

Light propagation in a birefringent plate with topological unit charge

Ebrahim Karimi,¹ Bruno Piccirillo,¹ Lorenzo Marrucci,^{1,2} and Enrico Santamato^{1,*}

¹*Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche, Università degli Studi di Napoli "Federico II",*

Complesso di Monte S. Angelo, via Cintia, 80126 Napoli, Italy

²*Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche-INFN Coherentia, Napoli, Italy*

*Corresponding author: *enrico.santamato@na.infn.it*

Abstract

We calculated the Fresnel paraxial propagator in a birefringent plate having azimuthal optical axis orientation and topological charge $q = 1$ at its center. We found that the spin-to-orbital photon angular momentum conversion efficiency decreases slowly when the plate thickness exceeds the Rayleigh range of the input beam. We paid particular attention to the change of the beam transverse profile when it traverses the plate. The Fresnel kernel contains Bessel's function of irrational order, which prevents to find the propagator in a closed form. However, an analytical closed form of the beam profile propagating in the plate can be found for many important input beam profiles, as, for instance Laguerre-Gauss or Bessel-Gauss profiles. We found that if small losses due to reflection, absorption and scattering are neglected, the plate can convert the photon spin into orbital angular momentum with up to 100 % efficiency, provided the thickness of the plate is less than the Rayleigh range of the incident beam. For thicker plates the conversion efficiency is lowered.
ocis: 050.1960, 260.1960, 260.1440, 260.6042

Light beams carrying orbital angular momentum (OAM) are receiving increasing attention as a resource in quantum and classical optics, since OAM exists in an inherently multidimensional space. Information can thus be encoded in higher dimensional OAM-alphabets^{1,2} for its use in free-space communication systems³ or to increase the dimensionality of the working Hilbert space in quantum communications systems⁴. The main characteristics of light beam carrying OAM is the presence of a topological point-charge of integer order in the optical phase. It was recently demonstrated that a birefringent plate made of a vortex-patterned liquid crystal film can imprint its topological charge into the optical phase of the incident light, thus changing the OAM of the beam. Being birefringent, the plate affects the photon spin angular momentum (SAM) too, thus providing easy control of the beam OAM content by either changing its polarization or changing the retardation of the plate⁵. It can be shown that, if the topological charge of the birefringent plate is q , the OAM of a light beam passing through such a " q -plate", changes by an amount $\pm 2q\hbar$ per photon. In this work, we will consider only the q -plate with $q = 1$. This 1-plate adds to the photon OAM an amount $\pm 2\hbar$. One may roughly think of the q -plate as of an ordinary birefringent plate rotated at angle α about the beam z -axis, with α given by $\alpha = \alpha(x, y) = \arctan(y/x) = \phi$ where ϕ is the azimuthal angle in the x, y -plane. This simple picture is enough to account for some qualitative effects as, for instance, the SAM-to-OAM conversion⁵, the associated optical Berry phase⁶ and the changes of the SAM and OAM content of the beam at different depths in the q -plate⁷, but cannot be used to determine the detailed quantitative behavior of the beam during its propagation. In fact, the formal replacement $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha(x, y)$ is justified only if the function $\alpha(x, y)$ varies smoothly over the optical wavelength scale (the so-called geometric optics approximation (GOA))⁷, which is not true in the present case, because no length scale is defined by the function $\arctan(y/x)$.

In this work, we study the propagation of a light beam in the 1-plate without having recourse to the GOA, but as-

suming, instead, a good beam paraxiality. We start from Maxwell's wave equation $\nabla^2 - \nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}) + k_0^2 \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \mathbf{E} = 0$, where $k_0 = 2\pi/\lambda = \omega/c$, $\hat{\epsilon}$ is the relative dielectric tensor at frequency ω , c is the speed of light and λ is the wavelength. For an azimuthally oriented liquid crystal 1-plate, the dielectric tensor is diagonal in the cylindrical coordinates (r, ϕ, z) : viz. $\hat{\epsilon} = \text{diag}(\epsilon_{rr}, \epsilon_{\phi\phi}, \epsilon_{zz}) = \text{diag}(n_o^2, n_e^2, n_o^2)$, where n_o and n_e are the material ordinary and extraordinary indices, respectively. We neglect absorption and assume positive birefringence $n_e > n_o$. In most materials, including liquid crystals, the birefringence is small $(n_e - n_o) \ll n_o$, so that we may neglect the longitudinal part of the optical field and take $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} \simeq 0$. In this approximation, the wave equation reduces to Helmholtz' vector equation $\nabla^2 \mathbf{E}_\perp + k_0^2 \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \mathbf{E}_\perp = 0$ for the transverse part \mathbf{E}_\perp of the field. In view of the cylindrical symmetry of the problem, it is convenient to find out the eigenmodes of the Helmholtz vector equation in the circular polarization basis $\mathbf{E}_\pm = (E_x \pm iE_y)/\sqrt{2}$ and in the cylindrical coordinates (r, ϕ, z) , by setting $\mathbf{E}_\perp(r, \phi, z) = (\mathcal{E}_+(r)e^{i(m+1)\phi}, \mathcal{E}_-(r)e^{i(m-1)\phi}, 0)e^{-ik_0\gamma z + i\omega t}$. Inserting this field into the Helmholtz equation, yields a pair of coupled radial equations

$$\begin{aligned} f''(r) + \frac{f'(r)}{r} + \left(k_0^2(n_o^2 - \gamma^2) - \frac{\mu^2}{r^2} \right) f(r) &= \frac{2mg(r)}{r^2} \\ g''(r) + \frac{g'(r)}{r} + \left(k_0^2(n_e^2 - \gamma^2) - \frac{\mu^2}{r^2} \right) g(r) &= \frac{2mf(r)}{r^2} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $f(r) = (\mathcal{E}_+ + \mathcal{E}_-)/\sqrt{2}$, $g(r) = (\mathcal{E}_+ - \mathcal{E}_-)/\sqrt{2}$ and $\mu = \sqrt{m^2 + 1}$. Equations (1) are exact and can be solved by series. We postpone a full discussion about their solutions to a future work. Here we consider only the approximate solutions for paraxial beams at normal incidence. Setting $\gamma = \gamma_o = \sqrt{n_o^2 - \beta^2} \simeq n_o - \beta^2/2n_o$, Eqs.(1) reduce to the equations for the ordinary wave

$$\begin{aligned} f_o''(r) + \frac{f_o'(r)}{r} + \left(k_0^2\beta^2 - \frac{\mu^2}{r^2} \right) f_o(r) &= \frac{2mg_o(r)}{r^2} \\ g_o''(r) + \frac{g_o'(r)}{r} + \left(k_0^2\beta^2\Lambda^2 - \frac{\mu^2}{r^2} \right) g_o(r) &= \frac{2mf_o(r)}{r^2} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $\Lambda^2 = 1 + 2(n_e^2 - n_o^2)/\beta^2$. We observe that in commercial liquid crystals we have $n_e^2 - n_o^2 \simeq 0.5$ while usual paraxial laser beams at normal incidence have a transverse spatial spectrum with β ranging from zero to $\beta \simeq 10^{-2}$. The parameter Λ^2 is therefore very large in all practical cases. We may then solve Eqs.(2) as asymptotic series of Λ^2 . The zero-order approximation of the asymptotic solution of Eq.(2) for the ordinary wave is given by $f_o(r) = A_o J_\mu(k_o \beta r)$ and $g_o(r) = 0$, where A_o is an arbitrary constant and $J_\mu(x)$ is Bessel' function of index $\mu = \sqrt{m^2 + 1}$. The differential equation for the extraordinary wave is obtained from Eqs.(1) by setting $\gamma = \gamma_e = \sqrt{n_e^2 - \beta^2} \simeq n_e - \beta^2/2n_e$ and it can be obtained from Eqs.(2) by the formal replacements $f \rightarrow g, g \rightarrow f, \Lambda^2 \rightarrow -\Lambda^2$. The zero-order asymptotic solution for the extraordinary wave is then given by $f_e(r) = 0$ and $g_e(r) = A_e J_\mu(k_o \beta r)$ with constant A_e . All other terms of the asymptotic solution can be found recursively. The zero-order asymptotic solutions hold in the whole x, y -plane except a small region about the origin having a radius $r_0 \gg \lambda/(\Lambda\beta) = \lambda/\sqrt{n_e^2 - n_o^2}$. For commercial liquid crystal we have $r_0 \gg 1.25\lambda$. In this small region is located the singularity and the optical axis is not well defined here. The effect of this region can be accounted for only by the exact (not paraxial) wave approach, but we may anticipate on physical grounds that the main effect of this region is to scatter a very small fraction of the light at large angles out of the paraxial beam. From the asymptotic paraxial modes of Helmholtz' equation it is straightforward to calculate the Fresnel paraxial propagator for the optical field E_\perp . The optical field at plane z in the 1-plate is given by

$$E_\perp(r, \phi, z) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \rho d\rho \int_0^{2\pi} d\psi \hat{R}(\phi) \times [(K^o + K^e)\hat{1} + (K^o - K^e)\hat{\sigma}_z]\hat{R}(-\psi)E_\perp(\rho, \psi, 0). \quad (3)$$

where $\hat{1}$, $\hat{R}(\phi)$ and $\hat{\sigma}_z$ are the 2×2 unit, rotation and Pauli's matrices, respectively. The Fresnel kernels in Eq.(3) are given by $K^{o,e} = \sum_m K_{\mu(m)}^{o,e}(r, \rho; z)e^{im(\phi - \psi)}$, where $\mu(m) = \sqrt{m^2 + 1}$ and

$$K_{\mu(m)}^{o,e}(r, \rho; z) = \left(\frac{in_{o,e}k_0}{2\pi z} \right) i^{\mu(m)} J_{\mu(m)} \left(\frac{k_0 n_{o,e} r \rho}{z} \right) \times e^{-\frac{ik_0 n_{o,e}(r^2 + \rho^2)}{2z} - ik_0 n_{o,e} z} \quad (4)$$

The Fresnel kernels K^o and K^e in Eq.(4) are characterized by the presence of Bessel function of irrational order. Moreover, they depend on the difference $\phi - \psi$, which, in conjunction with Eq.(3), guarantees the invariance of the overall propagator under active rotation of the 1-plate. Although K^o and K^e cannot be obtained in a closed form, they permit to evaluate analytically the field transmitted by the 1-plate in important cases as, for instance, for Laguerre-Gauss and Bessel-Gauss modes. Here we consider only the case of a Gaussian TEM₀₀ beam impinging onto the 1-plate. Setting $E_\perp(\rho, \phi, 0) = \sqrt{2/\pi w_0^2} \exp(-\rho^2/w_0^2) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}$, we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} E_+ \\ E_- \end{pmatrix} = e^{-ik_0 n_o z} \begin{pmatrix} K^+ & e^{2i\phi} K^- \\ e^{-2i\phi} K^- & K^+ \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

where $K^\pm = H_p(r, z/n_o) \pm e^{-ik_0 \Delta n z} H_p(r, z/n_e)$, $\Delta n = n_e - n_o$ and $H_p(r, z)$ is the Hypergeometric-Gaussian mode with index $p = \sqrt{2}^8$, viz.

$$H_p(\rho, \zeta) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} i^{p+1} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p}{2} + 1)}{\Gamma(p + 1)} \zeta^{-p/2} (\zeta + i)^{-(p/2+1)} \times \rho^p e^{-\frac{i\rho^2}{\zeta+i}} {}_1F_1\left(\frac{p}{2}, 1 + p; \frac{\rho^2}{\zeta(\zeta+i)}\right) \quad (6)$$

where $\rho = r/w_0$, $\zeta = z/z_R$ and $z_R = k_0 w_0^2/2$ is the beam Rayleigh range. Because $n_o \simeq n_e$, the arguments of the function H_p in Eq.(5) are very close, so that when $\Delta n z = q\lambda$ ($q = 1, 2, \dots$) the matrix in Eq.(5) is almost diagonal, the field in the 1-plate is cylindrically symmetric and the beam carries no OAM. When $\Delta n z = (2q - 1)\lambda/2$, instead, only the off-diagonal elements survive, the right and left circular components of transmitted field assume a phase factor $e^{\pm 2i\phi}$, respectively, and the beam carries an OAM of $\pm 2\hbar$ per photon. As the beam propagates in the 1-plate, its transverse profile changes deeply, but no angular momentum is exchanged with the medium. The effect of the 1-plate is just converting the beam angular momentum from spin to orbital. From Eq.(5), we may calculate the average SAM $S_z(z)$ and OAM $L_z(z)$ carried by the beam at the plane z in the 1-plate, obtaining

$$S_z(z) + L_z(z) = S_z(0) + L_z(0) = \frac{4}{\omega} (|\beta|^2 - |\alpha|^2) \quad (7)$$

$$L_z(z) = \frac{4}{\omega} (|\beta|^2 - |\alpha|^2) \left[1 - 2\Re \left(e^{-ik_0 \Delta n z} I_p(z) \right) \right] \quad (8)$$

where

$$I_p(\zeta) = \frac{\Gamma^2(\frac{p}{2} + 1)}{\Gamma(p + 1)} \left(\frac{n_o n_e \chi^{p/2}(\zeta)}{2n_o n_e - i(n_e - n_o)\zeta} \right) \times {}_2F_1\left(\frac{p}{2}, \frac{p}{2}; p + 1; \chi(\zeta)\right) \quad (9)$$

and

$$\chi(\zeta) = \left(\frac{n_e n_o}{n_e n_o - i(n_e - n_o)\zeta} \right)^2. \quad (10)$$

As expected, we have $I_p(0) = \frac{1}{2}$ so that Eq.(8) yields $L_z(0) = 0$. In Fig.(1(a)) the photon SAM-to-OAM conversion⁵ is shown as a function of the propagation depth in the 1-plate. The conversion efficiency is practically 100% and its maximum occurs at optical retardation $\Delta n z = (2q - 1)\lambda/2$ with integer q . When the optical retardation is $q\lambda$, no conversion occurs and the beam has no OAM. Changing the optical retardation of the 1-plate provides a good way to control the SAM-to-OAM conversion process. However, as shown in Fig.(1(b)), when the thickness of the 1-plate becomes very large (larger than beam Rayleigh range) the conversion efficiency slowly decays. According to Eqs.(5) and (6), the field profile inside the 1-plate (and at its exit face) vanishes as r^p along the beam axis so that the intensity profile has the characteristic doughnut shape irrespective of the OAM carried by the beam. Dramatic changes of the intensity profile are seen, however, in




FIG. 1: (a) L_z (full line) and S_z (dotted line) as function of the optical retardation $\Delta n z / \lambda$ for thin 1-plate. (b) L_z as function of the optical retardation $\Delta n z / \lambda$ for thick 1-plate. The input beam is TEM_{00} . We used the following data: $n_o = 1.5$, $n_e = 1.7$, $w_0 = 50\lambda$.

the far-field. When the SAM-to-OAM conversion is maximum, in fact, we observe the doughnut profile, but when no conversion occurs the far-field pattern has a maximum at its center. This is shown in Figs.(2) and (3). In conclusion, we




FIG. 2: Intensity profile at the exit face of the 1-plate. (a) Full SAM-to-OAM conversion; (b) No SAM-to-OAM conversion.



FIG. 3: Intensity profile in the far-field beyond the 1-plate. (a) Full SAM-to-OAM conversion; (b) No SAM-to-OAM conversion.

calculated the Fresnel propagator of an optical beam in a birefringent plate with azimuthal orientation of the optical axis in the paraxial approximation and for normal incidence. More precisely, we required that the plate birefringence Δn should be much larger than the divergence angle β that the rays of the incident beam form at the plate location. Losses due to reflections and absorption were neglected. We considered in some detail the propagation of a TEM_{00} beam. As the beam traverses the plate, its transverse profile changes from Gaussian to Hypergeometric-Gaussian and SAM-to-OAM conversion occurs. The conversion efficiency is almost 100% when the thickness of the plate is much smaller than the beam Rayleigh range and slowly decreases when the thickness of the plate is increased. The degree of SAM-to-OAM conversion can be controlled by the plate retardation. No angular momentum is exchanged with the medium so that the total angular momentum of the incident beam is preserved during propagation. Because of the high SAM-to-OAM conversion efficiency, more plates can be cascaded on the same beam so to obtain multi-entangled photon spin and OAM modes. The plate affects the transverse radial modes of the incident light as predicted by Eqs.(3), which provide further degrees of freedom for the photon state. The possibility offered by the azimuthally oriented plate in manipulating entanglement among several degrees of freedom of the light may be of great interest for quantum information, quantum communications and quantum computing.

¹ G. Molina-Terriza, J. P. Torres, and L. Torner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 013601 (2002).
² G. Molina-Terriza, J. P. Torres, and L. Torner, Nat. Phys. **3**, 305 (2007).
³ G. Gibson, J. Courtial, M. J. Padgett, M. Vasnetsov, V. Pasko, S. M. Barnett, and S. Franke-Arnold, Opt. Exp. **12**, 5448 (2004).
⁴ A. Mair, A. Vaziri, G. Welhs, and A. Zeilinger, Nature **412**, 313 (2001).
⁵ L. Marrucci, C. Manzo, and D. Paparo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 163905

(2006). arXiv:0712.0099v1
⁶ L. Marrucci, C. Manzo, and D. Paparo, Appl. Phys. Lett. **88**, 221102 (2006). arXiv:0712.0101v1
⁷ G. F. Calvo and A. Picón, Opt. Lett. **32**, 838 (2007). arXiv:0709.3818v1
⁸ E. Karimi, G. Zito, B. Piccirillo, L. Marrucci, and E. Santamato, Opt. Lett. **32**, 3053 (2007). arXiv:0712.0782v1.