

Topological meaning of Z_2 numbers in time reversal invariant systems

Takahiro Fukui¹, Takanori Fujiwara¹, and Yasuhiro Hatsugai²

¹*Department of Physics, Ibaraki University, Mito 310-8512, Japan*

²*Institute of Physics, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tennodai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8571, Japan*

(Received October 31, 2018)

We show that the Z_2 invariant, which classifies the topological properties of time reversal invariant insulators, has deep relationship with the global anomaly. Although the second Chern number is the basic topological invariant characterizing time reversal systems, we show that the relative phase between the Kramers doublet reduces the topological quantum number Z to Z_2 .

KEYWORDS: Quantum spin Hall effect, Time reversal symmetry, Z_2 topological invariant, global anomaly

As one of possibilities for spintronics, spin Hall effect (SHE) has been proposed,^{1,2} and much effort has been made to realize it experimentally.³⁻⁵ In particular, the quantum spin Hall effect (QSHE) has been attracting much current interest due to its unique topological characteristics.⁶⁻⁸ Although it may be regarded as a spin version of integer quantum Hall effect (IQHE), their differences with respect to topological properties have been revealed. It is well known that IQHE states are classified by integers which are topological invariant called first Chern number.⁹⁻¹² Kane and Mele⁶ proposed that time reversal invariant states are labeled by Z_2 numbers, and much work has been done to clarify its meaning.¹³⁻²⁰ The Z_2 number implies that time reversal invariant systems have two phases: One is the ordinary insulator, whereas the other is the topological insulator^{21,22} in which QSHE is expected.

On the other hand, two decades ago, Avron *et al.*²³ argued that the basic topological invariant for time reversal invariant systems is the second Chern number. Qi, Hughes and Zhang recently proposed a generic topological field theory in 4+1 dimensions, where basic topological properties are classified by the second Chern number.^{24,25} From this, they have constructed topological insulators in two and three spatial dimensions by the use of the dimensional reduction.

In this paper, we address the question what is the fundamental relationship between the second Chern number and Z_2 number. We show that time reversal invariant systems are indeed labeled by the second Chern numbers. However, taking account of the relative phase ambiguity between a Kramers doublet, the topological classification by Z falls into two sectors labeled by Z_2 . This reflects $\pi_4(\text{Sp}(1))=Z_2$ (more generically, $\pi_4(\text{Sp}(n))=Z_2$), and it has close relationship with the global anomalies found by Witten.^{26,27}

In generic Hamiltonian with broken time reversal symmetry, the eigenvalue degeneracies have codimension three.²³ This means that due to level crossings, Hamiltonian depending on three parameters could have some isolated ‘‘monopoles’’ in the parameter space, and on some two dimensional subspaces (typically the 2-sphere, S^2), the first Chern number takes a nontrivial integer if the monopoles exist inside the sphere. On the other hand,

in the time reversal invariant systems with $\mathcal{T}^2 = -1$, the eigenvalue degeneracies have codimension five.^{23,25} Therefore, to reveal the topological properties of such systems, we need to examine Hamiltonians with five parameters. The minimal model may be the quaternionic 2×2 hermitian matrix or equivalently, 4×4 hermitian matrix with time reversal invariance, which indeed depends on five parameters.^{23,25}

Let $H(x)$ be the Hamiltonian satisfying $\mathcal{T}H(x)\mathcal{T}^{-1} = H(x)$, where x denotes a set of five parameters x_a ($a = 1, \dots, 5$). Each eigenstate is doubly-degenerate, which is referred to as Kramers doublet. Such an eigenstate with the minimum twofold degeneracy may be called quaternionically simple.²³ At certain points in five dimensional (5D) parameter space, level crossings among several Kramers doublets occur. Then, we can consider a specific 4D subspace in which all the eigenstates are quaternionically simple, that is, there are no degeneracies other than the Kramers degeneracy. We will show that topological numbers can be defined on this 4D space, which we assume to be S^4 below.²⁵

Owing to the assumption above, Kramers doublets are distinguishable on S^4 , and therefore, we can examine each Kramers doublet separately. Let Ψ be a set of eigenfunctions of a specific Kramers doublet:²⁵

$$\Psi(x) = (\psi(x), -\mathcal{T}\psi(x)). \quad (1)$$

One finds the time reversal constraint,

$$\mathcal{T}\Psi = \Psi J, \quad (2)$$

where the matrix J is defined by

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ -1 & \end{pmatrix} \equiv i\tau^2, \quad (3)$$

which operates on the space of the Kramers doublet. Berry’s gauge potential is defined by

$$A = \Psi^\dagger d\Psi, \quad (4)$$

where d is the exterior derivative with respect to x_a , $d = dx_a \partial / \partial x_a$. The time reversal constraint (2) ensures that $A = -J^{-1}A^T J$, implying that $A \in \text{sp}(1)$ algebra. In the case of generic $2n$ Kramers multiplet, which may happen if the Hamiltonian has some other symmetries, the gauge potential belongs to $\text{sp}(n)$. Therefore, the first

Chern number vanishes since $\text{tr } F = 0$ holds identically, where F is the field strength 2-form defined by $F = dA + A^2$. Arvon *et. al.*²³ showed that for the time reversal invariant systems, the basic topological invariant is the second Chern number,

$$c_2 = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{S^4} \text{tr } F^2. \quad (5)$$

In the case of the minimum quaternionic 2×2 model mentioned above, they derived $c_2 = \pm 1$ for two Kramers doublets.

The nontrivial Chern number is due to the obstruction of the gauge fixing.^{10,25,28} Suppose that we need two patches to span smooth eigenfunctions over S^4 . Typical example is such that S^4 is divided into two hemispheres which are topologically equivalent to the 4D discs, denoted here as D_{\pm}^4 . In the overlap region $D_+^4 \cap D_-^4$, the two kinds of the wave functions Ψ_{\pm} , defined on D_{\pm}^4 , respectively, are related with each other through the gauge transformation (the transition function):

$$\Psi_+ = \Psi_- g. \quad (6)$$

The time reversal constraint (2) imposes the condition $g = J^{-1} g^* J$, which tells that $g \in \text{Sp}(1)$. The gauge potentials A_{\pm} , defined on the patches D_{\pm}^4 , respectively, are thus related with each other as

$$A_+ = g^{-1} A_- g + g^{-1} dg. \quad (7)$$

As in the case of the first Chern number, the second Chern number c_2 can be written by the transition function g in the following manner: First, note that $\text{tr } F^2 = d\omega_3$, where $\omega_3(A, F)$ is the Chern-Simons 3-form $\omega_3(A, F) = \text{tr} (AF - A^3/3)$. It is not gauge invariant; its transformation law is given by $\omega_3(A^g, F^g) = \omega_3(A, F) + d\alpha_2 - (1/3)\text{tr} (g^{-1} dg)^3$, where A^g and F^g denote the gauge transforms of A and F by a generic g , and α_2 is a certain 2-form which vanishes after the integration. Thus, we find that the second Chern number c_2 defined in eq. (5) is given by

$$c_2 = \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int_{S^3} \text{tr} (g^{-1} dg)^3, \quad (8)$$

where S^3 denotes 3-sphere as the boundary of D_+^4 . This tells that c_2 is given by the winding number of the transition function g in eqs. (6) and (7) over S^3 .

So far we have discussed that the Chern number (5) would be one of natural topological numbers for the specification of time reversal invariant systems.²³ In more generic cases with $2n$ Kramers multiplet, g belongs to $\text{Sp}(n)$, and therefore, the Chern number is also given by a winding number of the transition function $g \in \text{Sp}(n)$ on S^3 . This is ensured by $\pi_3(\text{Sp}(n)) = \mathbb{Z}$ ($n \geq 1$). All these suggest that time reversal invariant states would be classified by integers like the IQHE. However, it contradicts the Z_2 classification of time reversal invariant insulators by Kane and Mele,⁶ who assert that there are only two phases, trivial insulators and topological insulators in two spatial dimensions. Their argument is quite clear, especially from the point of view of the edge states, which naturally pick up the topologically nontrivial property of the bulk:¹¹ Even number of edge states are unstable

against time reversal invariant perturbations. Then, how does the Chern number classification, which corresponds to the bulk picture, match the Z_2 classification? To answer this question, we should note that in the above calculations we have used the wave function of the Kramers doublet defined in eq. (1). This equation means that once we choose a wave function ψ for one state, we fix that of the other paired state as $-\mathcal{T}\psi$. However, we can basically attach an arbitrary phase to the paired state. This reminds us of the pfaffian formula proposed by Fu and Kane,¹⁵ in which the relative phase between the Kramers doublet plays an important role. Therefore, it is very crucial to take into account of this relative phase ambiguity. To be more precise, this phase is described as follows: A transformation $\psi \rightarrow e^{i\theta/2}\psi$ induces

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi &\rightarrow \left(e^{i\theta/2}\psi, -e^{-i\theta/2}(\mathcal{T}\psi) \right) \equiv \Psi r^{-1}(\theta), \\ r(\theta) &= e^{-i(\theta/2)\tau^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

For fixed θ , this never affect the topological properties described above. Indeed, $r(\theta)$ is a gauge transformation belonging to $\text{Sp}(1)$, under which the Chern number (5) is invariant. However, if the phase θ is changed adiabatically and moved along a loop, it reveals a new topological property of the transition function which is different from the second Chern number. As we will see below, such a topological aspect of the transition function is nonperturbative. Note that $r(0) = 1$ and $r(2\pi) = -1$. The overall sign of $\pm\Psi$ has nothing to do with the relative phase, so that the period of θ should be $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$. Eq. (9) yields the transformation for the transition function,

$$g(x) \rightarrow r^{-1}(\theta)g(x)r(\theta) \equiv g_r(x, \theta). \quad (10)$$

The r.h.s above denotes the ‘‘rotated’’ transition function which now depends on θ as well as x . Note that the period of g_r with respect to θ is indeed 2π , $g_r(x, 0) = g_r(x, 2\pi)$. Thus, the transition function can be regarded as a function defined on the 4D space $S^3 \times S^1$, where the latter S^1 is the loop spanned by θ . Under the 2π rotation induced by the adiabatic change of θ , the transition function falls into two classes, as demonstrated by Witten.^{26,27}

Without use of algebraic topology, Witten^{26,27} invented a method of calculating the global anomaly in terms of the perturbative anomaly. This method is very helpful, also in the present problem, to define and to compute the Z_2 numbers. In what follows, by the use of the technique developed by Witten, we first define the Z_2 number and next show that it is related to the second Chern number c_2 such that odd (even) c_2 is nontrivial (trivial) element of Z_2 . To this end, we embed the $\text{Sp}(1) \simeq \text{SU}(2)$ transition function g into $\text{SU}(3)$ such that

$$\tilde{g}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} g(x) & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (11)$$

Likewise, $r(\theta)$ is embedded into $\text{SU}(3)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{r}(\theta) &= e^{-i(\theta/2)(\lambda^3 - \sqrt{3}\lambda^8)} \\ &= \text{diag}(1, e^{i\theta}, e^{-i\theta}), \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where λ^a is the standard Gell-Mann matrices. Here, we have used the fact that the special form \tilde{g} in eq. (11) is

invariant under a particular SU(3) transformation $e^{i\alpha\lambda^8}$ with $\lambda^8 = \text{diag}(1, 1, -2)/\sqrt{3}$ for any real α . Although a natural embedding may be only the $\lambda^3 = \text{diag}(1, -1, 0)$ part in eq. (12), this invariance enables us to introduce another rotation with respect to λ^8 , as in eq. (12). Furthermore, we introduce a radial parameter ρ ($0 \leq \rho \leq 1$) into \tilde{r} to enlarge the parameter space $S^3 \times S^1$ to five dimensional disc-like space $S^3 \times D^2$, whose boundary ($\rho = 1$) is $S^3 \times S^1$, i.e., $\partial(S^3 \times D^2) = S^3 \times S^1$. At the boundary we assume $\tilde{r}(\theta, 1)$ to be eq. (12), whereas inside $S^3 \times D^2$ ($0 \leq \rho < 1$), $\tilde{r}(\theta, \rho)$ is a generic element of SU(3). The following is a convenient extrapolation from the boundary to $\rho = 0$ proposed by Witten;

$$\tilde{r}(\theta, \rho) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \rho e^{i\theta} & \sqrt{1-\rho^2} \\ & -\sqrt{1-\rho^2} & \rho e^{-i\theta} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (13)$$

Note that $\tilde{r}(\theta, \rho = 0)$ is independent of θ , implying that the space spanned by (θ, ρ) has indeed a disc geometry. Thus, the rotated transition function g_r in (10) is embedded into SU(3) as

$$\tilde{g}_r(x, \theta, \rho) = \tilde{r}^{-1}(\theta, \rho) \tilde{g}(x) \tilde{r}(\theta, \rho). \quad (14)$$

Now the Z_2 number D can be defined by

$$D = \Gamma[\tilde{g}_r], \quad (15)$$

where

$$\Gamma[\tilde{g}_r] = \frac{-i}{240\pi^2} \int_{S^3 \times D^2} \text{tr} (\tilde{g}_r^{-1} d\tilde{g}_r)^5. \quad (16)$$

To be precise, D in eq. (15) should be defined mod 2π , as will be clarified momentarily. In what follows, we study the properties of D thus defined.

First, we note that it is topological, since $\Gamma[\tilde{g}_r]$ is invariant under infinitesimal changes of \tilde{g}_r , $\Gamma[\tilde{g}_r + \delta\tilde{g}_r] = \Gamma[\tilde{g}_r]$, provided that \tilde{g}_r satisfies the boundary condition (11) and (12). We next show that the Z_2 number D , in the case of the embedding above, is related to the second Chern number. To this end, we note the relation

$$\Gamma[gh] = \Gamma[g] + \Gamma[h] + \Delta\Gamma[g, h], \quad (17)$$

for generic SU(3) fields g and h . After the integration over ρ , the last term is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\Gamma[g, h] &= \frac{i}{48\pi^2} \int_{\partial(S^3 \times D^2)} \text{tr} [(g^{-1}dg)^3 dhh^{-1} \\ &+ g^{-1}dg(dhh^{-1})^3 + \frac{1}{2}(g^{-1}dgdhh^{-1})^2]. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Applying the identity (17) to eq. (16), we obtain $\Gamma[\tilde{g}_r] = \Delta\Gamma[\tilde{r}^{-1}, \tilde{g}\tilde{r}] + \Delta\Gamma[\tilde{g}, \tilde{r}]$. By making use of the fact that $\tilde{r}(\theta, \rho)$ in (13) is independent of θ at $\rho = 0$, we can further perform the integration over θ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma[\tilde{g}_r] &= \frac{-i}{48\pi^2} \int_{S^3 \times S^1} \text{tr} d\tilde{r}\tilde{r}^{-1} [(d\tilde{g}\tilde{g}^{-1})^3 + (\tilde{g}^{-1}d\tilde{g})^3] \\ &= \frac{1}{24\pi} \int_{S^3} \text{tr} (g^{-1}dg)^3 \\ &= \pi c_2. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

It thus turns out that D defined by eq. (15) is proportional to the second Chern number. In more generic cases

with $2n$ Kramers multiplet, the above relation (19) still holds by embedding $\text{Sp}(n)$ into $\text{SU}(3n)$.

Finally, we study the modulo 2π property of D . Basically, it is due to the dependence of the way of embedding in the present formulation. To show this, it is convenient to introduce another function which denotes the relative change of g_r from g ,

$$G(x, \theta) = g_r(x, \theta)g^{-1}(x). \quad (20)$$

This function helps us to see a more precise origin of the Z_2 number. As we have noted, x_a and θ span S^3 and S^1 , respectively, and therefore, g_r is a mapping from $S^3 \times S^1$ to $\text{Sp}(1)$. On the other hand, the new mapping (20) satisfies the relation, $G(x, 0) = G(x, 2\pi) = 1$. This boundary condition as well as the fact that $\pi_1(\text{Sp}(1))=0$ make it possible to regard $S^3 \times S^1$ as S^4 , and thus, the mapping G should be classified by $\pi_4(\text{Sp}(1))=Z_2$. In a similar way above, the mapping (20) can be embedded into SU(3) as

$$\tilde{G}(x, \theta, \rho) = \tilde{g}_r(x, \theta, \rho)\tilde{g}_r^{-1}(x, 0, \rho), \quad (21)$$

where \tilde{g}_r has been defined in eq. (14). The boundary condition is $\tilde{G}(x, 0, \rho) = \tilde{G}(x, 2\pi, \rho) = 1$. Therefore, we can define the Z_2 number as

$$D = \Gamma[\tilde{G}], \quad \text{mod } 2\pi, \quad (22)$$

where Γ is the same as in eq. (16) but with the integration domain D^5 whose boundary is S^4 mentioned-above, $\partial D^5 = S^4$. The modulo 2π property is now clear: If D^5 and D'^5 are two different discs but with the same boundary S^4 , then we have

$$\Gamma_{D^5}[\tilde{G}] - \Gamma_{D'^5}[\tilde{G}] = \frac{-i}{240\pi^2} \int_{S^5} (\tilde{G}^{-1}d\tilde{G})^5. \quad (23)$$

The r.h.s. is manifestly a multiple of 2π , which is ensured by $\pi_5(\text{SU}(3))=Z$. It is known^{26,27,29} that when G is a trivial element of $\pi_4(\text{Sp}(1))$, its embedding \tilde{G} gives $D = 0$, whereas in the case with nontrivial G it yields $D = \pi$. Now, we will show below that two D 's defined in eqs. (15) and (22) indeed coincide. This leads to the conclusion that $g(x)$ on S^3 is classified by Z (second Chern number), but even (odd) elements can be continuously deformed into different even (odd) elements through the relative phase θ between the Kramers doublet. The non-trivial element in $\pi_4(\text{Sp}(1))$, which should describe the nontrivial topological states with time reversal symmetry, is thus given by $g(x)$ with odd second Chern numbers. We believe that this is the origin of Z_2 characteristics of the topological number specifying the phases of time reversal invariant systems.

At first sight, the two definitions (15) and (22) seem different, but straightforward calculations lead us to $\Gamma[\tilde{G}] = \Gamma[\tilde{g}_r]$. To show this, we note that eq. (17) yields

$$\Gamma[\tilde{G}] = \Gamma[\tilde{g}_r] + \Delta\Gamma, \quad (24)$$

where the second term is essentially given by eq. (18) with $g \rightarrow \tilde{g}_r(x, \theta, \rho)$ and $h \rightarrow g_r^{-1}(x, 0, \rho)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\Gamma &= \frac{-i}{48\pi^2} \int_{S^3 \times S^1} \text{tr} [(\tilde{g}_r^{-1}d\tilde{g}_r)^3 \tilde{g}_r^{-1}d\tilde{g} \\ &+ \tilde{g}_r^{-1}d\tilde{g}_r(\tilde{g}_r^{-1}d\tilde{g})^3 - \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{g}_r^{-1}d\tilde{g}_r\tilde{g}_r^{-1}d\tilde{g})^2]. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

In what follows, we show that this term vanishes, $\Delta\Gamma = 0$, and hence, $\Gamma[\tilde{G}] = \Gamma[\tilde{g}_r]$ holds. To this end, we first note that the 1-form $\tilde{g}^{-1}d\tilde{g}$ is written as

$$\tilde{v} \equiv \tilde{g}^{-1}d\tilde{g} = \begin{pmatrix} v & \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (26)$$

where v is $\text{sp}(1)$ -valued 1-form $v \equiv g^{-1}dg$. Then, one finds that the rotated 1-form can be decomposed into two parts:

$$\tilde{g}_r^{-1}d\tilde{g}_r = \tilde{u} + \tilde{v}_r. \quad (27)$$

The former is the 1-form \tilde{u} including only $d\theta$, while the latter is rotated \tilde{v} with dx only,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u} &\equiv \tilde{r}^{-1}\tilde{g}^{-1}\tilde{r}d\tilde{r}^{-1}\tilde{g}\tilde{r} + \tilde{r}^{-1}d\tilde{r} \\ &= \frac{i}{2}(\tilde{r}^{-1}\tilde{g}^{-1}\lambda^3\tilde{g}\tilde{r} - \lambda_3)d\theta \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} ud\theta & \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \tilde{v}_r &= \tilde{r}^{-1}\tilde{v}\tilde{r} = \begin{pmatrix} v_r & \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where $u = \frac{i}{2}r^{-1}(g^{-1}\tau^3g - \tau^3)r = u^a\tau^a$ and $v_r = r^{-1}vr$. Now eqs. (26) and (28) tell that the fields in the integrand in eq. (25) can be written only by $\text{sp}(1)$ -valued fields,

$$\Delta\Gamma = \frac{-i}{48\pi^2} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_{S^3} \text{tr} u (v_r^2v + vv_r^2 + v^3 - v_rvv_r - vv_rv). \quad (29)$$

The first three terms in the integrand vanish under the trace because $\text{sp}(1)$ is pseudo-real. The last two terms seem to be complicated, because θ and x are coupled together. Nevertheless, θ is basically decoupled from x , and therefore, we can carry out the integration over θ . To see this, let us denote $v = v^a\tau^a$, and calculate, for example, the following term;

$$\begin{aligned} v_rvv_r &= r^{-1}vrvr^{-1}vr \\ &= v^1v^2v^3\epsilon_{abc}r^{-1}\tau^a r\tau^b r^{-1}\tau^c r \\ &= v^1v^2v^3\tau^1\tau^2\tau^3 2(r^2 + r^{-2} + 1) \\ &= \frac{2}{3!}v^3(r^2 + r^{-2} + 1). \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

The same formula holds for the other term in eq. (29), and we finally arrive at

$$\Delta\Gamma = \frac{i}{48\pi^2} \frac{4}{3!} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_{S^3} \text{tr} u [v^3(r^2 + r^{-2} + 1)]. \quad (31)$$

Since v^3 is proportional to the identity matrix, we find that the terms with r^2 and r^{-2} vanish by integration over θ . The same is true for the last term because of the identity $\text{tr} \tau^a v^3 = 0$. Thus, we conclude that $\Delta\Gamma = 0$, and therefore, $\Gamma[\tilde{G}] = \Gamma[\tilde{g}_r]$. It follows from eq. (19) that for trivial and nontrivial mapping $G = r^{-1}grg^{-1}$, g has even and odd second Chern number c_2 , respectively.

In summary, we have shown that the second Chern number is relevant topological number for the time reversal invariant systems with $\mathcal{T}^2 = -1$. However, the

Kramers doublet has an ambiguity in relative phase between the wave functions of the doublet. Through the adiabatic change of this phase, the wave function with a certain Chern number can be continuously deformed into other wavefunctions with different Chern numbers. What is important is that in this deformation the evenness and the oddness of the Chern numbers are conserved. We believe that this is the origin of the Z_2 property of the topological number for the time reversal invariant systems. Mathematically, it can be described by the fourth homotopy class of the transition function with the relative phase degree of freedom, $\pi_4(\text{Sp}(n))=Z_2$.

One of Authors (T. Fukui) would like to thank H. Oshima for fruitful discussions. This work was supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (Grant No. 20340098) from JSPS. The work by YH was also supported in part by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research, No. 20654034 from JSPS and No. 220029004 (physics of new quantum phases in super clean materials) and 20046002 (Novel States of Matter Induced by Frustration) on Priority Areas from MEXT.

- 1) S. Murakami, N. Nagaosa, and S.-C. Zhang: Science **301** (2003) 1348; Phys. Rev. Lett. **93** (2004) 156804.
- 2) J. Sinova, D. Culcer, Q. Niu, N. A. Sinitsyn, T. Jungwirth, and A. H. MacDonald: Phys. Rev. Lett. **92** (2004) 126603.
- 3) Y. K. Kato, R. C. Myers, A. C. Gossard, and D. D. Awschalom: Science, **306** (2004) 1910.
- 4) J. Wunderlich, B. Kästner, J. Sinova, and T. Jungwirth: Phys. Rev. Lett. **94** (2005) 047204.
- 5) M. König *et al.*: Science **318** (2007) 766.
- 6) C. L. Kane and E. J. Mele: Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 226801 (2005).
- 7) C. L. Kane and E. J. Mele: Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 146802 (2005).
- 8) B. A. Bernevig and S. C. Zhang: Phys. Rev. Lett. **96** (2006) 106802.
- 9) D. J. Thouless, M. Kohmoto, M. P. Nightingale, and M. den Nijs: Phys. Rev. Lett. **49** (1982) 405.
- 10) M. Kohmoto: Ann. Phys. **160** (1985) 355.
- 11) Y. Hatsugai: Phys. Rev. Lett. **71** (1993) 3697.
- 12) T. Fukui and Y. Hatsugai: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **74**, (2005) 1674.
- 13) C. Xu and J. E. Moore: Phys. Rev. **B73** (2006) 045322.
- 14) R. Roy: arXiv: cond-mat/0604211.
- 15) L. Fu and C. L. Kane: Phys. Rev. **B74** (2006) 195312.
- 16) T. Fukui and Y. Hatsugai: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **76**, (2007) 053702.
- 17) T. Fukui and Y. Hatsugai: Phys. Rev. **B 75** (2007) 121403.
- 18) J. E. Moore and L. Balents: Phys. Rev. **B75** (2007) 121306(R).
- 19) S. Ryu, C. Mudry, H. Obuse, and A. Furusaki: Phys. Rev. Lett. **99** (2007) 116601.
- 20) S. S. Lee and S. Ryu: Phys. Rev. Lett. **100** (2008) 186807.
- 21) L. Fu, C. L. Kane, and E. J. Mele: Phys. Rev. Lett. **98** (2007) 106803.
- 22) L. Fu and C. L. Kane: Phys. Rev. **B76** (2007) 045302.
- 23) J. E. Avron, L. Sadun, J. Segert, and B. Simon: Phys. Rev. Lett. **61** (1988) 1329.
- 24) X.-L. Qi, T. Hughes, S.-C. Zhang: arXiv:08023537.
- 25) Y. Hatsugai and T. Fukui, in preparation.
- 26) E. Witten: Nucl. Phys. **B223** (1983) 422.
- 27) E. Witten: Nucl. Phys. **B223** (1983) 433.
- 28) Y. Hatsugai: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **73** (2004) 2604.
- 29) S. Elitzur and V. P. Nair: Nucl. Phys. **B243** (1984) 205.