diagram:

$$F_{g,p+q}\#_iT \xrightarrow[\cong]{h_i} F_{g+1,p+q} \xleftarrow[\cong]{h_j} F_{g,p+q}\#_jT.$$

Choose a diffeomorphism u_{ij} of $F_{g,p+q}$ which switches the i -th and the j -th boundaries, and which fixes other boundaries pointwise. The map u_{ij} is unique up to

post and pre-composition with elements in $\text{Diff}^+(F_{g,p+q}, \partial)$. The map u_{ij} induces a diffeomorphism $u_{ij}\#1 : F_{g,p+q}\#_i T \xrightarrow{\cong} F_{g,p+q}\#_j T$ which is identity on T and switches the i -th and the j -th boundaries. Now two diffeomorphisms $h_j \circ (u_{ij}\#1)$ and h_i differ by a self-diffeomorphism v_{ij} of $F_{g+1,p+q}$ switching the i -th and the j -th boundaries. Thus we have $h_j \circ (u_{ij}\#1) = v_{ij} \circ h_i$. Since h_i and h_j are unique up to post-composition by elements in $\text{Diff}^+(F_{g+1,p+q}, \partial)$, the map v_{ij} is unique up to post and pre-composition with elements in $\text{Diff}^+(F_{g+1,p+q}, \partial)$. Since $\varphi_i([f]) = [h_i \circ (f\#1) \circ h_i^{-1}]$ for $[f] \in \Gamma_{g,p+q}$ for all i , it is straightforward to check the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma_{g,p+q} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_i} & \Gamma_{g+1,p+q} \\ [u_{ij}] \circ (\) \circ [u_{ij}]^{-1} \downarrow \cong & & \cong \downarrow [v_{ij}] \circ (\) \circ [v_{ij}]^{-1} \\ \Gamma_{g,p+q} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_j} & \Gamma_{g+1,p+q}. \end{array}$$

As observed earlier, elements $[u_{ij}]$ and $[v_{ij}]$ are unique up to post and pre-composition with elements in $\Gamma_{g,p+q}$ and in $\Gamma_{g+1,p+q}$, respectively. Thus, on homology level, they induce unique maps, namely the action by the transposition $\tau_{ij} \in \Sigma_{p+q}$, and the homology commutative diagram (3.1) follows.

When we are in the stable range $g \geq 2k$, by Remark 2.1 the action of the symmetric group Σ_{p+q} is trivial. Thus, all the stabilizing maps $(\varphi_i)_*$ for $1 \leq i \leq p+q$ are the same. This completes the proof. \square

Let $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{H}_* \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}r_*$ be a HCFT with $\mathcal{F}(1) = A_*$, and let $\mathcal{F}_0 : \mathcal{H}_0 \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}r_*$ be the associated TQFT obtained by restriction from \mathcal{F} . For $x \in H_*(B\Gamma_{g,p+q})$, we compare HCFT operations $\mathcal{F}(x)$ and $\mathcal{F}((\varphi_i)_*(x)) : A_*^{\otimes p} \rightarrow A_*^{\otimes q}$ associated to x and $(\varphi_i)_*(x)$, where the latter belongs to $H_*(B\Gamma_{g+1,p+q})$. Let $T = F_{1,1+1}$ be as before, and let $t = [T] \in H_0(B\Gamma_{1,1+1}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ be the generator. We also consider similar questions for ψ_j 's for $1 \leq j \leq q$.

Proposition 3.2. *For $1 \leq i \leq p$ and $x \in H_k(B\Gamma_{g,p+q})$, we have*

$$\mathcal{F}((\varphi_i)_*(x)) = \mathcal{F}(x) \circ (1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_0(t) \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1) : A_*^{\otimes p} \rightarrow A_*^{\otimes q}.$$

Here $\mathcal{F}_0(t) : A_* \rightarrow A_*$ is the TQFT operator associated to the torus T , inserted at the i -th position. For ψ_j with $1 \leq j \leq q$, the corresponding formula is

$$\mathcal{F}((\psi_j)_*(x)) = (1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_0(t) \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1) \circ \mathcal{F}(x) : A_*^{\otimes p} \rightarrow A_*^{\otimes q},$$

where $\mathcal{F}_0(t)$ is inserted at the j -th position.

In the stable range of $g \geq 2k$, the above two operations are the same and defines a map between symmetric powers:

$$\mathcal{F}((\varphi_i)_*(x)) = \mathcal{F}((\psi_j)_*(x)) : S^p(A_*) \rightarrow S^q(A_*),$$

for $1 \leq i \leq p$ and $1 \leq j \leq q$.

Proof. The homology homomorphism $(\Phi_i)_* = \circ_i : H_*(B\Gamma_{g,p+q}) \otimes H_*(B\Gamma_{1,1+1}) \rightarrow H_*(B\Gamma_{g+1,p+q})$ induced from a group homomorphism Φ_i is part of the composition of morphisms $\mathcal{H}_* \mathcal{C}(p, q) \otimes \mathcal{H}_* \mathcal{C}(p, p) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_* \mathcal{C}(p, q)$ in the category $\mathcal{H}_* \mathcal{C}$. Thus, by gluing property of HCFT \mathcal{F} , for $x \in H_*(B\Gamma_{g,p+q})$ and $y \in H_*(B\Gamma_{1,1+1})$ we have

$$\mathcal{F}(x \circ_i y) = \mathcal{F}(x) \circ (1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}(y) \otimes \cdots \otimes 1) : A_*^{\otimes p} \rightarrow A_*^{\otimes q},$$

where $\mathcal{F}(y)$ is at the i -th position. Since $\varphi_i : \Gamma_{g,p+q} \rightarrow \Gamma_{g+1,p+q}$ is given by $\varphi_i(z) = \Phi_i(z, 1)$, the induced map on classifying spaces is given by

$$B\varphi_i : B\Gamma_{g,p+q} \xrightarrow{\cong} B\Gamma_{g,p+q} \times \{*\} \longrightarrow B\Gamma_{g,p+q} \times B\Gamma_{1,1+1} \xrightarrow{\Phi_i} B\Gamma_{g+1,p+q},$$

where $*$ $\in B\Gamma_{1,1+1}$ is any point. Since $[*] = t = [T] \in H_0(B\Gamma_{1,1+1})$, for any element $x \in H_*(B\Gamma_{g,p+q})$, we have $(\varphi_i)_*(x) = (\Phi_i)_*(x \otimes t) = x \circ_i t$. Since $\mathcal{F}(t) = \mathcal{F}_0(t)$ by definition, we have the formula in the proposition for $\mathcal{F}((\varphi_i)_*(x))$.

The proof for ψ_j 's is similar.

In the stable range, the action of Σ_{p+q} is trivial by Remark 2.1, and we have operations on symmetric powers. By Proposition 3.1, elements $(\varphi_i)_*(x)$ and $(\psi_j)_*(x)$ for $x \in H_k(B\Gamma_{g,p+q})$ are the same in $H_k(B\Gamma_{g+1,p+q})$, and hence give rise to the same HCFT operation. This completes the proof. \square

The commutativity diagram in Proposition 3.1 implies that for $x \in H_k(B\Gamma_{g,p+q})$, we have $\mathcal{F}((\varphi_i)_*(x) \cdot \tau_{ij}) = \mathcal{F}((\varphi_j)_*(x \cdot \tau_{ij}))$. When $1 \leq i, j \leq p$, this formula can be verified directly using Proposition 3.2 in view of Σ_p -equivariance of string operations as follows. For $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p \in A_*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}((\varphi_i)_*(x) \cdot \tau_{ij})(a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_p) &= \mathcal{F}((\varphi_i)_*(x))(\tau_{ij}(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_p)) \\ &= (-1)^\varepsilon \mathcal{F}(x)(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_0(t)a_j \otimes \cdots \otimes a_i \otimes \cdots \otimes a_p), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_0(t)a_j$ is at the i -th position and a_i is at the j -th position, and the sign $(-1)^\varepsilon$ is given by

$$\varepsilon = |a_i||a_j| + (|a_i| + |a_j|)(|a_{i+1}| + \cdots + |a_{j-1}|) + |\mathcal{F}_0(t)|(|a_1| + \cdots + |a_{i-1}|).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}((\varphi_j)_*(x \cdot \tau_{ij}))(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_p) &= \mathcal{F}(x \cdot \tau_{ij})(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_i \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_0(t)a_j \otimes \cdots \otimes a_p) \\ &= (-1)^\varepsilon \mathcal{F}(x)(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_0(t)a_j \otimes \cdots \otimes a_i \otimes \cdots \otimes a_p), \end{aligned}$$

with the same ε as above, where in the first line, $\mathcal{F}_0(t)a_j$ is at the j -th position, and in the second line $\mathcal{F}_0(t)a_j$ is at the i -th position.

The corresponding formulas involving ψ_j 's can be checked similarly using Σ_q -equivariance of the HCFT operation. In the mixed case, for $1 \leq i \leq p$ and $1 \leq j \leq q$, by Proposition 3.1 we have $\mathcal{F}((\varphi_i)_*(x) \cdot \tau_{ij}) = \mathcal{F}((\psi_j)_*(x \cdot \tau_{ij}))$ for $\tau_{ij} \in \Sigma_{p+q}$. Since HCFT operations are only $\Sigma_p \times \Sigma_q$ -equivariant, we cannot go any further in this case, although of course in the stable range we can eliminate τ_{ij} from this formula.

If the HCFT \mathcal{F} is defined for an object $p = 0$, and the unit $1 \in A_*$ with respect to the product structure $\mathcal{F}_0(m) : A_*^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow A_*$ exists, then the homomorphism $\mathcal{F}_0(t) : A_* \rightarrow A_*$ is simply given by multiplication by an element $\xi = \mathcal{F}_0(t)(1) \in A_*$. This is because capping one of the incoming boundaries of $F_{1,2+1}$ gives a surface $F_{1,1+1} = F_{1,0+1} \# F_{0,2+1}$. In the following commutative diagram in which vertical homomorphisms are induced by capping p incoming boundaries by discs,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_*(\Gamma_{0,p+1}) & \longrightarrow & H_*(\Gamma_{g,p+1}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_*(\Gamma_{0,1}) = 0 & \longrightarrow & H_*(\Gamma_{g,1}), \end{array}$$

the right vertical homomorphism is an isomorphism for a sufficiently large genus g by Harer's stability theorem. Since the top map factors through a trivial homology group due to $\Gamma_{0,1} = 1$, it is a zero homomorphism. Using this observation, Tillmann

[28] showed that if A_* supports a HCFT with unit and $\xi = \mathcal{F}_0(t)(1) \in A_*$ is such that $\xi^n \neq 0$ for all $n \geq 1$, then the Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra structure in A_* is trivial after localization $A_*[\xi^{-1}]$. Here note that if ξ is a multiplicative torsion element with $\xi^m = 0$ for some $m \geq 1$, then $A_*[\xi^{-1}] = 0$, and the situation is trivial.

The situation we deal with is complementary to the above situation. Recall that T denotes a Riemann surface with one incoming and one outgoing embedded discs, and $t = [T] \in H_0(B\Gamma_{1,1+1}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is a generator.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{H}_* \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}r_*$ be a homological conformal field theory with $\mathcal{F}(1) = A_*$, and let $\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{F}|_{H_0}$ be the associated topological quantum field theory. If $\mathcal{F}_0(t) = 0 : A_* \rightarrow A_*$, then operations $\mathcal{F}(x)$ associated to elements $x \in H_*(B\Gamma_{g,p+q})$ in the image of any stabilizing maps*

$$(\varphi_i)_*, (\psi_k)_* : H_*(B\Gamma_{g-1,p+q}) \longrightarrow H_*(B\Gamma_{g,p+q}), \quad 1 \leq i \leq p, \quad 1 \leq k \leq q,$$

are trivial.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of the formula in Proposition 3.2. □

Proof of Vanishing Theorem (I). If M is a finite dimensional smooth oriented closed manifold, then Cohen-Godin [7] and Godin [14] showed that $\mathbb{H}_*(LM)$ supports a structure of HCFT with positive boundary ($q \geq 1$). Previously we showed that all higher genus topological quantum field theory operations in closed string topology are trivial [26], [27]. In particular $\mathcal{F}_0(t) = 0$ for the genus one case as an operator on $\mathbb{H}_*(LM)$. Hence by Proposition 3.3, all string operations associated to images of stabilizing maps are trivial.

A proof for part (II) is given in the next section. □

4. VANISHING THEOREM FOR CLOSED STRING TOPOLOGY (II)

If M is a simply connected infinite dimensional manifold with finite dimensional cohomology $H^*(\Omega M; k)$ for its based loop space with coefficients in a field k of an arbitrary characteristic, then Chataur and Menichi [6] showed that $H^*(LM; k)$ carries the structure of a noncompact HCFT requiring $p, q \geq 1$. We will show that genus 1 topological quantum field theory operator vanishes, $\mathcal{F}_0(t) = 0$ in this noncompact HCFT. Also, we show that the Serre spectral sequence for the fibration $p : LM \rightarrow M$ collapses and the coproduct map in $H^*(LM; k)$ vanishes if $H^*(\Omega M; k)$ is an exterior algebra on odd degree generators, which is the case when $H^*(\Omega M; \mathbb{Z})$ has no torsion elements of order divisible by the characteristic of the field k (Corollary 4.10). Again, by Proposition 3.3, all string operations associated to elements in images of stabilizing maps are trivial.

The main point here is that relevant transfer maps, the integration along the fiber, can be defined because the fiber ΩM of fibrations f_{out} , g_{out} , and \bar{g} in (4.2) and (4.6) below behaves as a finite dimensional oriented manifold. In particular, applying the transfer map $f_{\text{out}}^!$ is essentially equivalent to taking Poincaré duality of the Pontrjagin product map in ΩM . Thus if the cohomology of LM can be written as a tensor product $H^*(LM; k) = H^*(M; k) \otimes H^*(\Omega M; k)$ (which is the case when $H^*(\Omega M; k)$ is an exterior algebra by Theorem 4.7 below), then applying partial Poincaré duality along the cohomologically finite dimensional fiber gives $H^*(M; k) \otimes H_*(\Omega M; k)$ in which cohomology loop product is given by the cup product in $H^*(M; k)$ and the Pontrjagin product in $H^*(\Omega M; k)$. In the context of

the previous section, transfer maps used for construction of string operations can be defined because the manifold M itself is finite dimensional. If the homology of LM can be written as a tensor product $H_*(LM) = H_*(M) \otimes H_*(\Omega M)$, then applying partial Poincaré duality along the finite dimensional base M , we get $H^*(M) \otimes H_*(\Omega M)$, which is the loop homology algebra $\mathbb{H}_*(LM)$ for the finite dimensional M . Thus, in this sense, Chataur-Menichi construction of noncompact HCFT on the cohomology $H^*(LM; k)$ does produce none other than loop homology in case of an infinite dimensional simply connected space M .

First we briefly recall TQFT product μ and coproduct Φ in $H^*(LM; k)$ defined in [6]. Suppose the finite dimensional connected commutative Hopf algebra $H^*(\Omega M; k)$ is concentrated between the degrees $0 \leq * \leq d$. In this case, by Hopf-Borel Theorem on the structure of Hopf algebras ([21], Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.4 in Chapter 7), the finite dimensional connected commutative Hopf algebra $H^*(\Omega M; k)$ must be one of the following forms as an algebra, where p is the characteristic of the field k :

- (i) When $p = 0$, $H^*(\Omega M; k) \cong \Lambda_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell)$, where $|x_i|$ is odd.
- (ii) When $p = 2$, $H^*(\Omega M; k) \cong \bigotimes_{i=1}^r k[y_i]/(y_i^{2^{f_i}})$.
- (iii) When $p \neq 0, 2$, $H^*(\Omega M; k) \cong \Lambda_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell) \otimes \bigotimes_{j=1}^m (k[y_j]/(y_j^{p^{f_j}}))$, where $|x_i|$ is odd and $|y_j|$ is even.

In particular, $H^*(\Omega M; k)$ is a Poincaré duality algebra with an orientation class $[\Omega M] \in H^d(\Omega M; k)$ in the top degree. The cohomology loop product and loop coproduct maps

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : H^*(LM; k) &\longrightarrow H^*(LM; k) \otimes H^*(LM; k), \\ \mu : H^*(LM; k) \otimes H^*(LM; k) &\longrightarrow H^*(LM; k), \end{aligned}$$

are homomorphisms of degree $-d$ defined as follows. Let $F = F_{0,2+1}$ be a pair of pants with two incoming boundaries and one outgoing boundary. Restriction to boundaries give rise to two fibrations:

$$(4.1) \quad LM \times LM \xleftarrow{g_{\text{out}}} \text{Map}(F, M) \xrightarrow{g_{\text{in}}} LM.$$

Here we switched words “in” and “out” since in cohomology formulation, arrows are reversed as in (1.2). Since the surface F is homotopy equivalent to a graph $\bigcirc \! \! \! \bigcirc$ with an appropriate orientation, by replacing $\text{Map}(F, M)$ with a homotopy equivalent space $\text{Map}(\bigcirc \! \! \! \bigcirc, M)$, we have the following commutative diagram where the square is a pull-back diagram of fibrations g_{out} and \bar{g} with fiber ΩM :

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} LM \times LM & \xleftarrow{g_{\text{out}}} & \text{Map}(\bigcirc \! \! \! \bigcirc, M) & \xrightarrow{g_{\text{in}}} & LM \\ p \times p \downarrow & & q \downarrow & & \\ M \times M & \xleftarrow{\bar{g}} & \text{Map}(I, M) & & \end{array}$$

The map q above is the restriction to the interval between two circles, and the bottom map \bar{g} is the evaluation map at end points of the unit interval $I = [0, 1]$. The map g_{in} is defined by an onto map $S^1 \rightarrow \bigcirc \! \! \! \bigcirc$ which maps the base point of S^1 to one of the vertices of the graph, and traces each circle of the graph once and traces the middle interval twice in opposite directions. See the description of the map f_1 in (4.8) for details. Since $M \times M$ is simply connected by hypothesis, the map $\bar{g} : \text{Map}(I, M) \rightarrow M \times M$ is an oriented fibration with fiber ΩM . Namely, $\pi_1(M \times M)$ acts trivially on the orientation class $[\Omega M] \in H^d(\Omega M; k)$. Consequently,

the pull-back fibration $g_{\text{out}} : \text{Map}(\mathcal{O}\text{-}\mathcal{O}, M) \longrightarrow LM \times LM$ is also an oriented fibration with fiber ΩM .

Then we can consider the following transfer maps $g_{\text{out}}^!$ and $\bar{g}^!$ of degree $-d$, both integrations along the fiber:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\text{out}}^! : H^*(\text{Map}(\mathcal{O}\text{-}\mathcal{O}, M); k) &\longrightarrow H^*(LM; k) \otimes H^*(LM; k), \\ \bar{g}^! : H^*(\text{Map}(I, M); k) = H^*(M; k) &\longrightarrow H^*(M; k) \otimes H^*(M; k). \end{aligned}$$

The coproduct map Φ in $H^*(LM; k)$ is defined in terms of the transfer map by

$$\Phi : H^*(LM; k) \xrightarrow{g_{\text{in}}^*} H^*(\text{Map}(\mathcal{O}\text{-}\mathcal{O}, M); k) \xrightarrow{g_{\text{out}}^!} H^*(LM; k) \otimes H^*(LM; k).$$

To understand these transfer maps, we recall the Serre spectral sequence description of the integration along the fiber in a general form. Let $p : E \longrightarrow B$ be an oriented fibration with connected fiber F such that the cohomology $H^*(F; k)$ with coefficient in a field k is finite dimensional with a top degree orientation class $[F] \in H^d(F; k) \cong k$. Then the integration along the fiber $p^!$ is given by the following composition of maps and lowers cohomological degree by d :

(4.3)

$$p^! : H^{n+d}(E; k) = D^{n,d} \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} E_{\infty}^{n,d} \subset E_2^{n,d} = H^n(B; H^d(F; k)) \xleftarrow[\cong]{[F]} H^n(B; k),$$

where $H^{n+d}(E) = D^{0,n+d} \supset \dots \supset D^{n,d} \supset D^{n+1,d-1} \supset \dots \supset D^{n+d,0} \supset 0$ is a filtration on $H^{n+d}(E; k)$. Since $H^k(F; k) = 0$ for $k > d$, we have $E_{\infty}^{n+d-k,k} = 0$ for $k > d$. Thus, we have $H^{n+d}(E; k) = D^{0,n+d} = \dots = D^{n,d}$, as in (4.3).

Here is a simple and useful criterion for vanishing of the transfer map $p^!$. This Lemma is used in Theorem 4.7 below.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $p : E \longrightarrow B$ be an oriented fibration with connected fiber F with an orientation class $[F] \in H^d(F; k)$ in the top degree. If $p^* : H^*(B; k) \longrightarrow H^*(E; k)$ is onto, then $p^! = 0$.*

Proof. In terms of the Serre spectral sequence, the map p^* is given by the following composition for an arbitrary n :

$$p^* : H^n(B; k) \cong H^n(B; H^0(F; k)) = E_2^{n,0} \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} E_{\infty}^{n,0} = D^{n,0} \subset D^{0,n} = H^n(E; k).$$

Thus, if p^* is onto, then we have $D^{n,0} = D^{0,n}$, which is equivalent to $E_{\infty}^{*,q} = D^{*,q}/D^{*+1,q-1} = 0$ for all $q \geq 1$. In particular, $E_{\infty}^{*,d} = 0$. Thus, $p^! = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

Similarly, the intergration along the fiber in homology can be defined by the following composition of maps:

(4.4)

$$p_! : H_n(B; k) \cong H_n(B; H_d(F; k)) = E_{n,d}^2 \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} E_{n,d}^{\infty} = D_{n,d} \subset D_{n+d,0} = H_{n+d}(E; k).$$

We naturally expect that over a field coefficient k , the homology transfer $p_!$ and the cohomology transfer $p^!$ are dual to each other. Since we use this fact later, we quickly verify this.

Lemma 4.2. *With the same hypothesis on the fibration $p : E \longrightarrow B$ as in Lemma 4.1, homology and cohomology transfer maps over a field k are dual to each other, namely $(p_!)^* = p^!$.*

Proof. By comparing cohomology and homology transfers given in (4.3) and (4.4), all we have to show is that the dual of homology E^∞ -terms are isomorphic to cohomology E_∞ -terms: $(E_{p,q}^\infty)^* = E_\infty^{p,q}$. To see this, we have to recall the definition of homology and cohomology filtrations $\{D_{*,*}\}$ and $\{D^{*,*}\}$. These filtrations are defined in terms of an increasing subchain complexes $\{A_p\}$ of $C_*(E)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} D_{p,q} &= \text{Im} [\iota_* : H_{p+q}(A_p; k) \longrightarrow H_{p+q}(E; k)] \\ D^{p,q} &= \text{Ker} [\iota^* : H^{p+q}(E; k) \longrightarrow H^{p+q}(A_{p-1}; k)]. \end{aligned}$$

From this description, it is easy to see that homology and cohomology filtrations are related by

$$(4.5) \quad D^{p,q} \cong (H_{p+q}(E; k)/D_{p-1,q+1})^*.$$

By taking the dual of the following exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow E_{p,q}^\infty \longrightarrow H_{p+q}(E; k)/D_{p-1,q+1} \longrightarrow H_{p+q}(E; k)/D_{p,q} \longrightarrow 0,$$

and using (4.5), we see that the above sequence becomes $0 \leftarrow (E_{p,q}^\infty)^* \leftarrow D^{p,q} \leftarrow D^{p+1,q-1} \leftarrow 0$. Hence $(E_{p,q}^\infty)^* \cong E_\infty^{p,q}$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 4.3. Using the descriptions of $p^!$ and p^* given above in terms of spectral sequences, it is easy to see that $p^! \circ p^* = 0$. Similarly, we can show that $p_* \circ p_! = 0$.

Next, we describe cohomology loop product. By replacing a pair of pants $F_{0,1+2}$ with one incoming and two outgoing boundaries by a homotopy equivalent graph Θ with an appropriate orientation, we can replace the diagram (4.1) with the following one, where the square is a pull-back diagram of fibrations f_{out} and \bar{g} with fiber ΩM :

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} LM & \xleftarrow{f_{\text{out}}} & \text{Map}(\Theta, M) & \xrightarrow{f_{\text{in}}} & LM \times LM \\ p \times p \frac{1}{2} \downarrow & & q \downarrow & & \\ M \times M & \xleftarrow{\bar{g}} & \text{Map}(I, M) & & \end{array}$$

Here q is the restriction to the middle interval of the graph Θ , f_{out} is induced by the restriction to the outer circle, and f_{in} is the restriction to boundaries of upper and lower half discs of the graph. See the description of maps f_3 and f_4 in (4.8) for details. The cohomology loop product map μ in $H^*(LM; k)$ of degree $-d$ is then defined by

$$\mu : H^*(LM; k) \otimes H^*(LM; k) \xrightarrow{f_{\text{in}}^*} H^*(\text{Map}(\Theta; k)) \xrightarrow{f_{\text{out}}^!} H^{*-d}(LM; k).$$

The product map μ is in general nontrivial, but the coproduct map Φ is often trivial. We will discuss two cases in which Φ is trivial. But before this we prove a general fact that the composition $\mu \circ \Phi$, the genus 1 TQFT operator, is always trivial over any coefficient field k . This is exactly what is needed for Vanishing Theorem in the introduction.

Theorem 4.4. *Let M be simply connected with finite dimensional $H^*(\Omega M; k)$. Then the genus 1 TQFT operator associated to $F_{1,1+1}$ is trivial. Namely,*

$$\mu \circ \Phi = 0 : H^{*+2d}(LM; k) \xrightarrow{\Phi} H^{*+d}(LM; k) \otimes H^*(LM; k) \xrightarrow{\mu} H^*(LM; k).$$

Proof. We consider the following composition diagram of correspondences for the product μ and the coproduct Φ , with renamed maps for convenience:

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} LM & \xleftarrow{f_1} & \text{Map}(\bigcirc\text{---}\bigcirc, M) & \xrightarrow{f_2} & LM \times LM \\ & \searrow^{f_5=f_1 \circ f'_3} & \uparrow^{f'_3} & & \uparrow^{f_3} \\ & & \text{Map}(\ominus, M) & \xrightarrow{f'_2} & \text{Map}(\ominus, M) \\ & & & \searrow^{f_6=f_4 \circ f'_2} & \downarrow^{f_4} \\ & & & & LM \end{array}$$

The coproduct map and the product map are given by $\Phi = f_2^! \circ f_1^*$ and $\mu = f_4^! \circ f_3^*$, respectively. We label elements in the mapping spaces $A \in \text{Map}(\bigcirc\text{---}\bigcirc, M)$, $B \in \text{Map}(\ominus, M)$, and $C \in \text{Map}(\ominus, M)$ by labeling arcs and vertices of the above graphs using image arcs and image vertices in M as follows, where x, y are points in M and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots$ denote arcs in M . For example, A below represents a map $A : \bigcirc\text{---}\bigcirc \rightarrow M$ such that two circles of the graph are mapped to loops ρ and σ in M , and the middle interval is mapped to an arc η from a point x to y in M . Here, the point x plays the role of the base point of the images in all three cases.




FIGURE 1. Descriptions of elements in three mapping spaces

In terms of this description, the top horizontal maps and the right vertical maps in the diagram (4.7) are given in the following way:

$$(4.8) \quad f_1(A) = \rho\eta\sigma\eta^{-1}, \quad f_2(A) = (\rho, \sigma), \quad f_3(B) = (\alpha\gamma, \beta\gamma^{-1}), \quad f_4(B) = \alpha\beta.$$

The map f'_2 forgets the arc η , and the map f'_3 maps C to A with $\rho = \alpha\gamma$ and $\sigma = \beta\gamma^{-1}$. Finally, the diagonal maps in (4.7) are given by

$$f_5(C) = \alpha\beta\eta\beta\gamma^{-1}\eta^{-1}, \quad f_6(C) = \alpha\beta.$$

In the diagram (4.7), the maps f_2, f'_2, f_4 are fibrations with fiber ΩM induced from $\bar{g} : \text{Map}(I, M) \rightarrow M \times M$. Since the square in the diagram commutes, we have $f_3^* \circ (f_2)^! = (f'_2)^! \circ (f'_3)^*$. Hence

$$\mu \circ \Phi = (f_4^! \circ f_3^*) \circ (f_2^! \circ f_1^*) = (f_4 \circ f'_2)^! \circ (f_1 \circ f'_3)^* = f_6^! \circ f_5^*.$$

To understand the diagonal arrows of the diagram, consider the following pull-back diagram of fibrations:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} LM \times LM & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & LM \\ \text{M} \times \text{M} & & \\ \pi_2 \downarrow & & (p_0, p_{\frac{1}{2}}) \downarrow \\ LM & \xrightarrow{(p_0, p_{\frac{1}{2}})} & M \times M, \end{array}$$

where for a loop $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ in LM , $p_0(\gamma) = \gamma(0)$ and $p_{\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma) = \gamma(\frac{1}{2})$. The space $LM \times_{\text{M} \times \text{M}} LM$ consists of pairs of loops (ℓ_1, ℓ_2) with the same base points and

the same mid points, and maps π_1, π_2 are projections onto the first and the second components. In other words, any element in $LM \times_{M \times M} LM$ with base point x and mid point y is of the form $(\alpha\beta, \eta\gamma)$ where α, η are arcs from x to y and β, γ are arcs from y to x . Thus this space is exactly the same as the space $\text{Map}(\Theta, M)$. By homotopy equivalence, we can replace the space $LM \times_{M \times M} LM$ by a more familiar space. Let $LM \times_M LM \times_M LM$ be the space of triples of loops sharing the same base points. Consider maps

$$\begin{aligned} h : LM \times_{M \times M} LM &\longrightarrow LM \times_M LM \times_M LM \\ \bar{h} : LM \times_M LM \times_M LM &\longrightarrow LM \times_{M \times M} LM, \end{aligned}$$

given by $h(\alpha\beta, \eta\gamma) = (\alpha\beta, \alpha\gamma, \eta\beta)$ and $\bar{h}(\alpha\beta, \xi_1, \xi_2) = (\alpha\beta, (\xi_2\beta^{-1}) \cdot (\alpha^{-1}\xi_1))$. See the diagram for $C \in \text{Map}(\Theta, M) \cong LM \times_{M \times M} LM$ in Figure 1 above. We can easily check that these two maps are homotopy inverses to each other. Hence the diagonal part of the diagram (4.7) can be replaced by the bottom line of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} LM & \xleftarrow{f_5} & LM \times_{M \times M} LM & \xrightarrow{f_6} & LM \\ & & \downarrow h \simeq & & \\ LM & \xleftarrow{f_7} & LM \times_M LM \times_M LM & \xrightarrow{p_1} & LM, \end{array}$$

where $p_1(\delta, \xi_1, \xi_2) = \delta$, and $f_7(\delta, \xi_1, \xi_2) = \xi_1\xi_2\xi_1^{-1}\delta\xi_2^{-1}$. The right square of the above diagram strictly commutes and the left square commutes up to homotopy. Thus,

$$f_6^! \circ f_5^* = p_1^! \circ f_7^* : H^*(LM; k) \longrightarrow H^*(LM \times_M LM \times_M LM; k) \longrightarrow H^{*-2d}(LM; k).$$

To understand this map, we consider the dual homology map using Lemma 4.2:

$$(f_7)_* \circ (p_1)_! : H_*(LM; k) \longrightarrow H_{*+2d}(LM \times_M LM \times_M LM; k) \longrightarrow H_{*+2d}(LM; k).$$

We show that this composition is a zero map. To see this, let $w \in H_r(LM; k)$ be an arbitrary element, and let $W \subset M$ be a subspace of dimension at most r such that an r -dimensional cycle representing w is contained in $p^{-1}(W) \subset LM$ where $p : LM \rightarrow M$ is the base point projection. Let $L_WM = p^{-1}(W)$. Since f_7 preserves fibers over M , we have the following commutative diagram, where ι 's are inclusion maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} LM & \xleftarrow{f_7} & LM \times_M LM \times_M LM & \xrightarrow{p_1} & LM \\ \iota \uparrow & & \iota \uparrow & & \iota \uparrow \\ L_WM & \xleftarrow{f_7^W} & L_WM \times_W L_WM \times_W L_WM & \xrightarrow{p_1^W} & L_WM \end{array}$$

Let $w' \in H_r(L_WM; k)$ be an element such that $\iota_*(w') = w$. By the commutativity of the diagram, we have $(f_7)_*(p_1)_!(w) = \iota_*(f_7^W)_*(p_1^W)_!(w')$ where the element $(f_7^W)_*(p_1^W)_!(w')$ is in the group $H_{r+2d}(L_WM; k)$. Since $\dim W \leq r$ and fiber ΩM has k -cohomological dimension d , we have $H_*(L_WM; k) = 0$ in degrees $* > r + d$. Hence $H_{r+2d}(L_WM; k) = 0$. Thus $(f_7)_*(p_1)_!(w) = 0$. Since w is arbitrary, it follows that the homology map $(f_7)_*(p_1)_!$ is a trivial map. Taking its dual, we see that the

cohomology map $p_1^! f_7^* : H^{*+2d}(LM; k) \rightarrow H^*(LM; k)$ is also trivial. Consequently, we finally get $\mu \circ \Phi = f_6^! f_5^* = p_1^! f_7^* = 0$. \square

Proof of Vanishing Theorem (II). Theorem 4.4 proves the vanishing of the TQFT operator $\mathcal{F}_0(t) = \mu \circ \Phi$ associated to the genus one surface $T_{\text{closed}} = F_{1,1+1}$ with one incoming and one outgoing boundaries. Again as in case (I), Proposition 3.3 proves the assertions in part (II) of Vanishing Theorem in the introduction. \square

Next, we consider two cases in which the coproduct map Φ in the loop cohomology $H^*(LM; k)$ vanishes, which implies by dualizing that the product map in the loop homology $H_*(LM; k)$ vanishes, although the coproduct in $H_*(LM; k)$ is in general nontrivial. In the first case, we show that the existence of the unit with respect to the cohomology loop product implies the vanishing of the coproduct Φ . Let $\iota : \Omega M \rightarrow LM$ be the inclusion map of a fiber.

Proposition 4.5. *Let M be simply connected with finite dimensional $H^*(\Omega M; k)$ concentrated in degrees $0 \leq * \leq d$. Suppose there exists a unit $u \in H^d(LM; k)$ with respect to the cohomology loop product μ . Then the followings hold:*

(i) *The coproduct map Φ is trivial.*

(ii) *The restriction of the unit to the fiber is the orientation class of the fiber. Namely, $\iota^*(u) = [\Omega M] \in H^d(\Omega M; k)$.*

Proof. (i) In the diagram (4.7), the coproduct map Φ is given by $\Phi = f_2^! \circ f_1^*$. We consider the dual homology maps from a degree 0 homology group:

$$(f_1)_* \circ (f_2)! : H_0(LM \times LM; k) \rightarrow H_d(\text{Map}(\bigcirc\text{---}\bigcirc, M); k) \rightarrow H_d(LM; k).$$

The generator of $H_0(LM \times LM; k)$ can be chosen to be $[(c_x, c_x)]$ for the constant loop c_x at $x \in M$. Note that

$$f_1 \circ f_2^{-1}((c_x, c_x)) = \{c_x \gamma c_x \gamma^{-1} \mid \gamma \in \Omega_x M\} \subset LM.$$

Let $\kappa : \Omega_x M \rightarrow LM$ be given by $\kappa(\gamma) = c_x \gamma c_x \gamma^{-1}$. Then $(f_1)_*(f_2)!([(c_x, c_x)]) = \kappa_*([\Omega_x M])$ in $H_d(LM; k)$, where $[\Omega_x M]$ is the orientation class in $H_d(\Omega_x M; k)$. Since obviously κ is contractible, we have $\kappa_*([\Omega_x M]) = 0$. Thus, the composition of the above maps $(f_1)_* \circ (f_2)!$ from degree 0 homology is trivial.

Let $z \in H^d(LM; k)$ be an arbitrary degree d element. Since the dual of the coproduct map Φ is $(f_1)_* \circ (f_2)!$, we have

$$\langle \Phi(z), [(c_x, c_x)] \rangle = \langle z, (f_1)_*(f_2)!([(c_x, c_x)]) \rangle = \langle z, 0 \rangle = 0.$$

Hence it follows that $\Phi(z) = 0 \in H^0(LM \times LM; k)$.

Now let $u \in H^d(LM; k)$ be the multiplicative unit in the loop cohomology $H^*(LM; k)$ so that for any $x \in H^*(LM; k)$, we have $u \cdot x = \mu(u \otimes x) = x$. Then the Frobenius relation implies that $\Phi(x) = \Phi(u \cdot x) = \Phi(u) \cdot x = 0$, since $\Phi(u) = 0$ because the degree of the unit u is d . Hence the coproduct map Φ identically vanishes on the loop cohomology.

(ii) We recall that the cohomology loop product map μ in $H^*(LM; k)$ is given by $\mu = (f_4)^!(f_3)^*$, where

$$LM \times LM \xleftarrow{f_3} \text{Map}(\ominus, M) \xrightarrow{f_4} LM.$$

We examine the following dual homology map from a degree 0 homology group:

$$H_0(LM; k) \xrightarrow{(f_4)!} H_d(\text{Map}(\ominus, M); k) \xrightarrow{(f_3)^*} H_d(LM \times LM; k).$$

Since M is simply connected, the free loop space LM is connected. So a generator of $H_0(LM; k)$ can be chosen to be the class of the constant loop $[c_x]$ at $x \in M$. Then $(f_4)_!([c_x]) = [\Omega_x M] \in H_d(\text{Map}(\Theta, M); k)$ corresponding to the orientation class of the space of based loops obtained by mapping the middle interval of the graph Θ into M , where the outer circle is mapped to a point $x \in M$ by c_x . Thus using the description of f_3 given in (4.8), the element $(f_3)_*(f_4)_!([c_x])$ is given by the homology class of the set $\{(\gamma, \gamma^{-1}) \mid \gamma \in \Omega_x M\} \subset LM \times LM$. This is the image of the following composition:

$$\Omega_x M \xrightarrow{\phi} \Omega_x M \times \Omega_x M \xrightarrow{1 \times S} \Omega_x M \times \Omega_x M \xrightarrow{\iota \times \iota} LM \times LM.$$

Thus $(f_3)_*(f_4)_!([c_x]) = \iota_*[\Omega M] \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \iota_* S_*([\Omega M]) + (\text{other terms})$. For $1 \in H^0(LM; k)$, we have $\mu(u \otimes 1) = 1$ because u is the unit with respect to μ . Since the dual of μ is $\mu^* = (f_3)_*(f_4)_!$ by Lemma 4.2,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \langle 1, [c_x] \rangle = \langle \mu(u \otimes 1), [c_x] \rangle = \langle u \otimes 1, (f_3)_*(f_4)_!([c_x]) \rangle \\ &= \langle u \otimes 1, \iota_*[\Omega M] \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \iota_* S_*([\Omega M]) + (\text{other terms}) \rangle = \langle u, \iota_*([\Omega M]) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\iota^*(u)([\Omega M]) = 1$. This completes the proof of (ii). \square

In the second case in which Φ is trivial, we show that if $H^*(\Omega M; k)$ is an exterior algebra generated by finitely many odd degree elements, then the transfer map

$$f_2^! = g_{\text{out}}^! : H^*(\text{Map}(\mathcal{O} \circ \mathcal{O}, M); k) \longrightarrow H^*(LM; k) \otimes H^*(LM; k).$$

is already trivial. Consequently, the coproduct map $\Phi = f_2^! f_1^*$ is also trivial. To show this, we combine the Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequences and the Serre spectral sequences (see [20] for example).

Let $p : E \rightarrow B$ be a fibration. In general, consider a diagram of pull-back fibrations and their induced cohomology maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E' & \xrightarrow{f} & E & & H^*(E'; k) & \xleftarrow{f^*} & H^*(E; k) \\ p' \downarrow & & p \downarrow & & (p')^* \uparrow & & p^* \uparrow \\ B' & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & B & & H^*(B'; k) & \xleftarrow{\bar{f}^*} & H^*(B; k) \end{array}$$

If p^* is onto, then under an appropriate condition on $H^*(F; k)$, Lemma 4.1 implies $p^! = 0$. What can we say about the other transfer $(p')^!$? We can use the Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence, which is a second quadrant spectral sequence, to analyze the situation and to compute the cohomology $H^*(E'; k)$. Its E_2 -terms are given by

$$(4.9) \quad E_2^{*,*} = \text{Tor}_{H^*(B)}^{*,*}(H^*(B'), H^*(E)).$$

We consider a special case in which the Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence collapses.

Lemma 4.6. *Suppose $H^*(B'; k)$ is a free $H^*(B; k)$ -module. Then*

$$H^*(E'; k) \cong H^*(B'; k) \otimes_{H^*(B)} H^*(E; k).$$

If furthermore, p^* is onto and $I = \text{Ker } p^*$, then

$$H^*(E'; k) \cong H^*(B'; k)/I',$$

where $I' = I \cdot H^*(B'; k)$ is the extension of the ideal I to an ideal in $H^*(B'; k)$.

Proof. Since $H^*(B'; k)$ is a free module over $H^*(B; k)$, $\text{Tor}^{-p,q} = 0$ for $p > 0$ in (4.9). Thus the Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence collapses and

$$H^*(E') \cong \text{Tor}_{H^*(B)}^{0,*}(H^*(B'), H^*(E)) = H^*(B') \otimes_{H^*(B)} H^*(E).$$

The second part follows from this. \square

The pull-back diagram of fibrations relevant to us is the diagram (4.2) which we reproduce here for convenience.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Map}(\circlearrowleft, M) & \xrightarrow{q} & \text{Map}(I, M) \simeq M \\ \downarrow f_2 = g_{\text{out}} & & \bar{g} = p_0 \times p_1 \downarrow \simeq \phi \\ LM \times LM & \xrightarrow{p \times p} & M \times M \end{array}$$

Here, $\phi : M \rightarrow M \times M$ is the diagonal map. Since fibrations q and $p \times p$ have sections, induced cohomology maps g^* and $(p \times p)^*$ are injective. Since the induced cohomology map $\bar{g}^* = \phi^* : H^*(M; k) \otimes H^*(M; k) \rightarrow H^*(M; k)$ is nothing but the cup product map, it is an onto map. Let $J = \text{Ker } \bar{g}^*$ be the kernel of the cup product map. If $H^*(LM; k)$ is a free $H^*(M; k)$ -module, then Lemma 4.6 implies

$$(4.10) \quad H^*(\text{Map}(\circlearrowleft, M); k) \cong H^*(LM \times LM; k)/J'$$

and g_{out}^* is onto, where J' is an extension of J . By Lemma 4.1, we see that the transfer map $g_{\text{out}}^!$ is trivial. This proves the second part of the next theorem.

Theorem 4.7. *Let k be a field of any characteristic. Let M be simply connected such that*

$$(4.11) \quad H^*(\Omega M; k) \cong \Lambda_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell),$$

an exterior algebra on odd degree generators. Then the following statements hold.

(1) *The cohomology of M is given by $H^*(M; k) \cong k[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_\ell]$, a polynomial algebra on even degree generators with $|y_i| = |x_i| + 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, and the cohomology of LM and $\text{Map}(\circlearrowleft, M)$ are given by*

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(LM; k) &\cong H^*(M; k) \otimes H^*(\Omega M; k) \\ &\cong k[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_\ell] \otimes \Lambda_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell), \\ H^*(\text{Map}(\circlearrowleft, M); k) &\cong H^*(M; k) \otimes H^*(\Omega M; k) \otimes H^*(\Omega M; k). \end{aligned}$$

(2) *The transfer map for the fibration g_{out} vanishes. Namely,*

$$g_{\text{out}}^! = 0 : H^*(\text{Map}(\circlearrowleft, M); k) \rightarrow H^*(LM; k) \otimes H^*(LM; k).$$

Consequently, the coproduct map $\Phi = g_{\text{out}}^! g_{\text{in}}^$ in $H^*(LM; k)$ also vanishes. Here the transfer $g_{\text{out}}^!$ can be identified with*

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\text{out}}^! &= \bar{g}^! \otimes 1 \otimes 1 : H^*(M; k) \otimes H^*(\Omega M; k) \otimes H^*(\Omega M; k) \\ &\rightarrow H^*(M; k) \otimes H^*(M; k) \otimes H^*(\Omega M; k) \otimes H^*(\Omega M; k). \end{aligned}$$

Over the rationals $k = \mathbb{Q}$, if $H^*(\Omega M; \mathbb{Q})$ is finite dimensional, then it must be an exterior algebra on finitely many odd degree generators by the classical Hopf theorem. Thus hypothesis (4.11) is a natural one. See also Remark 4.9. In this case, the rational cohomology $H^*(LM; \mathbb{Q})$ can also be computed using minimal models. We emphasize that in Theorem 4.7, the characteristic of the field k is arbitrary.

The cohomology $H^*(LM; k)$ in part (1) of Theorem 4.7 can be directly computed using Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence. See for example [24] where characteristic zero case is discussed. General characteristic p case can be dealt with in the similar way. However, we found it more interesting and instructive (at least to the author) to show that the Serre spectral sequence for the fibration $\Omega M \rightarrow LM \xrightarrow{p} M$ collapses under our hypothesis on $H^*(\Omega M; k)$ given in (4.11). See Remark 4.11 at the end of this section for a motivation.

We first discuss the structure of Serre spectral sequences of related fibrations. Let $x_0 \in M$ be a base point, and let $\Omega M \rightarrow PM \xrightarrow{p} M$ be the path fibration, where $PM = \{\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M \mid \gamma(0) = x_0\}$ is the path space starting at x_0 , and $p(\gamma) = \gamma(1)$. When the cohomology of the based loop space is given as in (4.11), the Borel transgression theorem ([21], Chapter 7, Theorem 2.9) tells us that the exterior algebra generators can be chosen to be transgressive so that

$$H^*(M; k) \cong k[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_\ell],$$

where y_i is the image of x_i under the transgression so that $|y_i| = |x_i| + 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. In algebras $H^*(M; k)$ and $H^*(\Omega M; k)$, let $I(r)$ be the ideal generated by generators of degree less than r . We order generators x_i 's so that $|x_1| \leq |x_2| \leq \dots \leq |x_\ell|$, and let $|x_i| = r_i - 1$ with $r_i = |y_i|$ even for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. The Serre spectral sequence $\{E_r^{*,*}\}$ for the path fibration $p : PM \rightarrow M$ is of the form

$$(4.12) \quad E_r^{*,*} = H^*(M; k)/I(r) \otimes H^*(\Omega M; k)/I(r-1),$$

and all nonzero differentials in the spectral sequence are consequences of $d_{r_i}(x_i) = y_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, where $d_{r_i} : E_{r_i}^{0, r_i-1} \rightarrow E_{r_i}^{r_i, 0}$.

Next we examine the Serre spectral sequence for the diagonal map $\phi : M \rightarrow M \times M$ regarded as a fibration. Let J be the kernel of the cup product map $\phi^* : H^*(M) \otimes H^*(M) \rightarrow H^*(M)$. It can be easily checked that

$$(4.13) \quad J = (y_1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes y_1, y_2 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes y_2, \dots, y_\ell \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes y_\ell) \subset H^*(M \times M; k).$$

Let $J(r)$ be the subideal of J generated by generators of J given in (4.13) of degree less than r .

Lemma 4.8. *Let M be simply connected with $H^*(\Omega M; k)$ given as in (4.11). Let $\{E_r^{*,*}\}$ be the Serre spectral sequence for the fibration $\text{Map}(I, M) \xrightarrow{\bar{p}=p_0 \times p_1} M \times M$ with fiber ΩM . Then its E_r -page is given by*

$$(4.14) \quad E_r^{*,*} \cong H^*(M \times M; k)/J(r) \otimes H^*(\Omega M; k)/I(r-1),$$

and all differentials are consequences of

$$d_{r_i}(x_i) = 1 \otimes y_i - y_i \otimes 1 \in H^*(M \times M; k)/J(r_i),$$

where $d_{r_i} : E_{r_i}^{0, r_i-1} \rightarrow E_{r_i}^{r_i, 0}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$.

Proof. To examine the spectral sequence $\{E_r^{*,*}\}$, we compare it with related spectral sequences for path fibrations $PM \rightarrow M$ and $\overline{PM} \rightarrow M$, where $\overline{PM} = \{\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M \mid \gamma(1) = x_0\}$ is the space of paths ending at x_0 . We have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} PM & \xrightarrow{h_1} & \text{Map}(I, M) & \xleftarrow{h_2} & \overline{PM} \\ p_1 \downarrow & & \bar{p}=p_0 \times p_1 \downarrow & & p_0 \downarrow \\ \{x_0\} \times M & \xrightarrow{\bar{h}_1} & M \times M & \xleftarrow{\bar{h}_2} & M \times \{x_0\}, \end{array}$$

where h_1 and h_2 are inclusions. Let $S : PM \xrightarrow{\cong} \overline{PM}$ be the inverse path homeomorphism given by $S(\gamma)(t) = \gamma(1-t)$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. Since the composite $\Omega M \xrightarrow{\phi} \Omega M \times \Omega M \xrightarrow{1 \times S} \Omega M \times \Omega M \xrightarrow{m} \Omega M$, where m is the loop multiplication map, is homotopic to the constant map, for any primitive element $z \in H^*(\Omega M; k)$, we have $S^*(z) = -z$. Since $H^*(\Omega M; k)$ is an exterior algebra generated by odd degree elements, by Hopf-Samelson Theorem ([21], Chapter 7, corollary 1.13) $H^*(\Omega M; k)$ is primitively generated. Hence S^* acts as -1 on odd degree elements. In particular, $S^*(x_i) = -x_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. (This argument is needed since in (4.11), we did not assume that x_i 's are primitive.) Let $\{E_r^{*,*}\}$ and $\{''E_r^{*,*}\}$ be Serre spectral sequences for the fibrations $PM \rightarrow M$ and $\overline{PM} \rightarrow M$. We discussed the spectral sequence $\{E_r^{*,*}\}$ earlier in (4.12). Using the isomorphism of spectral sequences $S^* : ''E_r^{*,*} \xrightarrow{\cong} E_r^{*,*}$, we see that the differential $d'_{r_i}(x_i) = y_i$ in $E_r^{*,*}$ translates to a differential $d''_{r_i}(x_i) = d'_{r_i}(S^*(x_i)) = -y_i$ in $\{''E_r^{*,*}\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, and all differentials in $\{''E_r^{*,*}\}$ are consequences of the above differentials.

We prove Lemma 4.8 by induction on $r \geq 2$. When $r = 2$, we have

$$E_2^{*,*} = H^*(M \times M; H^*(\Omega M; k)) = H^*(M \times M; k) \otimes H^*(\Omega M; k),$$

since the local system is trivial because M is simply connected. Assume that E_r -page is given by (4.14). Suppose $H^*(\Omega M; k)/I(r-1) \cong \Lambda_k(x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_\ell)$ with $|x_i| \geq r-1 > |x_{i-1}|$. We consider two cases. If $|x_i| > r-1$, then two consecutive degrees in $H^*(\Omega M)/I(r-1)$ with nontrivial groups are at least $|x_i| > r-1$ apart. Hence the differential $d_r : E_r^{*,*} \rightarrow E_r^{*+r, * - r + 1}$ must be trivial, and we have $E_{r+1}^{*,*} = E_r^{*,*} = H^*(M \times M)/J(r+1) \otimes H^*(\Omega M)/I(r)$ since $J(r+1) = J(r)$ and $I(r) = I(r-1)$ in this case.

Suppose $|x_i| = r-1$ or $r = r_i$. We consider maps between spectral sequences induced by h_1 and h_2 : $h_1^* : E_r^{*,*} \rightarrow E_r^{*,*}$ and $h_2^* : E_r^{*,*} \rightarrow ''E_r^{*,*}$. We recall that $E_r^{*,*}$ and $''E_r^{*,*}$ are given by

$$E_r^{*,*} \cong H^*(M)/I(r) \otimes H^*(\Omega M)/I(r-1) \cong ''E_r^{*,*}.$$

See (4.12). Applying h_1^* to the differential $d_r(x_i)$, we get $\bar{h}_1^*(d_r(x_i)) = d'_r(x_i) = y_i$. Similarly, applying h_2^* , we get $\bar{h}_2^*(d_r(x_i)) = d''_r(x_i) = -y_i$. Hence $d_r(x_i)$ is of the form $d_r(x_i) = 1 \otimes y_i - y_i \otimes 1 + (\text{decomposables})$ in $H^*(M \times M)/J(r_i)$. Since the spectral sequence $\{E_r^{*,*}\}$ converges to $H^*(\text{Map}(I, M)) \cong H^*(M \times M)/J$, the decomposable elements in the above must lie in $J(r_i)$. Thus

$$d_{r_i}(x_i) = 1 \otimes y_i - y_i \otimes 1 \in H^*(M \times M)/J(r_i).$$

If there are other generators of $H^*(\Omega M)/I(r_i-1)$ of degree r_i-1 , we can apply the same argument and we get corresponding results for their differentials. Hence

$$E_{r_i+1}^{*,*} = H^*(M \times M)/J(r_i+1) \otimes H^*(\Omega M)/I(r_i).$$

This completes the inductive step and the proof is complete. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.7. We show that the Serre spectral sequence $\{E_r^{*,*}\}$ for the fibration $\Omega \rightarrow LM \xrightarrow{p} M$ collapses at E_2 -term. Thus, suppose $E_r^{*,*} = E_2^{*,*}$ for some $r \geq 2$. We show that $d_r = 0$ on $E_r^{*,*}$, implying that $E_{r+1}^{*,*} = E_r^{*,*}$. Since $E_r^{*,*} = E_2^{*,*} = E_2^{*,0} \otimes E_2^{0,*}$, by derivation property of the differential, we only have to show that $d_r = 0$ on $E_r^{0,*} = H^*(\Omega M; k)$. To see this, we consider the following

pull-back diagram of fibrations:

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} LM & \xrightarrow{h} & \text{Map}(I, M) \\ p \downarrow & & \bar{g}=p_0 \times p_1 \downarrow \\ M & \xrightarrow{\phi} & M \times M. \end{array}$$

Let $\{{}'E_r^{*,*}\}$ be the Serre spectral sequence for the fibration $\bar{g} : \text{Map}(I, M) \rightarrow M \times M$ described in Lemma 4.8. The map h induces a map of spectral sequences $h^* : {}'E_r^{*,*} \rightarrow E_r^{*,*}$. Suppose ${}'E_r^{0,*} = H^*(\Omega M; k)/I(r-1) = \Lambda_k(x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_\ell)$, where $|x_i| \geq r-1 > |x_{i-1}|$.

We consider two cases. Suppose $|x_i| > r-1$. Since d_r' is trivial on $x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_\ell$ in the spectral sequence ${}'E_r^{0,*}$ in view of Lemma 4.8, mapping this relation to $E_r^{0,*}$ via h^* , we get $d_r(x_j) = 0$ for $i \leq j \leq \ell$. By degree reason, d_r is trivial on x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1} , where $|x_1| \leq \dots \leq |x_{i-1}| < r-1$. Hence $d_r = 0$ on $E_r^{0,*}$. As remarked above, the derivation property of d_r implies that $d_r = 0$ on the entire $E_r^{*,*}$.

Next, suppose $|x_i| = \dots = |x_k| = r-1$ and $|x_{k+1}| > r-1$ for some $i \leq k \leq \ell$. In this case, $r = r_i$. Since $d_{r_i}'(x_j) = 1 \otimes y_j - y_j \otimes 1 \in {}'E_{r_i}^{r_i,0}$ for $i \leq j \leq k$, mapping this relation by h^* , we get $d_{r_i}(x_j) = 0 \in E_{r_i}^{r_i,0}$ for $i \leq j \leq k$, since h^* on the base spaces is simply the cup product ϕ^* (see diagram (4.15)). By Lemma 4.8, for x_j with $j > k$, we have $d_{r_i}'(x_j) = 0$, which in turn implies that $d_{r_i}(x_j) = 0$ for $k < j \leq \ell$. By degree reason, d_{r_i} is trivial on x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1} . Hence again d_{r_i} is trivial on $E_{r_i}^{0,*}$. Hence derivation property of differential implies that $d_{r_i} = 0$ on the entire $E_{r_i}^{*,*}$. This completes the inductive step and we have proved the formula for the cohomology of LM .

The cohomology of $\text{Map}(\circlearrowleft, M)$ then follows from (4.10). This completes the proof of part (1).

(2) Although the proof of part (2) was discussed right before the statement of Theorem 4.7, we give an alternate proof from a different point of view.

The square diagram in (4.2) can be thought of as a pull-back diagram of fibrations $p \times p$ and q with fiber $\Omega M \times \Omega M$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega M \times \Omega M & \xlongequal{\quad} & \Omega M \times \Omega M \\ \iota \downarrow & & \iota' \downarrow \\ LM \times LM & \xleftarrow{g_{\text{out}}} & \text{Map}(\circlearrowleft, M) \\ p \times p \downarrow & & q \downarrow \\ M \times M & \xleftarrow{\bar{g}} & \text{Map}(I, M) \end{array}$$

Since $H^*(LM \times LM; k) \cong H^*(M \times M; k) \otimes H^*(\Omega M \times \Omega M; k)$ by what we proved in part (1), the inclusion map of the fiber $\iota : \Omega M \times \Omega M \rightarrow LM \times LM$ is totally nonhomologous to zero and ι^* is onto. This implies that the fiber inclusion map $\iota' : \Omega M \times \Omega M \rightarrow \text{Map}(\circlearrowleft, M)$ is such that $(\iota')^*$ is onto and ι' is totally nonhomologous to zero. Hence the spectral sequence for the fibration q collapses and we get $H^*(\text{Map}(\circlearrowleft, M); k) \cong H^*(M; k) \otimes H^*(\Omega M \times \Omega M; k)$ and $g_{\text{out}}^* = \bar{g}^* \otimes 1$ is onto. By Lemma 4.1, we see that the transfer map $g_{\text{out}}^!$ vanishes. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.7. \square

Remark 4.9. If the characteristic p of the field k is positive, then the hypothesis (4.11) follows from the p -torsion freeness of the integral cohomology of ΩM . More precisely, by Theorem 2.12 in Chapter 7 of [21], if $H^*(\Omega M; \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion free and finitely generated, then for some ℓ ,

$$H^*(\Omega M; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell), \quad |x_i| = \text{odd}.$$

If $H^*(\Omega M; \mathbb{Z})$ is p -torsion free and finitely generated, and if the characteristic of a field k is $p > 0$, then for some ℓ

$$H^*(\Omega M; k) \cong \Lambda_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell), \quad |x_i| = \text{odd}.$$

Combining the above remark and Theorem 4.7, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.10. (1) *Suppose $H^*(\Omega M; \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion free and finitely generated. Then the cohomology loop coproduct map in $H^*(LM; \mathbb{Z})$ is trivial.*

(2) *Suppose $H^*(LM; \mathbb{Z})$ has no p -torsion for a prime p . Then the cohomology loop coproduct in $H^*(LM; k)$ is trivial, where k is any field of characteristic p .*

Proof. We only have to observe that in the torsion free case (1), all the arguments in Theorem 4.7 are valid with integral coefficients. \square

Remark 4.11. In some cases, it is not difficult to determine algebraically all the differentials in the Serre spectral sequence for the fibration $q : \text{Map}(I, M) \rightarrow M \times M$, given the cohomology of M . Thus the method we employ here can also be used to determine purely algebraically all the nontrivial differentials in the Serre spectral sequence for some fibrations $p : LM \rightarrow M$ without using any external geometric information. For example, all the nontrivial differentials for the fibration $p : LCP^n \rightarrow CP^n$ can be determined this way. In [8], Cohen, Jones and Yan compute the loop homology algebra structure of $H_*(LM; \mathbb{Z})$ using a Serre type spectral sequence in which differentials were determined using the geometric result of Ziller [29], where the cohomology of LCP^n is determined using a Morse theoretic method.

5. VANISHING THEOREM FOR OPEN-CLOSED STRING TOPOLOGY

We extend our results to open-closed string topology on an oriented closed smooth manifold M of dimension d . First we briefly recall the general framework of open-closed string topology. An open-closed cobordism is an oriented cobordism between two compact ordered parametrized 1-dimensional manifolds, which are ordered finite union of unit intervals and unit circles. We simply refer to intervals as open strings and to circles as closed strings. Thus, an open-closed cobordism is an oriented surface S whose boundary consists of three parts: (i) incoming open or closed strings $\partial_{in} S$, (ii) outgoing open or closed strings $\partial_{out} S$, and (iii) the remaining boundary part called free boundary $\partial_{free} S$. The manifold $\partial_{free} S$ is a cobordism between boundaries of $\partial_{in} S$ and $\partial_{out} S$. We assume that each connected component of an open-closed cobordism has at least one outgoing open or closed strings. This is the positive boundary condition. End points of open strings are only allowed to move along submanifolds belonging to a specified family of submanifolds of M called D -branes. Let $\mathcal{D} = \{I, J, \dots\}$ be such a collection. Thus connected components of the free boundary $\partial_{free} S$ are labeled with D -branes. Note that a boundary component of an open-closed cobordism can have both incoming open strings and

outgoing open strings, and also it can be a free boundary entirely. See [22] and [25] for details.

The mapping class group $\Gamma(S)$ for such an open-closed cobordism S is the group of isotopy classes of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of S which fix incoming and outgoing strings pointwise and which may permute completely free boundaries as long as they carry the same D -brane labels. Using isotopy, we may assume that such diffeomorphisms fix boundary components containing open or closed strings pointwise.

For simplicity, suppose the set of D -branes consists only of M . For a general case, see the remark at the end of this section. If a connected open-closed cobordism S of genus g has n boundaries containing open or closed strings and m completely free boundaries carrying the same label M , then the mapping class group $\Gamma(S)$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma_{g,n}^{(m)} = \pi_0(\Lambda)$, where Λ is the topological group of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of a genus g connected oriented surface $F_{g,n}^m$ with n boundaries and m marked points, where diffeomorphisms fix n boundaries pointwise and possibly permute m marked points. Let $\Gamma_{g,n}^m$ be the mapping class group of $F_{g,n}^m$ in which diffeomorphisms fix not only n boundaries pointwise but also m marked points. The group $\Gamma_{g,n}^m$ is a normal subgroup of $\Gamma_{g,n}^{(m)}$ and we have the following exact sequence:

$$(5.1) \quad 1 \longrightarrow \Gamma_{g,n}^m \longrightarrow \Gamma_{g,n}^{(m)} \longrightarrow \Sigma_m \longrightarrow 1.$$

Let $\sigma_m \cong \mathbb{Z}$ be the sign representation of Σ_m , and we regard it as a $\Gamma_{g,n}^{(m)}$ -module through the projection to Σ_m . As such σ_m is a trivial $\Gamma_{g,n}^m$ -module. Note that σ_m^2 is a trivial Σ_m -module.

In [14], Godin constructs string operations in open-closed string topology in which the set of D -branes consists only of M itself. Suppose a connected open-closed cobordism S has p incoming closed strings, q outgoing closed strings, r incoming open strings, and s outgoing open strings, where we assume $q + s \geq 1$, due to the positive boundary condition. Suppose the open-closed cobordism surface S has genus g with n boundaries containing open or closed strings, and with m completely free boundaries. Let $\partial_{\text{in}}S$ be the collection of incoming open and closed strings in S , and let χ_S be the \mathbb{Z}_2 graded $\Gamma(S)$ -module $(H_1(S, \partial_{\text{in}}S), H_0(S, \partial_{\text{in}}S))$. Consider a $\Gamma(S)$ module

$$\det \chi_S = (\det H_1(S, \partial_{\text{in}}S)) \otimes (\det H_0(S, \partial_{\text{in}}S))^{-1},$$

where \det denotes the highest exterior power. Then the associated string operations constructed in [14] are of the following form, (modulo Künneth theorem):

$$(5.2) \quad \mu : H_*(\Gamma_{g,n}^{(m)}; (\det \chi_S)^d) \otimes H_*(LM)^{\otimes p} \otimes H_*(M)^{\otimes r} \longrightarrow H_*(LM)^{\otimes q} \otimes H_*(M)^{\otimes s},$$

where $\Gamma_{g,n}^{(m)} \cong \Gamma(S)$. We show that the representation $\det \chi_S$ of $\Gamma(S)$ is isomorphic to the module σ_m described above as $\Gamma(S)$ -modules.

Proposition 5.1. *Suppose an open-closed cobordism S has m completely free boundaries. Then as $\Gamma(S)$ -module, $\det \chi_S \cong \sigma_m$.*

Proof. First suppose the open-closed cobordism S is connected. Then $H_0(S) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is a trivial $\Gamma(S)$ -module. To understand $H_1(S)$ as a $\Gamma(S)$ -module, suppose S has genus g and has p boundaries c_1, \dots, c_p containing incoming open or closed strings, m completely free boundaries d_1, \dots, d_m , and q boundaries e_1, \dots, e_q containing

outgoing open or closed strings, where $p, m \geq 0, q \geq 1$ by positive boundary condition. Let \hat{S} be the closed surface obtained by capping $p+m+q$ boundaries of S , and let a_i, b_i with $1 \leq i \leq g$ be a symplectic basis of $H_1(\hat{S})$. Then the homology basis of $H_1(S)$ consists of $a_i, b_i, [c_j], [d_k], [e_\ell]$, where $1 \leq i \leq g, 1 \leq j \leq p, 1 \leq k \leq m$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq q-1$. Note that the last homology class $[e_q]$ is a linear combination of other basis elements. Since $\Gamma(S)$ acts trivially on the basis elements $[c_j]$'s and $[e_\ell]$'s, and $\Gamma(S)$ permutes $[d_k]$'s, we have $\det H_1(S) \cong (\det H_1(\hat{S})) \otimes \sigma_m$ as a $\Gamma(S)$ -module. Since the action of $\Gamma(S)$ on $H_1(\hat{S})$ preserves the intersection pairings of a_i 's and b_j 's, the action factors through a symplectic group, and consequently $\det H_1(\hat{S})$ is a trivial $\Gamma(S)$ -module. Hence $\det H_1(S) \cong \sigma_m$ as $\Gamma(S)$ -modules.

In the general case, consider the following homology exact sequence of pairs:

$$0 \rightarrow H_1(\partial_{\text{in}} S) \rightarrow H_1(S) \rightarrow H_1(S, \partial_{\text{in}} S) \rightarrow H_0(\partial_{\text{in}} S) \rightarrow H_0(S) \rightarrow H_0(S, \partial_{\text{in}} S) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\Gamma(S)$ acts trivially on $H_*(\partial_{\text{in}} S)$, the above exact sequence gives

$$\det H_1(S, \partial_{\text{in}} S) \otimes \det H_0(S) \cong \det H_1(S) \otimes \det H_0(S, \partial_{\text{in}} S).$$

Let $S = \coprod_i S_i$ be the decomposition into connected components. Then $\Gamma(S) \cong \prod_i \Gamma(S_i)$ and

$$\det \chi_S \cong \det H_1(S) \otimes (\det H_0(S))^{-1} \cong \bigotimes_i (\det H_1(S_i) \otimes \det^{-1} H_0(S_i)) \cong \bigotimes_i \det H_i(S_i).$$

If S_i has m_i completely free boundaries with $m = \sum_i m_i$, then by what we have proved earlier, we have $\det \chi_S \cong \bigotimes_i \sigma_{m_i} \cong \sigma_m$, as $\Gamma(S)$ -modules. This completes the proof. \square

If we can prove a stability property for the homology $H_*(\Gamma_{g,n}^{(m)}; \sigma_m^d)$ for large genus g , then the same argument as in the previous section applies and we have a similar vanishing theorem for open-closed string operations. This is what we do.

Remark 5.2. In [9] and [17], stability properties of the homology of the mapping class group $\Gamma_{g,n}$ with twisted coefficients are studied. Here note that $\Gamma_{g,n}^{(m)}$ fixes boundaries of the surface $F_{g,n}$, where the above mapping class group $\Gamma_{g,n}^{(m)}$ can permute m of the $m+n$ boundaries of a genus g surface. So our context is somewhat different from the above papers.

A stabilizing map for an open-closed cobordism surface S can be constructed in two ways. Let T_{closed} be a torus with one incoming and one outgoing closed strings, and let T_{open} be a torus with one boundary containing one incoming and one outgoing open strings. See Figures 2 and 3. If S has an incoming or outgoing closed string, we can sew the torus T_{closed} to a closed string of S . If S has an incoming or outgoing open string, then we can sew T_{open} to an open string of S . These two types of sewing increases the genus of S by one without changing the numbers p, q, r, s of incoming/outgoing open/closed strings, and the numbers n, m of boundaries with or without open/closed strings. Because of the positive boundary condition $q+s \geq 1$ in (5.2), we can always apply at least one of the above two sewing procedures to increase the genus of any open-closed cobordism surface S .

By extending diffeomorphisms on S by identity on T_{closed} or on T_{open} , we obtain a homomorphism $\varphi : \Gamma(S) \rightarrow \Gamma(S \# T)$, that is, a homomorphism $\varphi : \Gamma_{g,n}^{(m)} \rightarrow \Gamma_{g+1,n}^{(m)}$. By restriction to those diffeomorphisms of S preserving completely free boundaries component wise, we obtain a homomorphism $\varphi : \Gamma_{g,n}^m \rightarrow \Gamma_{g+1,n}^m$. We show that




FIGURE 2. The torus T_{closed} has one incoming boundary and one outgoing boundary.




FIGURE 3. The torus T_{open} has one boundary with one incoming open string and one outgoing open string

Harer-Ivanov's stability result in the introduction can be extended to the above mapping class groups with the same stability range and with the coefficient in the module $(\sigma_m)^{\otimes r}$.

For this, we first recall Ivanov's formulation of stability theorem. Let X, Y be compact connected orientable surfaces with nonempty boundary such that $X \subset Y$. Let \mathcal{M}_X and \mathcal{M}_Y be their mapping class group consisting of isotopy classes of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms fixing the boundaries pointwise. Thus, if X is a surface of genus g with n boundaries, then $\mathcal{M}_X \cong \Gamma_{g,n}$. Let $g(X)$ be the genus of the surface X .

Theorem 5.3 (Ivanov [17]). *With the above notation, the homomorphism of mapping class groups induced by the inclusion $X \rightarrow Y$*

$$H_k(\mathcal{M}_X) \longrightarrow H_k(\mathcal{M}_Y)$$

is an isomorphism when $g(X) \geq 2k + 1$, and onto when $g(X) \geq 2k$.

In the original formulation of stability theorem by Harer, it uses sewing of the torus T_{closed} along a boundary of a surface, and it does not cover the case of sewing T_{open} to a surface. This latter case is covered by Ivanov's formulation of the stability theorem. When the surface Y is obtained by sewing T_{closed} or T_{open} to X , the actual homomorphism may depend on the choice of (part of) the boundary of X used for sewing, as we saw in the previous section.

Theorem 5.4. *Let $n \geq 1$ and $r \geq 0$. Let φ be a stabilizing map obtained by sewing T_{closed} or T_{open} to the open-closed cobordism S . Then, both of the following*

homology stabilizing maps are isomorphisms for $g \geq 2k+1$ and are onto for $g \geq 2k$:

$$(5.3) \quad \varphi_* : H_k(\Gamma_{g,n}^m) \longrightarrow H_k(\Gamma_{g+1,n}^m),$$

$$(5.4) \quad \varphi_* : H_k(\Gamma_{g,n}^{(m)}; \sigma_m^r) \longrightarrow H_k(\Gamma_{g+1,n}^{(m)}; \sigma_m^r).$$

Here $\sigma_m^r = (\sigma_m)^{\otimes r}$ is a trivial $\Gamma_{g,n}^{(m)}$ -module for even r .

When $g \geq 2k+1$, the homology groups $H_k(\Gamma_{g,n}^m)$ and $H_k(\Gamma_{g,n}^{(m)})$ are independent of $n \geq 1$. Furthermore, when $g \geq 2k$, the action of Σ_n on both homology groups $H_k(\Gamma_{g,n}^m)$ and $H_k(\Gamma_{g,n}^{(m)})$ is trivial and consequently, the stabilizing map φ_* in (5.3) and (5.4) is independent of the choice of boundaries of S used for sewing with T_{closed} or T_{open} .

Proof. For the first case, we have the following exact sequence (see for example [1]):

$$(5.5) \quad 1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^m \longrightarrow \Gamma_{g,n+m} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{g,n}^m \longrightarrow 1,$$

where $\Gamma_{g,n+m}$ is the mapping class group of S consisting of isotopy classes of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of S fixing all $n+m$ boundaries pointwise. This is a central extension and the kernel \mathbb{Z}^m is generated by Dehn twists along simple closed curves parallel to m completely free boundaries. We consider the associated Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence

$$E_{p,q}^2 = H_p(\Gamma_{g,n}^m; H_q(\mathbb{Z}^m)) \implies H_{p+q}(\Gamma_{g,n+m}).$$

Since the above extension is a central extension, the action of $\Gamma_{g,n}^m$ on \mathbb{Z}^m is trivial, and thus we have a trivial local system in the above E^2 -terms. Since the homology $H_*(\mathbb{Z}^m)$ is torsion free, the above E^2 -term can be written as $E_{p,q}^2 = H_p(\Gamma_{g,n}^m) \otimes H_q(\mathbb{Z}^m)$. Now sewing T_{closed} or T_{open} to S induces the following homomorphisms between group extensions.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}^m & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{g,n+m} & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{g,n}^m & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \parallel & & \varphi \downarrow & & \varphi \downarrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}^m & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{g+1,n+m} & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{g+1,n}^m & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

This diagram induces a homomorphism of spectral sequences

$$E_{p,q}^2 = H_p(\Gamma_{g,n}^m) \otimes H_q(\mathbb{Z}^m) \xrightarrow{\varphi_* \otimes 1} {}'E_{p,q}^2 = H_p(\Gamma_{g+1,n}^m) \otimes H_q(\mathbb{Z}^m)$$

converging to the homomorphism $\varphi_* : H_{p+q}(\Gamma_{g,n+m}) \longrightarrow H_{p+q}(\Gamma_{g+1,n+m})$ which we know to be an isomorphism for $g \geq 2(p+q)+1$ and onto for $g \geq 2(p+q)$ by Harer-Ivanov stability theorem. Using one version of Zeeman's comparison theorem of spectral sequences (see Theorem 1.3 in [17]), stability property of the group $\Gamma_{g,n+m}$ (via sewing of T_{closed} or T_{open} to open-closed cobordisms S) implies the stability property of $\Gamma_{g,n}^m$ in the same range. Namely, $\varphi_* : H_k(\Gamma_{g,n}^m) \rightarrow H_k(\Gamma_{g+1,n}^m)$ is an isomorphism for $g \geq 2k+1$ and onto for $g \geq 2k$. This proves the first part.

For the second stabilizing map, we again consider Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence associated to the group extension (5.1) and the $\Gamma_{g,n}^{(m)}$ -module σ_m^r :

$$E_{p,q}^2 = H_p(\Sigma_m; H_q(\Gamma_{g,n}^{(m)}; \sigma_m^r)) \implies H_{p+q}(\Gamma_{g,n}^{(m)}; \sigma_m^r),$$

where $H_*(\Gamma_{g,n}^{(m)}; \sigma_m^r) = H_*(\Gamma_{g,n}^{(m)}; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \sigma_m^r$ since $\Gamma_{g,n}^{(m)}$ acts trivially on the module σ_m^r . Now, sewing T_{closed} or T_{open} to the open-closed cobordism S induces the following

homomorphism between group extensions:

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{g,n}^m & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{g,n}^{(m)} & \longrightarrow & \Sigma_m \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \varphi \downarrow & & \varphi \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{g+1,n}^m & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{g+1,n}^{(m)} & \longrightarrow & \Sigma_m \longrightarrow 1, \end{array}$$

which induces a homomorphism of spectral sequences

$$E_{p,q}^2 = H_p(\Sigma_m; H_q(\Gamma_{g,n}^m) \otimes \sigma_m^r) \longrightarrow E_{p,q}^2 = H_p(\Sigma_m; H_q(\Gamma_{g+1,n}^m) \otimes \sigma_m^r)$$

converging to the homomorphism $\varphi_* : H_*(\Gamma_{g,n}^{(m)}; \sigma_m^r) \longrightarrow H_*(\Gamma_{g+1,n}^{(m)}; \sigma_m^r)$. A standard Zeeman's comparison theorem of spectral sequence (see Theorem 1.2 in [17]) together with the stability property for $\Gamma_{g,n}^m$ we have just proved, we conclude that the group $\Gamma_{g,n}^{(m)}$ also enjoys a stability property in the stated range.

To see that the homology group $H_k(\Gamma_{g,n}^m)$ is independent of $n \geq 1$ when $g \geq 2k + 1$, we consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}^m & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{g,n+m} & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{g,n}^m \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}^m & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{g,1+m} & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{g,1}^m \longrightarrow 1, \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are induced by capping $n - 1$ incoming boundaries with discs. By Theorem 5.3, the induced middle vertical homomorphisms in homology is onto when $g \geq 2k$ and isomorphism when $g \geq 2k + 1$. Thus, using Zeeman's spectral sequence comparison theorem as above, we see that the same is true for induced homology map on the right. Thus, for $g \geq 2k + 1$, we have $H_k(\Gamma_{g,1}^m) \cong H_k(\Gamma_{g,n}^m)$ for any $n \geq 1$.

In the above context, if we sew a surface $F_{0,1+n}$ to $F_{g,1+m}$ or to $F_{g,1}^m$, then we have homomorphisms going from the bottom row to the top row. We can deduce the same conclusion arguing as before using this new diagram with reversed vertical arrows.

The proof that the homology group $H_k(\Gamma_{g,n}^{(m)})$ is independent of $n \geq 1$ when $g \geq 2k + 1$ is the same as above using analogous diagrams induced by either capping $n - 1$ incoming boundaries or sewing $F_{0,1+n}$ to $F_{g,1+m}$ and to $F_{g,1}^m$.

Finally, trivality of the action of Σ_n on homology groups $H_k(\Gamma_{g,n}^m)$ and $H_k(\Gamma_{g,n}^{(m)})$ can be shown in exactly the same way as in Remark 2.1 in the stable range $g \geq 2k$. Then arguing as in Proposition 3.1, we can see that the stabilizing map φ_* in (5.3) and (5.4) is independent of choices involved in sewing the open-closed cobordism S and T_{closed} or T_{open} in the same stable range $g \geq 2k$. This completes the proof. \square

In the Harer's original paper [15], stability property of the group $\Gamma_{g,n}^m$ is proved, but the slope of the stability range is 3, instead of 2 as in Theorem 5.4.

The proof of the stability property of the stabilizing map (5.4) was done in two steps, using a spectral sequence comparison theorem each time. We could complete the proof of the stability of (5.4) using a single spectral sequence. Let $F_{g,n}^{(m)}$ be a smooth oriented surface of genus g with n boundaries, m marked points $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$, and a choice of an oriented frame (u_i, v_i) at each marked point x_i . Let $\text{Diff}^+(F_{g,n}^{(m)})$ be the topological group of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms which fix n boundaries pointwise and which possibly permute m marked

points. For each diffeomorphism $f \in \text{Diff}^+(F_{g,n}^{(m)})$, let $f(x_i) = x_{\tau(i)}$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, for some permutation $\tau \in \Sigma_m$, and let $(f_*(u_i), f_*(v_i)) = (u_{\tau(i)}, v_{\tau(i)})A_i$ for some $A_i \in \text{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. This correspondence from f to $(\tau; A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m)$ defines an onto homomorphism from the diffeomorphism group to a wreath product $\text{Diff}^+(F_{g,n}^{(m)}) \longrightarrow \Sigma_m \wr \text{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$ whose kernel consists of those diffeomorphisms which fix m marked points and whose induced differentials are identity at m marked points. Thus the kernel is homotopy equivalent to $\text{Diff}^+(F_{g,n+m})$. Since $n \geq 1$, connected components of these diffeomorphism groups are contractible [11]. Thus, passing to classifying spaces and then replacing diffeomorphism groups by mapping class groups, we have a homotopy fibration given in the top row of the next diagram, and a map of fibrations induced by a stabilizing map as in the diagram below:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} B\Gamma_{g,n+m} & \longrightarrow & B\Gamma_{g,n}^{(m)} & \longrightarrow & B(\Sigma_m \wr \text{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})) \\ B\varphi \downarrow & & B\varphi \downarrow & & \parallel \\ B\Gamma_{g+1,n+m} & \longrightarrow & B\Gamma_{g+1,n}^{(m)} & \longrightarrow & B(\Sigma_m \wr \text{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})). \end{array}$$

Here, since $\text{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$ is homotopy equivalent to the circle S^1 , the classifying space $B(\Sigma_m \wr \text{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R}))$ is homotopy equivalent to $E\Sigma_m \times_{\Sigma_m} (\mathbb{C}P^\infty)^m$. Now we consider maps between two Serre spectral sequences associated to the above two fibrations in the top and the bottom rows, and by applying Zeeman's comparison theorem, we get the same result as in Theorem 5.4. See [3] for related results on stable mapping class groups.

As before, we say that the group $H_k(\Gamma_{g,n}^{(m)}; \sigma_m^d)$ is in stable range if the stabilizing map φ_* mapping to this group is onto and all the subsequent stabilizing maps are isomorphisms:

$$H_k(\Gamma_{g-1,n}^{(m)}; \sigma_m^d) \xrightarrow[\text{onto}]{\varphi_*} H_k(\Gamma_{g,n}^{(m)}; \sigma_m^d) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\varphi_*} H_k(\Gamma_{g+1,n}^{(m)}; \sigma_m^d) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\varphi_*} \dots$$

Vanishing Theorem (Open-Closed String Topology Case). *Let M be a smooth oriented closed manifold of dimension d . Consider open-closed string topology on M in which the set of D -brane submanifolds consists only of M . Let*

$$\varphi_* : H_k(\Gamma_{g,n}^{(m)}; \sigma_m^d) \longrightarrow H_k(\Gamma_{g+1,n}^{(m)}; \sigma_m^d)$$

be a stabilizing map of mapping class groups obtained by sewing either T_{closed} or T_{open} to open-closed cobordisms.

(i) *Open-closed string operations (5.2) associated to elements in the image $\text{Im } \varphi_*$ of any stabilizing map φ_* are trivial.*

(ii) *Open-closed string operations (5.2) associated to any elements in the homology group $H_k(\Gamma_{g,n}^{(m)}; \sigma_m^d)$ in stable range are trivial.*

Proof. As before, using the gluing property of the homological conformal field theory, we only have to observe that the topological quantum field theory operations associated to surfaces T_{closed} and T_{open} are trivial. We have already seen that the TQFT operation associated to T_{closed} is trivial. The TQFT operation associated to T_{open} describes a process in which a closed string splits off from an open string, and later they join together to form an open string. The general form of such string operation in which open strings carry submanifold labels I, J at their end points is given by

$$\mu_{T_{\text{open}}} : H_*(P_{IJ}) \longrightarrow H_*(P_{IJ}) \otimes H_*(LM) \longrightarrow H_*(P_{IJ}),$$

where P_{IJ} is the space of open strings $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ such that $\gamma(0) \in I$ and $\gamma(1) \in J$. In Proposition 3.4 in [27] we called such an operation a handle attaching operation, and we showed that handle attaching operations are always trivial for any labels I, J . In our present case, we have $I = J = M$. By a similar argument as in the closed string topology case, the open-closed string operation associated to any element in the image of any stabilizing map φ_* can be factored into a composition of operations, and one of the factors is the TQFT operation associated to T_{closed} or T_{open} , which is trivial. This proves part (i). Part (ii) is a consequence of part (i) and definition of stable range. \square

As a final remark in this section, we consider a general case in which the set \mathcal{D} of D -brane submanifolds has more than one element. Let $\mathcal{D} = \{K_1, K_2, \dots, K_h, \dots\}$ be a set of D -brane labels. Let $S_{g,n}^m$ be an oriented open-closed cobordism of genus g with n boundaries containing open or closed strings, and with m completely free boundaries. In this case, we consider orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of $S_{g,n}^m$ which fix n boundaries containing open or closed strings pointwise, and which may permute completely free boundaries provided they carry the same label. Suppose there are m_i completely free boundaries carrying the same label K_i for $1 \leq i \leq h$ so that $\sum_i m_i = m$. Let $H(\vec{m}) = \Sigma_{m_1} \times \Sigma_{m_2} \times \dots \times \Sigma_{m_h} \subset \Sigma_m$ be the subgroup of the symmetric group corresponding to $\vec{m} = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_h)$. The corresponding mapping class group is denoted by $\Gamma_{g,n}^{H(\vec{m})}$. Then as before, there exists a homotopy fibration

$$B\Gamma_{g,n+m} \longrightarrow B\Gamma_{g,n}^{H(\vec{m})} \longrightarrow B(H(\vec{m}) \wr \text{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})).$$

Then arguing as before, we can show that the homology group $H_k(\Gamma_{g,n}^{H(\vec{m})}; \sigma_m^r)$ for $r \geq 0$ has a stability property with respect to the genus g as in Theorem 5.4 with the same range of stability. This stability property would be relevant to a vanishing property of open-closed string operations with a general set of D -branes.

6. SOME COMPUTATIONS OF UNSTABLE CLOSED STRING OPERATIONS

We have shown that stable string operations vanish. We can ask: what about unstable string operations? Part (i) of vanishing theorems applies to stable as well as unstable string operations, and it shows that most of the unstable string operations vanish. Those unstable operations not covered by part (i) are those operations associated to homology elements of mapping class groups not in the image of any stabilizing maps. Can they be nontrivial? We can try to compute some unstable string operations. Unfortunately not many homology groups of mapping class groups in unstable range have been calculated (see for example [1]), although the situation for stable mapping class groups is much better ([12] and [19]).

In this final section, we compute some genus one unstable string operations in closed string topology for finite dimensional M . First we examine string operations associated to degree 1 homology group $H_1(\Gamma_{1,p+q})$ of genus 1 mapping class groups with $p+q \geq 1$. This homology group is well known to be $H_1(B\Gamma_{1,p+q}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{p+q}$, generated by a Dehn twist along a nonseparating simple closed curve on the surface $F_{1,p+q}$ (we can use the meridian of the torus), and Dehn twists along simple closed curves parallel to $p+q-1$ boundary circles (for an explanation, see for example [18], Theorem 5.1). Note that it is well known that Dehn twists along nonseparating simple closed curves on any connected surface are conjugate to each other in its

mapping class group, and hence they represent the same first homology classes. The string operation corresponding to the Dehn twist on a cylinder generating $H_1(\Gamma_{0,1+1}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is the BV operator $\Delta : H_*(LM) \rightarrow H_{*+1}(LM)$ coming from the homological circle action on the free loop space LM . By inserting BV operator appropriately on a decomposition of the genus 1 surface $F_{1,p+q}$, and using the gluing property of HCFT, we see that all string operations associated to $H_1(\Gamma_{1,p+q})$ vanish. For example, consider the Dehn twist along the meridian of $F_{1,p+q}$. Let Ψ and μ be the loop coproduct and the loop product maps both of degree $-d$:

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi : H_*(LM) &\longrightarrow H_*(LM) \otimes H_*(LM), \\ \mu : H_*(LM) \otimes H_*(LM) &\longrightarrow H_*(LM).\end{aligned}$$

In [26] Theorem 2.5, we showed that the coproduct is nontrivial only on $H_d(LM)$ and its image under Ψ are integral multiples of the generator $[c_0] \otimes [c_0] \in H_0(LM) \otimes H_0(LM)$ by degree reason, where c_0 is a constant loop. The string operation associated to the Dehn twist along the meridian is given by

$$\mu \circ (\Delta \otimes 1) \circ \Psi : H_*(LM) \longrightarrow H_{*-d+1}(LM),$$

and since $\Delta([c_0]) = 0$, this string operation is trivial. For Dehn twists along a curve parallel to boundaries, the situation is even more straightforward and they are given by post or pre-composition of the genus one operator $\mu \circ \Psi$ with a BV operator Δ , and hence they are trivial, too.

Next we compute a string operation associated to a degree 2 homology class. Since the torus T_{closed} is used in the stabilization map in Harer's theorem, we examine string operations associated to the homology group $H_*(\Gamma_{1,1+1})$. This homology group is computed in [13], and is given by $H_1 \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$, $H_2 \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$, $H_3 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, and $H_k = 0$ for $k \geq 4$. As before the string operations associated to H_1 vanish. We examine the string operation associated to a generator the infinite cyclic group in H_2 . To understand this generator, we compute the homology of $\Gamma_{1,2}$ in a different way. We have the following group extension

$$(6.1) \quad 1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{1,2} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{1,1}^1 \longrightarrow 1,$$

where the homomorphism $\Gamma_{1,2} \rightarrow \Gamma_{1,1}^1$ is induced by capping one boundary of T with a disc keeping the center point of the disc. The kernel \mathbb{Z} is generated by the Dehn twist along a simple closed curve parallel to the capped boundary, and it is in the center of $\Gamma_{1,2}$. This group extension is a special case of (5.5) Since the action of $\Gamma_{1,1}^1$ on \mathbb{Z} is trivial, the local system in the following Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence is trivial:

$$E_{p,q}^2 = H_p(\Gamma_{1,1}^1; H_q(\mathbb{Z})) \implies H_{p+q}(\Gamma_{1,2}).$$

Homology of $\Gamma_{1,1}^1$ is listed in the table in [1] as follows: $H_1(\Gamma_{1,1}^1) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ generated by a Dehn twist along any nonseparating simple closed curve (we can take this to be a meridian) on the genus 1 surface with one boundary and one puncture, and $H_2(\Gamma_{1,1}^1) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. By glancing at the E^2 -terms of the above spectral sequence, we see that there cannot be any nontrivial differentials by degree reason, and the spectral sequence must collapse. For the extension problem, the group $H_2(\Gamma_{1,2})$ fits into the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow E_{1,1}^\infty \longrightarrow H_2(\Gamma_{1,2}) \longrightarrow E_{2,0}^\infty \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $E_{1,1}^\infty \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $E_{2,0}^\infty \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. From this exact sequence, we see that a generator of the infinite cyclic group in $H_2(\Gamma_{1,2}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ comes from a generator of $E_{1,1}^2 = H_1(\Gamma_{1,1}^1) \otimes H_1(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Thus in the fibration $S^1 \rightarrow B\Gamma_{1,2} \rightarrow B\Gamma_{1,1}^1$ associated to (6.1), an infinite cyclic generator of $H_2(B\Gamma_{1,2})$ is given by a cycle $S^1 \times S^1 \rightarrow B\Gamma_{1,2}$ where the first S^1 corresponds to the Dehn twist along the meridian of the torus T_{closed} corresponding to a generator of $H_1(B\Gamma_{1,1}^1)$, and the second S^1 corresponds to the Dehn twist along a simple closed curve parallel to one of the boundaries of T_{closed} , corresponding to a generator of $H_1(B\mathbb{Z}) = H_1(S^1)$. Thus the corresponding string operation is given by

$$\Delta \circ \mu \circ (\Delta \otimes 1) \circ \Psi : H_*(LM) \longrightarrow H_{*-d+2}(LM).$$

Since as before the coproduct Ψ in $H_*(LM)$ followed by a BV operator $\Delta \otimes 1$ vanishes, the string operation associated to the generator of $\mathbb{Z} \subset H_2(\Gamma_{1,2}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ is trivial.

For the other genus 1 surface $T_{\text{open}} = F_{1,1}$ we used for stabilizing maps, the homology of the corresponding mapping class group $\Gamma_{1,1}$ is given by $H_1(\Gamma_{1,1}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $H_k(\Gamma_{1,1}) = 0$ for $k \geq 2$ [1]. Thus, there are no interesting homology classes in this case.

For closed string topology for simply connected infinite dimensional manifold M with finite dimensional $H^*(\Omega M; k)$, the discussion goes through in parallel, and we obtain the same result.

We record our results of the above discussion on unstable genus one closed string operations in the next proposition. Of course similar results can be obtained in the context of unstable genus one open-closed string operations using similar decompositions of open-closed cobordisms.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $\Gamma_{1,r}$ be the mapping class group of genus 1 surface with r boundaries. Then in closed string topology for finite or infinite dimensional M , the followings hold:*

- (1) *Closed string operation associated to an arbitrary element in the first homology group $H_1(B\Gamma_{1,r}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^r$ for $r \geq 1$ vanishes.*
- (2) *Closed string operation associated to a generator of the free summand of the second homology group $H_2(B\Gamma_{1,2}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ vanishes.*

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Abhau, C.-F. Bödigheimer, and R. Ehrenfried. Homology of the mapping class group $\Gamma_{2,1}$ for surfaces of genus 2 with a boundary curve. arXiv:0712.4254.
- [2] M. F. Atiyah. Topological quantum field theories. *Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math.*, 68:175–186, 1988.
- [3] C.-F. Bödigheimer and U. Tillmann. Stripping and splitting decorated mapping class groups. In *Cohomological Methods in Homotopy Theory*, volume 196 of *Progress in Mathematics*, pages 47–57. Birkhäuser, 2001.
- [4] K.S. Brown. *Cohomology of Groups*, volume 87 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer Verlag, New York, 1982.
- [5] M. Chas and D. Sullivan. String topology. to appear in *Ann. of Math.*, math.GT/9911159.
- [6] D. Chataur and L. Menichi. String topology of classifying spaces. arXiv:0801.0174.
- [7] R. Cohen and V. Godin. A polarized view of string topology. In *Topology, geometry and quantum field theory*, volume 308 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 127–154, Cambridge, 2004. Cambridge Univ. Press.
- [8] R. Cohen, J. D. S. Jones, and J. Yan. The loop homology algebra of spheres and projective spaces. In *Proc. of Alg. Topology, Conf., Isle of Skye*, 2001.

- [9] R. Cohen and I. Madsen. Surfaces in a background space and the homology of mapping class groups. arXiv:math/0601750.
- [10] R. Cohen and M. Schwarz. A morse theoretic description of string topology. arXiv:0809.0868.
- [11] C. J. Earle and A. Schatz. Teichmüller theory for surfaces with boundary. *J. Diff. Geom.*, 4:169–185, 1970.
- [12] S. Galatius. Mod p homology of the stable mapping class group. *Topology*, 43:1105–1132, 2004. arXiv:0401168.
- [13] V. Godin. The unstable integral homology of the mapping class group of a surface with boundary. *Math. Ann.*, 337:15–60, 2007. arXiv:math/0501304.
- [14] V. Godin. Higher string topology operations. arXiv:0711.4859, 2008.
- [15] J. L. Harer. Stability of the homology of the mapping class groups of orientable surfaces. *Annals of Mathematics*, 121:215–249, 1985.
- [16] N. V. Ivanov. On stabilization of the homology of Teichmüller modular groups. *Algebra i Analiz*, 1(3):110–126, 1989. English Translation: Leningrad J. of Math., V.1, No.3 (1990), 675–691.
- [17] N. V. Ivanov. On the homology stability for Teichmüller modular groups: closed surfaces and twisted coefficients. In *Mapping class groups and moduli spaces of Riemann surfaces (Göttingen, 1991/Seattle, WA, 1991)*, volume 150 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 141–194, Providence, RI, 1993. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [18] M. Korkmaz. Low-dimensional homology groups of mapping class groups: a survey. arXiv:math/0307111.
- [19] I. Madsen and M. Weiss. The stable moduli space of riemann surfaces: Mumford conjecture. arXiv:0212321.
- [20] J. McCleary. *A User's Guide to Spectral Sequences*, volume 58 of *Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics*. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, 2001.
- [21] M. Mimura and H. Toda. *Topology of Lie Groups*, volume 91 of *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*. American Math. Society, Providence, RI, 2000.
- [22] A. Ramirez. Open-closed string topology via fat graphs. arXiv:math.AT/0606512.
- [23] G. B. Segal. Definition of conformal field theory. In *Topology, Geometry and Quantum Field Theory*, volume 308 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series*, pages 247–344, 2002.
- [24] L. Smith. On the characteristic zero cohomology of the free loop space. *Amer. J. Math.*, 103(5):887–910, 1981.
- [25] D. Sullivan. Open and closed string field theory interpreted in classical algebraic topology. In *Topology, Geometry and Quantum Field Theory*, volume 308 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes*, pages 344–357, Cambridge, 2004. Cambridge Univ. Press. arXiv:math.QA/0302332.
- [26] H. Tamanoi. Loop coproducts in string topology and triviality of higher genus TQFT operations. arXiv:0706.1276.
- [27] H. Tamanoi. String operations in orientable open-closed string topology. arXiv:0803.1038.
- [28] U. Tillmann. Vanishing of the Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra structure for TCFTs. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 205:238–286, 1999.
- [29] W. Ziller. The free loop space of globally symmetric spaces. *Inv. Math.*, 41:1–22, 1977.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA SANTA CRUZ
 SANTA CRUZ, CA 95064
 E-mail address: tamanoi@math.ucsc.edu