

On the Detectability of the Hydrogen 3-cm Fine-Structure Line from the Epoch of Reionization.

M. Dijkstra^{*}, A. Lidz, J.R. Pritchard[†], L.J. Greenhill, D.A. Mitchell., S.M. Ord, and R.B. Wayth

Astronomy Department, Harvard University, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

30 October 2018

ABSTRACT

A soft ultraviolet radiation field, $10.2 \text{ eV} < h\nu < 13.6 \text{ eV}$, that permeates neutral intergalactic gas during the Epoch of Reionization (EoR) excites the $2p$ (directly) and $2s$ (indirectly) states of atomic hydrogen. Because the $2s$ state is metastable, the lifetime of atoms in this level is relatively long, which may cause the $2s$ state to be overpopulated relative to the $2p$ state. It has recently been proposed that for this reason, neutral intergalactic atomic hydrogen gas may be detected in absorption in its 3-cm fine-structure line ($2s_{1/2} \rightarrow 2p_{3/2}$) against the Cosmic Microwave Background out to very high redshifts. In particular, the optical depth in the fine-structure line through neutral intergalactic gas surrounding bright quasars during the EoR may reach $\tau_{FS} \sim 10^{-5}$. The resulting surface brightness temperature of tens of μK (in absorption) may be detectable with existing radio telescopes. Motivated by this exciting proposal, we perform a detailed analysis of the transfer of Ly β , γ , δ , ... radiation, and re-analyze the detectability of the fine-structure line in neutral intergalactic gas surrounding high-redshift quasars. We find that proper radiative transfer modeling causes the fine-structure absorption signature to be reduced tremendously to $\tau_{FS} \lesssim 10^{-10}$. We therefore conclude that neutral intergalactic gas during the EoR cannot reveal its presence in the 3-cm fine-structure line to existing radio telescopes.

Key words: cosmology: theory, diffuse radiation–radio lines: general–radiative transfer–line: profiles

1 INTRODUCTION

It has recently been proposed that it may be possible to observe neutral atomic hydrogen gas during the Epoch of Reionization (EoR), in absorption against the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) in its 3-cm fine-structure line ($2s_{1/2} \rightarrow 2p_{3/2}$, Sethi et al. 2007, hereafter S07).

The possible detectability of the 3-cm line derives from the fact that the $2s$ state of atomic hydrogen is metastable (Breit & Teller 1940). That is, the average lifetime of an undisturbed atom in the $2s$ -state is $t_{2s} = 1/A_{2s1s} \sim 0.1 \text{ s}$, after which it decays into the $1s$ state by emitting 2 photons (Spitzer & Greenstein 1951). For comparison, the mean life-time of atoms in the $2p$ state is $t_{2p} = 1/A_{2p1s} \sim 10^{-9} \text{ s}$. Hence, when atoms are excited into their $2s$ and $2p$ states at comparable rates, the $2s$ level is expected to be highly overpopulated relative to the $2p$ level. This may result in a non-

negligible optical depth in the $2s_{1/2} - 2p_{3/2}$ fine-structure line (see e.g. Wild 1952).

The detectability of this line has been discussed in the context of HII regions in the local Universe (e.g. Dennison et al. 2005, for a more detailed discussion). In local HII regions, the $2s$ level is populated mainly following recombination, while both recombination and photoexcitation by Ly α photons populate the $2p$ state. The Ly α excitation rate of the $2p$ -state is limited by dust, and the $2s$ -state is typically heavily overpopulated in HII regions which result in 3-cm optical depths of order $\tau_{FS} \sim 10^{-3}$ (Ershov 1987; Dennison et al, 2005, where the absorption is measured relative to the free-free continuum that is produced in the HII region). S07 have argued that during the EoR, bright quasars that are luminous in the restframe soft-UV ($10.2 \text{ eV} < E_\gamma < 13.6 \text{ eV}$) may indirectly photoexcite the $2s$ state of neutral hydrogen gas in the low density intergalactic medium to levels such that $\tau_{FS} \sim 10^{-5}$ for CMB photons passing through these regions¹. Absorption of these CMB

^{*} E-mail: mdijkstr@cfa.harvard.edu

[†] Hubble Fellow

¹ It may be surprising that the sparsely populated $n = 2$ levels




Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the energy levels of a hydrogen atom. On the left side, we show the first 3 energy levels, and their splitting into levels with different angular momentum. The notation for each level is nL , where n is the principle quantum number, and L denotes the orbital angular momentum number ($L = S$ corresponds to $l = 0$, $L = P$ corresponds to $l = 1$, $L = D$ corresponds to $l = 2$). The dotted lines show the allowed transitions through the emission or absorption of a single photon. In a 3-level atom, the selection rules only allow the 2s state to be populated by absorbing a Ly β photon, and by subsequently emitting an H α photon. On the right, the fine+hyperfine-structure splitting of the 2s and 2p levels is shown in more detail. The Lamb-Rutherford shift causes the 2s $_{1/2}$ level to lie above the 2p $_{1/2}$ -level by $\Delta E/h_p \sim 1.1$ Ghz (e.g. Wild 1952). The 3 allowed transitions between the 2s $_{1/2}$ and 2p $_{3/2}$ levels are indicated with dashed lines, and the frequency (in MHz) of each transition is indicated (also see Dennison et al. 2005). The relative strength of each transition 9852:9876:10030 is 1:5:2 (Wild 1952).

photons would result in an absorption feature with a brightness temperature of a few tens of μK at 1.4 Ghz, which may be detectable with existing dish antennas such as the *Green Bank Telescope* (GBT), *Parkees* and the most compact configuration of the *Australia Telescope Compact Array* (ATCA, e.g. Carilli 2008). This result is important, because it implies that neutral intergalactic atomic hydrogen gas that exists during EoR may reveal itself in a line that is different (and possibly easier to detect) than the well studied 21-cm hyperfine transition (e.g. Scott & Rees 1990; Loeb & Zaldarriaga 2004; Furlanetto et al. 2006). Motivated by this exciting result, and by observational efforts to detect this transition, we re-analyze the detectability of the fine-structure line during the EoR. We perform more detailed modeling of the transfer of Ly β radiation than originally discussed by S07. We will show that (unfortunately) proper modeling of Ly β (and Ly γ , δ , ...) radiative transfer radically lowers the value of τ_{FS} to levels that cannot be probed with existing radio telescopes.

The outline of this paper is as follows. We review the dominant excitation mechanisms of the 2s and 2p levels in §2. In §3, we compute the effective excitation rate of the 2s-state during the EoR, by properly accounting for ra-

diative transfer, and discuss the detectability of the 3-cm fine-structure line in §4. The validity of our model assumptions, and the implications of this work are discussed in §5. Finally, we present the conclusions in §6. The parameters for the background cosmology used throughout are $(\Omega_m, \Omega_\Lambda, \Omega_b, h) = (0.27, 0.73, 0.042, 0.70)$ (Komatsu et al. 2008).

2 THE EXCITATION MECHANISM OF THE 2S LEVEL

Figure 1 depicts the first three principle energy levels of the hydrogen atom. On the left, fine and hyperfine-structure splitting of the lines are ignored. The notation that is used is nL , where n is the principle quantum number, and L denotes the orbital angular momentum number ($L = s$ corresponds to $l = 0$, $L = p$ corresponds to $l = 1$, $L = d$ corresponds to $l = 2$). In most astrophysical conditions, the vast majority of hydrogen atoms are in the 1s-state. Atoms can be excited out of the ground state through the following processes: (i) collisional excitation, (ii) recombination following photoionization or collisional ionization, and (iii) photoexcitation. Following S07, processes (i-ii) are ignored (see § 5.1 - under roman numeral I -for a more detailed motivation).

The quantum mechanical selection rules state that the only atomic transitions that are allowed by emitting or absorbing a photon are those in which l changes by $\Delta l = \pm 1$. Hence, absorption only results in transitions of the form $1s \rightarrow np$, while subsequent emission only results in $np \rightarrow ms$. The allowed transitions among the first 3 levels of the H-atom are indicated in Figure 1 as dotted lines. In a 3-level atom (the impact of using a multilevel atom is discussed in § 5.1 under roman numeral II) the selection rules only allow the 2s state to be populated by absorbing a Ly β photon, and by subsequently emitting an H α photon. Hence, the level population of atoms in the 2s state is determined by the effective Ly β scattering rate, denoted by $P_{\beta, \text{eff}}$, which is defined as the rate at which Ly β photons absorbed, and subsequently converted into H α + 2 continuum photons. This rate is lower than to the "ordinary" Ly β scattering rate, P_β , which is the rate at which Ly β photons are absorbed.

3 THE 2S AND 2P LEVEL POPULATIONS

As mentioned above, the effective Ly β scattering rate, $P_{\beta, \text{eff}}$, is crucial in calculating the number of atoms in the 2s-state. The goal of this section is to evaluate $P_{\beta, \text{eff}}(r)$. In § 3.1 we describe the Monte-Carlo technique that was used to compute the general and effective Ly β scattering rates, and in § 3.2 we present our results.

3.1 Outline of Monte-Carlo Calculation

We compute the general and effective Ly β scattering rate by performing Monte-Carlo (MC) calculation of the Ly β radiative transfer in the neutral gas surrounding a spherical HII region of radius $R_{\text{HII}} = 5$ Mpc, that is based on the physical size of the HII region that possibly exist around $z = 6$ quasars (Mesinger & Haiman 2004; Wyithe & Loeb 2004, but see Lidz et al 2007, Bolton & Haehnelt 2007).

This MC-approach to radiative transfer, in which the trajectories of individual photons are followed, is common for Ly α radiation (e.g. Loeb & Rybicki 1999; Ahn et al. 2000; Zheng & Miralda-Escudé 2002). We use the Ly α -MC code originally described in Dijkstra et al. (2006), which is easily adapted for Ly β radiative transfer. The calculation is performed as follows:

1. A photon is emitted with a frequency that is drawn randomly from the range $\nu \in [0.95\nu_\beta, 1.05\nu_\beta]$. The transfer calculation therefore focuses on photons in a frequency range $\Delta\nu_\beta = 0.1\nu_\beta$ centered on ν_β , similar to the optically thin calculation that was originally performed by S07 (and which is discussed in Appendix A1).

2. The photon propagates an optical depth $\tau = -\log R$, where R is a random number between 0 and 1. The optical depth translates to a physical distance via $\tau = \int_0^R ds n_{\text{HI}}(s)\sigma_\beta(\nu[1 - Hs/c])$.

3. Each time a Ly β photon is absorbed, there is a $p_{\beta,\text{scat}} = 0.88$ probability for the atom to decay directly back to the ground state by emitting a Ly β photon (Hirata 2006; Pritchard & Furlanetto 2006). This is simulated in the MC-calculation by generating a random number $R \in [0, 1]$. The photon scatters as a Ly β photon if $R \leq p_{\beta,\text{scat}}$, in which case a new direction² is chosen and we return to step 2. On the other hand, a transition of the form $3p \rightarrow 2s$ occurs when $R > p_{\beta,\text{scat}}$. After this process, the Ly β photon is destroyed, and we return to step 1..

We compute total Ly β scattering rate by keeping track of the number of scattering events that occur in shell j , N_j (in the MC-simulation, the gas is sampled by a discrete number of gas shells, see Dijkstra et al 2006). The total Ly β scattering rate transfer in shell j follows from $P_{\beta,j} = \dot{N}_\beta \times N_j / (N_{\text{H},j} \times N_{\text{mc}})$, where \dot{N}_β is the total rate at which the quasar is emitting photons in the frequency range $\nu \in [0.95\nu_\beta, 1.05\nu_\beta]$ (we have assumed $\dot{N}_\beta = 10^{58} \text{ s}^{-1}$ to compare to S07 as closely as possible), $N_{\text{H},j}$ is the total number of hydrogen atoms in shell j , and where N_{mc} is the total number of photons that is used in the MC-simulation. The effective Ly β scattering rate is obtained similarly from $P_{\beta,j,\text{eff}} = \dot{N}_\beta \times N_{j,3p2s} / (N_{\text{H},j} \times N_{\text{mc}})$, where $N_{j,3p2s}$ is the total number of scattering events of the sequence $1s \xrightarrow{\text{Ly}\beta} 3p \xrightarrow{\text{H}\alpha} 2s$ in shell j .

3.2 The General and Effective Ly β Scattering Rates derived from the MC-Simulation

The general and effective Ly β scattering rates we obtain from the Monte-Carlo calculation are shown in Figure 2 as the *black* and *red solid histograms*, respectively. The *blue dotted line* shows the scattering rate that is obtained when the gas is assumed to be optically thin (which corresponds to the original calculation of S07, see Appendix A1 for more details). Furthermore, the *blue dashed line* shows the scattering rate that one obtains if one ignores that Ly β photons undergo multiple scattering events, and simply suppresses the incoming Ly β flux by a factor of $e^{-\tau}$ (this calculation is described in Appendix A2).

² The scattering process is assumed to be partially coherent, and described by a Rayleigh phase function, (see Dijkstra & Loeb 2008 for a more detailed discussion of the scattering phase function).




Figure 2. The effective (general) Ly β scattering rate that was derived from the MC-simulation is plotted as the *red (black) histogram*. The inset zooms in on the edge of the HII region. Also shown as the *blue dashed line* is the scattering rate that one obtains by suppressing the Ly β mean intensity by a factor of $e^{-\tau}$ (§ A2). The *green dot-dashed line* (inset only) includes the contribution from higher Lyman series lines (see §5.1). The *dotted line* shows the scattering rate in the optically-thin limit. This Figure shows that: (i) the effective Ly β scattering rate derived from the MC-simulation is reproduced well by simply suppressing the mean Ly β intensity by a factor of $e^{-\tau}$ (see § A2); and (ii) the effective scattering rate decreases rapidly with radius, and levels off at a level that is ~ 5 orders of magnitude smaller than the rate obtained from the optically thin calculation. Lastly, the *green dotted line* in the main plot shows the scattering rate of photons that would have redshifted into resonance at radius=8 Mpc (see text)

Figure 2 shows that the effective Ly β scattering rate is much lower than the rate that is obtained in the optically thin calculation. At small distances into the HI region ($d > 10^{-2}$ kpc, which is shown in the inset), the effective scattering rate is $P_{\beta,\text{eff}} < 10^{-13} \text{ s}^{-1}$. The effective scattering rate decreases rapidly with radius, and levels off at a level that is ~ 5 orders of magnitude smaller than the rate one obtains from the optically thin calculation. This rapid decrease owes to the large line-center optical depth of the neutral IGM to Ly β photons, which causes resonant scattering only to occur near the edge of the HII region. This point is illustrated by the *green dotted line* in the main plot of Figure 2, which shows the scattering rate of photons with frequencies such that they would have redshifted into resonance at $r = 8$ Mpc (i.e. 3 Mpc into the neutral IGM). When these photons reach $r = 7$ Mpc, they find themselves $\sim 750 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ blueward of the resonance, and their absorption cross-section is suppressed by a factor of $\sim 10^{-8}$ relative to the resonance absorption cross-section. The scattering rate of these photons is therefore also suppressed by a factor of 10^{-8} (relative to the optically thin rates). The scattering rate increases rapidly with radius as the photons redshift closer to resonance, and the maximum scattering rate occurs at $r = 7.95$ Mpc, where the photons have redshifted to within ~ 3 Doppler widths from resonance. The optical depth of the IGM increases rapidly as these photons redshift closer to resonance, which results in a sharp cut-off in

the scattering rate at $r \gtrsim 7.95$ Mpc. In other words, photons that were emitted somewhat blueward of the Ly β resonance frequency -which need to travel far into neutral gas from the HII region edge before redshifting into the line center- have a high probability of being absorbed in the damping wing of the line, and do not generally make it to line center. The absorption cross section in the damping wing is low compared to that at line center, and so these photons yield a correspondingly small scattering rate. Therefore the Ly β scattering rates are strongly suppressed at $d \gtrsim 10^{-2}$ kpc³. The sharp cut-off of the effective Ly β scattering rate is also discussed in Appendix A2.

Furthermore, Figure 2 shows that the effective scattering rate that is extracted from the MC-simulation (*red solid histogram*) is well reproduced by the model in which the mean Ly β intensity is suppressed by a factor of $e^{-\tau}$ (the *blue dashed line*). The reason for this is that each Ly β photon can only excite 1 atom into the 2s state: each time a transition of the from $1s \xrightarrow{\text{Ly}\beta} 3p \xrightarrow{\text{H}\alpha} 2s$ occurs, the Ly β photon is lost permanently. This almost corresponds to a calculation in which one ignores scattering and simply suppresses the intensity with a factor $e^{-\tau}$. The only difference is that Ly β photons typically scatter $\langle N_{\text{scat}} \rangle = 1/(1 - p_{\beta, \text{scat}}) \sim 8.3$ times before being converted into H α + 2 continuum photons. The random walk associated with the scattering process transports the Ly β photons on average $\sim \sqrt{\langle N_{\text{scat}} \rangle} \sim 2.9$ mean free paths through the neutral IGM, before indirectly exciting an atom into its 2s-state. In practise, this introduces a difference with the scattering rate obtained from the $e^{-\tau}$ -calculation only at the smallest separations from the edge of the HII region ($r < 10^{-2}$ kpc, see inset). At these smallest radii, the gas is optically thin to Ly β photons, and here the *total* Ly β scattering rate (*black solid histogram*) as extracted from the MC-simulation agrees best with the analytic solution given by Eq (A6) with $\tau = 0$. Naturally, here the *effective* scattering rate is suppressed by a factor of $(1 - p_{\beta, \text{scat}}) = 0.12$.

4 THE SIGNAL

The change in brightness temperature for CMB radiation passing through the neutral gas with an enhanced population of atoms in the 2s-state is given by

$$\Delta T_b = \frac{\tau_{FS}}{1+z} (T_{\text{ex}} - T_{\text{CMB}}), \quad (1)$$

where $T_{\text{CMB}} = 2.73(1+z)$ is the CMB temperature at redshift z , and T_{ex} is the excitation temperature of the 2s-2p transition, which is defined as $T_{\text{ex}} \equiv \lambda_{FS}^2 S / 2k_B$, where $S = \frac{2h\nu_{FS}^3}{c^2} \frac{g_u n_u}{g_l n_l - n_u}$ is the standard source function (see Rybicki & Lightman 1979, Eq 1.79), n_l (n_u) denotes the

number density of atoms in the 2s level (2p level), and g_l (g_u) denotes the statistical weight of this level. Throughout we ignore that hyperfine splitting of the 2s and 2p levels actually results in 3 possible 2s-2p transitions (see Fig 1). We discuss the impact of hyperfine splitting on our results in § 5.1 (under roman numeral V). Furthermore, the optical depth to 2s-2p absorption, τ_{FS} is given by (Rybicki & Lightman 1979, Eq 1.78)

$$\tau_{FS}(\nu) = \int ds \alpha(\nu(s)) = \frac{h\nu_{FS} B_{ul}}{4\pi \Delta\nu_{FS}} \int ds \left(\frac{g_u n_l - n_u}{g_l} \right) \phi(\nu(s)), \quad (2)$$

where $\Delta\nu_{FS} = v_{\text{th}} \nu_{FS} / c$, B_{ul} is the Einstein B-coefficient for the fine-structure transition, and $\phi(\nu)$ is the line profile function (see Rybicki & Lightman 1979). S07 move the factor $\frac{g_u n_l - n_u}{g_l}$ outside of the integral and arrive at their Eq (7). However this is not allowed, because we found $\Gamma_{\beta}(r)$, and therefore $n_l (= n_{2s})$, to be a rapidly changing function of radius (see Fig 2). This makes the evaluation of $\tau_{FS}(\nu)$ somewhat trickier. Fortunately, we may simplify Eq 1 and Eq 2 by assuming that $n_l > n_u$ (which is verified in § 5.1, under roman numeral IV). Under this assumption, $T_{\text{ex}} \ll T_{\text{CMB}}$ and $\Delta T_b(\nu) = -\tau_{FS}(\nu) T_{\text{CMB}} / (1+z)$, and the optical depth to 2s-2p absorption at frequency ν simplifies to

$$\tau_{FS}(\nu) = \int ds n_{2s}(s) \sigma_{FS}(\nu [1 - Hs/c]), \quad (3)$$

where $\sigma_{FS}(\nu) = \frac{h\nu_{FS} B_{ul}}{4\pi \Delta\nu_{FS}} \frac{g_u}{g_l} \phi(\nu)$. For simplicity, we assume that the edge of the HII region is infinitely sharp (i.e. the HII region contains no neutral hydrogen, and the neutral fraction jumps from 0 to 1 instantly at the edge of the HII region) and extends out to $r = R_{\text{HII}} = 5$ Mpc (see § 5.1, roman numeral III). Under this assumption, the optical depth along a path that is pointing radially outward from the quasar is given by

$$\tau_{FS}(\nu) = \frac{n_{\text{H}}}{A_{2s1s}} \int_{R_{\text{HII}}}^{\infty} dr P_{\beta}(r) \sigma_{FS}(\nu [1 - Hr/c]), \quad (4)$$

where we used that $n_{2s} = \frac{n_{1s} P_{\beta}}{A_{2s1s}} = \frac{n_{\text{H}} P_{\beta}}{A_{2s1s}}$. The last approximation is allowed because the vast majority of all hydrogen atoms are in the 1s state.

The life-time of the 2p state is set by the Einstein coefficient of the $2p \rightarrow 1s$ transition, $A_{2p1s} = 6.25 \times 10^8 \text{ s}^{-1}$, and the line profile of the 2s-2p transition has a Voigt parameter $a = A_{2p1s} / (4\pi \Delta\nu_{FS}) = 117 (T_{\text{gas}} / 10^4 \text{ K})^{-1/2}$ (Dennison et al. 2005). The line profile is therefore completely dominated by the damping wing, and the cross-section displays a much weaker frequency dependence than is common among the Lyman-series transitions: e.g. even for $x_{FS} = 100$, where $x_{FS}(\nu) = (\nu - \nu_{FS}) / \Delta\nu_{FS}$, the cross-section is only reduced by a factor of $\phi(x_{FS}) / \phi(0) = 0.57$.

We compute $\tau_{FS}(\nu)$ by using $\Gamma_{\beta}(r)$ we found in § 3.2 (and we account for the additional contribution of higher Lyman series following §5.1) into Eq 4. The result of this calculation is shown in Figure 3, which shows that the maximum optical depth is $\tau_{FS, \text{max}} = 4 \times 10^{-10}$. This is 5 orders of magnitude smaller than the value that was found by S07. The reason for this large difference is the assumption that the factor $\frac{g_u n_l - n_u}{g_l}$ could be taken outside the integral in Eq 2. This is allowed only when the dependence of this factor on r is weaker than that of $\sigma_{FS}(\nu [1 - Hr/c])$.

³ Note that in our model the total scattering rate, which is given by $\mathcal{P}_{\text{tot}} \equiv n_{\text{H}} \int_{R_{\text{HII}}}^{\infty} dr 4\pi r^2 P_{\beta, \text{eff}}(r) = \dot{N}_{\beta}$, i.e. photons are conserved. On the other hand, $\mathcal{P}_{\text{tot}} \gg \dot{N}_{\beta}$ in the optically thin limit (§A1). Each emitted Ly β photon can only undergo one effective scattering event, and the total effective scattering rate can never exceed the total rate at which Ly β photons are emitted. The optically thin calculation is therefore logically inconsistent.




Figure 3. This Figure shows the optical depth in the 2s-2p fine-structure transition, $\tau_{FS}(\nu)$, through neutral gas surrounding a quasar HII region at $z = 6.5$. The maximum optical depth is $\tau_{FS,\max} \sim 4 \times 10^{-10}$, which results in a maximum brightness temperature of $\sim 10^{-10}$ K. This brightness temperature is five orders of magnitude lower than previous estimates, and likely precludes detecting hydrogen fine-structure absorption during the EoR.

However, because the 2s-2p absorption cross-section is completely dominated by the damping wing, it has a tremendous FWHM that is $\nu_{\text{FWHM}}/\nu_{FS} \sim 0.01$. At $z = 6.5$, Hubble expansion over 4 Mpc introduces a comparable frequency shift of $\Delta\nu/\nu \sim 0.01$, and S07 therefore implicitly assumed that their calculated level population in the 2s-state applied to a ‘shell’ that was at least 4 Mpc thick. In sharp contrast, we found that their calculation applied only to a shell of gas that was $\sim 10^{-2}$ kpc thick (see the inset of Fig 2). In other words, the total column of neutral H atoms in the 2s state is lower by ~ 5 orders of magnitude.

It is worth emphasizing that our calculation of $\tau_{FS,\max}$ assumed that the quasar emitted $\dot{N}_\beta = 10^{58}$ photons s^{-1} in the frequency range $\nu \in [0.95, 1.05]\nu_\beta$. This is very likely a factor of ~ 10 too high (see Appendix A1). Therefore, in reality the value of $\tau_{FS,\max}$ is likely even lower by an additional factor of ~ 10 .

5 DISCUSSION

5.1 Validity of Model Assumptions

(I): In §2 we mentioned that the collisional excitation rate of -and the recombination rate into- the 2s state, were negligibly small compared to the effective Ly β scattering rate. For example, the collisional excitation rate is given by $C_{2s} = 2.24 \times 10^{-6} n_e T_{\text{gas}}^{-1/2} \exp(-10.2 \text{ eV}/kT_{\text{gas}})$ (Osterbrock 1989, p54-55). If we assume that the gas is half ionized ($n_e = 0.5n_{\text{H}}$), then we find that $C_{2s} < 10^{-14} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for $T_{\text{gas}} < 3 \times 10^4$ K. This rate is orders of magnitude less than the effective Ly β scattering rates in the regions of interest. Similarly, we find that the recombination rate is $R_{2s} < \alpha_{\text{rec,B}} n_e = 10^{-17} \text{ s}^{-1}$, which is even smaller.

(II): So far we have ignored the fact that the 2s state

of atomic hydrogen can also be accessed following the absorption of higher order Lyman series photons, e.g. via a scattering event of the form $1s \xrightarrow{\text{Ly}\delta} 5p \xrightarrow{\text{H}\gamma} 2s$. In principle, it is straightforward to expand the MC-approach to include scattering of these higher-order Lyman series photons, but this is not necessary. We found in § 3.2 that the effective Ly β scattering rate was calculated reasonably well if one simply suppresses the incoming Ly β flux with a factor of $e^{-\tau}$. For simplicity, we also use this approach to calculate the effective scattering rates of the higher order Lyman series photons, and cast the total effective scattering rate in a form similar to that of Eq (A6):

$$P_{\text{eff,tot}} = \frac{L_\nu \pi e^2}{4\pi r^2 \sqrt{\pi} m_e c} \sum_{n=\beta,\gamma,\dots} \frac{f_n}{h\nu_n} \int dx_n \phi_n(x_n) e^{-\tau(x_n,r)}, \quad (5)$$

where f_n is the oscillator strength, $h\nu_n$ is the energy, and $\phi_n(x_n)$ is the Voigt function⁴ for the Lyman-n transition (i.e. $1s \rightarrow np$), where $x_n = (\nu - \nu_n)/\Delta\nu_D$. The first term of the series corresponds to Eq (A6). The result of summing the first 10 Lyman series transitions is shown as the *green dot-dashed line* in Figure 2. Including these higher Lyman series photons only boosts the effective scattering rates by a factor of ~ 1.5 in the region that was optically thin to Ly β photons, which is due to the fact that the oscillator strength of $np \rightarrow 1s$ transitions -and hence the absorption cross section- decreases quite rapidly with n (e.g. for $10p \rightarrow 1s$, $f_{10} = 0.0016$, which is $\sim 0.004 f_\alpha$). Between $10^{-2} - 10^{-1}$ kpc from the edge of the HII region, the contribution from higher Lyman series photons is the largest and boosts the overall scattering rate by a factor ~ 15 . This larger boost is due the fact that at these distances the gas is not yet optically thick in these higher Lyman series lines. Note that we have accounted for this boost in our calculation of τ_{FS} (which is shown Figure 3).

(III): We have so far assumed that the edge of the HII region is infinitely sharp. In reality, the thickness of the HII region is determined by the mean free path of the ionizing photons emitted by the quasar. Especially the X-Rays emitted by the quasar can penetrate deeply into the neutral IGM and produce a low level of ionization. However, the presence of a partially neutral region does not affect our results at all: although the distance from the edge of the HII region at which the IGM becomes optically thick is increased by a factor of $1/x_{\text{HI}}$ (where x_{HI} is the neutral fraction), τ_{FS} is reduced by the same factor.

(IV): The approximation $\Delta T_b(\nu) = -\tau_{FS}(\nu) T_{\text{CMB}}/(1+z)$ is valid only when $T_{\text{ex}} \ll T_{\text{CMB}}$, or when $n_l > n_u$. The 2s and 2p populations are given by $n_{2s} = n_l = n_{\text{H}} \Gamma_\beta / (A_{2s1s})$ and $n_{2p} = n_u = n_{\text{H}} \Gamma_\alpha / (A_{2p1s})$, respectively. That is, $n_l > n_u$ when $\Gamma_\beta > (A_{2s1s}/A_{2p1s}) \Gamma_\alpha \sim 10^{-8} \Gamma_\alpha$. Our assumption $n_l > n_u$ therefore breaks down in the regions of interest only when $\Gamma_\alpha \gtrsim 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$, which is orders of magnitude larger than the actual Ly α scattering rate.

(V): Our calculation has ignored the hyperfine splitting of the 2s and 2p levels that was illustrated in Figure 1.

⁴ The oscillator strengths can be found Chapter 10.5 in Rybicki & Lightman (1979). The Einstein coefficients, and therefore the absorption cross-sections, can be derived from the oscillator strength using Eq 10.79 of Rybicki & Lightman 1979.

Hyperfine splitting results in 3 allowed 2s-2p transitions at $\nu = 9.852, 9.876$ and 10.030 GHz, and the fine-structure absorption cross-section has maxima at these frequencies. The most prominent of these 3 maxima reaches 75% of the value that one obtains when hyperfine-structure splitting is ignored (Dennison et al. 2005). Including hyperfine-structure therefore lowers the maximum observable brightness temperature by a factor of 0.75.

5.2 General Detectability of the Fine-structure line during the EoR

We have shown that the optical depth in the 2s-2p fine-structure line around quasar HII regions to be negligible because of the low effective Ly β , γ , δ , ... scattering rates. Early during the EoR, the IGM was exposed to a background Lyman series photons generated by early generations of galaxies before it was significantly ionized (e.g. Furlanetto et al. 2006). In principle, one might imagine looking for fine-structure absorption in the IGM during this early phase of the EoR. Unfortunately, the effective Ly β scattering rate during this phase of the EoR will be low for the same reasons it is low around bright quasars, as we considered previously: it is well established that Ly α scattering rate plays an important role in determining the excitation temperature of the 21-cm hyperfine transition via the Wouthuysen-Field effect (see e.g. Madau et al. 1997; Furlanetto et al. 2006). However, each soft-UV photon that redshifts toward (and through) the Ly α resonance frequency scatters on average $N_{\text{scat}} \sim \tau_{\text{GP}} \sim 10^5 - 10^6$ times. In sharp contrast, each higher Lyman series photon only excites one atom into the 2s-state. The effective Ly β (and total) scattering rate is therefore lower than the Ly α scattering rate by a factor of $\sim \tau_{\text{GP}}$. Since the Ly α scattering rate in the IGM during the EoR is typically $P_{\alpha} \sim 10^{-12} - 10^{-11} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (e.g. Madau et al. 1997), the effective Ly β scattering rate is of the order $P_{\beta, \text{eff}} \sim 10^{-18} - 10^{-16} \text{ s}^{-1}$, which barely competes with the recombination rate. From this we conclude that wherever the Wouthuysen-Field effect operates in the low density neutral IGM, the optical depth in the fine-structure line is expected to be $\tau_{FS} < 10^{-10}$.

6 CONCLUSIONS

It has recently been proposed that neutral intergalactic atomic hydrogen gas may be detected in absorption in its 3-cm fine-structure line ($2s_{1/2} \rightarrow 2p_{3/2}$) against the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) out to very high redshifts. In particular, bright quasars that are luminous in the rest-frame soft-UV ($10.2 \text{ eV} < E_{\gamma} < 13.6 \text{ eV}$) may indirectly photoexcite the 2s state of neutral hydrogen gas in the intergalactic medium (IGM) during the Epoch of Reionization (EoR) to levels such that $\tau_{FS} \sim 10^{-5}$ for CMB photons passing through these regions (Sethi et al. 2007). The resulting brightness temperature of $\Delta T_b \sim \text{tens of } \mu\text{K}$ could be detected with existing radio telescopes (e.g. Carilli 2008).

Motivated by this proposal, and by observational efforts to detect this transition, we have performed a detailed analysis of the transfer of Ly β , γ , δ , ... radiation, and have re-analyzed the detectability of the fine-structure line in neutral intergalactic gas surrounding bright quasars during

the EoR. We have found that properly considering radiative transfer effects reduces the signal significantly compared to optically thin estimates, which radically complicates the detectability of the 3-cm fine structure transition.

The main reason for this negative result is the large opacity of the (partially) neutral IGM to Lyman series photons. In such a medium, Ly α scattering proceeds completely different than Ly β , γ , δ , ... scattering: Ly α photons scatter $\tau_{\text{GP}} \sim 10^5 - 10^6$ times. However, higher Lyman series photons have a finite probability for being converted into Balmer, Paschen, ..., etc photons at each scattering event, which subsequently propagate to the observer unobstructed. These higher Lyman series photons therefore scatter on average only 5–8 times (§3.2) before being destroyed (Pritchard & Furlanetto 2006). Furthermore, only one of these 5–8 scattering events - defined as an *effective scattering event* - indirectly excites atoms into their 2s-state (e.g. Ly β scattering corresponds to a sequence $1s \rightarrow 3p \rightarrow 1s$, and does not affect the level population of the 2s-state). For these reasons, we found that the effective Ly β scattering rate was calculated reasonably well if one simply suppresses the incoming Ly β flux by a factor of $e^{-\tau}$, in which τ is the (frequency dependent) optical depth of the IGM to Ly β radiation (see Fig 2). The same applies to Ly γ , δ , ... radiation.

Because of the reduced effective scattering rates, we found a substantial lower column of neutral atoms in the 2s-state. This, in combination with the fact that the profile of the fine-structure absorption cross section is dominated by the damping wings (§ 4), results in $\tau_{FS} \sim 10^{-11} - 10^{-10}$. This is 5-6 orders of magnitude smaller than what was originally found by Sethi et al. (2007), and we conclude that the 3-cm fine-structure absorption line from neutral intergalactic gas surrounding high-redshift quasars is presently undetectable.

Acknowledgments This research was supported by Harvard University Funds. JRP is supported by NASA through Hubble Fellowship grant HST-HF-01211.01-A awarded by the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., for NASA, under contract NAS 5-26555. SMO is supported by NSF/AST0457585.

REFERENCES

- Ahn, S.-H., Lee, H.-W., & Lee, H. M. 2000, Journal of Korean Astronomical Society, 33, 29
- Bolton, J. S., & Haehnelt, M. G. 2007, MNRAS, 381, L35
- Breit, G., & Teller, E. 1940, ApJ, 91, 215
- Carilli, C. L. 2008, ArXiv e-prints, 802, arXiv:0802.1727
- Chuzhoy, L., & Zheng, Z. 2007, ApJ, 670, 912
- Dennison, B., Turner, B. E., & Minter, A. H. 2005, ApJ, 633, 309
- Dijkstra, M., Haiman, Z., & Spaans, M. 2006, ApJ, 649, 14
- Dijkstra, M., & Loeb, A. 2008, MNRAS, 386, 492
- Ershov, A. A. 1987, Soviet Astronomy Letters, 13, 115
- Fan, X., et al. 2006, AJ, 132, 117
- Furlanetto, S. R., Oh, S. P., & Briggs, F. H. 2006, Physics Reports, 433, 181
- Hirata, C. M. 2006, MNRAS, 367, 259
- Komatsu, E., et al. 2008, ArXiv e-prints, 803, arXiv:0803.0547

- Lidz, A., McQuinn, M., Zaldarriaga, M., Hernquist, L., & Dutta, S. 2007, *ApJ*, 670, 39
- Loeb, A., & Rybicki, G. B. 1999, *ApJ*, 524, 527
- Loeb, A., & Zaldarriaga, M. 2004, *Physical Review Letters*, 92, 211301
- Madau, P., Meiksin, A., & Rees, M. J. 1997, *ApJ*, 475, 429
- Mesinger, A., & Haiman, Z. 2004, *ApJL*, 611, L69
- Osterbrock, D. E. 1989, *Astrophysics of gaseous nebulae and active galactic nuclei*, University of Minnesota, et al. Mill Valley, CA, University Science Books, 1989, 422 p.,
- Pritchard, J. R., & Furlanetto, S. R. 2006, *MNRAS*, 367, 1057
- Rybicki, G. B., & Lightman, A. P. 1979, New York, Wiley-Interscience, 1979. 393 p.,
- Scott, D., & Rees, M. J. 1990, *MNRAS*, 247, 510
- Sethi, S. K., Subrahmanyan, R., & Roshi, D. A. 2007, *ApJ*, 664, 1
- Spitzer, L. J., & Greenstein, J. L. 1951, *ApJ*, 114, 407
- Wild, J. P. 1952, *ApJ*, 115, 206
- Wyithe, J. S. B., & Loeb, A. 2004, *Nature*, 427, 815
- Zheng, Z., & Miralda-Escudé, J. 2002, *ApJ*, 578, 33

APPENDIX A: ANALYTIC CALCULATIONS OF LY β SCATTERING RATES

A1 The Optically Thin Limit

In the regime where the gas is optically thin to Ly β radiation, the Ly β scattering rate is given by

$$P_\beta = 4\pi \int d\nu \frac{J(\nu)}{h\nu} \sigma_\beta(\nu), \quad (\text{A1})$$

where $J(\nu)$ is the mean intensity in the UV radiation field near the Ly β resonance (in $\text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{Hz}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1}$), and $\sigma_\beta(\nu)$ is the Ly β absorption cross-section. The mean intensity $J(\nu)$ relates to the luminosity of the central source (in $\text{erg s}^{-1} \text{Hz}^{-1}$) as $J(\nu) = \frac{L_\nu}{16\pi^2 r^2}$, where r is the distance to the central source. Assuming that $J(\nu)$ is constant across the Ly β resonance, which is extremely narrow in frequency, Eq A1 simplifies to

$$P_{\beta,\text{thin}} = \frac{L_\nu}{4\pi r^2 h\nu_\beta} \int d\nu \sigma_\beta(\nu), \quad (\text{A2})$$

where the photon energy $h\nu$, that was originally in the integrand, was taken outside of the integral since it barely varies over the limited range of frequencies where the integrand is not negligibly small. Using that $\int d\nu \sigma_\beta(\nu) = f_\beta \frac{\pi e^2}{m_e c}$, where $f_\beta = 0.079$ is the Ly β oscillator strength, c is the speed of light, e and m_e are the electron charge and mass, respectively (see e.g. Rybicki & Lightman 1979, their Eq 3.66), the total Ly β scattering rate simplifies further to

$$P_{\beta,\text{thin}} = \frac{L_\nu f_\beta \pi e^2}{4\pi r^2 h\nu_\beta m_e c}. \quad (\text{A3})$$

The only quantity that remains to be determined is L_ν . Under the assumption that L_ν does not vary with frequency near the Ly β resonance frequency, $L_\nu = L/\Delta\nu$, where L is

the total luminosity (in erg s^{-1}) of the central source between frequency ν_{min} and ν_{max} , and $\Delta\nu \equiv (\nu_{\text{max}} - \nu_{\text{min}})$. S07 assume that⁵ $\dot{N}_\beta = 10^{58}$ photon s^{-1} and $\Delta\nu \sim 0.1\nu_\beta$, and obtain

$$P_{\beta,\text{thin}}(r) = 2.4 \times 10^{-11} \text{ s}^{-1} \times \left(\frac{5 \text{ Mpc}}{r}\right)^2, \quad (\text{A4})$$

where we used that $L = \dot{N}_\beta \times h\nu_\beta = 1.9 \times 10^{47} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, and $r = 5 \text{ Mpc}$ (§ 3.1). This scattering rate was plotted as the *dotted line* in Figure 2.

A2 Including Radiative Transfer: Ignore Scattering

When the assumption of working in the optically thin regime is dropped, the scattering rate (Eq A1) modifies to

$$P_\beta(r) = 4\pi \int d\nu \frac{J(\nu)}{h\nu} \sigma_\beta(\nu [1 - Hr/c]) e^{-\tau(\nu,r)}, \quad (\text{A5})$$

where $\tau(\nu, r)$ is the optical depth to photons *emitted* at frequency ν to a hydrogen atom at a distance r from the central source. Furthermore, the factor $[1 - Hr/c]$ accounts for the fact that the Hubble expansion redshifts the photons by a factor of $\Delta\nu = Hr$ over a distance r . Eq A5 does not account for the fact that individual Ly β photons are scattered multiple times, which modifies the actual value of mean intensity (see § 3.2).

For illustration purposes it is useful to rewrite Eq A5 into a form similar to Eq A1:

$$P_\beta(r) = \frac{L_\nu f_\beta \pi e^2}{4\pi r^2 h\nu_\beta m_e c} \times S(r), \quad (\text{A6})$$

where $S(r)$ (the 'suppression factor') is given by

$$S(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int dx \phi(x) e^{-\tau(x,r)}. \quad (\text{A7})$$

Here, x denotes a dimensionless frequency variable of the form $x \equiv (\nu - \nu_\beta)/\Delta\nu_D$, where $\Delta\nu_D \equiv v_{\text{th}}\nu_\beta/c$ and $v_{\text{th}} = \sqrt{2kT/m_p}$. Furthermore, $\phi(x)$ is the Voigt function (see Eq 10.77 of Rybicki & Lightman 1979. Note that this function obeys $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int dx \phi(x) = 1$. Hence, we recover Eq A3 when we set $\tau = 0$). The function $S(r)$ quantifies the inaccuracy in assuming that the absorbing gas is optically thin.

We again assume that the edge of the HII region is infinitely sharp (as in § 4) and extends out to $r = R_{\text{HII}} = 5 \text{ Mpc}$ (see § 5.1, roman numeral III). The optical depth $\tau(r, \nu)$ is then

$$\tau(\nu, r) = \int_{R_{\text{HII}}}^r ds n_{\text{HI}}(s) \sigma_\beta(\nu [1 - Hs/c]). \quad (\text{A8})$$

We plot the suppression factor $S(r)$ in Figure A1, which shows that $S(r) < 10^{-2}$ for $r > 10^{-5} \text{ Mpc}$. The reason that $S(r)$ drops so rapidly can be understood as follows:

⁵ This assumption corresponds to a flux density that is $6 \times 10^{32} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ Hz}^{-1}$, which corresponds to an absolute AB magnitude of $M_{\text{AB}} = -30.45$. For comparison, the brightest $z = 6$ quasars have $M_{1450} \sim -27.8$ (Fan et al. 2006). Given that quasars have a relatively flat spectrum between $\lambda = \lambda_\beta$ and $\lambda = 1450 \text{ \AA}$ (rest-frame), we conclude that the quasar luminosity assumed by S07 is likely too high by about a factor of ~ 10 .




Figure A1. This figure shows suppression factor $S(r)$, which quantifies the reduction of the $\text{Ly}\beta$ scattering rate if one assumes an $e^{-\tau}$ suppression of the $\text{Ly}\beta$ mean intensity. Because of the large $\text{Ly}\beta$ absorption cross section the suppression is strong: $S(r) < 10^{-2}$ for $r > 10^{-5}$ Mpc (see text).

regardless of their frequency, photons traverse by definition an average optical depth $\tau = 1$ into the neutral intergalactic medium before being absorbed. For $\text{Ly}\beta$ photons, this implies that the vast majority of photons are absorbed well before they have redshifted into resonance. That is, $\tau(x, r) \sim 1$ when $x \gg 1$ and therefore $\phi(x) \ll 1$, in the notation of Eq A7. Only those photons that have redshifted into resonance at the very edge can scatter near resonance (i.e. $\phi(x) = 1$ while $\tau(x, r) \ll 1$, also see §3.2). This special region is very thin: the line-center optical-depth of neutral intergalactic gas in the $\text{Ly}\beta$ line is $\tau_0 \equiv \sigma_{\beta,0} n_{\text{HI}} r = 2.4 \times 10^3 (T_{\text{gas}}/10^4)^{-1/2} (r/\text{kpc})$. That is, the line center optical depth approaches unity at $r \sim 10^{-3}$ kpc = 10^{-6} Mpc. The scattering rate that is obtained by combining Eq A6-A8 plotted as the *dashed line* in Figure 2.